

Models with radiative neutrino masses and viable dark matter candidates

Diego Restrepo*, Oscar Zapata†
*Instituto de Física, Universidad de Antioquia,
 Calle 70 No. 52-21, Medellín, Colombia*

Carlos E. Yaguna‡
*Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Münster,
 Wilhelm-Klemm-Straße 9, D-48149 Münster, Germany*

February 27, 2024

Abstract

We provide a list of particle physics models at the TeV-scale that are compatible with neutrino masses and dark matter. In these models, the Standard Model particle content is extended with a small number (≤ 4) of scalar and fermion fields transforming as singlets, doublets or triplets under $SU(2)$, and neutrino masses are generated radiatively via 1-loop diagrams. The dark matter candidates are stabilized by a Z_2 symmetry and are in general mixtures of the neutral components of such new multiplets. We describe the particle content of each of these models and determine the conditions under which they are consistent with current data. We find a total of 35 viable models, most of which have not been previously studied in the literature. There is a great potential to test these models at the LHC not only due to the TeV-scale masses of the new fields but also because about half of the viable models contain particles with exotic electric charges, which give rise to background-free signals. Our results should serve as a first step for detailed analysis of models that can simultaneously account for dark matter and neutrino masses.

1 Introduction

The evidence for physics beyond the Standard Model rests on two pillars: neutrino masses and dark matter, both solidly supported by the experimental data. Cosmological observations show that about 25% of the energy-density of the Universe consists of a new form of matter usually called *dark matter* [1, 2]. The elementary particle responsible for it should be neutral and stable and, to be consistent with structure formation, it must behave as *cold* dark matter.

*restrepo@udea.edu.co

†ozapata@fisica.udea.edu.co

‡carlos.yaguna@uni-muenster.de

Because none of the known elementary particles satisfy these conditions, a new particle, the so-called dark matter candidate, is required. We still do not know, however, what this new particle is (its mass, spin, interactions, etc.) or what is the new physics scenario in which it appears. Many possibilities have been considered throughout the years (supersymmetry, extra-dimensions, minimal models, etc.) but the current experimental data does not point toward any specific solution.

The observation of neutrino oscillations [3, 4, 5, 6] implies that neutrinos have non-zero masses –a fact that cannot be explained within the SM. In extensions of the SM, neutrino masses can be generated in several ways: the seesaw mechanism [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17] the inverse-seesaw [18, 19, 20, 21], via loops [22, 23, 24, 25], R-parity violation [26], flavor symmetries [27], etc. Some of them require new physics at very large scales whereas others can be realized at the electroweak scale. The current experimental data is however inconclusive and does not tell us what is the actual mechanism that gives rise to neutrino masses. It is clear, though, that massive neutrinos and dark matter are both part of nature and should be incorporated in models of physics beyond the Standard Model. In other words, it makes sense to require that models of new physics be able to explain the dark matter density of the Universe and to account for the observed pattern of neutrino masses and mixing angles. Normally, dark matter and neutrino masses are treated as separate problems, with many models trying to explain one or the other. It may be, though, that they are related to each other and that, in addition, both originate from new physics at the TeV scale –a scale that can be tested at the LHC.

A simple example of this idea is the model proposed by Ma in [28]¹. In it, the SM is extended with three singlet fermions and an additional scalar doublet, all of them odd under a discrete Z_2 symmetry. Neutrino masses are then generated at one loop and the lightest odd particle, either a fermion or a scalar, is stable and, if neutral, a good dark matter candidate. As we will show, this model is only one example of a very large family of models which can simultaneously account for neutrino masses and the dark matter. The common feature to all these models is that neutrino masses arise radiatively at the 1-loop level.

Recently, a systematic study of all the possible realizations of the Weinberg operator for neutrino masses at 1-loop was presented [31]. They considered new fermion and scalar fields transforming as singlet, doublets or triplets of $SU(2)$ and with arbitrary values of the hypercharge. Their main result is the complete list of sets of fields which give rise to neutrino masses at 1-loop –classified according to the topology of the diagram. We will use such list as our starting point and study which of those sets can account also for the dark matter and under what conditions. Specifically, we look for models containing a stable and neutral particle that are compatible with current bounds from accelerator and dark matter experiments, and with the observed value of the dark matter density. To ensure the stability of the dark matter particle a Z_2 symmetry will be imposed. Under it, the new fields are odd while the SM particles are even. Requiring that at least one of the new multiplets contains a neutral particle (the dark matter candidate) constraints the hypercharge to a few different values within a given set. Each of those values of the hypercharge will define, therefore, a different model, with a given particle content. Our results show that there exists 35 non-equivalent models that can

¹There are some earlier works which relate neutrino masses generated at tree loops [29, 30] with dark matter.

simultaneously account for dark matter and neutrino masses, and that most of them have not been previously studied in the literature.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we introduce our notation and explain the procedure we follow to analyze the dark matter compatibility of the different models. Our main results are presented in Sections 3 to 7, where we describe in detail each of the viable models consistent with dark matter and neutrino masses, classified according to the topology of the 1-loop diagram that gives rise to no-zero ν masses. At the end of each of those sections, our findings are summarized in a simple table. In Section 8 we briefly discuss possible extensions of our results and in section 9 we present our conclusions. For reference, in the appendices the viable models are categorized by the number of fields, and the complete list of models that are contained within others is provided.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we will closely follow the notation from [31] regarding topologies, fields, and models. Thus, the different topologies will be denoted by T1-1, T-3, T-4-2-i, etc. according to their figure 3, and the new fields are denoted as $X_Y^{\mathcal{L}}$, where X refers to its $SU(2)$ transformation (1 for singlet, 2 for doublet, 3 for triplet), \mathcal{L} refers to its Lorentz nature (S for scalar, F for fermion), and $Y \equiv 2(Q - I_3)$ denotes its hypercharge. The models that are compatible with neutrino masses are denoted according to the topology and to the order in which they appear in their Tables 2-5. We see, for example, that according to Table 2 [31] there are 8 different sets associated to the topology T1-1. We will denote them, from top to bottom, by T1-1-A to T1-1-H –and so on for all other topologies. The parameter α appearing in these tables is an integer that determines the hypercharges of the different fields.

Our starting point is then the list of possible field assignments that are compatible with neutrino masses classified according to the topology of the 1-loop diagram, as it appears in Tables 2-5 [31]. It must be emphasized that such list is exhaustive –it includes all the possible realizations of the Weinberg operator at 1-loop with additional fields transforming as singlets, doublets, or triplets of $SU(2)$ ². Our goal is to determine which of those models can also account for the dark matter and under what conditions.

A dark matter candidate should be stable, color and electrically neutral, and must be consistent with current bounds from dark matter experiments, especially direct detection constraints. We will impose all these conditions to obtain a set of viable models. We will also highlight those models containing particles with exotic charges, as they can be more easily tested at the LHC.

To guarantee the stability of the dark matter particle, a discrete symmetry is usually imposed. This discrete symmetry, typically a Z_2 , plays the same role as R-parity in supersymmetric models, rendering the lightest odd particle stable. We will assume a Z_2 symmetry under which the new fields (those mediating the 1-loop diagram for neutrino masses) are odd while the SM fields are even. This Z_2 symmetry imposes, therefore, a first restriction on these models as it prevents us from associating any of the particles in the loop (odd under the Z_2) with SM particles (even under the Z_2). The Zee model [22, 33], for example, is a radiative

²There are additional possibilities if one allows for larger representations, as discussed recently in [32].

model of neutrino masses (it corresponds to the topology T1-2) that cannot accommodate dark matter within this framework because the loop diagram involves the lepton fields. In certain cases, this Z_2 symmetry is also required to prevent a tree-level contribution to neutrino masses. In our description of the models, we will explicitly mention when that is the case.

The new fields that generate neutrino masses can in principle have a non-zero color charge, as illustrated by the models discussed in [34, 35]. Since the lepton doublets and the Higgs field are color neutral (the external legs of the Weinberg operator), as soon as one fixes the color index of one of the internal fields all other are also fixed. Given that we want one of these internal fields to contain the dark matter particle, which should be color neutral, one of them should be a color singlet and, as a result, all of them should be color singlets. This is another condition that the requirement of dark matter imposes on these models. It eliminates the possibility of having colored particles mediating the 1-loop diagrams for neutrino masses.

A more important restriction comes from requiring a neutral particle in the spectrum. By demanding $Q = T_3 + Y/2 = 0$ such that $Y = -2T_3$ for at least one particle, we can obtain the values of the parameter α that are consistent with dark matter for each field assignment. Each such value of α determines what we call a model of radiative neutrino masses and dark matter. In the next sections, we will obtain the full list of such models.

Once we have a model, we want to make sure that it is consistent with present data. We must ensure, for example, that the spectrum does not contain new charged stable particles, as they would have been easily observed in accelerators. For theoretical reasons, we must also enforce the requirement of anomaly cancellation, which translates into fermions that must be vector-like. Dark matter constraints are also important. The dark matter candidates in these models can be scalars or fermions and in general they are mixtures of $SU(2)$ singlet, doublet, and triplet representations. We must ensure that they can account for the observed dark matter density as measured by the WMAP [2] and PLANCK [1] satellites. As usual, we assume the dark matter density to be the result of a freeze-out process in the early Universe. In that case, it is already known that the dark matter candidates we consider yield the observed relic density for masses in the TeV range [36] –the precise value depending on the details of the specific model under consideration. Since it is not our goal to determine the regions that are consistent with the dark matter constraint for each model, we will not strictly enforce the bound on the relic density. We simply keep in mind that it is always possible to find regions in the parameter space of these models where it can be satisfied and that those regions necessarily feature dark matter masses around the TeV scale. Dark matter direct detection experiments will play a crucial role in our analysis as they exclude dark matter candidates that can elastically scatter on nuclei via Z -mediated diagrams. Specifically they allow to exclude as dark matter candidates doublet or triplet fermions and triplet scalars, provided they have nonzero hypercharge. As we will see this is an important restriction that rules many different scenarios out. Indirect detection experiments, on the other hand, are not expected to be as relevant so we do not impose any restriction based on them. In section 8 we comment about their potential.

One of the advantages of having scenarios where dark matter and neutrino masses are simultaneously addressed is that the masses of the new particles naturally comes out to be, via the freeze-out mechanism in the early Universe, at the TeV scale. Consequently, these models can be probed in collider searches at the LHC. With this in mind, we will point out which

of the viable models contain particles with exotic electric charges (doubly-charged fermions or scalars), as they give rise to background-free signals which can be more easily searched for in the LHC data.

We are now ready to present our results: the full set of models with radiative neutrino masses that are compatible with dark matter. To facilitate the analysis, we will classify the models according to the topology of the 1-loop diagram for neutrino masses, with each topology studied in a different section. For each field assignment compatible with neutrino masses, we will determine the values of α (the hypercharges) that allow for a dark matter particle, show the particles it contain, find the dark matter candidates and check whether it is consistent with the bounds previously mentioned. As we will see, within a given topology some models are actually equivalent to others –they contain the same particle content. We will include a remark when that is the case and count only the non-equivalent models. At the end of each section, a table that summarizes the viable models is provided.

3 Models from topology T1-1




Figure 1: One-loop contribution to neutrino mass in the T1-1 models.

In this section we consider the models belonging to the topology T1-1. The 1-loop contribution to the neutrino mass matrix is given in this case by the diagram shown in figure 1. All these models include 3 scalars and one fermion field, which are odd under the Z_2 symmetry. Excluding the hypercharge, there are 8 different field assignments that are consistent with neutrino masses: T1-1-A,...,T1-1-H. In each of them, we find models containing a dark matter candidate. Let us see these viable possibilities one by one.

3.1 Model T1-1-A

φ	ϕ'	ψ	ϕ
1_α^S	$2_{\alpha-1}^S$	1_α^F	$2_{\alpha+1}^S$

Table 1: *Model T1-1-A.*

In this kind of model, the fermion is an $SU(2)$ singlet as is one of the scalars. The other two scalars are $SU(2)$ doublets –see Table 1. The three values of α that are consistent with dark matter are

- $\alpha = 0$: φ_0^0 , $\phi'_{-1} = (\phi'^0, \phi'^-)$, ψ_0^0 $\phi_1 = (\phi^+, \phi^0)$.

Since $\phi' = \phi^\dagger$ for this value of α only 3 additional fields are actually required in this case. This model allows for singlet fermionic dark matter and singlet-doublet scalar DM. For a realization of this model see e.g. [37]. The Z_2 symmetry also prevents a Type I seesaw contribution to neutrino masses.

- $\alpha = 2$: φ_2^+ , $\phi'_1 = (\phi'^+, \phi'^0)$, ψ_2^+ $\phi_3 = (\phi^{++}, \phi^+)$.

This model only allows for doublet scalar DM. Potential signals at the LHC coming from the doubly-charged scalar particle. The fermion should be vector-like to ensure the cancellation of anomalies.

- $\alpha = -2$: φ_{-2}^- , $\phi'_{-3} = (\phi'^-, \phi'^{--})$, ψ_{-2}^- $\phi_{-1} = (\phi^0, \phi^-)$.

Notice that the particle content in this case is equal, up to charge conjugation, to that obtained for $\alpha = 2$. We say therefore that these two models are equivalent to each other.

3.2 Model T1-1-B

φ	ϕ'	ψ	ϕ
1_α^S	$2_{\alpha-1}^S$	3_α^F	$2_{1+\alpha}^S$

Table 2: *Model T1-1-B.*

This model differs from the previous one in that the fermion is an $SU(2)$ triplet rather than a singlet, as shown in Table 2. We again find three values of α that allow to have a dark matter candidate:

- $\alpha = 0$: φ_0^0 , $\phi'_{-1} = (\phi'^0, \phi'^-)$, $\psi_0 = (\psi^+, \psi^0, \psi^-)$ $\phi_1 = (\phi^+, \phi^0)$.

This setup allows for triplet fermion dark matter or mixed singlet-doublet scalar dark matter. Notice that the number of additional fields gets reduced to three for this value of α as $\phi^\dagger = \phi'$. The Z_2 symmetry is required also to forbid a Type III seesaw contribution to neutrino masses.

- $\alpha = 2$: φ_2^+ , $\phi'_1 = (\phi'^+, \phi'^0)$, $\psi_2 = (\psi^{++}, \psi^+, \psi^0)$ $\phi_3 = (\phi^{++}, \phi^+)$.

This value of α allows for doublet scalar DM. Triplet fermion DM is excluded by direct detection bounds. Anomaly cancellation implies that the fermion should be vector-like. The spectrum contains two particles, one fermion and one scalar, with exotic charges.

- $\alpha = -2$: φ_{-2}^- , $\phi'_{-3} = (\phi'^-, \phi'^{--})$, $\psi_{-2} = (\psi^0, \psi^-, \psi^{--})$ $\phi_{-1} = (\phi^0, \phi^-)$.

This is equivalent to the $\alpha = 2$ case.

3.3 Model T1-1-C

φ	ϕ'	ψ	ϕ
2_α^S	$1_{\alpha-1}^S$	2_α^F	$1_{1+\alpha}^S$

Table 3: *Model T1-1-C.*

In this model, the fermion and one of the scalars are $SU(2)$ doublets whereas the other two scalars are singlets, as shown in Table 3. We find two values of α compatible with a dark matter particle:

- $\alpha = 1$: $\varphi_1 = (\varphi^+, \varphi^0)$, $\phi_0'^0$, $\psi_1 = (\psi^+, \psi^0)$, ϕ_2^+ .

The dark matter candidate is a singlet-doublet scalar. Doublet fermion dark matter is excluded by direct detection experiments. The fermion must be vector-like due to the anomaly constraint.

- $\alpha = -1$: $\varphi_{-1} = (\varphi^0, \varphi^-)$, $\phi_{-2}'^-$, $\psi_{-1} = (\psi^0, \psi^-)$, ϕ_0^0 .

This is equivalent to the $\alpha = 1$ case.

3.4 Model T1-1-D

φ	ϕ'	ψ	ϕ
2_α^S	$1_{\alpha-1}^S$	2_α^F	$3_{1+\alpha}^S$

Table 4: *Model T1-1-D.*

This model differs from the previous one in that one of the scalars (ϕ) is a triplet rather than a singlet. The field content is shown in Table 4. Three different values of α lead to a neutral particle in the spectrum:

- $\alpha = 1$: $\varphi_1 = (\varphi^+, \varphi^0)$, $\phi_0'^0$, $\psi_1 = (\psi^+, \psi^0)$, $\phi_2 = (\phi^{++}, \phi^+, \phi^0)$.

The dark matter candidate in this case is a mixture of the neutral components from the singlet, doublet, and triplet scalars. Fermionic dark matter is excluded by direct detection bounds. The Z_2 symmetry forbids a Type II seesaw contribution to neutrino masses. A doubly-charged scalar particle is present in the spectrum. The fermion doublet ψ should be vector-like to be consistent with anomaly cancellation.

- $\alpha = -1$: $\varphi_{-1} = (\varphi^0, \varphi^-)$, $\phi_{-2}'^-$, $\psi_{-1} = (\psi^0, \psi^-)$, $\phi_0 = (\phi^+, \phi^0, \phi^-)$.

For this value of α the dark matter candidate is a doublet-triplet scalar particle. Fermionic dark matter is excluded by direct detection bounds. ψ must be vector-like.

- $\alpha = -3$: $\varphi_{-3} = (\varphi^-, \varphi^{--})$, $\phi_{-4}'^-$, $\psi_{-3} = (\psi^-, \psi^{--})$, $\phi_{-2} = (\phi^0, \phi^-, \phi^{--})$.

This value of α is not consistent with dark matter because ϕ^0 , the only neutral particle in the spectrum, belongs to a scalar triplet with non-zero hypercharge and is therefore excluded by direct detection searches.

3.5 Model T1-1-E

φ	ϕ'	ψ	ϕ
2_α^S	$3_{\alpha-1}^S$	2_α^F	$1_{1+\alpha}^S$

Table 5: *Model T1-1-E.*

This model can be obtained from T1-1-D by exchanging the roles of ϕ' and ϕ , as shown in Table 5. A neutral particle can be found in the spectrum for three different values of α :

- $\alpha = 1$: $\varphi_1 = (\varphi^+, \varphi^0)$, $\phi'_0 = (\phi'^+, \phi'^0, \phi'^-)$, $\psi_1 = (\psi^+, \psi^0)$, ϕ_2^+ .

This model is equivalent to T1-1-D ($\alpha = -1$).

- $\alpha = -1$: $\varphi_{-1} = (\varphi^0, \varphi^-)$, $\phi'_{-2} = (\phi'^0, \phi'^-, \phi'^{--})$, $\psi_{-1} = (\psi^0, \psi^-)$, ϕ_0^0 .

This model is equivalent to T1-1-D ($\alpha = 1$).

- $\alpha = 3$: $\varphi_3 = (\varphi^{++}, \varphi^+)$, $\phi'_2 = (\phi'^{++}, \phi'^+, \phi'^0)$, $\psi_3 = (\psi^{++}, \psi^+)$, ϕ_4^{++} .

This possibility is not consistent with dark matter due to the direct detection bounds.

Notice that T1-1-E does not contribute any new viable and non-equivalent models.

3.6 Model T1-1-F

φ	ϕ'	ψ	ϕ
2_α^S	$3_{\alpha-1}^S$	2_α^F	$3_{1+\alpha}^S$

Table 6: *Model T1-1-F.*

The particle content of this model consists of two triplet scalars, one fermion doublet and one scalar doublet –see Table 6. The values of α that could be consistent with dark matter are:

- $\alpha = 1$: $\varphi_1 = (\varphi^+, \varphi^0)$, $\phi'_0 = (\phi'^+, \phi'^0, \phi'^-)$, $\psi_1 = (\psi^+, \psi^0)$, $\phi_2 = (\phi^{++}, \phi^+, \phi^0)$.

This case allows for doublet-triplet scalar dark matter. Fermion dark matter is ruled out by direct detection bounds. The Z_2 symmetry forbids a Type II seesaw contribution to neutrino masses. Potential signals at the LHC coming from a doubly-charged scalar particle. The fermion must be vector-like.

- $\alpha = -1$: $\varphi_{-1} = (\varphi^0, \varphi^-)$, $\phi'_{-2} = (\phi'^0, \phi'^-, \phi'^{- -})$, $\psi_{-1} = (\psi^0, \psi^-)$, $\phi_0 = (\phi^+, \phi^0, \phi^-)$.
This setup is equivalent to that for $\alpha = 1$.
- $\alpha = 3$: $\varphi_3 = (\varphi^{++}, \varphi^+)$, $\phi'_2 = (\phi'^{++}, \phi'^+, \phi'^0)$, $\psi_3 = (\psi^{++}, \psi^+)$, $\phi_4 = (\phi^{+++}, \phi^{++}, \phi^+)$.
This setup is not consistent with dark matter because ϕ' has non-zero hypercharge.
- $\alpha = -3$: $\varphi_{-3} = (\varphi^-, \varphi^{--})$, $\phi'_{-4} = (\phi'^-, \phi'^{- -}, \phi'^{- - -})$, $\psi_{-3} = (\psi^-, \psi^{--})$, $\phi_{-2} = (\phi^0, \phi^-, \phi^{--})$.
It is equivalent to the case $\alpha = 3$ and therefore inconsistent with dark matter.

3.7 Model T1-1-G

φ	ϕ'	ψ	ϕ
3_α^S	$2_{\alpha-1}^S$	1_α^F	$2_{1+\alpha}^S$

Table 7: *Model T1-1-G.*

As shown in Table 7, this model contains a singlet fermion, two doublet scalars, and one triplet scalar. Neutral particles can be obtained for three different values of α :

- $\alpha = 0$: $\varphi_0 = (\varphi^+, \varphi^0, \varphi^-)$, $\phi'_{-1} = (\phi'^0, \phi'^-)$, ψ_0^0 , $\phi_1 = (\phi^+, \phi^0)$.

It allows for doublet-triplet scalar dark matter and singlet fermion dark matter. The Z_2 symmetry forbids a Type I seesaw contribution to neutrino masses.

- $\alpha = 2$: $\varphi_2 = (\varphi^{++}, \varphi^+, \varphi^0)$, $\phi'_1 = (\phi'^+, \phi'^0)$, ψ_2^+ , $\phi_3 = (\phi^{++}, \phi^+)$.

This value of α allows for doublet-triplet scalar dark matter. The Z_2 symmetry forbids a Type II seesaw contribution to neutrino masses. Potential signals at the LHC coming from two scalar particles with exotic charges. ψ should be vector-like.

- $\alpha = -2$: $\varphi_{-2} = (\varphi^0, \varphi^-, \varphi^{--})$, $\phi'_{-3} = (\phi'^-, \phi'^{- -})$, ψ_{-2}^- , $\phi_{-1} = (\phi^0, \phi^-)$.

This setup is equivalent to that for $\alpha = 2$.

3.8 Model T1-1-H

φ	ϕ'	ψ	ϕ
3_α^S	$2_{\alpha-1}^S$	3_α^F	$2_{1+\alpha}^S$

Table 8: *Model T1-1-H.*

The last model within this topology, T1-1-H contains a fermion triplet, a scalar triplet, and two scalar doublets. Three values of α are compatible with dark matter:

- $\alpha = 0$: $\varphi_0 = (\varphi^+, \varphi^0, \varphi^-)$, $\phi'_{-1} = (\phi'^0, \phi'^-)$, $\psi_0 = (\psi^+, \psi^0, \psi^-)$, $\phi_1 = (\phi^+, \phi^0)$.
This model allows for doublet-triplet scalar dark matter and triplet fermion dark matter. The Z_2 symmetry forbids a Type III seesaw contribution to neutrino masses.
- $\alpha = 2$: $\varphi_2 = (\varphi^{++}, \varphi^+, \varphi^0)$, $\phi'_1 = (\phi'^+, \phi'^0)$, $\psi_2 = (\psi^{++}, \psi^+, \psi^0)$, $\phi_3 = (\phi^{++}, \phi^+)$.
This value of α allows for doublet-triplet scalar dark matter. The Z_2 symmetry forbids a Type II seesaw contribution to neutrino masses. Potential signals at the LHC coming from a doubly-charged fermion and two doubly-charged scalars. The fermion triplet should be vector-like to be compatible with anomaly cancellation.
- $\alpha = -2$: $\varphi_{-2} = (\varphi^0, \varphi^-, \varphi^{--})$, $\phi'_{-3} = (\phi'^-, \phi'^{--})$, $\psi_{-2} = (\psi^0, \psi^-, \psi^{--})$, $\phi_{-1} = (\phi^0, \phi^-)$.
This case is equivalent to that for $\alpha = 2$.

3.9 Summary of T1-1

Model	α	Fermionic		Scalar		Exotic charges	# of N'plets
		DM	DD	DM	DD		
T1-1-A	± 2	\times	\times	$2_{\pm 1}$	\checkmark	\checkmark	4
	0	1_0	\checkmark	$1_0, 2_{\pm 1}$	\checkmark	\times	3
T1-1-B	± 2	$3_{\pm 2}$	\times	$2_{\pm 1}$	\checkmark	\checkmark	4
	0	3_0	\checkmark	$1_0, 2_{\pm 1}$	\checkmark	\times	3
T1-1-C	± 1	$2_{\pm 1}$	\times	$1_0, 2_{\pm 1}$	\checkmark	\checkmark	4
T1-1-D	1	2_1	\times	$1_0, 2_1, 3_2$	\checkmark	\checkmark	4
	-1	2_{-1}	\times	$2_{-1}, 3_0$	\checkmark	\times	4
T1-1-F	± 1	$2_{\pm 1}$	\times	$2_{\pm 1}, 3_0, 3_{\pm 2}$	\checkmark	\checkmark	4
T1-1-G	± 2	\times	\times	$2_{\pm 1}, 3_{\pm 2}$	\checkmark	\checkmark	4
	0	1_0	\checkmark	$2_{\pm 1}, 3_0$	\checkmark	\times	3
T1-1-H	± 2	$3_{\pm 2}$	\times	$2_{\pm 1}, 3_{\pm 2}$	\checkmark	\checkmark	4
	0	3_0	\checkmark	$2_{\pm 1}, 3_0$	\checkmark	\times	3

Table 9: *Non-equivalent models belonging to the T1-1 topology that are consistent with dark matter.*

Table 9 summarizes our results regarding the T1-1 topology. In all, we found 12 non-equivalent models that are consistent with dark matter. All of them admit scalar dark matter and four allow also for fermionic dark matter. In seven of these models, the spectrum contains particles with exotic electric charges (doubly charged). Although the T1-1 topology generally requires four additional fields, in four cases that number is actually reduced to three.




Figure 2: One-loop contribution to neutrino mass in the T1-2 models.

4 Models from topology T1-2

Models corresponding to the T1-2 topology contain two additional fermions and two new scalars, all assumed to be odd under the Z_2 symmetry. Figure 2 shows the 1-loop contribution to neutrino masses in this topology. A general discussion about this topology, without establishing the particle content of specific models, was done in [38]. Eight different field assignments are compatible with neutrino masses: T1-2-A,...,T1-2-H. As we show next, all of them contain dark matter candidates.

4.1 Model T1-2-A

ψ	ϕ	ϕ'	ψ'
1_α^F	$2_{1+\alpha}^S$	1_α^S	$2_{1+\alpha}^F$

Table 10: *Model T1-2-A*.

This model contains two singlets –one scalar and one fermion– and two doublets –one scalar and one fermion. A neutral particle can be found in the spectrum for two different values of α :

- $\alpha = 0$: ψ_0^0 , $\phi_1 = (\phi^+, \phi^0)$, $\phi_0'^0$, $\psi_1' = (\psi'^+, \psi'^0)$.

This setup allows for singlet-doublet scalar dark matter and singlet-doublet fermionic dark matter. The Z_2 symmetry forbids a Type I seesaw contribution to neutrino masses. ψ' must be vector-like.

- $\alpha = -2$: ψ_{-2}^- , $\phi_{-1} = (\phi^0, \phi^-)$, $\phi_{-2}'^-$, $\psi_{-1}' = (\psi'^0, \psi'^-)$.

In this case only doublet scalar dark matter is allowed. Fermion doublet dark matter is excluded by direct detection bounds. Both ψ and ψ' must be vector-like.

4.2 Model T1-2-B

ψ	ϕ	ϕ'	ψ'
1_α^F	$2_{1+\alpha}^S$	3_α^S	$2_{1+\alpha}^F$

Table 11: *Model T1-2-B*.

This model differs from the previous one in that one of the scalars is a triplet rather than a singlet, as shown in Table 11. Dark matter candidates are obtained for three values of α :

- $\alpha = 0$: ψ_0^0 , $\phi_1 = (\phi^+, \phi^0)$, $\phi'_0 = (\phi'^+, \phi'^0, \phi'^-)$ $\psi'_1 = (\psi'^+, \psi'^0)$.

This model admits doublet-triplet scalar dark matter and singlet-doublet fermionic dark matter. The Z_2 symmetry forbids a Type I seesaw contribution to neutrino masses. The fermion doublet should be vector-like.

- $\alpha = -2$: ψ_{-2}^- , $\phi_{-1} = (\phi^0, \phi^-)$, $\phi'_{-2} = (\phi'^0, \phi'^-, \phi'^{--})$ $\psi'_{-1} = (\psi'^0, \psi'^-)$.

In this case, only doublet-triplet scalar dark matter is viable. The Z_2 symmetry forbids a Type II seesaw contributions to neutrino masses. Notice that the spectrum contains a doubly-charged scalar particle. ψ and ψ' must be vector-like.

- $\alpha = 2$: ψ_2^+ , $\phi_3 = (\phi^{++}, \phi^+)$, $\phi'_2 = (\phi'^{++}, \phi'^+, \phi'^0)$ $\psi'_3 = (\psi'^{++}, \psi'^+)$.

This possibility is excluded by dark matter direct detection bounds.

4.3 Model T1-2-C

ψ	ϕ	ϕ'	ψ'
2_α^F	$1_{1+\alpha}^S$	2_α^S	$1_{1+\alpha}^F$

Table 12: *Model T1-2-C*.

The particle content of this model consist of a fermion doublet, a scalar singlet, a scalar doublet and a fermion singlet –see Table 12. The spectrum contains neutral particles for two values of α :

- $\alpha = 1$: $\psi_1 = (\psi^+, \psi^0)$, ϕ_2^+ , $\phi'_1 = (\phi'^+, \phi'^0)$ ψ'_2^+ .

This model is equivalent to T1-2-A with $\alpha = -2$.

- $\alpha = -1$: $\psi_{-1} = (\psi^0, \psi^-)$, ϕ_0^0 , $\phi'_{-1} = (\phi'^0, \phi'^-)$ $\psi'_0'^0$.

This model is equivalent to T1-2-A with $\alpha = 0$.

Notice that T1-2-C does not give any new non-equivalent models.

ψ	ϕ	ϕ'	ψ'
2_α^F	$1_{1+\alpha}^S$	2_α^S	$3_{1+\alpha}^F$

Table 13: *Model T1-2-D*.

4.4 Model T1-2-D

Table 13 shows the field content of this model. It consists of a doublet and a triplet fermion and a singlet and a doublet scalar. Three values of α might be compatible with dark matter:

- $\alpha = 1$: $\psi_1 = (\psi^+, \psi^0)$, ϕ_2^+ , $\phi'_1 = (\phi'^+, \phi'^0)$, $\psi'_2 = (\psi'^{++}, \psi'^+, \psi'^0)$.

Only doublet scalar dark matter is allowed for this value of α . Fermion dark matter is excluded by direct detection bounds. The spectrum contains a doubly-charged fermion. Both ψ and ψ' must be vector-like to ensure anomaly cancellation.

- $\alpha = -1$: $\psi_{-1} = (\psi^0, \psi^-)$, ϕ_0^0 , $\phi'_{-1} = (\phi'^0, \phi'^-)$, $\psi'_0 = (\psi'^+, \psi'^0, \psi'^-)$.

In this case, the dark matter candidate is a singlet-doublet scalar. The Z_2 symmetry forbids a Type III seesaw contribution to neutrino masses. ψ must be vector-like.

- $\alpha = -3$: $\psi_{-3} = (\psi^-, \psi^{--})$, ϕ_{-2}^- , $\phi'_{-3} = (\phi'^-, \phi'^{--})$, $\psi'_{-2} = (\psi'^0, \psi'^-, \psi'^{--})$.

This case is not consistent with dark matter as the only neutral particle belongs to a fermion triplet with non-zero hypercharge.

4.5 Model T1-2-E

ψ	ϕ	ϕ'	ψ'
2_α^F	$3_{1+\alpha}^S$	2_α^S	$1_{1+\alpha}^F$

Table 14: *Model T1-2-E*.

This model consists of a singlet and a doublet fermion and a doublet and a triplet scalar, as shown in Table 14. Possible dark matter candidates are obtained for $\alpha = \pm 1, -3$:

- $\alpha = 1$: $\psi_1 = (\psi^+, \psi^0)$, $\phi_2 = (\phi^{++}, \phi^+, \phi^0)$, $\phi'_1 = (\phi'^+, \phi'^0)$, ψ'_2^+ .

This setup is equivalent to T1-2-B with $\alpha = -2$.

- $\alpha = -1$: $\psi_{-1} = (\psi^0, \psi^-)$, $\phi_0 = (\phi^+, \phi^0, \phi^-)$, $\phi'_{-1} = (\phi'^0, \phi'^-)$, ψ'_0^0 .

It is equivalent to T1-2-B with $\alpha = 0$.

- $\alpha = -3$: $\psi_{-3} = (\psi^-, \psi^{--})$, $\phi_{-2} = (\phi^0, \phi^-, \phi^{--})$, $\phi'_{-3} = (\phi'^-, \phi'^{--})$, ψ'_{-2}^- .

This case is excluded by direct detection bounds.

We do not obtain any viable non-equivalent configurations for this kind of models.

4.6 Model T1-2-F

ψ	ϕ	ϕ'	ψ'
2_α^F	$3_{1+\alpha}^S$	2_α^S	$3_{1+\alpha}^F$

Table 15: *Model T1-2-F*.

The field content for this model is illustrated in Table 15. It consists of two fermions (one doublet and one triplet) and two scalars (one doublet and one triplet). Dark matter candidates are obtained for $\alpha = \pm 1, -3$:

- $\alpha = 1$: $\psi_1 = (\psi^+, \psi^0)$, $\phi_2 = (\phi^{++}, \phi^+, \phi^0)$, $\phi'_1 = (\phi'^+, \phi'^0)$ $\psi'_2 = (\psi'^{++}, \psi'^+, \psi'^0)$.

Only doublet-triplet scalar dark matter is allowed in this case. Fermionic dark matter is excluded by direct detection bounds. The Z_2 symmetry forbids a Type II seesaw contribution to neutrino masses. There are two particles, one scalar and one fermion, with exotic charges. Both fermions should be vector-like.

- $\alpha = -1$: $\psi_{-1} = (\psi^0, \psi^-)$, $\phi_0 = (\phi^+, \phi^0, \phi^-)$, $\phi'_{-1} = (\phi'^0, \phi'^-)$ $\psi'_0 = (\psi'^+, \psi'^0, \psi'^-)$.

Only doublet-triplet scalar dark matter is allowed. The Z_2 symmetry forbids a Type III seesaw contribution to neutrino masses. ψ must be vector-like.

- $\alpha = -3$: $\psi_{-3} = (\psi^-, \psi^{--})$, $\phi_{-2} = (\phi^0, \phi^-, \phi^{--})$, $\phi'_{-3} = (\phi'^-, \phi'^{--})$, $\psi'_{-2} = (\psi'^0, \psi'^-, \psi'^{--})$.

This case is excluded as both neutral particles belong to triplets with non-zero hypercharge.

4.7 Model T1-2-G

ψ	ϕ	ϕ'	ψ'
3_α^F	$2_{1+\alpha}^S$	1_α^S	$2_{1+\alpha}^F$

Table 16: *Model T1-2-G*.

This model differs from the previous one in that one of the scalars is a singlet rather than a triplet, as illustrated in Table 16. Three values of α might be consistent with dark matter:

- $\alpha = 0$: $\psi_0 = (\psi^+, \psi^0, \psi^-)$, $\phi_1 = (\phi^+, \phi^0)$, ϕ'_0 $\psi'_1 = (\psi'^+, \psi'^0)$.

This model is equivalent to T1-2-D with $\alpha = -1$.

- $\alpha = -2$: $\psi_{-2} = (\psi^0, \psi^-, \psi^{--})$, $\phi_{-1} = (\phi^0, \phi^-)$, ϕ'_{-2} $\psi'_{-1} = (\psi'^0, \psi'^-)$.

This model is equivalent to T1-2-D with $\alpha = 1$.

- $\alpha = 2$: $\psi_2 = (\psi^{++}, \psi^+, \psi^0)$, $\phi_3 = (\phi^{++}, \phi^+)$, ϕ'_2 $\psi'_3 = (\psi'^{++}, \psi'^+)$.

This possibility is excluded by direct detection bounds.

Notice that T1-2-G does not offer any new non-equivalent model.

4.8 Model T1-2-H

ψ	ϕ	ϕ'	ψ'
3_α^F	$2_{1+\alpha}^S$	3_α^S	$2_{1+\alpha}^F$

Table 17: *Model T1-2-H.*

This is the last model within this topology. It consists of two triplets (one scalar and one fermion) and two doublets (one scalar and one fermion), as shown in Table 17. Dark matter candidates can be obtained for three values of α :

- $\alpha = 0$: $\psi_0 = (\psi^+, \psi^0, \psi^-)$, $\phi_1 = (\phi^+, \phi^0)$, $\phi'_0 = (\phi'^+, \phi'^0, \phi'^-)$, $\psi'_1 = (\psi'^+, \psi'^0)$.
This model is equivalent to T1-2-F with $\alpha = -1$.
- $\alpha = -2$: $\psi_{-2} = (\psi^0, \psi^-, \psi^{--})$, $\phi_{-1} = (\phi^0, \phi^-)$, $\phi'_{-2} = (\phi'^0, \phi'^-, \phi'^{--})$, $\psi'_{-1} = (\psi'^0, \psi'^-)$.
This model is equivalent to T1-2-F with $\alpha = 1$.
- $\alpha = 2$: $\psi_2 = (\psi^{++}, \psi^+, \psi^0)$, $\phi_3 = (\phi^{++}, \phi^+)$, $\phi'_2 = (\phi'^{++}, \phi'^+, \phi'^0)$, $\psi'_3 = (\psi'^{++}, \psi'^+)$.

Since both neutral particles belong to triplets with non-zero hypercharge, this possibility is not consistent with direct detection bounds.

No new viable models are obtained from T1-2-H.

4.9 Summary of T1-2

Model	α	Fermionic		Scalar		Exotic charges	# of N'plets
		DM	DD	DM	DD		
T1-2-A	0	$1_0, 2_1$	✓	$1_0, 2_1$	✓	×	4
	-2	2_{-1}	×	2_{-1}	✓	×	4
T1-2-B	0	$1_0, 2_1$	✓	$2_1, 3_0$	✓	×	4
	-2	2_{-1}	×	$2_{-1}, 3_{-2}$	✓	✓	4
T1-2-D	1	$2_1, 3_2$	×	2_1	✓	✓	4
	-1	$2_{-1}, 3_0$	✓	$1_0, 2_{-1}$	✓	×	4
T1-2-F	1	$2_1, 3_2$	×	$2_1, 3_2$	✓	✓	4
	-1	$2_{-1}, 3_0$	✓	$2_{-1}, 3_0$	✓	×	4

Table 18: *Non-equivalent models belonging to the T1-2 topology that are consistent with dark matter.*

Our results concerning the T1-2 topology are summarized in Table 18. We found 8 non-equivalent models that are consistent with dark matter. All of them admit scalar dark matter and four allow also for fermionic dark matter. In three of these models, the spectrum contains particles with exotic electric charges (doubly charged). In all cases, four additional fields are required.

5 Models from topology T1-3




Figure 3: One-loop contribution to neutrino mass in the T1-3 models.

Models belonging to this topology contain 3 additional fermions (ψ , ψ' , Ψ) and one additional scalar (ϕ), all odd under the Z_2 symmetry. 1-loop neutrino masses are obtained via the diagram in figure 3. The eight possible field assignments that are compatible with neutrino masses are denoted as T1-3-A,...,T1-3-H. Next we examine, for each of them, under what conditions one can obtain viable dark matter candidates.

5.1 Model T1-3-A

Ψ	ψ'	ϕ	ψ
1_α^F	$2_{1+\alpha}^F$	1_α^S	$2_{\alpha-1}^F$

Table 19: *Model T1-3-A.*

In this model, the scalar field and one of the fermions are singlets whereas the other two fermions are doublets, as shown in Table 19. The values of α that yield a neutral particle in the spectrum are

- $\alpha = 0$: Ψ_0^0 , $\psi'_1 = (\psi'^+, \psi'^0)$, ϕ_0^0 , $\psi_{-1} = (\psi^0, \psi^-)$.

This model allows for singlet scalar dark matter or singlet-doublet fermion dark matter. Since ψ and ψ' have opposite hypercharges, the model is automatically anomaly free. The Z_2 symmetry forbids a Type I seesaw contribution to neutrino masses.

- $\alpha = 2$: Ψ_2^+ , $\psi'_3 = (\psi'^{++}, \psi'^+)$, ϕ_2^+ , $\psi_1 = (\psi^+, \psi^0)$.

Dark matter in this case is excluded by direct detection bounds.

- $\alpha = -2$: Ψ_{-2}^- , $\psi'_{-1} = (\psi'^0, \psi'^-)$, ϕ_{-2}^- , $\psi_{-3} = (\psi^-, \psi^{--})$.

This setup is equivalent to that obtained for $\alpha = 2$ and therefore inconsistent with dark matter.

5.2 Model T1-3-B

Ψ	ψ'	ϕ	ψ
1_α^F	$2_{1+\alpha}^F$	3_α^S	$2_{\alpha-1}^F$

Table 20: *Model T1-3-B.*

In this model the scalar is a triplet rather than a singlet, as indicated in Table 20. The spectrum contains a neutral particle for three different values of α :

- $\alpha = 0$: Ψ_0^0 , $\psi'_1 = (\psi'^+, \psi'^0)$, $\phi_0 = (\phi^+, \phi^0, \phi^-)$, $\psi_{-1} = (\psi^0, \psi^-)$.

It allows for triplet scalar dark matter and singlet-doublet fermion dark matter. The model is automatically anomaly-free. The discrete symmetry is required also to prevent a Type I contribution to neutrino masses.

- $\alpha = 2$: Ψ_2^+ , $\psi'_3 = (\psi'^{++}, \psi'^+)$, $\phi_2 = (\phi^{++}, \phi^+, \phi^0)$, $\psi_1 = (\psi^+, \psi^0)$.

Both dark matter candidates are excluded by direct detection bounds.

- $\alpha = -2$: Ψ_{-2}^- , $\psi'_{-1} = (\psi'^0, \psi'^-)$, $\phi_{-2} = (\phi^0, \phi^-, \phi^{--})$, $\psi_{-3} = (\psi^-, \psi^{--})$.

Being equivalent to the case $\alpha = 2$, this possibility is also inconsistent with dark matter.

5.3 Model T1-3-C

Ψ	ψ'	ϕ	ψ
2_α^F	$1_{1+\alpha}^F$	2_α^S	$1_{\alpha-1}^F$

Table 21: *Model T1-3-C.*

In this case, the scalar field is an $SU(2)$ doublet as is one of the fermions. The other two fermions are $SU(2)$ singlets –see Table 21. Viable dark matter candidates exist for $\alpha = \pm 1$:

- $\alpha = 1$: $\Psi_1 = (\Psi^+, \Psi^0)$, $\psi'_2 = (\psi'^+, \psi'^0)$, $\phi_1 = (\phi^+, \phi^0)$, ψ_0^0 .

This model allows for doublet scalar dark matter and singlet-doublet fermionic dark matter. Ψ and ψ' must be vector-like.

- $\alpha = -1$: $\Psi_{-1} = (\Psi^0, \Psi^-)$, ψ'_0 , $\phi_{-1} = (\phi^0, \phi^-)$, ψ_{-2}^- .

This model is equivalent to that with $\alpha = 1$.

5.4 Model T1-3-D

Ψ	ψ'	ϕ	ψ
2_α^F	$1_{1+\alpha}^F$	2_α^S	$3_{\alpha-1}^F$

Table 22: *Model T1-3-D*.

Besides a scalar doublet, this models contains one singlet, one doublet and one triplet fermion, as shown in Table 22. Dark matter candidates can be found for three different values of α :

- $\alpha = 1$: $\Psi_1 = (\Psi^+, \Psi^0)$, $\psi_2'^+$, $\phi_1 = (\phi^+, \phi^0)$, $\psi_0 = (\psi^+, \psi^0, \psi^-)$.

Fermionic dark matter is excluded by direct detection bounds. The dark matter particle is the neutral component of the scalar doublet. The Z_2 symmetry forbids a Type III seesaw contribution to neutrino masses. All three fermions must be vector-like.

- $\alpha = -1$: $\Psi_{-1} = (\Psi^0, \Psi^-)$, $\psi_0'^0$, $\phi_{-1} = (\phi^0, \phi^-)$, $\psi_{-2} = (\psi^0, \psi^-, \psi^{--})$.

In this case, the dark matter can be either a scalar doublet or a singlet-doublet-triplet fermion. Potential signals at the LHC coming from a doubly-charged fermion. Ψ and ψ' must be vector-like to be consistent with the cancellation of anomalies.

- $\alpha = 3$: $\Psi_3 = (\Psi^{++}, \Psi^+)$, $\psi_4'^{++}$, $\phi_3 = (\phi^{++}, \phi^+)$, $\psi_2 = (\psi^{++}, \psi^+, \psi^0)$.

Dark matter is excluded by direct detection constraints.

5.5 Model T1-3-E

Ψ	ψ'	ϕ	ψ
2_α^F	$3_{1+\alpha}^F$	2_α^S	$1_{\alpha-1}^F$

Table 23: *Model T1-3-E*.

The particle content consists of a singlet, a doublet and a triplet fermion, and one scalar doublet. Dark matter candidates can be obtained for $\alpha = \pm 1, 3$:

- $\alpha = 1$: $\Psi_1 = (\Psi^+, \Psi^0)$, $\psi_2' = (\psi'^{++}, \psi'^+, \psi'^0)$, $\phi_1 = (\phi^+, \phi^0)$, ψ_0^0 .

This model is equivalent to T1-3-D with $\alpha = -1$.

- $\alpha = -1$: $\Psi_{-1} = (\Psi^0, \Psi^-)$, $\psi_0' = (\psi'^+, \psi'^0, \psi'^-)$, $\phi_{-1} = (\phi^0, \phi^-)$, ψ_{-2}^- .

This model is equivalent to T1-3-D with $\alpha = 1$.

- $\alpha = -3$: $\Psi_{-3} = (\Psi^-, \Psi^{--})$, $\psi'_{-2} = (\psi'^0, \psi'^-, \psi'^{--})$, $\phi_{-3} = (\phi^-, \phi^{--})$, ψ_{-4}^{--} .

This possibility is not consistent with dark matter direct detection bounds.

Notice that T1-3-E does not yield any new non-equivalent configurations.

5.6 Model T1-3-F

Ψ	ψ'	ϕ	ψ
2_α^F	$3_{1+\alpha}^F$	2_α^S	$3_{\alpha-1}^F$

Table 24: *Model T1-3-F.*

Two fermion triplets, one fermion doublet and one scalar doublet are part of this model –see Table 24. Dark matter candidates are obtained for $\alpha = \pm 1, \pm 3$:

- $\alpha = 1$: $\Psi_1 = (\Psi^+, \Psi^0)$, $\psi'_2 = (\psi'^{++}, \psi'^+, \psi'^0)$, $\phi_1 = (\phi^+, \phi^0)$, $\psi_0 = (\psi^+, \psi^0, \psi^-)$.

This model allows for doublet scalar dark matter or doublet-triplet fermionic dark matter. The Z_2 symmetry forbids a Type III seesaw contribution to neutrino masses. The spectrum contains a doubly-charged fermion. Ψ and ψ' must be vector-like.

- $\alpha = -1$: $\Psi_{-1} = (\Psi^0, \Psi^-)$, $\psi'_0 = (\psi'^+, \psi'^0, \psi'^-)$, $\phi_{-1} = (\phi^0, \phi^-)$, $\psi_{-2} = (\psi^0, \psi^-, \psi^{--})$.

This setup is equivalent to that with $\alpha = 1$.

- $\alpha = 3$: $\Psi_3 = (\Psi^{++}, \Psi^+)$, $\psi'_4 = (\psi'^{+++}, \psi'^{++}, \psi'^+)$, $\phi_3 = (\phi^{++}, \phi^+)$, $\psi_2 = (\psi^{++}, \psi^+, \psi^0)$.

Dark matter is excluded as the neutral particle belongs to a triplet fermion with non-zero hypercharge.

- $\alpha = -3$: $\Psi_{-3} = (\Psi^-, \Psi^{--})$, $\psi'_{-2} = (\psi'^0, \psi'^-, \psi'^{--})$, $\phi_{-3} = (\phi^-, \phi^{--})$, $\psi_{-4} = (\psi^-, \psi^{--}, \psi^{---})$.

This possibility, equivalent to the case $\alpha = 3$, is excluded by direct detection bounds.

5.7 Model T1-3-G

Ψ	ψ'	ϕ	ψ
3_α^F	$2_{1+\alpha}^F$	1_α^S	$2_{\alpha-1}^F$

Table 25: *Model T1-3-G.*

A slight variation of T1-3-A with a triplet fermion rather than a singlet one –see Table 25. The spectrum contains neutral particles for three different values of α :

- $\alpha = 0$: $\Psi_0 = (\Psi^+, \Psi^0, \Psi^-)$, $\psi'_1 = (\psi'^+, \psi'^0)$, ϕ_0^0 , $\psi_{-1} = (\psi^0, \psi^-)$.

This model allows for singlet scalar and doublet-triplet fermion dark matter. Anomaly

cancellation is automatic. The discrete symmetry prevents a Type III contribution to neutrino masses.

- $\alpha = 2$: $\Psi_2 = (\Psi^{++}, \Psi^+, \Psi^0)$, $\psi'_3 = (\psi'^{++}, \psi'^+)$, ϕ_2^+ , $\psi_1 = (\psi^+, \psi^0)$.

This setup is excluded by direct detection constraints.

- $\alpha = -2$: $\Psi_{-2} = (\Psi^0, \Psi^-, \Psi^{--})$, $\psi'_{-1} = (\psi'^0, \psi'^-)$, ϕ_{-2}^- , $\psi_{-3} = (\psi^-, \psi^{--})$.

It is equivalent to the case $\alpha = 2$. It is not consistent with dark matter.

5.8 Model T1-3-H

Ψ	ψ'	ϕ	ψ
3_α^F	$2_{1+\alpha}^F$	3_α^S	$2_{\alpha-1}^F$

Table 26: *Model T1-3-H.*

The last model within the T1-3 topology. The difference with respect to the previous one is that the scalar is now a triplet rather than a singlet, as shown in Table 26. Dark matter candidates exists for three values of α :

- $\alpha = 0$: $\Psi_0 = (\Psi^+, \Psi^0, \Psi^-)$, $\psi'_1 = (\psi'^+, \psi'^0)$, $\phi_0 = (\phi^+, \phi^0, \phi^-)$, $\psi_{-1} = (\psi^0, \psi^-)$.

The model allows for triplet scalar and doublet-triplet fermion DM. The discrete symmetry prevents a Type III contribution to neutrino masses.

- $\alpha = 2$: $\Psi_2 = (\Psi^{++}, \Psi^+, \Psi^0)$, $\psi'_3 = (\psi'^{++}, \psi'^+)$, $\phi_2 = (\phi^{++}, \phi^+, \phi^0)$, $\psi_1 = (\psi^+, \psi^0)$.

Direct detection constraints exclude this possibility.

- $\alpha = -2$: $\Psi_{-2} = (\Psi^0, \Psi^-, \Psi^{--})$, $\psi'_{-1} = (\psi'^0, \psi'^-)$, $\phi_{-2} = (\phi^0, \phi^-, \phi^{--})$, $\psi_{-3} = (\psi^-, \psi^{--})$.

Being equivalent to the $\alpha = 2$ case, it is also excluded by direct detection.

5.9 Summary of T1-3

Within this topology, we found eight non-equivalent models that are consistent with dark matter, as shown in Table 27. Interestingly, all of them admit scalar and fermionic dark matter. In two of these models, the spectrum contains particles with exotic electric charges (doubly charged). Although the T1-3 topology generally requires four additional fields, in four cases that number is actually reduced to three.

6 Models from topology T3

Models from the T3 topology contain only 3 new multiplets, two scalars and one fermion, all odd under the Z_2 symmetry. Figure 4 shows the diagram that gives rise to neutrino masses in

Model	α	Fermionic		Scalar		Exotic charges	# of N'plets
		DM	DD	DM	DD		
T1-3-A	0	$1_0, 2_{\pm 1}$	✓	1_0	✓	×	3
T1-3-B	0	$1_0, 2_{\pm 1}$	✓	3_0	✓	×	3
T1-3-C	± 1	$1_0, 2_{\pm 1}$	✓	2_1	✓	×	4
T1-3-D	1	$2_1, 3_0$	✓	2_1	✓	×	4
	-1	$1_0, 2_{-1}, 3_{-2}$	✓	2_{-1}	✓	✓	4
T1-3-F	± 1	$2_{\pm 1}, 3_0, 3_{\pm 2}$	✓	$2_{\pm 1}$	✓	✓	4
T1-3-G	0	$2_{\pm 1}, 3_0$	✓	1_0	✓	×	3
T1-3-H	0	$2_{\pm 1}, 3_0$	✓	3_0	✓	×	3

Table 27: *Non-equivalent models belonging to the T1-3 topology that are consistent with dark matter.*




Figure 4: One-loop contribution to neutrino mass in the T3 models.

this case. This topology is the best studied one. It includes the radiative seesaw [28], its variant with a triplet fermion [39], the so-called AMEND [40], and some other possibilities recently discussed in [32]. Five different field assignments are consistent with non-zero neutrino masses: T3-A, ..., T3-E. All of them contain viable dark matter candidates.

6.1 Model T3-A

ϕ'	ϕ	ψ
1_α^S	$3_{2+\alpha}^S$	$2_{1+\alpha}^F$

Table 28: *Model T3-A.*

This model consists of a singlet and a triplet scalar, and a fermion doublet –see Table 28. The spectrum contains a neutral particle for three different values of α :

- $\alpha = 0$: ϕ'_0 , $\phi_2 = (\phi^{++}, \phi^+, \phi^0)$, $\psi_1 = (\psi^+, \psi^0)$.

It allows for singlet-triplet scalar dark matter. Fermionic dark matter is excluded by direct detection bounds. The Z_2 symmetry forbids a Type II seesaw contribution to neutrino masses. ψ must be vector-like. Notice that the spectrum contains one particle with exotic charge: ϕ^{++} . The AMEND model [40] is a realization of this setup. It was also analyzed in [32].

- $\alpha = -2$: ϕ'_{-2} , $\phi_0 = (\phi^+, \phi^0, \phi^-)$, $\psi_{-1} = (\psi^0, \psi^-)$.

This value of α allows for triplet scalar dark matter. ψ must be vector-like. This model was discussed in [32].

- $\alpha = -4$: ϕ'_{-4} , $\phi_{-2} = (\phi^0, \phi^-, \phi^{--})$, $\psi_{-3} = (\psi^-, \psi^{--})$.

This case is not consistent with direct detection bounds (see [32] for more details about this model).

6.2 Model T3-B

ϕ'	ϕ	ψ
2_α^S	$2_{2+\alpha}^S$	$1_{1+\alpha}^F$

Table 29: *Model T3-B.*

In this case, the fermion is an $SU(2)$ singlet whereas the scalars are $SU(2)$ doublets –see Table 29. Compatibility with dark matter can be obtained for $\alpha = \pm 1, -3$:

- $\alpha = -1$: $\phi'_{-1} = (\phi'^0, \phi'^-)$, $\phi_1 = (\phi^+, \phi^0)$, ψ_0^0 .

It allows for scalar (doublet) and fermionic (singlet) dark matter. Notice that in general this topology requires 3 additional fields but for $\alpha = -1$ (and only for that value) it happens that $\phi^\dagger = \phi'$. So at the end only two different additional fields are required. This is the well-known radiative seesaw model [28]. In this case the Z_2 symmetry is also required to prevent a tree-level contribution (Type I seesaw) to neutrino masses.

- $\alpha = 1$: $\phi'_1 = (\phi'^+, \phi'^0)$, $\phi_3 = (\phi^{++}, \phi^+)$, ψ_2^+ .

This model allows for scalar doublet dark matter. The fermion should be vector-like. Potential signals at the LHC coming from particles with exotic charges. This model has been discussed in [41].

- $\alpha = -3$: $\phi'_{-3} = (\phi'^-, \phi'^{--})$, $\phi_{-1} = (\phi^0, \phi^-)$, ψ_{-2}^- .

It is equivalent to the case $\alpha = 1$.

ϕ'	ϕ	ψ
2_α^S	$2_{2+\alpha}^S$	$3_{1+\alpha}^F$

Table 30: *Model T3-C*.

6.3 Model T3-C

In this case, the fermion is a triplet rather than a singlet (see Table 30). Compatibility with dark matter can be obtained for $\alpha = \pm 1, -3$:

- $\alpha = -1$: $\phi'_{-1} = (\phi'^0, \phi'^-)$, $\phi_1 = (\phi^+, \phi^0)$, $\psi_0 = (\psi^+, \psi^0, \psi^-)$.

This value of α allows for scalar (doublet) and fermionic (triplet) dark matter. Notice that only two additional fields are needed in this case since $\phi^\dagger = \phi'$. This model is known as the radiative seesaw with triplet fermion [39]. The Z_2 symmetry is also required to prevent a tree-level contribution (Type III seesaw) to neutrino masses.

- $\alpha = +1$: $\phi'_1 = (\phi'^+, \phi'^0)$, $\phi_3 = (\phi^{++}, \phi^+)$, $\psi_2 = (\psi^{++}, \psi^+, \psi^0)$.

The model allows only for scalar doublet dark matter since fermion dark matter is ruled out by direct detection bounds. The fermion should be vector-like to be consistent with anomaly-cancellation. The spectrum contains two doubly-charged particles, one fermion and one scalar. See [32] for more details about this model.

- $\alpha = -3$: $\phi'_{-3} = (\phi'^-, \phi'^{--})$, $\phi_{-1} = (\phi^0, \phi^-)$, $\psi_{-2} = (\psi^0, \psi^-, \psi^{--})$.

It is equivalent to the case $\alpha = 1$.

6.4 Model T3-D

ϕ'	ϕ	ψ
3_α^S	$1_{2+\alpha}^S$	$2_{1+\alpha}^F$

Table 31: *Model T3-D*.

As shown in Table 31, this model contains a fermion doublet, a singlet scalar and a triplet scalar. Dark matter candidates can be found for the following values of α :

- $\alpha = 0$: $\phi'_0 = (\phi'^+, \phi'^0, \phi'^-)$, ϕ_2^+ , $\psi_1 = (\psi^+, \psi^0)$.

This model is equivalent to T3-A with $\alpha = -2$.

- $\alpha = -2$: $\phi'_{-2} = (\phi'^0, \phi'^-, \phi'^{--})$, ϕ_0^0 , $\psi_{-1} = (\psi^0, \psi^-)$.

This model is equivalent to T3-A with $\alpha=0$.

- $\alpha = +2$: $\phi'_2 = (\phi'^{++}, \phi'^+, \phi'^0)$, ϕ_4^{++} , $\psi_3 = (\psi^{++}, \psi^+)$.

This case is not consistent with direct detection constraints.

No new non-equivalent configurations were found within this class of models.

6.5 Model T3-E

ϕ'	ϕ	ψ
3_α^S	$3_{2+\alpha}^S$	$2_{1+\alpha}^F$

Table 32: *Model T3-E*.

Two scalar triplets and one fermion doublet are part of this model –see Table 32. The spectrum contains neutral particles for four different values of α :

- $\alpha = 0$: $\phi'_0 = (\phi'^+, \phi'^0, \phi'^-)$, $\phi_2 = (\phi^{++}, \phi^+, \phi^0)$, $\psi_1 = (\psi^+, \psi^0)$.

The model allows only for scalar triplet dark matter. Fermion doublet dark matter is ruled out by direct detection bounds. The Z_2 symmetry forbids a Type II seesaw contribution to neutrino masses. The spectrum contains a doubly-charged scalar particle. This model was analyzed in [32].

- $\alpha = -2$: $\phi'_{-2} = (\phi'^0, \phi'^-, \phi'^{--})$, $\phi_0 = (\phi^+, \phi^0, \phi^-)$, $\psi_{-1} = (\psi^0, \psi^-)$.

This is equivalent to the case $\alpha = 0$.

- $\alpha = +2$: $\phi'_2 = (\phi'^{++}, \phi'^+, \phi'^0)$, $\phi_4 = (\phi^{+++}, \phi^{++}, \phi^+)$, $\psi_3 = (\psi^{++}, \psi^+)$.

This value of α is excluded because the dark matter candidate belongs to a triplet scalar with non-zero hypercharge (see [32] for more details about this model).

- $\alpha = -4$: $\phi'_{-4} = (\phi'^-, \phi'^{--}, \phi'^{---})$, $\phi_{-2} = (\phi^0, \phi^-, \phi^{--})$, $\psi_{-1} = (\psi^-, \psi^{--})$.

It is equivalent to the case $\alpha = 2$ and therefore inconsistent with dark matter.

6.6 Summary of T3

Within this topology, we found seven non-equivalent models that are consistent with dark matter, as shown in Table 33. All of them admit scalar dark matter but only two are compatible with fermionic dark matter. In four of these models, the spectrum contains particles with exotic electric charges (doubly charged). Although the T3 topology generally requires three additional fields, in two cases that number is actually reduced to two. This is the best known scenario for radiative neutrino masses.

7 Models from topology T4

One-loop diagrams with T4-like topologies, as the one illustrated in figure 5, always come accompanied by a tree-level contribution that cannot be forbidden by a discrete or a $U(1)$ symmetry. As a result, barring an unnatural suppression of the couplings involved in the tree-level diagrams, the tree-level contribution is generically dominant. To avoid this, in [31], it

Model	α	Fermionic		Scalar		Exotic charges	# of N'plets
		DM	DD	DM	DD		
T3-A	0	2_1	\times	$1_0, 3_2$	\checkmark	\checkmark	3
	-2	2_{-1}	\times	3_0	\checkmark	\times	3
T3-B	1, -3	\times	\times	$2_{\pm 1}$	\checkmark	\checkmark	3
	-1	1_0	\checkmark	$2_{\pm 1}$	\checkmark	\times	2
T3-C	1, -3	$3_{\pm 2}$	\times	$2_{\pm 1}$	\checkmark	\checkmark	3
	-1	3_0	\checkmark	$2_{\pm 1}$	\checkmark	\times	2
T3-E	0, -2	$2_{\pm 1}$	\times	$3_0, 3_{\pm 2}$	\checkmark	\checkmark	3

Table 33: *Non-equivalent models belonging to the T3 topology that are consistent with dark matter.*




Figure 5: Diagram T4-2-i with Majorana fermions inside the loop, and diagram T4-1-ii.

was proposed to promote the fermions inside the loop to be Majorana fermions, to impose a Z_2 symmetry that would forbid a seesaw contribution, and to assume that all couplings are lepton number conserving. The first two conditions can be easily satisfied but the last one is not only ad-hoc but also difficult to implement in a field theory, and should require additional symmetries and particles which are even under Z_2 , as in the implementation for T4-1-ii in [42]. It is clear, in any case, that in the models we consider, where the only additional symmetry is the Z_2 required to stabilize the dark matter particle, the tree-level contribution is unavoidable. In these models, therefore, neutrino masses do not arise radiatively and there is no connection between dark matter and neutrino masses. For this reason, we do not consider models from this topology any further.

8 Discussion

In total, we have found 35 different models of radiative neutrino masses that are compatible with dark matter: 12 from T1-1, 8 from T1-2, 8 from T1-3, and 7 from T3. All of them admit scalar dark matter and 18 allow also for fermionic dark matter. Particles with exotic

electric charges are present in 17 of these models. Besides the topology, another useful way to classify the viable models is according to the number of different additional fields they require. Obviously, the smaller this number the simpler the model is. As illustrated in the appendix [A](#), there are only 2 models with 2 new fields, 13 models with 3 fields and the rest of models contain 4 additional fields.

As we have seen, the collider and dark matter phenomenology of many of these viable models have yet to be studied in detail. In the previous sections, we have only qualitatively described the particle content and the dark matter candidates of each model. A more specific analysis of some of these models is certainly desirable but will be left for future work. Here, we would like to delineate what such a study would entail.

First of all, one needs to explicitly impose the neutrino mass constraints. That is, to find the region of the parameter space where the resulting neutrino mass matrix is consistent with the observed pattern of neutrino masses and mixing angles [\[43\]](#). In [\[31\]](#), the analytic expressions for the neutrino mass matrix can be found for each topology. It is important to remark that since the experimental data requires at least two massive neutrinos, more than one generation of a given field is usually required. The radiative seesaw model (T3-B with $\alpha = -1$), for example, is usually implemented with three generations of the singlet fermion ψ_0^0 , so as to obtain three light massive neutrinos. An alternative to multiple generations is to combine different models, as recently done in [\[44\]](#), where a mixture of T3-B and T3-C was considered. It must also be kept in mind that more than one topology can contribute to the neutrino mass matrix in a given particle physics model. The reason for this is that some models are contained within others with more multiplets. For example, T3-C with $\alpha = -1$ is contained, among others, within T1-1-B with $\alpha = 0$ and T1-3-C with $\alpha = \pm 1$. In such cases, both topologies, the one associated with the model itself and the one from the model it contains, contribute to the neutrino mass matrix and must be taken into account when confronting with the experimental data. To facilitate this task, in the appendix [B](#) we provide the full list of models that are contained within others. The neutrino mass matrix, as expected, depends on the masses and the couplings of the new multiplets. Rather than verifying whether the neutrino mass matrix is consistent with the data for a given set of masses and couplings, one would like to invert their relation so as to write the couplings in terms of the measured values of the neutrino masses and mixing angles. That is, to find a parametrization in which the neutrino data is used as an input, in analogy with the Casas-Ibarra parametrization [\[45\]](#) of the Seesaw mechanism. That would allow to easily explore and scan the parameter space of the model that is consistent with neutrino masses.

The same loop processes that generate radiative neutrino masses might induce lepton flavor violating processes such as $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ and $\tau \rightarrow \mu\gamma$. It is important, therefore, to guarantee that their rates are below current experimental bounds [\[46, 47\]](#). This constraint is expected to be particularly relevant when the dark matter particle is a singlet fermion, as it can happen in the radiative seesaw model. In that case, the dark matter constraint forces the neutrino Yukawa couplings to be large whereas the bounds from $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ favored small values [\[48\]](#). If, on the other hand, the dark matter is an $SU(2)$ doublet or triplet, the relic density constraint can usually be satisfied via gauge interactions without implying any constraints on the Yukawa couplings that determine the rate of lepton flavor violating processes.

Regarding dark matter, one certainly needs to obtain the viable parameter space of each

model. That is, to determine the regions that are consistent with the observed value of the dark matter density. Generic arguments tell us that they do exist and that they correspond to dark matter masses in the TeV range, but one would like to have a more detailed picture. These regions will strongly depend on the nature of the dark matter particle. Triplets are expected to be the heaviest followed by doublets and then singlets. In any case, since in most cases one obtains mixed states, and this mixing depends on the specific parameters of the model, a case-by-case analysis is necessary. To make a reliable prediction of the relic density coannihilation effects must be included and the Sommerfeld enhancement [49, 50, 51] should be taken into account.

In our analysis, we have included direct detection bounds only partially, simply excluding those dark matter candidates which, having a direct coupling to the Z boson, feature a spin-independent cross section orders of magnitude larger than current bounds. It may happen though that certain regions of the parameter space of our viable models are excluded by the current XENON100 bounds [52]. It is crucial therefore to calculate the detection cross section and to compare it against current data. In addition, one would like to know what region of the parameter space is going to be probed by future experiments such as XENON1T [53].

We have not taken into account any indirect detection bounds. They tend to be weaker than direct detection ones and more dependent on astrophysical uncertainties. Nonetheless, it may happen that due to the Sommerfeld enhancement [49, 50, 51] important bounds can be obtained via the gamma ray signal in some of the viable models we found. Recent works [54, 55], for example, have shown that a fermion triplet, a so-called wino, can be strongly constrained by indirect detection searches.

Finally, one has to examine the possibility of excluding/discovering some of these models with LHC data. Since the new particles do not interact strongly, their production cross sections are not expected to be that large. At the LHC, such cross sections have already been studied in the literature, as for example in [56] ($2_{\pm 1}^{F,S}$ and $1_{\pm 2}^{F,S}$), [57] (3_0^F), [58] (3_0^S), [59] ($3_{\pm 2}^F$ and $2_{\pm 3}^F$), and [60] ($3_{\pm 2}^S$ and $2_{\pm 3}^S$). At 14 TeV LHC collisions, the cross section for a Z_2 -odd particle of 250 GeV ranges from a few fb for $1_{\pm 2}^S$, to around 1000 fb for doubly-charged fermions. The phenomenology studied there, and the subsequent searches by ATLAS and CMS of singly [61] and doubly charged scalars [62, 63], and triplet fermions [64], have focused in the case in which the new particles decay into Standard Model fermions. Therefore, these bounds are not directly applicable to our models. In fact, as a result of the imposed Z_2 symmetry, the lightest Z_2 -odd particle (LOP) is stable and all heavier Z_2 -odd states decay through others channels into the LOP, with the imprint of large missing transverse momentum in the detector. In the inert dark matter models, with only one Z_2 -odd multiplet, and in the radiative seesaw models with two of them, the constraints from direct detection and relic density left little room for large signal to background ratios [39, 65, 66, 67]. In many of the models presented here, specially those with several DM candidates and extra multiplets not directly related to dark matter constraints, it could be possible to implement more generic searches for the heavier than LOP states, like the ones currently implemented at ATLAS and CMS for electroweak production of supersymmetric neutralinos, charginos and sleptons [68, 69]. In addition, many of our viable models include doubly-charged fermions and scalars, which should facilitate their study at the LHC. Searches along this line have been already proposed for some of the models with 3 multiplets in Table 35: T3-A ($\alpha = 0$) [40], T3-B [41], and T1-1-1 ($\alpha = 0$) [37, 70].

A possible way to generalize our results is to consider models where the Z_2 symmetry we have imposed is, as suggested in [71], the remnant unbroken subgroup of some flavor symmetry in the lepton sector, which presumably accounts for neutrino masses and mixings. This discrete dark matter mechanism, initially applied to A_4 in [71, 72, 73], can be used with larger non-abelian discrete flavor symmetries [74, 75, 76], because they also have Z_2 as a subgroup. In all these works, the partial spontaneous breaking of the original flavor symmetry requires the inclusion of at least one even- Z_2 scalar multiplet and, as a result, at least one neutrino mass is generated at tree level, in contrast with the models we have considered. It must be kept in mind though that in such flavor models, the odd multiplets under the unbroken Z_2 subgroup may induce one-loop contributions analogous to those examined in this paper. In fact, the radiative seesaw contributions could be implemented in [72] as was already discussed in [75]. A more detailed analysis of this interesting generalization is left for future work.

9 Conclusions

Neutrino masses and dark matter provide the only experimental evidences we currently have of physics beyond the Standard Model. In this paper, we obtained a comprehensive list of TeV-scale models that can simultaneously explain neutrino masses and account for the dark matter. In these models, non-zero masses are generated radiatively at the 1-loop level and the dark matter candidate is one of the particles mediating the loop diagram –which are assumed to transform as singlets, doublets or triplets of $SU(2)$ and to be odd under a Z_2 symmetry. In total we found 35 non-equivalent models. According to the topology, they are classified in the following way: 12 from T1-1, 8 from T1-2, 8 from T1-3, and 7 from T3. 17 of these viable models admit only scalar dark matter, 18 admit both scalar and fermionic dark matter but none of them is compatible with just fermionic dark matter. Half of the models contain new particles with exotic electric charges, making them particularly amenable to searches at the LHC. Since most of these models have not been previously studied, they constitute an excellent starting point for future detailed analyses of models of new physics consistent with dark matter and neutrino masses.

Acknowledgments

The work of C.Y. is partially supported by the “Helmholtz Alliance for Astroparticle Physics HAP” funded by the Initiative and Networking Fund of the Helmholtz Association. D.R. and O.Z. have been partially supported by Sostenibilidad-UdeA and COLCIENCIAS through the grant number 111-556-934918. We want to thank to Diego Aristizabal for helpful discussions. O.Z. is also very grateful to Martin Hirsch for his enlightening discussions.

References

- [1] **Planck Collaboration** Collaboration, P. Ade et al., *Planck 2013 results. XVI. Cosmological parameters*, [arXiv:1303.5076](#).

- [2] **WMAP Collaboration** Collaboration, E. Komatsu et al., *Seven-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Cosmological Interpretation*, *Astrophys.J.Suppl.* **192** (2011) 18, [[arXiv:1001.4538](#)].
- [3] **Super-Kamiokande Collaboration** Collaboration, Y. Fukuda et al., *Evidence for oscillation of atmospheric neutrinos*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **81** (1998) 1562–1567, [[hep-ex/9807003](#)].
- [4] **SNO Collaboration** Collaboration, Q. Ahmad et al., *Direct evidence for neutrino flavor transformation from neutral current interactions in the Sudbury Neutrino Observatory*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **89** (2002) 011301, [[nucl-ex/0204008](#)].
- [5] **KamLAND Collaboration** Collaboration, T. Araki et al., *Measurement of neutrino oscillation with KamLAND: Evidence of spectral distortion*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **94** (2005) 081801, [[hep-ex/0406035](#)].
- [6] **MINOS Collaboration** Collaboration, P. Adamson et al., *Measurement of Neutrino Oscillations with the MINOS Detectors in the NuMI Beam*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **101** (2008) 131802, [[arXiv:0806.2237](#)].
- [7] P. Minkowski, *mu to e gamma at a Rate of One Out of 1-Billion Muon Decays?*, *Phys.Lett.* **B67** (1977) 421.
- [8] T. Yanagida, *Horizontal symmetry and masses of neutrinos*, *Conf.Proc.* **C7902131** (1979) 95–99.
- [9] M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond, and R. Slansky, *Complex spinors and unified theories*, *Conf.Proc.* **C790927** (1979) 315–321, [[arXiv:1306.4669](#)].
- [10] R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, *Neutrino Mass and Spontaneous Parity Violation*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **44** (1980) 912.
- [11] M. Magg and C. Wetterich, *Neutrino mass problem and gauge hierarchy*, *Phys.Lett.* **B94** (1980) 61.
- [12] J. Schechter and J. Valle, *Neutrino Masses in $SU(2) \times U(1)$ Theories*, *Phys.Rev.* **D22** (1980) 2227.
- [13] C. Wetterich, *Neutrino Masses and the Scale of B-L Violation*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B187** (1981) 343.
- [14] G. Lazarides, Q. Shafi, and C. Wetterich, *Proton Lifetime and Fermion Masses in an $SO(10)$ Model*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B181** (1981) 287–300.
- [15] R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, *Neutrino Masses and Mixings in Gauge Models with Spontaneous Parity Violation*, *Phys.Rev.* **D23** (1981) 165.
- [16] T. Cheng and L.-F. Li, *Neutrino Masses, Mixings and Oscillations in $SU(2) \times U(1)$ Models of Electroweak Interactions*, *Phys.Rev.* **D22** (1980) 2860.

- [17] R. Foot, H. Lew, X. He, and G. C. Joshi, *Seesaw neutrino masses induced by a triplet of leptons*, *Z.Phys.* **C44** (1989) 441.
- [18] D. Wyler and L. Wolfenstein, *Massless Neutrinos in Left-Right Symmetric Models*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B218** (1983) 205.
- [19] R. Mohapatra and J. Valle, *Neutrino Mass and Baryon Number Nonconservation in Superstring Models*, *Phys.Rev.* **D34** (1986) 1642.
- [20] E. Ma, *Lepton number nonconservation in $E(6)$ superstring models*, *Phys.Lett.* **B191** (1987) 287.
- [21] P. B. Dev and A. Pilaftsis, *Minimal Radiative Neutrino Mass Mechanism for Inverse Seesaw Models*, *Phys.Rev.* **D86** (2012) 113001, [[arXiv:1209.4051](#)].
- [22] A. Zee, *A Theory of Lepton Number Violation, Neutrino Majorana Mass, and Oscillation*, *Phys.Lett.* **B93** (1980) 389.
- [23] K. Babu, *Model of 'Calculable' Majorana Neutrino Masses*, *Phys.Lett.* **B203** (1988) 132.
- [24] E. Ma, *Pathways to naturally small neutrino masses*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **81** (1998) 1171–1174, [[hep-ph/9805219](#)].
- [25] A. Pilaftsis, *Radiatively induced neutrino masses and large Higgs neutrino couplings in the standard model with Majorana fields*, *Z.Phys.* **C55** (1992) 275–282, [[hep-ph/9901206](#)].
- [26] M. Hirsch, M. Diaz, W. Porod, J. Romao, and J. Valle, *Neutrino masses and mixings from supersymmetry with bilinear R parity violation: A Theory for solar and atmospheric neutrino oscillations*, *Phys.Rev.* **D62** (2000) 113008, [[hep-ph/0004115](#)].
- [27] G. Altarelli and F. Feruglio, *Discrete Flavor Symmetries and Models of Neutrino Mixing*, *Rev.Mod.Phys.* **82** (2010) 2701–2729, [[arXiv:1002.0211](#)].
- [28] E. Ma, *Verifiable radiative seesaw mechanism of neutrino mass and dark matter*, *Phys.Rev.* **D73** (2006) 077301, [[hep-ph/0601225](#)].
- [29] L. M. Krauss, S. Nasri, and M. Trodden, *A Model for neutrino masses and dark matter*, *Phys.Rev.* **D67** (2003) 085002, [[hep-ph/0210389](#)].
- [30] K. Cheung and O. Seto, *Phenomenology of TeV right-handed neutrino and the dark matter model*, *Phys.Rev.* **D69** (2004) 113009, [[hep-ph/0403003](#)].
- [31] F. Bonnet, M. Hirsch, T. Ota, and W. Winter, *Systematic study of the $d=5$ Weinberg operator at one-loop order*, *JHEP* **1207** (2012) 153, [[arXiv:1204.5862](#)].
- [32] S. S. C. Law and K. L. McDonald, *A Class of Inert N -tuplet Models with Radiative Neutrino Mass and Dark Matter*, [arXiv:1305.6467](#).

- [33] D. Aristizabal Sierra and D. Restrepo, *Leptonic Charged Higgs Decays in the Zee Model*, *JHEP* **0608** (2006) 036, [[hep-ph/0604012](#)].
- [34] P. Fileviez Perez and M. B. Wise, *On the Origin of Neutrino Masses*, *Phys.Rev.* **D80** (2009) 053006, [[arXiv:0906.2950](#)].
- [35] S. Choubey, M. Duerr, M. Mitra, and W. Rodejohann, *Lepton Number and Lepton Flavor Violation through Color Octet States*, *JHEP* **1205** (2012) 017, [[arXiv:1201.3031](#)].
- [36] M. Cirelli, N. Fornengo, and A. Strumia, *Minimal dark matter*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B753** (2006) 178–194, [[hep-ph/0512090](#)].
- [37] Y. Farzan, *A Minimal model linking two great mysteries: neutrino mass and dark matter*, *Phys.Rev.* **D80** (2009) 073009, [[arXiv:0908.3729](#)].
- [38] Y. Farzan, S. Pascoli, and M. A. Schmidt, *Recipes and Ingredients for Neutrino Mass at Loop Level*, *JHEP* **1303** (2013) 107, [[arXiv:1208.2732](#)].
- [39] E. Ma and D. Suematsu, *Fermion Triplet Dark Matter and Radiative Neutrino Mass*, *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **A24** (2009) 583–589, [[arXiv:0809.0942](#)].
- [40] Y. Farzan, S. Pascoli, and M. A. Schmidt, *AMEND: A model explaining neutrino masses and dark matter testable at the LHC and MEG*, *JHEP* **1010** (2010) 111, [[arXiv:1005.5323](#)].
- [41] M. Aoki, S. Kanemura, and K. Yagyu, *Doubly-charged scalar bosons from the doublet*, *Phys.Lett.* **B702** (2011) 355–358, [[arXiv:1105.2075](#)].
- [42] S. Kanemura and H. Sugiyama, *Dark matter and a suppression mechanism for neutrino masses in the Higgs triplet model*, *Phys.Rev.* **D86** (2012) 073006, [[arXiv:1202.5231](#)].
- [43] M. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni, J. Salvado, and T. Schwetz, *Global fit to three neutrino mixing: critical look at present precision*, *JHEP* **1212** (2012) 123, [[arXiv:1209.3023](#)].
- [44] M. Hirsch, R. Lineros, S. Morisi, J. Palacio, N. Rojas, et al., *WIMP dark matter as radiative neutrino mass messenger*, [arXiv:1307.8134](#).
- [45] J. Casas and A. Ibarra, *Oscillating neutrinos and muon to e, gamma*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B618** (2001) 171–204, [[hep-ph/0103065](#)].
- [46] **MEG Collaboration** Collaboration, J. Adam et al., *New constraint on the existence of the $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \gamma$ decay*, [arXiv:1303.0754](#).
- [47] **BaBar Collaboration** Collaboration, B. Aubert et al., *Searches for Lepton Flavor Violation in the Decays $\tau^+ \rightarrow e^+ \gamma$ and $\tau^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \gamma$* , *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **104** (2010) 021802, [[arXiv:0908.2381](#)].

- [48] J. Kubo, E. Ma, and D. Suematsu, *Cold Dark Matter, Radiative Neutrino Mass, μ to e gamma, and Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay*, *Phys.Lett.* **B642** (2006) 18–23, [[hep-ph/0604114](#)].
- [49] J. Hisano, S. Matsumoto, and M. M. Nojiri, *Explosive dark matter annihilation*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **92** (2004) 031303, [[hep-ph/0307216](#)].
- [50] J. Hisano, S. Matsumoto, M. M. Nojiri, and O. Saito, *Non-perturbative effect on dark matter annihilation and gamma ray signature from galactic center*, *Phys.Rev.* **D71** (2005) 063528, [[hep-ph/0412403](#)].
- [51] J. L. Feng, M. Kaplinghat, and H.-B. Yu, *Sommerfeld Enhancements for Thermal Relic Dark Matter*, *Phys.Rev.* **D82** (2010) 083525, [[arXiv:1005.4678](#)].
- [52] **XENON100 Collaboration** Collaboration, E. Aprile et al., *Dark Matter Results from 225 Live Days of XENON100 Data*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **109** (2012) 181301, [[arXiv:1207.5988](#)].
- [53] **XENON1T collaboration** Collaboration, E. Aprile, *The XENON1T Dark Matter Search Experiment*, [arXiv:1206.6288](#).
- [54] J. Fan and M. Reece, *In Wino Veritas? Indirect Searches Shed Light on Neutralino Dark Matter*, [arXiv:1307.4400](#).
- [55] T. Cohen, M. Lisanti, A. Pierce, and T. R. Slatyer, *Wino Dark Matter Under Siege*, [arXiv:1307.4082](#).
- [56] J. Liu, B. Shuve, N. Weiner, and I. Yavin, *Looking for new charged states at the LHC: Signatures of Magnetic and Rayleigh Dark Matter*, *JHEP* **1307** (2013) 144, [[arXiv:1303.4404](#)].
- [57] T. Li and X.-G. He, *Neutrino Masses and Heavy Triplet Leptons at the LHC: Testability of Type III Seesaw*, *Phys.Rev.* **D80** (2009) 093003, [[arXiv:0907.4193](#)].
- [58] P. Fileviez Perez, H. H. Patel, M. Ramsey-Musolf, and K. Wang, *Triplet Scalars and Dark Matter at the LHC*, *Phys.Rev.* **D79** (2009) 055024, [[arXiv:0811.3957](#)].
- [59] S. Biondini, O. Panella, G. Pancheri, Y. Srivastava, and L. Fano, *Phenomenology of excited doubly charged heavy leptons at LHC*, *Phys.Rev.* **D85** (2012) 095018, [[arXiv:1201.3764](#)].
- [60] C.-W. Chiang, T. Nomura, and K. Tsumura, *Search for doubly charged Higgs bosons using the same-sign diboson mode at the LHC*, *Phys.Rev.* **D85** (2012) 095023, [[arXiv:1202.2014](#)].
- [61] **CMS Collaboration** Collaboration, R. Salerno, *Higgs searches at CMS*, [arXiv:1301.3405](#).

- [62] **CMS Collaboration** Collaboration, S. Chatrchyan et al., *A search for a doubly-charged Higgs boson in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV*, *Eur.Phys.J.* **C72** (2012) 2189, [[arXiv:1207.2666](#)].
- [63] **ATLAS Collaboration** Collaboration, G. Aad et al., *Search for doubly-charged Higgs bosons in like-sign dilepton final states at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *Eur.Phys.J.* **C72** (2012) 2244, [[arXiv:1210.5070](#)].
- [64] **CMS Collaboration** Collaboration, S. Chatrchyan et al., *Search for heavy lepton partners of neutrinos in proton-proton collisions in the context of the type III seesaw mechanism*, *Phys.Lett.* **B718** (2012) 348–368, [[arXiv:1210.1797](#)].
- [65] D. Aristizabal Sierra, J. Kubo, D. Restrepo, D. Suematsu, and O. Zapata, *Radiative seesaw: Warm dark matter, collider and lepton flavour violating signals*, *Phys.Rev.* **D79** (2009) 013011, [[arXiv:0808.3340](#)].
- [66] M. Aoki and S. Kanemura, *Probing the Majorana nature of TeV-scale radiative seesaw models at collider experiments*, *Phys.Lett.* **B689** (2010) 28–35, [[arXiv:1001.0092](#)].
- [67] M. Klasen, C. E. Yaguna, J. D. Ruiz-Alvarez, D. Restrepo, and O. Zapata, *Scalar dark matter and fermion coannihilations in the radiative seesaw model*, *JCAP* **1304** (2013) 044, [[arXiv:1302.5298](#)].
- [68] **ATLAS Collaboration** Collaboration, *Search for supersymmetry in events with four or more leptons in 21 fb^{-1} of pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, .
- [69] **CMS Collaboration** Collaboration, S. Chatrchyan et al., *Search for electroweak production of charginos and neutralinos using leptonic final states in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV*, *JHEP* **1211** (2012) 147, [[arXiv:1209.6620](#)].
- [70] Y. Farzan and M. Hashemi, *SLIM at LHC: LHC search power for a model linking dark matter and neutrino mass*, *JHEP* **1011** (2010) 029, [[arXiv:1009.0829](#)].
- [71] M. Hirsch, S. Morisi, E. Peinado, and J. Valle, *Discrete dark matter*, *Phys.Rev.* **D82** (2010) 116003, [[arXiv:1007.0871](#)].
- [72] D. Meloni, S. Morisi, and E. Peinado, *Neutrino phenomenology and stable dark matter with A_4* , *Phys.Lett.* **B697** (2011) 339–342, [[arXiv:1011.1371](#)].
- [73] M. Boucenna, M. Hirsch, S. Morisi, E. Peinado, M. Taoso, et al., *Phenomenology of Dark Matter from A_4 Flavor Symmetry*, *JHEP* **1105** (2011) 037, [[arXiv:1101.2874](#)].
- [74] D. Meloni, S. Morisi, and E. Peinado, *Stability of dark matter from the $D_4 \times Z_2$ flavor group*, *Phys.Lett.* **B703** (2011) 281–287, [[arXiv:1104.0178](#)].
- [75] L. Lavoura, *On a possible relationship between lepton mixing and the stability of dark matter*, *J.Phys.* **G39** (2012) 025202, [[arXiv:1109.6854](#)].

- [76] M. Boucenna, S. Morisi, E. Peinado, Y. Shimizu, and J. Valle, *Predictive discrete dark matter model and neutrino oscillations*, *Phys.Rev.* **D86** (2012) 073008, [[arXiv:1204.4733](#)].

A Viable models classified according to the number of multiplets

It is useful to classify the models for neutrino masses and dark matter according to the number of different additional multiplets they require. Tables 34, 35 y 36 display respectively the non-equivalent models with 2, 3, and 4 multiplets. In addition, they summarize the main properties of each model.

Model	α	Fermionic		Scalar		Exotic charges	Additional fields	Viable
		DM	DD	DM	DD			
T3-B	-1	1_0	✓	$2_{\pm 1}$	✓	×	-	✓
T3-C	-1	3_0	✓	$2_{\pm 1}$	✓	×	-	✓

Table 34: *Non-equivalent models with 2 multiplets.*

Model	α	Fermionic		Scalar		Exotic charges	Additional fields	Viable
		DM	DD	DM	DD			
T1-1-A	0	1_0	✓	$1_0, 2_{\pm 1}$	✓	×	-	✓
T1-1-B	0	3_0	✓	$1_0, 2_{\pm 1}$	✓	×	-	✓
T1-1-G	0	1_0	✓	$2_{\pm 1}, 3_0$	✓	×	-	✓
T1-1-H	0	3_0	✓	$2_{\pm 1}, 3_0$	✓	×	-	✓
T1-3-A	0	$1_0, 2_{\pm 1}$	✓	1_0	✓	×	-	✓
T1-3-B	0	$1_0, 2_{\pm 1}$	✓	3_0	✓	×	-	✓
T1-3-G	0	$2_{\pm 1}, 3_0$	✓	1_0	✓	×	-	✓
T1-3-H	0	$2_{\pm 1}, 3_0$	✓	3_0	✓	×	-	✓
T3-A	0	2_1	×	$1_0, 3_2$	✓	✓	-	✓
	-2	2_{-1}	×	3_0	✓	×	1_{-2}^S	✓
	-4	×	×	3_{-2}	×	✓	$1_{-4}^S, 2_{-3}^F$	×
T3-B	$1, -3$	×	×	$2_{\pm 1}$	✓	✓	$2_{\pm 3}^S, 1_{\pm 2}^F$	✓
T3-C	$1, -3$	$3_{\pm 2}$	×	$2_{\pm 1}$	✓	✓	$2_{\pm 3}^S$	✓
T3-E	$2, -4$	×	×	$3_{\pm 2}$	×	✓	$3_{\pm 4}^S, 2_{\pm 3}^F$	×
	$0, -2$	$2_{\pm 1}$	×	$3_0, 3_{\pm 2}$	✓	✓	-	✓

Table 35: *Non-equivalent models with 3 multiplets.*

B Models contained within others

When one model is contained within another with more multiplets, the neutrino mass matrix receives two contributions, one associated with the topology of the model itself and another from the model it contains. Both must be taken into account when confronting the experimental data on neutrino masses and mixing angles. To facilitate this task, we provide, in table [37](#), the complete list of viable models that are contained within another. The left (right) panel shows the models with 2 (3) multiplets that are contained in models with 3 (4) multiplets.

Model	α	Fermionic		Scalar		Exotic charges	Additional Fields	Viable
		DM	DD	DM	DD			
T1-1-A	± 2	\times	\times	$2_{\pm 1}$	\checkmark	\checkmark	$1_{\pm 2}^S, 2_{\pm 3}^S, 1_{\pm 2}^F$	\checkmark
T1-1-B	± 2	$3_{\pm 2}$	\times	$2_{\pm 1}$	\checkmark	\checkmark	$1_{\pm 2}^S, 2_{\pm 3}^S$	\checkmark
T1-1-C	± 1	$2_{\pm 1}$	\times	$1_0, 2_{\pm 1}$	\checkmark	\checkmark	$1_{\pm 2}^S$	\checkmark
T1-1-D	1	2_1	\times	$1_0, 2_1, 3_2$	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	\checkmark
	-1	2_{-1}	\times	$2_{-1}, 3_0$	\checkmark	\times	1_{-2}^S	\checkmark
	-3	\times	\times	3_{-2}	\times	\checkmark	$1_{-4}^S, 2_{-3}^S, 2_{-3}^F$	\times
T1-1-F	± 3	\times	\times	$3_{\pm 2}$	\times	\checkmark	$2_{\pm 3}^S, 3_{\pm 4}^S, 2_{\pm 3}^F$	\times
	± 1	$2_{\pm 1}$	\times	$2_{\pm 1}, 3_0, 3_{\pm 2}$	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	\checkmark
T1-1-G	± 2	\times	\times	$2_{\pm 1}, 3_{\pm 2}$	\checkmark	\checkmark	$2_{\pm 3}^S, 1_{\pm 2}^F$	\checkmark
T1-1-H	± 2	$3_{\pm 2}$	\times	$2_{\pm 1}, 3_{\pm 2}$	\checkmark	\checkmark	$2_{\pm 3}^S$	\checkmark
T1-2-A	0	$1_0, 2_1$	\checkmark	$1_0, 2_1$	\checkmark	\times	-	\checkmark
	-2	2_{-1}	\times	2_{-1}	\checkmark	\times	$1_{-2}^S, 1_{-2}^F$	\checkmark
T1-2-B	2	\times	\times	3_2	\times	\checkmark	$2_3^S, 1_2^F, 2_3^F$	\times
	0	$1_0, 2_1$	\checkmark	$2_1, 3_0$	\checkmark	\times	-	\checkmark
	-2	2_{-1}	\times	$2_{-1}, 3_{-2}$	\checkmark	\checkmark	1_{-2}^F	\checkmark
T1-2-D	1	$2_1, 3_2$	\times	2_1	\checkmark	\checkmark	1_2^S	\checkmark
	-1	$2_{-1}, 3_0$	\checkmark	$1_0, 2_{-1}$	\checkmark	\times	-	\checkmark
	-3	3_{-2}	\times	\times	\times	\checkmark	$1_{-2}^S, 2_{-3}^S, 2_{-3}^F$	\times
T1-2-F	1	$2_1, 3_2$	\times	$2_1, 3_2$	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	\checkmark
	-1	$2_{-1}, 3_0$	\checkmark	$2_{-1}, 3_0$	\checkmark	\times	-	\checkmark
	-3	3_{-2}	\times	3_{-2}	\times	\checkmark	$2_{-3}^S, 2_{-3}^F$	\times
T1-3-A	± 2	$2_{\pm 1}$	\times	\times	\times	\checkmark	$1_{\pm 2}^S, 1_{\pm 2}^F, 2_{\pm 3}^F$	\times
T1-3-B	± 2	$2_{\pm 1}$	\times	$3_{\pm 2}$	\times	\checkmark	$1_{\pm 2}^F, 2_{\pm 3}^F$	\times
T1-3-C	± 1	$1_0, 2_{\pm 1}$	\checkmark	$2_{\pm 1}$	\checkmark	\times	$1_{\pm 2}^F$	\checkmark
T1-3-D	3	3_2	\times	\times	\times	\checkmark	$2_3^S, 1_4^F, 2_3^F$	\times
	1	$2_1, 3_0$	\checkmark	2_1	\checkmark	\times	1_2^F	\checkmark
	-1	$1_0, 2_{-1}, 3_{-2}$	\checkmark	2_{-1}	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	\checkmark
T1-3-F	± 3	$3_{\pm 2}$	\times	\times	\times	\checkmark	$2_{\pm 3}^S, 2_{\pm 3}^F, 3_{\pm 4}^F$	\times
	± 1	$2_{\pm 1}, 3_0, 3_{\pm 2}$	\checkmark	$2_{\pm 1}$	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	\checkmark
T1-3-G	± 2	$2_{\pm 1}, 3_{\pm 2}$	\times	\times	\times	\checkmark	$1_{\pm 2}^S, 2_{\pm 3}^F$	\times
T1-3-H	± 2	$2_{\pm 1}, 3_{\pm 2}$	\times	$3_{\pm 2}$	\times	\checkmark	$2_{\pm 3}^F$	\times

Table 36: *Non-equivalent models with 4 multiplets.*

Model	α	Contained in	
		Model	α
T3-B	-1	T1-1-A	0
		T1-1-G	0
		T1-2-A	0
		T1-2-B	0
		T1-3-C	± 1
		T1-3-D	-1
T3-C	-1	T1-1-B	0
		T1-1-H	0
		T1-2-D	-1
		T1-2-F	-1
		T1-3-D	1
		T1-3-F	± 1

Model	α	Contained in	
		Model	α
T1-1-A	0	T1-2-A	0
T1-1-B	0	T1-2-D	-1
T1-1-G	0	T1-2-B	0
T1-1-H	0	T1-2-F	-1
T1-3-A	0	T1-2-A	0
T1-3-B	0	T1-2-B	0
T1-3-G	0	T1-2-D	-1
T1-3-H	0	T1-2-F	-1
T3-A	0	T1-1-D	1
T3-A	-2	T1-1-D	-1
T3-A	-4	T1-1-D	-3
T3-B	1, -3	T1-1-A	± 2
T3-C	1, -3	T1-1-B	± 2
T3-E	2, -4	T1-1-F	± 3
T3-E	0, -2	T1-1-F	± 1

Table 37: Models with 2 multiplets within models with 3 and 4 multiplets (left) and models with 3 multiplets within models with 4 multiplets (right).