TWO-PARAMETER ANALOGS OF THE HEISENBERG ENVELOPING ALGEBRA
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Abstract. One-parameter analogs of the Heisenberg enveloping algebra were studied previously by Kirkman and Small. In particular, they demonstrated how one may obtain Hayashi’s analog of the Weyl algebra as a primitive factor of this algebra. We consider various two-parameter versions of this problem. Of particular interest is the case when the parameters are dependent. Our study allows us to consider the representation theory of a two-parameter version of the Virasoro enveloping algebra.

1. Introduction

The Heisenberg Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{h} \) is defined on generators \( \{x, y, z\} \) subject to the relations \([x, y] = z, [x, z] = [y, z] = 0\). The first Weyl algebra is a simple factor ring of the enveloping algebra \( U(\mathfrak{h}) \). Determining the simple factor rings for enveloping algebras and quantum enveloping algebras is a long-standing problem in algebra and representation theory.

Kirkman and Small introduced a \( q \)-analog to \( U(\mathfrak{h}) \) where the commutator is replaced by the ‘quommutator’ \([22] \). A key result of theirs is that there exist a degree two central element \( \Omega \) such that \( U_q(\mathfrak{h})/\langle \Omega - 1 \rangle U_q(\mathfrak{h}) \) is isomorphic to Hayashi’s \( q \)-analog of the Weyl algebra \([16] \), hence providing a simple factor ring in the quantum setting.

We generalize this situation further to the case of two parameters \( p, q \in k^\times \) and study the algebra

\[
H_{p,q} = k\langle x, y, z \mid zx - p^{-1}xz, zy - pyz, yx - qxy - z \rangle.
\]

We explore various constructions of \( H_{p,q} \) in Section 3 and show that it is 3-dimensional Artin-Schelter regular (Proposition 3.2) and a prime noetherian domain (Proposition 3.4).

We study the prime spectrum of \( H_{p,q} \), with particular interest given to when (and how) \( H_{p,q} \) gives rise to a simple factor ring analogous to the Weyl algebra. There are three cases to consider corresponding to various relations between the parameters \( p \) and \( q \). We denote these cases in the following way,

Section 4. Inverse parameter case: \( p = q^{-1} \);
Section 5. Independent parameter case: \( p^r \neq q^s \) for all \( r, s \in \mathbb{Z} \);
Section 6. Dependent parameter case: \( p^r = q^s \) for some \( r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) with \( \gcd(r, s) = 1 \).

The inverse parameter case was considered by Kirkman and Small. We extend their results on prime ideals to \( p, q \) roots of unity (Proposition 4.1).
Benkart has studied multiparameter Weyl algebras in the independent parameter case [7]. We demonstrate how to obtain Benkart’s algebras from $H_{p,q}$ through the use of noncommutative dehomogenization. We then give an independent proof of the ring-theoretic properties of this algebra (Proposition 5.1).

Of particular interest in this work is the dependent parameter case. In this case, assuming $p$ and $q$ are nonroots of unity, $H_{p,q}$ has a simple factor ring (Theorem 6.8) which generalizes the Hayashi-Weyl algebra. We give defining relations for these algebras and their higher dimensional analogs, as well as determine a faithful, irreducible representation (Proposition 6.11).

Dean and Small [13] have given a method for finding representations of the Virasoro algebra from those of the Weyl algebra. Kirkman and Small extended this to the one-parameter case. In Section 7, we study a two-parameter analog of the Virasoro algebra in a similar manner.

2. Background

As explained in [22], the harmonic oscillator problem in quantum mechanics is to find operators $a$ and $a^+$ acting on a Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $\{v_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ such that $aa^+ - a^+a = 1$ and $\hat{H}v_n = (n+1/2)\hbar\omega v_n$, where $\hat{H} = \hbar\omega(a^+a^- + 1/2)$ is the Hamiltonian. The operators $a$ and $a^+$ are typically referred to as the annihilation and creation operators, respectively. From an algebraic viewpoint, the study of the harmonic oscillator problem is equivalent to studying the representation theory of the Weyl algebra,

$$A_1(k) = \mathbb{k}\langle x, y \mid xy - yx - 1 \rangle.$$

Suppose our space is $\mathbb{R}^n$ and the $v_n$ are the standard basis vectors. We can represent $a$ and $a^+$ as matrices

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad a^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \sqrt{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & \sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$  

(2.1)

Then $a^+v_n = \sqrt{n+1}\sqrt[n]{q}v_{n+1}$ for $n \geq 0$ and $av_0 = 0, av_n = \sqrt[n]{q}v_{n-1}$ for $n \geq 1$.

Define the $q$-number to be

$$[n]_q = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} q^i.$$  

(2.2)

Let $N$ be the diagonal matrix with $n_{ii} = [i]_q$ for $i \geq 0$ (the number operator). If we replace $\sqrt{n}$ with $\sqrt[n]{q}$ in (2.1), then the matrices $a, a^+$ satisfy the relations $aa^+ - qa^+a = q^{-N}, Na^+ - a^+N = a^+$, and $Na - aN = -a$. In terms of the standard basis vectors $v_n$, the operators satisfy

$$a^+v_n = \sqrt[n]{q}v_{n+1} \text{ for } n \geq 0,$$

$$av_0 = 0 \text{ and } av_n = \sqrt[n]{q}v_{n-1} \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

$^1$\(\hbar\) is Planck’s constant and $\omega$ is angular momentum.
In this way, these operators may be regarded as a $q$-analog of the harmonic oscillator. Making the replacement $L = q^{-N}$ so that $La^+ = q^{-1}a^+ L$ and $La = qaL$, as in [22], gives the relations for the algebra $H_q$, a $q$-analog of $U(q)$. The matrix representation above is indeed an irreducible representation of $H_q$, but it is not faithful. Let $\Omega = (aa^+ - (1 - q^2)L)L$. Then $\Omega$ is a central element of $H_q$ and $H_q/(\Omega - 1)H_q =: \mathcal{A}_q$ is the Hayashi $q$-analog of the Weyl algebra [10]. Then (2.1) is a faithful, irreducible representation of $\mathcal{A}_q$.

There is another analog of the Weyl algebra that is well-studied in ring theory, namely the quantum Weyl algebra. For $q \in \mathbb{k}^*$, this algebra has presentation

$$A_q^1(\mathbb{k}) = \mathbb{k}\langle x, y \mid yx - qxy - 1 \rangle. \tag{2.3}$$

In higher dimensions, quantum Weyl algebras can be constructed as differential operators on quantum affine $n$-space. However, the ring theoretic properties of $A_q^1(\mathbb{k})$ do not mimic those of $A_1(\mathbb{k})$ well. In particular, $A_1(\mathbb{k})$ is a simple noetherian domain of global dimension one and GK dimension two. While $A_q^1(\mathbb{k})$ is a noetherian domain with GK dimension two, it is not simple and has global dimension two. On the other hand, $\mathcal{A}_q$ shares with $A_1(\mathbb{k})$ all of the above mentioned properties.

Analogously, Chakrabarti and Jagannathan [12] have considered two-parameter analogs of $su(2)$ to construct $(p, q)$-oscillators satisfying

$$aa^+ - qa^+a = p^{-N}, \quad aa^+ - p^{-1}a^+a = q^N, \quad Na^+ - a^+N = a^+, \quad Na -aN = -a. \tag{2.4}$$

Define the $(p, q)$-number to be

$$[n]_{p,q} = \frac{q^n - p^{-n}}{q - p^{-1}} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} q^i p^{-(n-i)}. \tag{2.5}$$

When $p = q$, this reduces to the standard $q$-number. Note that $[n]_{p,q} = 0$ if $p$ and $q$ are both primitive roots of unity and both orders divide $n$. We obtain a matrix representation of these operators by making the replacement $\sqrt{[n]_{p,q}}$ for $\sqrt{n}$ in (2.1) and noting the identities

$$[n + 1]_{p,q} = p^{-n} + q[n]_{p,q} = q^n + p^{-1}[n]_{p,q}. \tag{2.6}$$

Suppose $q^r = p^s$ for some integers $r$ and $s$. The identification $L = p^{-N}$ gives $q^rN = L^{-s}$ and so we have the following relations

$$aa^+ - qa^+a = L, \quad (aa^+ - p^{-1}a^+a)^r = L^{-s}, \quad La^+ - p^{-1}a^+L = 0, \quad La - paL = 0. \tag{2.6}$$

We call this algebra $A_{p}(r,s)$. Like $\mathcal{A}_q$, it is simple and the corresponding representation is faithful and irreducible. Further study of this algebra is contained in Section 6.
Throughout, \( k \) is an uncountable, algebraically closed, characteristic zero field.

We begin by considering multiple constructions of \( H_{p,q} \). Throughout, we fix \( p, q \in k^\times \). Initially, we place no restrictions on roots of unity or relation between the parameters. As a \( k \)-algebra, we have the following presentation

\[
H_{p,q} = k\langle x, y, z \mid zx - p^{-1}xz, zy - pyz, yx - qxy - z \rangle.
\]

Assigning degree one to \( x \) and \( y \) and degree two to \( z \) gives \( H_{p,q} \) the form of a connected graded algebra. In \[16\] and \[7\], the third defining relation is taken to be \( yx - q^2xy = z^2 \) but we opt for the above convention as in \[22\].

**Proposition 3.2.** The algebra \( H_{p,q} \) is (Artin-Schelter) regular of global and GK dimension three.

**Proof.** Since \( z \) is a central regular element in \( H_{p,q} \), then \( H_{p,q} \) is regular if and only if \( H_{p,q}/zH_{p,q} \) is regular \[24\]. But \( H_{p,q}/zH_{p,q} \) is isomorphic to the quantum plane \( \mathcal{O}_q(k^\times) \), which is regular of global and GK dimension two \[2\]. \( \square \)

For an \( \mathbb{N} \)-graded algebra \( A = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \) and \( \tau \) a graded automorphism of \( A \), a **Zhang twist** \[28\] of \( A \) is the algebra with the same \( k \)-algebra basis as \( A \) but a new multiplication \( \ast \) given by \( f \ast g = f\tau^n(g) \) for all \( f \in A_n, g \in A_m \). We denote this algebra \( A^\tau \).

For \( H = H_{p,q} \), we define \( \tau \in \text{Aut}(H) \) by \( \tau(x) = \sqrt{p}x, \tau(y) = \sqrt{p^{-1}}y \) and \( \tau(z) = z \). Then in \( H^\tau \) we have,

\[
\begin{align*}
    z \ast x - x \ast z &= z\tau^2(x) - x\tau(z) = pzx - xz = p(zx - p^{-1}xz) = 0, \\
    z \ast y - y \ast z &= z\tau^2(y) - y\tau(z) = p^{-1}zy - yz = p^{-1}(zy - pyz) = 0, \\
    y \ast x - pqx \ast y - z &= y\tau(x) - pqx\tau(y) - z = \sqrt{pq}x - \sqrt{pq}xy - z = \sqrt{p}(yx - qxy - \sqrt{p}z).
\end{align*}
\]

Recall the definition for the quantum Weyl algebra \[2,3\]. The homogenized quantum Weyl algebra is the \( k \)-algebra with parameter \( q \in k^\times \) and presentation

\[
H(A^q_1(k)) = k\langle x, y, z \mid zx - xz, zy - yz, yx - qxy - z \rangle.
\]

It is clear that \( H^\tau \cong H(A^q_1(k)) \) via the map \( x \mapsto x, y \mapsto y, \) and \( z \mapsto \sqrt{p^{-1}}z \). Hence, the category of graded modules on \( H_{p,q} \) is equivalent to that of \( H(A^q_1(k)) \) \[28\] Theorem 1.1].

**Remark 3.3.** Suppose we replace the relation \( zx - p^{-1}xz \) in \[3.1\] with \( zx - p'xz \) for some \( p' \in k^\times \). That is, one might hope for a three-parameter analog of \( U(h) \). However, a direct application of Bergman’s Diamond Lemma \[13\] shows that \( \text{GKdim} \ H_{p,q} = 3 \) if and only if \( p' = p^{-1} \).

Let \( R \) be a ring. Given \( \sigma \in \text{Aut}(R) \), a \( k \)-linear map \( \delta : R \to R \) is said to be a **\( \sigma \)-derivation** if it satisfies

\[
\delta(r_1r_2) = \sigma(r_1)\delta(r_2) + \delta(r_1)r_2 \text{ for all } r_1, r_2 \in R.
\]

The skew polynomial ring \( S = R[x; \sigma, \delta] \) is the overring of
R with commutation given by \(xr = \sigma(r)x + \delta(r)\) for all \(r \in R\). If \(\delta = 0\) or \(\sigma = \text{id}_R\), then we abbreviate \(S\) as \(R[x; \sigma] = 0\) or \(S = R[x; \delta]\), respectively.

We say \(\sigma\) is an inner automorphism if there exists a unit \(a \in R\) such that \(\sigma(r) = a^{-1}ra\) for all \(r \in R\). In this case, \(R[x; \sigma, \delta] = R(\tau^\sigma a^\delta)\). We say \(\delta\) is an inner \(\sigma\)-derivation if there exists \(t \in R\) such that \(\delta(r) = tr - \sigma(r)t\) for all \(r \in R\). In this case, \(R[x; \sigma, \delta] = R[x - t; \sigma]\).

**Proposition 3.4.** The algebra \(H_{p,q}\) may be presented as an iterated skew polynomial ring, and hence is a prime, noetherian domain.

**Proof.** Let \(R = k[z][x; \alpha]\) where \(\alpha\) is the \(k[z]\)-automorphism defined by \(\alpha(z) = pq\), so \(R\) is a quantum plane, \(O_p(k^2)\). Then \(H_{p,q} \cong R[y; \sigma, \delta]\) where \(\sigma\) is the \(R\)-automorphism given by \(\sigma(x) = qx\), \(\sigma(z) = pqz\), and \(\delta\) is a \(\sigma\)-derivation on \(R\) given by \(\delta(x) = z, \delta(z) = 0\).

When \(pq \neq 1\), \(H_{p,q}\) has the form of an ambiskew polynomial ring as defined in [17]. The base ring in this case is \(A = k[z]\). Let \(u = (1 - pq)^{-1}z \in A\) and let \(\alpha\) be as in the skew polynomial construction. Then

\[
\begin{align*}
  &xz = \alpha(z)x, \\
  &yz = \alpha^{-1}(z)x \\
  &yx - qxy = u - qa(u) = z.
\end{align*}
\]

Certain aspects of this paper have been considered in [13] from the viewpoint of ambiskew polynomial rings.

Given a ring \(D\), \(\rho \in \text{Aut}(D)\), and \(a \in Z(D)\), the Generalized Weyl Algebra (GWA) \(D(\rho, a)\) is defined to be the ring generated by \(D\) and indeterminates \(x\) and \(y\) satisfying

\[
xd = \rho(d)x, \quad yd = \rho^{-1}(d)y, \quad xy = \rho(a) \quad yx = a,
\]

for all \(d \in D\) [3]. Let \(D = k[yx, z]\) with \(\rho \in \text{Aut}(D)\) defined by \(\rho(z) = pq\) and \(\rho(yx) = q^{-1}(yx - z)\). An easy check shows \(H_{p,q} \cong D(\rho, yx)\).

Substituting \(z = yx - qxy\) into the first two relations in (3.1) gives the algebra on generators \(x\) and \(y\) subject to the relations

\[
y^2 = (p^{-1} + q)xy - p^{-1}qxy, \quad y^2x = (p^{-1} + q)yxy - p^{-1}qxy^2.
\]

Thus, \(H_{p,q}\) is a down-up algebra as defined in [8] with parameters \(d = y, u = x, \alpha = q + p^{-1}, \beta = -q^{-1}p, \gamma = 0\). By [21, Theorem 1.1], such an algebra has Hopf structure if and only if \(\alpha + \beta = 1\). In our case, this reduces to the condition that \(p = 1\) or \(q = 1\).

Just as \(U(h)\) appears as a subalgebra of \(U(sl_3)\), so too does \(U_q(h)\) appear as a subalgebra of \(U_q(sl_3)\) [22]. Benkart and Witherspoon [9] have defined a two-parameter analog \(U_{r,s}(sl_3)\) of \(U(sl_3)\). The generators \(\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}\) of \(U_{r,s}(sl_3)\) satisfy the relations

\[
e_i^2e_{i+1} - (r + s)e_i e_{i+1}e_i + rse_{i+1}e_i = 0,
\]

\[
e_i^2e_{i+1} - (r + s)e_i e_{i+1}e_i + rse_{i+1}e_i = 0.
\]

From (3.10), it is clear that \(H_{p,q} \cong k\{e_i, e_j\}, i \neq j\), with \(r = p^{-1}, s = q\).
Lemma 3.7. In $H_{p,q}$ the following identities hold for $n > 0$.

\begin{align}
(3.8) & \quad yx^n = q^n x^n y + [n]_{p,q} x^{n-1} z, \\
(3.9) & \quad y^n x = q^n xy^n + [n]_{p,q} y^{n-1}. \tag{3.9}
\end{align}

Proof. We prove (3.8) by induction. The statement for $n = 1$ is clear from the defining identity for $H_{p,q}$. Assume true for $n = k$. For $n = k + 1$ we have

\begin{align*}
yx^{k+1} &= (q^k x^k y + [k]_{p,q} x^{k-1} z)x \\
&= q^k x^k (yx) + [k]_{p,q} x^{k-1} (zx) \\
&= q^k x^k (qxy + z) + p^{-1}[k]_{p,q} x^k z \\
&= q^{k+1} x^{k+1} + (q^k + p^{-1}[k]_{p,q}) x^k z \\
&= q^{k+1} x^{k+1} + [k+1]_{p,q} x^k z \text{ by (2.5).}
\end{align*}

The proof of (3.9) is similar and left to the reader. \hfill \Box

In order to distinguish between the independent and dependent parameter cases, we examine the prime ideals of $H_{p,q}$. Fix $p, q \in \mathbb{k}^\times$ and for this remainder of this section let $H = H_{p,q}$.

Define $\theta = (1 - pq)yx - z$. Then $\theta$ is normal in $H$. In particular, $\theta z = z \theta$, $\theta x = qx \theta$, and $\theta y = q^{-1} y \theta$. In $H/\theta H$ we have

$0 = \bar{yx} - q \bar{x}y - z = \bar{y}x - q \bar{x}y - (1 - pq) \bar{y}x = pq(\bar{y}x - p^{-1} \bar{x}y)$.

Thus, $H/\theta H \cong \mathcal{O}_p(\mathbb{k}^2)$. Clearly, $H/\theta H \cong \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{k}^2)$. Thus, the ideals $\theta H$ and $\theta H$ are prime in $H$. In the special case that $pq = 1$, $zH = \theta H$. Understanding these prime ideals allows us to determine when $H$ is a polynomial identity (PI) ring, that is, there exists a polynomial $f(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{Z}[\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n]$ such that $f(h_1, \ldots, h_n)$ for all $h_i \in H$.

Proposition 3.10. The algebra $H$ is PI if and only if $p$ and $q$ are primitive roots of unity.

Proof. Suppose $q$ is not a root of unity so that $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{k}^2)$ is not PI. Since $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{k}^2)$ is a quotient of $H$ and quotients of PI rings are again PI, then $H$ is not PI. Similarly for $p$.

Suppose $p$ and $q$ are primitive roots of unity of order $n$ and $m$, respectively. Once we show that $\mathbb{k}[x^{mn}, y^{mn}, z^n] \subset \mathcal{Z}(H_{p,q})$, the result follows as $H$ is finitely generated as a module over its center [20 Corollary 1.13 (i)]. That $z^n$ commutes with $x$ and $y$ is clear and similarly that $x^{mn}$ and $y^{mn}$ commute with $z$. It remains to show that $x^{mn}$ and $y^{mn}$ commute with $y$ and $x$, respectively. Recall by (3.8) and (3.9) we have,

\begin{align*}
yx^{mn} &= q^{mn} x^{mn} y + [mn]_{p,q} x^{mn-1} z = x^{mn} y, \\
y^{mn} x &= q^{mn} xy^{mn} + [mn]_{p,q} y^{k-1} = x y^{mn}.
\end{align*}

\hfill \Box
By [18, Theorem 4.9], the ideals $zH$ and $\theta H$ are the only height one prime ideals in the independent parameter case. We are interested in the dependent parameter case. In this case, we recall the skew polynomial construction $R[y; \sigma, \delta]$ with $R = O_p(k^2) = k[z][x; a]$ from Proposition 3.11. Let $C$ be the Ore set of $R$ generated by $x$ and $z$. By an abuse of notation, we denote the Ore set of $H$ generated by $x$ and $z$ also by $C$. We denote $RC^{-1}$ and $HC^{-1}$ by $R$ and $H$, respectively. When $p$ is not a root of unity, $R$ is a simple ring [25, Proposition 1.3].

**Proposition 3.11.** Suppose $p^r = q^s$ for some $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$, $pq \neq 1$. Then $\delta$ is an inner $\sigma$-derivation and $\sigma^r$ an inner automorphism on $R$.

**Proof.** Let $\beta = (1 - pq)^{-1}$ and $t = \beta z x^{-1}$. Then

$$tz - \sigma(z)t = (\beta z x^{-1})z - \sigma(z)(\beta z x^{-1}) = \beta(z^{-1}z - p^{-1}z x^{-1}) = \delta(z) = 0,$$
$$tx - \sigma(x)t = (\beta z x^{-1})x - \sigma(x)(\beta z x^{-1}) = \beta(z - q(xz)x^{-1}) = \beta(z - pqz) = z = \delta(x).$$

Let $a = q^r z^s x^r$. Then

$$a^{-1}xa = x^{-r}z^{-s}x^sz^rz^r = p^s x x^{-r}z^{-s}z^sx^r = q^r x = \sigma^r(x),$$
$$a^{-1}za = x^{-r}z^{-s}zz^sx^rz = p^{-r}x x^{-r}z^{-s}z^sx^rz = p^{-r}z = \sigma^r(z).$$

$\square$

**Remark 3.12.** An immediate consequence of Proposition 3.11 is that $H \cong R[a(y - t)^r]$. There is no loss in assuming $\gcd(r, s) = 1$ henceforth. Thus, if $r, s < 0$ then there is no loss in assuming $r, s > 0$. We will make this assumption, but this will leave the case when $r$ and $s$ have different signs but $pq \neq 1$. It should be possible to construct an analog of the Hayash-Weyl algebra in this case, but it would involve taking an appropriate localization of $H$ before factoring. We will not deal with that case here.

**Corollary 3.13.** Suppose $p^r = q^s$ for some $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, with $\gcd(r, s) = 1$, $pq \neq 1$. Assume $H$ is not PI.

1. The center of $H$ (and hence, $H$) is generated by $\Omega := (yx - p^{-1}xy)^r z^s$.
2. The prime ideals of $H$ which lie over $0$ in $R$ are of the form $(\Omega - \alpha)H$ for $\alpha \in k^\times$.

**Proof.** By Proposition 3.11, $H = R[a(y - t)^r]$, and so it is only left to verify that $a(y - t)^r$ is a scalar multiple of $\Omega$. We first claim that $x(y - t) = \lambda \theta$ for some $\lambda \in k$.

$$x(y - t) = x(y - (1 - pq)^{-1}z x^{-1}) = (1 - pq)^{-1}[(1 - pq)(xy) - x z x^{-1}]$$
$$= (1 - pq)^{-1}[q^{-1}(1 - pq)(yx - z) - pz]$$
$$= (1 - pq)^{-1}q^{-1}[(1 - pq)(yx - z) - pqz]$$
$$= (1 - pq)^{-1}q^{-1} \theta.$$
It now follows inductively that \( x^r (y - t)^r = \lambda^r q^{1-r} \theta^r \). Since \( z \) commutes with \( \theta \), this implies that \( a(y - t)^r \) is a scalar multiple of \( \theta^r z^s \).

Finally, we note that

\[
\theta = (1 - pq)yx - z = (1 - pq)yx - (yx - qxy) = -pqyx + qxy = -pq(yx - p^{-1}xy).
\]

(1) now follows.

By [23, Corollary 2.3], the primes lying over 0 in \( R \) are in 1-1 correspondence with the ideals of \( \mathcal{Z}(R)[\Omega] \), not including the ideal generated by \( \Omega \). Since \( \mathcal{Z}(R) = k \), (2) follows. \( \square \)

Returning to the general case, we study isomorphisms between the \( H_{p,q} \) and the automorphism group of \( H_{p,q} \).

**Proposition 3.14.** If \( H_{p,q} \cong H_{p',q'} \) then \( (p', q') \) is one of the following tuples: \( (p, q) \); \( (q, p) \); \( (p - 1, q - 1) \); \( (q - 1, p - 1) \).

**Proof.** This follows more or less directly from [3, Lemma 6.5]. We elaborate briefly in the context of this problem. The map \( H_{p,q} \rightarrow H_{p-1,q-1} \) is given by \( x \mapsto y, y \mapsto x, \) and \( z \mapsto -qz \). The map \( H_{p,q} \rightarrow H_{q,p} \) is given by \( x \mapsto i\sqrt{pq}y, y \mapsto i\sqrt{pq}x, \) and \( z \mapsto -\theta \).

One can now use the fact that any isomorphism either fixes the height one prime ideals \( zH_{p,q} \) and \( \theta H_{p,q} \), inducing isomorphisms \( \mathcal{O}_q(k^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{q'}(k^2) \) and \( \mathcal{O}_p(k^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{p'}(k^2) \), or else it switches them, inducing isomorphisms \( \mathcal{O}_q(k^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{p'}(k^2) \) and \( \mathcal{O}_p(k^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{q'}(k^2) \). Thus, these are the only isomorphisms up to composition. \( \square \)

If \( p = q \), then there is an involution \( \tau \) of \( H_{p,q} \) which interchanges the height one prime ideals generated by \( z \) and \( \theta \). In particular, this map is given by \( \tau(x) = \sqrt{q}y, \tau(y) = \sqrt{q}x, \) and \( \tau(z) = \theta \). It follows easily that \( \tau(\theta) = pqz \).

**Proposition 3.15.**

\[
\text{Aut}(H_{p,q}) = \begin{cases} 
(k^\times)^2 \rtimes \{\tau\} & p = q^{\pm 1}, \\
(k^\times)^2 & \text{otherwise}. 
\end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** The case \( p = q \) is due to Alev and Dumas [1, Proposition 2.3]. The case \( p = q^{-1} \) is similar and is, in fact, easier since \( z = \theta \) and every prime ideal contains \( z \) even in the root of unity case (Proposition 3.14). The general case is due to Carvalho and Lopes [11, Theorem 2.19]. \( \square \)

4. THE INVERSE PARAMETER CASE

Throughout this section, fix \( q \in k^\times \) and set \( H = H_{q^{-1}, q}, q \neq 1. \) When \( q \) is a nonroot of unity, every nonzero prime ideal of \( H \) contains \( z \) [22, Proposition 2.3]. Our approach will arrive at the same result but also include the root of unity case.
We retain notation from above. In particular, the proof of Proposition [3.11] shows that \( \sigma \) is an inner automorphism on \( R \) in this case. Then \( \mathcal{H} = R[ay; \hat{\delta}] \) where \( a = (zx)^{-1} \) and \( \hat{\delta} = a\delta \).

**Proposition 4.1.** Every prime ideal of \( H \) contains \( z \).

**Proof.** By [15, Lemma 3.21], every prime ideal of \( \mathcal{H} \) intersects \( R \) in a \( \delta \)-stable prime ideal. In case \( q \) is not a root of unity, \( R \) is a simple ring so we need only consider those ideals that intersect \( R \) in zero. Let \( P \) be a nonzero prime ideal of \( \mathcal{H} \) with \( P \cap R = 0 \). Such an ideal exists only if \( \hat{\delta} \) is inner on \( R \). We claim \( \hat{\delta} \) is not inner on \( R \). Write \( d = \sum \alpha_{ij}x^iz^j \). Then

\[
x^{-1} = az = \hat{\delta}(x) = dx - xd = \sum \alpha_{ij}x^j(z^ix) - \sum \alpha_{ij}x^iz^{j+1} = \sum \alpha_{ij}q^jx^jz^{j+1} - \sum \alpha_{ij}x^iz^{j+1}z^j = \sum \alpha_{ij}(q^j - 1)x^iz^{j+1}.
\]

In order to have equality, we need that \((q^0 - 1)\alpha_{-1,0} = 1\), but that is absurd.

In case \( q \) is a primitive \( n \)th root of unity, \( q \neq 1 \), it is left to check that the ideals \((x^n - a, z^n - b) \) of \( R \) are not \( \hat{\delta} \)-invariant. Since \( \hat{\delta}(x) = x^{-1} \), then an easy induction argument shows that \( \hat{\delta}(x^n) = nx^{n-2} \). Thus \( \hat{\delta}(x^n - a) = nx^{n-2} \) and so these ideals are not \( \hat{\delta} \)-invariant when \( n \neq 1,2 \).

Suppose \( n = 2 \) and let \( I = (x^n - a, z^n - b)R \) for \( a, b \in k^\times \). Then

\[
\hat{\delta}(z(x^2 - a)) = \hat{\delta}(z)(x^2 - a) + z\hat{\delta}(x^2 - a) = \hat{\delta}(z)(x^2 - a) + z
\]

As \( x^2 - a \in I \), then \( \hat{\delta}(z(x^2 - a)) \in I \) if and only if \( 2z \in I \), a contradiction. \( \square \)

5. The Independent Parameter Case

Throughout this section, fix \( p, q \in k^\times \) such that \( q^r \neq p^s \) for all \( r, s \in \mathbb{Z} \) and let \( H = H_{p,q} \). Recall that, in this case, \( H \) is primitive and \( Z(H) = k \). The Hayshi-Weyl algebra in this case was studied by Benkart [7]. It was also discussed in more generality by Futorny and Hartwig [14] in the context of multiparameter twisted Weyl algebras. They reference this as the generic case. Our interest here is to construct the algebra from the two-parameter analogs of the Heisenberg algebra as studied above.

Let \( \mathcal{H} \) be the localization of \( H \) at powers of \( z \) and \( \theta \). In this case \( \mathcal{H} \) is a simple ring by [18, Theorem 4.9]. Hence, there is no factor ring of \( H \) analogous to the Hayashi-Weyl algebra. To arrive at Benkart’s algebras, we employ noncommutative dehomogenization [19].

Recall, the element \( \theta = (1 - pq)y\bar{x} - z \) is normal in \( H \) and hence also in \( \mathcal{H} \). Define \( \gamma \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{H}) \) by \( \gamma(h) = \theta^{-1}h\theta \) for all \( h \in \mathcal{H} \). Thus, \( \gamma \) is an inner automorphism given by \( \gamma(x) = q^{-1}x \), \( \gamma(y) = qy \), and \( \gamma(z) = z \).

We form the skew polynomial ring \( T = \mathcal{H}[\omega; \gamma] \). Because \( \gamma \) is inner, then \( T = \mathcal{H}[\theta \omega] \) and so the prime ideals of \( T \) are generated by \( \theta \omega - \alpha \) for \( \alpha \in k \). Note that the ideal generated by \( \theta \omega - 1 \) is equivalent to that generated by \( \theta^{-1} - \omega \).
We will be interested in the algebra $A_{p,q} = T/(\theta^{-1} - \omega)T$. Thus, $A_{p,q}$ is presented as the $k$-algebra on generators $\{x, y, z^{\pm 1}, \omega^{\pm 1}\}$ subject to the relations,

$$zx = p^{-1}xz, \quad zy = pqz,$$

$$\omega x = q^{-1}x, \quad \omega y = qy\omega,$$

$$yx - qxy = z, \quad yx - p^{-1}xy = \omega^{-1}.$$

**Proposition 5.1.** The algebra $A_{p,q}$ is a simple and noetherian with global dimension one and GK dimension two.

**Proof.** That $A_{p,q}$ is a simple, noetherian domain follows from the above construction. By Proposition 3.2, $\text{gl.dim } H = \text{GKdim } H = 3$. Because $\text{gl.dim } H \leq \text{gl.dim } T < \infty$ and because $H$ is simple, then $\text{gl.dim } T = 2$. Since $\theta^{-1} - \omega$ is central in $T$, then $\text{gl.dim } A_{p,q} \leq 1$. Since $T$ is not semisimple, then $\text{gl.dim } A_{p,q} = 1$.

As $H$ is a localization of $T$, $\text{GKdim } H = \text{GKdim } T = 4$. Since $\theta\omega - 1$ has degree two, then $\text{GKdim } A_{p,q} \leq \text{GKdim } T - 2 = 2$. On the other hand, $z$ and $\omega$ generate a commutative subalgebra of $A_{p,q}$ and so $2 = \text{GKdim } k[z, \omega] \leq \text{GKdim } A_{p,q} \leq 2$. \hfill $\square$

### 6. The Dependent Parameter Case

We now arrive at our primary area of focus, the case wherein $p^r = q^s$ for some $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, with $\text{gcd}(r, s) = 1$, $pq \neq 1$. Moreover, we assume $H = H_{p,q}$ is not PI. We denote the algebra $H/(\Omega - 1)H$ by $A_p(r, s)$. Thus, $A_p(1, 1) = A_p$ and in general $A_p(r, s)$ is the $k$-algebra on generators $x, y, z^{\pm 1}$ satisfying

$$(6.1) \quad zx - p^{-1}xz = zy - pqz = 0,$$

$$(6.2) \quad yx - qxy = z,$$

$$(6.3) \quad (yx - p^{-1}xy)^r = z^{-s}.$$

Set $w = (yx - p^{-1}xy)^{r-1}z^s$. Then $wx - q^{-1}xw = w - qw = wz - zw = 0$ and (6.3) becomes

$$(6.4) \quad yx - p^{-1}xy = w^{-1}.$$  

Note that $w = z$ in the case $r = 1$.

**Proposition 6.5.** The algebra $A_p(r, s)$ is a GWA.

**Proof.** Relations (6.2) and (6.4) may be rewritten as

$$(6.6) \quad yx = \frac{z - pw^{-1}}{1 - pq},$$

$$(6.7) \quad xy = \frac{z - w^{-1}}{1 - pq}.$$
Set $D = \mathbb{k}[z^{\pm 1}, w^{\pm 1}]$. Define $\rho \in \text{Aut}(D)$ by $\rho(z) = pz$ and $\rho(w) = qw$. If $a = (1 - pq)^{-1}(z - pqw^{-1})$, then it follows by a direct check of (3.3) that $A_p(r, s) \cong D(\rho, a)$. \hfill \Box

As before, we denote by $H$ (resp. $R$) the localization of $H$ (resp. $R$) at powers of $x$ and $z$.

**Theorem 6.8.** The algebra $A_p(r, s)$ is a simple noetherian domain.

**Proof.** That $A_p(r, s)$ is a noetherian domain follows from Proposition [6.5 and [6, Proposition 1.3]. The noetherian condition is also a direct consequence of $A_p(r, s)$ being a factor of $H$. Simplicity follows from the maximality of the ideal $(\Omega - 1)H$. \hfill \Box

**Proposition 6.9.** Suppose $p$ is not a root of unity. Then $\text{gl.dim} A_p(r, s) = 1$ and $\text{GKdim} A_p(r, s) = 2$.

**Proof.** Since the ideal $(\Omega - 1)H$ is generated by a central non-zero divisor, then $\text{GKdim} A_p(r, s) \leq 2$. A ring of GK dimension one is necessarily PI. Hence, if $p$ is not a root of unity, then $\text{GKdim} A_p(r, s) = 2$.

That $\text{gl.dim} A_p(r, s) = 1$ follows analogously to the Weyl algebra. If $r = 1$, then this follows by [5, Theorem 1.6]. Observe that $A_p(r, s)$ is free as a $\mathbb{k}[x]$-module (see below) and so $1 \leq A_p(r, s)$. Now define $B_1 = A_p(r, s) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}[x]} \mathbb{k}(x)$ and $B_2 = A_p(r, s) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}[y]} \mathbb{k}(y)$. Then $B_1 \cong B_2$ and moreover, $B_1 \cong H/(\Omega - 1)H$.

By Proposition [3.11] $H \cong R[y - t; \sigma]$. Since $\text{gl.dim} R = 1$ [25, Corollary 3.10], then $\text{gl.dim} H = 2$. The element $\Omega - 1$ is central and regular, so $\text{gl.dim} B_1 = 1$. Let $B = B_1 \oplus B_2$. Since $B$ is a faithfully free $A_p(r, s)$-module, then $\text{gl.dim} A_p(r, s) \leq \text{gl.dim} B = \max\{\text{gl.dim} B_1, \text{gl.dim} B_2\} = 1$. \hfill \Box

**Proposition 6.10.** Let $s$ and $t$ be positive integers. If $A_p(1, s) \cong A_p(1, t)$, then $s = t$.

**Proof.** Recall the construction of $A_p(r, s)$ as a GWA. In this case, $w = z$ and so the ring $D$ reduces to $\mathbb{k}[z^{\pm 1}]$. Let $a_1(z) = (1 - pq)^{-1}(z - pqz^{-s})$ and $a_2(z) = (1 - pq)^{-1}(z - pqz^{-t})$. By [3, Theorem 5.2], $\mathbb{k}[z^{\pm 1}] \langle \rho, a_1 \rangle \cong \mathbb{k}[z^{\pm 1}] \langle \rho, a_2 \rangle$ if and only if there exists $\nu, \mu \in \mathbb{k}^\times$ and a positive integer $\ell$ such that $a_2(z) = \nu z^\ell a_1(\mu z^{\pm 1})$. In the first case,

$$(1 - pq)^{-1}(z - pqz^{-t}) = a_2(z) = \nu z^\ell a_1(\mu z) = \nu z^\ell (1 - pq)^{-1}(\mu z - pq(\mu z)^{-s})$$

$$= (1 - pq)^{-1}(\nu \mu z^{\ell + 1} - pq\nu \mu^{-s})z^{-s+\ell}.$$

Comparing like terms, we either have $1 = \ell + 1$ so $\ell = 0$ and so $s = t$, or else $\ell + 1 = -t$ and $1 = -s + \ell$ so $t + s = -2$, which is absurd. The case of $z^{-1}$ is similar. \hfill \Box
Let $A^1_p(r, s) = A_p(r, s)$, then inductively we define $A^n_p(r, s) = A^{n-1}_p(r, s) \otimes A_p(r, s)$ for $n \geq 1$. Explicitly, $A^n_p(r, s)$ is the algebra on generators $\{x_i, y_i, z_i^{1, -1}, w_i^{1, -1}\}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, subject to the relations,

$$
[x_i, x_j] = [y_i, y_j] = [z_i^{1, -1}, z_j^{1, -1}] = [w_i^{1, -1}, w_j^{1, -1}] = [z_i^{1, -1}, w_j^{1, -1}] = 0,
$$

$[x_i, y_j] = 0$ for $i \neq j$,

$$
z_i x_j = p^{-\delta_{ij}} x_j z_i, \quad z_i y_j = p^{\delta_{ij}} y_j z_i,
$$

$$w_i x_j = q^{-\delta_{ij}} x_j w_i, \quad w_i y_j = q^{\delta_{ij}} y_j w_i,$n

$$y_i x_i - q x_i y_i = z_i, \quad y_i x_i - p^{-1} x_i y_i = w_i^{-1},
$$

$$w_i = (y_i x_i - p^{-1} x_i y_i)^{-1} z_i^s.
$$

These relations imply,

$$y_i x_i = \frac{z_i - p q w_i^{-1}}{1 - p q} \quad \text{and} \quad x_i y_i = \frac{z_i - w_i^{-1}}{1 - p q}.
$$

This gives the following identity,

$$[y_i x_j, y_j x_i] = \delta_{ij} (z_j w_i^{-1} - z_i w_j^{-1}).
$$

**Proposition 6.11.** There exists a faithful irreducible representation of $A^n_p(r, s)$.

**Proof.** For convenience, let $[m] = [m]_{p, q}$. Let $V^n = \mathbb{k}[\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n]$. For $\lambda = \xi_1^{m_1} \xi_2^{m_2} \cdots \xi_n^{m_n} \in V^n$, the action of $A^n_p(r, s)$ of $V^n$ is given by

$$x_i \cdot \lambda = \xi_1^{m_1} \cdots \xi_i^{m_i+1} \cdots \xi_n^{m_n}, \quad y_i \cdot \lambda = [m_i]{\xi_1}^{m_1} \cdots \xi_i^{m_i-1} \cdots \xi_n^{m_n},$$

$$z_i^{\pm 1} \cdot \lambda = p^{\mp m_i} \lambda, \quad w_i^{\pm 1} \cdot \lambda = q^{\mp m_i} \lambda.$$ Checking that this action satisfies the above relations is an easy exercise using the relations (2.5).

Let $V'$ be a subrepresentation of $V^n$ and let $u \in V'$ be nonzero. Let $\lambda$ be the maximal monomial in $u$ according to the lexicographic ordering on $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Let $\lambda$ be as above. Then

$$(y_1^{m_1} \cdots y_n^{m_n}) \cdot \lambda = [m_1]! [m_2]! \cdots [m_n]! \cdot 1.
$$

Since $p$ is not a root of unity, then no $[m_i]$ vanishes and so $1 \in V'$.

**Proposition 6.12.** The algebra $A^n_p(r, s)$ is a simple noetherian domain with trivial center, global dimension $n$ and GK dimension $2n$.

**Proof.** The statement on simplicity follows from the tensor product construction [27, Theorem 1.7.27]. By Proposition 6.11 the representation $V^n$ is simple and faithful. Hence, $A^n_p(r, s)$ is primitive. Thus, by [20, Proposition 3.2], $Z(A^n_p(r, s)) = \mathbb{k}$.

By Proposition 6.9 GKdim $A_p(r, s) = 2$. Hence, by [25, Lemma 8.2.4],

$$\text{GKdim } A^n_p(r, s) = \text{GKdim}(A^{n-1}_p(r, s) \otimes A_p(r, s)) = \text{GKdim } A^{n-1}_p(r, s) + \text{GKdim } A_p(r, s).$$

The result on GK dimension now follows by induction. By Proposition 6.5, \( A^n_p(r,s) \) is a GWA. Hence, \( A^n_p(r,s) \) is a tensor product of GWAs and is itself a GWA. That \( A^n_p(r,s) \) is (left and right) noetherian now follows from [4, Proposition 7]. The result on global dimension now follows from [5, Lemma 1.1]. □

7. TWO-PARAMETER QUANTUM VIRASORO ALGEBRA

The (centerless) Virasoro Lie algebra is generated by \( \{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \) with relations \( [x_n, x_m] = (m-n)x_{n+m} \). We will be most interested in the Virasoro enveloping algebra, which we denote by \( \mathcal{V} \). Following [22], the q-analog of the Virasoro algebra \( \mathcal{V}_q \) is the \( k \)-algebra generated by \( \{y_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \) with relations

\[
q^{m-n}y_n y_m - q^{n-m}y_m y_n = [m-n]q^{y_{m+n}}.
\]

Kirkman and Small showed that \( \mathcal{V}_q \) appears as a subalgebra of the quotient division ring of \( A_q \). Chakrabarti and Jagannathan have constructed a two-parameter analog of the Virasoro algebra [12], which we denote as \( \mathcal{V}_{p,q} \). This is the \( k \)-algebra on generators \( \{L_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \) with relations

\[
p^{n-m}L_n L_m - q^{m-n}L_m L_n = [m-n]p_{p,q}L_{m+n}.
\]\n
Since we will focus only on the two-parameter case in this section, there should be no confusion in setting \( [k] = [k]_{p,q} \).

Fix \( p, q \) and let \( Q \) be the quotient ring of \( A_{p,q} \) in the independent parameter case, or that of \( A_p(r,s) \) in the dependent parameter case. We claim that \( \mathcal{V}_{p,q} \) appears as a subalgebra of \( Q \). Once shown, we will be able to analyze the representation theory of \( \mathcal{V}_{p,q} \) in a manner analogous to \( \mathcal{V}_q \).

**Proposition 7.2.** The elements \( L_n = z^{-1}x^{n+1}y \in Q \) generate a copy of \( \mathcal{V}_{p,q} \) in \( Q \).

**Proof.** First we consider the commutation rule for \( L_n \) and \( L_m \). By (3.8)

\[
L_n L_m = (z^{-1}x^{n+1}y)(z^{-1}x^{m+1}y) = q^{-(n+1)}z^{-1}(yx^{n+1} - [n+1]x^nz^{-1}x^{m+1}y

= q^{-(n+1)}z^{-1}(p^{m+1}yx^{n+1}x^{m+1}z^{-1} - [n+1]x^{n+m+1})y

= q^{-(n+1)}z^{-1}(p^{m+1}(q^{m+1}x^{m+1}y + [m+1]x^mz)(p^{-n+1}z^{-1}x^{m+1}) - [n+1]x^{n+m+1})y

= q^{m-n}p^{m-n}L_n L_m + q^{-n+1}(p^{m-n}(m+1) - [n+1])L_{m+n}.
\]
Hence,
\[
p^{n-m}L_nL_m - q^{m-n}L_mL_n = q^{-(n+1)}([m+1] - p^{n-m}[n+1])L_{n+m}
\]
\[
= (q - p^{-1})^{-1}q^{-(n+1)}(q^{m+1} - p^{n-m}q^{n+1})L_{n+m}
\]
\[
= (q - p^{-1})^{-1}(q^{m-n} - p^{n-m})L_{n+m}
\]
\[
= [m-n]L_{n+m}.
\]
\[\square\]

Let \(B'\) be the subring of \(Q\) generated by the elements \(x\) and \(z^{-1}y\). Then
\[
(z^{-1}y)x = z^{-1}(qxy + z) = pqx(z^{-1}y) + 1.
\]

Thus, \(B' \cong A_{pq}^\Pi(\mathbb{k})\) \([2.3]\). The elements \(\{x^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\) form an Ore set in \(B'\) and so \(B = B'[x^{-1}]\) is noetherian.

Let \(V\) be the subring of \(B\) generated by \(\{x^n(z^{-1}y)\}\).

Recall that the idealizer of a left ideal \(J\) in a ring \(R\) is defined as \(\mathbb{I}_R(J) = \{r \in R \mid Jr \subset J\}\), so that \(\mathbb{I}(J)\) is a two-sided ideal.

**Lemma 7.3.** Let \(I = B(z^{-1}y)\). In \(B\) we have \(V = \mathbb{k} \oplus I = \mathbb{I}_B(I)\).

**Proof.** The first equality is clear by the commutation rule \(x^n z^{-1} = p^{-n}z^{-1}x^n\) in \(Q\). On the other hand, the generators of \(V\) lie in \(I\) so \(V \subset \mathbb{I}_B(I)\). Let \(\mu = \sum \alpha_{ij} x^i(z^{-1}y)^j \in \mathbb{I}_B(I)\), then \(I\mu \subset I\) and by (3.8),
\[
I\mu = B \left[(z^{-1}y) \sum \alpha_{ij} x^i(z^{-1}y)^j\right]
\]
\[
= B \left[z^{-1} \sum \alpha_{ij} (q^i x^i + [i]x^{i-1}z)(z^{-1}y)^j\right]
\]
\[
= B \left[\sum \alpha_{ij} q^i p^j x^i(z^{-1}y)^{j+1} + p^{i-1}[i]x^{i-1}(z^{-1}y)^j\right].
\]
The first term in the sum is an element of \(I\) but the second term will lie in \(I\) only if \(j \geq 1\), so \(\mu \in V\). Thus, \(\mathbb{I}_B(I) \subset V\). \(\square\)

**Lemma 7.4.** The left ideal \(I\) is maximal and generative.

**Proof.** We claim \(IB = B\), so that \(I\) is generative. The inclusion \(IB \subset B\) is clear. For the reverse inclusion, note that \((z^{-1}y)x, pqx(z^{-1}y) \in IB\). The defining relation \(yx - qxy = z\) is equivalent to \(yx^{-1} - q^{-1}x^{-1}y = -pq^{-1}x^{-2}z\) in \(B\), and so \(1 \in IB\).

For maximality, it suffices to show that the left module \(M = B/I\) is simple. Let \(v_k = x^k + I\). Since \(\{x^i, (z^{-1}y)^j \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}\) is a basis for \(B\), then the set \(\{v_k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}\) is a basis for \(M\). This gives the
Theorem 7.5. Let \( M \) be any element in \( N \). A maximal left ideal is necessarily isomaximal.

Proposition 7.6. Let \( M \neq B/By \) be a simple \( B \)-module. Then \( M \) is a simple \( V_{p,q} \) module. If \( M = B/By \), then \( B \supset V_{p,q} + By \supset By \) is a composition series for \( M \) when regarded as a \( V_{p,q} \) module.
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