arXiv:1308.5274v2 [hep-ex] 14 Sep 2013

Determining Top Quark Couplings at the LHC: Snowmass White Paper

Jahred Adelman[1], Matthew Baumgart[2], Aran Garcia-Bellido[3], Andrey Loginov[1]

[1] *Department of Physics, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511*
[2] *Department of Physics, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213*
[3] *Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14627*

Top quarks are a prime system for hunting for new physics. Nonetheless, two decades on from their discovery few of their couplings have been measured to high precision. We present an overview of current determinations and the expected sensitivities with 300 and 3000 fb^{-1} of 14 TeV LHC data. In addition to direct limits on the top quark's renormalizable couplings to Standard Model bosons, we also explore what bounds can be set on the coefficients of higher-dimension operators, taking particular four-fermion operators that do not interfere with QCD as a test case. Every coupling we consider will benefit greatly from a dedicated study at the future LHC. Some measurements, like the irrelevant operators, are systematics-limited and will saturate in the near-term. Others, like the important $t\bar{t}H$ coupling, involve rare processes and thus demand as much data as possible.

I. INTRODUCTION

The top quark has long been watched as a harbinger of new physics. Its large coupling to the electroweak symmetry breaking sector motivates the precision study of its interactions with the Higgs, as well as the SU(2)×U(1) gauge bosons. As a further consequence of its large mass, the top quark's short lifetime ($\tau = 3.3 \times 10^{-25}$ s) makes its weak decay distinctly observable. Despite the challenges inherent in top reconstruction, new physics affecting the general quark sector may be more apparent in top quarks than in channels with purely light quark jets.

To account for new physics, we can parametrize the couplings of top quarks in a couple different ways. One method is the *vertex-function* or *form-factor* approach. We write the interaction of the top quarks with Standard Model bosons and allow for anomalous terms. For example, the coupling of a single top quark to the W boson takes the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} \supset -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{b}\gamma^{\mu}\left(c_L^W P_L + c_R^W P_R\right) t\, W_{\mu}^{-} - \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}\,\bar{b}\,\frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}}{M_W}\left(d_L^W P_L + d_R^W P_R\right) t\, W_{\mu}^{-} + h.c., \quad (1)$$

where q is the W momentum, $c_L^W \approx 1$, and c_R^W, d_L^W, d_R^W vanish at tree level in the Standard Model (SM). In general these c, d couplings are functions of q^2. This method has the advantage of transparency. Additionally, in scenarios with new physics at low scales, we may have to know the full functional dependence of the form factors with respect to q^2, and the *effective field theory* (EFT) method, based on an expansion in q^2, breaks down. However, when there is a parametric separation between the scale of new physics and top quarks, EFT is the preferable approach.

Instead of modifying renormalizable couplings, EFT changes the SM lagrangian by adding irrelevant operators, $\mathcal{O}_i$, giving an effective lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{eff}} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \sum \frac{c_i}{\Lambda^2}\,\mathcal{O}_i + \cdots \quad (2)$$

The c_i are the operators' dimensionless coefficients and Λ is the scale of new physics. The operators we add respect the gauge and global symmetries of the SM and are theoretically consistent for use in loop calculations.[1] Furthermore, they allow for interactions that cannot be written as vertex functions, such as a four-fermion operators, which we will study further. Lastly, if an expansion in powers of q^2/Λ^2 is possible, then the vertex function approach can be subsumed by effective field theory.

This work presents a collection of analyses designed to probe the sensitivity of future experimental data sets to measuring top quark couplings. In Section II, we place bounds on the coefficients of four-quark operators (two light quarks, two top quarks). This demonstrates the scales of new physics we can probe in the top quark sector with high-luminosity

[1] One can find a more detailed description of the advantages of the EFT approach in [1].

LHC14 datasets. Section III describes the projected sensitivity of the LHC to the Wtb coupling and its interpretation for searches for anomalous couplings or new particles. Section IV examines the determination of the top quark's couplings to γ and Z. Section V discusses some prospects for top quark-Higgs boson physics at the LHC.

II. NON-INTERFERING FOUR-QUARK OPERATORS

There are many parametrizations of the irrelevant interactions that affect the top quark sector in the literature (*e.g.* Refs. [2–4]) with $\mathcal{O}(10)$s of possible dimension-six operators. A systematic study of the limits on the entire basis would be of great interest, but is beyond the scope of this work. Constraints on many higher dimension operators can be found in Refs. [5–7]. We will focus on eight parity-odd operators, a set that does not interfere with QCD. In addition to being heretofore unconstrained, one can search for them via a simple counting experiment. They are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{AV}^{1,8} &= (\bar{q}\,\gamma^\mu\gamma^5\,T^{1,8}q)(\bar{t}\,\gamma_\mu\,T^{1,8}t), & \mathcal{O}_{VA}^{1,8} &= (\bar{q}\,\gamma^\mu\,T^{1,8}q)(\bar{t}\,\gamma_\mu\gamma^5\,T^{1,8}t), \\ \mathcal{O}_{PS}^{1,8} &= (\bar{q}\,\gamma^5\,T^{1,8}q)(\bar{t}\,T^{1,8}t), & \mathcal{O}_{SP}^{1,8} &= (\bar{q}\,T^{1,8}q)(\bar{t}\,\gamma^5\,T^{1,8}t), \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where q is a light quark field, which we take to be u for our analysis. If one were specifically interested in the modification of top quark couplings to SM gauge bosons, at one loop these operators generate an axial form factor for the top quark gauge coupling at $\mathcal{O}(q^2/\Lambda^2)$ where q is the boson momentum.

In the presence of other light quarks the limit on these $u\bar{u}\,t\bar{t}$ operators will get stronger, so the u-only case sets a conservative bound. The d-only limit will be roughly half the scale of u-only, so a mix of light-quark production modes will strengthen the constraints on both flavors from their respective "starting points." We do not consider any loop effects with these dimension-six operators, so the difference between the singlet and octet cases is purely due to a color factor, which we use to scale our bound from one channel to another. These non-interfering operators contribute to the overall cross-section at $\mathcal{O}(1/\Lambda^4)$. At this order we become sensitive to interfering, dimension-eight operators. As Ref. [8] notes, by naive dimensional analysis, dimension-eight operators receive additional $1/16\pi^2$ suppression. A particular source of QCD-interfering, dimension-eight operators is chirality-flipping, meaning that we have m_t/Λ suppression. Thus, there are many new physics scenarios where one can safely neglect even higher dimension operators.

A. Analysis

To generate our new physics events, we added the operators in Eq. 3 to the SM in FeynRules [9] and generated 100K (SM + dimension-six operator) $t\bar{t}$ events in the ℓ+jets

channel in MadGraph 5 v1.5.9 [10] run with Pythia 6.4 [11] for the 14 TeV LHC. Background samples were run with Delphes 3.09 [12] and we considered $t\bar{t}$, W+jets, Z+jets, t-channel single top quark, and tW. An additional source of background comes from dijet events with various fakes. We did not attempt to study this in detail, but we note that in the ℓ+jets study of the $t\bar{t}$ charge asymmetry at ATLAS [13], contributions from dijet events were well beneath the other considered backgrounds.

Due to the absence of interference with QCD, the operators we consider only add $t\bar{t}$ events, and will do so preferentially for harder momenta. To set our limit, we measure the ratio of $t\bar{t}$ events between a "high" and "low" bin, where we consider either h_T (scalar sum of p_T of all visible top quark decay products and $\not{E}_T$) or $m_{t\bar{t}}$ for a top quark reconstruction algorithm we detail below. We can parametrize our high-to-low ratio as

$$\begin{aligned} r &= \frac{a + C^2\, b}{d + C^2\, f} \\ &= \frac{r_0 + C^2\, B}{1 + C^2\, F}, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where a, d are the SM contributions to the high and low bins, $C^2 b, C^2 f$ are those from new physics, C is the dimensionful prefactor of our higher-dimension operator, and we obtain the second line from the first by dividing every term by d. Thus, r_0 is expected ratio for SM $t\bar{t}$ ℓ+jets events. To set a limit, we need to understand how this observable scales with C. This can be done by determining r for three separate choices of C. For simplicity, we take $C = 0$ as one of these, giving us a determination of r_0. The other points are chosen near the limit of perturbativity in order to give a large sample of new physics events to control statistical fluctuations. They are $C = 3.15/(1\text{TeV})^2$ and $C = 6.3/(1\text{TeV})^2$.

We begin by turning on a single operator, $\mathcal{O}^8_{AV}$. By the end of Monte Carlo processing, events are showered, hadronized, had detector effects and pileup applied through Delphes,[2] and clustered into jets. We apply various p_T and η cuts for event selection,[3] demand that there is only a single charged lepton, at least four jets that meet our criteria, and at least one b-tag. Our analyses fall into two basic types. In the first, we attempt no top quark reconstruction and only use transverse, measured quantities. For this case, events are placed in the high/low bin depending on their h_T.

In the second scenario, we cluster objects into top quarks and Ws, taking the permutation of jets and neutrino p_z solution that gives the best χ^2 for m_t and m_W. As an additional criteria, we discard events that give complex p_z. For our candidate jets, we first take any with b-tags and then the hardest untagged jets until we get to four total. When clustering jets into heavy objects, if there are two or more b-tags, we demand at least one b per top quark,

[2] We take a pileup of $\mu = 50$ and scale from a luminosity of 300 fb^{-1} to 3 ab^{-1} purely through statistics.

[3] We demand that jets have $p_T > 35$ GeV (leptons > 25 GeV) and $\eta < 2.7$ for jets and leptons. Additionally, we require $\not{E}_T > 30$ GeV.

and if there is one b assigned to the harmonically decaying top quark, we form the W out of the other, untagged jets. Upon reconstructing the top quarks, we determine r for both h_T and $m_{t\bar{t}}$. We also consider cuts on the invariant masses of the hadronic W ($m_W^{had} \in [50,\, 110]$) and t ($m_t^{had} \in [125, 225]$) to reduce backgrounds and improve event quality.

To set a limit on our dimension-six operator, we perform a CL_s analysis [14], following the procedure of [15]. We convolve Gaussian systematic errors with Poisson statistical fluctuations, using the ratio in Eq. 4 as our test statistic. For systematic uncertainty, we use a modified version of the values in [16]), which reports both a "resolved" and "boosted" scenario, which take for our low and high bins, respectively. The NNLO calculation reported in [17] allows us to reduce the error quoted by ATLAS. We thus assume a 10% uncertainty on background in the low bin, 20% in the high bin, and 10% uncertainty on the signal, taking all errors to be uncorrelated.[4] We present limits for $(C_{AV}^8)^{-1/2}$ for our best choices for bin variable, bin boundary, and cuts in Table I. We placed the boundary between the high and low bins for h_T and $m_{t\bar{t}}$ at 750 GeV, 1 TeV, and 1.25 TeV. Even higher values begin to lose statistics at 300 fb^{-1} and we may become sensitive to additional systematic effects.

bin variable	low/high border	reconstruction cuts	300 fb^{-1}
h_T	1 TeV	m_t	910 GeV
h_T	1 TeV	m_t, m_W	910 GeV
h_T	1.25 TeV	-	950 GeV
$m_{t\bar{t}}$	1.25 TeV	m_t	670 GeV

TABLE I: Limits (2σ with CL_s) on the effective scale of new physics, $(C_{AV}^8)^{-1/2}$, for 300 fb^{-1} of LHC14 data. They are determined by using the ratio r (*cf.* Eq. 4) for either h_T or $m_{t\bar{t}}$ bins as a test statistic. Besides our basic analysis cuts, in some cases we require $m_t^{had} \in [125, 225]$ or $m_W^{had} \in [50,\, 110]$.

[4] Replacing the theoretical uncertainties of [16] with those in [17] would give us respective uncertainties of 13.4% and 23.4% for the low and high bins. We thus assume some improvement in these numbers by the time the LHC has many 100 fb^{-1} for analysis. Our uncertainty on the signal comes from those quoted for the Z' analysis in [16], which were 11.2% and 7.1%, which have modified slightly for simplification.

B. Four-quark operator conclusion

We have found that with very simple analysis techniques we can push non-interfering dimension-six operators to the TeV-scale in the near future with the LHC. In fact, our strongest bound came in the case of no top quark reconstruction, using only the $p_{\rm T}$ values of decay products that correspond to a $t\bar{t}$ pair decaying in the l+jets channel. Our bounds are systematics limited, and thus an increased luminosity would have a marginal effect. A higher energy machine would of course push our limits farther provided one could meet the challenges of working in a regime of ultra-boosted top quarks.

In Eq. 3 we listed eight operators, though we have only studied one in detail. As mentioned above, we can convert limits from octet to singlet operators by using the appropriate color factor. The corresponding limits for $(C^1_{AV})^{-1/2}$ can thus be obtained from Table I by multiplying the results by $(3/\sqrt{2})^{-1/2}$. As for the others, adding them to the SM yields very similar changes to the overall cross section. Thus, we expect the limits to be comparable. If we were to observe a significant modification to the overall $t\bar{t}$ rate, it is an interesting question how we would disentangle the operators involved.

III. MEASUREMENT OF THE Wtb COUPLING IN SINGLE TOP QUARK PRODUCTION

The measurement of the Wtb coupling, or more generally c_L^W in Eq. 1 (assuming there are no CP-violating interactions and the coupling is of type V-A, i.e. $c_R^W = d_L^W = d_R^W = 0$), can be performed with no assumptions on the number of quark families or the unitarity of the quark-mixing matrix by counting the rate of production of single top quarks. The current values of the coupling strength c_L^W extracted from single top quark production are $c_L^W = 1.04^{+0.10}_{-0.11}$ from ATLAS (5.8 fb^{-1}) [18] and $c_L^W = 0.96 \pm 0.08(\text{syst.}) \pm 0.02(\text{theory})$ from CMS (5.0 fb^{-1}) [19]. The relative uncertainty of these measurements is 11% and 7% respectively. The Tevatron combined measurement with 2.3 and 3.2 fb^{-1} obtains a similar sensitivity and measures $c_L^W = 0.88 \pm 0.07$, with a relative uncertainty of 8% [20]. Additional information can be obtained from the study of the decays of top quarks produced in pairs, which give a more precise determination of $c_L^W = 1.011^{+0.018}_{-0.017}$ with a 1.8% relative uncertainty for 17 fb^{-1} [21]. However, these results from top quark pair production and decay assume that there are only three generations and the CKM matrix is unitary.

A study has been performed on the measurement of t-channel single top quark production cross section at the LHC with 14 TeV center of mass energy, with pileup conditions of $\mu = 50$ and $\mu = 140$ [22]. Signal samples were generated with MadGraph [10] and Pythia [11] for hadronization, and passed through a generic detector simulation in Delphes v3.09 [12]. The generated events at 14 TeV correspond to 300 fb^{-1} with pileup of 50 interactions, and

3000 fb^{-1} with pileup of 140 interactions on average. Background samples corresponding to $t\bar{t}$, W/Z+jets, and multijet were obtained from the Snowmass simulation [23]. A cut-based analysis was performed requiring at least two jets with $p_T > 50$ GeV, one of which is b-tagged, and one forward jet with $|\eta| > 3$. Additional selection criteria to enhance the signal require the reconstructed top quark mass to be between 160 and 180 GeV and $\cos(lj, \ell) > 0$ which measures the top quark polarization from the angle between the light jet and the charged lepton. The analysis expects around 1,000 signal events in 300 fb^{-1}, with a signal to background ratio of 2:1. Assuming a 10% uncertainty on the background yield, the estimate from this study on the relative uncertainty in c_L^W is 2.5%. A similar c_L^W uncertainty is also obtained for 3000 fb^{-1} and $\mu = 140$.

All these measurements of c_L^W from the single top quark cross section allow the strength of the Wtb coupling to deviate from one in the production of the top quark, but force the SM value for the decay. This is a reasonable framework given that $V_{tb} \gg V_{td}, V_{ts}$, so if a new heavy quark exists the V_{tb} value could be smaller than 1 but the decay would still proceed through $t \to Wb$ almost 100% of the time. Limits on a possible fourth generation of heavy b' quarks has been set from direct searches in the single top quark final state ($m_{b'} > 870$ GeV at 95% CL) [24], or indirectly from the measurement of the width of the top quark ($V_{tb'} < 0.59$ at 95% CL) [25]. D0 has performed searches for anomalous couplings in the Wtb vertex c_R^W, d_L^W, d_R^W [26] by combining the sensitivity of the t-channel production and the W-helicity measurement from the $t\bar{t}$ decays. The results are consistent with $c_R^W = d_L^W = d_R^W = 0$ and $c_L^W = 1$, but the large statistics of the LHC will allow to constrain these couplings (and the corresponding operators described in Sec. II) even more. Indeed, the sensitivity of the LHC experiments to anomalous Wtb couplings in the single top production has been studied in [27]. This analysis shows the kinematic effects on selection acceptances in s and t channel final states coming from the presence of different anomalous couplings. The sensitivity to different combinations of c_R^W, d_L^W, d_R^W is studied for 10 fb^{-1} at 14 TeV, taking also into account the interference with a charged-current four-fermion interaction. The results show that it is possible to measure simultaneously within 1σ values of $|d_L^W| < 0.2$ and $|d_R^W| < 0.15$, assuming $c_L^W = 1$, and $c_R^W = 0$.

IV. COUPLING OF THE TOP QUARK TO CHARGE ZERO VECTOR BOSONS

Two decades after the discovery of the top quark most of its couplings are still to be measured. The $t\bar{t}\gamma$ ($t\bar{t}Z$) coupling may be determined via analysis of direct production of $t\bar{t}$ in association with a γ (Z). So far only evidence for these processes has been reported [28, 29]. Unlike at a linear e^+e^- collider [30, 31], the LHC's capability of associated $t\bar{t}\gamma$ and $t\bar{t}Z$ production has the advantage that the $t\bar{t}\gamma$ and $t\bar{t}Z$ couplings are not entangled.

A. $t\bar{t}\gamma$

The coupling of the top quark to photons (and therefore the $t\bar{t}\gamma$ production cross section) is sensitive to the electric charge of the top quark. In addition, the $t\bar{t}\gamma$ production is an important control sample for the $t\bar{t}H,\ H \to \gamma\gamma$ process. Moreover, new physics connected with EWSB can manifest itself in top precision observables. For instance, the $t\bar{t}\gamma(\gamma)$ yield can put constraints on excited top quark ($t^* \to t\gamma$) production, especially in case of predominantly electromagnetic decays of the t^*, when t^* de-excites via radiating a photon (rather than a gluon as discussed in Ref. [32]).

The distinction between the radiative $t\bar{t}$ production ($pp \to t\bar{t}\gamma$) and radiative $t\bar{t}$ decay is critical for determination of $t\bar{t}\gamma$ couplings. To select events with photon emission from top quarks, the photon radiation from the W and its decay products, as well as from the b quarks and from the initial- and final-state partons should be suppressed, as detailed in Ref. [33]. In addition, the event should be consistent with the radiative $t\bar{t}$ production, which can be checked by performing top quark and top quark + photon mass reconstruction. However, the analyses performed so far do not have the sensitivity to disentangle the radiative $t\bar{t}$ production decay and to measure the $t\bar{t}\gamma$ couplings.

The first evidence for $t\bar{t}\gamma$ production was presented by the CDF collaboration [28]. The ratio $R = \sigma_{t\bar{t}\gamma}/\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$ was measured to be 0.024 ± 0.009 in agreement with the SM expectation of 0.024 ± 0.005 for a charge 2/3 top quark and a photon $p_T > 6$ GeV (using a photon $p_T > 10$ GeV in the reconstruction). The uncertainty of the measurement is limited by statistics.

The ATLAS collaboration performed the first $t\bar{t}\gamma$ production cross section measurement [34] at the LHC in 1.04 fb^{-1} of data at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, $\sigma_{t\bar{t}\gamma} = 2.0 \pm 0.5\ (stat.) \pm 0.7\ (syst.) \pm 0.08\ (lumi.)$ pb for a photon p_T threshold of 8 GeV (using a photon $p_T > 15$ GeV in the reconstruction). The significance of the measurement is 2.7 σ, and the measured $\sigma_{t\bar{t}\gamma}$ is in agreement with the SM expectation of 2.1 ± 0.4 pb for a charge 2/3 top quark. The uncertainty of the measurement is dominated by the photon identification efficiency, the initial and final state radiation modeling and the jet energy scale systematics. Larger 7 and 8 TeV datasets will allow to significantly reduce both systematic and statistical uncertainties.

The LO $t\bar{t}\gamma$ production cross section increases by a factor of 5 from 7 TeV to 14 TeV center-of-mass energy for photons with $p_T > 20$ GeV [35, 36]. With the much larger $t\bar{t}\gamma$ statistics expected after the 2013-2014 shutdown, analysis of the $t\bar{t}\gamma$ couplings is feasible. The strategy is to isolate events with photon emission from top quarks, as well as to perform a ratio $R = \sigma_{t\bar{t}\gamma}/\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$ measurement to reduce $t\bar{t}$-related systematic uncertainties. The limiting factors are the photon identification in busy $t\bar{t}\gamma$ environment in high pile-up conditions, as well as proper selection of events with radiative top-quark production.

At the LHC, with 300 fb^{-1} several thousand signal events are expected, therefore precise determination of the $t\bar{t}\gamma$ couplings is possible using the lepton plus jets channel [33]. In addition, the measurement should be performed using the dilepton channel that is expected to

provide a smaller systematic uncertainty (due to fewer jets in the event) but a slightly larger statistical uncertainty compared to the lepton plus jets channel. With 3000 fb^{-1} of data expected at the High Luminosity (HL) LHC, differential measurements of $t\bar{t}\gamma$ couplings (for instance, as a function of photon p_T) as well as differential $t\bar{t}\gamma$ cross section measurements, can be performed.

B. $t\bar{t}Z$

The associated $t\bar{t}Z$ production is directly sensitive to $t\bar{t}Z$ couplings. New physics [37, 38] can modify the $t\bar{t}Z$ vertex. These effects can be studied by measuring differential $\sigma_{t\bar{t}Z}$ as a function of Z transverse momentum and as a function of $\Delta\phi(\ell^+, \ell^-)$ for the leptons coming from $Z \to \ell^+\ell^-$. However, the inclusive $\sigma_{t\bar{t}Z}$ should be measured first.

The associated production of $t\bar{t}$ and Z or W bosons has been measured by CMS in 5 fb^{-1} of pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV [29]. The measurements exploit the fact that SM events with two prompt same-sign isolated leptons in the final state, as well as trilepton events, are very rare. The background contribution due to jets misidentified as leptons is estimated using a data-driven procedure.

A direct measurement of the $t\bar{t}Z$ cross section $\sigma_{t\bar{t}Z} = 0.28^{+0.14}_{-0.11}(stat.)^{+0.06}_{-0.03}(syst.)$ pb is obtained in the trilepton channel, where 9 events are observed with the expected background of 3.2 $\pm$ 0.8 events. The signal significance is 3.3 σ from the background hypothesis. In the dilepton channel a total of 16 events is selected in the data, compared to a background expectation of 9.2 $\pm$ 2.6 events. The presence of a $t\bar{t}V$ ($V = W, Z$) signal is established with a significance of 3.0 σ. The $t\bar{t}V$ process cross section is measured to be $\sigma_{t\bar{t}V} = 0.43^{+0.17}_{-0.15}(stat.)^{+0.09}_{-0.07}(syst.)$ pb. Both $\sigma_{t\bar{t}V}$ and $\sigma_{t\bar{t}Z}$ measurements are in agreement with the NLO predictions [39]. The ATLAS collaboration also performed $t\bar{t}Z$ analysis [40], using a much tighter selection to suppress backgrounds, that resulted in observing one event in data and setting a limit $\sigma_{t\bar{t}Z} < 0.71$ pb at 95% CL consistent with the CMS measurement.

The uncertainties of the $t\bar{t}Z$ measurements up to date are dominated by statistics. The LO $t\bar{t}Z$ production cross section increases by a factor of ~ 1.4 from 7 TeV to 8 TeV center-of-mass energy [35, 36], so the expected decrease in the statistical uncertainty with the dataset collected in 2012 is a factor of 2.5. Therefore, statistical uncertainties are expected to dominate the $\sigma_{t\bar{t}V}$ and $\sigma_{t\bar{t}Z}$ measurements performed with 2012 data.

The LO $t\bar{t}Z$ production cross section increases by roughly an order of magnitude from 7 TeV to 14 TeV center-of-mass energy [35, 36], therefore precise measurements of the $t\bar{t}Z$ production cross section can be performed after the 2013-2014 shutdown. According to Ref. [33], with 300 fb^{-1} of 14 TeV collisions data, the $t\bar{t}Z$ vector (axial vector) coupling can be determined with an uncertainty of 45 – 85% (15 – 20%), whereas the dimension-five dipole form factors can be measured with a precision of approximately 50%. For 3000 fb^{-1} the limits are expected to further improve.

V. TOP YUKAWA COUPLING

Of the current $t\bar{t}H$ searches, CMS sets the most stringent limits, with an observed (expected) combined upper limit at 95% confidence level (C.L.) of 3.4× (2.7×) the SM expectation [41] using the full 2012 and 2011 LHC data sets. Multiple analyses enter into this combination - analyses optimized for $H \to b\bar{b}$ in the $t\bar{t}$ lepton+jets and dilepton channels, a single lepton $H\tau\tau$ analysis and an inclusive single lepton $H \to \gamma\gamma$ analysis. Unlike in the hadronic Higgs decays (or Higgs decays with multiple neutrinos), the narrow reconstructed width of the diphoton resonances allows for a sideband estimate of the expected background [42]. Both hadronic and inclusive leptonic channels are evaluated. The hadronic channel requires five or more jets in the event, at least one of which must be b-tagged, and no charged leptons. The leptonic channel requires at least two jets, at least one of which must be b-tagged, and at least one electron or muon. The expected (observed) limits are 5.3×(5.4×) the SM expectation. The observed signal strength is $+0.21^{+2.18}_{-1.46}$.

ATLAS also recently finished a diphoton analysis in the hadronic and leptonic channels [43]. In the hadronic channel, five or more jets (at least two of which must be b-tagged) are required. In the leptonic channel, a single b-tagged jet and at least one lepton are required, along with a small MET requirement. The combined upper limits on $t\bar{t}H$ production are 5.3× the SM prediction, with an expected limit of 6.4. In both the ATLAS and CMS diphoton analyses, systematic uncertainties worsen expected limits by only $\sim 6\%$ due to the low statistics available both for the signal as well as for the background estimation from the sidebands. The largest systematic uncertainties are due to the unknown heavy flavor and large N_{jets} contributions from non-$t\bar{t}H$ Higgs boson production, in particular glue-glue fusion. These uncertainties are in particular large for the all-hadronic analyses, where large numbers of jets and several b-tags are required.

Prospects for the $t\bar{t}H$ channel with Higgs decay to diphotons and 3000 fb^{-1} of data were also studied in the context of Snowmass with the high-pileup $< \mu >= 140$ samples. Events are required to contain two photons with p_T above 30 GeV, at least one lepton with p_T above 25 GeV, at least two b-tags (and at least three jets in total), and at least 30 GeV of MET. In order to smooth out statistical fluctuations in the simulation, a jet-photon fake rate is estimated from photon+jet events, and applied randomly to jets in the simulation. In the absence of truth information, the fake rate is derived by looking at the ratio of single photon vs diphoton events in the sample and dividing by the number of jets in the single photon events, and is estimated to be 0.0046. The direction of the fake photon is taken to be the direction of the jet, and the p_T is randomly assigned using the the highest-pileup jet-to-fake photon p_T parameterization from the ATLAS European Strategy performance studies [44]. Simulated events are looped over 5000 times with the above procedure to further smooth out statistical fluctuations. The backgrounds that dominate are W and Z boson production in association with extra jets and/or photons, and $t\bar{t}$ itself in conjunction with extra jets

and/or photons. Due to technical limitations, the $t\bar{t}$ +0 photon and W/Z boson +0/1 photon samples are generated at 13 TeV and not at 14 TeV (however, the samples are normalized to 14 TeV cross sections). The $t\bar{t}$ +1/2 photon and W/Z boson +2 photon samples are the correct center of mass energy. The background sideband is fit to an exponential.

With 3 ab^{-1} of data and requiring two or more b-tags, $S = 58$ and $B = 122$, giving $S/\sqrt{B} = 5.2$, not very different from the values obtained by ATLAS in European Strategy studies [45]. The requirement of two b tags significantly reduces the contributions from the electroweak backgrounds. Similar limits but with a slightly worse $S/\sqrt{B}$ (S=67, B=639) are obtained by using an exclusive single-tag selection, in which the signal increases, but the backgrounds increase substantially. Combining the two channels gives $S/\sqrt{B} = 5.9$. Pushing the N_{jets} requirement to 4 or more jets was also evaluated, but was shown to give worse performance. Other cuts studied included variations on the MET, the lepton p_T and the jet p_T. Figure 1 shows the diphoton mass spectrum after the optimized selection. It is clear that in this channel, 300 fb^{-1} of data is not enough to see a signal, but that it is an important one, as the excellent mass resolution allows for an unambiguous observation of the Higgs boson resonance. In addition, the diphoton channel remains an important candidate for searches for new physics in the Higgs sector at the LHC, as large data sets and the unambiguous assignment of photons to the Higgs boson may allow for tests of the top quark-Higgs boson vertex, including whether there is any CP-odd component to the Higgs boson coupling to top quarks [46]. Such measurements can also be made in the $H \to \mu\mu$ channel, but even with 3000 fb^{-1} they are likely to be statistically limited [47].




FIG. 1: Diphoton mass spectrum expected at 14 TeV after all selection cuts and the 1 exclusive b-tag selection (left) and 2 b-tag selection (right) for 3 ab^{-1} of data. The plots are stack plots except for the signal, which is plotted at the bottom of the canvas on top of the backgrounds.

The $b\bar{b}$ analyses from CMS are divided into several bins depending on whether the event contains one or two charged leptons, the number of jets and the number of b-tags. Each of these sub-analyses have separately trained boosted decision trees (BDTs) that use 4-10

variables to separate signal and background. Variables include event shape information, jet kinematics, MET, b-tagging information (to separate out $t\bar{t}$ + light flavor jets from $t\bar{t}$ + heavy flavor jets), angular information and kinematics associated with attempts to reconstruct the Higgs boson mass from the $t\bar{t}H$ hypothesis. The dominant uncertainties are 50% rate uncertainties applied independently to $t\bar{t}+b\bar{b}$, $t\bar{t}+c\bar{c}$ and $t\bar{t}+b$. The observed (median expected) limit is 5.2 (4.1)$\times$ the SM expectation. The best-fit value for the signal strength is $+0.85^{+2.47}_{-2.41}$. The ATLAS $b\bar{b}$ measurement [48] uses the full 7 TeV dataset and focuses on the single lepton channel. Events are divided into different categories based on the number of jets and b-tags. A fit to the $t\bar{t}H$ hypothesis is made on events with six or more jets, with the reconstructed Higgs boson mass the observable of interest. Events with fewer than six jets use the scalar sum of the hadronic jet p_T in the event as the discriminating variable. The observed (expected) limit is 13.1 (10.5)$\times$ the SM value. As in the CMS measurement, the dominant systematic uncertainty is on the modeling of extra heavy flavor produced in association with $t\bar{t}$ events.

CMS and ATLAS have made first studies of production of top-quark pairs together with heavy-flavor (HF) quarks, which is the main irreducible background to $t\bar{t}+H$, $H\to b\bar{b}$. CMS performed a measurement [49] of the ratio of production cross sections: $\sigma(t\bar{t}b\bar{b})/\sigma(t\bar{t}jj)$. ATLAS studied the production of $t\bar{t}+b+X$ or $t\bar{t}+c+X$, collectively referred to as $t\bar{t}$ + HF by measuring the ratio of production cross sections $\sigma(t\bar{t}+\mathrm{HF})/\sigma(t\bar{t}j)$ [50]. Both measurements are made in a given visible phase space. The results are larger than predictions, though with big uncertainties. Increased luminosities would potentially improve these measurements, which would significantly improve the sensitivity of these channels. In addition, the technique used by ATLAS will allow separate measurements of $t\bar{t}+b+X$ and $t\bar{t}+c+X$ to reduce systematic uncertainty on the modeling of extra heavy flavor produced in association with $t\bar{t}$ events. Long-term prospects for the $H\to b\bar{b}$ channel are being pursued in the Snowmass high-energy frontier Higgs group.

Finally, the best channel for $t\bar{t}H$ studies at hadronic colliders might be the ditau channel [51]. The $t\bar{t}$ system is required to decay to the single lepton channel, and at least one of the taus from the Higgs decay is required to decay hadronically. Events are then split into whether both taus decay hadronically or one decays leptonically. The charged lepton is required to have $p_T > 25$ GeV, and the two taus are required to be oppositely charged with $p_T > 15$GeV. In addition, at least 4 jets are required, and events consistent with coming from a Z boson are removed. With only 100 fb^{-1}, $S/\sqrt{B} = 3.7(2.1)$ in the $\tau_h\tau_l(\tau_h\tau_h)$ channel. These numbers improve to $S/\sqrt{B} = 6.4(3.7)$ in the $\tau_h\tau_l(\tau_h\tau_h)$ channel with 300 fb^{-1} of data, indicating the potential for better than 20% cross section accuracy with only 300 fb^{-1} of data. The combined CMS result also examines the ditau channel by using BDTs, setting observed (expected) limits of 13.2 (14.2)$\times$ the SM expectation.

Other areas of active study for $t\bar{t}H$ searches also include the multiple channels in which $H\to WW$, which can lead to various signatures, including same-sign dileptons, trileptons,

four leptons, and multiple leptons with additional hadronic taus. These are also being studied by the Higgs group in the context of Snowmass.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Studies of the the top quark and its couplings at the LHC will continue to yield insight into the Standard Model and potential new physics for quite some time. Understanding and testing the top quark Yukawa coupling and searching for new physics with other top quark couplings are an important part of the future LHC program.

[1] C. Zhang and S. Willenbrock, *Effective Field Theory for Top Quark Physics*, *Nuovo Cim.* **C033N4** (2010) 285–291, [arXiv:1008.3155].

[2] J. Aguilar-Saavedra, *A Minimal set of top anomalous couplings*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B812** (2009) 181–204, [arXiv:0811.3842].

[3] C. Zhang and S. Willenbrock, *Effective-Field-Theory Approach to Top-Quark Production and Decay*, *Phys.Rev.* **D83** (2011) 034006, [arXiv:1008.3869].

[4] J. Adelman, B. Alvarez Gonzalez, Y. Bai, M. Baumgart, R. K. Ellis, et al., *Top Couplings: pre-Snowmass Energy Frontier 2013 Overview*, arXiv:1309.1947.

[5] N. Greiner, S. Willenbrock, and C. Zhang, *Effective Field Theory for Nonstandard Top Quark Couplings*, *Phys.Lett.* **B704** (2011) 218–222, [arXiv:1104.3122].

[6] J. Drobnak, S. Fajfer, and J. F. Kamenik, *Probing anomalous tWb interactions with rare B decays*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B855** (2012) 82–99, [arXiv:1109.2357].

[7] C. Zhang, N. Greiner, and S. Willenbrock, *Constraints on Non-standard Top Quark Couplings*, *Phys.Rev.* **D86** (2012) 014024, [arXiv:1201.6670].

[8] C. Delaunay, O. Gedalia, Y. Hochberg, G. Perez, and Y. Soreq, *Implications of the CDF $t\bar{t}$ Forward-Backward Asymmetry for Hard Top Physics*, *JHEP* **1108** (2011) 031, [arXiv:1103.2297].

[9] N. D. Christensen and C. Duhr, *FeynRules - Feynman rules made easy*, *Comput.Phys.Commun.* **180** (2009) 1614–1641, [arXiv:0806.4194].

[10] J. Alwall, M. Herquet, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer, and T. Stelzer, *MadGraph 5 : Going Beyond*, *JHEP* **1106** (2011) 128, [arXiv:1106.0522].

[11] T. Sjostrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Z. Skands, *PYTHIA 6.4 Physics and Manual*, *JHEP* **0605** (2006) 026, [hep-ph/0603175].

[12] J. de Favereau et al, *DELPHES 3, A modular framework for fast simulation of a generic collider experiment*, arXiv:1307.6346.

[13] **ATLAS** Collaboration, *Measurement of the charge asymmetry in top quark pair production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV using the ATLAS detector*, *Eur.Phys.J.* **C72** (2012) 2039, [arXiv:1203.4211].

[14] A. L. Read, *Presentation of search results: The CL(s) technique*, *J.Phys.* **G28** (2002) 2693–2704.

[15] C. Kilic and B. Tweedie, *Cornering Light Stops with Dileptonic mT2*, *JHEP* **1304** (2013) 110, [arXiv:1211.6106].

[16] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad et al., *A search for ttbar resonances in the lepton plus jets final state with ATLAS using 4.7 fb^{-1} of pp collisions at sqrt(s) = 7 TeV*, arXiv:1305.2756.

[17] M. Czakon, P. Fiedler, A. Mitov, and J. Rojo, *Further exploration of top pair hadroproduction at NNLO*, arXiv:1305.3892.

[18] **ATLAS** Collaboration, *Measurement of t-channel single top-quark production in pp collisions at sqrt(s) = 8 TeV with the ATLAS detector*, Tech. Rep. ATLAS-CONF-2012-120.

[19] **CMS** Collaboration, *Measurement of the single-top t-channel cross section in pp collisions at centre-of-mass energy of 8 TeV*, Tech. Rep. CMS-PAS-TOP-12-011.

[20] **CDF, D0** Collaboration, T. E. W. Group, *Combination of CDF and D0 measurements of the single top production cross section*, arXiv:0908.2171.

[21] **CMS** Collaboration, *Measurement of the ratio $B(t \to Wb)/B(t \to Wq)$*, Tech. Rep. CMS-PAS-TOP-12-035.

[22] B. Schoenrock, E. Drueke, B. Alvarez-Gonzalez, and R. Schwienhorst, *Single top quark cross section measurement in the t-channel at the high-luminosity LHC*, .

[23] "Snowmass 2013 simulation samples tiki." http://www.snowmass2013.org/tiki-index.php?page=SimulationSamples.

[24] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad et al., *Search for single b^*-quark production with the ATLAS detector at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV*, *Phys.Lett.* **B721** (2013) 171–189, [arXiv:1301.1583].

[25] **D0** Collaboration, V. M. Abazov et al., *An Improved determination of the width of the top quark*, *Phys.Rev.* **D85** (2012) 091104, [arXiv:1201.4156].

[26] **D0** Collaboration, V. M. Abazov et al., *Combination of searches for anomalous top quark couplings with 5.4 fb^{-1} of $p\bar{p}$ collisions*, *Phys.Lett.* **B713** (2012) 165–171, [arXiv:1204.2332].

[27] F. Bach and T. Ohl, *Anomalous Top Couplings at Hadron Colliders Revisited*, arXiv:1209.4564.

[28] **CDF Collaboration** Collaboration, T. Aaltonen et al., *Evidence for $t\bar{t}\gamma$ Production and Measurement of $\sigma_t\bar{t}\gamma/\sigma_t\bar{t}$*, *Phys.Rev.* **D84** (2011) 031104, [arXiv:1106.3970].

[29] **CMS Collaboration** Collaboration, S. Chatrchyan et al., *Measurement of associated production of vector bosons and t t-bar at sqrt(s)= 7 TeV*, arXiv:1303.3239.

[30] D. Asner, A. Hoang, Y. Kiyo, R. Pschl, Y. Sumino, et al., *Top quark precision physics at the International Linear Collider*, arXiv:1307.8265.

[31] M. Amjad, M. Boronat, T. Frisson, I. Garcia, R. Poschl, et al., *A precise determination of top quark electro-weak couplings at the ILC operating at $\sqrt{s} = 500$ GeV*, arXiv:1307.8102.

[32] W. Stirling and E. Vryonidou, *Effect of spin-3/2 top quark excitation on $t\bar{t}$ production at the LHC*, *JHEP* **1201** (2012) 055, [arXiv:1110.1565].

[33] U. Baur, A. Juste, L. Orr, and D. Rainwater, *Probing electroweak top quark couplings at hadron colliders*, *Phys.Rev.* **D71** (2005) 054013, [hep-ph/0412021].

[34] **ATLAS Collaboration** Collaboration, *Measurement of the inclusive t tbar gamma cross section with the ATLAS detector*, .

[35] T. Stelzer and W. Long, *Automatic generation of tree level helicity amplitudes*, *Comput.Phys.Commun.* **81** (1994) 357–371, [hep-ph/9401258].

[36] F. Maltoni and T. Stelzer, *MadEvent: Automatic event generation with MadGraph*, *JHEP* **0302** (2003) 027, [hep-ph/0208156].

[37] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, *A Large mass hierarchy from a small extra dimension*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **83** (1999) 3370–3373, [hep-ph/9905221].

[38] K. Agashe, R. Contino, and A. Pomarol, *The Minimal composite Higgs model*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B719** (2005) 165–187, [hep-ph/0412089].

[39] U. Baur, A. Juste, D. Rainwater, and L. Orr, *Improved measurement of ttZ couplings at the CERN LHC*, *Phys.Rev.* **D73** (2006) 034016, [hep-ph/0512262].

[40] **ATLAS Collaboration** Collaboration, *Search for $t\bar{t}Z$ production in the three lepton final state with* 4.7 fb^{-1} *of $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV pp collision data collected by the ATLAS detector*, .

[41] **CMS** Collaboration, *Search for Higgs boson production in association with a top quark pair*

in pp collisions, Tech. Rep. CMS-PAS-HIG-12-025.

[42] **CMS** Collaboration, *Search for ttH production in events where H decays to photons at 8 TeV collisions*, Tech. Rep. CMS-PAS-HIG-13-015.

[43] **ATLAS** Collaboration, *Search for ttH production in the $H \to \gamma\gamma$ channel as sqrts = 8 TeV with the ATLAS detector*, Tech. Rep. ATLAS-CONF-2013-080.

[44] **ATLAS** Collaboration, *Performance assumptions for an upgraded ATLAS detector at a High-Luminosity LHC*, Tech. Rep. ATL-PHYS-PUB-2013-004.

[45] **ATLAS** Collaboration, *Physics at a High-Luminosity LHC with ATLAS (Update)*, Tech. Rep. ATL-PHYS-PUB-2013-007.

[46] J. F. Gunion and X.-G. He, "Determining the CP Nature of a neutral Higgs boson at the LHC." arXiv:9602226 [hep-ph].

[47] J. Adelman, A. Loginov, P. Tipton and J. Vasquez. Work in progress.

[48] **ATLAS** Collaboration, *Search for the Standard Model Higgs boson produced in association with top quarks in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$TeV using the ATLAS detector*, Tech. Rep. ATLAS-CONF-2012-135.

[49] **CMS** Collaboration, *First Measurement of the cross section ratio ttbb/ttjj in pp Collisions at sqrts = 7 TeV*, Tech. Rep. CMS-PAS-TOP-12-024.

[50] **ATLAS** Collaboration, *A study of heavy flavor quarks produced in association with top quark pairs at sqrt(s) = 7 TeV using the ATLAS detector*, `arXiv:1304.6386`.

[51] C. Boddy, S. Farrington, and C. Hays, *Higgs boson coupling sensitivity at the lhc using $h \to \tau\tau$ decays*, *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (Oct, 2012) 073009.