

Comment on “Evaluation of the Pion-Nucleon Sigma Term from CHAOS Data”

E. Matsinos^{*a}, G. Rasche^b,

^a*Institute of Mechatronic Systems, Zurich University of Applied Sciences,
Technikumstrasse 5, P.O. Box, CH-8401 Winterthur, Switzerland*

^b*Institut für Theoretische Physik der Universität, Winterthurerstrasse 190,
CH-8057 Zürich, Switzerland*

^{*}Corresponding author. E-mail: evangelos.matsinos@zhaw.ch,
evangelos.matsinos@sunrise.ch; Tel.: +41 58 9347882; Fax: +41
58 9357306

Abstract

The value of 59 ± 12 MeV for the pion-nucleon (πN) Σ term, which Stahov, Clement, and Wagner recently extracted from the differential cross sections (DCSs) of the CHAOS Collaboration, does not match well the expectation of an enhanced (more positive) isoscalar component in the πN interaction at low energies, which the rest of the modern (meson-factory) data favour. However, we have already demonstrated that the angular distribution of the CHAOS $\pi^+ p$ DCSs is not compatible in shape with the rest of the modern low-energy $\pi^+ p$ data. This problem must be addressed and resolved by the CHAOS Collaboration prior to the extrapolation of their partial-wave amplitudes into the unphysical region.

PACS: 13.75.Gx; 25.80.Dj

Key words: πN Σ term

Stahov, Clement, and Wagner [1] recently evaluated the pion-nucleon (πN) Σ term from the $\pi^\pm p$ differential cross sections (DCSs) of the CHAOS Collaboration [2,3]; the extracted value was 59 ± 12 MeV. In Ref. [4], which has been available online since the beginning of April 2013, we reported the details of a partial-wave analysis (PWA) of the same data. To avoid a bias from extraneous sources, we performed therein an exclusive analysis of the CHAOS DCSs, applying to these data the same analysis criteria which were earlier applied to the rest of the low-energy πN measurements [5].

- In the first part of the analysis, we used standard low-energy parameteri-

sations of the s - and p -wave K -matrix elements, thus avoiding to impose the theoretical constraint of crossing symmetry onto the fitted scattering amplitudes. The results of the optimisation suggested the removal of a few obvious outliers (eleven degrees of freedom, in total) from the initial CHAOS database of 546 data points. However, the final results of the optimisation disagreed with the π^-p scattering lengths obtained (experimentally) from pionic hydrogen at threshold. (Further analysis revealed that this result was due to the inadequacy of the isospin- $\frac{3}{2}$ amplitude to simultaneously account for the π^+p and π^-p DCSs of the CHAOS Collaboration.)

- After the removal of the eleven outliers, we attempted to fit the ETH model ¹ [6] to the combined elastic-scattering database of the CHAOS Collaboration (535 degrees of freedom). The ability of this model to account for the hadronic part of the πN interaction, even above the energy of the $\Delta(1232)$ resonance, has amply been demonstrated during the past two decades. We found that the fitted values of the model parameters were far from those established during the long-term application of this model onto the modern (meson-factory) data; furthermore, the evaluation of the correlation (Hessian) matrix of the fit failed (which has the consequence that the output uncertainties of the model parameters, and of all the predictions obtained on their basis, cannot be estimated). The s - and p -wave phase shifts (‘central’ values, no uncertainties), extracted from the CHAOS data, were found to be incompatible with the results of Refs. [5,7,8], and their energy dependence was puzzling.
- To trace the origin of these problems, we subsequently investigated the reproduction of the CHAOS DCSs using the results of our recent PWA [5]. We found that the absolute normalisation of the CHAOS π^-p data was in good agreement with the corresponding predictions of Ref. [5], as was the normalisation of their π^+p data sets at backward scattering angles. Large effects in the normalisation of the CHAOS π^+p data sets were observed at forward and medium scattering angles. We therefore concluded that the angular distribution of the CHAOS π^+p DCSs, at all five energies covered by the experiment, was not compatible in shape with the rest of the modern data (see Fig. 1 of Ref. [4]).

There is little doubt that the rest of the modern data support an enhanced (compared to Koch’s amplitudes [9]) isoscalar component in the hadronic part of the πN interaction at low energies. As the πN Σ term is an isoscalar quantity, its estimate from the modern data is also expected to exceed Koch’s canonical value (of about 60 MeV). Despite the differences we have with the SAID solution [8] at low energies, their result for the Σ term (79 ± 7 MeV)

¹ The ETH model of the πN interaction contains t -channel σ - and ρ -exchange graphs, as well as the s - and u -channel contributions with all the well-established s and p baryon states with masses below 2 GeV; the model obeys crossing symmetry and isospin invariance.

[10] is not unreasonable.

In view of the problems reported in Ref. [4], we cannot recommend the inclusion of the DCSs of Refs. [2,3] in sensitive low-energy analyses. The problems surrounding the CHAOS results in the physical region must be addressed and resolved prior to the extrapolation of their partial-wave amplitudes into the unphysical one.

References

- [1] J. Stahov, H. Clement, G.J. Wagner, ‘Evaluation of the pion-nucleon sigma term from CHAOS data’, arXiv:nucl-th/1211.1148.
- [2] H. Denz et al., ‘ $\pi^\pm p$ differential cross sections at low energies’, Phys. Lett. B 633 (2006) 209-13.
- [3] H. Denz, Ph.D. dissertation, Tübingen University, 2004; <http://tobias-lib.uni-tuebingen.de/dbt/volltexte/2004/1323/>.
- [4] E. Matsinos, G. Rasche, ‘Analysis of the low-energy $\pi^\pm p$ differential cross sections of the CHAOS Collaboration’, Nucl. Phys. A 903 (2013) 65-80.
- [5] E. Matsinos, G. Rasche, ‘Analysis of the low-energy $\pi^\pm p$ elastic-scattering data’, J. Mod. Phys. 3 (2012) 1369-87.
- [6] P.F.A. Goudsmit, H.J. Leisi, E. Matsinos, B.L. Birbrair, A.B. Gridnev, ‘The extended tree-level model for the pion-nucleon interaction’, Nucl. Phys. A 575 (1994) 673-706.
- [7] E. Matsinos, W.S. Woolcock, G.C. Oades, G. Rasche, A. Gashi, ‘Phase-shift analysis of low-energy $\pi^\pm p$ elastic-scattering data’, Nucl. Phys. A 778 (2006) 95-123.
- [8] R.A. Arndt, W.J. Briscoe, I.I. Strakovsky, R.L. Workman, ‘Extended partial-wave analysis of πN scattering data’, Phys. Rev. C 74 (2006) 045205; SAID PSA Tool, available from <http://gwdac.phys.gwu.edu>.
- [9] R. Koch, ‘Improved πN partial waves, consistent with analyticity and unitarity’, Z. Phys. C 29 (1985) 597-609.
- [10] M.M. Pavan, R.A. Arndt, I.I. Strakovsky, R.L. Workman, ‘The pion-nucleon Σ term is definitely large: results from a G.W.U. analysis of πN scattering data’, πN Newslett. 16 (2002) 110-5; arXiv:hep-ph/0111066.