arXiv:1308.3382v1 [hep-ph] 15 Aug 2013

The $\gamma p \to n a_2^+(1320) \to n\rho^0\pi^+$ reactions within an effective Lagrangian approach

Yin Huang,[1,2,3,*] Ju-Jun Xie,[1,2,4,†] Xu-Rong Chen,[1,2] Jun He,[1,2,4] and Hong-Fei Zhang[2,3]

[1] *Research Center for Hadron and CSR Physics, Institute of Modern Physics of CAS and Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China*
[2] *Institute of modern physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, China*
[3] *School of Nuclear Science and Technology, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China*
[4] *State Key Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences*

(Dated: February 26, 2022)

We investigate the $a_2(1320)$ meson photon production in the $\gamma p \to n a_2^+(1320)$ and $\gamma p \to n\rho^0\pi^+$ reactions within the effective Lagrangian method. For $\gamma p \to n a_2^+(1320)$ reaction, by considering the contributions only from the $t-$channel π^+ exchange, we get a fairly good description of the current experimental total cross section data. We also studied the $\gamma p \to n\rho^0\pi^+$ reaction, which mainly contribute to the $\gamma p \to n\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$ reaction. The latter reaction has measured by the CLAS Collaboration. The total cross sections, invariant mass distribution, and the Dalitz Plot of $\gamma p \to n\rho^0\pi^+$ reaction are shown, which can be tested by future experiments.

PACS numbers: 13.60.Le, 12.39.Mk,13.25.Jx

I. INTRODUCTION

In the traditional constituent quark models (CQM), mesons are described as quark-anti-quark ($q\bar{q}$) states. This picture could explain successfully the properties of the ground states of the flavor SU(3) vector meson nonet. However, there are many meson (or meson-like) states could not be explained as $q\bar{q}$ states. For example, in the low energy scalar sector, the $\sigma(500)$, $a_0(980)$ and $f_0(980)$ [1], which are proposed as the meson-meson dynamically generated states [2, 3]. Besides, those meson states, with spin-parity-charge parity $J^{PC} = 0^{--}$, 0^{+-}, 1^{-+}, and 2^{+-}, *etc*, would be also existence, but they cannot be obtained by $q\bar{q}$ pairs within the CQM. These states are known as "exotics" and observation of them has been of great interest as it would be clear evidence for mesons beyond the classical CQM picture.

Indeed, on the experimental side, searching for the scalar-isoscalar mesons have been carried out in the $p\bar{p}$ annihilation [4], pp interaction at high energies [5–7], πN reactions [8–11], J/ψ radiative decays [12, 13], and photon production processes [14]. The results show that in the $1-2$ GeV mass range there are several meson states that do not agree with the predictions of the CQM, and some of these states may have a significant non-$q\bar{q}$ component. As in Ref. [8], an exotic meson, $J^{PC} = 1^{-+}$, $I^G = 1^-$, with mass 1406 ± 20 MeV and width 180 ± 30 MeV, has been observed in the study of the exclusive reaction $\pi^- p \to \pi^0\eta n$ at 100 GeV. Ten years later, the E852 Collaboration reported another exotic resonant signal in the $\pi^- p \to \pi^-\eta p$ reaction at 18 GeV [11], the corresponding mass and width are $1370 \pm 16^{+50}_{-30}$ MeV and $385 \pm 40^{+65}_{-105}$ MeV, respectively. Furthermore, lattice and model calculations indicate that the lightest exotic meson should have $J^{PC} = 1^{-+}$ and mass below 2 GeV [15, 16].

Recently, the CLAS Collaboration at Jefferson Lab has contributed in looking for the exotic mesons in the $\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$ system photoproduced by the charge exchange reaction $\gamma p \to \pi^+\pi^+\pi^- n$ [17]. However, the partial wave analysis shows that the most contributions to the $\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$ production are from the tensor mesons $a_2(1320)$ $[I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^{++})]$ and $\pi_2(1670)$. There is no evidence for the production of the exotic states $a_1(1260)$ and $\pi_1(1600)$ at expected levels.

Since the $\rho\pi$ channel is the main decay channel of the $a_2(1320)$ ($\equiv a_2$) meson, the $\gamma p \to n a_2^+(1320)$ reaction has been studied from the $\gamma p \to n(\rho\pi)^+$ reaction by several experiments [17–21]. On the theoretical side, a phenomenological analysis, for studying the production mechanism of the exotic states, has been done in Ref. [14] with the vector meson dominance (VMD) model. They found that in the photo-production the exotic $\pi_1(1600)$ and $a_2(1320)$ meson production should be comparable, which is disagreement with the recent precise experimental measurements [17]. Thus, more theoretical studies on this issue are needed.

In the present work, with the new experimental results from CLAS Collaboration [17], we study the $\gamma p \to n a_2^+(1320) \to n\rho^0\pi^+$ reactions by using the effective Lagrangian approach and the isobar model. We consider the contributions from the t-channel π^+ exchange for the $\gamma p \to n a_2^+(1320)$ reaction. For the low energy of the $\gamma p \to n\rho^0\pi^+$ reaction, we pay especially attention on the role of the $a_2(1320)$ meson.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sect. II, we present the formalism and ingredients necessary for our calculations. The numerical results are also shown. A short summary is given in the last section.

*Electronic address: huangy2011@lzu.cn
†Electronic address: xiejujun@impcas.ac.cn

II. FORMALISM AND NUMERICAL RESULTS

The basic tree level Feynman diagrams, for the $\gamma p \to n a_2^+(1320)$ [Fig. 1 (a)] and $\gamma p \to n\rho^0\pi^+$ [Fig. 1 (b)] reactions, are shown in Fig. 1, where we consider only the $t-$channel π^+ exchange process, while the $s-$channel and $u-$channel processes are neglected since the information of those processes is scarce and we expect these contributions to be small.




FIG. 1: Feynman diagrams for $\gamma p \to n a_2^+$ and $\gamma p \to n\pi^+\rho^0$ reactions.

A. $\gamma p \to n a_2^+$ reaction

Firstly, we pay attention to the reaction $\gamma p \to n a_2^+$. To compute the contributions from the $t-$channel π^+ exchange, as shown in Fig. 1 (a), we use the effective interaction Lagrangian densities as used in Refs. [23–26],

$$\mathcal{L}_{a_2\pi\gamma} = \frac{g_{a_2\pi\gamma}}{m_\pi^2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\partial^\mu a_2^{\nu\delta}\partial^\rho A^\sigma \partial_\delta \phi_\pi, \quad (1)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi NN} = -ig_{\pi NN}\bar{N}\gamma_5\vec{\tau}\cdot\vec{\pi}N, \quad (2)$$

where $g_{\pi NN}^2/4\pi = 14.4$, while the value of the coupling constant $g_{a_2\pi\gamma}$ can be determined from the partial decay width of $a_2 \to \pi\gamma$, which can be easily obtained with Eq. (1),

$$\Gamma_{a_2\to\pi\gamma} = \frac{g_{a_2\pi\gamma}^2}{40\pi m_\pi^4}p_\gamma^5, \quad (3)$$

with

$$p_\gamma = \frac{M^2 - m_\pi^2}{2M}, \quad (4)$$

where M is mass of $a_2(1320)$ meson.

With mass ($M = 1318.3$ MeV, $m_\pi = 139.57$ MeV), total decay width ($\Gamma_{a_2} = 107$ MeV), and decay branching ratio of $a_2 \to \pi\gamma$ [$\mathrm{Br}(a_2 \to \pi\gamma) = 2.68 \times 10^{-3}$], from Eq. (3), we obtain $g_{a_2\pi\gamma} = 1.08 \times 10^{-2}$.

As we are not dealing with point-like particles, we ought to introduce the compositeness of the hadrons. This is usually achieved by including form factors in the finite interaction vertexes. In the present work, we adopt the following form factor as used in the Bonn model [27] for the exchanged π^+ meson in the t-channel,

$$F_\pi(t) = \frac{\Lambda_\pi^2 - m_\pi^2}{\Lambda_\pi^2 - t}, \quad (5)$$

where $t = q^2$ with q the four momentum of the exchanged π meson, and Λ_π is the cutoff parameter.

With the effective Lagrangian densities given above, we can easily construct the invariant scattering amplitude for the $\gamma p \to n a_2^+$ reaction,

$$\mathcal{M} = \bar{u}(s_2, p_2)\ \mathcal{A}_{\sigma,\nu\delta}\varepsilon^\sigma(k_1,\lambda_1)T^{\nu\delta *}(k_2,\lambda_2)\ u(s_1,p_1), \quad (6)$$

where s_2, p_2 and s_1, p_1 denote the spin polarization variables and the four-momenta of the outgoing neutron and the initial proton, respectively, while k_1, λ_1 and k_2, λ_2 are the four-momenta and spin polarization variables of the photon and $a_2(1320)$ meson, respectively. The $\bar{u}(s_2, p_2)$ and $u(s_1, p_1)$ are the Dirac spinors for the neutron and proton, respectively, while the $\varepsilon_\mu(k_1, \lambda_1)$ and $T^*_{\nu\rho}(k_2, \lambda_2)$ are the polarization vector and the polarization tensor of the photon and $a_2(1320)$ meson, respectively. The reduced $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma,\nu\delta}$ reads,

$$\mathcal{A}_{\sigma,\nu\delta} = i\frac{g_{\pi NN}g_{a_2\pi\gamma}}{m_\pi^2}F_\pi^2(t)\frac{\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}k_2^\mu k_1^\rho q_\delta}{t - m_\pi^2}. \quad (7)$$

Then, the differential cross section for $\gamma p \to n a_2^+$ at center of mass (c.m.) frame can be expressed as

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta} = \frac{m_p m_n}{8\pi s}\frac{|\vec{k_2}^{\,\mathrm{c.m.}}|}{|\vec{k_1}^{\,\mathrm{c.m.}}|}\left(\frac{1}{4}\sum_{s_1,s_2,\lambda_1,\lambda_2}|\mathcal{M}|^2\right), \quad (8)$$

where θ denotes the angle of the outgoing a_2^+ meson relative to beam direction in the c.m. frame, $\vec{k_1}^{\,\mathrm{c.m.}}$ and $\vec{k_2}^{\,\mathrm{c.m.}}$ are the 3-momentum of the initial photon and the final a_2^+ meson in the c.m. frame, respectively.

The sum over polarizations, in Eq. (8), can be easily done thanks to

$$\sum_\lambda \varepsilon^\mu(k_1,\lambda)\varepsilon^{\nu *}(k_1,\lambda) = -g^{\mu\nu}, \quad (9)$$

for the photon, and

$$\sum_\lambda T_{\mu\nu}(k_2,\lambda)T^*_{\mu'\nu'}(k_2,\lambda) = P_{\mu\nu\mu'\nu'} = \frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{g}_{\mu\mu'}\widetilde{g}_{\nu\nu'} + \widetilde{g}_{\mu\nu'}\widetilde{g}_{\nu\mu'}) - \frac{1}{3}\widetilde{g}_{\mu\nu}\widetilde{g}_{\mu'\nu'}, \quad (10)$$

for the tensor $a_2(1320)$ meson, where $\widetilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = -g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{k_2^\mu k_2^\nu}{M^2}$.

With the ingredients shown above, we can easily calculate the total cross sections for $\gamma p \to n a_2^+$ reaction, which is shown in Fig. 2, where the solid, dashed, and dotted lines are obtained with cut off parameter $\Lambda_\pi = 0.9$, 0.7, and 0.5 GeV, respectively. The experimental data from Refs. [17, 19–21, 29] are also shown for comparing. We see that our theoretical results, which is obtained including only the contributions from the $t-$channel π^+ exchange, can give a reasonable description of the current experimental data.




FIG. 2: The total cross sections of $\gamma p \to n a_2^+$ reaction as function of photon energy E_γ. The experimental data are taken from Ref. [17] (square), Ref. [19] (cycle), Ref. [20] (open square), Ref. [21] (dot), and Ref. [29] (triangle).

B. $\gamma p \to n\rho^0\pi^+$ reaction

Next, we pay attention to the $\gamma p \to n\rho^0\pi^+$ reaction, which mainly contribute to the $\gamma p \to n\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$ reaction.

In the present case, we need also the interaction Lagrangian density for the $a_2\pi\rho$ vertex,

$$\mathcal{L}_{a_2\pi\rho} = \frac{g_{a_2\pi\rho}}{m_\pi^2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\eta\sigma}\partial^\mu a_2^{\nu\delta}\partial^\eta\rho^\sigma\partial_\delta\phi_\pi, \qquad (11)$$

where the value of the coupling constant $g_{a_2\pi\rho}$ can be determined from the $a_2 \to \rho\pi \to \pi\pi\pi$ decays. The decay processes are shown in Fig. 3.




FIG. 3: Feynman diagrams for $a_2 \to 3\pi$ reactions.

We follow the formalism as in Ref. [30] for the case of $N^*(1535)N\rho$ coupling. The $a_2 \to \pi\pi\pi$ partial decay width is related to the decay amplitude through

$$d\Gamma_{a_2\to\rho\pi\to\pi\pi\pi} = \frac{1}{2M}\frac{3}{5}|\mathcal{M}_{a_2^+\to\rho^0\pi^+\to\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0}|^2 \times \frac{1}{(2\pi)^5}\frac{d^3p_1 d^3p_2 d^3p_3}{8E_1E_2E_3}\delta^4(M-p_1-p_2-p_3), \qquad (12)$$

where p_1, p_2, p_3 and E_1, E_2, E_3 are the momenta and energies of the final mesons. The decay amplitude $\mathcal{M}_{a_2^+\to\rho^0\pi^+\to\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0}$ is,

$$\mathcal{M}_{a_2^+\to\rho^0\pi^+\to\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0} = \frac{g_{\rho\pi\pi}g_{a_2\pi\rho}}{m_\pi^2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\eta\sigma}p_{a_2}^\mu T^{\nu\delta}p_\rho^\eta p_{1\delta} \times G^{\sigma\beta}(p_\rho)(p_2-p_3)_\beta F_\rho(p_\rho^2), \qquad (13)$$

where $p_{a_2} = p_1 + p_2 + p_3$ and $p_\rho = p_2 + p_3$ are the four momenta of the a_2 meson and ρ meson, respectively. The $F_\rho(p_\rho^2)$ and $G_{\sigma\beta}(p_\rho)$ are the form factor and the propagator for the ρ-meson, with the forms as in Ref. [30],

$$F(p_\rho^2) = \frac{\Lambda_\rho^2}{\Lambda_\rho^2 + |p_\rho^2 - m_\rho^2|}, \qquad (14)$$

$$G^{\sigma\beta}(p_\rho) = -i\frac{g^{\sigma\beta} - p_\rho^\sigma p_\rho^\beta/p_\rho^2}{p_\rho^2 - m_\rho^2 + im_\rho\Gamma_\rho}, \qquad (15)$$

with a cut-off parameter Λ_ρ and the total decay width of ρ meson, $\Gamma_\rho = 150$ MeV .

With the values of $g^2_{\rho\pi\pi}/4\pi = 2.91$ and the partial decay width $\Gamma_{a_2\to\rho\pi\to\pi\pi\pi} = 75$ MeV [1], we can get the coupling constant $g^2_{a_2\rho\pi}/4\pi$ as a function of the cut off parameter Λ_ρ as shown in Fig. 4. In the present calculation, we will take $\Lambda_\rho = 1.0$ GeV which leads to $g^2_{a_2\rho\pi}/4\pi = 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$.




FIG. 4: Coupling constant $g^2_{a_2\rho\pi}/4\pi$ *versus* the cut-off parameter Λ_ρ.

Turning now to the $\gamma p \to n\rho^0\pi^+$ reaction, by using the formalism and ingredients given above, the calculations of the differential and total cross sections for this reaction are straightforward,

$$d\sigma(\gamma p \to n\rho^0\pi^+) = \frac{1}{8E_\gamma}\sum_{s_1,s_2}\sum_{s_3,s_4}|\mathcal{M}|^2 \times \frac{m_n d^3p_3}{E_3}\frac{d^3p_4}{2E_4}\frac{d^3p_5}{2E_5}\delta^4(p_1+p_2-p_3-p_4-p_5), \qquad (16)$$

where p_i $(i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ is the four momentum for photon, proton, neutron, ρ^0 meson, and π^+ meson, and s_i

$(i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ is the spin polarization variables of photon, proton, neutron and ρ^0 meson, while E_γ is the photon energy at the Lab frame. The scattering amplitude $\mathcal{M}$ is,

$$\mathcal{M} = i\frac{\sqrt{2}g_{a_2\pi\rho}g_{\gamma\pi a_2}g_{\pi NN}}{m_\pi^4}\mathcal{A}_{\pi^+}, \quad (17)$$

with

$$\mathcal{A}_{\pi^+} = \bar{u}_n(p_3)\gamma_5 u_p(p_2)\frac{F_\pi^2(t)}{t-m_\pi^2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}q_{a_2}^\mu p_1^\rho \epsilon^\sigma(p_1)(p_2-p_3)_\delta \times \frac{F_{a_2}(q_{a_2}^2)P^{\nu\delta\beta\omega}}{q_{a_2}^2 - M^2 + iM\Gamma_{a_2}}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\eta\lambda}q_{a_2}^\alpha p_4^\eta \epsilon^{\lambda *}(p_4)p_{5\omega}, \quad (18)$$

where $F_{a_2}(q_{a_2}^2)$ is the form factor for the off shell $a_2(1320)$ meson, which has the form,

$$F_{a_2}(q_{a_2}^2) = \frac{\Lambda_{a_2}^4}{\Lambda_{a_2}^4 + (q_{a_2}^2 - M^2)^2}, \quad (19)$$

with q_{a_2} is the four momentum of the $a_2(1320)$ meson and the cut off parameter $\Lambda_{a_2} = 1.0$ GeV. On the other hand, the $P_{\beta\omega\nu\delta}$, in Eq. (18), has been defined in Eq. (10).

Then, the total cross section versus the beam energy E_γ of the photon for the $\gamma p \to n\rho^0\pi^+$ reaction is calculated by using a Monte Carlo multi-particle phase space integration program. Our predictions, with $\Lambda_\pi = 0.5$, 0.7 and 0.9 GeV, for the beam energies E_γ from just above the production threshold 1.36 GeV to 6.0 GeV are shown in Fig. 5 by dotted, dashed and solid curves, respectively.




FIG. 5: The total cross section of $\gamma p \to n\rho^0\pi^+$ reaction as function of photon energy E_γ at the Lab frame.

Furthermore, the corresponding $\rho^0\pi^+$ invariant mass spectrum, and the Dalitz Plot for the $\gamma p \to n\rho^0\pi^+$ reaction at beam energy $E_\gamma = 5.1$ GeV, which is reachable for the CLAS experiment [17], are calculated and shown in Fig. 6. The dashed lines are pure phase space distributions, while, the solid lines are full results from our model. From Fig. 6, we can see that there is a clear peak in the $\rho^0\pi^+$ invariant mass distribution, which is produced by including the contribution from the $a_2(1320)$ meson. Those theoretical predictions can be checked by the future experiments.

III. SUMMARY

In this work, with the new experimental results from CLAS Collaboration [17], we perform a calculation of the $a_2(1320)$ meson photon-production in the $\gamma p \to n a_2^+(1320)$ and $\gamma p \to n\rho^0\pi^+$ reactions within the effective Lagrangian method and the isobar model. For the $\gamma p \to n a_2^+(1320)$ reaction, by considering the contributions from only the t-channel π^+ exchange, we get a fairly good description of the current experimental total cross section data.

The recent results of the $\gamma p \to n\rho^0\pi^+$ reaction from CLAS Collaboration [17] show that the most contributions to the $\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$ production are from the tensor mesons $a_2(1320)$ and $\pi_2(1670)$. So, basing on our results of $\gamma p \to n a_2^+(1320)$ reaction, we have studied the $\gamma p \to n\rho^0\pi^+$ reaction, which mainly contribute to the $\gamma p \to n\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$ reaction. In this case, we pay especially attention on the role of the $a_2(1320)$ meson. We have calculated the total cross sections, invariant mass distribution, and the Dalitz Plot for the $\gamma p \to n\rho^0\pi^+$ reaction. Those theoretical predictions can be tested by the future experiments.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Dian-Yong Chen for useful discussions. This work is partly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants No. 11105126, No. 11275235, No. 11035006, No. 10905077, and the Knowledge Innovation Project of the Chinese Academy of Sciences under Grant No.KJCX2-EW-N01.

[1] J. Beringer et al. (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. **D86**, 010001 (2012).
[2] J. Weinstein and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 659 (1982).
[3] J. A. Oller, E. Oset and A. Ramos, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **45**, 157 (2000), and references therein.




FIG. 6: The $\rho^0\pi^+$ invariant mass spectrum (upper panel), and the Dalitz Plot (lower panel) for the $\gamma p \to n\rho^0\pi^+$ reaction at beam energy $E_\gamma = 5.1$ GeV. The dashed lines are pure phase space distributions, while, the solid lines are full results from our model.

[4] C. Amsler, Rev. Mod. Phys. **70** (1998) 1293.
[5] F. Antinori *et al.* [WA91 Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **353**, 589 (1995).
[6] D. Barberis *et al.* [WA102 Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **413**, 217 (1997).
[7] D. Barberis *et al.* [WA102 Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **453**, 316 (1999).
[8] D. Alde *et al.* [IHEP-Brussels-Los Alamos-Annecy(LAPP) Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **205**, 397 (1988).
[9] G. M. Beladidze *et al.* [VES Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **313**, 276 (1993).
[10] H. Aoyagi, S. Fukui, T. Hasegawa, N. Hayashi, N. Horikawa, J. Iizuka, S. Inaba and S. Ishimoto *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **314**, 246 (1993).
[11] D. R. Thompson *et al.* [E852 Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 1630 (1997).
[12] D. V. Bugg, I. Scott, B. S. Zou, V. V. Anisovich, A. V. Sarantsev, T. H. Burnett and S. Sutlief, Phys. Lett. B **353**, 378 (1995).
[13] J. Z. Bai *et al.* [BES Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 3959 (1996).
[14] A. P. Szczepaniak and M. Swat, Phys. Lett. B **516**, 72 (2001).
[15] C. Bernard et al., Phys. Rev. D **56** (1997) 7039.
K.J. Juge, J. Kuti, C.J. Morningstar, Nucl. Phys. Proc.Suppl. **63** (1998) 326.
[16] N. Isgur, J. Paton, Phys. Rev. D **31** (1985) 2910;
T. Barnes, F.E. Close, F. de Viron, J.Weyers, Nucl. Phys. B **224**(1983) 241.
[17] M. Nozar *et al.* [CLAS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 102002 (2009).
[18] H. R. Crouch *et al.* [Cambridge Bubble Chamber Group], Phys. Rev. **169**, 1081 (1968).
[19] J. Ballam, G. B. Chadwick, Z. G. T. Guiragossian, A. Levy, M. Menke, P. Seyboth and G. E. Wolf, Phys. Lett. B **30**, 421 (1969).
[20] Y. Eisenberg, B. Haber, B. Horovitz, E. Peleg, E. E. Ronat, A. Shapira, G. Vishinsky and G. Yekutieli *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **23**, 1322 (1969).
[21] Y. Eisenberg *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **5**, 15 (1972).
[22] H. Harari, Phys. Rev. **155**, 1565 (1967).
[23] N. Levy, P. Singer and S. Toaff, Phys. Rev. D **13**, 2662 (1976).
[24] J. Babcock and J. L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D **14**, 1286 (1976).
[25] K. Bongardt, W. Gampp and H. Genz, Z. Phys. C **3**, 233 (1980).
[26] Z. E. S. Uy, Phys. Rev. D **29**, 574 (1984).
[27] R. Machleidt, K. Holinde, and Ch. Elster, Phys. Rep. **149**, 1 (1987)
[28] G.S. Adams et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 5760 (1998)
[29] G. T. Condo *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **48**, 3045 (1993).
[30] Ju-Jun Xie, Colin Wilkin, and Bing-Song Zou, Phys. Rev. C **77**, 058202 (2008).