

Limits on Lorentz violation from forbidden β decays

J. P. Noordmans,¹ H. W. Wilschut,¹ and R. G. E. Timmermans¹

¹*KVI, University of Groningen, Zernikelaan 25,
NL-9747 AA Groningen, The Netherlands*

(Dated: April 4, 2024)

Abstract

Forbidden (slow) β decays offer new opportunities to test the invariance of the weak interaction under Lorentz transformations. Within a general effective field theory framework we analyze and reinterpret the only two relevant experiments, performed in the 1970s, dedicated to search for a preferred direction in space in first- and second-forbidden β decays. We show that the results of these experiments put strong and unique limits on Lorentz violation, and in particular on the presence of several interactions in the modern Lorentz-violating Standard Model extension. We discuss prospects to improve on these limits.

PACS numbers: 11.30.Cp, 12.60.-i, 23.40.-s

Motivation – Nuclear β decay has played a central role in the development of the electroweak sector of the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics. The discovery of parity violation in the β decay of ^{60}Co [1, 2] led to the “ $V - A$ ” theory of the weak interaction, and subsequently to the understanding that β decay is mediated by the W -boson that couples to left-handed fermions. Present-day β -decay experiments search for deviations from the SM due to “non- $V - A$ ” currents, resulting for instance from the exchange of charged vector bosons that couple to right-handed fermions or of charged scalar bosons [3, 4].

In Ref. [5] we proposed that β -decay experiments offer interesting opportunities to test the validity of Lorentz invariance of the weak interaction (*cf.* also Ref. [6]). The search for violations of Lorentz invariance is nowadays motivated by attempts to unify the SM with general relativity [7]. Some of these theories of “quantum gravity” predict Lorentz-violating signals that could be detectable in high-precision experiments at low energy. The results of some recent searches are reported in Refs. [8–12]. The experimental evidence for Lorentz and in particular rotational invariance of the weak interaction, which has a bad track record for obeying symmetries, however, is surprisingly poor, as pointed out already in Ref. [1].

In order to guide and interpret such β -decay experiments, we developed a general approach to the violation of Lorentz invariance in neutron and allowed nuclear β decay [5]. The effective Lorentz-violating Hamiltonian density is given by the current-current interaction

$$\mathcal{H}_\beta = (g^{\mu\nu} + \chi^{\mu\nu}) [\bar{\psi}_p(x)\gamma_\mu(C_V + C_A\gamma_5)\psi_n(x)] [\bar{\psi}_e(x)\gamma_\nu(1 - \gamma_5)\psi_\nu(x)] + \text{H.c.} , \quad (1)$$

where $g^{\mu\nu}$ is the Minkowski metric and $\chi^{\mu\nu}$ is a complex, possibly momentum-dependent, tensor that parametrizes Lorentz violation. C_V and C_A are the conventional vector and axial-vector coupling constants and H.c. denotes Hermitian conjugation. As shown in Ref. [5], this approach includes a wide class of Lorentz-violating effects, such as contributions from a modified W -boson propagator $\langle W^{\mu+}W^{\nu-} \rangle = -i(g^{\mu\nu} + \chi^{\mu\nu})/M_W^2$, but also contributions from a Lorentz-violating vertex $-i\gamma_\nu(g^{\mu\nu} + \chi^{\mu\nu})$. Measurements of β -decay observables provide limits on the values of the components of the tensor $\chi^{\mu\nu}$. Moreover, such limits can be translated into bounds on the parameters of the Standard Model Extension (SME) [13, 14], which provides the most general effective field theory framework for the spontaneous breaking of Lorentz and CPT symmetry.

An experiment to test Lorentz violation in the Gamow-Teller β decay of polarized ^{20}Na was recently performed at KVI, Groningen [15, 16]. This is the first dedicated experiment on

allowed β decay. However, several years before the W -boson was discovered and long before searches for Lorentz violation became fashionable, two isolated experiments were performed that searched for a “preferred” direction in space in first-forbidden ^{90}Y β decay [17, 18] and in first-forbidden ^{137}Cs and second-forbidden ^{99}Tc β decays [19]. The hope was that such forbidden decays would be more sensitive to violations of rotational invariance, *i.e.* angular-momentum conservation. We have revisited these experiments and interpreted them within our effective field theory framework. For that reason, we have extended the approach of Ref. [5] to forbidden β decays. The technical details can be found in Ref. [20]. In this Letter, we show that the experiments of Refs. [17–19] provide strong and unique bounds on Lorentz violation in the weak interaction, and in particular on previously unconstrained parameters of the SME.

Forbidden β decays – Since nuclear states are characterized by spin and parity, it is customary to expand the lepton current in the β -decay matrix element in multipoles. Compared to the multipole expansion of the photon field in the atomic case this expansion is complicated, because both vector and axial-vector currents contribute and two relativistic particles are involved, for which only the total angular momentum of each particle is a good quantum number. Moreover, the β particle moves in the Coulomb field of the daughter nucleus. The lowest-order terms in the multipole expansion correspond to the allowed approximation, which amounts to evaluating the lepton current at $r = 0$ and neglecting relativistic effects for the nucleus. This implies that neither of the leptons carries off orbital angular momentum.

Higher-order terms in the expansion correspond to forbidden transitions [22, 23], which are suppressed by one or more of the following small dimensionless quantities: pR , where p is the lepton momentum and R the nuclear radius (this corresponds to the ratio of the nuclear radius and the de Broglie wavelength of the lepton), v_N , the velocity of the decaying nucleon in units of c , and αZ , the fine-structure constant times the charge of the daughter nucleus. The lowest power of these quantities that appears in the amplitude determines the degree in which the transition is forbidden. The transitions are classified by the nuclear-spin change $\Delta I = |I_i - I_f|$ and relative parity $\pi_i \pi_f = \pm 1$ (parity change *no* or *yes*), where I_i , π_i and I_f , π_f are the spins and parities of the parent and daughter nucleus, respectively. n -times forbidden transitions with $\Delta I = n + 1$ are called unique. Such unique forbidden transitions are advantageous, since they depend on only one nuclear matrix element, which

cancels in the asymmetries that quantify Lorentz violation.

In Ref. [20] we derived the multipole expansion for the Lorentz-violating β -decay Hamiltonian of Eq. (1). Because the tensor $\chi^{\mu\nu}$ contracts the nucleon and lepton currents in an unconventional way, the possibility arises that angular momentum is no longer conserved in the transition. In particular, it is now possible that $\Delta I = J + 1$ for χ^{0k} and χ^{k0} and that $\Delta I = J + 2$ for χ^{km} , where J is the total angular momentum of the leptons and Latin superscripts run over space indices. In contrast, rotational invariance implies that $\Delta I \leq J$. At the same time, however, the suppression of the transitions is still for the most part determined by the angular momentum of the leptons. Due to this, the parts of $\chi^{\mu\nu}$ that connect to the spin-dependent nucleon current (χ^{k0} and χ^{km}) can be enhanced by a factor $\alpha Z/pR$ with respect to the Lorentz-symmetric contributions. This enhancement factor occurs only in transitions with $\Delta I \geq 2$, *i.e.* starting from unique first-forbidden transitions.

Analysis of the old experiments – In Ref. [17] the β -decay chain $^{90}\text{Sr}(0^+, 30.2\text{ a}) \rightarrow ^{90}\text{Y}(2^-, 64.1\text{ h}) \rightarrow ^{90}\text{Zr}(0^+)$ was considered, wherein the β^- decay of ^{90}Y is a $\Delta I = 2$, *yes*, unique first-forbidden transition. A search was made for dipole and quadrupole anisotropies in the angular distribution of the electrons,

$$W(\theta) = W_0 (1 + \varepsilon_1 \cos \theta + \varepsilon_2 \cos^2 \theta) , \quad (2)$$

where θ is the angle between the electron momentum and a presumed preferred direction in space. A 10 Ci ^{90}Sr source was put in a vacuum chamber and the electron current it produced was measured on a collector plate opposite the source, giving a solid angle of nearly 2π . The source was made such that only high-energy electrons could come out, assuring that only the current due to ^{90}Y was measured. The endpoint of ^{90}Sr is too low to contribute significantly to the current for this particular source. The chamber rotated about a vertical axis with a frequency of 0.75 Hz. An anisotropy would result in a modulation of the detected current with a frequency of 0.75 or 1.5 Hz, depending on the dipole or quadrupole nature of the anisotropy.

The data were analyzed in terms of two dipole current asymmetries,

$$\delta_{NS} = 2 \frac{i_N - i_S}{i_N + i_S} , \quad \delta_{EW} = 2 \frac{i_E - i_W}{i_E + i_W} , \quad (3)$$

and one quadrupole asymmetry,

$$\delta_{2\nu} = 2 \frac{i_N + i_S - i_E - i_W}{i_N + i_S + i_E + i_W} , \quad (4)$$

Asymmetry δ	$10^8 \times a_0$	$10^8 \times a_1$	$10^8 \times a_2$
<i>NS</i>	-1.9 ± 1.0	3.2 ± 1.9	1.7 ± 1.9
<i>EW</i>	1.1 ± 1.0	2.9 ± 1.9	1.9 ± 1.9
2ν	-1.0 ± 1.0	0.5 ± 1.7	0.7 ± 1.7

TABLE I. The measured values [17] for $a_{0,1,2}$ of Eq. (5).

where N , S , E , W mean north, south, east, and west, and where for instance i_N denotes the mean current in the lab-fixed northern quadrant of the chamber's rotation. These current asymmetries δ were fitted as functions of sidereal time as

$$\delta = a_0 + a_1 \sin(\omega t + \phi_1) + a_2 \sin(2\omega t + \phi_2) , \quad (5)$$

where ω is the angular rotation frequency of the Earth. The extracted coefficients $a_{0,1,2}$ are given in Table I. Relative phases between the different asymmetries were not considered and the phases $\phi_{1,2}$ between the amplitudes were not reported. Such relations would have provided stronger constraints on Lorentz violation.

By using Eq. (2) the expressions for $a_{0,1,2}$ were determined. Scattering inside the source, due to which the emission direction of the electrons gets partly lost when they leave the sample, had to be taken into account. With a Monte-Carlo program the probability distribution to detect an electron was determined, depending on the angle of its original direction with respect to the normal of the source. This probability distribution was then folded with Eq. (2). The result for the current as function of the angle θ_n between the direction of the collector plate and the presumed asymmetry axis reads [21]

$$I(\theta) = I_0 \left[1 + \frac{C_1}{3 + C_2} \varepsilon_1 \cos \theta_n + \frac{C_2}{15 + 5C_2} \varepsilon_2 \cos 2\theta_n \right] , \quad (6)$$

with $C_1 = 1.26$ and $C_2 = 0.39$. After transforming this equation to a standard Sun-centered reference frame, the upper limits $|\varepsilon_1| < 1.6 \times 10^{-7}$ and $|\varepsilon_2| < 2.0 \times 10^{-6}$ were determined at 90% confidence level (C.L.) [17].

We interpret the data in Table I by using the new formalism [5], in which the differential decay rate for a unique first-forbidden transition is given by [20]

$$\frac{dW}{d\Omega dE} \propto p^2 + q^2 + p^2 \frac{\alpha Z}{pR} \left[\frac{3}{10} \frac{p}{E} (\chi_r^{ij} \hat{p}^i \hat{p}^j - \frac{1}{3} \chi_r^{00}) - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\chi}_i^l \hat{p}^l + \chi_r^{l0} \hat{p}^l \right] , \quad (7)$$

Asymmetry δ	a_0	a_1	a_2
NS	$-1.3 [2X_r^{30} - \tilde{X}_i^3]$	$1.4 \left[(2X_r^{20} - \tilde{X}_i^2)^2 + (2X_r^{10} - \tilde{X}_i^1)^2 \right]^{1/2}$	0
EW	$-0.63 [2X_r^{30} - \tilde{X}_i^3]$	$1.8 \left[(2X_r^{20} - \tilde{X}_i^2)^2 + (2X_r^{10} - \tilde{X}_i^1)^2 \right]^{1/2}$	0
2ν	$0.0090 [3X_r^{33} - X_r^{00}]$	$0.031 \left[(X_r^{13} + X_r^{31})^2 + (X_r^{23} + X_r^{32})^2 \right]^{1/2}$	$0.033 \left[(X_r^{12} + X_r^{21})^2 + (X_r^{22} - X_r^{11})^2 \right]^{1/2}$

TABLE II. The theoretical predictions from Eq. (7) for $a_{0,1,2}$ of Eq. (5).

where p and E are the electron momentum and energy and $q = E_0 - E$ is the neutrino momentum, with E_0 the energy available in the decay. The proportionality factor contains phase space and one “ β moment” [22], a matrix element that depends on the nuclear structure. The subscripts r and i on the Lorentz-violating tensor indicate the real and imaginary part of $\chi^{\mu\nu}$, respectively, and $\tilde{\chi}^l = \epsilon^{lmk} \chi^{mk}$. The Lorentz-invariant part of the decay rate has the typical unique first-forbidden spectrum shape $\sim p^2 + q^2$. The Lorentz-violating part scales with $\alpha Z/pR$, which proves that forbidden transitions can be more sensitive to angular-momentum violation, compared to allowed ones. The enhancement is about one order of magnitude for a typical transition.

With Eq. (7) instead of Eq. (2), the remaining part of the analysis parallels the analysis of Ref. [17] summarized above. It requires a simulation of the electron trajectories with the modified weight of the Lorentz-violating part of the expression, which, however, would entail a small modification of the original simulation. Therefore, instead, we integrate the Lorentz-violating part of Eq. (7) over the energy of the detected electrons, including the energy-dependent phase-space factor $\propto pEq^2F(Z, E)$, with $F(Z, E)$ the Fermi function. We integrate over the top 23.4% of the energy spectrum, since the detector covered a 2π solid angle and 11.7% of the electrons escaped the source and were collected [17]. With this simplified procedure we may do the angular folding of Eq. (7) with the original detection probability distribution. We checked that the limits derived below are not affected by more than 4% when changing the integrated fraction of the energy spectrum by a factor two.

We transform the tensor $\chi^{\mu\nu}$, defined in the laboratory frame, to the tensor $X^{\mu\nu}$ defined in the Sun-centered frame [14], by using $\chi^{\mu\nu} = R^\mu_\rho R^\nu_\sigma X^{\rho\sigma}$, with R the appropriate rotation matrix [5]. In this way, we obtain the theoretical expressions for the coefficients $a_{0,1,2}$ listed

in Table II. The numerical factors in front of the coefficients in Table II are determined by the location of the experiment on Earth (the colatitude of New York is about 49°), the constants C_1 and C_2 , and two phase shifts in the amplifier used in the experiment [17]. The phase correlation between δ_{NS} and δ_{EW} that our theory predicts was not measured. Therefore, $2X_r^{10} - \tilde{X}_i^1$ and $2X_r^{20} - \tilde{X}_i^2$ cannot be extracted separately and only the combined value can be determined. The phase shifts of the amplifier are the reason that $a_0 \neq 0$ for δ_{EW} .

Comparing the experimental values in Table I to the theoretical predictions in Table II, we derive the following limits on the Lorentz-violating coefficients at 95% C.L.:

$$-6 \times 10^{-9} < 2X_r^{30} - \tilde{X}_i^3 < 2 \times 10^{-8} , \quad (8a)$$

$$-3 \times 10^{-6} < 3X_r^{33} - X_r^{00} < 1 \times 10^{-6} , \quad (8b)$$

$$\left[(2X_r^{20} - \tilde{X}_i^2)^2 + (2X_r^{10} - \tilde{X}_i^1)^2 \right]^{1/2} < 4 \times 10^{-8} , \quad (8c)$$

$$\left[(X_r^{13} + X_r^{31})^2 + (X_r^{23} + X_r^{32})^2 \right]^{1/2} < 1 \times 10^{-6} , \quad (8d)$$

$$\left[(X_r^{12} + X_r^{21})^2 + (X_r^{22} - X_r^{11})^2 \right]^{1/2} < 1 \times 10^{-6} . \quad (8e)$$

The limit of Eq. (8c) was obtained from δ_{EW} only, because of the phase correlation between δ_{NS} and δ_{EW} .

In Ref. [19] a similar search was made in the $\Delta I = 2$, *yes*, unique first-forbidden β^- decay $^{137}\text{Cs}(\frac{7}{2}^+, 30.2 \text{ a}) \rightarrow ^{137m}\text{Ba}(\frac{11}{2}^-)$, and in the $\Delta I = 2$, *no*, second-forbidden β^- decay $^{99}\text{Tc}(\frac{9}{2}^+, 2.1 \times 10^5 \text{ a}) \rightarrow ^{99}\text{Ru}(\frac{5}{2}^+)$, by looking for a modulation of the counting rate as a function of sidereal time. An upper limit for a $\cos \theta$ or $\cos 2\theta$ term of 3×10^{-5} was found at 90% C.L. Although the accuracy was less than in the ^{90}Y experiment, the setup in this experiment had a higher angular resolution. For ^{137}Cs decay Eq. (7) also applies, while the expression for ^{99}Tc decay is given by [20]

$$\frac{dW}{d\Omega dE} \propto \mathcal{M}_{3/2}^2 p^2 + \mathcal{M}_{1/2}^2 q^2 + \mathcal{M}_{3/2} \mathcal{W} p^2 \frac{\alpha Z}{pR} \left[\frac{3}{10} \frac{p}{E} (\chi_r^{ij} \hat{p}^i \hat{p}^j - \frac{1}{3} \chi_r^{00}) - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\chi}_i^l \hat{p}^l + \chi_r^{l0} \hat{p}^l \right] , \quad (9)$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{1/2}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{3/2}$ depend on three β moments [22] and $\mathcal{W} = 2\mathcal{M}_{3/2} - \mathcal{M}_{1/2}$. We use $\mathcal{M}_{3/2}/\mathcal{M}_{1/2} = 0.735$, such that the spectrum shape $\sim 0.54 p^2 + q^2$ [24, 25].

The experimental setup in Ref. [19] was made to measure electrons in two directions. In one direction perpendicular to the Earth's rotation axis a count rate N_S was measured, and in the direction parallel to the Earth's rotation axis a count rate N_P . The observable $\mathcal{A} = N_S/N_P - 1$ was then inspected for sidereal variations. By using the expressions for

the Lorentz-violating decay rates of ^{137}Cs and ^{99}Tc , given in Eqs. (7) and (9), we obtain an expression similar to Eq. (5) for the observable \mathcal{A} . The amplitudes are proportional to the same combinations of Lorentz-violating coefficients as found previously for ^{90}Y . Here a_0 is a combination of the terms found in the first column of Table II. The terms for a_1 can be separated to obtain the individual terms $2X_r^{10} - \tilde{X}_i^1$ and $2X_r^{20} - \tilde{X}_i^2$. Similarly this can be done for a_2 . The proportionality constants are larger than for the ^{90}Y experiment. In particular, for the terms found previously in the quadrupole asymmetry the sensitivity of this setup is a factor 10 to 100 higher. However, the statistical accuracy in this experiment is much lower and the improvements on the bounds of Eq. (8) are insignificant. The best case is $|3X_r^{33} - X_r^{00}| < 8 \times 10^{-6}$ at 95% C.L., instead of the bound 3×10^{-6} of Eq. (8b).

Discussion and outlook – By using experiments on forbidden β decay, we have set strong limits on Lorentz violation in the weak interaction, in particular on the tensor $\chi^{\mu\nu}$ that modifies the W -boson propagator. The general bounds of Eq. (8) can be translated into bounds on SME parameters [26, 27], in terms of which [5]

$$\chi^{\mu\nu} = -k_{\phi\phi}^{\mu\nu} - i k_{\phi W}^{\mu\nu}/2g, \quad (10)$$

when we assume that $\chi^{\mu\nu}$ is momentum independent [20]; g is the SU(2) electroweak coupling constant. Since $k_{\phi\phi}$ has a real symmetric component $k_{\phi\phi}^S$ and an imaginary antisymmetric component $k_{\phi\phi}^A$, while $k_{\phi W}$ is real and antisymmetric, we derive at 95% C.L. the bounds:

$$-5 \times 10^{-9} < (k_{\phi\phi}^S)^{ZT}, (k_{\phi\phi}^A)^{YX}, (k_{\phi W})^{YX} < 1 \times 10^{-8}, \quad (11a)$$

$$-1 \times 10^{-6} < (k_{\phi\phi}^S)^{ZZ} < 4 \times 10^{-7}, \quad (11b)$$

$$-1 \times 10^{-6} < (k_{\phi\phi}^S)^{TT} < 3 \times 10^{-6}, \quad (11c)$$

$$|(k_{\phi\phi}^S)^{XX}|, |(k_{\phi\phi}^S)^{YY}| < 1 \times 10^{-6}, \quad (11d)$$

$$|(k_{\phi\phi}^S)^{XT}|, |(k_{\phi\phi}^S)^{YT}|, |(k_{\phi\phi}^A)^{XZ}|, |(k_{\phi\phi}^A)^{YZ}|, |(k_{\phi W})^{XZ}|, |(k_{\phi W})^{YZ}| < 2 \times 10^{-8}, \quad (11e)$$

$$|(k_{\phi\phi}^S)^{XY}|, |(k_{\phi\phi}^S)^{XZ}|, |(k_{\phi\phi}^S)^{YZ}| < 5 \times 10^{-7}. \quad (11f)$$

We assumed that there are no cancellations between different parameters, *i.e.* when deriving a bound on one parameter, the others were set to zero. With that caveat, Eq. (11) provides the first strict direct bounds on these SME parameters in the electroweak sector. For the components $\chi_r^{\mu\nu} + \chi_r^{\nu\mu}$, they improve recent bounds from pion decay [28] by three orders of magnitude. (Indirect bounds were previously obtained for some of these parameters [29]. The validity of these indirect bounds is addressed in Ref. [28].)

In order to improve on our bounds, a more sensitive β -decay experiment of the type performed in Refs. [17–19] could be designed. With theory input and by exploiting modern detector systems a number of the drawbacks of these pioneering experiments can be overcome. However, to reach their precision level will require long-running experiments with high-intensity sources. Forbidden β transitions with low E_0 are preferred, as seen from Eq. (7) and because of radiation safety. We have shown that β decays with a higher degree of forbiddenness do not further enhance Lorentz violation [20]. To obtain direct bounds on all the components $\chi_{r,i}^{\mu\nu}$ one may consider measurements of allowed β transitions [5]. Both the degrees of freedom of the β particle and of the parent spin can be used. The KVI experiment [15, 16] measures $\tilde{\chi}_i^l$ in β decay of polarized nuclei. β^- emitters that populate the ground state of the daughter nucleus are preferred. Sources like $^{32,33}\text{P}$, ^{35}S , ^{45}Ca , or ^{63}Ni are excellent options. An alternative is to measure semileptonic decays of hadrons, in particular when they are produced in high-energy facilities and decay in flight: The boost then provides an enhancement of order γ^2 , where γ is the Lorentz factor. Finally, orders of magnitudes could be gained at a future β -beam facility [30], where high-intensity high-energy radioactive beams decay to produce electron-neutrino beams.

Acknowledgments – We are much indebted to professor Riley Newman for clarifying communications about his experiment [17] and for sending us Ref. [18]. We acknowledge an exchange with professor Jack Ullman about Ref. [19]. This research was supported by the Stichting voor Fundamenteel Onderzoek der Materie (FOM) under Programmes 104 and 114 and project 08PR2636.

-
- [1] T. D. Lee and C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. **104**, 254 (1956).
 - [2] C. S. Wu *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **105**, 1413 (1957).
 - [3] P. Herczeg, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **46**, 413 (2001).
 - [4] N. Severijns and O. Naviliat-Cuncic, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **61**, 23 (2011).
 - [5] J. P. Noordmans, H. W. Wilschut, and R. G. E. Timmermans, Phys. Rev. C **87**, 055502 (2013).
 - [6] J. S. Díaz, V. A. Kostelecký, and R. Lehnert, 1305.4636 [hep-ph].
 - [7] S. Liberati and L. Maccione, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **59**, 245 (2009); S. Liberati, Class.

- Quant. Grav. **30**, 133001 (2013).
- [8] G. W. Bennett *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 091602 (2008).
 - [9] I. Altarev *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 081602 (2009).
 - [10] J. M. Brown *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 151604 (2010).
 - [11] M. Smiciklas *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 171604 (2011).
 - [12] M. A. Hohensee *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 050401 (2013).
 - [13] D. Colladay and V. A. Kostelecký, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 116002 (1998).
 - [14] V. A. Kostelecký and N. Russell, Rev. Mod. Phys. **83**, 11 (2011); 0801.0287[hep-ph].
 - [15] H. W. Wilschut *et al.*, 1303.6419[nucl-ex]; Ann. Phys. (Berlin), 1-6 (2013), DOI 10.1002/andp.201300076.
 - [16] S. E. Müller *et al.*, submitted for publication.
 - [17] R. Newman and S. Wiesner, Phys. Rev. D **14**, 1 (1976).
 - [18] S. Wiesner, Ph.D. thesis, Columbia University (1972).
 - [19] J. D. Ullman, Phys. Rev. D **17**, 1750 (1978).
 - [20] J. P. Noordmans, H. W. Wilschut, and R. G. E. Timmermans, in preparation.
 - [21] Eq. (6) includes a correction on Eq. (4) of Ref. [17].
 - [22] E. J. Konopinski, *The theory of beta radioactivity* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1966).
 - [23] H. A. Weidenmüller, Rev. Mod. Phys. **33**, 574 (1961).
 - [24] P. Lipnik and J. W. Sunier, Phys. Rev. **145**, 746 (1966).
 - [25] M. Reich and H. M. Schüpferling, Z. Physik **271**, 107 (1974).
 - [26] D. C. Colladay, Ph.D. thesis, Indiana University (1998).
 - [27] M. Cambiaso, R. Lehnert, and R. Potting, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 085023 (2012).
 - [28] B. Altschul, 1308.2602[hep-ph].
 - [29] D. L. Anderson, M. Sher, and I. Turan, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 016001 (2004).
 - [30] M. Lindroos and M. Mezzetto, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **60**, 299 (2010).