

Color connections of the four-quark $Q\bar{Q}Q'\bar{Q}'$ system and doubly heavy baryon production in e^+e^- annihilation

Yi Jin,¹ Shi-Yuan Li,² Zong-Guo Si,² Zhong-Juan Yang,³ and Tao Yao²

¹*School of Physics and Technology,*

University of Jinan, Jinan 250022, P. R. China

²*School of Physics, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, P. R. China*

³*School of Physics and Chemistry, Henan*

Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo 454003, P. R. China

Abstract

The hadronization effects induced by various color connections of the four-quark system in e^+e^- annihilation are briefly reviewed. A special color connection of the four-heavy-quark system with no color separation favors the production of doubly heavy baryons. For the related three-jet case, the corresponding hadronization has not yet been considered. We argue that it can be effectively described as two-string fragmentation in addition to the leading heavy diquark fragmentation. The production rate and the properties of the final-state hadron systems are discussed. Emphasis is placed on the string effect as a fingerprint of this hadronization procedure.

Keywords: Color Connection, Doubly Heavy Baryon, Three-Jet Event, String Effect

PACS: 12.38.Bx, 13.87.Fh, 24.10.Lx

1. INTRODUCTION

The hadronization of the parton system created in hard collisions is very crucial to the understanding of the confinement mechanism, and it is very important to directly compare to data for a theoretical prediction with strongly interacting final states. However, up to now, it has not been possible to describe the hadronization process perturbatively, and it has been necessary to deal with various hadronization models. The color connection of the final parton system is necessary to set the ‘surface’ between the Perturbative Quantum Chromodynamics (PQCD) phase and the hadronization phase, which is beyond the approach of PQCD.

It has been argued that some clues can be obtained by analyzing the decomposition of the color space of the final partons produced in the PQCD phase [1–3]. For the color space of the parton system, there are many possible decompositions that correspond to various color connections (see, *e.g.*, [4, 5]). Hence, it is instructive to study the special properties of the final hadrons, which could provide information regarding evidence of specific color connections. Such investigations have been conducted for various parton systems [6–8]. One interesting and important example is the four-quark system $(q_1 \bar{q}_2 q_3 \bar{q}_4)$ produced via hard collisions. This is the simplest system in which many phenomena/mechanisms related to QCD properties can be studied, *e.g.*, the (re)combination of quarks in the production of special hadrons, the influence of soft interaction on the reconstruction of intermediate particles (such as W^\pm), *etc.*, most of which are more or less related to the color connections among these four quarks.

In our previous work [9], we have noted that the hadronization effects of one kind of the color connections of such four-quark systems $(q_1 \bar{q}_2 q_3 \bar{q}_4)$ had not yet been investigated. In this kind of color connection, these four quarks cannot be grouped into two singlet clusters. They can only be treated as a whole for hadronization. The special case (diquark pair fragmentation) in e^+e^- annihilation has been investigated in ref. [9]. The trigger there is the two-jet event shape, which corresponds to the kinematical configuration in which both $(q_1 q_3)$ and $(\bar{q}_2 \bar{q}_4)$ have small invariant masses and can be treated as a diquark and an antidiquark, respectively. This leads to the production of two baryons as the leading particles of these two jets.

However, the above kinematical restriction is very strong for that special case. On the

other hand, to trigger such kind of color connection, it is feasible to employ the hadrons containing two heavy quarks from the doubly heavy diquark fragmentation. Here, we investigate the four-heavy-quark system in which only one QQ' (or $\bar{Q}\bar{Q}'$) pair has a small invariant mass and can be considered as a diquark, while the invariant masses of the other two are left unrestricted. To clearly describe such a configuration, we consider a three-jet event shape. The doubly heavy diquark can fragment not only into various doubly heavy baryons (*e.g.*, Ξ_{cc} [10, 11]) but also into doubly heavy tetraquarks (*e.g.*, T_{cc} [12]), depending on whether the doubly heavy diquark combines with a quark or an antiquark. In this paper, the case of tetraquark production will also be briefly addressed when necessary to exhaust all the possible hadronic final states.

In future Z^0 factory or Giga-Z programs in high-luminosity next-generation e^+e^- high-energy colliders (see, *e.g.*, [13]), it will be possible to thoroughly experimentally study such a special color configuration. We set the center-of-mass energy to the Z^0 mass as an example for the feasibility of the numerical calculations, and at this energy, the heavy quarks Q/Q' refer to the charm and/or bottom quarks.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we review the color connections and the corresponding hadronizations of the four-quark system. In section 3, we analyze the event-shape and phase-space configurations at the parton level for the case in which we are interested. In section 4, we describe the hadronization of the special color connection and present the numerical results. Finally, we provide a short summary.

2. COLOR CONNECTIONS OF THE FOUR-QUARK SYSTEM

For the $q_1\bar{q}_2q_3\bar{q}_4$ system, two of the various decompositions of its color space can be written as follows:

$$(3_1 \otimes 3_2^*) \otimes (3_3 \otimes 3_4^*) = (1_{12} \oplus 8_{12}) \otimes (1_{34} \oplus 8_{34}) = (1_{12} \otimes 1_{34}) \oplus (8_{12} \otimes 8_{34}) \oplus \cdots, \quad (1)$$

$$(3_1 \otimes 3_4^*) \otimes (3_3 \otimes 3_2^*) = (1_{14} \oplus 8_{14}) \otimes (1_{32} \oplus 8_{32}) = (1_{14} \otimes 1_{32}) \oplus (8_{14} \otimes 8_{32}) \oplus \cdots, \quad (2)$$

where 3, 3^* , 1 and 8 denote the triplet, anti-triplet, singlet and octet representations, respectively, of the $SU_c(3)$ Group, and the subscripts correspond to the relevant (anti)quarks. In these two color decompositions, the quark and antiquark form a cluster/string, which

fragments into hadrons independently. This picture is adopted in the popular hadronization models (for details, see [14–17]). The difference between these two decompositions leads to the color reconnection phenomena, which are relevant to processes such as $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-/Z^0Z^0 \rightarrow q_1\bar{q}_2q_3\bar{q}_4 \rightarrow 4 \text{ jets}$ at LEP II [2, 8, 18–21], *etc.* One might also notice that in the $e^+e^- \rightarrow q_1\bar{q}_1 + g^* \rightarrow q_1\bar{q}_1q_2\bar{q}_2$ process, $(q_1\bar{q}_1)$ and $(q_2\bar{q}_2)$ cannot exist in color singlets, while $(q_1\bar{q}_2)$ and $(q_2\bar{q}_1)$ are usually treated as color singlets and hadronize independently. This corresponds to the term $1_{14} \otimes 1_{32}$ in Eq. (2) [22].

One of the important properties of the above decompositions is that the entire system is decomposed into color-singlet clusters. As noted in [9], the color space of the $q_1\bar{q}_2q_3\bar{q}_4$ system can also be decomposed in other ways to construct the color-singlet state as whole. Here, we are interested in the case in which

$$(3_1 \otimes 3_3) \otimes (3_2^* \otimes 3_4^*) = (3_{13}^* \oplus 6_{13}) \otimes (3_{24} \oplus 6_{24}^*) = (3_{13}^* \otimes 3_{24}) \oplus (6_{13} \otimes 6_{24}^*) \oplus \cdots, \quad (3)$$

where 6_{13} (6_{24}^*) denotes the sextet (anti-sextet) representation of the $SU_c(3)$ Group. When two (anti)quarks in color state $3^*(3)$ attract each other and form a(n) ‘(anti)diquark’ and their invariant mass is sufficiently small, such a cluster has a certain probability of hadronizing like a(n) (anti)diquark, as discussed in our previous work, by triggering the leading baryons and two-jet-like event shape. For the case in which only one of the pair has a small invariant mass, the color configuration can be better written as

$$\begin{aligned} (3_1 \otimes 3_3) \otimes 3_2^* \otimes 3_4^* &= 3_{13}^* \otimes 3_2^* \otimes 3_4^* \oplus \cdots, \text{ or} \\ 3_1 \otimes 3_3 \otimes (3_2^* \otimes 3_4^*) &= 3_1 \otimes 3_3 \otimes 3_{24} \oplus \cdots. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The color configuration as a whole is like a ‘big baryon.’ Without a doubt, the (anti)quark pair with a small invariant mass can hadronize into a(n) (anti)baryon (tetraquark) as a(n) (anti)diquark. As mentioned above, when these four quarks are all heavy, it is easy to identify the doubly heavy baryon/tetraquark, which comes directly from the doubly heavy diquark. As an example, for the $cc\bar{c}\bar{c}$ system, we can trigger the Ξ_{cc}/T_{cc} . In this case, the diquark cc must combine with a quark q (antidiquark) to hadronize into the baryon (tetraquark), which leaves the \bar{q} (diquark) to compensate the quantum number of the system (hereafter, q represents a light quark). For the remaining system, to describe the hadronization, a concrete model must be assigned, which will be investigated in detail in this paper.

Compared with our previous work concerning two-jet-like events [9] in which the color connection is the same as that of Eq. (3), the phase space of this three-jet event is much larger

and leads to a larger cross section. In this kind of events, the doubly heavy (anti)diquark belongs to an isolated jet separated from the other two jets induced by two heavy antiquarks (quarks). As a result, it is easy to trigger four-heavy-quark final states for the sake of studying their color connections. In addition, corresponding to the color connection in Eqs. (1) and (2), two heavy quark-antiquark ($Q\bar{Q}$) pairs form two clusters. If the invariant mass of the $Q\bar{Q}$ cluster is within the proper range, it will fragment into heavy quarkonia or tetraquark states, *etc.* Recently, a large number of new bound states, *e.g.*, X, Y and Z particles, have been observed in experiments. It has long been suggested that the production mechanism can help to identify the structure of the hadrons [23, 24]. One important example is X(3872). Its production mechanism has been carefully studied in [25, 26] to explore its structure, and as a result, X(3872) has been interpreted as a hadron molecule. However, X(3872) may also be interpreted as a tetraquark, $cq\bar{c}\bar{q}$. For this case, within our framework, if the invariant mass of the $c\bar{c}$ cluster is within the proper range, X(3872) can be fragmented from the $c\bar{c}$ cluster corresponding to the color connections of Eqs. (1) and (2), *i.e.*, $c\bar{c} \rightarrow X(3872) + \dots$. Without detailed investigation, we can roughly estimate the cross section for the prompt production of X(3872) by demanding that the invariant mass of the $c\bar{c}$ cluster be slightly larger than $M_{X(3872)}$, *i.e.*, $M_{c\bar{c}} = M_{X(3872)} + \delta m$ at the Z^0 pole energy in e^+e^- annihilation. The result is approximately 1.5×10^{-2} pb for $\delta m = 3.0$ GeV (4.5×10^{-3} pb for $\delta m = 1.5$ GeV).

The aim of this work is to investigate the hadronization effects corresponding to Eq. (4) that are related to the production of Ξ_{cc} and T_{cc} . Therefore, in the following, we will focus on investigating the effects of the events that contain Ξ_{cc} or T_{cc} that may be observed in experiments at e^+e^- colliders at the Z^0 pole energy. Before employing the model to calculate the final hadron events, the three-jet event shape must be investigated, and this is discussed in the next section. It is independent of the hadronization and can act as a further trigger to eliminate the ambiguities of the special color connection.

3. EVENT-SHAPE ANALYSIS AT THE PARTON LEVEL

The differential cross section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow Q\bar{Q}Q'\bar{Q}'$ can be written as

$$d\sigma = \frac{1}{2s} d\mathcal{L}ips_4 |\overline{\mathcal{M}}|^2, \quad (5)$$

where s is the square of the total energy, \mathcal{Lips}_4 represents the 4-particle phase space, and $|\overline{\mathcal{M}}|^2$ is the spin average and summation of the squared modulus amplitude. For this process, at the energy of the Z^0 pole, we investigate the three-jet cross section. Here, we require that the invariant mass of QQ' or $\bar{Q}\bar{Q}'$ lie within the range between $M_Q + M_{Q'}$ and $M_Q + M_{Q'} + \delta m$. As is well known, a well-defined jet is infrared safe, and the parton-level result can be applied at the hadron level. Here, we take the Durham algorithm [27]

$$y_{ij} = \frac{2\min(E_i^2, E_j^2)(1 - \cos\theta_{ij})}{E_{cm}^2} \quad (6)$$

to define the jet. The parameter y_{cut} is thus introduced, and two particles are considered as being in one jet when $y_{ij} < y_{cut}$. We apply this jet algorithm to the partonic cross section,

$$d\tilde{\sigma} = d\sigma[\Theta(M_Q + M_{Q'} + \delta m - M_{QQ'}) + \Theta(M_{\bar{Q}} + M_{\bar{Q}'} + \delta m - M_{\bar{Q}\bar{Q}'})], \quad (7)$$

to obtain the three-jet cross section σ_{3-jet} . In the above equation, $M_{QQ'}(M_{\bar{Q}\bar{Q}'})$ represents the (anti)diquark invariant mass. In our calculation, we take the fine structure constant to be $\alpha = 1/128$ and the strong coupling constant to be $\alpha_s = 0.12$. As an example, we set the quark masses to be $m_c = 1.5 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ and $m_b = 4.5 \text{ GeV}/c^2$. The corresponding results for the three-jet cross section σ_{3-jet} are shown in Fig. 1 for $\delta m = 1.5 \text{ GeV}$ and 1.0 GeV .

It is easy to find that the three-jet cross section can reach the order of 10^{-2} pb for $y_{cut} = 10^{-3}$. If the integrated luminosity of a Z^0 factory is approximately 10^5 pb^{-1} , then the number of heavy-diquark production events can be on the order of one thousand. This makes it possible to investigate the hadronization effects of the special color connection of Eq. (4).

In the above calculations, the weight of $(3_{13}^* \otimes 3_{24})$ relative to $(6_{13} \otimes 6_{24}^*)$ is not included. If only perturbative QCD is considered, this relative weight can be easily calculated by employing the color effective Hamiltonian [28] for this process:

$$H_c = \left[(\Psi_{1i}^\dagger \Psi^{2j\dagger} - \delta_i^j \Psi_{1k}^\dagger \Psi^{2k\dagger}/3) \cdot (\Psi_{3j}^\dagger \Psi^{4i\dagger} - \delta_j^i \Psi_{3k'}^\dagger \Psi^{4k'\dagger}/3) \right] \cdot D, \quad (8)$$

where D represents the phase-space factor. Then,

$$prob(|3_{13}^* \otimes 3_{24}\rangle) = \left| \langle 0 | 1/6 \cdot \epsilon_{mnp} a_{1n} a_{3p} \cdot \epsilon_{m'n'p'} b_{2n'} b_{4p'} \cdot H_c | 0 \rangle \right|^2 = \frac{4}{9}. \quad (9)$$

Hence, the relative weight of $(6_{13} \otimes 6_{24}^*)$ is $5/9$. This result, however, is not strongly predictive because non-perturbative QCD determines the probability of each decomposition. In this




FIG. 1: The three-jet cross section σ_{3-jet} with respect to y_{cut} for $e^+e^- \rightarrow QQ'\bar{Q}\bar{Q}'$. The higher solid/dashed/dotted line represents $\delta m = 1.5$ GeV, and the corresponding lower one represents $\delta m = 1.0$ GeV.

case, the small QQ' mass may enhance the probability of the decomposition represented by Eq. (3). This crucial probability of each decomposition must be determined from data, as discussed here.

4. HADRONIZATION AND RESULTS

For a color-singlet system with a large invariant mass, its hadronization is a ‘branching’ process via the creation of quarks from the vacuum by the strong interactions within the system. The created quarks and the primary quarks are combined into color-singlet hadrons. Here, as an example, we start from a heavy diquark. The heavy diquark needs a quark/antiquark to form an open doubly heavy baryon/tetraquark. To balance the quan-

tum numbers of color and flavor, an antiquark/diquark must be simultaneously created from the vacuum. To branch them further, more quark pairs and diquark pairs must be created from the vacuum via the interactions among the quark system. Such a cascade process will proceed until the end of time, when most of the ‘inner energy’ of the entire system is transformed into the kinematical energies and masses of the produced hadrons. Each of two newly created quarks (antiquarks) combines with each of the primary heavy antiquarks to respectively form two open heavy-flavor hadrons.

For the configurations considered here, the above process is straightforward, except that for each step, we must assign special quantum numbers for each specific kind of hadron according to its production rate. Because of the success of the Lund string model, especially its realization by PYTHIA/JETSET, the above hadronization procedure can be easily realized. For the case of tetraquark production, if the complementary diquark pair is broken by the interactions within the remaining system and then each becomes connected to the other two primary heavy antiquarks to form two strings, the resultant hadronization can be described by the conventional string-fragmentation picture. For the case of doubly heavy baryon production, the complementary antiquark can produce a baryon by combining with an antiquark, and then the balancing diquark can help to form two strings in the same manner described above. This procedure is illustrated in Fig. 2, where the $(cc)\bar{c}\bar{c}$ system and the Ξ_{cc} production are used as an example.

The fragmentation of the heavy diquark is described by the Peterson formula [29]

$$f(z) \propto \frac{1}{z(1 - 1/z - \epsilon_Q/(1 - z))^2}, \quad (10)$$

where ϵ_Q is a free parameter, which is expected to scale between flavors as $\epsilon_Q \propto 1/m_Q^2$. In the following, we will show two sets of results corresponding to $\epsilon_Q = 1/25$ and $1/16$ for the cc diquark.

We will also try the modified Lund symmetric fragmentation function

$$f(z) \propto \frac{1}{z^{1+r_Q b m_Q^2}} z^{a_\alpha} \left(\frac{1-z}{z}\right)^{a_\beta} \exp\left(-\frac{b m_\perp^2}{z}\right) \quad (11)$$

for heavy endpoint quarks, according to the Bowler (Artru–Mennessier, Morris) space–time picture of string evolution [30–32], to describe the fragmentation of the heavy diquark. This function is not sensitive to the free parameters a_α , a_β and b , but it is sensitive to r_Q . Here, we take $r_Q=1.0$, $a_\alpha = a_\beta = 0.8$ and $b = 0.58$, which are the default values in PYTHIA [15].




FIG. 2: The string formation for the hadronization of the $(cc')\bar{c}\bar{c}'$ system with the aid of quark creation from the vacuum. Solid circles represent quarks, while hollow circles represent antiquarks. The primary \bar{c} and \bar{c} connect to quarks respectively via two strings in (3).

To describe the fragmentation of the complementary (anti)quark, we adopt the fragmentation function employed by the LUND Group [33]

$$f(z) \propto z^{-1}(1-z)^a \exp(-bm_{\perp}^2/z), \quad (12)$$

where a and b are free parameters. In our program, we take $a = 0.3 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ and $b = 0.58 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$, as used in PYTHIA [15]. Another topic that we do not discuss here in detail is the excited states of the doubly heavy hadrons. If we consider the fact that heavy quark masses fatally break the $SU(4)$ and/or $SU(5)$ flavor symmetries and we assume that all the excited states dominantly decay into the ground state, the details of the differences can be neglected. In the following, we provide the numerical results for Ξ_{cc} and T_{cc} . The fragmentation of the strings can be referred to the classical book about the Lund model by B. Anderson [14] and the PYTHIA manual [15]. The corresponding FORTRAN code contains three parts: The first part is the perturbative calculation codes employed in section 3 to give the weight of each phase-space configuration of the primary quark system, which has been employed in our previous works [24, 34, 35]. The second part describes the picture shown in Fig. 2 and prepares the sub-strings, which are hadronized with the aid of PYTHIA in the third part.

The FORTRAN code for the first two parts is available upon request, and the PYTHIA package can be found at its homepage.

We show the energy and transverse-momentum (with respect to the thrust axis) distributions of Ξ_{cc} and T_{cc} in Figs. 3, 4, 5 and 6 respectively to demonstrate the hadronization effects. The absolute values of the distributions more or less depend on the parameters in Eqs. (10,11,12). The fragmentation functions and/or the parameters can be tuned by comparison to data once data are obtained. As seen from the energy-fraction distributions, one of the most significant hadronization effects can be observed in the fragmenting process. Ξ_{cc} and T_{cc} only take part of the energy of the (cc) , according to Eq. (10) or (11). From the transverse-momentum distributions, one can conclude that the transverse momentum (with respect to the thrust axis) of Ξ_{cc}/T_{cc} that is created in the hadronization process is very small. We notice that these two kinds of hadrons have similar distributions. The difference for x_T is more clear because the thrust is dependent on the entire hadronization, not only the diquark fragmentation.

We would like to emphasize that the above description of the formation of these two strings is only one of the effective descriptions of the branching procedure of the quark system. In principle, this and other effective models can yield the same event-shape variables. Thus, these two strings can form after more quark pairs and diquark pairs have been produced and combined, not necessarily only after one step. However, the three-jet event shape is not sensitive to at which step the strings form and fragment, nor is the leading doubly heavy hadron in one jet. This observation also applies to the following interesting phenomenon associated with such a two-string configuration. As seen from Fig. 2, because there are only two strings rather than three, no string extends in the phase space between \bar{Q} and \bar{Q}' . This leads to a significant string effect, which is the fingerprint of the effective description.

As is well known, in the e^+e^- center-of-mass frame, all three jet momenta must be in the same plane (\mathcal{P}) because of momentum conservation [36], so it is straightforward to observe the string effect [37]. Here, we provide a numerical example. We choose the more symmetric three-jet events by requiring that the angle between any two jets is larger than $\pi/2$. Then, the three-momentum of each final-state particle \vec{k}_i is projected onto one of the three regions between the jets to obtain \vec{k}'_i in the plane \mathcal{P} . The three-momentum of the jet that contains the doubly heavy baryon is chosen to be the x axis. The angle between \vec{k}'_i and the x axis




FIG. 3: The distribution of the energy fraction for Ξ_{cc} compared to the diquark (cc) as a function of the scaled dimensionless variable $x_E = 2E/\sqrt{s}$. Hereafter, three-jet events are defined with $y_{cut} = 10^{-3}$ if no explicit explanation is given. The smooth, solid black line represents the quark pair of cc , the solid green line (dashed red line) represents the baryon ccq that we trigger for $\epsilon_Q=1/25$ ($1/16$) in the Peterson formula Eq. (10), and the dotted blue line represents the modified Lund symmetric fragmentation function with $r_Q=1.0$ in Eq. (11).

is the azimuthal angle ϕ of the corresponding particle. We can then calculate the final particle-number (energy) distribution $1/N dN/d\phi$ ($1/E dE/d\phi$). The corresponding results are displayed in Fig. 7. Obviously, $\phi=0$ and $\phi = 2\pi$ correspond to the momentum of the jet that contains Ξ_{cc} . The region of ϕ around π corresponds to the region with no string expansion, and few particles emerge in this region. The peaks in Fig. 7 correspond to the directions of the three jets. From this figure, we find that the particle-number distribution and the energy distribution are quite similar, so the string effect can be observed either via the particle number measured with a tracker spectrometer or via the energy measured with a calorimeter.




FIG. 4: The transverse-momentum distribution for Ξ_{cc} compared to the diquark (cc) as a function of the scaled dimensionless variable $x_T = 2p_T/\sqrt{s}$. The smooth, solid black line represents the quark pair of cc , the solid green line (dashed red line) represents the baryon ccq that we trigger for $\epsilon_Q=1/25$ ($1/16$) in the Peterson formula Eq. (10), and the dotted blue line represents the modified Lund symmetric fragmentation function with $r_Q=1.0$ in Eq. (11).

As a more complete demonstration of the properties of symmetric three-jet events, we present the particle-number distribution versus both the rapidity and the transverse momentum. The swallowtail structure in Fig. 8 clearly demonstrates the string effect. The three jets are well separated on the contour of the particle rapidity and the transverse momentum. Once the Ξ_{cc} or T_{cc} is observed and a large number of events is accumulated, this kind of effect can be convincingly identified at e^+e^- colliders. The color-flow method can also be extended to study resonant heavy-colored-particle production at the LHC and the ILC.




FIG. 5: The distribution of the energy fraction for T_{cc} compared to the diquark (cc) as a function of $x_E = 2E/\sqrt{s}$. The smooth, solid black smooth line represents the quark pair of cc , the solid green line (dashed red line) represents T_{cc} for $\epsilon_Q=1/25$ ($1/16$) in the Peterson formula Eq. (10), and the dotted blue line represents the modified Lund symmetric fragmentation function with $r_Q=1.0$ in Eq. (11).

5. SUMMARY

In this paper, we investigate a special color connection of the four-heavy-quark system and the corresponding hadronization effects in e^+e^- annihilation. We point out that in addition to the normal color structure with two color singlets, which independently fragment into hadrons in the popular models, there exists another kind of color structure, as shown in Eq. (4), which must be taken into account in some cases, *e.g.*, Ξ_{cc} and T_{cc} production. For this kind of color connection, the hadronization of the four quarks should be treated as an entire system, *i.e.*, they interact with one another during the hadronization process. We discuss the hadronization for this kind of color connection and investigate the triggers for the corresponding effects. The most significant one is the doubly heavy baryon/tetraquark




FIG. 6: The transverse-momentum distribution for T_{cc} compared to the diquark (cc) as a function of $x_T = 2p_T/\sqrt{s}$. The smooth, solid black line represents the quark pair of cc , the solid green line (dashed red line) represents T_{cc} for $\epsilon_Q=1/25$ ($1/16$) in the Peterson formula Eq. (10), and the dotted blue line represents the modified Lund symmetric fragmentation function with $r_Q=1.0$ in Eq. (11).

production in three-jet-like events. Furthermore, for symmetric three-jet events, the string effects of the special particle flow can be used to track the color connection more precisely. e^+e^- colliders are good arena to study various color connections of final multiparton systems. There exist several e^+e^- collider projects, *e.g.*, the ILC, the CLIC, Higgs factories and Z^0 factories. All these projects can incorporate the Giga-Z project and are excellent opportunities for experimentally verifying our suggestions.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported in part by NSFC (11275114, 11047029, 10935012) and the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province. The authors thank all members of the Theoretical




FIG. 7: The string effect in the three-jet case for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Xi_{cc} + X$ events. The solid line represents $\frac{1}{N} \frac{dN}{d\phi}$, and the dashed line represents $\frac{1}{E} \frac{dE}{d\phi}$. Here, the symmetric three-jet events are defined to require each relative angle to be larger than $\frac{\pi}{2}$.

Particle Physics Group of Shandong University for their helpful discussions.

-
- [1] G. Gustafson and J. Häkkinen, Z. Phys. C **64**, 659, 1994.
 - [2] Zong-Guo Si, Qun Wang, and Qu-Bing Xie, Phys. Lett. B **401**, 107, 1997.
 - [3] Li-Li Tian, Qu-Bing Xie and Zong-Guo Si, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 4517, 1994.
 - [4] Qun Wang and Qu-Bing Xie, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 1469, 1995.
 - [5] Qun Wang, G. Gustafson and Qu-Bing Xie, Phys. Rev. D **62** 054004, 2000.
 - [6] C. Friberg, G. Gustafson and J. Häkkinen, Nucl. Phys. B **490**, 289, 1997.
 - [7] Shi-Yuan Li, Feng-Lan Shao and Qu-Bing Xie, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 077503, 2002.
 - [8] G. Altarelli, T. Sjöstrand and F. Zwirner (eds.), PHYSICS AT LEP-2, CERN Yellow Report.
 - [9] W. Han, S. -Y. Li, Z. -G. Si and Z. -J. Yang, Phys. Lett. B **642**, 62 (2006).




FIG. 8: The particle-number distribution versus both the rapidity and the transverse momentum defined with respect to the thrust axis. The two dark wings of the swallowtail correspond to two \bar{c} jets. The dark area around $x_T = 0$ corresponds to the third jet, including Ξ_{cc}/T_{cc} .

- [10] J. P. Ma and Z. G. Si, Phys. Lett. B **568** (2003) 135.
- [11] J. Jiang, X. -G. Wu, S. -M. Wang, J. -W. Zhang and Z. -Y. Fang, Phys. Rev. D 87, **054027** (2013).
- [12] S. Zouzou, B. Silvestre-Brac, C. Gignoux, J. M. Richard, Z. Phys. C **30**, 457 (1986).
- [13] JIN Yi et al., SCIENCE CHINA-Physics, Mechanics & Astronomy **53**, 1998, 2010.
- [14] B. Andersson, ‘The Lund Model’ (Cambridge University Press, 1998), and references therein.
- [15] T. Sjöstrand et al., Computer Physics Commun. **135**, 238, 2001.
- [16] G. Marchesini and B. R. Webber, Nucl. Phys. B **238**, 1, 1984; B. R. Webber, Nucl. Phys. B **238**, 492, 1984.
- [17] G. Corcella et al., JHEP 0101, 010, 2001.
- [18] T. Sjöstrand and V. A. Khoze, Z. Phys. C **62**, 281, 1994; Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 28, 1994.
- [19] G. Gustafson, U. Pettersson and P. M. Zerwas, Phys. Lett. B **209**, 90, 1988.

- [20] G. Gustafson and J. Häkkinen, Z. Phys. C **64**, 659, 1994.
- [21] Shi-Yuan Li, Zong-Guo Si, Qu-Bing Xie and Qun Wang, Phys. Lett. B **458**, 370, 1999.
- [22] Qun Wang, Qu-Bing Xie and Zong-Guo Si, Phys. Lett. B **388**, 346, 1996.
- [23] L. Maiani, A. D. Polosa, V. Riquer and C. A. Salgado, Phys. Lett. B **645** (2007) 138.
- [24] W. Han, S. -Y. Li, Y. -H. Shang, F. -L. Shao and T. Yao, Phys. Rev. C **80** (2009) 035202.
- [25] C. Bignamini et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 162001 (2009).
- [26] A. Esposito et al., arXiv:1305.0527 [hep-ph].
- [27] S. Catani, Yu. L. Dokshitzer, M. Olsson, G. Turnock and B.R. Webber, Phys. Lett. B **269**, 432, 1991.
- [28] WANG Qun, Gosta Gustafson, XIE Qu-Bing, Phys. Rev. **D62**(2000)054004.
- [29] C. Peterson, D. Schlatter, I. Schmitt and P. Zerwas, Phys. Rev. D **27** (1983) 105.
- [30] M.G. Bowler, Z. Phys. C **11** (1981) 169.
- [31] X. Artru and G. Mennessier, Nucl. Phys. B **70** (1974) 93.
- [32] D.A. Morris, Nucl. Phys. B **313** (1989) 634.
- [33] B. Andersson, G. Gustafson and B. Söderberg, Z. Phys. C **20** (1983) 317.
- [34] S. -Y. Li, Z. -G. Si, Q. -B. Xie and Q. Wang, Phys. Lett. B **458**, 370 (1999)
- [35] S. -y. Li, Q. -b. Xie and Q. Wang, Phys. Lett. B **482** (2000) 65
- [36] J. R. Ellis, M. K. Gaillard and G. G. Ross, Nucl. Phys. B **111** (1976) 253 [Erratum-ibid. B **130** (1977) 516].
- [37] W. de Boer, CERN-PPE-90-161, and refs. therein.