

# ANALYSIS OF STRONG DECAYS OF THE CHARMED MESONS $D_J(2580)$ , $D_J^*(2650)$ , $D_J(2740)$ , $D_J^*(2760)$ , $D_J(3000)$ , $D_J^*(3000)$

Zhi-Gang Wang <sup>1</sup>

Department of Physics, North China Electric Power University, Baoding 071003, P. R. China

## Abstract

In this article, we tentatively identify the charmed mesons  $D_J(2580)$ ,  $D_J^*(2650)$ ,  $D_J(2740)$ ,  $D_J^*(2760)$ ,  $D_J(3000)$ ,  $D_J^*(3000)$  observed by the LHCb collaboration according to their spin, parity and masses, then study their strong decays to the ground state charmed mesons plus light pseudoscalar mesons with the heavy meson effective theory in the leading order approximation, and obtain explicit expressions of the decay widths. The ratios among the decay widths can be used to confirm or reject the assignments of the newly observed charmed mesons. The strong coupling constants in the decay widths can be fitted to the experimental data in the future at the LHCb, BESIII, KEK-B and  $\bar{P}$ ANDA.

PACS numbers: 13.25.Ft; 14.40.Lb

**Key Words:** Charmed mesons, Strong decays

## 1 Introduction

Recently, the LHCb collaboration studied the  $D^+\pi^-$ ,  $D^0\pi^+$ ,  $D^{*+}\pi^-$  final states in  $pp$  collisions at a center-of-mass energy of 7 TeV, observed the  $D_1(2420)^0$  in the  $D^{*+}\pi^-$  final state, the  $D_2^*(2460)$  in the  $D^+\pi^-$ ,  $D^0\pi^+$ ,  $D^{*+}\pi^-$  final states, and measured their parameters and confirmed their spin-parity assignments [1]. The LHCb collaboration also observed two natural parity resonances  $D_J^*(2650)^0$ ,  $D_J^*(2760)^0$  and two unnatural parity resonances  $D_J(2580)^0$ ,  $D_J(2740)^0$  in the  $D^{*+}\pi^-$  mass spectrum, and tentatively identified the  $D_J(2580)$  as the 2S 0<sup>-</sup> state, the  $D_J^*(2650)$  as the 2S 1<sup>-</sup> state, the  $D_J(2740)$  as the 1D 2<sup>-</sup> state, the  $D_J^*(2760)$  as the 1D 1<sup>-</sup> state. The  $D_J^*(2760)^0$  observed in the  $D^{*+}\pi^-$  and  $D^+\pi^-$  decay modes have consistent parameters, their charged partner  $D_J^*(2760)^+$  was observed in the  $D^0\pi^+$  final state [1]. Furthermore, the LHCb collaboration observed one unnatural parity resonance  $D_J(3000)^0$  in the  $D^{*+}\pi^-$  final state, two structures  $D_J^*(3000)^0$  and  $D_J^*(3000)^+$  in the  $D^+\pi^-$  and  $D^0\pi^+$  mass spectra, respectively [1]. The revelent parameters are presented in Table 1.

In 2010, the BaBar collaboration observed four excited charmed mesons  $D(2550)$ ,  $D(2600)$ ,  $D(2750)$  and  $D(2760)$  in the decays  $D^0(2550) \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^-$ ,  $D^0(2600) \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^-$ ,  $D^+\pi^-$ ,  $D^0(2750) \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^-$ ,  $D^0(2760) \rightarrow D^+\pi^-$ ,  $D^+(2600) \rightarrow D^0\pi^+$  and  $D^+(2760) \rightarrow D^0\pi^+$  respectively in the inclusive  $e^+e^- \rightarrow c\bar{c}$  interactions [2]. The BaBar collaboration also analyzed the helicity distributions to determine the spin-parity, and tentatively identified the  $(D(2550), D(2600))$  as the 2S doublet (0<sup>-</sup>, 1<sup>-</sup>), the  $D(2750)$  and  $D(2760)$  as the D-wave states. The revelent parameters are presented in Table 2, where we also present the possible correspondences among the particles observed by the LHCb and BaBar collaborations.

In Ref.[3], we study the strong decays of the charmed mesons  $D(2550)$ ,  $D(2600)$ ,  $D(2750)$  and  $D(2760)$  with the heavy meson effective theory in the leading order approximation, and tentatively identify the  $(D(2550), D(2600))$  as the 2S doublet (0<sup>-</sup>, 1<sup>-</sup>), the  $(D(2750), D(2760))$  as the 1D doublet (2<sup>-</sup>, 3<sup>-</sup>), respectively. Other studies lead to similar or slightly different assignments [4, 5]. Now, we extend our previous work to study the strong decays of the charmed mesons observed by the LHCb collaboration with the heavy meson effective theory in the leading order approximation.

Let us take a short digression to discuss how to classify the heavy-light mesons. In the heavy quark limit, the heavy-light mesons  $Q\bar{q}$  can be classified in doublets according to the total angular momentum of the light antiquark  $\vec{s}_\ell$ ,  $\vec{s}_\ell = \vec{s}_{\bar{q}} + \vec{L}$ , where the  $\vec{s}_{\bar{q}}$  and  $\vec{L}$  are the spin and orbital angular momentum of the light antiquark respectively [6]. In the case of the radial quantum number  $n = 1$ , the doublet  $(P, P^*)$  have the spin-parity  $J_{s_\ell}^P = (0^-, 1^-)_{\frac{1}{2}}$  for  $L = 0$ ; the two doublets  $(P_0^*, P_1)$  and

---

<sup>1</sup>E-mail:zgwang@aliyun.com.

	Mass [MeV]	Width [MeV]	Decay channel	Significance
$D_J^*(2650)^0$ (N)	$2649.2 \pm 3.5 \pm 3.5$	$140.2 \pm 17.1 \pm 18.6$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$	$24.5 \sigma$
$D_J^*(2760)^0$ (N)	$2761.1 \pm 5.1 \pm 6.5$	$74.4 \pm 3.4 \pm 37.0$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$	$10.2 \sigma$
$D_J(2580)^0$ (U)	$2579.5 \pm 3.4 \pm 5.5$	$177.5 \pm 17.8 \pm 46.0$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$	$18.8 \sigma$
$D_J(2740)^0$ (U)	$2737.0 \pm 3.5 \pm 11.2$	$73.2 \pm 13.4 \pm 25.0$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$	$7.2 \sigma$
$D_J(3000)^0$ (U)	$2971.8 \pm 8.7$	$188.1 \pm 44.8$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$	$9.0 \sigma$
$D_J^*(2760)^0$ (N)	$2760.1 \pm 1.1 \pm 3.7$	$74.4 \pm 3.4 \pm 19.1$	$D^+\pi^-$	$17.3 \sigma$
$D_J^*(3000)^0$	$3008.1 \pm 4.0$	$110.5 \pm 11.5$	$D^+\pi^-$	$21.2 \sigma$
$D_J^*(2760)^+$	$2771.7 \pm 1.7 \pm 3.8$	$66.7 \pm 6.6 \pm 10.5$	$D^0\pi^+$	$18.8 \sigma$
$D_J^*(3000)^+$	$3008.1$ (fixed)	$110.5$ (fixed)	$D^0\pi^+$	$6.6 \sigma$

Table 1: The experimental results from the LHCb collaboration, where the N and U denote the natural parity and unnatural parity, respectively.

		Mass [MeV]	Width [MeV]	Decay channel
$D^0(2550)$	$[D_J(2580)^0]$	$2539.4 \pm 4.5 \pm 6.8$	$130 \pm 12 \pm 13$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$
$D^0(2600)$	$[D_J^*(2650)^0]$	$2608.7 \pm 2.4 \pm 2.5$	$93 \pm 6 \pm 13$	$D^+\pi^-, D^{*+}\pi^-$
$D^0(2750)$	$[D_J(2740)^0]$	$2752.4 \pm 1.7 \pm 2.7$	$71 \pm 6 \pm 11$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$
$D^0(2760)$	$[D_J^*(2760)^0]$	$2763.3 \pm 2.3 \pm 2.3$	$60.9 \pm 5.1 \pm 3.6$	$D^+\pi^-$
$D^+(2600)$		$2621.3 \pm 3.7 \pm 4.2$	$93$	$D^0\pi^+$
$D^+(2760)$	$[D_J^*(2760)^+]$	$2769.7 \pm 3.8 \pm 1.5$	$60.9$	$D^0\pi^+$

Table 2: The experimental results from the BaBar collaboration, the particles in the bracket are the possible corresponding ones observed by the LHCb collaboration.

$(P_1, P_2^*)$  have the spin-parity  $J_{s_\ell}^P = (0^+, 1^+)_{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $(1^+, 2^+)_{\frac{3}{2}}$  respectively for  $L = 1$ ; the two doublets  $(P_1^*, P_2)$  and  $(P_2, P_3^*)$  have the spin-parity  $J_{s_\ell}^P = (1^-, 2^-)_{\frac{3}{2}}$  and  $(2^-, 3^-)_{\frac{5}{2}}$  respectively for  $L = 2$ ; the two doublets  $(P_2^*, P_3)$  and  $(P_3, P_4^*)$  have the spin-parity  $J_{s_\ell}^P = (2^+, 3^+)_{\frac{5}{2}}$  and  $(3^+, 4^+)_{\frac{7}{2}}$  respectively for  $L = 3$ , where the superscript  $P$  denotes the parity. The  $n = \frac{1}{2}, 3, 4, \dots$  states are clarified by analogous doublets, for example,  $n = 2$ , the doublet  $(P', P'^*)$  have the spin-parity  $J_{s_\ell}^P = (0^-, 1^-)_{\frac{1}{2}}$  for  $L = 0$ .

The helicity distributions from the BaBar collaboration favor identifying the  $D^0(2550)$  as the  $0^-$  state, the  $D^0(2600)$  as the  $1^-, 2^+, 3^-$  state, and the  $D^0(2750)$  as the  $1^+, 2^-$  state [2], which are compatible with the tentative assignments of the LHCb collaboration [1], see Table 2. The  $D_J(2580)^0$ ,  $D_J(2740)^0$  and  $D_J(3000)^0$  have unnatural parity, and their possible spin-parity assignments are  $J^P = 0^-, 1^+, 2^-, 3^+, \dots$ . The  $D_J^*(2650)^0$  and  $D_J^*(2760)^0$  have natural parity, and their possible spin-parity assignments are  $J^P = 0^+, 1^-, 2^+, 3^-, \dots$ . From the strong decays

$$\begin{aligned} D_J^*(3000)^0 &\rightarrow D^+\pi^-, \\ D_J^*(3000)^+ &\rightarrow D^0\pi^+, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

we can conclude that the  $D_J^*(3000)$  have the possible spin-parity  $J^P = 0^+, 1^-, 2^+, 3^-, 4^+, \dots$ . The six low-lying states,  $D$ ,  $D^*$ ,  $D_0(2400)$ ,  $D_1(2430)$ ,  $D_1(2420)$  and  $D_2(2460)$  are established [7], while the 2S, 1D, 1F, 2P, and 3S states are still absent. The newly observed charmed mesons  $D_J(2580)$ ,  $D_J^*(2650)$ ,  $D_J(2740)$ ,  $D_J^*(2760)$ ,  $D_J(3000)$ ,  $D_J^*(3000)$  can be tentatively identified as the missing 2S, 1D, 1F, 2P, and 3S states.

The mass is a fundamental parameter in describing a hadron, in Table 3, we present the predictions from some theoretical models, such as the relativized quark model based on a universal one-gluon exchange plus linear confinement potential [8], the relativistic quark model includes

the leading order  $1/M_h$  corrections [9], the QCD-motivated relativistic quark model based on the quasipotential approach [10]. We can identify the  $D_J(2580)$ ,  $D_J^*(2650)$ ,  $D_J(2740)$ ,  $D_J^*(2760)$ ,  $D_J(3000)$ ,  $D_J^*(3000)$  tentatively according to the masses.

In the following, we list out the possible assignments,

$$(D_J(2580), D_J^*(2650)) = (0^-, 1^-)_{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ with } n = 2, L = 0, \quad (2)$$

$$(D_J^*(2760), D_J(2740)) = (1^-, 2^-)_{\frac{3}{2}} \text{ with } n = 1, L = 2, \quad (3)$$

$$(D_J(2740), D_J^*(2760)) = (2^-, 3^-)_{\frac{5}{2}} \text{ with } n = 1, L = 2, \quad (4)$$

$$(D_J^*(3000), D_J(3000)) = (2^+, 3^+)_{\frac{5}{2}} \text{ with } n = 1, L = 3, \quad (5)$$

$$(D_J(3000), D_J^*(3000)) = (3^+, 4^+)_{\frac{7}{2}} \text{ with } n = 1, L = 3, \quad (6)$$

$$(D_J^*(3000), D_J(3000)) = (0^+, 1^+)_{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ with } n = 2, L = 1, \quad (7)$$

$$(D_J(3000), D_J^*(3000)) = (1^+, 2^+)_{\frac{3}{2}} \text{ with } n = 2, L = 1, \quad (8)$$

$$(D_J(3000), D_J^*(3000)) = (0^-, 1^-)_{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ with } n = 3, L = 0. \quad (9)$$

In this work, we study the strong decays of the charmed mesons  $D_J(2580)$ ,  $D_J^*(2650)$ ,  $D_J(2740)$ ,  $D_J^*(2760)$ ,  $D_J(3000)$ ,  $D_J^*(3000)$  observed by the LHCb collaboration with the heavy meson effective theory in the leading order approximation, and make predictions for the decay widths and the ratios among the decay widths. The ratios can be confronted with the experimental data in the future at the LHCb, BESIII, KEK-B and  $\bar{\text{P}}\text{ANDA}$  to distinguish the different assignments. Furthermore, the analytical expressions of the decay widths can be used to determine the strong coupling constants in the heavy mesons effective Lagrangian in the future. On the other hand, we can also use the  ${}^3P_0$  model to study those strong decays following Ref.[11]. There have been several works using the heavy meson effective theory to identify the charmed mesons [3, 5, 12], and to study the radiative, vector-meson, two-pion decays of the heavy quarkonium states [13].

The article is arranged as follows: we study the strong decays of the charmed mesons  $D_J(2580)$ ,  $D_J^*(2650)$ ,  $D_J(2740)$ ,  $D_J^*(2760)$ ,  $D_J(3000)$ ,  $D_J^*(3000)$  observed by the LHCb collaboration with the heavy meson effective theory in Sect.2; in Sect.3, we present the numerical results and discussions; and Sect.4 is reserved for our conclusions.

	$n L s_\ell J^P$	Exp[1, 7]	GI[1, 8]	PE[9]	EFG [10]
$D$	$1 S \frac{1}{2} 0^-$	1867	1864	1868	1871
$D^*$	$1 S \frac{1}{2} 1^-$	2008	2023	2005	2010
$D_0^*$	$1 P \frac{1}{2} 0^+$	2400	2380	2377	2406
$D_1$	$1 P \frac{1}{2} 1^+$	2427	2419	2490	2469
$D_1$	$1 P \frac{3}{2} 1^+$	2420	2469	2417	2426
$D_2^*$	$1 P \frac{3}{2} 2^+$	2460	2479	2460	2460
$D_1^*$	$1 D \frac{3}{2} 1^-$	? 2760 (N)	2796	2795	2788
$D_2$	$1 D \frac{3}{2} 2^-$	? 2740 (U)	2801	2833	2850
$D_2$	$1 D \frac{5}{2} 2^-$	? 2740 (U)	2806	2775	2806
$D_3^*$	$1 D \frac{5}{2} 3^-$	? 2760 (N)	2806	2799	2863
$D_2^*$	$1 F \frac{5}{2} 2^+$	? 3000 (N)	3074	3101	3090
$D_3$	$1 F \frac{5}{2} 3^+$	? 3000 (U)	3074	3123	3145
$D_3$	$1 F \frac{7}{2} 3^+$	? 3000 (U)	3079	3074	3129
$D_4^*$	$1 F \frac{7}{2} 4^+$	? 3000 (N)	3084	3091	3187
$D$	$2 S \frac{1}{2} 0^-$	? 2580 (U)	2558	2589	2581
$D^*$	$2 S \frac{1}{2} 1^-$	? 2650 (N)	2618	2692	2632
$D_0^*$	$2 P \frac{1}{2} 0^+$	? 3000 (N)		2949	2919
$D_1$	$2 P \frac{1}{2} 1^+$	? 3000 (U)		3045	3021
$D_1$	$2 P \frac{3}{2} 1^+$	? 3000 (U)		2995	2932
$D_2^*$	$2 P \frac{3}{2} 2^+$	? 3000 (N)		3035	3012
$D$	$3 S \frac{1}{2} 0^-$	? 3000 (U)		3141	3062
$D^*$	$3 S \frac{1}{2} 1^-$	? 3000 (N)		3226	3096

Table 3: The masses of the charmed mesons from different quark models compared with experimental data, and the possible assignments of the newly observed charmed mesons. The N and U denote the natural parity and unnatural parity, respectively.

## 2 The strong decays with the heavy meson effective theory

In the heavy meson effective theory, the spin doublets can be described by the effective super-fields  $H_a$ ,  $S_a$ ,  $T_a$ ,  $X_a$ ,  $Y_a$ ,  $Z_a$  and  $R_a$ , respectively [14],

$$\begin{aligned}
H_a &= \frac{1+\not{v}}{2} \left\{ P_{a\mu}^* \gamma^\mu - P_a \gamma_5 \right\}, \\
S_a &= \frac{1+\not{v}}{2} \left\{ P_{1a}^\mu \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 - P_{0a}^* \right\}, \\
T_a^\mu &= \frac{1+\not{v}}{2} \left\{ P_{2a}^{*\mu\nu} \gamma_\nu - P_{1a\nu} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \gamma_5 \left[ g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\gamma^\nu(\gamma^\mu - v^\mu)}{3} \right] \right\}, \\
X_a^\mu &= \frac{1+\not{v}}{2} \left\{ P_{2a}^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 \gamma_\nu - P_{1a\nu}^* \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \left[ g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\gamma^\nu(\gamma^\mu + v^\mu)}{3} \right] \right\}, \\
Y_a^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1+\not{v}}{2} \left\{ P_{3a}^{*\mu\nu\sigma} \gamma_\sigma - P_{2a}^{\alpha\beta} \sqrt{\frac{5}{3}} \gamma_5 \left[ g_\alpha^\mu g_\beta^\nu - \frac{g_\beta^\nu \gamma_\alpha(\gamma^\mu - v^\mu)}{5} - \frac{g_\alpha^\mu \gamma_\beta(\gamma^\nu - v^\nu)}{5} \right] \right\}, \\
Z_a^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1+\not{v}}{2} \left\{ P_{3a}^{\mu\nu\sigma} \gamma_5 \gamma_\sigma - P_{2a}^{*\alpha\beta} \sqrt{\frac{5}{3}} \left[ g_\alpha^\mu g_\beta^\nu - \frac{g_\beta^\nu \gamma_\alpha(\gamma^\mu + v^\mu)}{5} - \frac{g_\alpha^\mu \gamma_\beta(\gamma^\nu + v^\nu)}{5} \right] \right\}, \\
R_a^{\mu\nu\rho} &= \frac{1+\not{v}}{2} \left\{ P_{4a}^{*\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \gamma_5 \gamma_\sigma - P_{3a}^{\alpha\beta\tau} \sqrt{\frac{7}{4}} \left[ g_\alpha^\mu g_\beta^\nu g_\tau^\rho - \frac{g_\beta^\nu g_\tau^\rho \gamma_\alpha(\gamma^\mu - v^\mu)}{7} - \frac{g_\alpha^\mu g_\tau^\rho \gamma_\beta(\gamma^\nu - v^\nu)}{7} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \frac{g_\alpha^\mu g_\beta^\nu \gamma_\tau(\gamma^\rho - v^\rho)}{7} \right] \right\}, \tag{10}
\end{aligned}$$

where the heavy meson fields  $P^{(*)}$  contain a factor  $\sqrt{M_{P^{(*)}}}$  and have dimension of mass  $\frac{3}{2}$ . The super-fields  $H_a$  contain the S-wave mesons,  $S_a$ ,  $T_a$  contain the P-wave mesons,  $X_a$ ,  $Y_a$  contain the D-wave mesons,  $Z_a$  and  $R_a$  contain the F-wave mesons. The  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  heavy mesons with the same heavy flavor have the same parity, time-reversal and charge conjunction properties except for the masses, and can be combined into the super-fields:  $H_a$ ,  $H'_a$ ,  $H''_a$ ,  $\dots$ ;  $S_a$ ,  $S'_a$ ,  $S''_a$ ,  $\dots$ ;  $T_a$ ,  $T'_a$ ,  $T''_a$ ,  $\dots$ ; etc, where the superscripts  $'$ ,  $''$  and  $'''$  denote the  $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$  states, respectively. We can replace the heavy meson fields  $P^{(*)}$  with their corresponding radial excited states to obtain the corresponding super-fields  $H'_a$ ,  $S'_a$ ,  $\dots$ .

The light pseudoscalar mesons are described by the fields  $\xi = e^{\frac{i\mathcal{M}}{f_\pi}}$ , where

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}\pi^0 + \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}}\eta & \pi^+ & K^+ \\ \pi^- & -\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}\pi^0 + \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}}\eta & K^0 \\ K^- & \bar{K}^0 & -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\eta \end{pmatrix},$$

and  $f_\pi = 130$  MeV.

At the leading order, the heavy meson chiral Lagrangians  $\mathcal{L}_0$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_H$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_S$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_T$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_X$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_Y$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_Z$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_R$

for the strong decays to the  $D^{(*)}\pi$ ,  $D^{(*)}\eta$  and  $D_s^{(*)}K$  states can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_0 &= i\text{Tr}\{\bar{H}_a v \cdot \mathcal{D}_{ab} H_b\} + i\text{Tr}\{\bar{S}_a v \cdot \mathcal{D}_{ab} S_b\} + i\text{Tr}\{\bar{T}_a^\mu v \cdot \mathcal{D}_{ab} T_{\mu b}\} + i\text{Tr}\{\bar{X}_a^\mu v \cdot \mathcal{D}_{ab} X_{\mu b}\} \\
&\quad + i\text{Tr}\{\bar{Y}_a^{\mu\nu} v \cdot \mathcal{D}_{ab} Y_{\mu\nu b}\} + i\text{Tr}\{\bar{Z}_a^{\mu\nu} v \cdot \mathcal{D}_{ab} Z_{\mu\nu b}\} + i\text{Tr}\{\bar{R}_a^{\mu\nu\alpha} v \cdot \mathcal{D}_{ab} Z_{\mu\nu\alpha b}\} \\
&\quad - \delta m_S \text{Tr}\{\bar{S}_a S_a\} - \delta m_T \text{Tr}\{\bar{T}_a^\mu T_{\mu a}\} - \delta m_X \text{Tr}\{\bar{X}_a^\mu X_{\mu a}\} - \delta m_Y \text{Tr}\{\bar{Y}_a^{\mu\nu} Y_{\mu\nu a}\} \\
&\quad - \delta m_Z \text{Tr}\{\bar{Z}_a^{\mu\nu} Z_{\mu\nu a}\} - \delta m_R \text{Tr}\{\bar{R}_a^{\mu\nu\beta} Z_{\mu\nu\beta a}\}, \\
\mathcal{L}_H &= g_H \text{Tr}\{\bar{H}_a H_b \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \mathcal{A}_{ba}^\mu\}, \\
\mathcal{L}_S &= g_S \text{Tr}\{\bar{H}_a S_b \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \mathcal{A}_{ba}^\mu\} + h.c., \\
\mathcal{L}_T &= \frac{g_T}{\Lambda} \text{Tr}\{\bar{H}_a T_b^\mu (i\mathcal{D}_\mu \mathcal{A} + i\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_\mu)_{ba} \gamma_5\} + h.c., \\
\mathcal{L}_X &= \frac{g_X}{\Lambda} \text{Tr}\{\bar{H}_a X_b^\mu (i\mathcal{D}_\mu \mathcal{A} + i\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_\mu)_{ba} \gamma_5\} + h.c., \\
\mathcal{L}_Y &= \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \text{Tr}\{\bar{H}_a Y_b^{\mu\nu} [k_1^Y \{\mathcal{D}_\mu, \mathcal{D}_\nu\} \mathcal{A}_\lambda + k_2^Y (\mathcal{D}_\mu \mathcal{D}_\lambda \mathcal{A}_\nu + \mathcal{D}_\nu \mathcal{D}_\lambda \mathcal{A}_\mu)]_{ba} \gamma^\lambda \gamma_5\} + h.c., \\
\mathcal{L}_Z &= \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \text{Tr}\{\bar{H}_a Z_b^{\mu\nu} [k_1^Z \{\mathcal{D}_\mu, \mathcal{D}_\nu\} \mathcal{A}_\lambda + k_2^Z (\mathcal{D}_\mu \mathcal{D}_\lambda \mathcal{A}_\nu + \mathcal{D}_\nu \mathcal{D}_\lambda \mathcal{A}_\mu)]_{ba} \gamma^\lambda \gamma_5\} + h.c., \\
\mathcal{L}_R &= \frac{1}{\Lambda^3} \text{Tr}\{\bar{H}_a R_b^{\mu\nu\rho} [k_1^R \{\mathcal{D}_\mu, \mathcal{D}_\nu, \mathcal{D}_\rho\} \mathcal{A}_\lambda + k_2^R (\{\mathcal{D}_\mu, \mathcal{D}_\rho\} \mathcal{D}_\lambda \mathcal{A}_\nu + \{\mathcal{D}_\nu, \mathcal{D}_\rho\} \mathcal{D}_\lambda \mathcal{A}_\mu \\
&\quad + \{\mathcal{D}_\mu, \mathcal{D}_\nu\} \mathcal{D}_\lambda \mathcal{A}_\rho)]_{ba} \gamma^\lambda \gamma_5\} + h.c., 
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_\mu &= \partial_\mu + \mathcal{V}_\mu, \\
\mathcal{V}_\mu &= \frac{1}{2} (\xi^\dagger \partial_\mu \xi + \xi \partial_\mu \xi^\dagger), \\
\mathcal{A}_\mu &= \frac{1}{2} (\xi^\dagger \partial_\mu \xi - \xi \partial_\mu \xi^\dagger), \\
\{\mathcal{D}_\mu, \mathcal{D}_\nu\} &= \mathcal{D}_\mu \mathcal{D}_\nu + \mathcal{D}_\nu \mathcal{D}_\mu, \\
\{\mathcal{D}_\mu, \mathcal{D}_\nu, \mathcal{D}_\rho\} &= \mathcal{D}_\mu \mathcal{D}_\nu \mathcal{D}_\rho + \mathcal{D}_\mu \mathcal{D}_\rho \mathcal{D}_\nu + \mathcal{D}_\nu \mathcal{D}_\mu \mathcal{D}_\rho + \mathcal{D}_\nu \mathcal{D}_\rho \mathcal{D}_\mu + \mathcal{D}_\rho \mathcal{D}_\mu \mathcal{D}_\nu + \mathcal{D}_\rho \mathcal{D}_\nu \mathcal{D}_\mu,
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

$\delta m_S = m_S - m_H$ ,  $\delta m_T = m_T - m_H$ ,  $\delta m_X = m_X - m_H$ ,  $\delta m_Y = m_Y - m_H$ ,  $\delta m_Z = m_Z - m_H$ ,  $\delta m_R = m_R - m_H$ ,  $\Lambda$  is the chiral symmetry-breaking scale and taken as  $\Lambda = 1$  GeV [12], the strong coupling constants  $g_H$ ,  $g_S$ ,  $g_T$ ,  $g_X$ ,  $g_Y = k_1^Y + k_2^Y$ ,  $g_Z = k_1^Z + k_2^Z$  and  $g_R = k_1^R + k_2^R$  can be fitted to the experimental data. The heavy meson chiral Lagrangians  $\mathcal{L}_H$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_S$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_T$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_X$  and  $\mathcal{L}_Y$  are taken from Ref.[15], the  $\mathcal{L}_Z$  and  $\mathcal{L}_R$  are constructed accordingly in this article. The subscript indexes  $H$ ,  $S$ ,  $T$ ,  $X$ ,  $Y$ ,  $Z$  and  $R$  denote the interactions between the super-field  $H$  and the super-fields  $H$ ,  $S$ ,  $T$ ,  $X$ ,  $Y$ ,  $Z$  and  $R$ , respectively. We smear the superscripts  $I$ ,  $II$ ,  $III$ ,  $\dots$  for simplicity, the notation  $g_H$  denotes the strong coupling constants in the vertexes  $HH\mathcal{A}$ ,  $H'HH\mathcal{A}$ ,  $H''HH\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\dots$ , the notations  $g_S$ ,  $g_T$ ,  $g_X$ ,  $g_Y$ ,  $g_Z$  and  $g_R$  should be understood in the same way. We can also study the decays to the light vector mesons  $V$  besides the pseudoscalar mesons  $\mathcal{P}$  with the replacement  $\mathcal{V}_\mu \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_\mu + V_\mu$ , and introduce additional phenomenological Lagrangians [16], therefore additional unknown coupling constants, which have to be fitted to the precise experimental data in the future, and is beyond the present work.

From the heavy meson chiral Lagrangians  $\mathcal{L}_H$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_S$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_T$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_X$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_Y$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_Z$  and  $\mathcal{L}_R$ , we can obtain the widths  $\Gamma$  for strong decays to the final states  $D^{(*)}\pi$ ,  $D^{(*)}\eta$  and  $D_s^{(*)}K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma &= \frac{1}{2J+1} \sum \frac{p_f}{8\pi M_i^2} |T|^2, \\
p_f &= \frac{\sqrt{(M_i^2 - (M_f + m_P)^2)(M_i^2 - (M_f - m_P)^2)}}{2M_i},
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where the  $T$  denotes the scattering amplitudes, the  $i$  and  $f$  denote the initial and final state heavy mesons, respectively, the  $J$  is the total angular momentum of the initial heavy meson, the  $\sum$  denotes the summation of all the polarization vectors of the total angular momentum  $j = 1, 2, 3$  or 4, and the  $\mathcal{P}$  denotes the light pseudoscalar mesons.

Now we write down the explicit expressions of the decay widths  $\Gamma$  in different channels,

- $(0^-, 1^-)_{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow (0^-, 1^-)_{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{P}$ ,

$$\Gamma(1^- \rightarrow 1^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{g_H^2 M_f p_f^3}{3\pi f_\pi^2 M_i} \left[ C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{g_H^2 (M_i + M_f)^2 p_f^3}{12\pi f_\pi^2 M_i M_f} \right], \quad (14)$$

$$\Gamma(1^- \rightarrow 0^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{g_H^2 M_f p_f^3}{6\pi f_\pi^2 M_i} \left[ C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{g_H^2 (M_i + M_f)^2 p_f^3}{24\pi f_\pi^2 M_i M_f} \right], \quad (15)$$

$$\Gamma(0^- \rightarrow 1^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{g_H^2 M_f p_f^3}{2\pi f_\pi^2 M_i} \left[ C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{g_H^2 (M_i + M_f)^2 p_f^3}{8\pi f_\pi^2 M_i M_f} \right], \quad (16)$$

- $(0^+, 1^+)_{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow (0^-, 1^-)_{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{P}$ ,

$$\Gamma(1^+ \rightarrow 1^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{g_S^2 M_f (p_f^2 + m_{\mathcal{P}}^2) p_f}{2\pi f_\pi^2 M_i} \left[ C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{g_S^2 (M_i - M_f)^2 (p_f^2 + m_{\mathcal{P}}^2) p_f}{8\pi f_\pi^2 M_i M_f} \right], \quad (17)$$

$$\Gamma(0^+ \rightarrow 0^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{g_S^2 M_f (p_f^2 + m_{\mathcal{P}}^2) p_f}{2\pi f_\pi^2 M_i} \left[ C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{g_S^2 (M_i - M_f)^2 (p_f^2 + m_{\mathcal{P}}^2) p_f}{8\pi f_\pi^2 M_i M_f} \right], \quad (18)$$

- $(1^+, 2^+)_{\frac{3}{2}} \rightarrow (0^-, 1^-)_{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{P}$ ,

$$\Gamma(2^+ \rightarrow 1^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{2g_T^2 M_f p_f^5}{5\pi f_\pi^2 \Lambda^2 M_i} \left[ C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{g_T^2 (M_i + M_f)^2 p_f^5}{10\pi f_\pi^2 \Lambda^2 M_i M_f} \right], \quad (19)$$

$$\Gamma(2^+ \rightarrow 0^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{4g_T^2 M_f p_f^5}{15\pi f_\pi^2 \Lambda^2 M_i} \left[ C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{g_T^2 (M_i + M_f)^2 p_f^5}{15\pi f_\pi^2 \Lambda^2 M_i M_f} \right], \quad (20)$$

$$\Gamma(1^+ \rightarrow 1^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{2g_T^2 M_f p_f^5}{3\pi f_\pi^2 \Lambda^2 M_i} \left[ C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{g_T^2 (M_i + M_f)^2 p_f^5}{6\pi f_\pi^2 \Lambda^2 M_i M_f} \right], \quad (21)$$

- $(1^-, 2^-)_{\frac{3}{2}} \rightarrow (0^-, 1^-)_{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{P}$ ,

$$\Gamma(2^- \rightarrow 1^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{2g_X^2 M_f (p_f^2 + m_{\mathcal{P}}^2) p_f^3}{3\pi f_\pi^2 \Lambda^2 M_i} \left[ C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{g_X^2 (M_i - M_f)^2 (p_f^2 + m_{\mathcal{P}}^2) p_f^3}{6\pi f_\pi^2 \Lambda^2 M_i M_f} \right], \quad (22)$$

$$\Gamma(1^- \rightarrow 1^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{2g_X^2 M_f (p_f^2 + m_{\mathcal{P}}^2) p_f^3}{9\pi f_\pi^2 \Lambda^2 M_i} \left[ C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{g_X^2 (M_i - M_f)^2 (p_f^2 + m_{\mathcal{P}}^2) p_f^3}{18\pi f_\pi^2 \Lambda^2 M_i M_f} \right], \quad (23)$$

$$\Gamma(1^- \rightarrow 0^-) = C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{4g_X^2 M_f (p_f^2 + m_{\mathcal{P}}^2) p_f^3}{9\pi f_\pi^2 \Lambda^2 M_i} \left[ C_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{g_X^2 (M_i - M_f)^2 (p_f^2 + m_{\mathcal{P}}^2) p_f^3}{9\pi f_\pi^2 \Lambda^2 M_i M_f} \right], \quad (24)$$

$$\bullet (2^-, 3^-)_{\frac{5}{2}} \rightarrow (0^-, 1^-)_{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{P},$$

$$\Gamma(3^- \rightarrow 1^-) = C_P \frac{16g_Y^2 M_f p_f^7}{105\pi f_\pi^2 \Lambda^4 M_i} \left[ C_P \frac{4g_Y^2 (M_i + M_f)^2 p_f^7}{105\pi f_\pi^2 \Lambda^4 M_i M_f} \right], \quad (25)$$

$$\Gamma(3^- \rightarrow 0^-) = C_P \frac{4g_Y^2 M_f p_f^7}{35\pi f_\pi^2 \Lambda^4 M_i} \left[ C_P \frac{g_Y^2 (M_i + M_f)^2 p_f^7}{35\pi f_\pi^2 \Lambda^4 M_i M_f} \right], \quad (26)$$

$$\Gamma(2^- \rightarrow 1^-) = C_P \frac{4g_Y^2 M_f p_f^7}{15\pi f_\pi^2 \Lambda^4 M_i} \left[ C_P \frac{g_Y^2 (M_i + M_f)^2 p_f^7}{15\pi f_\pi^2 \Lambda^4 M_i M_f} \right], \quad (27)$$

$$\bullet (2^+, 3^+)_{\frac{5}{2}} \rightarrow (0^-, 1^-)_{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{P},$$

$$\Gamma(3^+ \rightarrow 1^-) = C_P \frac{4g_Z^2 M_f (p_f^2 + m_P^2) p_f^5}{15\pi f_\pi^2 \Lambda^4 M_i} \left[ C_P \frac{g_Z^2 (M_i - M_f)^2 (p_f^2 + m_P^2) p_f^5}{15\pi f_\pi^2 \Lambda^4 M_i M_f} \right], \quad (28)$$

$$\Gamma(2^+ \rightarrow 1^-) = C_P \frac{8g_Z^2 M_f (p_f^2 + m_P^2) p_f^5}{75\pi f_\pi^2 \Lambda^4 M_i} \left[ C_P \frac{2g_Z^2 (M_i - M_f)^2 (p_f^2 + m_P^2) p_f^5}{75\pi f_\pi^2 \Lambda^4 M_i M_f} \right], \quad (29)$$

$$\Gamma(2^+ \rightarrow 0^-) = C_P \frac{4g_Z^2 M_f (p_f^2 + m_P^2) p_f^5}{25\pi f_\pi^2 \Lambda^4 M_i} \left[ C_P \frac{g_Z^2 (M_i - M_f)^2 (p_f^2 + m_P^2) p_f^5}{25\pi f_\pi^2 \Lambda^4 M_i M_f} \right], \quad (30)$$

$$\bullet (3^+, 4^+)_{\frac{7}{2}} \rightarrow (0^-, 1^-)_{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{P},$$

$$\Gamma(4^+ \rightarrow 1^-) = C_P \frac{4g_R^2 M_f p_f^9}{7\pi f_\pi^2 \Lambda^6 M_i} \left[ C_P \frac{g_R^2 (M_i + M_f)^2 p_f^9}{7\pi f_\pi^2 \Lambda^6 M_i M_f} \right], \quad (31)$$

$$\Gamma(4^+ \rightarrow 0^-) = C_P \frac{16g_R^2 M_f p_f^9}{35\pi f_\pi^2 \Lambda^6 M_i} \left[ C_P \frac{4g_R^2 (M_i + M_f)^2 p_f^9}{35\pi f_\pi^2 \Lambda^6 M_i M_f} \right], \quad (32)$$

$$\Gamma(3^+ \rightarrow 1^-) = C_P \frac{36g_R^2 M_f p_f^9}{35\pi f_\pi^2 \Lambda^6 M_i} \left[ C_P \frac{9g_R^2 (M_i + M_f)^2 p_f^9}{35\pi f_\pi^2 \Lambda^6 M_i M_f} \right], \quad (33)$$

the coefficients  $C_{\pi^\pm} = C_{K^\pm} = C_{K^0} = C_{\bar{K}^0} = 1$ ,  $C_{\pi^0} = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $C_\eta = \frac{2}{3}$ . We obtain the expressions in the bracket "[]" by taking into account the different four-velocities of the initial and final state heavy mesons. The on-shell conditions require that  $M_i v_\mu = M_f v'_\mu + p_\mu$  with  $v^2 = v'^2 = 1$ ,  $p^2 = m_P^2$ ,  $v_\mu \neq v'_\mu$ . In some multiplets, the conditions  $v_\mu = v'_\mu$  and  $v_\mu \neq v'_\mu$  lead to quite different decay widths but similar ratios among the decay widths. The ratios among the decay widths can be used to identify the heavy mesons, we expect that the expressions in the bracket "[]" cannot lead to different conclusions. In calculations, we take the approximation  $\mathcal{A}_\mu \approx i \frac{\partial_\mu \mathcal{M}}{f_\pi}$  and neglect the intermediate loops of light pseudoscalar mesons. Furthermore, we neglect the flavor and spin violation corrections of order  $\mathcal{O}(1/m_Q)$  to avoid introducing new unknown coupling constants, and we expect that the corrections would not be larger than (or as large as) the leading order contributions.

### 3 Numerical Results

The input parameters are taken as  $M_{\pi^+} = 139.57$  MeV,  $M_{\pi^0} = 134.9766$  MeV,  $M_{K^+} = 493.677$  MeV,  $M_\eta = 547.853$  MeV,  $M_{D^+} = 1869.60$  MeV,  $M_{D^0} = 1864.83$  MeV,  $M_{D_s^+} = 1968.47$  MeV,  $M_{D^{*+}} =$

2010.25 MeV,  $M_{D^{*0}} = 2006.96$  MeV,  $M_{D_s^{*+}} = 2112.3$  MeV [7],  $M_{D(2420)} = 2419.6$  MeV,  $M_{D_2^*(2460)} = 2460.4$  MeV [1].

The numerical values of the widths of the strong decays of the charmed mesons  $D_J(2580)$ ,  $D_J^*(2650)$ ,  $D_J(2740)$ ,  $D_J^*(2760)$ ,  $D_J(3000)$ ,  $D_J^*(3000)$  observed by the LHCb collaboration are presented in Tables 4-5, where we retain the strong coupling constants  $g_H$ ,  $g_X$ ,  $g_Y$ ,  $g_Z$  and  $g_R$ . The strong coupling constants can be fitted to the experimental data in the future at the LHCb, BESIII, KEK-B and PANDA, and taken as basic input parameters in studying the interactions among the heavy mesons. The strong coupling constant  $g_H$  for  $n = 1$  vary in a large range  $g_H = 0.1 - 0.6$  from different theoretical approaches, it is difficult to choose the optimal value [17], we usually fit the  $g_H$  to the decay width  $\Gamma(D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0\pi^+)$  from the CLEO collaboration [18, 19]. The strong coupling constants  $g_H$  (with  $n = 2, 3$ ),  $g_S$  (with  $n = 2$ ),  $g_T$  (with  $n = 2$ ),  $g_X$ ,  $g_Y$ ,  $g_Z$ ,  $g_R$  involve the radial excited S-wave and P-wave heavy mesons and ground state D-wave and F-wave heavy mesons, it is impossible to determine their values with the heavy quark (or meson) effective theory itself without enough experimental data. The existing theoretical works focus on the strong coupling constants  $g_H$ ,  $g_S$ ,  $g_T$  for the ground state S-wave and P-wave heavy mesons [16, 17, 20], while the works on other strong coupling constants are rare [21].

In Table 6-7, we present the ratios  $\hat{\Gamma} = \frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma(D_J^{(*)} \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^-)}$  of the strong decays of the charmed mesons  $D_J(2580)$ ,  $D_J^*(2650)$ ,  $D_J(2740)$ ,  $D_J^*(2760)$ ,  $D_J(3000)$ ,  $D_J^*(3000)$  observed by the LHCb collaboration, which can be used to identify the charmed mesons by confronting them with the experimental data in the future. In previous work [3], we tentatively identify the  $(D(2550), D(2600))$  as the doublet  $(0^-, 1^-)_{\frac{1}{2}}$  with  $n = 2$ , the  $(D(2750), D(2760))$  as the doublet  $(2^-, 3^-)_{\frac{5}{2}}$  with  $n = 1$  via analyzing the ratios of the branching fractions,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text{Br}(D_2^*(2460)^0 \rightarrow D^+\pi^-)}{\text{Br}(D_2^*(2460)^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^-)}, \\ & \frac{\text{Br}(D(2600)^0 \rightarrow D^+\pi^-)}{\text{Br}(D(2600)^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^-)}, \\ & \frac{\text{Br}(D(2760)^0 \rightarrow D^+\pi^-)}{\text{Br}(D(2750)^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^-)}, \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

with the heavy meson effective theory in the leading order approximation. The measurement of the LHCb collaboration also favors the assignment  $(D_J(2580), D_J^*(2650)) = (0^-, 1^-)_{\frac{1}{2}}$  with  $n = 2$  [1]. The helicity distribution from the BaBar collaboration disfavors identifying the  $D(2750)$  as the  $3^-$  state [2], which is compatible with the measurement of the LHCb collaboration that the  $D_J(2740)$  has unnatural parity [1]. The measurement of the LHCb collaboration favors two possible assignments,

$$(D_J^*(2760), D_J(2740)) = (1^-, 2^-)_{\frac{3}{2}} \text{ with } n = 1, L = 2, \quad (35)$$

$$(D_J(2740), D_J^*(2760)) = (2^-, 3^-)_{\frac{5}{2}} \text{ with } n = 1, L = 2. \quad (36)$$

We tentatively identify the  $D_J(2740)$  as the 1D state with  $J^P = 2^-$ , however, the assignments  $1D_{\frac{3}{2}}2^-$  and  $1D_{\frac{5}{2}}2^-$  lead to quite different ratios among the decay widths. The  $D_J^*(2760)$  have natural parity, the assignments  $1D_{\frac{3}{2}}1^-$  and  $1D_{\frac{5}{2}}3^-$  also lead to quite different ratios among the decay widths. We can confront the present predictions with the experimental data in the future to identify the newly observed charmed mesons.

In Table 8, we present the experimental data on the ratio  $\frac{\Gamma(D_2^*(2460) \rightarrow D^+\pi^-)}{\Gamma(D_2^*(2460) \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^-)}$  for the well established meson  $D_2^*(2460)$  from the BaBar [2], CLEO [22, 23], ARGUS [24], and ZEUS [25] collaborations, the present prediction 2.29 based on the heavy meson effective theory in the leading order approximation is in excellent agreement with the average experimental value 2.35. The heavy meson effective theory in the leading order approximation works well.

	$n L s_\ell J^P$	Decay channels	Widths [GeV]	Decay channels	Widths [GeV]
$D_J(2580)$	$2 S \frac{1}{2} 0^-$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$ $D_s^{*+}K^-$ $D^{*0}\pi^0$ $D^{*0}\eta$	$0.86744 g_H^2$ 0 $0.44284 g_H^2$ $0.01545 g_H^2$		
$D_J^*(2650)$	$2 S \frac{1}{2} 1^-$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$ $D_s^{*+}K^-$ $D^{*0}\pi^0$ $D^{*0}\eta$	$0.78436 g_H^2$ $0.03361 g_H^2$ $0.39903 g_H^2$ $0.07934 g_H^2$	$D^+\pi^-$ $D_s^+K^-$ $D^0\pi^0$ $D^0\eta$	$0.61923 g_H^2$ $0.15626 g_H^2$ $0.31475 g_H^2$ $0.15758 g_H^2$
$D_J^*(2760)$	$1 D \frac{3}{2} 1^-$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$ $D_s^{*+}K^-$ $D^{*0}\pi^0$ $D^{*0}\eta$	$0.33541 g_X^2$ $0.06149 g_X^2$ $0.17110 g_X^2$ $0.08867 g_X^2$	$D^+\pi^-$ $D_s^+K^-$ $D^0\pi^0$ $D^0\eta$	$1.28546 g_X^2$ $0.45974 g_X^2$ $0.65652 g_X^2$ $0.50190 g_X^2$
$D_J(2740)$	$1 D \frac{3}{2} 2^-$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$ $D_s^{*+}K^-$ $D^{*0}\pi^0$ $D^{*0}\eta$	$0.87560 g_X^2$ $0.13301 g_X^2$ $0.44709 g_X^2$ $0.20791 g_X^2$		
$D_J(2740)$	$1 D \frac{5}{2} 2^-$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$ $D_s^{*+}K^-$ $D^{*0}\pi^0$ $D^{*0}\eta$	$0.12739 g_Y^2$ $0.00193 g_Y^2$ $0.06597 g_Y^2$ $0.00524 g_Y^2$		
$D_J^*(2760)$	$1 D \frac{5}{2} 3^-$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$ $D_s^{*+}K^-$ $D^{*0}\pi^0$ $D^{*0}\eta$	$0.08910 g_Y^2$ $0.00207 g_Y^2$ $0.04607 g_Y^2$ $0.00477 g_Y^2$	$D^+\pi^-$ $D_s^+K^-$ $D^0\pi^0$ $D^0\eta$	$0.17382 g_Y^2$ $0.01760 g_Y^2$ $0.08995 g_Y^2$ $0.02413 g_Y^2$

Table 4: The strong decay widths of the newly observed charmed mesons with possible assignments.

	$n L s_\ell J^P$	Decay channels	Widths [GeV]	Decay channels	Widths [GeV]
$D_J^*(3000)$	$1 F \frac{5}{2} 2^+$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$ $D_s^{*+}K^-$ $D^{*0}\pi^0$ $D^{*0}\eta$	$0.35576 g_Z^2$ $0.09260 g_Z^2$ $0.18146 g_Z^2$ $0.11279 g_Z^2$	$D^+\pi^-$ $D_s^+K^-$ $D^0\pi^0$ $D^0\eta$	$1.03474 g_Z^2$ $0.38738 g_Z^2$ $0.52903 g_Z^2$ $0.40754 g_Z^2$
$D_J(3000)$	$1 F \frac{5}{2} 3^+$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$ $D_s^{*+}K^-$ $D^{*0}\pi^0$ $D^{*0}\eta$	$0.71619 g_Z^2$ $0.16586 g_Z^2$ $0.36571 g_Z^2$ $0.21080 g_Z^2$		
$D_J(3000)$	$1 F \frac{7}{2} 3^+$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$ $D_s^{*+}K^-$ $D^{*0}\pi^0$ $D^{*0}\eta$	$1.70315 g_R^2$ $0.13668 g_R^2$ $0.87774 g_R^2$ $0.21081 g_R^2$		
$D_J^*(3000)$	$1 F \frac{7}{2} 4^+$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$ $D_s^{*+}K^-$ $D^{*0}\pi^0$ $D^{*0}\eta$	$1.25746 g_R^2$ $0.12371 g_R^2$ $0.64701 g_R^2$ $0.17952 g_R^2$	$D^+\pi^-$ $D_s^+K^-$ $D^0\pi^0$ $D^0\eta$	$2.42276 g_R^2$ $0.43906 g_R^2$ $1.25012 g_R^2$ $0.52012 g_R^2$
$D_J^*(3000)$	$2 P \frac{1}{2} 0^+$			$D^+\pi^-$ $D_s^+K^-$ $D^0\pi^0$ $D^0\eta$	$4.59878 g_S^2$ $3.76337 g_S^2$ $2.31591 g_S^2$ $2.99368 g_S^2$
$D_J(3000)$	$2 P \frac{1}{2} 1^+$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$ $D_s^{*+}K^-$ $D^{*0}\pi^0$ $D^{*0}\eta$	$3.32572 g_S^2$ $2.41575 g_S^2$ $1.67433 g_S^2$ $2.06738 g_S^2$		
$D_J(3000)$	$2 P \frac{3}{2} 1^+$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$ $D_s^{*+}K^-$ $D^{*0}\pi^0$ $D^{*0}\eta$	$2.73390 g_T^2$ $0.68819 g_T^2$ $1.38912 g_T^2$ $0.71469 g_T^2$		
$D_J^*(3000)$	$2 P \frac{3}{2} 2^+$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$ $D_s^{*+}K^-$ $D^{*0}\pi^0$ $D^{*0}\eta$	$1.91077 g_T^2$ $0.53862 g_T^2$ $0.97002 g_T^2$ $0.54072 g_T^2$	$D^+\pi^-$ $D_s^+K^-$ $D^0\pi^0$ $D^0\eta$	$2.00996 g_T^2$ $0.79622 g_T^2$ $1.02155 g_T^2$ $0.71319 g_T^2$
$D_J(3000)$	$3 S \frac{1}{2} 0^-$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$ $D_s^{*+}K^-$ $D^{*0}\pi^0$ $D^{*0}\eta$	$3.22680 g_H^2$ $1.43857 g_H^2$ $1.62798 g_H^2$ $1.22589 g_H^2$		
$D_J^*(3000)$	$3 S \frac{1}{2} 1^-$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$ $D_s^{*+}K^-$ $D^{*0}\pi^0$ $D^{*0}\eta$	$2.34605 g_H^2$ $1.11939 g_H^2$ $1.18299 g_H^2$ $0.93470 g_H^2$	$D^+\pi^-$ $D_s^+K^-$ $D^0\pi^0$ $D^0\eta$	$1.49813 g_H^2$ $0.87742 g_H^2$ $0.75568 g_H^2$ $0.68341 g_H^2$

Table 5: The strong decay widths of the newly observed charmed mesons with possible assignments.

	$n L s_\ell J^P$	Decay channels	$\widehat{\Gamma}$	Decay channels	$\widehat{\Gamma}$
$D_J(2580)$	$2 S \frac{1}{2} 0^-$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$ $D_s^{*+}K^-$ $D^{*0}\pi^0$ $D^{*0}\eta$	1 0 0.51 0.02		
$D_J^*(2650)$	$2 S \frac{1}{2} 1^-$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$ $D_s^{*+}K^-$ $D^{*0}\pi^0$ $D^{*0}\eta$	1 0.04 0.51 0.10	$D^+\pi^-$ $D_s^+K^-$ $D^0\pi^0$ $D^0\eta$	0.79 0.20 0.40 0.20
$D_J^*(2760)$	$1 D \frac{3}{2} 1^-$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$ $D_s^{*+}K^-$ $D^{*0}\pi^0$ $D^{*0}\eta$	1 0.18 0.51 0.26	$D^+\pi^-$ $D_s^+K^-$ $D^0\pi^0$ $D^0\eta$	3.83 1.37 1.96 1.50
$D_J(2740)$	$1 D \frac{3}{2} 2^-$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$ $D_s^{*+}K^-$ $D^{*0}\pi^0$ $D^{*0}\eta$	1 0.15 0.51 0.24		
$D_J(2740)$	$1 D \frac{5}{2} 2^-$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$ $D_s^{*+}K^-$ $D^{*0}\pi^0$ $D^{*0}\eta$	1 0.02 0.52 0.04		
$D_J^*(2760)$	$1 D \frac{5}{2} 3^-$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$ $D_s^{*+}K^-$ $D^{*0}\pi^0$ $D^{*0}\eta$	1 0.02 0.52 0.05	$D^+\pi^-$ $D_s^+K^-$ $D^0\pi^0$ $D^0\eta$	1.95 0.20 1.01 0.27

Table 6: The ratios  $\widehat{\Gamma} = \frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma(D_J^{(*)} \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^-)}$  of the strong decays of the newly observed charmed mesons with possible assignments.

	$n L s_\ell J^P$	Decay channels	$\widehat{\Gamma}$	Decay channels	$\widehat{\Gamma}$
$D_J^*(3000)$	$1 F \frac{5}{2} 2^+$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$ $D_s^{*+}K^-$ $D^{*0}\pi^0$ $D^{*0}\eta$	1 0.26 0.51 0.32	$D^+\pi^-$ $D_s^+K^-$ $D^0\pi^0$ $D^0\eta$	2.91 1.09 1.49 1.15
$D_J(3000)$	$1 F \frac{5}{2} 3^+$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$ $D_s^{*+}K^-$ $D^{*0}\pi^0$ $D^{*0}\eta$	1 0.23 0.51 0.29		
$D_J(3000)$	$1 F \frac{7}{2} 3^+$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$ $D_s^{*+}K^-$ $D^{*0}\pi^0$ $D^{*0}\eta$	1 0.08 0.52 0.12		
$D_J^*(3000)$	$1 F \frac{7}{2} 4^+$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$ $D_s^{*+}K^-$ $D^{*0}\pi^0$ $D^{*0}\eta$	1 0.10 0.51 0.14	$D^+\pi^-$ $D_s^+K^-$ $D^0\pi^0$ $D^0\eta$	1.93 0.35 0.99 0.41
$D_J^*(3000)$	$2 P \frac{1}{2} 0^+$			$D^+\pi^-$ $D_s^+K^-$ $D^0\pi^0$ $D^0\eta$	1 0.82 0.50 0.65
$D_J(3000)$	$2 P \frac{1}{2} 1^+$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$ $D_s^{*+}K^-$ $D^{*0}\pi^0$ $D^{*0}\eta$	1 0.73 0.50 0.62		
$D_J(3000)$	$2 P \frac{3}{2} 1^+$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$ $D_s^{*+}K^-$ $D^{*0}\pi^0$ $D^{*0}\eta$	1 0.25 0.51 0.26		
$D_J^*(3000)$	$2 P \frac{3}{2} 2^+$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$ $D_s^{*+}K^-$ $D^{*0}\pi^0$ $D^{*0}\eta$	1 0.28 0.51 0.28	$D^+\pi^-$ $D_s^+K^-$ $D^0\pi^0$ $D^0\eta$	1.05 0.42 0.53 0.37
$D_J(3000)$	$3 S \frac{1}{2} 0^-$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$ $D_s^{*+}K^-$ $D^{*0}\pi^0$ $D^{*0}\eta$	1 0.45 0.50 0.38		
$D_J^*(3000)$	$3 S \frac{1}{2} 1^-$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$ $D_s^{*+}K^-$ $D^{*0}\pi^0$ $D^{*0}\eta$	1 0.48 0.50 0.40	$D^+\pi^-$ $D_s^+K^-$ $D^0\pi^0$ $D^0\eta$	0.64 0.37 0.32 0.29

Table 7: The ratios  $\widehat{\Gamma} = \frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma(D_J^{(*)} \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^-)}$  of the strong decays of the newly observed charmed mesons with possible assignments. In the decays  $0_{\frac{1}{2}}^+ \rightarrow 0_{\frac{1}{2}}^- + \mathcal{P}$ ,  $\widehat{\Gamma} = \frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma(D_J^* \rightarrow D^+\pi^-)}$ .

BaBar	CLEO	CLEO	ARGUS	ZEUS	This work
$1.47 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.16$	$2.2 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.6$	$2.3 \pm 0.8$	$3.0 \pm 1.1 \pm 1.5$	$2.8 \pm 0.8^{+0.5}_{-0.6}$	2.29

Table 8: The experimental values of the ratio  $\frac{\Gamma(D_2^*(2460)^0 \rightarrow D^+ \pi^-)}{\Gamma(D_2^*(2460)^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} \pi^-)}$  compared to the prediction of the leading order heavy meson effective theory.

If we saturate the total widths of the doublet  $(D_J(2580), D_J^*(2650))$  or  $(D(2550), D(2600))$  with the two-body decays to the ground states  $(D, D^*)_{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{P}$ , the total widths  $\Gamma_{D_J(2580)} \approx 1.3g_H^2 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $\Gamma_{D_J^*(2650)} \approx 2.5g_H^2 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $\Gamma_{D(2550)} \approx 1.7g_H^2 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $\Gamma_{D(2600)} \approx 2.0g_H^2 \text{ GeV}$ , the ratio  $\frac{\Gamma_{D_J(2580)}}{\Gamma_{D_J^*(2650)}} \approx 0.52$  is smaller than the experimental data  $\frac{\Gamma_{D_J(2580)}}{\Gamma_{D_J^*(2650)}} \approx 1.27$  from the LHCb collaboration [1], the ratio  $\frac{\Gamma_{D(2550)}}{\Gamma_{D(2600)}} \approx 0.85$  is also smaller than the experimental data  $\frac{\Gamma_{D(2550)}}{\Gamma_{D(2600)}} \approx 1.40$  from the BaBar collaboration [2]. The 2S states  $(D_J(2580), D_J^*(2650))$  or  $(D(2550), D(2600))$  can decay to the P-wave states  $(0^+, 1^+)_{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{P}$  and  $(1^+, 2^+)_{\frac{3}{2}} + \mathcal{P}$ , which also contribute to the total decay widths. While the decays to the P-wave states  $(0^+, 1^+)_{\frac{1}{2}} + V$  are kinematically forbidden, where the  $V$  denotes the light vector mesons  $\rho$ ,  $K^*$ ,  $\omega$ . If those contributions are taken into account properly, the discrepancy may be smeared. The ratio  $\frac{\Gamma_{D_1(2420)}}{\Gamma_{D_2^*(2460)}} \approx 0.31$  from the heavy meson effective theory in the leading order approximation is also smaller than the experimental data 0.81 [1]. For the charmed mesons, the flavor and spin violation corrections of order  $\mathcal{O}(1/m_Q)$  may be sizable, we have to introduce new unknown coupling constants, the discrepancy may be smeared with the optimal parameters [26], furthermore, more precise measurements are needed to make a reliable comparison.

## 4 Conclusion

In this article, we tentatively identify the charmed mesons  $D_J(2580)$ ,  $D_J^*(2650)$ ,  $D_J(2740)$ ,  $D_J^*(2760)$ ,  $D_J(3000)$ ,  $D_J^*(3000)$  observed by the LHCb collaboration according to their spin, parity and masses, then study their strong decays to the ground state charmed mesons plus light pseudoscalar mesons with the heavy meson effective theory in the leading order approximation, and obtain explicit expressions of the decay widths. The strong coupling constants  $g_H$ ,  $g_S$ ,  $g_T$ ,  $g_X$ ,  $g_Y$ ,  $g_Z$ ,  $g_R$  in the heavy meson chiral Lagrangians can be fitted to the experimental data in the future at the LHCb, BESIII, KEK-B, PANDA, and be taken as basic input parameters in studying the interactions among the heavy mesons. While the ratios among the decay widths can be used to confirm or reject the assignments of the newly observed charmed mesons.

## Acknowledgment

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant Numbers 11075053, 11375063, and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

## References

- [1] R. Aaij et al, arXiv:1307.4556.
- [2] P. del Amo Sanchez et al, Phys. Rev. **D82** (2010) 111101.
- [3] Z. G. Wang, Phys. Rev. **D83** (2011) 014009.

- [4] Z. F. Sun, J. S. Yu, X. Liu and T. Matsuki, Phys. Rev. **D82** (2010) 111501; X. H. Zhong, Phys. Rev. **D82** (2010) 114014; D. M. Li, P. F. Ji and B. Ma, Eur. Phys. J. **C71** (2011) 1582; B. Chen, L. Yuan and A. Zhang, Phys. Rev. **D83** (2011) 114025; D. Mohler and R. M. Woloshyn, Phys. Rev. **D84** (2011) 054505; A. M. Badalian and B. L. G. Bakker, Phys. Rev. **D84** (2011) 034006; Z. Y. Zhou and Z. Xiao, Phys. Rev. **D84** (2011) 034023.
- [5] P. Colangelo, F. De Fazio, F. Giannuzzi and S. Nicotri, Phys. Rev. **D86** (2012) 054024.
- [6] A. V. Manohar and M. B. Wise, Camb. Monogr. Part. Phys. Nucl. Phys. Cosmol. **10** (2000) 1; M. Neubert, Phys. Rept. **245** (1994) 259.
- [7] J. Beringer et al, Phys. Rev. **D86** (2012) 010001.
- [8] S. Godfrey and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. **D32** (1985) 189.
- [9] M. Di Pierro and E. Eichten, Phys. Rev. **D64** (2001) 114004.
- [10] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov and V. O. Galkin, Eur. Phys. J. **C66** (2010) 197.
- [11] B. Zhang, X. Liu, W. Z. Deng and S. L. Zhu, Eur. Phys. J. **C50** (2007) 617.
- [12] P. Colangelo, F. De Fazio and R. Ferrandes, Phys. Lett. **B634** (2006) 235; P. Colangelo, F. De Fazio and S. Nicotri, Phys. Lett. **B642** (2006) 48; P. Colangelo, F. De Fazio, S. Nicotri and M. Rizzi, Phys. Rev. **D77** (2008) 014012; P. Colangelo and F. De Fazio, Phys. Rev. **D81** (2010) 094001.
- [13] R. Casalbuoni, A. Deandrea, N. Di Bartolomeo, R. Gatto, F. Feruglio and G. Nardulli, Phys. Lett. **B302** (1993) 95; F. De Fazio, Phys. Rev. **D79** (2009) 054015; Z. G. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. **A47** (2011) 94; Z. G. He, X. R. Lu, J. Soto and Y. Zheng, Phys. Rev. **D83** (2011) 054028; Z. G. Wang, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **51** (2012) 1518; Z. G. Wang, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A27** (2012) 1250197; Z. G. Wang, Commun. Theor. Phys. **57** (2012) 93.
- [14] A. F. Falk, Nucl. Phys. **B378** (1992) 79; A. F. Falk and M. E. Luke, Phys. Lett. **B292** (1992) 119.
- [15] M. B. Wise, Phys. Rev. **D45** (1992) 2188; G. Burdman and J. F. Donoghue, Phys. Lett. **B280** (1992) 287; P. Cho, Phys. Lett. **B285** (1992) 145; U. Kilian, J. G. Korner and D. Pirjol, Phys. Lett. **B288** (1992) 360.
- [16] R. Casalbuoni, A. Deandrea, N. Di Bartolomeo, F. Feruglio, R. Gatto and G. Nardulli, Phys. Rept. **281** (1997) 145.
- [17] Z. G. Wang, Nucl. Phys. **A796** (2007) 61.
- [18] S. Ahmed et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87** (2001) 251801.
- [19] A. Anastassov et al, Phys. Rev. **D65** (2002) 032003.
- [20] P. Colangelo, F. De Fazio, G. Nardulli, N. Di Bartolomeo and R. Gatto, Phys. Rev. **D52** (1995) 6422; Z. G. Wang and S. L. Wan, Phys. Rev. **D74** (2006) 014017.
- [21] P. Z. Huang, L. Zhang and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. **D81** (2010) 094025.
- [22] P. Avery et al, Phys. Lett. **B331** (1994) 236.
- [23] P. Avery et al, Phys. Rev. **D41** (1990) 774.
- [24] H. Albrecht et al, Phys. Lett. **B232** (1989) 398.

- [25] S. Chekanov et al, Eur. Phys. J. **C60** (2009) 25.
- [26] A. F. Falk and T. Mehen, Phys. Rev. **D53** (1996) 231; C. G. Boyd and B. Grinstein, Nucl. Phys. **B442** (1995) 205.