

THE INFLUENCE OF LORENTZ VIOLATION ON UHE PHOTON DETECTION

G.I. RUBTSOV, P.S. SATUNIN*, and S.M. SIBIRYAKOV

*Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences,
60th October Anniversary Prospect, 7a, 117312 Moscow, Russia*

We show that violation of the Lorentz symmetry in quantum electrodynamics can suppress the rates of the interactions crucial for the formation of photon-induced air showers, such as pair production on nuclei and in the geomagnetic field. As a consequence, the allowed region in the space of Lorentz-violating parameters will be seriously restricted if several photons with energies $\gtrsim 10^{19}$ eV are detected.

1. Introduction

The presence of a photon component in the ultra-high-energy (UHE) cosmic rays is an important open question in astroparticle physics. An answer to it will give information on astrophysical issues such as the composition and origin of the UHE cosmic rays, as well as on the fundamental topic of possible modifications of the space-time symmetries.¹

In the standard physical picture, a primary UHE photon reaching the Earth interacts in the atmosphere and produces an extensive air shower of particles with lower energies that can be detected by the ground-based experiments. The characteristics of the shower are sensitive to the altitude, at which the first interaction giving rise to the shower takes place. This, in its turn, is determined by the cross section of the first interaction. At energies $\sim 10^{19}$ eV the dominant channel of the first interaction is electron-positron pair production on nuclei in the atmosphere, the so-called 'Bethe-Heitler process'.² At higher energy (10^{20} eV and above) the pair production in the geomagnetic field becomes important leading to the formation of a preshower above the atmosphere. The photon showers initiated by these processes can be identified by the cosmic ray detectors using

*Corresponding author, e-mail: satunin@ms2.inr.ac.ru

various observables.

The cross section of the first interaction, and consequently the characteristics of the shower, may be affected by new physics. In this paper we study the influence of possible violation of the Lorentz invariance (or Lorentz violation (LV) for short) on the aforementioned processes, and the bounds on LV parameters that can be established if the UHE photons are detected.

2. The model

To make the quantitative predictions we study the model of LV quantum electrodynamics with the following Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi} (i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m) \psi - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + i\kappa \bar{\psi} \gamma^i D_i \psi + \frac{ig}{M^2} D_j \bar{\psi} \gamma^i D_i D_j \psi + \frac{\xi}{4M^2} F_{kj} \partial_i^2 F^{kj}, \quad (1)$$

where κ , g and ξ are dimensionless parameters, the covariant derivative D_μ is defined in the standard way, $D_\mu \psi = (\partial_\mu + ieA_\mu)\psi$, and M is the Planck mass. Summation over repeated indices with Minkowski metrics is understood. This Lagrangian contains all inequivalent operators of dimension up to 6 that are rotationally invariant in the preferred frame, gauge invariant, and CPT- and P-even. The requirements on the theory and their motivation are discussed in detail in Ref. 3.

From the Lagrangian (1) one obtains the dispersion relations for photons and electrons/positrons,

$$E_\gamma^2 = k^2 + \frac{\xi k^4}{M^2}, \quad E_e^2 = m^2 + p^2 (1 + 2\kappa) + \frac{2gp^4}{M^2}. \quad (2)$$

Also the Feynman rules for the model (1) are modified compared to the Lorentz invariant case.³ For processes with an electron-positron pair in the final state one introduces the combination

$$\omega_{LV}(x) = -\kappa k - \frac{gk^3}{4M^2}(1 + 3x^2) + \frac{\xi k^3}{2M^2}, \quad (3)$$

which characterizes the energy transfer in the process. Here $x \in [-1.1]$ denotes the asymmetry between the momenta of the produced electron and positron (see Ref. 3 for the precise definition). If $\omega_{LV}(x)$ is larger than $2m^2/k$ for some x , vacuum photon decay becomes kinematically allowed. UHE photons decay very quickly into electron-positron pairs and do not reach the Earth. Below we restrict to the values of ω_{LV} outside this range.

3. Pair production on a nuclei

Let us consider the Bethe-Heitler process. The standard result for the cross section reads² $\sigma_{\text{BH}} = 28Z^2\alpha^3/9m^2 \log(183/Z^{1/3})$, where m is the electron mass, α is the fine structure constant and Z is the nucleus charge. Lorentz violation significantly suppresses the cross section for negative $\omega_{\text{LV}}(x) < -m^2/k$. For example, in the case $1 \ll k|\omega_{\text{LV}}|/m^2 \ll \alpha^{-4}Z^{-4/3}$ the modified cross section takes the form^{a3}

$$\sigma_{\text{BH}}^{\text{LV}} \simeq \frac{8Z^2\alpha^3}{3k|\omega_{\text{LV}}(1)|} \log \frac{1}{\alpha Z^{1/3}} \cdot \log \frac{k|\omega_{\text{LV}}(1)|}{m^2}. \quad (4)$$

This expression is suppressed roughly by a factor $m^2/k|\omega_{\text{LV}}(1)|$ with respect to the LI result.

A future UHE photon detection by cosmic ray experiments would imply that the cross section of the first interaction is not too much suppressed compared to the standard expectation: otherwise the photon would go through the atmosphere without developing a shower. Conservatively, we will assume that the cross section does not differ by more than an order of magnitude. This gives the bound $|\omega_{\text{LV}}| < 10m^2/k$ for negative ω_{LV} . Similar (actually, even stronger) bound for positive ω_{LV} follows from the absence of the vacuum photon decay. Barring accidental cancellations we find that a prospective detection of photons with energies $k \sim 10^{19}$ eV will allow to constrain the LV parameters at the level

$$|\varkappa| \lesssim 10^{-25}; \quad |g|, |\xi| \lesssim 10^{-7}. \quad (5)$$

It is worth stressing that these bounds will be insensitive to any astrophysical assumptions as to the origin and propagation of cosmic rays through the interstellar medium.

4. Photon decay in magnetic field

Next we turn to the photon decay in geomagnetic field. We use⁴ the method of 'worldline instantons', proposed by Affleck, Alvarez and Manton⁵ to study the Schwinger effect. The photon decay in a weak magnetic field is represented as a tunneling process whose width is given by a statistical sum of a certain auxiliary quantum-mechanical system. This statistical sum can be evaluated in the saddle-point approximation and yields the following

^aUnlike the standard case, the cross section is saturated at the maximal asymmetry between the momenta of the pair, $x = \pm 1$.

exponential behavior for the photon decay width,

$$\Gamma \propto \exp \left[-\frac{8m^3}{3keH \sin \varphi} \left(1 - \frac{k \cdot \omega_{LV}(0)}{2m^2} \right)^{3/2} \right]. \quad (6)$$

Here H denotes the magnetic field, φ is an angle between the photon momentum and the magnetic field. In the Lorentz invariant case, $\omega_{LV}(0) = 0$, this answer coincides with the standard one.⁶ We see that the process is exponentially suppressed till the photon energy reaches $k \sim 8m^3/3eH \sin \varphi$, which for the Earth magnetic field and $\sin \varphi \sim 1$ gives $k \sim 10^{20}$ eV. In the presence of LV with $\omega_{LV}(0) < 0$ the exponent is enhanced and the effective threshold energy is shifted upwards. Requiring that the shift is not too large again implies $|\omega_{LV}| \lesssim m^2/k$. We conclude that a detection of UHE photons at $k \sim 10^{20}$ eV with the preshower signature will yield the constraints,^b

$$|\varkappa| < 10^{-27} ; \quad |g|, |\xi| < 10^{-11} . \quad (7)$$

5. Conclusion

We have shown that a future UHE photon detection can establish very strong two-sided bounds on the parameters describing deviations from LI in quantum electrodynamics. These bounds will be insensitive to any astrophysical assumptions about the origin of the UHE photons and their propagation towards the Earth.

Acknowledgments

This work has been supported in part by the Grants of the Russian Ministry of Education and Science 8412 and 14.B37.21.0457, the Grants of the President of Russian Federation NS-5590.2012.2, MK-1170.2013 and by the RFBR grants 11-02-01528, 12-02-01203, 12-02-91323.

References

1. M. Galaverni and G. Sigl, Phys. Rev. D **78** (2008) 063003.
2. H. Bethe and W. Heitler, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **146** (1934) 83.
3. G. Rubtsov, P. Satunin and S. Sibiryakov, Phys. Rev. D **86** (2012) 085012.
4. P. Satunin, Phys. Rev. D **87** (2013) 105015.
5. I. K. Affleck, O. Alvarez and N. S. Manton, Nucl. Phys. B **197** (1982) 509.
6. N. P. Klepikov, Zh. Exp. and Theor. Phys., **26**, 19 (1954).

^bThe bound on the positive ω_{LV} again follows from the absence of vacuum photon decay.