arXiv:1309.1415v2 [nlin.AO] 29 Jul 2023

Fractal-cluster theory and thermodynamic principles of the control and analysis for the self-organizing systems

V.T. Volov *

August 7, 2023

Abstract

The theory of resource distribution in self-organizing systems on the basis of the fractal-cluster method has been presented. In turn, the fractal-cluster method is based on the fractal-cluster relations of V.P. Burdakov and the analytical apparatus of the thermodynamics of I. Prigozhin's structure. This theory consists of two parts: deterministic and probabilistic. The first part includes the static and dynamic criteria, the fractal-cluster dynamic equations which are based on the Fibonacci's range characteristics fractal-cluster correlations. The second part includes fundamentals of the probabilistic theory of a fractal-cluster systems. This part includes the dynamic equations of the probabilistic evolution of these systems. By using the numerical researches of these equations for the stationary case the random state field of the one in the phase space of the D, H, F criteria have been obtained. For the socio-economical and biological systems this theory has been tested. In particular, three fundamental fractal-cluster laws have been obtained for biological organisms: probabilistic, energetic and evolutionary.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Features of identification of the term "organism" in the fractal-cluster approach to biology and socio-economic researches **6**

3 Criteria of the fractal-cluster theory **9**

4 Analysis of the static and dynamic stability for organism's evolution **15**

5 Deterministic fractal-cluster theory **17**

5.1 Fractal-cluster dynamic equations for the resource allocation in the self-organizind system 18

5.2 Entropic-cluster method of analysis and management for self-organizing systems 20

*Department of Natural Sciences, Samara State University of Railway Transport, Samara, Russia, e-mail: volovvt@mail.ru

6 Foundations of probabilistic fractal-cluster theory 26

7 Applications of the fractal-cluster theory for the resource distribution analysis and controlling in the socio-economical and biological systems 30
7.1 Economic systems 30
7.2 Biological organisms 35

8 Conclusions 40

1 Introduction

The application of natural science and mathematical methods in biological and socio-economical systems science has a long history. Beginning with the XVI-XVII centuries there was an active introduction of analytical tools of physics and thermodynamics in almost all sections of biological science, which subsequently led to the birth of biophysics and biological thermodynamics. The fundamental works that laid the foundations for the main directions in the development of the synthesis of two sciences – biology and physics – are the classical studies of the famous natural scientists W. Harvey, G. A. Borelli, L. Euler, M. Lomonosov, A. L. de Lavoisier, L. Galvani, A. Volta, J. Banks, J. Bernstein, H. Helmholtz and others. The XX century was marked by a further process of convergence of biology with phenomenological thermodynamics, which is associated with the names of such famous scientists as W. Nernst, T. Hill, A. Hodgkin, A. Huxley, J. Eccles and many others. The end of the XX century and the beginning of the XXI century were characterized by the next convergence of biology with the nonequilibrium thermodynamics by I. Prigogine [1, 2]. In the 21st century, this process continued in the studies of various groups and schools, among which we would like to highlight the works of A. I. Zotin, A. Alimov, T. Kazantseva, A. A. Zotin, von Stockar and others [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14], as they overlap to some extent with our research.

During the last few decades the mathematical modeling methods of physical systems have been actively used for the description of the different systems of non-physical nature, for example, socio-economical systems. On the boundary of such scientific areas as statistic physics, random processes theory, nonlinear dynamics on the one hand and macro-and microeconomics on the other hand there has been created a new interdisciplinary scientific area which has received the name "econophysics" [1]. The term "econophysics" had been suggested by an American physicist, H. Stanley, in 1995 for integration of numeral researches which used typical physical methods for the solution of social and economic tests [2].

Obviously the first attempt of the physical method modeling using the economic processes we can assume a research of the French mathemation L. Bacheliar [15]. L. Bacheliar made an attempt to descute the financial range dynamics by using an analogy with random physical processus – Brownian movement. Later in 1965 B. Mandelbrot revealed that financial range dynamics is absolutely the same for small and large time dimensions [16]. This quality B. Mandelbrot named "self-similarity" and objects have the same quality – "a fractal". Physics models which have been applied in sociology and economics include the kinetic theory of gas, ferromagnetics, percolation models, chaotic dynamics models and

other. Moreover, there have been attempts to use the mathematical theory of complexity and information theory. Since socio-economic phenomena are the result of the interaction among many heterogeneous objects, there is an analogy with statistical mechanics and thermodynamics. There are also attempts to use the physical ideas from such areas as fluid dynamics, classical and quantum mechanics and the path integral formulation of statistical mechanics.

A special place among numerous researches devoted to the study of biological systems and organisms on the basis of natural and mathematical tools is occupied by the cycle of works connected with the application of fractal geometry in this field [17]. For example, Burdakov's study may be related to these researches [18]. A brief historical excursion in the creation and development of the fractal-cluster approach for the study of biological organisms and socio-economical systems consists in the following. In the 60's of the previous century V. P. Burdakov was a young employee of a famous firm "Energy" – flagship of Russian cosmonautics. The general director, the academician S. Korolev, proposed to his young employee the problem to determine the optimal ratio of rocket resources related to its energy, transport, security, technology and control units. For the statistic analysis of complex self-organizing systems (SOS) V. B. Burdakov used extensive parameters (mass, volume, time, financial resource) instead of intensive thermodynamic parameters (temperature, pressure). First of all he analyzed the best Russian and American rockets which were independently created by a number of scientists and engineers from different countries. Of course, rockets are the most complex technical systems which can be considered as self-organizing systems in the "man–technical system" class. The shares of resource (mass) of a rocket which refer to energy, transport, security, technology and control subsystems were determined. Later, when analysing organismal systems, the security and control subsystems were renamed into the ecology and information subsystems. These shares in the best Russian and American rockets turned out to be approximately equal. Besides, the corresponding resource distributions of various technical systems (the "man–technical system" class) were also studied. The next step of his investigation was the study of biological organisms which were created by nature as a result of evolution. He determined the relations of the mass shares of organisms (39 organism species starting with Chlamydomonas and ending with whales) with their energy, transport, ecology, technology and information needs. These basic needs have been identified as corresponding clusters. The proportion of the organism's resources corresponding to the technology cluster in the application to any biological organism should be interpreted as the share of the organism 's resources that allows performing the functions of the organism aimed at realizing its energy and protective needs. For biological organisms, the term "technology cluster" can be renamed to "transformation cluster".

We will number the basic needs with an index i (the values $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ correspond to energy, transport, ecology, technology and information needs, respectively). We will also denote by C_i the value of any extensive parameter (mass, time, etc.) that belongs to the cluster (basic need) with the number i. The C_i unit is the same as that of its extensive parameter (mass, time, etc.). The $\bar{C}_i$ values (with a bar) are normalized and are measured in fractions (or percentages) of the extensive parameter for the whole organism. Statistical analysis in biological organisms allowed us to obtain the ideal distribution in clusters. The corresponding reference (ideal) values $\bar{C}_i$ are determined in fractions of total resource 38% (0.38 ± 0.06), 27% (0.27 ± 0.05), 16% (0.16 ± 0.04), 13% (0.13 ± 0.02), 6% (0.06 ± 0.01) for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ respectively, that is they are dimensionless quantities. In the context

of the study of a biological organism, the mass of the organism is taken as an extensive parameter of 100%, and the corresponding fractions of the organism mass are 0.38, 0.27, 0.16, 0.13 and 0.06 and correspond to the amount of resources in the energy, transport, ecology, technology and information systems of the organism. The sum of the five clusters expressed in units of the system's resources, representing any extensive parameter (mass, time, etc.), is a constant value (100%) at a certain interval of the organism life.

For a number of systems belonging to the class of organism (this term will be explained in the next chapter in details), each cluster can be a functioning subsystem in which basic needs can also be identified, similar to those that can be identified in the organism. However, the cluster of an organism cannot be considered as a separate organism, since the cluster cannot function separately from the organism without violating its integrity. We can divide each cluster into five subsystems - subclusters, each of which has its own basic function, coinciding with one of the basic needs of the entire system. For example, the resource in the energy cluster can be shared for supporting its own energy, transport, ecology, technology and information subclusters. It can be expected that such a partition can be extended to subclusters of the n-th level. However, for real systems it is very problematic to identify subclusters of levels greater than $n = 2$ because it requires a separate study. For biological organisms, as a rule, two-level clustering ($n = 2$) is sufficient because the number of hierarchy levels in real systems is always finite and, as a rule, in real analysis, the number of levels that can be identified does not exceed $n = 3$. Namely, each of the subclusters of a given level can be considered as the union of the five higher-level subclusters. Such systems are called the fractal-cluster (FC) systems (see [18, 19, 20, 21]). Thus, the space of the resource distribution for the same systems has a hierarchical structure which can be described with a hierarchical tree with a fixed number of branches $p = 5$ [22] (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2). Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 represent an illustration of the resource distribution of an organism at one (Fig. 1) and six levels (Fig. 2) of the hierarchy of resource distribution in the 5^n-dimensional FC space. A visual illustration of a hypothetical 6-level fractal-cluster system is shown in Fig. 2. Here we have a tree graph with 6 hierarchy levels and with vertices denoted by circles, each circle being a cluster (or subcluster) of the corresponding hierarchy level. Fig. 2 corresponds to the idealized case and is a tree graph, with 6 hierarchy levels and with vertices denoted by circles, each circle being a cluster of the corresponding hierarchy level.




Figure 1: Fractal-cluster structuring of a complex system.




Figure 2: Schematic of the SOS's resource allocation for a hypothetical the 6-level fractal-cluster system. The figure is a tree graph with the 6 hierarchical levels and circled vertices, each circle being a cluster of the corresponding hierarchy level.

It should be emphasized that the fractal-cluster description of an organism exclusively refers to the distribution of its resource according to its basic needs.

The closest values of clusters to their ideal values were obtained for young men under 25 years of age [18]. These ideal (standard) relations among the clusters were named the fractal-cluster relations (FCR). In the fractal-cluster approach, the normal (effective) functioning of an organism is understood as such functioning in which the mathematical expectation of cluster values are close to the ideal (reference) values of clusters. For the organism with normal functioning of any nature (biological, social and economical) these ideal values have approximately the same values. Specific mechanisms of the resource distribution in fractal-cluster models have been presented in [22]. A natural question arises – why are exactly the five basic needs involved when defining such a concept as an organism in the context of our approach? These needs (energy, transport, ecology (or security), technology (or transformation) and information (or management) are basic, because without their independent satisfaction (without outside help) the organism cannot exist. From the point of view of the fractal-cluster approach, an organism can be considered any self-organizing system (SOS) in which the five basic needs can be identified that are satisfied by the SOS, independently. However, one can note that the number five also occurs in various areas of the natural sciences, and is also a quantitative measure of the properties of the certain biological systems. For example, it is known that thermodynamics of the stable states has five thermodynamic potentials [23]. Man has five sense organs, five brain rhythms, and in human anatomy the number five is highlighted [24, 25]. When considering flowers, when the number of petals is a multiple of five, there is always an ovary, otherwise there is no ovary. Other examples can be given, but of course this is not any scientific justification for choosing the number five as the number of clusters. In fact, the offered FC approach is qualitatively different from the traditional approach for description of a complex SOS. The offered approach [18] was based on the fractal structure basis – the FC matrix – allowing actively to describe the resource distributions in the SOS. Unfortunately, both theory and mathematical models on the basis of this approach have not been developed. That is why in 1998 professor V. P. Burdakov offered his young colleague (the author of this article) to start developing the fractal-cluster theory.

The little fame of the offered approach in the broader scientific community is explained by the fact that publications did only appear in the Russian language. The aim of this

article is on the one hand getting biological scientists acquainted with the offered FC approach and, on the other hand, exposition of new results obtained in the development of this approach. The possibility of using the FC models for the resource distribution analysis is based on the range researches [18, 19, 20, 21], in which researches of the optimal resource distribution have been presented. These methods are based on the thermodynamic method in its informational interpretation. Now one can show some conformations of validity of the FC structuring for the SOS. These confirmations are the following: 1) the set of experimental biological data analyzed by mathematical statistical methods [18]; 2) the theoretical value of the transport cluster has been obtained by using the Limit energy theorem for gas flow systems [26].

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 features of identification of the term "organism" with the fractal-cluster approach to research in biology and economy are presented. Section 3 is devoted to the FC criteria of the fractal-cluster theory. An analysis of the static and dynamic stability for the "organism" evolution are presented in section 4. The determined fractal-cluster theory is presented in section 5. The stochastic distributions in the FC theory are considered in section 6. An applications of the fractal-cluster theory for the resource distribution controlling in the socio-economical and biological systems are presented in section 7. Discussion and prospects for further research are analyzed in section 8.

2 Features of identification of the term "organism" in the fractal-cluster approach to biology and socio-economic researches

As noted above, in the fractal-cluster approach, the "organism" is understood as a complex SOS in which the five basic needs can be identified: energy, transport, ecology, technology and information needs. At the same time, the SOS (biology organisms, socio-economical systems, systems of the "machine-human" class and etc.) must satisfy its basic needs on its own. These needs are called clusters that are named accordingly (energy cluster etc.). It should be noted that such names of clusters have a historical imprint, and this is due to the fact that the initial classification of resources was not for biological organisms, but in technological systems. As a rule, we will use the same names for clusters of biological systems. The technology cluster can also be called a transformation cluster. Note also that there are much more needs for the self-organizing systems, but all of them can be "packaged" in the hierarchical fractal structure, with the self-similar subclusters of n-levels. As a resource, any extensive parameter of an organism (mass, time, volume, etc.) is used. From the point of view of the fractal-cluster approach, any complex system in which it is impossible to distinguish the five basic needs is not an organism, and the announced approach cannot be applied to it. It must be emphasized that resource distribution in the FC systems is a nontrivial task which requires special skills and study. As an additional explanation for the fractal-cluster distribution of resources, for example, when choosing the organism mass as an extensive parameter, a separate organism's structure can perform several functions, and therefore belong to different clusters.

As an example of the most developed self-organizing system among the biological systems can be considered the human organism. For this system as the "resource" we can

choose a share of time on a certain time scale (for example, a period of 24 hours) needed for satisfying the corresponding five basic needs. Bearing it in mind, a time share spent on satisfaction of energy needs (sleep, food intake etc.) is included in the energy cluster. The transport cluster consists of a share of time spent on transfer. A share of time spent on rest, wellness treatments etc. is included in the ecology cluster. Working time represents the share of resources going to the technology cluster. The information cluster includes the time spent on obtaining new knowledge and skills. We have to emphasize that for healthy individual (18 – 64 years old) according to the National Sleep Foundation [27] sleep time is 7 – 9 hours . Based on statistical data, it was shown in [28] that the meal time (without talking) should not be less than 20 minutes, that is, with three meals a day, it is one hour. Thus, the ratio of sleep and meal time to 24 hours is close to the value of the ideal value of the energy cluster ($8/24 \approx 0.38$), etc.

According to the fractal-cluster approach all movements should take approximately 6.5 hours, rest takes 3.5 hours, technology or activity is about 3 hours, effective receipt and processing of information take about one and half hour. These assessments are correlated with World Health Organization guidelines [29]. If you use the organism's weight (of a young person) as an extensive parameter, then, for example, the transport cluster is a ratio of the weight of the legs and part of the muscle apparatus to the weight of the entire body. The ratio will be close on average to 0.27, i.e. it is approximately equal to the ideal value of the transport cluster [18, 19, 20, 21]. The technology cluster is a ratio of the weight of the arms and part of the muscle apparatus to the weight of the entire body will be close to the ideal value of the technology cluster 0.13 [18, 19, 20, 21]. This ratio of energy cluster including the respiratory, digestive, fat and circulatory systems will be close on average to 0.38 [18, 19, 20, 21]. The information cluster ratio which includes brain, sexual system and nervous system will be close on average to 0.06 [18, 19, 20, 21]. It should be noted that prolonged significant deviation of resource values in clusters from their ideal (reference) values leads to disturbance of the organism's work accompanying various pathologies [18, 19, 20, 21]. The necessary and sufficient condition of definition of the term "organism" on the basis of the fractal-cluster approach should be highlighted. The necessary condition is the presence in a complex system of the five above mentioned basic needs. A sufficient condition is the ability of the "organism" itself to satisfy its basic needs. Thus, for example, from the point of view of fractal-cluster theory a baby, despite the presence of five basic needs, is not an organism, because without the mother's help, the one cannot move, receive food, etc. The definition of the organism in the fractal-cluster approach is qualitatively different from the generally accepted one in biology and biophysics. For example, classical factors of determining an organism [30]: 1) cell structure, 2) reproduction, 3) growth and development, 4) energy utilization, 5) response to the environment, 6) homeostasis, 7) evolutionary adaptation determines mainly external characteristics and results of life activity of an organism interacting with an external environment. The fractal-cluster approach in the study of biological organisms determines the distribution of the resource within an organism according to its basic needs (clusters) and it does not determine the growth of an organism. However, the growth factor of an organism is related to changes in the total resource of the organism. To overcome this, the resource change curve is divided into a number of sections in which the change in the organism's resource can be neglected (quasi-homeostasis). In this case, at each such stage of development of the organism, the criterial apparatus of the FC theory is applicable. This approach is similar to the classical thermodynamic method, when the nonequilibrium process is

replaced by a series of equilibrium processes when the initial and the final states of the process coincide. The energy utilization factor 4) undoubtedly correlates with the energy cluster of the fractal-cluster approach to organism studies. Factors 5) – 7) correlate with clusters of ecology (safety), information (controlling) and technology (transformation). Environmental impacts, such as lack of food, or internal causes (an organism's disease) lead to a change in the distribution of resources in the corresponding clusters. The organism, having received information about the imbalance of resources (information cluster) carries out transformation of resources (technology cluster), which in turn leads to a state of sustainable equilibrium with an environment, i.e homeostasis. The homeostasis factor correlates with oscillations of clusters and fractal-cluster values near their standard (reference) values. A cellularly ordered structure in a certain sense corresponds with the fractal-cluster hierarchical structure of the organism's resource representation. Thus, it is possible to summarize that, despite the difference from the classical organism's definition, the introduced concept of the organism at the fractal-cluster approach does not contradict the classical representation of an organism in biology, on the one hand, and allows us to develop a new toolkit for the study of organism development on the other hand (Table 1).

Table 1: Basic characteristics of biological organisms when modeled by traditional methods and tools of the fractal-cluster theory

	Traditional description of biological organisms	FC description of biological organisms
Complex organisms, open system	Yes	Yes
Biochemical, biophysical machines	Yes	Do not contradict
Reacts as a whole to external influences	Yes	Yes
Hierarchy of organisms	Yes	Yes, except for the level
Reproduction	Yes	Does not contradict
Transmission of hereditary information	Yes	No
Variability	Yes	Does not contradict
Individual development	Yes	Yes
Evolution of development	Yes	Yes
Rhythmicity	Yes	Yes
The possibility of studying FC tools	No	Yes
Identification of the organism as self-organizing system independently satisfying basic needs	No	Yes

3 Criteria of the fractal-cluster theory

This section presents the FC criteria of resource distribution for organisms based on the synthesis of the FCR and nonequilibrium thermodynamics. This resource research of organisms is based on the FC approach, and shows that the researched object in question is not decomposed, but is a "black box", which corresponds to the principles and methodology of thermodynamics. In the physical space the real object has the resources X_i, necessary for its functioning, and the results of its activities. While transferring the external variables from the physical space to the 5^n–dimensional FC space, the decomposition and classification of information about the object resources have been accomplished, i.e., the FC structurization of the information about necessary resources for organisms (energy, transport, ecology, technology and information support services). As shown in [19, 20, 21] thermodynamic laws and theorems make it possible to analyze the stability and the resourse allocation efficiency of organisms, without additional empirical information.

The FC criteria of an organism are defined in a nontrivial way with the construction of

the fractal-cluster model. In the FC space, the cluster values $\{C_i\}$ and n level subclusters values $\{C_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n}\}$ for any n are a positive numbers. As defined above unit is the same as the extensive parameter (mass, time, etc.), see Sec. 1. Further it is convenient to enter the normalized values of the resources in the clusters:

$$\overline{C}_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} = \frac{C_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n}}{C_\Sigma},\ C_\Sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{5} C_i.$$

The values $\overline{C}_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n}$ form a n-dimensional matrix, which we will call the resource allocation matrix or the fractal-cluster matrix (FCM). The permissible clusters value scope is defined as follows

$$0 < \overline{C}_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} < a_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} \text{ for all } n \geq 1, \tag{1}$$

where $0 < a_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} < 1$ and conservation law holds:

$$\sum_{i_1=1}^{5} \sum_{i_2=1}^{5} \cdots \sum_{i_n=1}^{5} \overline{C}_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} = 1. \tag{2}$$

Equations (1) determine the range of changes in the value of clusters and subclusters of the organism [18, 19, 20, 21, 31]: their positivity ($\overline{C}_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} > 0$), and their limitedness ($\overline{C}_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} < a_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n}$, where $a_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} < 1$) for all n. The equation (2) determines the law of conservation of the organism's resource at a given stage of the organism's development. The Section 6 presents an algorithm for determining the coefficients $a_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n}$, based on possible values of cluster combinations and the conservation law (2).
The FC criteria are based on:

1) the axiom of the FCR universality (the organism's five-cluster structuring of the resource needs);

2) the assumption that values of resources in clusters cannot take zero values.

Initially, the FC approach which has been proposed in [18] was based on intuitive concepts and analogies and then on hard concepts [19]. In connection with the above, it is logical to formulate a criterion for the efficiency of the FC matrix on the fundamental principles and methods of thermodynamics of stable states.

One of the most important quantities in the FC theory is the FC entropy, which is an energy function of resource distribution. The formal definition of this function is as follows. To determine the FC entropy S for a general n-level system, we will impose the following requirements on it [32]:

1) additivity;

2) positive definiteness;

3) finiteness.

In addition, we will require that:

4) the FC entropy depends only on the resource values in the energy cluster as well as the resource values in the higher level energy subclusters of all non-energy clusters.

Requirement 1) is satisfied if S is a linear homogeneous function of resource values in the energy cluster and in the higher level energy subclusters of non-energy clusters. Then requirements 2) and 3) are satisfied if a simple sum of resource values is chosen as such a

linear function. Given requirement 4), this leads to the following expression for S:

$$S = C_1 + \sum_{i_1=2}^{5} \left(\sum_{i_2=1}^{5} \dots \sum_{i_{n-1}=1}^{5} C_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{n-1} 1} \right).$$

Because S is finite, it is convenient to work with the normalized entropy H instead, which is S divided by the value of the total resource C_Σ:

$$H = \frac{S}{C_\Sigma} = \overline{C}_1 + \sum_{i_1=2}^{5} \left(\sum_{i_2=1}^{5} \dots \sum_{i_{n-1}=1}^{5} \overline{C}_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{n-1} 1} \right), \tag{3}$$

We will call this value the normalized n-level fractal-cluster entropy and denote also by H_n. The proposed expression for FC entropy has the following meaning: it is the proportion of the organism's resources used to satisfy all its energy needs in all clusters.

It is necessary to clarify that the proposed mathematical measure is a fractal-cluster entropy differs significantly from the usual forms of entropy recording, which have a logarithmic deterministic or probabilistic form. However, the basic characteristics of fractal cluster entropy completely correspond to the properties of the construction of this function [32]. An example of the use in physics of a non-logarithmic form of entropy is the entropy of black holes introduced by J.D. Bekenstein, which is proportional to the area of their event horizon [33].

Let us consider the matrix of FC ideal states (two-dimensional case $n = 2$, Table 2). The first column numbers the rows of the matrix. In this case, the line numbers $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ correspond to the numbers of the clusters (1 – energy, 2 – transport, 3 – ecology, 4 – technology, 5 – information). The second column of the i-th row contains the ideal values of these clusters. Each cluster is divided into subclusters, and the 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 columns of the i-th row contain the ideal values of the subclusters of the i-th cluster. The sum of the values of the subclusters of the first row and the first column of the resources of the ideal matrix give quantitative information about the total share of the organism's energy resources, which is equal to 0.615. This number is very close to the so-called "Golden Ratio" 0.618, known from numerous publications as the basis of beauty and harmony of both natural and anthropogenic phenomena [35, 36, 34, 37].

Table 2: Table of the ideal cluster values.

j	$\overline{C}_j^{ideal}$	$\overline{C}_{1j}^{ideal}$	$\overline{C}_{2j}^{ideal}$	$\overline{C}_{3j}^{ideal}$	$\overline{C}_{4j}^{ideal}$	$\overline{C}_{5j}^{ideal}$
1	0.38	0.144	0.1026	0.0608	0.0494	0.0228
2	0.27	0.1026	0.0729	0.0432	0.0351	0.0162
3	0.16	0.0608	0.0432	0.0256	0.0208	0.096
4	0.13	0.0494	0.0351	0.208	0.0169	0.078
5	0.06	0.0228	0.0169	0.096	0.078	0.0036

The ideal FCM is symmetric for the reference values. The elements of the matrix are $\overline{C}_{ij}^{ideal} = \overline{C}_i^{ideal} \overline{C}_j^{ideal}$. At the same time $\sum_{j=1}^{5} \overline{C}_{ij}^{ideal} = \overline{C}_i^{ideal}$. The total share of the organism's energy resources being the major determinant of the organism's functioning effectiveness is determind by the following formula:

$$\overline{C}_{\Sigma}^{energy} = \sum_{j=1}^{5} \overline{C}_{1j}^{ideal} + \sum_{i=2}^{5} \overline{C}_{i1}^{ideal} = \overline{C}_{1}^{ideal} + \overline{C}_{1}^{ideal} \sum_{i=2}^{5} \overline{C}_{i}^{ideal} =$$

$$= \overline{C}_{1}^{ideal} + \overline{C}_{1}^{ideal} \left(1 - \overline{C}_{1}^{ideal}\right) = 2\overline{C}_{1}^{ideal} - \left(\overline{C}_{1}^{ideal}\right)^{2} \equiv H_0 \approx 0.615. \tag{4}$$

The expression (4) is nothing but the entropy of a 2-level FCM with an ideal distribution of resources.This formula presents resource's distribution of an organism obtained in [18, 21]. For the nonideal distribution of the organism resources the fractal-cluster entropy for the two-dimentional FCM has the following form:

$$H_2 \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{5} \overline{C}_{1j} + \sum_{i=2}^{5} \overline{C}_{i1} = \overline{C}_{1} + \overline{C}_{1} \sum_{i=2}^{5} \overline{C}_{i}. \tag{5}$$

The relationship among the FCM elements for the ideal and nonideal cases and the fractal-cluster entropy H_2 noted above allows us to find a solution of the FCM for the purpose of optimal evolution $\overline{C}_{1j} = \overline{C}_{1j}(t)$ $(j = 1, \ldots, 5)$ and $\overline{C}_{i1} = \overline{C}_{i1}(t)$ $(i = 2, \ldots, 5)$ from the nonideal state of the organism (a nonideal FCM) to the perfect condition – (an ideal FCM), so that, the sum of the FCM elements of the first column and the first row (4) goes into their ideal value, that is, the "Golden Ratio" entropy value is achieved: $H_2\left(\left\{\overline{C}_{ij}(t)\right\}\right) \to H_0$.

The above proposed criterion of the FC entropy H (3) can be attributed to the static criteria. In addition, the criteria of full effectiveness η^{Σ}, proposed in [18], can be treated as the static criteria of organism's full effectiveness. The full effectiveness η^{Σ} of an organism defined as the minimum ratio $\dfrac{C_i}{C_i^{ideal}}$ so named Libih's barrel [18]:

$$\eta^{\Sigma} = \min_{i} \left(\frac{C_i}{C_i^{ideal}}\right). \tag{6}$$

Equation (6) is a criterion for the efficiency of the life of an organism, introduced in [18].

To estimate the maximum work done by the system, we used the thermodynamic potential of the system F [19], defined as follows

$$F = U - TS,$$

where U is the internal energy of the system, T is the temperature, and S is the thermodynamic entropy. As it is known this potential is called the free energy. This potential characterizes the maximum possible work that the organism can perform.

An analogue of the potential F (Gibbs potential) in the fractal-cluster models [19, 21] is the free fractal-cluster energy of the organism defined by the following expression

$$F = C_1 - H. \tag{7}$$

But these criteria $\left(H,\ \eta^{\Sigma},\ F\right)$ are not sensitive enough that is, with small changes in clusters, small changes in the criteria take place. This fact does not allow predicting in advance the crisis tendencies of the functioning of the organism.

To determine a highly sensitive criterion of the organism's resource distribution, Hausdorff's approach was used. In contrast to the purely fractal structures, the FC n-dimensional matrix substantially differs from the geometrical fractal structures, as the

quantitative distribution in subclusters of any level may differ from the ideal distribution and, thus, the organism quality changes. Therefore, the following algorithm to determine the highly sensitive criterion of the FC effectiveness has been proposed in [21]. The FC criterion of the resource distribution effectiveness is determined with the formula

$$D = \frac{\log \sum\limits_{i_1=1}^{5} \sum\limits_{i_2=1}^{5} \cdots \sum\limits_{i_n=1}^{5} \delta^{\star}_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n}}{\log N}, \tag{8}$$

where N is a total number of clusters and subclusters and values $\delta^{\star}_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n}$are calculated with the relations

$$\delta^{\star}_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} = 1 - \frac{\left| \overline{C}_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} - \overline{C}^{ideal}_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} \right|}{\overline{C}^{ideal}_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n}}, \tag{9}$$

where $\overline{C}^{ideal}_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} = \overline{C}^{ideal}_{i_1} \overline{C}^{ideal}_{i_2} \cdots \overline{C}^{ideal}_{i_n}$.

There were the following reasons for definition of the D-criterion presented in [21]: since the resource space is fractal, then, by analogy with fractal geometry, where the dimension of the space is determined by the D – Hausdorff dimension criterion, it was logical to introduce the corresponding criterion, where the resource metric was introduced instead of the geometric metric (deviation of the values of resources in the hierarchical structure of the fractal-cluster matrix of the organism from their reference values). The D-criterion is qualitatively different from the Hausdorff dimension criterion: The D-criterion, in contrast to the Hausdorff dimension D, can take not only integer and fractional values, but also negative values. In addition, the D-criterion obeys the resource conservation law (2). An approbation of the D-criterion showed its high sensitivity compared to the FC entropy H and the D-criterion. The efficiency of resource allocation (the D-criterion) of an organism is understood as a deterministic measure of the deviation of the values of its clusters and subclusters from their reference values.

A mixed FC criterion of organisms was presented in [21], as follows

$$\chi = \frac{H \cdot D \cdot \eta^{\Sigma}}{H_0 \cdot D^{max}}. \tag{10}$$

Unlike the entropy H, full effectiveness η^{Σ}, the free energy F, D-criterion and χ are a very sensitive indicator of varying the FCM values (Fig. 3). The area outside the boundary (hatched area) is a nonfunctional state of the organism. Fig. 3a shows that in the sector of negative values of the D and χ criteria, at the boundary of the system, we have the destruction of the space continuity where the FCM parameters can vary. This phenomenon can be interpreted as the boundary where irreversible damage of the organism functioning appears. This effect of destruction of the FC structure continuity can be interpreted as a phenomenon of the FC percolation (Fig. 3 and Fig. 4). Fig. 4 shows an example of the sufficient and necessary conditions of the resource distribution effectiveness. From these figures it is clear that the point H_0 on the entropy trend (on the left Fig. 4 a) is the unstable state of the FC resource distribution of the organism and the point H_0 on the entropy trend (on the left Fig. 4 b) is the stable state of the one.




Figure 3: The range of possible values of the D, χ and FC entropy H for cluster $\overline{C}_1$. The range possiable states of organisms corresponds to equation (1). The vertical axes represent values of the D-criterion, the mixed χ-criterion, and the FC entropy H, respectively. The horizontal axes represent values of the energy cluster $\overline{C}_1$ as a percentage.




Figure 4: Changing of the FC criteria in time: a negative transformation of the SOS (a); a positive state and transformation of the SOS (b). The vertical axes represent values of the FC entropy H and D-criterion, respectively. The horizontal axis represents the time.

4 Analysis of the static and dynamic stability for organism's evolution

The stability analysis of the biological species' evolution can be investigated on the basis of the fractal-cluster entropy. For this purpose, it is necessary to use the apparatus of I. Prigogine's thermodynamics of structure [1, 2] and nonlinear nonequilibrium fluctuation-dissipation thermodynamics [38] – the minimum entropy production theorem for the states close to the equilibrium state. For the states far from equilibrium, a quadratic oscillating form – a criterion for the production of excess entropy – is used.

We consider clusters $\left\{\overline{C}_i\right\}$ and subclusters $\left\{\overline{C}_{ij}\right\}$, components of the organism, as random internal parameters $C_i(t)$, $C_{ij}(t)$ changing in a fluctuational manner. If the organism is isolated, the 2-level FC entropy $H_2 = H_2\left(\left\{\overline{C}_{ij}\right\}\right)$ does not decrease with time. However, as shown in [38], micro disturbance of the Second Law of thermodynamics for organisms cannot exceed the value of k (k is Boltzmann's constant). This fact allows us to obtain an assesment of the fluctuational component for the fractal-cluster entropy $H_2\left(\left\{\overline{C}_{ij}\right\}\right)$:

$$\sqrt{\left(\delta H_2\left(\overline{C}_{ij}(t)\right)\right)^2} < k. \tag{11}$$

Let us divide the evolution time into N ($N \gg 1$) identical intervals Δt. By doing subcluster values averaging on each intervals

$$\left\langle \overline{C}_{ij} \right\rangle (t) \equiv \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_0^{\Delta t} \overline{C}_{ij}(t+t')\, dt' \tag{12}$$

we go to a new time variable τ:

$$\tau(t) = \left[\frac{t}{\Delta t}\right] \Delta t,$$

where the symbol $[\cdots]$ denotes integer part. Formulas (11) and (12) refer to the estimates of entropy fluctuations, according to [38] and the averaging of the cluster values over a certain time interval. The FC entropy $H_2 = H_2(\tau(t))$ becomes a step function of t and in the case of asymmetric FCMs has the following view:

$$H_2(\tau(t)) = \left\langle \overline{C}_1 \right\rangle + \sum_{j=2}^{5} \left\langle \overline{C}_{1j} \right\rangle. \tag{13}$$

The formula (13) refers to the determination of the relationships between clusters and subclusters of a two-dimensional symmetric FCM.

It is assumed that the time interval Δt is much less than evolution time T from the initial state $\left\{\overline{C}_{ij}^0\right\}$ to the final (ideal) organism's state $\left\{\overline{C}_{ij}\right\}^{fin(ideal)}$

$$\Delta t \ll T,\ \left\langle \overline{C}_{ij}^0 \right\rangle \xrightarrow{T} \left\langle \overline{C}_{ij} \right\rangle^{fin(ideal)}. \tag{14}$$

In the symmetric case, subclusters $\left\langle \overline{C}_{ij} \right\rangle$ are determined with the relations

$$\left\langle \overline{C}_{ij} \right\rangle = \left\langle \overline{C}_{ji} \right\rangle \text{ and } \left\langle \overline{C}_{ij} \right\rangle = \left\langle \overline{C}_i \right\rangle \cdot \left\langle \overline{C}_j \right\rangle, \text{ i.e. } \left\langle \overline{C}_{ii} \right\rangle = \left\langle \overline{C}_i \right\rangle^2. \tag{15}$$

The FC entropy in this case is

$$H_2 = 2\left\langle \overline{C}_1 \right\rangle - \left\langle \overline{C}_1 \right\rangle^2. \tag{16}$$

In accordance with the criterion of thermodynamic stability [2] the second differential of the FC entropy H_2 for the symmetric case is defined the following way

$$\delta^2 H_2 = \frac{\partial^2 H_2}{\partial \left\langle \overline{C}_1 \right\rangle^2} \left(\delta \left\langle \overline{C}_1 \right\rangle\right)^2 = -2\left(\delta \left\langle \overline{C}_1 \right\rangle\right)^2 \leq 0. \tag{17}$$

This formula is a special case of formula (18) for a symmetric FCM (see below).

Thus, for the states close to thermodynamic equilibrium for the symmetric FCM, the second differential of the entropy $\delta^2 H_2$ is negative, i.e., the organism is stable. The loss of stability for the symmetric FCM is realized only when $\delta \left\langle \overline{C}_1 \right\rangle = 0$, that is, in the complete absence of fluctuations in energy cluster $\left\langle \overline{C}_1 \right\rangle$.

In all other cases of the symmetrical FCM in the states close to the branch of the thermodynamic equilibrium the stability criterion is satisfied: $\delta^2 H_2 < 0$.

In the case of the asymmetrical FCM the second differential of the FC entropy has the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta^2 H_2\left(\left\langle \overline{C}_1 \right\rangle, \left\langle \overline{C}_{21} \right\rangle, \left\langle \overline{C}_{31} \right\rangle, \left\langle \overline{C}_{41} \right\rangle, \left\langle \overline{C}_{51} \right\rangle\right) = \\
&= \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \left\langle \overline{C}_1 \right\rangle^2} \left(\delta \overline{C}_1\right)^2 + \sum_{j=2}^{5} \frac{\partial^2 H_2}{\partial \overline{C}_{j1}^2} \left(\delta \left\langle \overline{C}_{j1} \right\rangle\right)^2 + \\
&+2\frac{\partial}{\partial \left\langle \overline{C}_1 \right\rangle} \sum_{j=2}^{5} \left(\frac{\partial H_2}{\partial \left\langle \overline{C}_{j1} \right\rangle} \cdot \delta \left\langle \overline{C}_{j1} \right\rangle \right) \left(\delta \left\langle \overline{C}_1 \right\rangle\right) + \\
&+2\sum_{j=2}^{5} \sum_{j>i}^{5} \frac{\partial^2 H_2}{\partial \left\langle \overline{C}_{i1} \right\rangle \partial \left\langle \overline{C}_{j1} \right\rangle} \delta \left\langle \overline{C}_{i1} \right\rangle \delta \left\langle \overline{C}_{j1} \right\rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

In the case of independence of energy cluster $\left\langle \overline{C}_1 \right\rangle$ and energy subclusters $\left\langle \overline{C}_{21} \right\rangle$, $\left\langle \overline{C}_{31} \right\rangle$, $\left\langle \overline{C}_{41} \right\rangle$ and $\left\langle \overline{C}_{51} \right\rangle$, the second differential of the FC entropy $\delta^2 H_2$ is determined as follows

$$\delta^2 H_2 = 0, \tag{19}$$

that is, even in the presence of fluctuations, neutral stability of the organism's evolution occurs. In the case of linear dependence of the energy cluster $\left\langle \overline{C}_1 \right\rangle$ and energy subclusters $\left\langle \overline{C}_{21} \right\rangle$, $\left\langle \overline{C}_{31} \right\rangle$, $\left\langle \overline{C}_{41} \right\rangle$, $\left\langle \overline{C}_{51} \right\rangle$ neutral stability also occurs. In the case of nonlinear dependence of subclusters $\left\{\left\langle \overline{C}_{ij} \right\rangle\right\}$ $(i > 1)$ from the energy cluster, there may appear both stable and unstable regimes of the FCM evolution, i.e.

$$\delta^2 H_2 \begin{cases} < 0 - \text{stable regime}, \\ = 0 - \text{neutral stability}, \\ > 0 - \text{unstable regime}. \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

The system (20) defines conditions of stability according to Prigogine's theory [2].

The analysis of the organism's stability given above which is based on generalized thermodynamics of irreversible processes (Prigogine [1, 2]) and the proposed FC theory

applies to the states, close to thermodynamic equilibrium branch, that is, to linear thermodynamics of irreversible processes. The criterion of stability for organisms, relevant to the concept of "dissipative structures" given by Prigogine, is a quadratic oscillating form, called the excess entropy production [2]. For the stable dissipative structures, the excess entropy production is a positively definite value

$$P\left[\delta^2 H\right] \equiv \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\delta^2 H\right) > 0, \tag{21}$$

where $H = H(t)$ is the entropy of a general organism. The formula (21) determines the expression of the criterion of organism stability (the excess entropy production) located far from the state of equilibrium [2].

As it has been noted in [1], in general case the sign of excess entropy production cannot be determined unequivocally. To determine $P\left[\delta^2 H\right]$ sign the use of phenomenological laws is required.

For the FC description of organism's resource structure, located far from equilibrium, the following expression for the quadratic oscillating form has been obtained, i.e., for the production of excess entropy (or quasi-entropy) for the symmetric case of FCM $\left(\overline{C}_{ij} = \overline{C}_{ji}\right)$

$$P\left[\delta^2 H_2\right] = -\frac{\left[\delta\left\langle \overline{C}_1 \right\rangle (t + \Delta t)\right]^2 - \left[\delta\left\langle \overline{C}_1 \right\rangle (t)\right]^2}{\Delta t}. \tag{22}$$

The sign of the oscillating form $P\left[\delta^2 H_2\right]$ is determined from the sign of the right-hand side of the equation (22). Thus, we can conclude that the synthesis of the FC theory and generalized thermodynamics of irreversible processes allows us to determine the type of stability criterion for organisms placed far from the equilibrium state.

5 Deterministic fractal-cluster theory

The aim of this study is to work out a resource management theory of SOS based on the synthesis of fractal-cluster relations (FCR) and non-equilibrium thermodynamics of I. Prigozhine.

The research of distribution based on the fractal-cluster approach shows that the researched object is not decomposed, but is a "black box", which corresponds to the principles and methodology of thermodynamics. In the physical space the real object has the resources X_i, necessary for its functioning, and the results of its activities.

Upon transfer from the physical space of the external variable in the five-dimensional fractal-cluster space, the decomposition and classification of information about the object resources have been accomplished on Fig. 3, i.e., the fractal-cluster structurization of the information about the necessary resources for the SOS (energy, transport, ecological, technological and informational supporting). The universal thermodynamic apparatus in its informational interpretation is convenient to use in this case.

The laws and theorems of thermodynamics make it possible to analyze the stability and the resource distributional efficiency of the SOS, without additional empirical information.

The SOS fractal-cluster criteria are defined in a nontrivial way by the construction of a fractal-cluster matrix (FCM). In the fractal-cluster space, the cluster values $\{C_i\}$ and subclusters of any level are a positive value: $C_i > 0$.




Figure 5: Scheme of transfer from the physical space of the resources into the fractal-cluster space.

The proposed theory is based on:

1. The axiom of the FCR universality (five-cluster structuring of the SOS resource needs).

2. The assumption that clusters $\left\{\overline{C}_i\right\}$ and subclusters of any level $\left\{\overline{C}_{ij}\right\}, \left\{\overline{C}_{ijk}\right\} \ldots \left\{\overline{C}_{ij\ldots m}\right\}$ cannot take zero value: $\overline{C}_i > 0$, $\overline{C}_{ij} > 0$, $\ldots \overline{C}_{ij\ldots m} > 0$.

3. The assumption that the SOS effective area in the physical space also corresponds to the effective functioning in the fractal-cluster space. From the point of view of the FC theory, an effective area of the SOS functioning is such a phase space of trajectories in which low-intensity oscillations of the values of the FC criteria around their reference values are realized. For self-organizing systems – "biological organisms" - this term (effective functioning) is understood as a change in the distribution of resources of an organism in the course of adaptation to environmental conditions. For self-organizing systems – "social and economic systems" – this term means a directed change in the distribution of system resources for the stable dynamics of fractal-cluster criteria while simultaneously achieving their extremeness.

4. The admission of the passive resource management model whith delayed feedback.

The task of the resource distributional control can generally be formulated as follows: $\left|u - u^{stab}\right| \to \min$, where u is a controlling function, u^{stab} is a stable resource distribution in the system, obtained on the basis of information and thermodynamic methods (defined below).

The presented fractal-cluster theory includes:

1) V.P. Burdakov's FCR [18];

2) the dynamical equations for the fractal-cluster system's evolution [2];

3) the fractal-cluster criteria for the system's control efficiency [18, 19];

4) analysis of the complex self-organizing system's stability [18, 19].

5.1 Fractal-cluster dynamic equations for the resource allocation in the self-organizind system

From the point of view of the fractal-cluster approach, the description of control resources in self-organizing systems is the redistribution of resources corresponding to the achievement of the reference values of clusters and subclusters fractal-cluster structure of SOS

resources.

The fractal-cluster system controling can be discribed by equations:

$$\overline{C}_{i\ldots k}(t) = F_{i\ldots k}\left(\overline{C}^0_{i\ldots k},\ f_{i\ldots k}(t)\right), \tag{23}$$

where $F_{i\ldots k}$ are given functions, associated with non-simultaneity redistribution of resources in clusters, $f_{i\ldots k}(t)$ are control functions, $\overline{C}^0_{i\ldots k} = \overline{C}_{i\ldots k}(0)$. For the function $F_{i\ldots k}$ which is, in a certain sense, the possible values of a combination of clusters and subclusters, three scenarios are possible: 1) management of all clusters and subclusters occurs simultaneously (the beginning of resource transformation and its end is the same for all clusters and subclusters); 2) the beginning of resource transformation and its end is different for all clusters and subclusters; 3) for some clusters and subclusters, there is a simultaneous transformation of resources. For consistency, the function $F_{i\ldots k}\left(\overline{C}^0_{i\ldots k},\ f_{i\ldots k}(t)\right)$ on the right-hand side of (23) must satisfy the following conditions.

1. Initial conditions

$$F_{i\ldots k}\left(\overline{C}^0_{i\ldots n},\ f_{i\ldots k}(0)\right) = \overline{C}^0_{i\ldots k}. \tag{24}$$

2. For each (sub)cluster identified by the indices $(i\ldots k)$, there is a moment of time $t^{final}_{i\ldots k}$ such that

$$\lim_{t\to t^{final}_{i\ldots k}} F_{i\ldots k}\left(\overline{C}^0_{i\ldots k},\ f_{i\ldots k}(t)\right) = \overline{C}^{ideal}_{i\ldots k}, \tag{25}$$

where $\overline{C}^{ideal}_{i\ldots k}$ are ideal (sub)cluster values.

3. Conserving the value of the total resource at any point in time requires the fulfillment of the condition

$$\sum_{i=1}^{5}\ldots\sum_{k=1}^{5} F_{i\ldots k}\left(\overline{C}^0_{i\ldots k},\ f_{i\ldots k}(t)\right) = 1. \tag{26}$$

We consider the followiong simple structure of the equations (23) which satisfy the conditions (25)–(26) [19, 20].

$$\begin{cases} \overline{C}_i(\bar{t}) = \overline{C}^0_i + u_i(\varepsilon_i,\ \bar{t})\cdot\overline{C}^0_i, \\ \overline{C}_{ij}(\bar{t}) = \overline{C}^0_{ij} + u_{ij}(\varepsilon_{ij},\bar{t})\cdot\overline{C}^0_{ij}, \\ \overline{C}_{ijm}(\bar{t}) = \overline{C}^0_{ijm} + u_{ijm}(\varepsilon_{ijm},\bar{t})\cdot\overline{C}^0_{ijm}, \\ \ldots \\ \overline{C}_{ijm\ldots n}(\bar{t}) = \overline{C}^0_{ijm\ldots n} + u_{ijm\ldots n}(\varepsilon_{ijm\ldots n},\bar{t})\cdot\overline{C}^0_{ijm\ldots n} \end{cases} \tag{27}$$

at $0 \le \bar{t} \le 1$, where $\bar{t} = \dfrac{t}{t_{end}}$, t_{end} is end time of SOS management, where

$$\begin{cases} u_i = \varepsilon_i f_i(t), \\ u_{ij} = \varepsilon_{ij} f_{ij}(t), \\ u_{ijm} = \varepsilon_{ijm} f_{ijm}(t), \\ \ldots \\ u_{ijm\ldots n} = \varepsilon_{ijm\ldots n} f_{ijm\ldots n}(t), \end{cases} \tag{28}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon_i = \left(\dfrac{C_i^{id}}{C_i^0} - 1 \right), \\ \varepsilon_{ij} = \left(\dfrac{C_{ij}^{id}}{C_{ij}^0} - 1 \right), \\ \varepsilon_{ijm} = \left(\dfrac{C_{ijm}^{id}}{C_{ijm}^0} - 1 \right), \\ \dots \\ \varepsilon_{ijm\dots n} = \left(\dfrac{C_{ijm\dots n}^{id}}{C_{ijm\dots n}^0} - 1 \right) \end{cases}$$

and

$$f_i(0) = f_{ijm\dots n}(0) = 0,$$
$$f_i(1) = f_{ij}(1) \dots f_{ijm\dots n}(1) = 1.$$

Here u_i, u_{ij}, u_{ijm}, $u_{ijm\dots n}$ are controlling functions for clusters and subclusters of the first, second and $(n-1)$ levels, $\overline{C}_{ij}^{ideal}$, $\overline{C}_{ijm}^{ideal} \dots \overline{C}_{ijm\dots n}^{ideal}$ are ideal relative subcluster's values of the first, second, ..., $(n-1)$ levels, and $\overline{C}_{ij}^0$, $\overline{C}_{ijm}^0$, $\dots, \overline{C}_{ijm\dots n}^0$ are initial relative respective subclusters values, we assume that $f_i(t) = f_{ij}(t) = \dots = f_{i\dots k}(t) = f(t)$, where $f(t)$ is a monotonous differentiable function $0 \le f(t) \le 1$, whose form is either given or found from the additional stability conditions. Equation (7) is an analogue of the conservation law for the fractal-cluster system.

5.2 Entropic-cluster method of analysis and management for self-organizing systems

The control optimizational methods which have been proposed in [34, 35, 36, 37] are based on intuitive or rigidly formalized concepts and analogies. In connection with the above, it is logical to formulate a criterion of control efficiency for the FCM on the basis of fundamental principles of stable states thermodynamics.

Let us consider the FCM of ideal states (two-dimensional case $N = 2$, Table 2).

The first row and the first column resources of an ideal matrix give quantitative information about the overall share of system energy resources, which is 0.615, this being the major determinant of the system's functioning effectiveness.

The relationship between elements of the FCM and the information entropy H allows us to find a criterion for FCM controlling for the purpose of optimal evolution from the nonideal state of the system (non-ideal FCM) into the perfect condition – (ideal FCM), so that, the sum of FCM elements of the first column and the first row (Table 2) acordind (4) goes into their ideal value, that is, the "Golden section" entropy value. For the n-levels of FCM the fractal-cluster entropy has the form (3). Thus, we introduce the mathematical measure – an entropy (or quasientropy), based on a generalization of experimental data on the evaluating systems [18] and the FCM structure.

The proposed expression of the fractal-cluster entropy is, in terms of value the share of the system total resources used to satisfy its all energy needs. The structure of the FCM of complex system is a fractal. Any elements of the one are chains of repeating

subclusters, self-similar in their structure. As it is known, a fractal image is obtained by the iterative processes [16]. The elementary iterative process is Fibonacci numbers.

It turned out that the key to the FCM controlling is the famous Fibonacci numbers range $\{U_n\} = \{0,\ 1,\ 1,\ 2,\ 3,\ 5,\ 8,\ 13, \ldots\}$, in which each successive number is the sum of the previous two. A remarkable property of Fibonacci numbers is that with numbers increasing the ratio of two adjacent numbers is asymptotically close to the exact proportion of the "Golden section" [34, 39, 40]:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{U_n}{U_{n+1}} = H_0 \approx 0.618. \tag{29}$$

In connection with the above, there is a hypothesis about the optimal management of the FCM by means of the Fibonacci numbers. To control the FCM the approximation of the Fibonacci numbers iterations [40] is used. Here iteration corresponds to time intervals that are multiple to the period of entropy oscilations, i.e. the approximation of the Fibonacci numbers iterations is a template for the matrix management (Fig. 5.2) $\{u_{ij}\}$.




Figure 6: Iteration of Fibonacci numbers: i is an iteration number, $T = 2$ is a period, $H_0 \approx 0.618$ is the "Golden section" (a); the approximation of Fibonacci number's iterations (b).

The beginning control of the cluster evolution and the end of the ones are realized at the same time $\overline{t}_{ij}^{0} = \overline{t}_{ji}^{0} = const$ and $\overline{t}_{ij}^{fin} = \overline{t}_{ji}^{fin} = const$. It takes the form:

$$u_{ij} = \left(\frac{\overline{C}_{ij}^{id}}{\overline{C}_{ij}^{0}} - 1 \right) \cdot f\left(\overline{t} - \overline{t}_0\right). \tag{30}$$

Function $f\left(\overline{t} - \overline{t}_0\right)$ satisfies the conditions (28).

An approximation of Fibonacci numbers iterations (Fig. 5.2b) gives the following expression:

$$f\left(\bar{t}-\bar{t}_0\right)=\frac{H}{H_0}=1+H_0\cdot\exp\left(-\alpha\left(\bar{t}-\bar{t}_0\right)\right)\times\cos\left(\pi\left(\bar{t}-\bar{t}_0\right)+\varphi_0\right),\ \bar{t}_{ij}^{fin}=\bar{t}_{ji}^{fin}=const_2 \tag{31}$$

at the initial stage $\varphi_0=0$, $H_0=0.618$, $\alpha=1,05$, $\bar{t}_0=1$. The expression (31) fails to satisfy the initial conditions at $\bar{t}=t_0$. To satisfy the second boundary condition we introduce a new control function $u^\star$ at the time interval from zero to some t:

$$u^\star\left(\bar{t}-\bar{t}_0\right)=1-\exp\left(-\beta\left(\bar{t}-\bar{t}_0\right)\right), \tag{32}$$

and make sewing of solutions for $U\left(\bar{t}-\bar{t}_0\right)$ we receive:

$$\begin{cases} u_1^\star\left(\bar{t}-\bar{t}_0\right)=f\left(\bar{t}-\bar{t}_0\right), \\ \left(u^\star\left(\bar{t}-\bar{t}_0\right)\right)'=f'\left(\bar{t}-\bar{t}_0\right), \end{cases}\quad \text{at } \bar{t}=\bar{t}_{sewing}. \tag{33}$$

It is evident that the control $u^\star\left(\bar{t}-\bar{t}_0\right)$ satisfies condition (28) at $\bar{t}=\bar{t}_0$. After simple transformations we get a system of the transcendental equations:

$$\begin{cases} \beta=\alpha-\dfrac{\log\left(-H_0\cos\left(\pi\left(\bar{t}_{sewing}-\bar{t}_0\right)\right)\right)}{\bar{t}_{sewing}-\bar{t}_0} \\ \ln\left(-\dfrac{1}{H_0\cos\left(\pi\left(\bar{t}_{sewing}-\bar{t}_0\right)\right)}\right)=tg\left(\pi\left(\bar{t}_{sewing}-\bar{t}_0\right)\right) \end{cases}, \tag{34}$$

where $\cos\left(\pi\left(\bar{t}_{sewing}-\bar{t}_0\right)\right)_0<0$. Numerically the index β is determined from the equations (34) the value of t_{sewing} and it turned out that $\bar{t}-\bar{t}_0\approx 1.19$; $\beta\cong 1.53$.




Figure 7: Evolution of *SOS* clusters according to Fibonacci numbers and condition of the sewing (32).

Fig. 5.2 shows the evolution of clusters controlled by (31,32). As seen from Fig. 5.2, these are stable (convex trajectory H, $\frac{d^2H}{dt^2} < 0$) and unstable (concave trajectory H, $\frac{d^2H}{dt^2} > 0$), corresponding to the obtained entropy-cluster solution which is based on the Fibonacci numbers. In this connection the following hypothesis was suggested: if the structural waves of low intensity then the regimes where $\triangle H \ll H_0$, $\frac{dP}{dt} > 0$ is a mode of functional instability, which is an attribute of any developing self-organizing system. In the unstable regimes $\left(\frac{d^2H}{dt^2} > 0 \text{ and } \triangle H \sim H_0\right)$ there exists an anomalous structural instability, which means these is a serious crisis of structural processes in the SOS.

The criteria H, D, η^{Σ}, χ make it possible to determine the necessary and sufficient conditions of optimal resource distribution in SOS in a static state (Table 3).

Table 3: Necessary and sufficient conditions of SOS optimal state.

Necessary conditions of economic system optimal state	Sufficient conditions of economic system optimal state	Notes
$H \to H_0$, or $\lvert H - H_0\rvert \to 0$	$D \to D^{max}$	There is complete information on SOS (accurate estimate)
$H \to H_0$, or $\lvert H - H_0\rvert \to 0$	$\eta^{\Sigma} \to 1$	There is no complete information on SOS (rough estimate)

Let us consider the problem of stability of transition trajectory of a complex system from arbitrary in ideal condition in accordance with the fractal-cluster theory basic provisions. Obviously, both stable and unstable trajectories of system transformation can go through the one point in the phase plane of the entropy - time $(H - t)$ in terms of the fractal-cluster theory (Fig. 5.2).

Let us also consider a fractal-cluster structure of a complex system is placed in a state close to thermodynamic equilibrium, that is, while analyzing evolution it is possible to use the linear thermodynamics of non-equilibrium processes. In accordance with this fact, we can use the theorem of minimum entropy production [2]. For simplicity, we consider a symmetric FCM of a topological structure, then the SOS entropy is determined by (5).

Using I. Prigogine's theorem of minimum entropy production [2], we define the function $f\left(\bar{t}\right)$ from the condition of neutral stability:

$$\frac{dP}{d\bar{t}} = 0, \text{ where } P = \frac{dH}{d\bar{t}}. \tag{35}$$

The expression of transformation function $f\left(\bar{t}\right)$, which executes the transition trajectory of a fractal-cluster system from the arbitrary into an ideal state, in the trajectory of neutral stability is the following:

$$f\left(\bar{t}\right) = \left\{\exp\left(\alpha\right) - 1\right\}^{-1}\left(\exp\left[\alpha \cdot \bar{t}\right] - 1\right). \tag{36}$$

In the general case of non-zero right-hand side in the expression for the entropy production we obtain the following expression for the transformation function $f\left(\bar{t}\right)$:

$$f\left(\bar{t},\varepsilon\right)=-\frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha}\bar{t}+\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha}\right)\cdot\left(\exp\left(\alpha\right)-1\right)^{-1}\left(\exp\left(\alpha\cdot\bar{t}\right)-1\right). \tag{37}$$

The expression for the function $f\left(\bar{t},\varepsilon\right)$ conforms to the following qualitatively different transformation modes of the topological fractal-cluster structure of a complex system from the non-ideal to an ideal state:

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon=0 \text{ - transformation of a complex system by the trajectory of a neutral stability,} \\ \varepsilon>0 \text{ - unsustainable trajectory of a complex system transformation,} \\ \varepsilon<0 \text{ - steady trajectory of a complex system transformation.} \end{cases}. \tag{38}$$

Figs. 8–9 shows the various scenarios of the FCM topological structures for different time stages of FCM evolution to its ideal value. Here a graphic illustrations of topological fractal-cluster structures for various scenario and schemes of clusters and subclusters distributions are presented. It is shown an evolution from an initial (nonideal) state to an final (ideal) state of the FC structure (for $n=6$ and for rotation angles $\varphi=20^{\circ}$ (Fig. 8) and $\varphi=72^{\circ}$(Fig. 9)) for tree image stuctures. Circle squares (Fig. 8) and tree branches lengthes (Fig. 9) are equal corresponding cluster (subcluster) values.




Figure 8: Evolution the FC resource structure for the case of a six-level FCM: $\varphi=72^{\circ}$, $t=0$ (left), $\varphi=72^{\circ}$, $t=1$ (right).



Figure 9: Evolution the FC resource structure for the case of a six-level FCM: $\varphi=20^{\circ}$, $t=0$ (left), $\varphi=20^{\circ}$, $t=1$ (right).

6 Foundations of probabilistic fractal-cluster theory

The second part of this article has presented the determined fractal-clusters theory foundations. This one allows us to receive the criterion's estimations about the functioning of the economic system. But the real self-organizing systems have a stochastic behavior. The parameters have a fluctuational character. That's the reason why real SOS characteristics are more preferable for research by the probabilistic equations.

Let's determine the phase space of the one level FC system as the set $Q \equiv \{Q_a\}$, $Q_a = \left(\overline{C}_1^{(a)}, \overline{C}_2^{(a)}, \overline{C}_3^{(a)}, \overline{C}_4^{(a)}, \overline{C}_5^{(a)}\right)$ under $\sum_{i=1}^{5} C_i^{(a)} = 1$ and the index a identifies the elements of the set Q. We will be to learn the discrete approximation Q. For the discretization of Q we have to divide all possible cluster values on finite elements so on the set Q will be to have finite number of elements N. Under $F(Q_a, t)$ will be to understand probability of the system placing in the state Q_a at the time t.

We will be to discribe of evolution of the distribution function by ordinary differential equations system like the Kolmogorov–Feller's one [41]:

$$\frac{dF(Q_a, t)}{dt} = \sum_{a'} \left[W(Q_a, Q_{a'}) F(Q_{a'}, t) - W(Q_{a'}, Q_a) F(Q_a, t) \right], \tag{39}$$

We can research the approximation in which all states with equal D have the equal probability. In this case the system will be described by the function of distribution

$$f(D, t) = N(D) F(Q_a, t),$$

where $N(D)$ is a number of states with fixed value of D. In this case the equation (39) has the following form

$$\frac{df(D, t)}{dt} = \sum_{D'} \left[w(D, D') f(D', t) - w(D', D) f(D, t) \right], \tag{40}$$

where $w(D, D') = N(D) W(Q, Q')$ is a probability of transition per unit from the group of states Q' with fixed value of D' to the group of states Q with fixed value of D.

For the first, the most interest presents the stationary solution of these equations (40). The stationary solution of these equations (39) under given values of the $w(D, D')$ presents itself the standard task. However, obtainig of the stationary solution to these equations is possible by using numerical calculation. From the definition of the D criterion (8) is determined larger the limit values of the clusters $\{C_i\}$:

$$a_i \leq c_i \leq b_i \; i = 1 \div 5 \tag{41}$$

$$\{a_i\} = \begin{Bmatrix} 0.078 \\ 0.063 \\ 0.035 \\ 0.03 \\ 0.02 \end{Bmatrix}, \; \{b_i\} = \begin{Bmatrix} 0.7 \\ 0.67 \\ 0.63 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.55 \end{Bmatrix}$$

For every interval of the allowed cluster values we can divide these intervds into n shares for each cluster. The possibility of the functioning of the complex fractal-cluster system "an organism" is realized under the execution resource conservation law (2).

That is why only some combinations of the valid values clusters $\{C_i\}$can be realized. In Fig. 10 the distributions of the possible states under the various divisions of the valid cluster intervals have been shown. Beginning with the $n > 50$ (n is a numeral of the cluster's dividing interval) the picture of these distributions refrain constant as shown on Fig. 11; we can also see the fractal-cluster distribution of the probability density as a function of the D, H criteria.




Figure 10: Distribution of the SOS probability density $f(D)$: $n = 10$ (a), $n = 30$ (b), $n = 50$ (c).




Figure 11: Probabilistic characteristics of FC system states: the dependence $f(H, D)$, where D is the efficiency criterion of recourse distribution, f is a probability density, H is a fractal-cluster entropy (a); the dependence $f(D)$(b); the dependence $H(D)$ (c); the "Gold Shofar" [42] (d).

The curve cone of the fractal-cluster states which looks like the "Golden Shofar" (the projection of the surface Shofar on the plane XOY [34]) can be seen in the plate space $(H - D)$ in Fig. 11.

This similarity between the fractal-cluster theory and the topology of the mathematic theory of the "Golden Section" [34, 35, 36, 37, 39, 40] has a deep correlation.

The obtained distribution (Fig. 11b) can not be described by the well-known probabilistic distributions. In the phase fractal-cluster space each value of the D-criterion (Fig. 11b) corresponds to a big number of the cluster's combinations which satisfy the resource conservation law (2), (26).

An approximation of the dependence $f - D$ (Fig. 11b) has the following view:

$$f(D) = \frac{a + b \cdot D + c \cdot D^2}{h + t \cdot D + g \cdot D^2} \cdot \frac{(1-D)^d}{c_1 \left(\exp\left(\frac{1-D}{c_2} \right) - 1 \right)}, \tag{42}$$

where $a = -9.391$, $b = 8.308$, $c = 0.705$, $h = 2.617$, $t = -5.776$, $g = 3.474$, $d = 4H_0$, $c_1 = -0.592$, $c_2 = \dfrac{H_0}{4\left(1 - \dfrac{H_0}{50}\right)}$, $H_0 = 0.618$.

Each cluster's combination is equally possible under the fixed value of the D-criterion (Fig. 11b). For a reduction of the cluster's combinations number we can use the thermodynamic method of the minimum free energy principle for each value of the D-criterion. This gives us a decrease of intervals of the valid cluster's values and this is very important for the real self-organizing system functioning prediction. Besides the thermodynamic method for decreasing of the cluster's interval we can use the probabilistic method.

We are interested in a stationary solution of the one. That's why we can take the method of "the local balance" for each fractal-cluster combination. It allows us to use the Bolchman's distribution for a stationary solution.

$$f \sim \exp \frac{F}{kT}, \tag{43}$$

where F is a free FC energy, k is a Bolchman's constant, T is a conditional temperature. We also assume $kT = const$.

Fig. 12 (a, b) shows the space of the possible states for the fractal-cluster systems and the one under fixed values of the D-criterion.




Figure 12: Probabilistic states of the self-organizing system's distribution: a probabilistic space of the FC system states (a); a probabilistic states of the FC-system states under the fixed value of the D-criterion (b).

7 Applications of the fractal-cluster theory for the resource distribution analysis and controlling in the socio-economical and biological systems

7.1 Economic systems

In Fig. 13 we have presented the principle defferences between the traditional economic and fractal-clusters models.

In the traditional economic system (ES), "input-output" analysis as a rule has known the price of products of the one. In this case, for example, the Leontiev's model is used [43]. In traditional economic "input-output" system's model under known inputs resources, x_i is the determined output product price (a prolife etc.). Using the fractal-cluster models we receive an estimation of the resource utilization and distribution efficiency on the fractal-cluster criteria basis in ES.




Figure 13: Diagram representing the economic system in the traditional (a) and fractal-cluster (b) interpretation.

It is well-known that the problem with inner resource distribution in economic systems have quickly lead to crisis situations in the financial, productional and other activites of these systems.

Therefore, by using the fractal-cluster theory, we can obtain the special additional information about the resource distribution economic system's functioning in advance. It allows us to introduce the correction in the resource distribution economical system.

The result of informational-thermodynamic analysis of resourse distribution in economical systems based on the fractal-cluster models makes it possible to formulate a new generalized criterion to optimize the economical system management is a optimal control of an economical system in terms of fractal-cluster model. This is, in contrast to traditional notions (minimum expenses or maximum profit), the sustainable development of the crisis-free economical system, which corresponds to extreme static fractal-cluster

criteria $\left(D,\ \eta^{\Sigma},\ H\right)$ and obtained solutions for sustainable transformation (the criteria of dynamical stability).

This generalized criterion of control optimization is a combination of static and dynamical fractal-cluster criteria ($D,\ \Delta H^{\star},\ d^2H/dt^2,\ \chi,\ \delta H,\ P\left[\delta^2 H\right]$), and decisions on sustainable transformation of the micro-, meso- and macro-level economic systems (31–34, 36), i.e., represents the conditions for sustainable crisis-free operation of ES. However, this criterion does not ignore the traditional criteria (minimum expenses and maximum profit, but enables the synergetic solution to the problem of ES management optimization.

The criterion estimation of the resource utilization effectiveness in economical system lead to two possibilities of the economic resource controlling: 1) the resource redistribution in clusters for reaching its ideal values $\left\{C_i^{ideal}\right\}$; 2) the resource decreasing in next time period and the resource redistribution in clusters for reaching their ideal values. The resource transformation in clusters is realized on stable trajectories which is determined by obtained solutions (37).

On Fig. 14 we can see the comparative position of the traditional and fractal-cluster methods initialization for the economic systems. In the case of the output product (service) price minimum of information of the one we have the fractal-cluster methods domination. For example, a financial input in the social sphere, in education, in fundamental science and in economic systems under crisis conditions, suggests that fractal-cluster models will be more perspective. On the contrary case, the traditional economic models (for example, the Leontiev's models) will surpass the suggested models. In the latter case, the fractal-cluster models will be an additional apparatus of the economic analysis.

However, this class of models has its drawbacks: unconventional approach – in an explicit form, without additional empirical information, it is impossible to determine the criteria of ES activities (revenue, profit, profitability, etc.).




Figure 14: Possessing of ES economic & mathematical models I is an information about output product's cost, f_{dev} is a function of the economic system's development.

A retrospective fractal-cluster analysis of municipal structures management for the Moscow region and the Municipal Department of Nashua, USA is presented in Table 4 as the first example. As Table 3 shows, for the U.S. Municipal Department FCC is almost perfect, the criterion of management effectiveness D and the total system efficiency is

Structure name	Year	Entropy	D-criterion	Efficiency η^{Σ}	Relative deviation from ideal		
					ε_H	ε_D	ε_η
Municipal structure of the Moscow region	1990	0.360	0.1132	0.83	41.7%	88.6%	17%
	1993	0.564	0.8755	0.969	8.7%	12.5%	3.1%
	1996	0.407	0.7227	0.9257	3.4%	27.8%	7.5%
Municipal Department of Nashua city, USA	1993	0.603	0.97	0.99	2.42%	3%	1%
	1994	0.6156	0.9875	0.9957	0.4%	1.2%	0.4%

Table 4: Comparative analysis of municipal structures management.

close to 100%. For the municipal structures of the Moscow region the most successful in terms of governance is the year of 1993.

The generalized criterion χ for Nashua city, USA is maximum, which indicates optimal management.

In Fig. 15 we can see the fractal-cluster entropy oscillations near the "Golden Section" position. If the difference between the oscillation of an entropy value and the "Golden Section" position (H_0)will be essentially smaller than the "Golden Section" value of the one, then we have the normal regime of the economical system's functioning. In the countrary case we have the pathological regime of the one.




Figure 15: FC entropy oscilations near the "Gold Section".




Figure 16: Changes in the FC entropy of the budget structure (a) and GRP (b) for the period 1995–2001 in Samara region, Russia.

The fractal-cluster analysis of the Samara region budget on the data of 1995–2001 is presented in histograms (Fig. 16a). From these illustrations it is seen that there is a weak oscillation of the fractal cluster entropy around the value of $H_0 \approx 0.618$ is the "Golden section" $\left(\dfrac{\Delta H}{H_0} \approx 0.01 \div 0.03\right)$. This proves the efficient resource allocation of budget from 1995 to 2001. The results of fractal-cluster analysis are supported by statistical data on the GRP, the pace of economical growth, investment, rising of living standards in Samara region (Fig. 16b).

As can be seen on Fig. 15 and Fig. 16 there is a confirmation of the hypothesis of low intensity waves H (functional instability) for the successful development of ES.

One more example illustrating the developed theory of resource distribution in micro level ES is the result of analysis for Samara State University of Railway Engineering. Fig. 17 shows the histograms of the generalized criterion χ (a) and fractal-cluster entropy of the topological structure of the university budget H (b) for Samara State University of Railway Engineering in the period from 1998 to 2005. These histograms show clearly that the characteristics of academy management ($H = 0.688$; $D = 0.593$; $\chi = 0.64$) were the worst in 1998, because of the general economical situation of that time in the country; the consequences of default are noticeable in the next 1999, respectively ($H = 0.618$, $D = 0.603$, and $\chi = 0.5848$).




Figure 17: Histograms of the generalized criterion distribution (a), and the fractal-cluster entropy distribution (b) in the financial structure of Samara State University of Railway Engineering budget from 1998 to 2005.

It should be noted that despite the fact that the fractal-cluster entropy distribution of the budget topological structure is close to the "Golden section" ($H_0 = 0.618$), other criteria D and $\chi$are not equal to their maximum values ($D^{max} = \chi^{max} = 1$), i.e. there is an imbalance in the structure of the academy budget. This fact ($H = H_0$) can be explained by the following: with a scarce university budget and a high living wage (1250 rubles per month in 1999), university authorities did not redistribute the consolidated budget to increase wages, which could lead to an increase entropy H.

In terms of structural budget management, the best in the academy is the year of 2000 ($H = 0.669$; $D = 0.722$; $\chi = 0.766$).The deterioration of consolidated budget management structure in 2001 is driven by objective reasons:

1) reduction of wage supplements from the Ministry of Transport, which led to a decrease in the energy cluster, and thus to the entropy H decrease;

2) reconstruction of the university (construction of buildings, dormitories), modernization and equipping of the laboratory-technical base, financed by the Ministry of Transport and Kuibyshev Railway led to a relative increase of technological cluster.

However, as the analysis shows, these endogenous components fluctuate as parts of the university budget have a slight and transitory nature.

Important indicators of stability in the academy development are a high integrated indicator of quality – demand for graduates 96% (in Russia on average the figure is 50%) and high rates of the university employees' salary growth. Obviously, the fractal-cluster structuring of the resources of the socio-economic system is an intra-system assessment of the effectiveness of managing their resources.

To connect the characteristics of the fractal-cluster analysis of the resources of the economic system with its valid integral characteristics v (GDP, labor productivity, capitalization, etc.), empirical dependencies can be used in the following form: $v = F(D, \eta)$. It is nessesary to emphasize that general process of the analysis for ES has to enclude besides financial clusterization of the ES budget human's clusterization and time budget clusterization.

7.2 Biological organisms

By using the mathematical tools of the fractal-cluster theory we have determined the values of fractal-cluster entropy criteria H, F-criterion and the effectiveness criterion D for biological organisms (Table 5 – Table 6)). It has allowed us to formulate three fractal-cluster laws: energy, evolutional and stochastic for biological organisms:

1) the stochastic law, which determines the probability of appearance of biological organisms (as shown in Fig. 7.2, there is a bijection – the most ancient biological organisms – hlamidomonas, Hydra, had the highest probability of their occurrence);

2) the evolutional law (Fig. 7.2), illustrating the increasing complexity and perfection of the emerging organisms;

3) the energy law (Fig. 7.2), which characterizes the energy perfection of biological organisms (the dependence of the fractal-cluster entropy H or F-criterion on the energy consumption per 1 kg body weight per day, E).

The third law (the energy law) the is one that has a fundamental correlation with the well-known problem – of "predator-prey", but the answer to the question formulated allows us to explain why the predator cannot eat at all the victims. The answer to this question is that the average value of the free fractal-cluster energy for a predator and a victim will be equal.

The first fundamental fractal-cluster law has determined the probability density of the biological organism origin.

From the trend first law, observed in we can see that the probability density of the origin of ancient organisms is essentially bigger than for the more modern organisms (Fig. 7.2). Evolution and probabilistic laws have the similar mathematical view:

$$Z = A - \frac{Y^a}{\beta}, \tag{44}$$


where $Z = D$, $A = 1$, $Y = \tau$ is for the evolutionary FC law, $Z = F$, $A = \ell$, $Y = E$ is for the energy FC law.

Table 5: Cluster values for the biological organisms (males)

	Organism's names	Mass, kg	FCR, %				
			C_1	C_2	C_3	C_4	C_5
1	Chlamidomonas	$3 \cdot 10^{-11}$	40±10	10±8	30±6	10±5	10±5
2	Hydra vulgaris	10^{-5}	40±10	30±8	10±6	10±5	10±5
3	Scorpiones mingrelicus	$5 \cdot 10^{-4}$	33±6	33±5	17±4	9±3	6±2
4	Oligochaeta	10^{-3}	19±8	50±10	16±4	10±3	5±2
5	Anisoptera libellula depressa	10^{-3}	40±8	23±5	17±4	10±3	10±2
6	Micromys minitus	$5 \cdot 10^{-3}$	40±6	27±5	16±4	10±3	7±2
7	Rona ridibunda	0.05	40±5	30±4	16±3	8±2	6±1
8	Testudo horsefieldi	0.1	38±6	20±5	30±4	7±3	5±1
9	Cucules canorus	0.1	40±6	27±5	16±4	10±3	7±2
10	Procellariida	0.8	40±6	28±5	16±4	10±3	6±2
11	Larus argentatus	1.0	39±6	28±5	16±4	10±3	7±2
12	Heroestes edwardsi	3.0	40±6	27±5	17±4	10±3	6±2
13	Ciconia ciconia	4.0	40±6	27±5	16±4	11±3	6±2
14	Lepus timidus	5.0	40±6	28±5	16±4	11±3	5±2
15	Grus grus	6.0	40±6	27±5	16±4	10±3	7±2
16	Paralithodes camtchatica	7.0	20±6	50±5	16±4	8±3	6±2
17	Pelecanida onocrotalus	10	40±6	28±5	16±4	11±3	5±2
18	Vulpes	10	40±6	27±5	16±4	11±3	6±2
19	Castor fiber	30	40±6	26±5	17±4	12±3	5±2
20	Acinonyx jubatus	50	40±6	30±5	16±4	8±3	6±2
21	Canis lipus	50	40±6	27±5	16±3	11±3	6±2
22	Pan troglodytes	60	39±6	28±5	16±3	11±2	6±2
23	Orycturopus afer	70	40±6	28±5	17±3	11±2	5±2
24	Homo sapiens	75	38±6	27±5	16±4	13±2	6±1
25	Ursus arctos	100	40±6	27±5	17±4	10±3	6±2
26	Cervina nippon	120	40±6	28±5	17±4	9±3	6±2
27	Sus scrofa	150	40±6	28±5	16±4	10±3	6±2
28	Pongo pygmaeus	200	39±6	29±5	16±3	10±3	6±2
29	Gorilla gorilla	250	39±6	28±5	16±3	11±2	6±1
30	Equida burchelli	300	40±7	28±6	17±4	9±3	6±2
31	Tursiops	400	42±7	28±6	16±4	8±3	6±2
32	Equus caballus	400	40±7	28±6	17±4	9±3	6±2
33	Galeocerdo cuvieri	500	40±6	30±5	16±4	8±3	6±2
34	Camelus bactrianus	600	40±6	27±5	18±4	9±3	6±2
35	Giraffa cameleopardalis	750	40±6	29±5	16±4	10±3	5±2
36	Hippopotamus amphibius	3000	40±6	28±5	16±4	10±3	6±2
37	Loxodonta africana	5000	40±6	28±5	16±4	10±3	6±2
38	Balaena mysticetus	150000	42±5	28±4	16±3	8±2	6±1
39	Balaenoptera musculus	200000	42±5	28±4	16±3	8±2	6±1

Table 6: FC criterion estimation for the biological organisms

	Organism's names	D	H	C_1	F
1	Chlamidomonas	0.46	0.622	0.387	-0.235
2	Hydra vulgaris	0.79	0.637	0.4	-0.237
3	Scorpiones mingrelicus	0.89	0.561	0.338	-0.223
4	Oligochaeta	0.69	0.342	0.19	-0.152
5	Anisoptera libellula depressa	0.86	0.832	0.4	-0.238
6	Micromys minitus	0.94	0.639	0.4	-0.239
7	Rona ridibunda	0.90	0.639	0.4	-0.239
8	Testudo horsefieldi	0.71	0.614	0.38	-0.234
9	Cucules canorus	0.94	0.639	0.4	-0.239
10	Procellariida	0.95	0.662	0.42	-0.242
11	Larus argentatus	0.93	0.627	0.39	-0.237
12	Heroestes edwardsi	0.95	0.639	0.4	-0.239
13	Ciconia ciconia	0.97	0.664	0.421	-0.243
14	Lepus timidus	0.94	0.639	0.4	-0.239
15	Grus grus	0.94	0.664	0.421	-0.243
16	Paralithodes camtchatica	0.69	0.359	0.2	-0.159
17	Pelecanida onocrotalus	0.94	0.639	0.4	-0.239
18	Vulpes	0.97	0.639	0.4	-0.239
19	Castor fiber	0.94	0.664	0.421	-0.243
20	Acinonyx jubatus	0.90	0.639	0.4	-0.239
21	Canis lipus	0.97	0.639	0.4	-0.239
22	Pan troglodytes	0.93	0.627	0.39	-0.237
23	Orycturopus afer	0.97	0.633	0.395	-0.238
24	Homo sapiens	1.0	0.614	0.38	-0.234
25	Ursus arctos	0.95	0.639	0.4	-0.239
26	Cervina nippon	0.92	0.639	0.4	-0.239
27	Sus scrofa	0.95	0.639	0.4	-0.239
28	Pongo pygmaeus	0.95	0.627	0.39	-0.237
29	Gorilla gorilla	0.97	0.627	0.39	-0.237
30	Equida burchelli	0.92	0.636	0.4	-0.239
31	Tursiops	0.90	0.659	0.42	-0.242
32	Equus caballus	0.92	0.636	0.4	-0.239
33	Galeocerdo cuvieri	0.90	0.639	0.4	-0.239
34	Camelus bactrianus	0.92	0.639	0.4	-0.239
35	Giraffa cameleopardalis	0.92	0.639	0.4	-0.239
36	Hippopotamus amphibius	0.95	0.639	0.4	-0.239
37	Loxodonta africana	0.95	0.639	0.4	-0.239
38	Balaena mysticetus	0.90	0.663	0.42	-0.243
39	Balaenoptera musculus	0.90	0.663	0.42	-0.243



a - 4, 16
b - 7, 20, 31, 33, 38, 39
c - 26, 30, 32, 34, 35
d - 11, 22
e - 6, 9, 14, 15, 17, 19
f - 10, 12, 25, 27, 28, 36, 37
g - 13, 18, 21, 23, 29

Figure 18: Stochastic FC law for the biological organisms. The circle numbers in the figure correspond to the characteristics of the organisms in Table 6. The vertical axis represents the probability density of the organism's occurrence and the horizontal axis shows values of the D-criterion.




Figure 19: Evolutionary FC law for the biological organisms, τ – is time referes to the past, $D(\tau) \approx 1 - \dfrac{H_0}{2} t^{-\frac{1}{H_0}}$, where $t = 10 - \lg \tau$, $H_0 = 0.618$, τ is the time of occurrence organisms in the past (see, for example, [44, 45, 46, 47] and references therein). The vertical axis represents values of the D-criterion and the horizontal axis represents the function depending on time $t = 10 - \lg \tau$. Circles in the figure correspond to different organisms in the Table 6.




Figure 20: Energy FC law for the biological organisms, $F(E) \approx 0.24 - \dfrac{E^{3H_0}}{H_0 \cdot 10^8}$, $H_0 = 0.618$. The vertical axis represents absolute values $|F|$ of the F-criterion and the horizontal axis represents values of the log power consumption ($\lg E$). Circles in the figure correspond to different organisms in the Table 6..

8 Conclusions

The general basis of the fractal-cluster theory for the analysis and control of self-organizing systems has been presented. The first part of the determined theory includes the criterion's apparatus of the resource distribution in self-organizing systems of different nature. The second part of the theory is based on the probable apparatus of the fractal-cluster system's researching, which includes Kolmogorov–Feller equation for the configuration probability and stationary solution of the one on a numerical research basis.

Testing of the FC theory for the biological organisms' research was realized on the basis of study [18, 31] (Table 5). In the result of the analysis based on the FC approach three fundamental FC laws for biological organisms were identified ([48, 49], Table 6):

1) the FC stochastic law defines the density of probability of occurrence of biological organisms depending on the perfection of the resource allocation in the organism – the D-criterion (Fig. 7.2) shows that there is a bijection – the simpler biological organisms (the D-criterion less than perfect) – Chlamidomonada, Hydra, had the highest probability density of its appearance) ;

2) the FC evolutionary law (Fig. 7.2) illustrates the increasing complexity and perfection of the emerging organisms through the time, from the simpler organisms and to humans;

3) the FC power law (Fig. 7.2) characterizes the energy perfection of biological or-

ganisms (the dependence of the FC entropy or the F-criterion of the energy consumption per 1 kg of organism's weight per day (Fig. 7.2).

The stochastic law of development of biological organisms is obtained due to the distribution of possible states based on the D-criterion and correlates this distribution with the corresponding values of the D-criterion for biological organisms (Table 5). The second FC law shows the growth of perfection of the resource distribution in organisms (the D-criterion) over the time (Fig. 7.2). Fig. 7.2 shows the third revealed FC law that defines a link between the FC free energy of biological organisms and the level of energy consumption per 1 kg of weight. This law represents, in a certain sense, the thermodynamic response to the output of Lotka–Volterra's problem ("predator-prey", Fig. 1) judging by the level of the FC free energy (F) of biological organisms (including mammals, fish, insects, etc., with the exception of worms – the last right point in Fig. 7.2 – it has on average the same value, i.e it has an average energy balance between the "predators" and their victims - the "predator" cannot catch the "victim". The energy law for biological organisms (Fig. 7.2) is a thermodynamic confirmation of decision of the problem – "predator-prey", "predators" cannot kill all the potential "victims" (Fig. 7.2), i.e. only the "victim" having a level of free energy is lower than its average in the population will die. It can be summarized that the decision of the "pendulum" of Lotka–Volterra is a dynamic energy condition of impossibility of the destruction the "victims" by the "predactors" and the FC energy law is the static energy condition of the one. It is important to note that the mathematical expression for the second and third laws has the same view:

$$Z(x) = a - bx^{\alpha},$$

where a, b, α are constants (Fig. 7.2, Fig. 7.2).

The review of biophysics studies (see Section 1) shows that the current stage of convergence of biology and physics reflects an increase in the number of publications which use the apparatus of nonequilibrium thermodynamics of Prigogine. As shown, there are researches which go beyond the traditional approach to this issue, for example, Burdakov's study may be related to these researches. Based on Burdakov's research [18] for organisms and the analytical apparatus of Prigogine's theory [1] the foundations of the fractal-cluster theory for the analysis of organisms have been presented. As known, Haken's approach allows us to describe the organism's trajectory in phase space at a known value of the "order parameter" which is determined from the experiment. Prigogine's approach does not need experimental data for the description of organism's functioning as the one has the universal thermodynamic tools at its basis. But Prigogine's approach cannot describe the trajectory of an organism. The article suggests the new alternative approach to systematization and the study of the evolution of biological organisms on the basis of the fractal-cluster theory. This theory in the certain sense presents the compromise between Prigogine's [1, 2]and Haken's approaches [50] in synergetics and allows us to describe the organism phase trajectory in the 5^n-dimensional fractal-cluster space without additional experimental information. It presents the criterion's apparatus of the resource distribution in organisms and the stochastic distributions for the organism states in the 5^n-dimensional FC space.

The article gives a definition of the organism in the fractal-cluster approach which is qualitatively different from the generally accepted in biology and biophysics. But, at the same time, it shows the relationship of seven classic factors of the organism's definition [30] and the definition of the organism with the FC approach. The article also proves

that von Stockar's findings [7, 8, 30] of the optimal growth efficiency for organisms have connection with the fractal-cluster theory. In agreement with the FC theory (see Section 3, eq. (7)) the free energy is determined as following:

$$F = C_1 - H,$$

where C_1 is the energy cluster value, H is the fractal-cluster entropy. For the two-dimensional symmetric FC matrix the free energy F is equal $F = -C_1 + C_1^2$. The maximal absolute value of the free energy is defined as the following:

$$\frac{dF}{dC_1} = 0,\ C_1 = 0.5,\ |F|_{\max} = 0.25.$$

The optimal value of the free energy corresponds to ideal (standard) values of clusters and is defined as the following:

$$C_1^{(\text{ideal})} = 0.38,\ |F|_{\text{opt}} = 0.235.$$

Thus, the optimal value of the free energy is 94% from the maximal value of the one:

$$|F|_{\text{opt}} = 0.94\,|F|_{\max}\,.$$

Ergo, the share of free energy going to the cell growth will be smaller than F_{opt}: $F_{\text{grows}} < F_{\text{opt}}$ i.e smaller 100 %. This fact qualitatively and quantitatively confirms von Stockar's result by the FC theory. As a sample of the FC tool using for the organism's analysis the FC criterion estimation for the systematization of biological organisms has been presented. The conducted criterion analysis of 39 species of biological organisms allowed us to identify three fundamental FC laws: 1) the FC stochastic law; 2) the FC evolutionary law; 3) the FC energy law. The probabilistic law connects the thermodynamic perfection of the organism (the D-criterion) with the fractal-cluster density of probability and the time of the appearance of organism species: the simpler FC organisms (the D-criterion is less) have a greater likelihood of their occurrence. The evolutionary law connects the thermodynamic perfection of the organism with the time of its occurrence.

The fractal-cluster theory is an additional toolkit to the generally accepted theory of the evolution of organisms, which makes it possible to give an exclusively thermodynamic assessment of their development and functioning. In particular, an alternative solution to the famous Volterra-Lotka's problem is presented for the first time on the basis of fractal-cluster criteria: a static energy assessment was obtained about the impossibility of complete destruction of the "prey" by the "predator", in contrast to the known dynamic solution of this problem. There is doubtless that the new analytical apparatus allows us to obtain a new knowledge about the object under study.

As for the prospects of applications of the fractal-cluster theory in biology, they probably can be implemented in cell technologies, in virology, as well as in medico-biological applications. The impact of the external environment on the organism leads to a redistribution of the organism's resources, which, in turn, makes it possible to use the fractal-cluster criteria and, as a result, to obtain characteristics of the stability of the organism's functioning, an opportunity to obtain information in advance about the pathological trends of its development.

For the economical systems the developed fractal-cluster theory allows us to analyze and optimize SOS in the aspect of resource distribution. A new generalized criterion

of ES management optimization is formulated on the basis of the fractal-cluster criteria and solutions for sustainable transformation that have been developed. Testing of the developed theory of analyzing resource distribution in the micro-, meso- and macro-level ES affirmed its foundations and recommendations. The fractal-cluster theory and models worked out on its basis will be dominant in the prognosis of ES development, where it is impossible or difficult to evaluate the system product in terms of value (educational institutions, fundamental science, etc.). For ES "inputs – output", with a high degree of probability while predicting the products and assessing the ES effectiveness (profitability, sales, GDP, etc.), traditional economic and mathematical models will dominate. For this class of ES the proposed fractal-cluster theory and models based on it, can be used as auxiliary tools of ES management analysis

The developed fractal-cluster theory allows us to analyze and optimize SOS in the aspect of resource distribution. A new generalized criterion of ES management optimization is formulated on the basis of the fractal-cluster criteria and solutions for sustainable transformation that have been developed. Testing of the developed theory of analyzing resource distribution in the micro-, meso- and macro-level ES affirmed its foundations and recommendations.

The fractal-cluster theory and models worked out on its basis will be dominant in the prognosis of ES development, where it is impossible or difficult to evaluate the system product in terms of value (educational institutions, fundamental science, etc.). For ES "inputs - output", with a high degree of probability while predicting the products and assessing the ES effectiveness (profitability, sales, GDP, etc.), traditional economic and mathematical models will dominate. For this class of ES the proposed fractal-cluster theory and models based on it, can be used as auxiliary tools of ES management analysis (Fig. 14).

In the author's opinion, future researching and applications of the fractal-cluster theory can be realized in the following ways:

1) obviously, the fractal-cluster fundamental research will be connected with stochastic dynamics of the fractal-cluster systems;

2) the fractal-cluster theory can find a wide spectrum of use in economic applications (a risk estimation in bank business, industry, financial business etc.);

3) social-economic researches in science, the social sphere, and education;

4) fundamental researches in biology.

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by Russian Foundation for Basic Research grant (project 13-01-00790-a).

I would like to thank prof. V. Burdakov and prof. V. Makarov for usefull advices and important comments concerning the present article. My especial thank to prof. A. Zubarev and A. Prisker for usefull discussions and preparing this article.

References

[1] Prigogine, I., Nicolis, G., 1977. Self-Organization in Nonequilibrium Systems, Wiley. 1, 4, 4, 4, 8

[2] Glansdorff, P., Prigogine, I., 1971. Structure, stability and fluctuations, New York, NY: Interscience. 1, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5.2, 8

[3] Westerhoff, H.V., Lolkema, J.S., Otto, R., Hellingwerf, K.J., 1982. Thermodynamics of growth. Nonequilibrium thermodynamics of bacterial growth. The phenomenological and the mosaic approach. Biochimica et biophysica acta 683 (3-4), 181–220. 1

[4] Von Stockar, U., Liu, J., 1999. Does microbial life always feed on negative entropy? Thermodynamic analysis of microbial growth. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA). Bioenergetics 1412 (3-4), 191–211. 1

[5] Von Stockar, U., Maskow, Th., Liu, J., Marison, I.W., Patino, R., 2006. Thermodynamics of microbial growth and metabolism: An Analysis of the Current Situation. J. Biotechnol 121, 517–533. 1

[6] Liu, J., Vojinovic, V., Patino, R., Maskow, T., von Stockar, U., 2007. A comparison of various Gibbs energy dissipation correlations for predicting microbial growth yields. Thermochimica Acta 458, 38–46. 1

[7] Von Stockar, U., Vojinovi, V., Maskow, T., Liu, J., 2008. Can microbial growth yield be estimated using simple thermodynamic analogies to technical processes? Chemical Eng. Process 47, 980–990. 1, 8

[8] Von Stockar, U., 2014. Biothermodynamics: The Role of Thermodynamics in Biochemical Engineering, Lausanne: EPFL Press. 1, 8

[9] Alimov, A.F., Kazantseva, T.I., 2004. Animal growth and time. Doklady of the Academy of Sciences 396 (4), 224–226. 1

[10] Alimov, A.F., Kazantseva, T.I., 2007. Definition of unit internal (physiological) time. Biology Bulletin 34, 286–291. 1

[11] Zotin, A.I., 2008. Thermodynamic basis of the organism's reactions to external and internal factors. M. Nauka,. 1

[12] Zotin, A.I., Zotin, A.A., 1995. Progressive evolution: Thermodynamic foundation. Izvestiya RAN. Biological series 4, 389–397. 1

[13] Zotin, A.A., 2012. Specific features in realization of the principle of minimum energy dissipation during individual development. Biology Bulletin 39, 213–220. 1

[14] Zotin, A.A., 2015. The united equation of animal growth. Amer. J. Life Sci 3 (5), 345–351. 1

[15] Bachelier, L., Theorie de la speculation, Annales Scientifiques de l'Ecole Normale Superieure 3(17), 1900, p. 21–86. 1

[16] Mandelbrot, B., Forecasts of Future Prices, Unbiased Markets, and Martingale Models, The Journal of Business, University of Chicago Press, vol. 39, 1965, p. 242. 1, 5.2

[17] Stanley, H.E., 1991. Fractals and multifractals: the interplay of physics and geometry. Fractals and disordered systems. Springer Berlin, Heidelberg. 1

[18] Burdakov, V.P., 1997. Effictionly of the life. Moscow, Energoizdat [In Russian]. 1, 1, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 5, 5.2, 8

[19] Volov, V.T., 2001. Economics, fluctuations and thermodynamics. Samara, SNC RAN [In Russian]. 1, 1, 2, 3, 3, 3, 5, 5.1

[20] Volov, V.T., 2000. Fractal-cluster theory of the educational structure controlling. Kazan, Kaz. Gos. Universitets [In Russian]. 1, 1, 2, 3, 3, 5.1

[21] Volov, V.T., 2013. Fractal-cluster theory and thermodynamic principles of the control and analysis for the self-organizing systems. arXiv:1309.1415, 2013. 1, 1, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3

[22] Volov, V.T., Zubarev, A.P., 2016. Resource redistribution mechanism in the closed fractal-cluster resource model. Fractals 24 (4), 1650049-1650049. 1, 1

[23] Presnov, E.V., Malygin, S.N., 1980. Axiomatic models of phenomenological thermodynamics. Thermodynamics and kinetics of biological processes. M.: Nauka [In Russian]. 1

[24] Kira, V.N., Ermakov, P.N., 1998. Electroencephalogram and functional states of a person. Rostov-on-Don, Southern Federal University [In Russian]. 1

[25] Bykov, M.P., 2013. Anatomy of the brain: a photographic atlas. Moscow, Practical Medicine [In Russian]. 1

[26] Volov, V.T., 2001. Limit Energy Theorem for the Flow Rate Thermal Machine. Dokl. Akad. Nauk 381 (4), 475–478 [in Russian]; Volov, V. T., 2018. Limit energy theorem for gas flow systems. Journal of Engineering Thermophysics, 27, 489–500. 1

[27] National Sleep Foundation. Available online: https://www.thensf.org. 2

[28] Baranovsky, A.Y., 2012. Dietology. St. Petersburg, Peter [In Russian]. 2

[29] World Health Organization. Available online: https://www.who.int. 2

[30] Campbell, N.A., Reece, J.B., 2002. Biology. New York: Benjamin Cummings. 2, 8

[31] Burdakov, V. P., Dzjubenko, B. V., Mesnjankin, S. J., & Mihajlova, T. V., 2009. Thermodynamics: manual for higher education institutions. Part 2: Special course. M., Drofa, 361 p. [In Russian]. 3, 8

[32] Martin, N.F.; England, J.W. Mathematical theory of entropy. Cambridge university press, 2011. 3, 3

[33] Bekenstein, J. D. Black holes and entropy. Physical Review D 1973, 7 (8), 2333–2346. 3

[34] Stakhov, A., The Generalized Principle of the Golden Section and its applications in mathematics, science, and engineering, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 26(2), 2005, p. 263–289. 3, 5.2, 6

[35] Stakhov, A., Rozin, B., 2005. The golden shofar. Chaos, Solitons & Fractals 26 (3), 677–684. 3, 5.2, 6

[36] Stakhov, A., 2006. The Golden Section, secrets of the Egyptian civilization and harmony mathematics. Chaos, Solitons & Fractals 30 (2), 490–505. 3, 5.2, 6

[37] Gareev, F.A., Gareeva, E., Zhidkova, I.E., 2002. The Golden Section in Some Parts of Theoretical Physics. Samara [in Russian]. 3, 5.2, 6

[38] Stratonovich, R.L., 2012. Nonlinear nonequilibrium thermodynamics I: linear and nonlinear fluctuation-dissipation theorems. Springer Science & Business Media, Vol. 57. 4, 4

[39] Stakhov, A., Fundamentals of a new kind of mathematics based on the Golden Section, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 27(5), 2006, p. 1124–1146. 5.2, 6

[40] Stakhov, A., Rozin, B., Theory of Binet formulas for Fibonacci and Lucas p-numbers, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 27(5), 2006, p. 1162–1177. 5.2, 5.2, 6

[41] Gardiner, C. W., Handbook of Stochastic Methods: For Physics, Chemistry and the Natural Sciences, Springer, 1996, 442 p. 6

[42] Stakhov, A., Rozin, B., The Golden Shofar, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 26(3), 2005, p. 677–684. 11

[43] Leontiev, V.V., Economic Essays, Theory, Research, Facts and Policy, Politizdat, Moscow, 1990. [in Russian]. 7.1

[44] Roff, D. (Ed.), 1993. Evolution of life histories: theory and analysis. Springer Science & Business Media. 19

[45] Barton, N.H., Briggs, D., Eisen, J., Goldstein, D., Patel, N., 2007. Evolution. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press. 19

[46] Benton, M. J., 2014. Vertebrate palaeontology. John Wiley & Sons. 19

[47] Pearce, B. K., Tupper, A. S., Pudritz, R. E., Higgs, P. G., 2018. Constraining the time interval for the origin of life on Earth. Astrobiology, 18(3), 343–364. 19

[48] Volov, V.T. Probabilistic fractal-cluster law of the origin of biological organisms pech. Proceedings of the Samara Scientific Center of the Russian Academy of Sciences 2017, 19 (2), 242–248 [In Russian]. 8

[49] Volov, V.T., 2018. Fractal-cluster approach to the description of the fundamental laws of the development of biological organisms of the liver. Proceedings of the Samara Scientific Center of the Russian Academy of Sciences 20 (5), 204–213 [In Russian]. 8

[50] Haken, H., 2004. An introduction: nonequilibrium phase transitions and self-organization in physics, chemistry and biology. Synergetics: Introduction and Advanced Topics, 1-387. 8