The Journal's name will be set by the publisher
DOI: will be set by the publisher
© Owned by the authors, published by EDP Sciences, 2022

arXiv:1308.5694v1 [hep-ph] 26 Aug 2013

Strangeness Vector and Axial-Vector Form Factors of the Nucleon

Stephen Pate[1,a] and Dennis Trujillo[1]

[1] *Physics Department, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces NM 88003, USA*

Abstract. A revised global fit of electroweak ep and νp elastic scattering data has been performed, with the goal of determining the strange quark contribution to the vector and axial-vector form factors of the nucleon in the momentum-transfer range $0 < Q^2 < 1$ GeV^2. The two vector (electric and magnetic) form factors $G_E^s(Q^2)$ and $G_M^s(Q^2)$ are strongly constrained by ep elastic scattering data, while the major source of information on the axial-vector form factor $G_A^s(Q^2)$ is νp scattering data. Combining the two kinds of data into a single global fit makes possible additional precision in the determination of these form factors, and provides a unique way to determine the strange quark contribution to the nucleon spin, ΔS, independently of leptonic deep-inelastic scattering. The fit makes use of data from the BNL-E734, SAMPLE, HAPPEx, G0, and PVA4 experiments; we will also compare the result of the fit with recent data from MiniBooNE, and anticipate how this fit can be improved when new data from MicroBooNE become available.

1 Overview

The strange quark contribution to the elastic form factors of the nucleon has been the subject of intense experimental scrutiny for several decades. Experiments involving elastic scattering of neutrinos and anti-neutrinos (BNL E734 [1]), and electrons (SAMPLE [2], HAPPEx [3–7], G0 [8, 9], and PVA4 [10–12]) from nucleons and nuclei have explored the strange quark presence in the nucleon by exploiting the electromagnetic and weak interactions in a variety of ways. These special data permit a simultaneous determination [13] of the strange quark contribution to the electric ($G_E^s(Q^2)$), magnetic ($G_M^s(Q^2)$), and axial ($G_A^s(Q^2)$) form factors of the nucleon, which respectively allow us to understand how the strange quark contributes to the distribution of charge, current, and spin inside the nucleon. A global fit of these data has been performed, which sets strong limits on the size and Q^2-dependence of $G_E^s(Q^2)$ and $G_M^s(Q^2)$, and points out the need for additional neutrino scattering data at low Q^2 to complete a measurement of $G_A^s(Q^2)$.

2 Strangeness Form Factors

Since the strange and anti-strange quarks have opposite electric charges, then they contribute to the strangeness electric form factor G_E^s with opposite sign; therefore, if the s and $\bar{s}$ distributions in the

[a] e-mail: pate@nmsu.edu

nucleon are the same, then G_E^s will be zero. Likewise, s and $\bar{s}$ contribute oppositely to the strangeness magnetic form factor G_M^s, and so any similarity between the s and $\bar{s}$ distributions will drive G_M^s to small values. However, s and $\bar{s}$ have the same axial coupling, so if the s and $\bar{s}$ distributions are similar then a non-zero strangeness axial form factor G_A^s can arise.

3 Experiments

To access the strangeness vector form factors of the nucleon, there has been a series of measurements of parity-violating asymmetries in elastic electron-nucleon scattering [14], in which the electron is longitudinally polarized and the asymmetry in the cross section arises from the parity-violating nature of Z-exchange. In forward scattering, the PV asymmetries are most sensitive to the electric form factor, whereas in backward scattering there is a significant contribution also from the magnetic and axial form factors. In neutrino-nucleon scattering, on the other hand, the most significant contribution comes from the axial form factor. To date, the only useful measurement of the neutrino-proton elastic scattering cross section is from BNL Experiment 734 [1]. Combining these two kinds of experiments together, allows the simultaneous determination of the strange quark contribution to the vector and axial form factors [13].

4 Determination of the Strangeness Form Factors at Specific Values of Q^2

Quite a number of analyses have been done, in which only the PV electron-scattering data are used and so only the strangeness vector form factors G_E^s and G_M^s are extracted. Using the techniques developed in [16], it was possible to determine also the strangeness axial form factor at a number of points where the HAPPEx and G0 experiments overlapped with the E734 data. All of these determinations are shown in Figure 1. It is seen that both G_E^s and G_M^s are consistent with zero across the range $0 < Q^2 < 1$ GeV^2, whereas in the axial form factor G_A^s there is a signal for a non-zero value as we approach $Q^2 = 0$.

5 Global Fit of the Strangeness Form Factors

Taken together, there are 48 data points from E734, SAMPLE, HAPPEx, G0 and PVA4. Not all of these are used in the determinations shown in FIgure 1. To make use of all this data, it necessary to assume functional forms for the strangeness form factors and perform a global fit.

Based on the results of the determinations at specific values of Q^2, we have fit the form factors G_E^s, G_M^s, and G_A^s in the range $0 < Q^2 < 1$ GeV^2 with this simple set of functional forms:

$$G_E^s = \rho_s \tau \qquad G_M^s = \mu_s \qquad G_A^s = \frac{\Delta S + S_A Q^2}{(1 + Q^2/\Lambda_A^2)^2}$$

where $\tau = Q^2/4M_N^2$, $\rho_s \equiv (dG_E^s/d\tau)|_{\tau=0}$ is the strangeness radius, μ_s is the strangeness magnetic moment, ΔS is the strange quark contribution to the nucleon spin, and Λ_A and S_A determine the Q^2 dependence of G_A^s.

These are the simplest functions that are consistent with the determinations shown in Figure 1. Since G_E^s must be 0 at Q^2=0, then the lowest order term in G_E^s must be linear in Q^2. The lowest order term in G_M^s is a constant, since G_M^s need not be 0 at $Q^2 = 0$. For G_A^s, a more complex form is required.

The (preliminary) best values for the five fit parameters are given in Table 1. We see that the parameters representing the vector form factors (ρ_s and μ_s) are consistent with zero, as expected.




Figure 1. Results of the determination of G_E^s, G_M^s, and G_A^s at individual values of Q^2, and also from our global fit. The separate determinations were done by Liu et al. [15] (green squares at 0.1 GeV^2), Androić et al. [9] (blue inverted triangles), Baunack et al. [12] (red squares at 0.23 GeV^2), Ahmed et al. [7] (red triangles at 0.62 GeV^2), and Pate et al. [16] (open and closed circles). The preliminary results of our global fit (see text) are shown by the solid line; the 70% confidence level limit curves for the fit are shown as the dashed line in the right-hand panel. The vertical scale for G_A^s in the right-hand panel has been adjusted to accommodate the limit curves of the fit.

Strong limits are placed on the contribution of the strange quarks to the vector form factors throughout this Q^2 range. On the other hand, ΔS is also consistent with 0 but the uncertainty is very large because there are no νp or $\bar{\nu} p$ elastic data at sufficiently low Q^2 to constrain it. As a result the uncertainties in the global fit to G_A^s are very much larger than the uncertainties in the separate determinations of G_A^s in Figure 1. We cannot determine ΔS in this method until additional neutrino scattering data are obtained at low Q^2.

Table 1. Preliminary results for our 5-parameter fit to the 48 elastic neutrino- and PV electron-scattering data points from BNL E734, HAPPEx, SAMPLE, G0, and PVA4.

Parameter	Fit value
ρ_s	-0.071 ± 0.096
μ_s	0.053 ± 0.029
ΔS	-0.30 ± 0.42
Λ_A	1.1 ± 1.1
S_A	0.36 ± 0.50

6 Measurement of neutrino-proton elastic scattering at MicroBooNE

MicroBooNE (http://www-microboone.fnal.gov/) is a new neutrino-scattering experiment under construction at Fermilab, consisting of a 170-ton liquid-argon time projection chamber to be placed in the path of a beam of approximately 1 GeV neutrinos. This detector is ideal for observing neutrino-proton elastic scattering events, as low-energy protons from these events can travel several centimeters in liquid argon; a measurement down to $Q^2 = 0.08$ GeV^2 is possible. A determination of G_A^s down to such a low value of Q^2 would permit a determination of ΔS. To estimate the level of uncertainty of such a measurement, a simulation of 2×10^{20} protons-on-target was performed (about one running year), using reasonable event selection cuts.[1] These simulated MicroBooNE cross section measurements were then fed back into our global fit program, and we observed the change in the uncertainties in the fit parameters; see the table below. It is seen that a measurement of the strangeness axial form factor

Table 2. Improvement in uncertainties in fit parameters for G_A^s, when simulated MicroBooNE data are included in the fit.

Parameter	Existing Data	Including MicroBooNE
ΔS	± 0.42	± 0.038
Λ_A	± 1.1	± 0.38
S_A	± 0.50	± 0.071

at MicroBooNE can have a dramatic effect on this analysis, and we look forward to a determination of ΔS to come from this project in the next few years.

7 Acknowledgments

This work was funded by the US Department of Energy, Office of Science.

References

[1] L.A. Ahrens et al., Phys. Rev. **D35**, 785 (1987)
[2] E.J. Beise, M.L. Pitt, D.T. Spayde, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **54**, 289 (2005), nucl-ex/0412054
[3] K.A. Aniol et al. (HAPPEx), Phys. Rev. **C69**, 065501 (2004), nucl-ex/0402004
[4] K.A. Aniol et al. (HAPPEx), Phys. Lett. **B635**, 275 (2006), nucl-ex/0506011
[5] K.A. Aniol et al. (HAPPEx), Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 022003 (2006), nucl-ex/0506010
[6] A. Acha et al. (HAPPEx), Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 032301 (2007), nucl-ex/0609002
[7] Z. Ahmed et al. (HAPPEX collaboration), Phys.Rev.Lett. **108**, 102001 (2012), 1107.0913
[8] D.S. Armstrong et al. (G0), Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 092001 (2005), nucl-ex/0506021
[9] D. Androić et al. (G0), Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 012001 (2010), 0909.5107
[10] F.E. Maas et al. (A4), Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 022002 (2004), nucl-ex/0401019
[11] F.E. Maas et al. (A4), Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 152001 (2005), nucl-ex/0412030
[12] S. Baunack et al. (A4), Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 151803 (2009), 0903.2733
[13] S.F. Pate, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 082002 (2004), hep-ex/0310052
[14] D. Armstrong, R. McKeown, Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci. **62**, 337 (2012), 1207.5238
[15] J. Liu, R.D. McKeown, M.J. Ramsey-Musolf, Phys. Rev. **C76**, 025202 (2007), 0706.0226
[16] S.F. Pate, D.W. McKee, V. Papavassiliou, Phys. Rev. **C78**, 015207 (2008), 0805.2889

[1] Thanks to B. Fleming, J. Spitz, and V. Papavassiliou for providing this simulation.