

In-medium decay widths of hidden and open charm vector mesons in a field theoretic model for composite hadrons

Amruta Mishra*

*Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology,
Delhi, Hauz Khas, New Delhi – 110 016, India*

S.P. Misra[†]

Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar – 751005, India

W. Greiner [‡]

*Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies Ruth-Moufang-Strasse 1,
Frankfurt am Main, D-60438, Germany*

[‡] email: greiner@fias.uni-frankfurt.de

Abstract

We calculate the decay widths of the charmonium states, J/ψ , $\psi(3686)$ and $\psi(3770)$, to $D\bar{D}$ pairs, as well as the decay width of $D^* \rightarrow D\pi$, in isospin asymmetric strange hadronic matter, using a field theoretical model for composite hadrons with quark constituents. For this purpose we use the quark antiquark pair creation term of the free Dirac Hamiltonian written in terms of the constituent quark field operators, and use explicit charmonium, D , \bar{D} , D^* and π states to evaluate the matrix elements for the charmonium as well as D^* decay amplitudes. The medium modifications of the partial decay widths of charmonium to $D\bar{D}$ pair, arising from the mass modifications of the $D(\bar{D})$ and the charmonium states calculated in a chiral effective model, are also included. The results of the present investigations are then compared with the decay widths computed earlier, in a model using light quark pair creation in 3P_0 state. As in 3P_0 model, the decay amplitude in the present model is multiplied with a strength parameter for the light quark pair creation, which is fitted from the observed vacuum decay width. The effects of the isospin asymmetry, the strangeness fraction of the hadronic matter on the masses of the charmonium states and $D(\bar{D})$ mesons and hence on the decay widths, have also been studied. The isospin asymmetry effect is observed to be dominant for high densities, leading to appreciable difference in the decay channels of the charmonium to D^+D^- and $D^0\bar{D}^0$ pairs. The decay width of $D^* \rightarrow D\pi$ in the hadronic matter has also been calculated within the composite quark model in the present work, accounting for the medium modifications of the D and D^* masses. The density modifications of the charmonium states and $D(D^*)$ mesons, which are observed to be appreciable at high densities, will be of relevance in the compressed baryonic matter (CBM) experiments at the future facility of FAIR, GSI, where charmed hadrons will be produced by annihilation of antiprotons on nuclei. The interactions of the charmonium states and $D(D^*)$ with the nuclear medium could lead to the possibility of the formation of exotic bound states of the nuclei with the (excited) charmonium states as well as with $D(D^*)$ mesons.

*Electronic address: amruta@physics.iitd.ac.in; mishra@th.physik.uni-frankfurt.de

†Electronic address: misrasibaprasad@gmail.com

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of the properties of hadrons in the medium is an important and challenging topic of research in strong interaction physics. The topic is of direct relevance in the context of heavy ion collision experiments, which probe matter under extreme conditions, for example, at high temperatures and/or densities. The properties of the hadrons as modified in the medium affect the experimental observables from the strongly interacting matter produced in heavy ion collision experiments. The properties of the kaons and antikaons in the medium are of relevance in neutron star phenomenology where an attractive interaction of antikaon-nucleon can lead to antikaon condensation in the interior of the neutron stars [1, 2]. The medium modifications of kaons and antikaons can also affect observables like, the production as well as collective flow of the kaons and antikaons, in the heavy ion collision experiments. The modifications of the masses of the charm mesons, D and \bar{D} as well as the J/ψ mesons and the excited states of charmonium, can have important consequences on the yield of open charm mesons as well as of J/ψ in heavy ion collision experiments. Also, in high energy heavy ion collision experiments at RHIC as well as LHC, the formation of the quark-gluon-plasma (QGP) [3, 4] can lead to the suppression of J/ψ .

The D (\bar{D}) mesons are made up of one heavy charm quark (antiquark) and one light (u or d) antiquark (quark). In the QCD sum rule approach, the modifications of the masses of the D (\bar{D}) mesons in the hadronic matter are due to the interactions of light antiquark (quark) present in the D (\bar{D}) mesons with the light quark condensate [5, 6]. The properties of the D (\bar{D}) meson have also been studied using the quark meson coupling (QMC) model [7, 8] as well as the coupled channel approach [9–13]. The D and B mesons have been studied within a hadronic framework by using pion exchange [14], which leads to attractive interaction of the \bar{D} and B mesons in the nuclear matter, suggesting that these can form bound states with the atomic nuclei. In a recent study [15], the \bar{D} -nucleon interactions have been studied using a quark model for the hadrons, in which the baryons/mesons are constructed as bound states of the constituent quarks (antiquarks). The field operators of the constituent quarks are written in terms of a constituent quark mass, which arises from dynamical chiral symmetry breaking [15–19].

Using the QCD sum rule approach, the masses of the charmonium states, which are made

up of a heavy charm quark and a charm antiquark, are due to their interaction with the gluon condensates [21, 22]. Within a chiral effective model, the gluon condensates of QCD, are simulated by a scalar dilaton field [22, 23], and the in-medium masses of the charmonium states are studied by the in-medium changes of the dilaton field within the effective hadronic model. In the present work, we study the medium modification of the decay widths of J/ψ and the excited charmonium states $\psi(3686)$ and $\psi(3770)$ to $D\bar{D}$ pairs, as well as of the decay width of $D^* \rightarrow D\pi$, in the strange hadronic medium, due to the mass modifications of these hadrons calculated in the effective chiral model [23]. These in-medium decay widths are studied using a field theoretical model for the composite hadrons with quark constituents [24–26].

The outline of the paper is as follows: In section II, we give a very brief introduction of the field theoretical model for the hadrons with quark constituents using explicit constructions of the charmonium states, the D^* meson as well as the D and \bar{D} mesons in terms of the quark and antiquark constituents. We then calculate the matrix element of the S-matrix in the lowest order to compute the decay width of the charmonium states to D^+D^- or $D^0\bar{D}^0$ pairs as well as of $D^* \rightarrow D\pi$. The matrix element, however, is multiplied with a parameter, which is fitted from the observed vacuum decay width of $\psi(3770) \rightarrow D\bar{D}$ or $D^* \rightarrow D\pi$. These decay widths have been calculated using the field theoretic model for composite hadrons and their medium modifications have been studied as arising from the changes in the masses of these mesons in the hadronic medium. In section III, we briefly describe the chiral effective model, which has been used to investigate the in-medium masses of the open charm mesons ($D(D^0, D^+)$ and $\bar{D}(\bar{D}^0, D^-)$) and of the charmonium states [23]. The in-medium properties of the D and \bar{D} mesons arise due to their interactions with the light hadrons, i.e., the nucleons, hyperons and scalar mesons. Within the chiral effective model, the scale symmetry breaking of QCD has also been incorporated through a scalar dilaton field. The masses of the charmonium states in the hadronic medium have been calculated from the modification of the gluon condensates [23] arising within the effective hadronic model from the modification of the dilaton field in the strange hadronic matter. In section IV, we discuss the results obtained in the present investigation and compare with the existing results of the partial decay widths [23, 27] using a quark pair creation model, namely the 3P_0 model [28–31]. In the 3P_0 model, a light quark antiquark pair is assumed to

be created in the 3P_0 state, and the light quark (antiquark) combines with the heavy charm antiquark (charm quark) of the decaying charmonium state to produce the open charm D and \bar{D} mesons. It might be noted here that in the composite hadron model as used in the present work, the decay widths of the charmonium state to $D\bar{D}$ pair, as well as of the decay process $D^* \rightarrow D\pi$ in the hadronic medium, are calculated using the quark pair creation term of the free Dirac Hamiltonian written in terms of the constituent quark field operators within the model. As has already been mentioned, the matrix element for the specific decay process has to be multiplied with a parameter corresponding to the quark pair creation, which is fitted from the observed vacuum decay width. In section V, we summarize the results for the medium modifications of these decay widths, calculated in the present field theoretic model for the composite hadrons with quark/antiquark constituents, and discuss possible outlook.

II. THE MODEL FOR COMPOSITE HADRONS

We shall very briefly discuss the model to clarify the notations, so as to apply the same in the present problem.

The field operator at $t=0$ for a constituent quark for a hadron at rest is taken as

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}, t = 0) = Q(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{Q}(\mathbf{x}), \quad (1)$$

where $Q(\mathbf{x})$ and $\tilde{Q}(\mathbf{x})$ are the quark annihilation and antiquark creation operators and are given as

$$Q(\mathbf{x}) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} \int U(\mathbf{k}) Q_I(\mathbf{k}) \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{k} \quad (2)$$

and

$$\tilde{Q}(\mathbf{x}) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} \int V(\mathbf{k}) \tilde{Q}_I(\mathbf{k}) \exp(-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{k}. \quad (3)$$

In the above, $Q_I(\mathbf{k})$ and $\tilde{Q}_I(\mathbf{k})$ are the two component quark annihilation and antiquark creation operators, given as $Q_I(\mathbf{k}) = Q_{Ir}(\mathbf{k})u_{Ir}$ and $\tilde{Q}_I(\mathbf{k}) = \tilde{Q}_{Is}(\mathbf{k})v_{Is}$. The summation over the dummy indices is understood. $Q_{Ir}(\mathbf{k})$ annihilates a quark with spin r and momentum \mathbf{k} and $\tilde{Q}_{Is}(\mathbf{k})$ creates an antiquark with spin s and momentum \mathbf{k} and they satisfy the usual anticommutation relations

$$\{Q_{Ir}(\mathbf{k}), Q_{Is}(\mathbf{k}')^\dagger\} = \{\tilde{Q}_{Ir}(\mathbf{k}), \tilde{Q}_{Is}(\mathbf{k}')^\dagger\} = \delta_{rs}\delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \quad (4)$$

In equations (2) and (3), $U(k)$ and $V(k)$ are given as

$$U(k) = \begin{pmatrix} f(\mathbf{k}^2) \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k}g(\mathbf{k}^2) \end{pmatrix}, \quad V(k) = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k}g(\mathbf{k}^2) \\ f(\mathbf{k}^2) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5)$$

where the equal time anticommutation relation for the four-component Dirac field operators gives the constraint [24]

$$f^2 + g^2\mathbf{k}^2 = 1, \quad (6)$$

on the functions $f(\mathbf{k})$ and $g(\mathbf{k})$. For free Dirac field of mass M , we have

$$f(\mathbf{k}) = \left(\frac{k_0 + M}{2k_0} \right)^{1/2}, \quad g(\mathbf{k}) = \left(\frac{1}{2k_0(k_0 + M)} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (7)$$

where $k_0 = (k^2 + M^2)^{1/2}$. It may be relevant here to note that when the constituent quark mass arises from dynamical symmetry breaking, the constituent quark mass, M in equation (7) in general could be momentum dependent [15–19]. In a color confining model [15], the solution of the gap equation arising from dynamical chiral symmetry breaking leads to the constituent quark mass, $M(k)$, which is observed to change appreciably only at large momenta [15]. On the other hand, in Nambu Jona Lasinio model with four point interaction, such a mass is momentum independent and is calculated self-consistently by solving a gap equation. In the present work for the study of charmonium and D^* decay widths with light quark pair creation, we shall assume $M(k) = M$, a constant. We take the constituent quark mass, M for the light quark (u,d) as an input parameter and the value of $M_u = M_d$ is chosen to be 330 MeV, a typical value obtained from dynamical chiral symmetry breaking, e.g., in Nambu-Jona Lasinio model [20]. We shall also assume a small momentum expansion for the the functions $f(\mathbf{k})$ and $g(\mathbf{k})$ of the Dirac field operator for the constituent quarks, which yields

$$\begin{aligned} g(\mathbf{k}) &= \left(\frac{1}{2k_0(k_0 + M)} \right)^{1/2} \approx \frac{1}{2M}, \\ f(\mathbf{k}) &= (1 - g^2\mathbf{k}^2)^{1/2} \approx 1 - \frac{1}{2}g^2\mathbf{k}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The above field operators for the quarks are for the constituents of hadrons at rest. To describe these as constituents of hadron with finite momentum, we need to suitably Lorentz boost these operators, which requires the knowledge of the time dependence of the field

operators in addition to the space dependence as above. This was taken to be given by quarks occupying fixed energy levels [24, 25] as in the bag model, so that for the i -th quark we have

$$Q_i(x) = Q_i(\mathbf{x}) \exp(-i\lambda_i Mt), \quad (9)$$

where λ_i is the fraction of the energy (mass) of the hadron carried by the quark, with $\sum_i \lambda_i = 1$. Eq. (9) is for hadrons at rest, and, for a hadron in motion with four momentum \mathbf{p} , with appropriate Lorentz boosting [26] the field operator for quark annihilation for $t=0$ is given as

$$Q^{(p)}(\mathbf{x}, 0) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} \int d\mathbf{k} S(L(p)) U(\mathbf{k}) Q_I(\mathbf{k} + \lambda\mathbf{p}) \exp(i(\mathbf{k} + \lambda\mathbf{p}) \cdot \mathbf{x}). \quad (10)$$

For the antiquark creation operator we similarly have for $t=0$

$$\tilde{Q}^{(p)}(\mathbf{x}, 0) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} \int d\mathbf{k} S(L(p)) V(-\mathbf{k}) \tilde{Q}_I(-\mathbf{k} + \lambda\mathbf{p}) \exp(-i(-\mathbf{k} + \lambda\mathbf{p}) \cdot \mathbf{x}) \quad (11)$$

The form of Lorentz boosting that gives the constituent quark field operators as above was chosen in Ref [26] .

The determination of the λ_i 's, which correspond to the fractions of energy (mass) carried by the constituent quarks in the hadron, will be explicitly described in the next section when we construct the D -meson states with the constituent quarks.

A. Decay widths of the charmonium states to $D\bar{D}$ pair in the composite model of the hadrons

In the present work, we shall study the medium dependence of the partial decay widths of the charmonium state, ψ (J/ψ , $\psi(3686)$ and $\psi(3770)$) to $D\bar{D}$ (D^+D^- or $D^0\bar{D}^0$). In the hadronic medium, the masses of the D and \bar{D} are observed to be different due to the difference in their interactions with the hadronic matter. Accounting for this fact, the magnitude of \mathbf{p} , the 3-momentum of the D (\bar{D}) meson, when the charmonium state ψ decays at rest, is given by

$$|\mathbf{p}| = \left(\frac{m_\psi^2}{4} - \frac{m_D^2 + m_{\bar{D}}^2}{2} + \frac{(m_D^2 - m_{\bar{D}}^2)^2}{4m_\psi^2} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (12)$$

We note that the masses of $\psi'' \equiv \psi(3770)$ and D^\pm in the vacuum are given as

$$m_{\psi''} = 3773 \text{ MeV}; \quad m_{D^\pm} = 1869 \text{ MeV} \quad (13)$$

so that this decay is admissible in vacuum. The in-medium effects of the decay widths of the charmonium state ($\psi \equiv J/\psi, \psi' \equiv \psi(3686), \psi'' \equiv \psi(3770)$) in the present work are calculated by considering the medium modifications of the masses of the charmonium state and D^\pm mesons.

We write the charmonium state ψ ($J/\psi, \psi(3686)$ and $\psi(3770)$) with spin projection m at rest as

$$|\psi_m(\vec{0})\rangle = \int d\mathbf{k}_1 c_I^i(\mathbf{k}_1)^\dagger a_m(\psi, \mathbf{k}_1) \tilde{c}_I^i(-\mathbf{k}_1) |vac\rangle, \quad (14)$$

where, i is the color index of the quark/antiquark operators. For $\psi \equiv J/\psi$,

$$a_m(\psi, \mathbf{k}_1) \equiv \sigma_m u_{J/\psi}(\mathbf{k}_1) = \sigma_m \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \left(\frac{R_\psi^2}{\pi} \right)^{3/4} \exp\left(-\frac{R_\psi^2 \mathbf{k}_1^2}{2}\right), \quad (15)$$

for $\psi \equiv \psi'$,

$$a_m(\psi, \mathbf{k}_1) \equiv \sigma_m u_{\psi'}(\mathbf{k}_1) = \sigma_m \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{R_{\psi'}^2}{\pi} \right)^{3/4} \left(\frac{2}{3} R_{\psi'}^2 \mathbf{k}_1^2 - 1 \right) \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} R_{\psi'}^2 \mathbf{k}_1^2\right]. \quad (16)$$

and, for $\psi \equiv \psi''$ [32],

$$a_m(\psi, \mathbf{k}_1) = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}\pi} u_{\psi''}(\mathbf{k}_1) \left(\sigma_m - 3(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{k}_1) \hat{k}_1^m \right), \quad (17)$$

where,

$$u_{\psi''}(\mathbf{k}_1) = \left(\frac{16}{15} \right)^{1/2} \pi^{-1/4} (R_{\psi''}^2)^{7/4} \mathbf{k}_1^2 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} R_{\psi''}^2 \mathbf{k}_1^2\right). \quad (18)$$

In the above, we have taken harmonic oscillator wave functions for the charmonium states, where $J/\psi, \psi'$ and ψ'' correspond to the 1S, 2S and 1D states respectively. The D^+ and D^- states, with finite momenta, are explicitly given as

$$|D^+(\mathbf{p})\rangle = \int c_I^{i_1}(\mathbf{k}_2 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{p})^\dagger u_{D^+}(\mathbf{k}_2) \tilde{d}_I^{i_1}(-\mathbf{k}_2 + \lambda_1 \mathbf{p}) d\mathbf{k}_2 \quad (19)$$

and

$$|D^-(\mathbf{p}')\rangle = \int d_I^{i_2}(\mathbf{k}_3 + \lambda_1 \mathbf{p}')^\dagger u_{D^-}(\mathbf{k}_3) \tilde{c}_I^{i_2}(-\mathbf{k}_3 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{p}') d\mathbf{k}_3. \quad (20)$$

In the above,

$$u_{D^\pm}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \left(\frac{R_D^2}{\pi} \right)^{3/4} \exp\left(-\frac{R_D^2 \mathbf{k}^2}{2}\right). \quad (21)$$

For the above states we have used alternative Lorentz boosting, which is like getting the hadron through translation operator, and is given by equations (10) and (11) [26]. We shall now explicitly calculate λ_1 and λ_2 . We recall that it was conjectured in Ref [25] that the binding energy of the hadron as shared by the quarks shall be *inversely* proportional to the quark masses. Thus we shall explicitly have, for the energies of $d(\bar{d})$ and $\bar{c}(c)$ in $D(\bar{D})$ meson as [25],

$$\omega_1 = M_d + \frac{M_c}{M_c + M_d} \cdot (m_D - M_c - M_d) \quad (22)$$

and,

$$\omega_2 = M_c + \frac{M_d}{M_c + M_d} \cdot (m_D - M_c - M_d), \quad (23)$$

with

$$\lambda_i = \frac{\omega_i}{m_D}. \quad (24)$$

We next evaluate the matrix element of the quark-antiquark pair creation part of the Hamiltonian, between the initial charmonium state and the final state for the reaction $\psi \rightarrow D^+(\mathbf{p}) + D^-(\mathbf{p}')$.

The relevant part of the quark pair creation term is through the $d\bar{d}$ creation. From equations (10) and (11) we can write down $\mathcal{H}_{d\bar{d}}(\mathbf{x}, t = 0)$, and then integrate over \mathbf{x} to obtain the expression

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \mathcal{H}_{d\bar{d}}(\mathbf{x}, t = 0) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \int d\mathbf{k} d\mathbf{k}' d_I^i (\mathbf{k} + \lambda_1 \mathbf{p}')^\dagger U(\mathbf{k})^\dagger S(L(p'))^\dagger \delta(-\mathbf{k}' + \lambda_1 \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k} + \lambda_1 \mathbf{p}') \\ & \quad (\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot (\mathbf{k} + \lambda_1 \mathbf{p}') + \beta M_d) S(L(p)) V(-\mathbf{k}') \tilde{d}_I^i(-\mathbf{k}' + \lambda_1 \mathbf{p}), \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where M_d is the constituent mass of the d quark. In equation (25), the Lorentz boosting factor $S(L(p))$ is given as

$$S(L(p)) = \left(\frac{p^0 + m_h}{2m_h} \right)^{1/2} + \frac{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{(2m_h(p^0 + m_h))^{1/2}}, \quad (26)$$

with m_h is the mass of the hadron with momentum \mathbf{p} , which is the D meson here.

From equation (25) we can then evaluate that

$$\langle D^+(\mathbf{p}) | \langle D^-(\mathbf{p}') | \int \mathcal{H}_{d\bar{d}}(\mathbf{x}, t = 0) d\mathbf{x} | \psi_m(\vec{0}) \rangle = \delta(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}') \int d\mathbf{k}_1 A_m^\psi(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1), \quad (27)$$

with appropriate simplifications using equations for the states $|\psi_m(\mathbf{0})\rangle$, $|D^+(\mathbf{p})\rangle$ and $|D^-(\mathbf{p}')\rangle$ given in (14), (19) and (20). In the above equations the spectators c and \tilde{c} give that

$$\mathbf{k}_2 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{k}_1; \quad -\mathbf{k}_3 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{p}' = -\mathbf{k}_1. \quad (28)$$

Also, d and \tilde{d} contractions of the above with the same in (25) yield the results

$$\mathbf{k} + \lambda_1 \mathbf{p}' = \mathbf{k}_3 + \lambda_1 \mathbf{p}'; \quad -\mathbf{k}' + \lambda_1 \mathbf{p} = -\mathbf{k}_2 + \lambda_1 \mathbf{p}. \quad (29)$$

This enables us to integrate over all momenta except \mathbf{k}_1 . For the evaluation of $A_m^\psi(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1)$, we first note that during the evaluation of the above matrix element, we have a spin matrix given as $a_m(\psi, \mathbf{k}_1)$ as well as a spin matrix in the expression for the pair creation term in equation (25). For the evaluation of $A_m^\psi(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1)$ we get, including summing over color,

$$A_m^\psi(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1) = 3u_{D^+}(\mathbf{k})u_{D^-}(\mathbf{k}) \cdot \text{Tr}[a_m(\psi, \mathbf{k}_1)U(\mathbf{k})^\dagger S(L(p'))^\dagger(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot (\tilde{\mathbf{q}}) + \beta M_d)S(L(p))V(-\mathbf{k})], \quad (30)$$

where, $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}_1 - \lambda_2 \mathbf{p}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{p}' = -\mathbf{p}$. We shall now simplify $A_m^\psi(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1)$. Firstly, since the $D(\bar{D})$ mesons are completely nonrelativistic, we shall be taking that $S(L(p))$ and $S(L(p'))$ are identity. U, V are given as in equation (5). The integral in the R.H.S. of the equation (27) can be written as,

$$\int d\mathbf{k}_1 A_m^\psi(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1) = 3 \int d\mathbf{k}_1 u_{D^+}(\mathbf{k})u_{D^-}(\mathbf{k}) \cdot \text{Tr}[a_m(\psi, \mathbf{k}_1)B(\mathbf{k}, \tilde{\mathbf{q}})], \quad (31)$$

where,

$$B(\mathbf{k}, \tilde{\mathbf{q}}) = \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{q}} - \left(2(\mathbf{k} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{q}})g^2 + f(\mathbf{k})\right)\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k}. \quad (32)$$

We use the approximate forms of f and g , $f \approx 1 - \frac{g^2 \mathbf{k}^2}{2}$, and $2M_d g \approx 1$, of the equation (8) for simplifying the integral (31). The integral (31) can be simplified to

$$\int d\mathbf{k}_1 A_m^\psi(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1) = 6c_\psi \exp[(a_\psi b_\psi^2 - R_D^2 \lambda_2^2) \mathbf{p}^2] \int d\mathbf{k}_1 T_m^\psi(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1), \quad (33)$$

where, $T_m^\psi(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1)$ is given as,

$$T_m^\psi(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[\sigma_m B(\mathbf{k}, \tilde{\mathbf{q}})], \quad (34)$$

for $\psi = J/\psi$,

$$T_m^\psi(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[\sigma_m B(\mathbf{k}, \tilde{\mathbf{q}})] \cdot \left(\frac{2}{3} R_\psi^2 \mathbf{k}_1^2 - 1\right), \quad (35)$$

for $\psi = \psi'$, and,

$$T_m^\psi(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{k}_1^2 \text{Tr}[(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_m - 3\hat{k}_1^m(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{k}_1)) \cdot B(\mathbf{k}, \tilde{\mathbf{q}})], \quad (36)$$

for $\psi = \psi''$. In the above, the parameters a_ψ , b_ψ and c_ψ are given as

$$a_\psi = \frac{1}{2} R_\psi^2 + R_D^2; \quad b_\psi = R_D^2 \lambda_2 / a_\psi, \quad (37)$$

with R_ψ as the radius of the charmonium state, $\psi = J/\psi, \psi', \psi''$, and,

$$c_{J/\psi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \cdot \left(\frac{R_\psi^2}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \cdot \frac{1}{6} \cdot \left(\frac{R_D^2}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad (38)$$

$$c_{\psi'} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{R_{\psi'}^2}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \cdot \frac{1}{6} \cdot \left(\frac{R_D^2}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad (39)$$

$$c_{\psi''} = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}\pi} \left(\frac{16}{15}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \pi^{-\frac{1}{4}} \cdot (R_{\psi''}^2)^{\frac{7}{4}} \cdot \frac{1}{6} \cdot \left(\frac{R_D^2}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}. \quad (40)$$

We now change the integration variable to \mathbf{q} in equation (31) with the substitution $\mathbf{k}_1 = \mathbf{q} + b_\psi \mathbf{p}$ and write

$$\int A_m^\psi(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1) d\mathbf{k}_1 = 6c_\psi \exp[(a_\psi b_\psi^2 - \lambda_2^2 R_D^2) \mathbf{p}^2] \cdot \int \exp(-a_\psi \mathbf{q}^2) T_m^\psi d\mathbf{q}. \quad (41)$$

We now note that the terms which are odd in \mathbf{q} in equation (41) will vanish. Also, from rotational invariance we shall have $\mathbf{q}_m(\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \equiv \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{q}^2 \mathbf{p}_m$, and $(\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p})^2 \equiv \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{q}^2 \cdot \mathbf{p}^2$. This yields that the trace in the integral in equation (41) to be given in the form

$$T_m^\psi(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) \equiv [F_0^\psi(|\mathbf{p}|) + F_1^\psi(|\mathbf{p}|) \mathbf{q}^2 + F_2^\psi(|\mathbf{p}|) (\mathbf{q}^2)^2] \mathbf{p}_m, \quad (42)$$

In equation (47), the coefficients, $F_i^\psi (i = 0, 1, 2)$ for $\psi \equiv J/\psi, \psi', \psi''$, are given as

$$\begin{aligned} F_0^{J/\psi} &= (\lambda_2 - 1) - 2g^2 \mathbf{p}^2 (b_{J/\psi} - \lambda_2) \left(\frac{3}{4} b_{J/\psi}^2 - (1 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2) b_{J/\psi} + \lambda_2 - \frac{1}{4} \lambda_2^2 \right), \\ F_1^{J/\psi} &= g^2 \left[-\frac{5}{2} b_{J/\psi} + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{11}{6} \lambda_2 \right], \\ F_2^{J/\psi} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_0^{\psi'} &= \left(\frac{2}{3} R_{\psi'}^2 b_{\psi'}^2 \mathbf{p}^2 - 1 \right) F_0^{J/\psi}, \\ F_1^{\psi'} &= \frac{2}{3} R_{\psi'}^2 F_0^{J/\psi} + \left(\frac{2}{3} R_{\psi'}^2 b_{\psi'}^2 \mathbf{p}^2 - 1 \right) F_1^{J/\psi} \\ &\quad - \frac{8}{9} R_{\psi'}^2 b_{\psi'} g^2 \mathbf{p}^2 \left[\frac{9}{4} b_{\psi'}^2 - b_{\psi'} \left(2 + \frac{5}{2} \lambda_2 \right) + 2\lambda_2 + \frac{1}{4} \lambda_2^2 \right], \\ F_2^{\psi'} &= \frac{2}{3} R_{\psi'}^2 g^2 \left[-\frac{7}{2} b_{\psi'} + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{11}{6} \lambda_2 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned}
F_0^{\psi''} &= 2b_{\psi''}^2(1 - \lambda_2)\mathbf{p}^2 \\
&+ 2b_{\psi''}^2g^2(\mathbf{p}^2)^2(b_{\psi''} - \lambda_2)((3/2)b_{\psi''}^2 - (2 + \lambda_2)b_{\psi''} + 2\lambda_2 - (1/2)\lambda_2^2), \\
F_1^{\psi''} &= g^2\mathbf{p}^2[14b_{\psi''}^3 - b_{\psi''}^2((32/3) + (37/3)\lambda_2) \\
&+ b_{\psi''}((28/3)\lambda_2 - (1/3)\lambda_2^2)], \\
F_2^{\psi''} &= g^2[7b_{\psi''} - (2/3)\lambda_2 - (4/3)].
\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

The integration over \mathbf{q} in equation (41) is straightforward. On performing the integration, one obtains that

$$\int A_m^\psi(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1) d\mathbf{k}_1 = A^\psi(|\mathbf{p}|)\mathbf{p}_m, \tag{46}$$

where, $A^\psi(|\mathbf{p}|)$ is given as

$$A^\psi(|\mathbf{p}|) = 6c_\psi \exp[(a_\psi b_\psi^2 - R_D^2 \lambda_2^2)\mathbf{p}^2] \cdot \left(\frac{\pi}{a_\psi}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \left[F_0^\psi + F_1^\psi \frac{3}{2a_\psi} + F_2^\psi \frac{15}{4a_\psi^2}\right]. \tag{47}$$

With $\langle f|S|i \rangle = \delta_4(P_f - P_i)M_{fi}$ we then have for ψ of spin m ,

$$M_{fi} = 2\pi \cdot (-iA^\psi(|\mathbf{p}|))\mathbf{p}_m. \tag{48}$$

We shall be investigating the medium effects of the decay width of the charmonium state to the D^+D^- pair. In the medium, the modifications of the masses of the outgoing states D^+ and D^- are different because of the difference in their interactions with the hadronic medium. For the charmonium state decaying at rest, taking the average for spin, we obtain the expression for the decay width as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(\psi \rightarrow D^+D^-) &= \gamma_\psi^2 \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \delta(m_\psi - p_{D^+}^0 - p_{D^-}^0) |M_{fi}|_{av}^2 \cdot 4\pi |\mathbf{p}_{D^+}|^2 d|\mathbf{p}_{D^+}| \\
&= \gamma_\psi^2 \frac{8\pi^2}{3} |\mathbf{p}|^3 \frac{p_{D^+}^0 p_{D^-}^0}{m_\psi} A^\psi(|\mathbf{p}|)^2
\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

In the above, $p_{D^\pm}^0 = (m_{D^\pm}^2 + \mathbf{p}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and, $|\mathbf{p}|$ is the magnitude of the momentum of the outgoing D^\pm mesons. The decay of ψ to $D^0\bar{D}^0$ proceeds through a $u\bar{u}$ pair creation and the decay width (49) is modified to

$$\Gamma(\psi \rightarrow D^0\bar{D}^0) = \gamma_\psi^2 \frac{8\pi^2}{3} \cdot |\mathbf{p}|^3 \frac{p_{D^0}^0 p_{\bar{D}^0}^0}{m_\psi} A^\psi(|\mathbf{p}|)^2 \tag{50}$$

In the above, $p_{D^0}^0 = (m_{D^0}^2 + \mathbf{p}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $p_{\bar{D}^0}^0 = (m_{\bar{D}^0}^2 + \mathbf{p}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and, $|\mathbf{p}|$ is the magnitude of the momentum of the outgoing $D^0(\bar{D}^0)$ mesons. In the expressions for the decay widths of the charmonium state, ψ decaying to $D^+D^- (D^0\bar{D}^0)$, we have introduced the parameter, γ_ψ , which is the production strength of $D\bar{D}$ from decay of charmonium ψ through light quark pair creation. To study the decay of quarkonia using a light quark pair creation model, namely, 3P_0 model, such a pair creation strength parameter, γ has been introduced in Ref. [27, 31], which was fitted to the observed decay width of the meson. The parameter, γ_ψ in the present work, is chosen so that one reproduces the vacuum decay widths for the decay channels $\psi'' \rightarrow D^+D^-$ and $\psi'' \rightarrow D^0\bar{D}^0$ [23, 27]. We note that $m_{J/\psi} = 3097$ MeV, $m_{\psi'} = 3686$ MeV, so that the decay of J/ψ or ψ' to $D\bar{D}$ pair is not admissible in vacuum. However these decays may become kinematically admissible with in-medium effects. As we see, the decay widths of the charmonium states are given as a polynomial part multiplied by a gaussian part. Hence the medium dependence of the decay width is due to the combined effect of the polynomial and the exponential part of the decay width.

B. Decay width of $D^* \rightarrow D\pi$ in the composite model of the hadrons

The masses of D^* and pions are given as $m_{D^{*+}} = 2010$ MeV, $m_{\pi^+} = 139$ MeV, $m_{\pi^0} = 134$ MeV, so that the decays $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^+\pi^0 (D^0\pi^+)$ are possible in vacuum. We note that for the decay of the D^* meson at rest to $D\pi$, the center of mass momentum is given by

$$|\mathbf{p}| = \left(\frac{1}{4}m_{D^*}^2 - \frac{m_D^2 + m_\pi^2}{2} + \frac{(m_D^2 - m_\pi^2)^2}{4m_{D^*}^2} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (51)$$

The appropriate D^{*+} and pion states are given as, with $q = (u, d)$ doublet,

$$\begin{aligned} |D_m^{*+}(\mathbf{0})\rangle &= \int d\mathbf{k}_1 c_I^i(\mathbf{k}_1) a_m(D^*, \mathbf{k}_1) \tilde{d}_I^i(-\mathbf{k}_1) |vac\rangle, \\ |\pi^0(\mathbf{p}')\rangle &= \int d\mathbf{k}_3 q_I^i(\mathbf{k}_3 + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{p}') u_\pi(\mathbf{k}_3) \tau_3 \tilde{q}_I^i(-\mathbf{k}_3 + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{p}') |vac\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_m(D^*, \mathbf{k}_1) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \left(\frac{R_{D^*}^2}{\pi} \right)^{3/4} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_m \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} R_{D^*}^2 \mathbf{k}_1^2 \right]; \\ u_\pi(\mathbf{k}_3) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \left(\frac{R_\pi^2}{\pi} \right)^{3/4} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} R_\pi^2 \mathbf{k}_3^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

We then obtain the decay width for the above through the pair creation term as earlier, given by

$$\langle D^+(\mathbf{p}) | \langle \pi^0(\mathbf{p}') | \int \mathcal{H}_{d\bar{d}}(\mathbf{x}, t=0) d\mathbf{x} | D_m^{*+}(\vec{0}) \rangle = \delta(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}') \int d\mathbf{k}_1 A_m^{D^{*+}}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1), \quad (54)$$

where with the substitution $\mathbf{k}_3 = \mathbf{k}_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{p}'$ and $\mathbf{k}_2 = \mathbf{k}_1 - \lambda_2\mathbf{p}$ and momentum conservations as earlier, we have,

$$A_m^{D^{*+}}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1) = 3 \cdot Tr \left[a_m(D^{*+}, \mathbf{k}_1) u_{\pi^0}(\mathbf{k}_3) U(\mathbf{k}_3)^\dagger S(L(p'))^\dagger (\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{p}) + \beta m_d) S(L(p)) V(-\mathbf{k}_2) u_{D^+}(\mathbf{k}_2) \right]. \quad (55)$$

As earlier, we take $S(L(p))$ and $S(L(p'))$ as identity and, evaluate the integral of $A_m^{D^{*+}}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1)$ given by the above equation in the similar way as was done for the decay of charmonium states to $D\bar{D}$ pair. Defining the parameters

$$a_{D^*} = \frac{1}{2} (R_{D^*}^2 + R_D^2 + R_\pi^2); \quad b_{D^*} = \frac{1}{2} (R_D^2 \lambda_2 + \frac{1}{2} R_\pi^2) / a_{D^*}$$

$$c_{D^*} = \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \left(\frac{R_{D^*}^2 R_D^2 R_\pi^2}{\pi^3} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}}, \quad (56)$$

and, substituting $\mathbf{k}_1 = \mathbf{q} + b_{D^*}\mathbf{p}$, we obtain

$$\int A_m^{D^*}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1) d\mathbf{k}_1 = 6c_{D^*} \exp \left[a_{D^*} b_{D^*}^2 \mathbf{p}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\lambda_2^2 R_D^2 + \frac{1}{4} R_\pi^2 \right) \mathbf{p}^2 \right]$$

$$\times \int \exp(-a_{D^*} \mathbf{q}^2) T_m^{D^*} d\mathbf{q}. \quad (57)$$

Using rotational invariance, the trace $T_m^{D^*}$ is obtained as

$$T_m^{D^*}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) \equiv \left[F_0^{D^*}(|\mathbf{p}|) + F_1^{D^*}(|\mathbf{p}|) \mathbf{q}^2 \right] \mathbf{p}_m, \quad (58)$$

where,

$$F_0^{D^*} = (b_{D^*} - 1) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} g^2 \mathbf{p}^2 (\lambda_2 - \frac{1}{2})^2 \right)$$

$$- (b_{D^*} - \lambda_2) \left(\frac{1}{2} + g^2 \mathbf{p}^2 \left(\frac{3}{4} b_{D^*}^2 - \frac{5}{4} b_{D^*} + \frac{7}{16} \right) \right)$$

$$- (b_{D^*} - \frac{1}{2}) \left[\frac{1}{2} + g^2 \mathbf{p}^2 \left(\frac{3}{4} b_{D^*}^2 - (1 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2) b_{D^*} + \lambda_2 - \frac{1}{4} \lambda_2^2 \right) \right]$$

$$F_1^{D^*} = -g^2 \left[\frac{5}{2} b_{D^*} - \frac{9}{8} - \frac{11}{12} \lambda_2 \right] \quad (59)$$

On \mathbf{k}_1 integration, which results from \mathbf{q} integration, we then obtain that

$$\int A_m^{D^*}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1) d\mathbf{k}_1 = A^{D^*}(|\mathbf{p}|) \mathbf{p}_m \quad (60)$$

where,

$$A^{D^*}(|\mathbf{p}|) = 6c_{D^*} \exp \left[a_{D^*} b_{D^*}^2 \mathbf{p}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\lambda_2^2 R_D^2 + \frac{1}{4} R_\pi^2 \right) \mathbf{p}^2 \right] \cdot \left(\frac{\pi}{a_{D^*}} \right)^{3/2} \left[F_0^{D^*} + F_1^{D^*} \left(\frac{3}{2a_{D^*}} \right) \right]. \quad (61)$$

Now, with $M_{fi} = 2\pi i A^{D^*} \mathbf{p}_m$, taking the average over the initial spin components, we then obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(D^{*+} \rightarrow D^+ \pi^0) &= \gamma_{D^*}^2 \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \delta(m_{D^{*+}} - p_D^0 - p_\pi^0) |M_{fi}|_{av}^2 d\mathbf{p} \\ &= \gamma_{D^*}^2 \frac{8\pi^2 p_D^0 p_\pi^0}{3m_{D^*}} |A^{D^*}(|\mathbf{p}|)|^2 |\mathbf{p}|^3. \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

In the above, γ_{D^*} is the production strength of $D\pi$ from decay of D^* meson, which is fitted from its vacuum decay width. The medium modification of the decay width of $D^* \rightarrow D\pi$ is studied as arising from the mass modifications of the D and D^* mesons. From the quark meson coupling model [33], the mass modifications of the D and D^* mesons have been shown to be nearly the same, and, in the present investigation, we shall assume $m_{D^*}^*/m_{D^*}^{vac} = m_D^*/m_D^{vac}$ to obtain the in-medium changes of the D^* meson mass in the hadronic medium.

III. CHARMONIUM STATES AND $D(\bar{D})$ MESONS IN THE MEDIUM

We study the medium dependence of the partial decay widths of the charmonium decaying to $D\bar{D}$ due to medium modifications of the masses of the D meson, the \bar{D} meson and the charmonium state calculated in a chiral effective model [34]. The model is based on the nonlinear realization of chiral symmetry [35–38] and broken scale invariance [34, 39, 40]. The properties of the hadrons in the strange hadronic matter within the chiral effective model are investigated using the mean field approximation, where all the meson fields are treated as classical fields. By solving the equations of motion of these fields, the values of the meson fields are obtained. These are calculated for given values of the isospin asymmetry parameter, $\eta = -\frac{\sum_i I_{3i} \rho_i}{\rho_B}$, and the strangeness fraction, $f_s = \frac{\sum_i s_i \rho_i}{\rho_B}$, where ρ_i is the number

density of the baryon of i -th type ($i = p, n, \Lambda, \Sigma^+, \Sigma^0, \Sigma^-, \Xi^-, \Xi^0$) and s_i is the number of strange quarks in the i -th baryon. Within the chiral effective model, the in-medium masses of the D and \bar{D} mesons [41] have been studied in isospin asymmetric nuclear matter at zero [42] and finite temperatures [43] as well as in hot asymmetric hyperonic matter [23]. These arise from the interaction of these mesons with nucleons, hyperons and the scalar mesons.

Within the chiral effective model [23, 43], the mass shifts of the charmonium states arise due to interaction with the gluon condensates in QCD, simulated by a scalar dilaton field through a scale symmetry breaking term [34, 43, 44]. Equating the trace of the energy momentum tensor in QCD to the trace of the energy momentum tensor in the chiral effective model corresponding to the scale symmetry breaking gives the relation between the gluon condensate to the dilaton field [22, 23, 43, 45]. In Ref. [22], the medium modification of the scalar as well as twist 2 gluon condensates have been calculated within a chiral effective model from the medium modification of a scalar dilaton field, which were used to calculate the mass shifts of the charmonium states, J/ψ and η_c using the framework of the QCD sum rules [21, 22]. The QCD sum rule approach [22, 46] and leading order perturbative calculations [47] have been used to study the medium modifications of the masses of the charmonium states, from the in-medium values of the gluon condensates calculated within the chiral effective model [23], as well as from the linear density approximation [48]. The mass shifts of the charmonium states obtained, using the chiral effective model [23], at low densities are observed to be similar to the calculations of linear density approximation [48]. In the following section, we shall investigate the medium effects of the charmonium decay widths arising from the in-medium masses of the D , \bar{D} and charmonium states as calculated in Ref. [23].

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this section, we discuss the results of the modifications of the decay widths of the charmonium states, J/ψ , $\psi(3686)$ and $\psi(3770)$ to $D\bar{D}$ pair in isospin asymmetric strange hadronic matter, using the field theoretic model for the composite hadrons described in section II. The medium modifications of the masses of D , \bar{D} mesons and of the charmonium states calculated in a chiral effective model as in section III have been discussed in detail in




FIG. 1: (Color online) The masses of D (D^+, D^0) and \bar{D} (D^-, \bar{D}^0) mesons are plotted as functions of baryon density in units of nuclear matter saturation density. These are plotted for the values of the isospin asymmetric parameter $\eta = 0$ and $\eta=0.5$ for the hyperonic matter with strangeness fraction, $f_s=0.5$, and are compared with the masses for the case of nuclear matter ($f_s=0$) shown as dotted lines.

Ref. [23]. These masses determine the in-medium decay widths. We therefore plot these in figures 1 and 2, and use them to calculate the decay widths as given in subsequent figures 3 and 4.

In the field theoretic model here the decay widths are through a pair creation Hamiltonian that arises naturally in the Dirac Hamiltonian of the constituent field operators as in equation (25) from Refs. [24, 25] with Lorentz boosting parallel to a translation as in Ref. [26]. The constituent masses of the light quarks (u,d), as has already been mentioned earlier, are taken as $M_u = M_d=330$ MeV. The constituent quark mass of the heavy charm quark is




FIG. 2: (Color online) The shifts in the masses of the charmonium states (J/ψ , $\psi(3686)$ and $\psi(3770)$) are plotted as functions of the baryon density in units of nuclear matter saturation density. These are plotted for the values of the isospin asymmetric parameter $\eta = 0$ and $\eta=0.5$ and are compared with the masses for the case of strangeness fraction, $f_s=0$.

taken as $M_c=1600$ MeV. The parameter λ_2 , which is the energy fraction of the heavy quark (antiquark) in the $D(\bar{D})$ mesons, is calculated as in equations (23) and (24), and is estimated as 0.85. In the present work, the values of the $R_{J/\psi}$, R'_{ψ} and $R_{\psi''}$ of the strengths of the harmonic oscillator wave functions for the charmonium states are fitted so that the root mean squared radii, r_{rms}^2 of the charmonium states, J/ψ , $\psi' \equiv \psi(3686)$ and $\psi'' \equiv \psi(3770)$ are given as $(0.47 \text{ fm})^2$, $(0.96 \text{ fm})^2$ and $(1 \text{ fm})^2$ respectively [23, 48]. These values are obtained as $R_{J/\psi}=(520 \text{ MeV})^{-1}$, $R_{\psi'}=(390 \text{ MeV})^{-1}$, and $R_{\psi''}=(370 \text{ MeV})^{-1}$. The values of R_D and γ are taken as $(310 \text{ MeV})^{-1}$ and 0.35 respectively, so that the decay widths of the $\psi'' \rightarrow D\bar{D}$ and partial decay widths of $\psi(4040)$ to $D\bar{D}$, $D\bar{D}^*$, $D^*\bar{D}$ and $D^*\bar{D}^*$ in vacuum are reproduced in the 3P_0 model [23, 48]. The above values of the parameters $R_{J/\psi}$, $R_{\psi'}$, $R_{\psi''}$, R_D and γ are used for the study of the in-medium decay widths of the charmonium states




FIG. 3: (Color online) The partial decay widths of the charmonium states, calculated using the present model for composite hadrons, to (i) D^+D^- , (ii) $D^0\bar{D}^0$ and (iii) the sum of the two channels ((i)+(ii)) in the isospin symmetric strange hadronic matter ($\eta=0, f_s=0.5$), accounting for the medium modifications of the $D(\bar{D})$ mesons. These are shown in subplots (a), (c) and (e), when the mass modifications of the charmonium states are neglected and (b), (d) and (f), the partial decay widths are shown when the mass modifications of the charmonium states are also taken into account. These results are compared to the case of nuclear matter ($f_s=0$), shown as dotted lines.

to $D\bar{D}$ using the 3P_0 model [23]. With the above values of the harmonic oscillator strength parameters for the charmonium states and $D(\bar{D})$ mesons, the constituent quark masses for the light (u,d) quark and c-quark, and the value of the coupling strength, γ_ψ as 1.35, in the present field theoretic model for composite hadrons, one reproduces the vacuum decay




FIG. 4: (Color online) The partial decay widths of the charmonium states, calculated using the present model for composite hadrons, to (i) D^+D^- , (ii) $D^0\bar{D}^0$ and (iii) the sum of the two channels ((i)+(ii)) in the isospin asymmetric strange hadronic matter ($\eta=0.5$, $f_s=0.5$), as functions of the baryon density, in units of the nuclear matter saturation density, accounting for the medium modifications of the $D(\bar{D})$ mesons. These are shown in subplots (a), (c) and (e), when the mass modifications of the charmonium states are neglected and (b), (d) and (f), the partial decay widths are shown when the mass modifications of the charmonium states are also taken into account. These results are compared to the case of nuclear matter ($f_s=0$), shown as dotted lines.




FIG. 5: (Color online) The partial decay widths of the charmonium states, calculated using the 3P_0 model, to (i) D^+D^- , (ii) $D^0\bar{D}^0$ and (iii) the sum of the two channels ((i)+(ii)) in the isospin symmetric strange hadronic matter ($\eta=0, f_s=0.5$), accounting for the medium modifications of the $D(\bar{D})$ mesons. These are shown in subplots (a), (c) and (e), when the mass modifications of the charmonium states are neglected and (b), (d) and (f), the partial decay widths are shown when the mass modifications of the charmonium states are also taken into account. These results are compared to the case of $f_s=0$, shown as dotted lines.

widths for the decay channels $\psi'' \rightarrow D^+D^-$ and $\psi'' \rightarrow D^0\bar{D}^0$ [23], as 12 MeV and 16 MeV respectively [49].

In the present model, the in-medium decay widths for the charmonium state ψ decaying to $D\bar{D}$ pair are given by equations (49) and (50), their medium dependence being through




FIG. 6: (Color online) The partial decay widths of the charmonium states, calculated using the 3P_0 model, to (i) D^+D^- , (ii) $D^0\bar{D}^0$ and (iii) the sum of the two channels ((i)+(ii)) in the isospin asymmetric strange hadronic matter ($\eta=0.5$, $f_s=0.5$), as functions of the baryon density, in units of the nuclear matter saturation density, accounting for the medium modifications of the $D(\bar{D})$ mesons. These are shown in subplots (a), (c) and (e), when the mass modifications of the charmonium states are neglected and (b), (d) and (f), the partial decay widths are shown when the mass modifications of the charmonium states are also taken into account. These results are compared to the case of $f_s=0$, shown as dotted lines.




FIG. 7: (Color online) The decay width $D^{*+} \rightarrow D\pi$, arising from the decay processes, $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^+\pi^0$, $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0\pi^+$, plotted as a function of the baryon density in units of nuclear matter saturation density for the isospin symmetric as well as isospin asymmetric hyperonic matter in subplots (a) and (b) respectively. The results are compared with the case of nuclear matter.

the magnitude of \mathbf{p} , the 3-momentum of $D(\bar{D})$ mesons, given in terms of the masses of the charmonium, the D and the \bar{D} mesons, as in equation (12). The expressions for these decay widths are given as a polynomial part multiplied by a gaussian contribution. The density dependence of the decay widths of the charmonium states (J/ψ , $\psi' \equiv \psi(3686)$ and $\psi'' \equiv \psi(3770)$) to $D\bar{D}$ for isospin symmetric ($\eta=0$) hyperon matter with $f_s=0.5$ are shown

in figure 3 and compared to the case of symmetric nuclear matter ($\eta=0, f_s=0$). In isospin symmetric matter, the masses of the D^0 and D^+ of the D meson doublet, as well as the masses of the \bar{D}^0 and D^- of the \bar{D} doublet, remain almost degenerate with the small mass difference between them through solution of their dispersion relations arising due to the small mass difference in their vacuum masses. Hence, the partial decay widths of the charmonium state decaying to D^+D^- and $D^0\bar{D}^0$ are almost identical in isospin symmetric matter, as in figure 3. For hyperonic matter, the decay channel of J/ψ to $D\bar{D}$ (D^+D^- or $D^0\bar{D}^0$) becomes possible at densities higher than $4.2\rho_0$ when the in-medium masses of D and \bar{D} mesons are taken into account, but the mass of J/ψ is taken to be its vacuum value. This value of the threshold density is modified to $4.5\rho_0$, when the mass shift of J/ψ is also included. This is due to the fact that J/ψ has only a small modification in the medium, as can be seen from the figure 2. For J/ψ , there is seen to be an increase of the polynomial part of the decay width with density, which dominates over the gaussian part, thus leading to a monotonic rise in the decay of J/ψ , as seen in the subplots (a) and (b) of figure 3. However, these decay widths are observed to be very small in magnitude, being of the order of around 0.3 MeV at a density as large as $5\rho_0$.

The decay widths of $\psi(3686)$ and $\psi(3770)$ to $D\bar{D}$ are illustrated in subplots (c) and (e) of figure 3 for isospin symmetric hadronic matter ($\eta=0$), with the medium modifications of the masses of the D and \bar{D} mesons, but not of the charmonium state. Due to drop in the mass of $D\bar{D}$ pair in the medium, the decay of $\psi(3686)$ to $D\bar{D}$ becomes kinematically admissible above a density of around $0.6\rho_0$, as seen in subplot (c). The decay of $\psi(3770)$ to $D\bar{D}$ is already possible in vacuum, which however is modified in the medium due to mass drop of the $D\bar{D}$ pair. As has already been mentioned, the medium modifications of the decay widths are through the magnitude of \mathbf{p} , the 3-momentum of the $D(\bar{D})$ meson. For both of the excited charmonium states, $|\mathbf{p}|$ is seen to increase with density, when only the mass modifications of the D and \bar{D} mesons are considered. This leads to an increase in the polynomial part of the decay width upto a density of about $2.3\rho_0$ ($1.6\rho_0$) for $\psi(3686)$ ($\psi(3770)$), when the decay widths of $\psi(3686)$ and $\psi(3770)$ attain values of around 34 MeV and 169 MeV respectively. As the density is further increased, the gaussian parts dominate due to increase in $|\mathbf{p}|$ with density, thus leading to a drop in their decay widths. The fall off with density is observed to be slower for the nuclear matter ($f_s=0$) as compared to for hyperonic matter. This is due to

the fact that $|\mathbf{p}|$ has a higher value in hyperonic matter as compared to in nuclear matter, since the masses of the D and \bar{D} mesons have smaller in-medium masses with strangeness in the medium. When the in-medium masses of the charmonium states are also included, the partial decay widths of the excited charmonium states, $\psi(3686)$ and $\psi(3770)$ (plotted in subplots (d) and (f)), are seen to be modified significantly. For hyperonic matter, there is seen to be initially a sharp rise in the decay width with density (reaching a value of around 25 MeV at a density of $4\rho_0$ for $\psi(3686)$, and around 100 MeV at a density of ρ_0 for $\psi(3770)$). The increase, however, is observed to be a slower as the density is further increased. This is due to the fact that $|\mathbf{p}|$ becomes smaller with the in-medium charmonium mass, which leads to much lesser suppression arising from the gaussian part of the decay width at high densities, as compared to the case when the charmonium mass modification is not taken into account.

We then consider the effects of the isospin asymmetry on the charmonium decay widths. The masses of the D^+ and D^0 , as well as for D^- and \bar{D}^0 are no longer degenerate in the asymmetric hadronic medium, thus leading to the charmonium decays to D^+D^- and $D^0\bar{D}^0$ to be different. In figure 4, the decay widths of the charmonium states are shown for asymmetric hadronic matter with $\eta=0.5$. These are plotted for the hyperonic matter with strangeness fraction $f_s=0.5$ and compared to the values for nuclear matter ($f_s=0$). When the medium modifications of the D and \bar{D} mesons are considered, but not of J/ψ , then the decay channels to (i) D^+D^- and (ii) $D^0\bar{D}^0$ are observed to be admissible at densities higher than around $3.6\rho_0$ and $4\rho_0$ respectively, as can be seen from subplot (a) of figure 4. The density dependence of the decay widths still remains similar to the case for the isospin symmetric hadronic matter plotted in figure 3. However, the values of the decay widths turn out to be larger in magnitude as compared to case of the symmetric hadronic matter, with values of the order of 0.5 MeV at a density of about $5\rho_0$. There is seen to be very small change in the decay widths when the in-medium mass for J/ψ is included, with the threshold values for the decay to D^+D^- and $D^0\bar{D}^0$ being modified to $3.7\rho_0$ and $4.1\rho_0$ respectively, as can be seen in subplot (b) of figure 4.

The decay widths of the excited charmonium states $\psi(3686)$ and $\psi(3770)$ are plotted as functions of density in (c) and (e) of figure 4, including the medium modifications of the masses of the D and \bar{D} mesons, but not of the charmonium states. The density dependence

of these decay widths are seen to be similar to the isospin symmetric matter shown in figure 3. In nuclear matter, the isospin asymmetry dependence is observed to be quite appreciable leading to very different values for the charmonium decay widths to D^+D^- and $D^0\bar{D}^0$. This is due to the reason that in the presence of hyperons, the mass modifications of the D and \bar{D} masses are lessened, as can be seen from figure 1. This leads to a much lesser effect from the isospin asymmetry in the hyperonic medium as compared to in nuclear matter, as can be seen from the figure. With in-medium charmonium masses, the value of $|\mathbf{p}|$ becomes smaller, leading to slower drop of the decay width of the charmonium states at higher densities, as can be seen from the subplots (d) and (f) of figure 4. In asymmetric nuclear matter ($\eta=0.5$), the charmonium state $\psi(3686)$ is observed to decay to D^+D^- at densities higher than about ρ_0 , whereas the decay to $D^0\bar{D}^0$ becomes possible at densities higher than about $3.6\rho_0$. The decay of $\psi(3770)$ to D^+D^- is seen to have an initial rise upto a density of about $2\rho_0$ reaching a value of about 38.5 MeV at $2\rho_0$, followed by a slow increase as the density is further increased. On the other hand, the decay to $D^0\bar{D}^0$ does not become admissible above a density of about $2.5\rho_0$. In hyperonic matter, the density behaviour of the decays of $\psi(3686)$ and $\psi(3770)$ remain similar to the case of symmetric hadronic matter plotted in figure 3 and with inclusion of in-medium charmonium masses, the isospin dependence still remains small for the hyperonic matter.

In the above, we have studied the in-medium decay width of the charmonium to $D\bar{D}$ pair, using the strength parameters of the wave functions of the charmonium states to be fitted to their root mean squared radii as $(0.47\text{ fm})^2$, $(0.96\text{ fm})^2$ and 1 fm^2 for J/ψ , ψ' and ψ'' respectively. Let us investigate the sensitivity of the decay width with the size parameter of the charmonium state. In symmetric nuclear matter ($\eta=0$, $f_s = 0$), it is observed that, when the value of $R_{\psi'}$ is increased (decreased) by 10%, the decay width for $\psi' \rightarrow D\bar{D}$ (in MeV) is modified from 1.31×10^{-2} to 1.46×10^{-2} (9.65×10^{-3}) at density of ρ_0 , and from 2.34 to 2.65 (1.73) at $2\rho_0$ and from 10 to 11.25 (7.63) at a density of $4\rho_0$. Hence one observes a modification of the decay width of $\psi' \rightarrow D\bar{D}$ to be about 11-13% larger, when the parameter $R_{\psi'}$ is increased by 10% and about 23-25% drop when $R_{\psi'}$ is decreased by 10%. The modification of the decay width of ψ' remains similar in the presence of isospin asymmetry as well as the strangeness in the medium. The modification of the decay width of $\psi'' \rightarrow D\bar{D}$ is also observed to be similar when $R_{\psi''}$ changes by about 10%.

The partial decay widths of the charmonium states as calculated in the present composite hadronic model are now compared with the earlier results using the 3P_0 model [23, 27, 31]. For this purpose we show the decay widths obtained from the 3P_0 model [23] in figures 5 and 6 for the isospin symmetric ($\eta=0$) and isospin asymmetric ($\eta=0.5$) strange hadronic matter for $f_s=0.5$. As earlier, the medium modifications of the decay widths are through $|\mathbf{p}|$, which is given in terms of the masses of the charmonium state, D and \bar{D} mesons by equation (12). The expressions for the decay widths of the charmonium states in the 3P_0 model are also of the form of a polynomial part multiplied by a gaussian part [23, 27, 31]. The density dependence of the charmonium decay width is thus due to the combined effect of these contributions. For the excited charmonium states, $\psi(3686)$ and $\psi(3770)$, when the medium modifications of the D and \bar{D} mesons are considered, but not of the charmonium state, then the charmonium decay widths are initially seen to increase with density and then drop with further increase in the density. This behaviour on density leads to even vanishing of the decay widths at certain densities [23]. There is seen to be an increase again at still higher densities. For both symmetric as well as asymmetric matter, the decay widths of $\psi(3686)$ as well as $\psi(3770)$ in the 3P_0 model, are seen to vanish (see (c) and (e) of figures 5 and 6). Such nodes in the decay widths arising due to mass drop of the D and \bar{D} mesons in the medium, have been discussed earlier in the literature [27] for excited charmonium states decaying to $D\bar{D}$ pairs. A similar trend of the decay width with density is still observed when the mass modifications of the charmonium states are considered. However, no nodes are any longer observed even upto a density of about $6\rho_0$. The decay width of J/ψ becomes kinematically accessible at densities higher than about $4\rho_0$ ($4.5\rho_0$) with the in-medium masses of the D and \bar{D} mesons, and without (with) the mass modification of J/ψ . In isospin asymmetric hadronic matter, the decay widths for the charmonium state to D^+D^- is observed to be different from the decay to $D^0\bar{D}^0$ pair, due to difference in the masses of D^+ and D^0 of the D meson doublet and of D^- and \bar{D}^0 of the \bar{D} doublet in the medium. Similar to the charmonium decay widths of the present model for asymmetric matter shown in figure 4, the 3P_0 also has a much stronger dependence on the isospin asymmetry in nuclear matter as compared to in hyperonic matter. This is due to the fact that the isospin asymmetry effect decreases with strangeness in the hadronic medium.

The qualitative behaviour of the partial decay widths of the charmonium states to $D\bar{D}$

with density, calculated within the present composite hadron model, remains similar to their density dependence as calculated using the 3P_0 model. The values obtained for the decay width of $\psi(3770)$ calculated in the present investigation are very similar to those obtained by using the 3P_0 model. However, the values of the decay widths of the charmonium states, $\psi(3686)$ and J/ψ , are observed to be much smaller in magnitude as compared to those obtained in the 3P_0 model. Within the 3P_0 model, there are seen to be nodes in the decay widths of the charmonium states, $\psi(3686)$ as well as $\psi(3770)$ when the in-medium masses of the $D(\bar{D})$ mesons are considered, but the medium modification of the charmonium mass is neglected [27, 43]. However, in the present work, the decay widths do show a behaviour of an initial increase with density and then a drop, but no nodes are observed even upto a density of around $6\rho_0$.

The decay width of $D^* \rightarrow D\pi$ in the hadronic medium has also been calculated in the present composite model for the hadrons. To calculate this decay width, the value of the harmonic oscillator strength for D^* meson, R_{D^*} has been taken to be the same as that of the D meson, R_D and the value of R_π of the pion wave function is fitted to the square of the charge radius of pion as 0.4fm^2 , which yields $R_\pi=(211 \text{ MeV})^{-1}$ [25]. The production strength, γ_{D^*} for the creation of $D\pi$ from D^* is fitted from the decay widths, $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^+\pi^0$ and $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0\pi^+$ of 29.5 keV and 65 KeV respectively, and its value is estimated to be 4.3. It may be noted here that the parameter γ_{D^*} corresponding to the decay $D^* \rightarrow D\pi$ is higher than the value of the parameter γ_ψ corresponding to the decay $\psi \rightarrow D\bar{D}$. It was observed within the 3P_0 model [31] that with the value of the harmonic oscillator strength $\beta \simeq 0.4$ GeV, the parameter γ turns out to be of the order of 0.5 for the decay of the 1S and 1P light mesons, and of the order of 0.4 for higher L excited light mesons. In the presence of heavy quarks, e.g., c-quark, e.g. for the decay of charmonium state to $D\bar{D}$ pair, the value of γ is observed to decrease so as to fit to the observed decay width of $\psi(3770) \rightarrow D\bar{D}$ [27, 48]. In Ref. [27], where the strengths of the harmonic oscillator wave function of the D -meson and the charmonium state are chosen as $R_D=(310 \text{ MeV})^{-1}$ and $R_\psi = R_D/1.04$, for $\psi \equiv J/\psi, \psi', \psi''$, the value of γ turns out to be 0.281. In Ref. [48], with $R_{\psi''}$ as fitted from its root mean squared radius, $r_{rms}^2=1 \text{ fm}^2$ and $R_D=(310 \text{ MeV})^{-1}$, the value of γ turns out to be 0.35. The general observation consistent with the observed vacuum decay widths, within the 3P_0 model, is that the pair production coupling constant γ decreases for the light meson

sector, when the decaying meson is with higher L state, as well as for the case when the decaying meson contains heavy quark (antiquark) as compared to light quark (antiquark). In the present field theoretic model of composite hadrons with quark constituents, the decay of D^* (heavy-light meson) is observed to have a larger value for the pair production coupling ($\gamma_{D^*}=4.3$), as compared to for the decay of charmonium state ($\gamma_\psi=1.35$), which is a heavy-heavy meson. As has already been mentioned, we have adopted in the present work, the harmonic oscillator strength parameters, $R_{J/\psi}$, $R_{\psi'}$, $R_{\psi''}$, to be fitted to their rms radii to be $(0.47 \text{ fm})^2$, $(0.96 \text{ fm})^2$ and 1 fm^2 respectively, which yield their values as $(520 \text{ MeV})^{-1}$, $(390 \text{ MeV})^{-1}$ and $(370 \text{ MeV})^{-1}$, and taken the harmonic oscillator strength parameter for D meson as $R_D=(310 \text{ MeV})^{-1}$ [48].

The in-medium decay width has been plotted in figure 7 for the hyperonic matter (with $f_s=0.5$) for the isospin symmetric and asymmetric matter (with $\eta=0.5$). The results have been compared with the situation of nuclear matter. For isospin asymmetric matter, the partial decay widths for $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^+\pi^0$ as well as $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0\pi^+$ are observed to decrease with increase in density and vanish above the nuclear matter saturation density. The effects from the hyperons is seen to be marginal for the isospin asymmetric hadronic matter. However, the effects from strangeness fraction is seen to be appreciable for the case of isospin asymmetric matter, as can be seen from the figure 7.

V. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

We now very briefly summarise the results. We have here investigated the in-medium partial decay widths of the charmonium states to $D\bar{D}$ pair, as well as of the decay $D^* \rightarrow D\pi$ by using a composite model for the hadrons with quark and/or antiquark constituents. The decay width is calculated by using quark pair creation term of the free Dirac Hamiltonian for the constituent quarks within the composite hadron model. The matrix element is multiplied with a strength parameter for the quark pair creation, which is fitted from the observed vacuum decay widths for the decays of $\psi'' \rightarrow D\bar{D}$ and $D^* \rightarrow D\pi$. The medium modifications of these partial decay widths are studied from the mass modifications of the charmonium and $D(\bar{D})$ mesons within a chiral effective model [23]. When the mass modifications of the D and \bar{D} mesons are considered, but the charmonium mass taken as its vacuum value, one

finds for the excited charmonium states $\psi(3686)$ and $\psi(3770)$ that the decay width increases initially with density, followed by a drop when the density is further increased. There is seen to be an appreciable modification of these decay widths when the changes of the charmonium masses in the medium, are also taken into account. In the present work as well as in the 3P_0 model, the charmonium decay widths no longer seen to exhibit any nodes (vanishing of these decay widths at certain densities), when the medium modifications of the charmonium states are also taken into account, in addition to taking the $D(\bar{D})$ meson mass modifications into consideration. The isospin asymmetry effects are observed to be more dominant for the D^+ and D^0 , as compared to D^- and \bar{D}^0 . This leads to the partial decay widths of charmonium states to D^+D^- and $D^0\bar{D}^0$ to be different in asymmetric matter. The isospin asymmetry effects are however observed to be quite dominant in nuclear matter and these effects are observed to be much less in the presence of hyperons in the medium. The density effects on the decay widths of the charmonium states as well as D^* mesons seem to be the dominant medium effects as compared to the effects of the isospin asymmetry and strangeness in the hadronic matter. These in-medium decay widths arise from the mass modifications of the charmonium, D, \bar{D} as well as D^* mesons. The medium modifications of the masses of the charmonium states, which are appreciable for the excited charmonium states, ψ' and ψ'' , as well as of the D, \bar{D} and D^* mesons in the hadronic medium might be relevant in in the compressed baryonic matter (CBM) experiments at the future facility of FAIR, GSI. The interactions of the charmonium states and $D(D^*)$ with the nuclear medium could lead to the formation of exotic bound states of the nuclei with the (excited) charmonium states as well as with $D(D^*)$ mesons. We note that parallel calculations could be useful for bottomonium in the context of future experiments.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Hiranmaya Mishra for discussions. One of the authors (AM) is grateful to the Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Research (FIAS), University of Frankfurt, for warm hospitality and acknowledges financial support from Alexander von Humboldt Stiftung when this work was carried out. Financial support from Department of Science and Technology, Government of India (project no. SR/S2/HEP-031/2010) is also

gratefully acknowledged.

-
- [1] D. B. Kaplan and A. E. Nelson, Phys. Lett. B **175**, 57 (1986).
 - [2] A. E. Nelson and D. B. Kaplan, *ibid*, 192, 193 (1987).
 - [3] J. P. Blaizot and J. Y. Ollitrault, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 1703 (1996).
 - [4] T. Matsui and H. Satz, Phys. Lett. B **178**, 416 (1986).
 - [5] Arata Hayashigaki , Phys. Lett. B **487**, 96 (2000).
 - [6] T. Hilger, R. Thomas, B. Kämpfer, Phys. Rev. C **79**, 025202 (2009).
 - [7] K. Tsushima, D. H. Lu, A. W. Thomas, K. Saito, and R. H. Landau, Phys. Rev. C **59**, 2824 (1999).
 - [8] A. Sibirtsev, K. Tsushima, and A. W. Thomas, Eur. Phys. J. A **6**, 351 (1999).
 - [9] L.Tolos, J. Schaffner-Bielich and A. Mishra, Phys. Rev. C **70**, 025203 (2004).
 - [10] L. Tolos, J. Schaffner-Bielich and H. Stöcker, Phys. Lett. B **635**, 85 (2006).
 - [11] T. Mizutani and A. Ramos, Phys. Rev. C **74**, 065201 (2006).
 - [12] L.Tolos, A. Ramos and T. Mizutani, Phys. Rev. C **77**, 015207 (2008).
 - [13] J. Hofmann and M.F.M.Lutz, Nucl. Phys. A **763**, 90 (2005).
 - [14] S. Yasui and K. Sudoh, Phys. Rev. C **87**, 015202 (2013).
 - [15] C. E. Fontoura, G. Krein and V. E. Vizcarra, Phys. Rev. C **87**, 025206 (2013).
 - [16] H. Mishra, S. P. Misra, Phys. Rev. D **48**, 5376 (1993).
 - [17] A. Mishra, S. P. Misra, Z. Phys. C **58** 325 (1993).
 - [18] A. Mishra, H. Mishra and S. P. Misra, Z. Phys. C **57**, 241 (1993).
 - [19] A.Mishra, H. Mishra, Varun Sheel, S. P. Misra, P. K. Panda, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E **5**, 93 (1996).
 - [20] A. Mishra and H. Mishra, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 014014 (2004).
 - [21] Sugsik Kim, Su Hounng Lee, Nucl. Phys. A **679**, 517 (2001).
 - [22] Arvind Kumar and Amruta Mishra, Phys. Rev. C **82**, 045207 (2010).
 - [23] Arvind Kumar and Amruta Mishra, Eur. Phys. Jour. A **47**, 164 (2011).
 - [24] S. P. Misra, Phys. Rev. D **18**, 1661 (1978).
 - [25] S. P. Misra, Phys. Rev. D **18**, 1673 (1978).

- [26] S. P. Misra and L. Maharana, Phys. Rev. **D 18**, 4103 (1978).
- [27] Bengt Friman, Su Houng Lee and Taesoo Song Phys. Lett. **B 548**, 153 (2002).
- [28] A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver, O. Pene and J.C. Raynal, Phys. Rev. **D 8**, 2223 (1973).
- [29] A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver, O. Pene and J.C. Raynal, Phys. Rev. **D 9**, 1415 (1974).
- [30] A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver, O. Pene and J.C. Raynal, Phys. Rev. **D 11**, 1272 (1975).
- [31] T. Barnes, F. E. Close, P. R. Page, E. S. Swanson, Phys. Rev. **D 55**, 4157 (1997).
- [32] S.P.Misra, K. Biswal and B. K. Parida, Phys. Rev. **D 21**, 2029 (1980).
- [33] G. Krein, A. W. Thomas, K. Tsushima, Phys. Lett. **B 697**, 136 (2011).
- [34] P. Papazoglou, D. Zschesche, S. Schramm, J. Schaffner-Bielich, H. Stöcker, and W. Greiner, Phys. Rev. **C 59**, 411 (1999).
- [35] S.Weinberg, Phys. Rev. **166**, 1568 (1968).
- [36] S. Coleman, J. Wess, B. Zumino, Phys. Rev. **177** 2239 (1969).
- [37] C. G. Callan, S. Coleman, J. Wess, B. Zumino, Phys. Rev. **177** 2247 (1969).
- [38] W. A. Bardeen and B. W. Lee, Phys. Rev. **177**, 2389 (1969).
- [39] D. Zschesche, A. Mishra, S. Schramm, H. Stöcker and W. Greiner, Phys. Rev. **C 70**, 045202 (2004).
- [40] A. Mishra, K. Balazs, D. Zschesche, S. Schramm, H. Stöcker, and W. Greiner, Phys. Rev. **C 69**, 024903 (2004).
- [41] A. Mishra, E. L. Bratkovskaya, J. Schaffner-Bielich, S.Schramm and H. Stöcker, Phys. Rev. **C 69**, 015202 (2004).
- [42] Amruta Mishra and Arindam Mazumdar, Phys. Rev. **C 79**, 024908 (2009).
- [43] Arvind Kumar and Amruta Mishra, Phys, Rev. **C 81**, 065204 (2010).
- [44] J. Schechter, Phys. Rev. **D 21**, 3393 (1980).
- [45] Thomas D. Cohen, R. J. Furnstahl and David K. Griegel, Phys. Rev. **C 45**, 1881 (1992).
- [46] F. Klingl, S. Kim, S. H. Lee, P. Morath and W. Weise, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 3396 (1999).
- [47] M.E. Peskin, Nucl. Phys. **B156**, 365 (1979).
- [48] Su Houng Lee and Che Ming Ko, Phys. Rev. **C 67**, 038202 (2003).
- [49] J. Beringer et al (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. **D 86**, 010001 (2012).