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#### Abstract

In this paper we study the structure and give bounds for the eigenvalues of the $n \times n$ matrix, which $i j$ entry is $(i, j)^{\alpha}[i, j]^{\beta}$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R},(i, j)$ is the greatest common divisor of $i$ and $j$ and $[i, j]$ is the least common multiple of $i$ and $j$. Currently only $O$-estimates for the greatest eigenvalue of this matrix can be found in the literature, and the asymptotic behaviour of the greatest and smallest eigenvalue is known in case when $\alpha=\beta$.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $S=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ be a set of distinct positive integers, and let $f$ be an arithmetical function. Let $(S)_{f}$ denote the $n \times n$ matrix having $f$ evaluated at the greatest common divisor $\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)$ of $x_{i}$ and $x_{j}$ as its $i j$ entry. More formally, let $\left((S)_{f}\right)_{i j}=f\left(\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)\right)$. Analogously, let $[S]_{f}$ denote the $n \times n$ matrix having $f$ evaluated at the least common multiple $\left[x_{i}, x_{j}\right]$ of $x_{i}$ and $x_{j}$ as its $i j$ entry. That is, $\left([S]_{f}\right)_{i j}=f\left(\left[x_{i}, x_{j}\right]\right)$. The matrices $(S)_{f}$ and $[S]_{f}$ are referred to as the GCD and LCM matrices on $S$ associated with $f$.

The study of GCD and LCM matrices was initiated by H. J. S. Smith [19] in 1875, when he calculated $\operatorname{det}(S)_{f}$ in case when $S$ is factor-closed and $\operatorname{det}[S]_{f}$ in a more special case. Since Smith, numerous papers have been published about GCD and LCM matrices. For general accounts see e.g. [9, 18]. There are also various generalizations of GCD and LCM matrices to be found in the literature. The most important ones are the lattice-theoretic generalizations into meet and join matrices, see e.g. [16.

Over the years some authors have studied number-theoretic matrices that are neither GCD nor LCM matrices, but very closely related to them. For example, Wintner [20] published results concerning the largest eigenvalue of the $n \times n$ matrix having

$$
\left(\frac{(i, j)}{[i, j]}\right)^{\alpha}
$$

as its $i j$ entry and subsequently Lindqvist and Seip [17] investigated the asymptotic behavior of the smallest and largest eigenvalue of the same matrix. More recently Hilberdink [10] as well as Berkes and Weber [5] have studied this same topic from analytical perspective.

Also the norms of GCD, LCM and related matrices have been repeatedly studied in the literature. Altinisik et al. [2] investigated the norms of reciprocal LCM matrices, and later Altinisik [1] published a paper about the norms of GCD related matrix. Haukkanen [6, 7, 8, studied the $n \times n$ matrix having

$$
\frac{(i, j)^{r}}{[i, j]^{s}}, \quad r, s \in \mathbb{R}
$$

[^0]as its $i j$ entry and, among other things, gave $O$-estimates for the $\ell_{p}$ and maximum row and column sum norms of this matrix. In this paper we study the same class of matrices, although we use a slightly different notation. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Our goal here is to find bounds for the eigenvalues of the $n \times n$ matrix having
$$
(i, j)^{\alpha}[i, j]^{\beta}
$$
as its $i j$ entry. In order to do this we use similar techniques as Ilmonen et al 15 and Hong and Loewy [13]. One of the methods may be considered to originate from Hong and Loewy [12]. It should be noted that not much is known about the eigenvalues of GCD, LCM and related matrices. In addition to the articles mentioned above there are only a few publication that provides information about the eigenvalues (see e.g. [3, 11).

## 2 Preliminaries

Let $A_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}$ denote the $n \times n$ matrix, which $i j$ entry is given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}\right)_{i j}=(i, j)^{\alpha}[i, j]^{\beta} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. In addition, for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$we define the $n \times n$ matrix $E_{n}$ by

$$
\left(E_{n}\right)_{i j}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } j \mid i  \tag{2.2}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

The matrix $E_{n}$ may be referred to as the incidence matrix of the set $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ with respect to the divisibility relation.

Next we define some important arithmetical functions that we need. First of all, let $N^{\alpha-\beta}$ be the function such that $N^{\alpha-\beta}(k)=k^{\alpha-\beta}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. In addition, let $J_{\alpha-\beta}$ denote the arithmetical function with

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\alpha-\beta}(k)=k^{\alpha-\beta} \prod_{p \mid k}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{\alpha-\beta}}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$. This function may be seen as a generalization of the Jordan totient function, and it is easy to see that the function $J_{\alpha-\beta}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\alpha-\beta}=N^{\alpha-\beta} * \mu \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

the Dirichlet convolution of $N^{\alpha-\beta}$ and the number-theoretic Möbius function.
Remark 2.1. If $\alpha-\beta>0$, then clearly $J_{\alpha-\beta}(k)>0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$.
Before we begin to analyze the eigenvalues of the matrix $A_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}$ we first need to obtain suitable factorizations for it.

Proposition 2.2. Let $F_{n}=\operatorname{diag}(1,2, \ldots, n)$ and $D_{n}=\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i}=J_{\alpha-\beta}(i)=\left(N^{\alpha-\beta} * \mu\right)(i) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the matrix $A_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}=F_{n}^{\beta} E_{n} D_{n} E_{n}^{T} F_{n}^{\beta} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since the $i j$ element of the matrix $E_{n} D_{n} E_{n}^{T}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \mid i, j} J_{\alpha-\beta}(k)=\sum_{k \mid i, j}\left(N^{\alpha-\beta} * \mu\right)(k)=\left[\left(N^{\alpha-\beta} * \mu\right) * \zeta\right]((i, j))=N^{\alpha-\beta}((i, j))=(i, j)^{\alpha-\beta} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

the $i j$ element of the matrix $F_{n}^{\beta} E_{n} D_{n} E_{n}^{T} F_{n}^{\beta}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
i^{\beta}(i, j)^{\alpha-\beta} j^{\beta}=(i, j)^{\alpha}[i, j]^{\beta} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is also the $i j$ element of $A_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}$.

Remark 2.3. By applying Proposition 2.2 it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} A_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}=(n!)^{2 \beta} \prod_{k=1}^{n} J_{\alpha-\beta}(k)=(n!)^{2 \beta} \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(N^{\alpha-\beta} * \mu\right)(k) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In case when $\alpha>\beta$ we are able to use a different factorization presented in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4. Suppose that $\alpha>\beta$. Let $J_{\alpha-\beta}, D_{n}$ and $F_{n}$ be as in Proposition 2.2, and let $B_{n}$ denote the real $n \times n$ matrix with

$$
\left(B_{n}\right)_{i j}= \begin{cases}\sqrt{J_{\alpha-\beta}(j)} & \text { if } j \mid i  \tag{2.10}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

Then the matrix $A_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}=\left(F_{n}^{\beta} B_{n}\right)\left(F_{n}^{\beta} B_{n}\right)^{T}=\left(F_{n}^{\beta} E_{n} D_{n}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\left(F_{n}^{\beta} E_{n} D_{n}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{T} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First we observe that the $i j$ element of $B_{n} B_{n}^{T}$ is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \mid i, j} J_{\alpha-\beta}(k)=\sum_{k \mid i, j}\left(N^{\alpha-\beta} * \mu\right)(k)=\left[\left(N^{\alpha-\beta} * \mu\right) * \zeta\right]((i, j))=N^{\alpha-\beta}((i, j))=(i, j)^{\alpha-\beta} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the $i j$ element of $\left(F_{n}^{\beta} B_{n}\right)\left(F_{n}^{\beta} B_{n}\right)^{T}=F_{n}^{\beta}\left(B_{n} B_{n}^{T}\right) F_{n}^{\beta}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
i^{\beta}(i, j)^{\alpha-\beta} j^{\beta}=(i, j)^{\alpha}[i, j]^{\beta} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is also the $i j$ element of the matrix $A_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}$. Thus we have proven the first equality. The second equality follows from the fact that the matrix $B_{n}$ can be written as $B_{n}=E_{n} D_{n}^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

In order to obtain bounds for the eigenvalues of the matrix $A_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}$ we find out the eigenvalues of the matrix $E_{n}^{T} E_{n}$ for different $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. The smallest eigenvalue of this matrix is denoted by $t_{n}$ and the largest by $T_{n}$. Table 1 shows the values of the constants $t_{n}$ and $T_{n}$ for small values of $n$. The $i j$ element of the matrix $E_{n}^{T} E_{n}$ is in fact equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mid\left\{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}|k \leq n, i| k \text { and } j \mid k\right\} \left\lvert\,=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{[i, j]}\right\rfloor\right. \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

the greatest integer that is less than or equal to $\frac{n}{[i, j]}$. This same matrix is also studied by Bege [4] when he considers it as an example.

| $n$ | $t_{n}$ | $T_{n}$ | $n$ | $t_{n}$ | $T_{n}$ | $n$ | $t_{n}$ | $T_{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0.381966 | 2.61803 | 15 | 0.0616080 | 23.6243 | 28 | 0.0411874 | 47.1773 |
| 3 | 0.267949 | 3.73205 | 16 | 0.0616079 | 26.1117 | 29 | 0.0401315 | 47.7330 |
| 4 | 0.252762 | 5.78339 | 17 | 0.0591935 | 26.70841 | 30 | 0.0343360 | 51.4915 |
| 5 | 0.204371 | 6.60665 | 18 | 0.0584344 | 29.8007 | 31 | 0.0336797 | 52.0305 |
| 6 | 0.129425 | 9.21230 | 19 | 0.0562263 | 30.3787 | 32 | 0.0336797 | 54.6056 |
| 7 | 0.118823 | 9.92035 | 20 | 0.0550575 | 33.2123 | 33 | 0.0322295 | 55.7392 |
| 8 | 0.118764 | 12.2892 | 21 | 0.0505600 | 34,4522 | 34 | 0.0306762 | 57.2482 |
| 9 | 0.116597 | 13.4520 | 22 | 0.0466545 | 36.0618 | 35 | 0.0295618 | 58.2226 |
| 10 | 0.0930874 | 15.4428 | 23 | 0.0452547 | 36.6470 | 36 | 0.0295298 | 62.7258 |
| 11 | 0.087262 | 16.113 | 24 | 0.0452214 | 41.0878 | 37 | 0.0289990 | 63.2500 |
| 12 | 0.087262 | 16.113 | 25 | 0.0451569 | 41.8465 | 38 | 0.0277260 | 64.7226 |
| 13 | 0.0791480 | 20.4160 | 26 | 0.0419049 | 43.3920 | 39 | 0.0267584 | 65.8548 |
| 14 | 0.0681283 | 22.1909 | 27 | 0.0419033 | 44.6343 | 40 | 0.0267526 | 69.2188 |

Table 1: The constants $t_{n}$ and $T_{n}$ for $n \leq 40$.
As can be seen from Table 1. the sequences $\left(t_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left(T_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ seem to possess certain monotonic behavior. This encourages us to present the following conjecture.

Conjecture 2.5. For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{n+1} \leq t_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad T_{n} \leq T_{n+1} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Calculations show that this conjecture holds for $n=2, \ldots, 100$.

## 3 Estimations for the eigenvalues

First we assume that $\alpha>\beta$. From Proposition 2.4 it follows that in this case the matrix $A_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}$ is positive definite, and thus we are able to give a lower bound for the smallest eigenvalue of $A_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}$.
Theorem 3.1. Let $\alpha>\beta$ and let $\lambda_{1}^{n, \alpha, \beta}$ denote the smallest eigenvalue of the matrix $A_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}^{n, \alpha, \beta} \geq t_{n} \cdot \min _{1 \leq i \leq n} J_{\alpha-\beta}(i) \cdot \min \left\{1, n^{2 \beta}\right\}>0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By applying Proposition 2.4 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}=\left(F_{n}^{\beta} E_{n} D_{n}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\left(F_{n}^{\beta} E_{n} D_{n}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{T} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying Remark 2.1] and 2.3 we deduce that $\operatorname{det} A_{n}^{\alpha, \beta} \neq 0$ and furthermore that $A_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}$ is invertible. Thus the matrices $A_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}$ and $\left(A_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}\right)^{-1}$ are real symmetric and positive definite and therefore the greatest eigenvalue of $\left(A_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}\right)^{-1}$ is also the inverse of the smallest eigenvalue of $A_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}$. In addition, the greatest eigenvalue of $\left(A_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}\right)^{-1}$ is equal to $\left\|\left\|\left(A_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}\right)^{-1}\right\|\right\|_{S}$, the spectral norm of the matrix $\left(A_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}\right)^{-1}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}^{n, \alpha, \beta}=\frac{1}{\| \|\left(A_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}\right)^{-1}\| \|_{S}}=\frac{1}{\|\left[\left[\left(F_{n}^{\beta} E_{n} D_{n}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\left(F_{n}^{\beta} E_{n} D_{n}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{T}\right]^{-1}\| \|_{S}\right.} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying the submultiplicativity of the spectral norm we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left\|\left[\left(F_{n}^{\beta} E_{n} D_{n}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\left(F_{n}^{\beta} E_{n} D_{n}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{T}\right]^{-1}\right\|\right\|_{S}=\| \|\left(F_{n}^{\beta}\right)^{-1}\left(E_{n}^{T}\right)^{-1} D_{n}^{-1} E_{n}^{-1}\left(F_{n}^{\beta}\right)^{-1}\| \|_{S}  \tag{3.4}\\
& \leq\| \|\left(F_{n}^{\beta}\right)^{-1}\| \|_{S}^{2} \cdot\left(\| \| E_{n}^{-1}\| \|_{S} \cdot\| \|\left(E_{n}^{-1}\right)^{T}\| \|_{S}\right) \cdot\left\|D_{n}^{-1}\right\|\left\|_{S}=\right\|\left\|\left(F_{n}^{\beta}\right)^{-1}\right\|\left\|_{S}^{2} \cdot\right\|\left\|\left(E_{n}^{T} E_{n}\right)^{-1}\right\|\left\|_{S} \cdot\right\| D_{n}^{-1}\| \|_{S} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $J_{\alpha-\beta}(i)>0$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|D_{n}^{-1}\right\| \|_{S} & =\| \| \operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{1}{\left(J_{\alpha-\beta}(1)\right.}, \frac{1}{J_{\alpha-\beta}(2)}, \ldots, \frac{1}{J_{\alpha-\beta}(n)}\right)\| \|_{S} \\
& =\max _{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{1}{J_{\alpha-\beta}(i)}=\frac{1}{\min _{1 \leq i \leq n} J_{\alpha-\beta}(i)}, \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

and similarly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left\|\left(F_{n}^{\beta}\right)^{-1} \mid\right\|_{S}^{2}=\right\|\left\|\operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{1}{1^{\beta}}, \frac{1}{2^{\beta}}, \ldots, \frac{1}{n^{\beta}}\right)\right\| \|_{S}^{2}=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{1}{i^{2 \beta}}=\frac{1}{\min _{1 \leq i \leq n} i^{2 \beta}}=\frac{1}{\min \left\{1, n^{2 \beta}\right\}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the spectral norm of the matrix $\left(E_{n}^{T} E_{n}\right)^{-1}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left\|\left(E_{n}^{T} E_{n}\right)^{-1}\right\|\right\|_{S}=\frac{1}{t_{n}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now by combining equations (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7) with (3.4) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{1}^{n, \alpha, \beta} & =\frac{1}{\left\|\left[\left(F_{n}^{\beta} E_{n} D_{n}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\left(F_{n}^{\beta} E_{n} D_{n}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{T}\right]^{-1}\right\|_{S}} \geq \frac{1}{\left\|\left(F_{n}^{\beta}\right)^{-1}\right\|_{S}^{2} \cdot\left\|\left(E_{n}^{T} E_{n}\right)^{-1}\right\|_{S} \cdot\left\|D_{n}^{-1}\right\|_{S}} \\
& =t_{n} \cdot \min _{1 \leq i \leq n} J_{\alpha-\beta}(i) \cdot \min \left\{1, n^{2 \beta}\right\}, \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

which completes the proof.
Remark 3.2. For $\alpha-\beta \geq 1$ we have $\min _{1 \leq i \leq n} J_{\alpha-\beta}(i)=1$. In addition, if $\beta \geq 0$, then $\min \left\{1, n^{2 \beta}\right\}=$ 1 and we simply have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}^{n, \alpha, \beta} \geq t_{n} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, this holds for the so called power GCD matrix $A_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}$ in which $\beta=0$ and $\alpha>1$ and for the matrix $A_{n}^{1,0}$, which is the usual GCD matrix of the set $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$.

On the other hand, if $\beta<0$, then $\min \left\{1, n^{2 \beta}\right\}=n^{2 \beta}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}^{n, \alpha, \beta} \geq t_{n} \cdot n^{2 \beta} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, when considering the so called reciprocal matrix $A_{n}^{1,-1}$, Theorem 3.1 yields this bound.

Example 3.3. Let $n=6, \alpha=2$ and $\beta=\frac{1}{2}$. Then we have

$$
A_{6}^{2, \frac{1}{2}}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{3} & 2 & \sqrt{5} & \sqrt{6}  \tag{3.11}\\
\sqrt{2} & 4 \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{6} & 8 & \sqrt{10} & 4 \sqrt{6} \\
\sqrt{3} & \sqrt{6} & 9 \sqrt{3} & 2 \sqrt{3} & \sqrt{15} & 9 \sqrt{6} \\
2 & 8 & 2 \sqrt{3} & 32 & 2 \sqrt{5} & 8 \sqrt{3} \\
\sqrt{5} & \sqrt{10} & \sqrt{15} & 2 \sqrt{5} & 25 \sqrt{5} & \sqrt{30} \\
\sqrt{6} & 4 \sqrt{6} & 9 \sqrt{6} & 8 \sqrt{3} & \sqrt{30} & 36 \sqrt{6}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and by Theorem 3.1 and Remark 3.2 we have $\lambda_{1}^{6,2, \frac{1}{2}} \geq t_{6} \approx 0.129425$. Direct calculation shows that in fact $\lambda_{1}^{6,2, \frac{1}{2}} \approx 0.459959$.
Example 3.4. Let $n=5, \alpha=-2$ and $\beta=-3$. This time we have

$$
A_{5}^{-2,-3}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{27} & \frac{1}{64} & \frac{1}{125}  \tag{3.12}\\
\frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{32} & \frac{1}{216} & \frac{1}{256} & \frac{1}{1000} \\
\frac{1}{27} & \frac{1}{216} & \frac{1}{243} & \frac{1}{1728} & \frac{1}{3375} \\
\frac{1}{64} & \frac{1}{256} & \frac{1}{1228} & \frac{1}{1024} & \frac{1}{8000} \\
\frac{1}{125} & \frac{1}{1000} & \frac{1}{3375} & \frac{1}{8000} & \frac{1}{7776}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$\min _{1 \leq i \leq n} J_{1}(i)=1$ and $\min \left\{1,5^{2 \cdot(-3)}\right\}=\frac{1}{15625}$. Thus by Theorem 3.1 we have

$$
\lambda_{1}^{5,-2,-3} \geq t_{5} \cdot 1 \cdot \frac{1}{15625} \approx 1.30797 \cdot 10^{-5}
$$

although a direct calculation gives $\lambda_{1}^{5,-2,-3} \approx 6.45967 \cdot 10^{-5}$.
In Theorem 3.1 we assume that $\alpha>\beta$. Next we are going to prove a more robust theorem which can be used in any circumstances, but as a downside it also gives a bit more broad bounds for the eigenvalues of the matrix $A_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}$.
Theorem 3.5. Every eigenvalue of the matrix $A_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}$ lies in the real interval

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[2 \min \left\{1, n^{\alpha+\beta}\right\}-T_{n} \cdot \max \left\{1, n^{2 \beta}\right\} \cdot \max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left|J_{\alpha-\beta}(i)\right|, T_{n} \cdot \max \left\{1, n^{2 \beta}\right\} \cdot \max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left|J_{\alpha-\beta}(i)\right|\right] \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let the matrices $E_{n}, D_{n}$ and $F_{n}$ be as above. In addition, we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{n}=\left|D_{n}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\sqrt{\left|J_{\alpha-\beta}(1)\right|}, \sqrt{\left|J_{\alpha-\beta}(2)\right|}, \ldots, \sqrt{\left|J_{\alpha-\beta}(n)\right|}\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying Proposition 2.2 we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}=F_{n}^{\beta} E_{n} D_{n} E_{n}^{T} F_{n}^{\beta} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and next we observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0_{n \times n} \leq A_{n}^{\alpha, \beta} \leq F_{n}^{\beta} E_{n}\left|D_{n}\right| E_{n}^{T} F_{n}^{\beta}=F_{n}^{\beta} E_{n} \Lambda_{n} \Lambda_{n}^{T} E_{n}^{T}\left(F_{n}^{\beta}\right)^{T}=\left(F_{n}^{\beta} E_{n} \Lambda_{n}\right)\left(F_{n}^{\beta} E_{n} \Lambda_{n}\right)^{T} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\leq$ is understood componentwise. By Theorem 8.2.12 in [14] we know that now every eigenvalue of $A_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}$ lies in the region

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigcup_{k=1}^{n}\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}| | z-k^{\alpha+\beta} \mid \leq \rho\left(\left(F_{n}^{\beta} E_{n} \Lambda_{n}\right)\left(F_{n}^{\beta} E_{n} \Lambda_{n}\right)^{T}\right)-k^{\alpha+\beta}\right\} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho\left(\left(F_{n}^{\beta} E_{n} \Lambda_{n}\right)\left(F_{n}^{\beta} E_{n} \Lambda_{n}\right)^{T}\right)$ is the spectral radius of the matrix $\left(F_{n}^{\beta} E_{n} \Lambda_{n}\right)\left(F_{n}^{\beta} E_{n} \Lambda_{n}\right)^{T}$. Since the matrix $\left(F_{n}^{\beta} E_{n} \Lambda_{n}\right)\left(F_{n}^{\beta} E_{n} \Lambda_{n}\right)^{T}$ is clearly positive semidefinite, we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\rho\left(\left(F_{n}^{\beta} E_{n} \Lambda_{n}\right)\left(F_{n}^{\beta} E_{n} \Lambda_{n}\right)^{T}\right)=\| \|\left(F_{n}^{\beta} E_{n} \Lambda_{n}\right)\left(F_{n}^{\beta} E_{n} \Lambda_{n}\right)^{T}\left|\left\|_{S} \leq\right\|\right| F^{\beta}\left|\| _ { S } ^ { 2 } \cdot \| \| E _ { n } ^ { T } E _ { n } \| \left\|_ { S } \cdot \left|\left\|\Lambda_{n} \Lambda_{n}^{T} \mid\right\|_{S}\right.\right.\right. \\
=T_{n} \cdot \max _{1 \leq i \leq n} i^{2 \beta} \cdot \max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left|J_{\alpha-\beta}(i)\right|=T_{n} \cdot \max \left\{1, n^{2 \beta}\right\} \cdot \max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left|J_{\alpha-\beta}(i)\right| . \tag{3.18}
\end{array}
$$

The matrix $A_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}$ is real and symmetric which means that all its eigenvalues are real. So we have proven that every eigenvalue of $A_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}$ lies in the region

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigcup_{k=1}^{n}\left\{z \in \mathbb{R}| | z-k^{\alpha+\beta}\left|\leq T_{n} \cdot \max \left\{1, n^{2 \beta}\right\} \cdot \max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\right| J_{\alpha-\beta}(i) \mid-k^{\alpha+\beta}\right\} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

By removing the absolute value function we obtain that every eigenvalue of $A_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}$ lies in the real interval

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigcup_{k=1}^{n}\left[2 k^{\alpha+\beta}-T_{n} \cdot \max \left\{1, n^{2 \beta}\right\} \cdot \max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left|J_{\alpha-\beta}(i)\right|, T_{n} \cdot \max \left\{1, n^{2 \beta}\right\} \cdot \max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left|J_{\alpha-\beta}(i)\right|\right] \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is obvious that the minimum of the lower bounds of these intervals is obtained in the case when the term $2 k^{\alpha+\beta}$ is smallest. Since the sequence $\left(k^{\alpha+\beta}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is monotone, the smallest value is obtained either when $k=1$ or when $k=n$. Thus either the first or the last interval contains all the other intervals, which means that the union of the $n$ intervals is the interval

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[2 \min \left\{1, n^{\alpha+\beta}\right\}-T_{n} \cdot \max \left\{1, n^{2 \beta}\right\} \cdot \max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left|J_{\alpha-\beta}(i)\right|, T_{n} \cdot \max \left\{1, n^{2 \beta}\right\} \cdot \max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left|J_{\alpha-\beta}(i)\right|\right] \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we have proven the claim.
Remark 3.6. Theorem 3.5 is not very useful in case when $\beta>0$, since in this case the term $\max \left\{1, n^{2 \beta}\right\}$ often becomes large.

Example 3.7. Let $n=4, \alpha=-1$ and $\beta=-1$. Then we obtain

$$
A_{4}^{-1,-1}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{4}  \tag{3.22}\\
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{8} \\
\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{9} & \frac{1}{12} \\
\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{12} & \frac{1}{16}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Now $\min \left\{1,4^{(-1)+(-1)}\right\}=\frac{1}{16}, \max \left\{1,4^{2 \cdot(-1)}\right\}=1, \max _{1 \leq i \leq 4}\left|J_{0}(i)\right|=\left|J_{0}(1)\right|=1$ and thus by Theorem 3.5 we know that the eigenvalues of $A_{4}^{-1,-1}$ lie in the interval

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[2 \cdot \frac{1}{16}-T_{4} \cdot 1 \cdot 1, T_{4} \cdot 1 \cdot 1\right] \subset[-5.66,5.79] \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Direct calculation shows that this really is the case, since $A_{4}^{-1,-1}$ has 0 as an eigenvalue of multiplicity 3 and the only nonzero eigenvalue is 1.42361 .

The following corollary is a direct consequece of Theorem 3.5.
Corollary 3.8. If $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of the matrix $A_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\lambda| \leq T_{n} \cdot \max \left\{1, n^{2 \beta}\right\} \cdot \max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left|J_{\alpha-\beta}(i)\right| \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$
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