

W -propagator corrections to μ and τ leptonic decays

M. Fael*

*Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Padova
Istituto Nazionale Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Padova, I-35131 Padova, Italy and
Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Zürich, CH-8057, Zürich, Switzerland*

L. Mercolli†

Princeton University, Department of Astrophysical Sciences, Princeton, NJ, 08544, USA

M. Passera‡

Istituto Nazionale Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Padova, I-35131 Padova, Italy

(Dated: October 12, 2018)

We derive the corrections induced by the W -boson propagator to the differential rates of the leptonic decay of a polarized muon and τ lepton. Results are presented both for decays inclusive of inner bremsstrahlung as well as for radiative ones, when a photon emitted in the decay process is measured. The numerical effect of these corrections is discussed. The definition of the Fermi constant G_F is briefly reviewed.

PACS numbers: 12.15.Lk, 13.35.Bv, 13.35.Dx

I. INTRODUCTION

The decay of the muon is one of the best known processes in particle physics. For more than 50 years it has played a fundamental role in our understanding of weak interactions, and increasingly precise measurements of its lifetime, combined with the calculation of radiative corrections, allowed to determine the Fermi constant G_F with a relative precision of better than one part per million (ppm) [1–3].

Muon and τ leptonic decays provide a clean probe to test the Standard Model (SM) and search for possible “new physics” beyond it. Recent measurements of the muon decay spectrum reached the precision of 10^{-4} [4]. The energy spectra of electrons and muons in leptonic τ decays have been measured to $\mathcal{O}(1\%)$ [5] and significant improvements are expected at the upcoming SuperKEKB collider [6]. Progress is expected also in the analyses of muon and τ radiative leptonic decays, which provide a complementary tool to analyze the structure of the mediating current when inner bremsstrahlung is detected and measured. Precise radiative leptonic τ decay data may also allow to determine the τ dipole moments [7].

In this article we evaluate the corrections to muon and τ leptonic decays induced by the W -boson propagator. Calling M and m the masses of the initial and final charged leptons (neutrinos and antineutrinos are considered massless), we present both the leading contributions, of $\mathcal{O}(M^2/M_W^2)$, as well as the subleading ones, of $\mathcal{O}(m^2/M_W^2)$. They are of order $m_\tau^2/M_W^2 \sim 5 \times 10^{-4}$, $m_\mu^2/M_W^2 \sim 2 \times 10^{-6}$, and $m_e^2/M_W^2 \sim 4 \times 10^{-11}$.

We begin our analysis considering, in Sec. II, muon and τ leptonic decays when measurements are inclusive of inner bremsstrahlung and possible light-fermion pair production. We review the W -propagator corrections to integrated decay rates (also addressing a recent controversy in the literature) and present subleading, previously uncomputed, contributions to the energy-angle distribution of the final charged lepton. This section also contains a brief review of the definition of the Fermi constant G_F . In Sec. III we derive the W -propagator corrections to the differential decay rates when a radiative photon is identified. Conclusions are drawn in Sec. IV.

II. INCLUSIVE DECAYS

In this Section we consider the contribution of the W -boson propagator to the rates of muon and τ leptonic decays inclusive of the emission of radiation and possible light-fermion pair production accompanying the decay process. We denote them by

$$\mu^- \rightarrow e^- \nu_\mu \bar{\nu}_e(\gamma), \quad (1)$$

$$\tau^- \rightarrow l^- \nu_\tau \bar{\nu}_l(\gamma), \quad (2)$$

where $l = e$ or μ . The theoretical prediction for these decay rates must include fully integrated inner bremsstrahlung and tiny contributions from the production of light-fermion pairs.

Let us focus our attention on muon decay. In the SM, the decay rate of (1) is [8]

$$\Gamma_{(1)} = \frac{G_\mu^2 M^5}{192\pi^3} F(r^2) (1 + \delta_\mu) [1 + \delta_w(M, m)], \quad (3)$$

where $r = m/M$, $r_w = M/M_W$,

$$F(t) = 1 - 8t + 8t^3 - t^4 - 12t^2 \ln t \quad (4)$$

*Electronic address: matteo.fael@pd.infn.it

†Electronic address: mercolli@astro.princeton.edu

‡Electronic address: passera@pd.infn.it

is a phase-space factor and, as defined earlier, M and m are identified in muon decay with m_μ and m_e . Also,

$$\frac{G_\mu}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{g^2}{8M_W^2} (1 + \Delta r), \quad (5)$$

where g is the $SU(2)_L$ gauge coupling constant and Δr is the electroweak correction introduced by Sirlin in Ref. [9]. The term δ_μ is the QED correction evaluated in the Fermi $V-A$ theory; it includes the corrections of virtual and real photons up to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$, as well as the tiny contribution of the decay $\mu^- \rightarrow e^- \nu_\mu \bar{\nu}_e e^+ e^-$ [10–16]. Moreover,

$$\delta_w(M, m) = \frac{3}{5} r_w^2 \frac{(1 - r^2)^5}{F(r^2)} + \mathcal{O}(r_w^4) \quad (6)$$

is the tree-level correction induced by the W -boson propagator recently computed by Ferroglia, Greub, Sirlin and Zhang [8]. Its leading and next-to-leading contributions can be immediately derived from Eq. (6): $(3/5)(M/M_W)^2$ and $(9/5)(m/M_W)^2$, respectively. While the leading one is well known in the literature [17, 18], the next-to-leading term differs from that reported in earlier publications [19–21]. We confirm the result in Eq. (6), in agreement with Ref. [8]. We should add that while $(3/5)(m_\mu/M_W)^2 \sim 1.0 \times 10^{-6}$ is of the same magnitude as the present experimental relative uncertainty of the muon decay rate in Eq. (3), 1.0 ppm, the subleading contribution $(9/5)(m_e/M_W)^2 \sim 7.3 \times 10^{-11}$ is out of experimental reach in the foreseeable future. Moreover, radiative corrections to Eq. (3) of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^3) \sim 10^{-7}$ and $\mathcal{O}(\alpha m_\mu^2/M_W^2) \sim 10^{-8}$ have not yet been computed.

The Fermi constant of weak interactions, G_F , is defined from the muon lifetime τ_μ evaluated in the Fermi $V-A$ theory

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} [\bar{\psi}_{\nu_\mu} \gamma^\alpha (1 - \gamma_5) \psi_\mu] [\bar{\psi}_e \gamma_\alpha (1 - \gamma_5) \psi_{\nu_e}] + \text{h.c.} \quad (7)$$

plus QED to leading order in the weak interaction coupling constant. We remind the reader that to leading order in G_F , but to all orders in α , the radiative corrections to muon decay in the Fermi $V-A$ theory are finite after mass and charge renormalization [22]. Specifically, the present Particle Data Group (PDG) definition of G_F is given by the relation [2, 23]

$$\frac{1}{\tau_\mu} = \frac{G_F^2 m_\mu^5}{192\pi^3} F\left(\frac{m_e^2}{m_\mu^2}\right) (1 + \delta_\mu). \quad (8)$$

This definition is independent of M_W , whereas earlier ones (see, for example, PDG 2010 [24]) included the additional factor $[1 + (3/5)m_\mu^2/M_W^2]$ on the r.h.s. of Eq. (8). Since this factor does not arise in the Fermi theory framework, it is more natural not to include it in the definition in Eq. (8). Inserting the latest experimental value $\tau_\mu = 2\,196\,980.3(2.2)\text{ps}$ [1] and $\delta_\mu = -4.198\,18 \times 10^{-3}$ [2] into Eq. (8), one obtains

$G_F = 1.166\,378\,7(6) \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ [23]. Also, identifying Eq. (8) with Eq. (3) one finds the relation [8]

$$G_\mu^2 = G_F^2 / [1 + \delta_w(m_\mu, m_e)], \quad (9)$$

with $\delta_w(m_\mu, m_e) = 1.04 \times 10^{-6}$ given by Eq. (6).

The muon decay rate in Eq. (3) can be immediately extended to the τ leptonic decays in (2) identifying M with m_τ and m with m_e or m_μ . The QED correction δ_μ should also be replaced by δ_τ , the appropriate one for these decays, while the electroweak corrections are the same as those contained in G_μ for muon decay [25]. Furthermore, in order to express these τ decay rates in terms of G_F , one should also replace G_μ in Eq. (3) via Eq. (9), thus obtaining

$$\Gamma_{(2)} = \frac{G_F^2 M^5}{192\pi^3} F(r^2) (1 + \delta_\tau) \left[\frac{1 + \delta_w(M, m)}{1 + \delta_w(m_\mu, m_e)} \right]. \quad (10)$$

Note that the leading contribution to $\delta_w(M, m)$, appearing in the numerator in square brackets, is independent of the flavor of the final lepton; it amounts to $(3/5)(m_\tau/M_W)^2 \sim 2.9 \times 10^{-4}$. The term $\delta_w(m_\mu, m_e)$ in the denominator, due to the relation between G_μ and G_F , has been kept for completeness, but it is of the same order of magnitude as the uncomputed radiative corrections of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha m_\tau^2/M_W^2) \sim 10^{-6}$. The hadronic corrections to Eq. (10) are still missing too; they are of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2/\pi^2) \sim 10^{-5}$ [15, 26].

The energy-angle distribution of the final charged lepton in the decays (1) and (2) of a polarized μ^- or τ^- at rest is [12]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2\Gamma_{(1,2)}}{dx d\cos\theta_l} &= \frac{G_F^2 M^5}{192\pi^3} \frac{x\beta}{1 + \delta_w(m_\mu, m_e)} \times \\ &\{3x - 2x^2 + r^2(3x - 4) + f(x) \\ &+ r_w^2 [2x^2 - x^3 - 2r^2(1 + x - x^2 + r^2)] \\ &- \cos\theta_l x\beta [2x - 1 - 3r^2 + g(x)] \\ &+ r_w^2 x(x - 2r^2)\} + \mathcal{O}(r_w^4), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where $\beta \equiv |\vec{p}_l|/E_l = \sqrt{1 - 4r^2/x^2}$, $p_l = (E_l, \vec{p}_l)$ is the four-momentum of the final charged lepton, $x = 2E_l/M$ varies between $2r$ and $1+r^2$, p and $n = (0, \hat{n})$ are the four-momentum and polarization vector of the initial muon or τ , with $n^2 = -1$ and $n \cdot p = 0$, and $\cos\theta_l$ is the angle between \hat{n} and \vec{p}_l . The corresponding formula for the decay of a polarized μ^+ or τ^+ is simply obtained inverting the sign in front of $\cos\theta_l$ in Eq. (11). The functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are the QED radiative corrections; $f(x)$, contributing to the isotropic (θ_l -independent) part, has been calculated up to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$, while $g(x)$, contributing to the anisotropic one, is known up to leading $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$ effects [10–13, 27–29]. The hadronic corrections to Eq. (11), which are of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2/\pi^2)$, were computed for the decay of the muon, but not yet for the τ [30]. The terms proportional to r_w^2 are induced by the W -boson propagator. The leading ones, of $\mathcal{O}(r_w^2)$, agree with those of Ref. [31]; they are

required by present studies of the Michel parameters in leptonic τ decays at Belle [32]. To our knowledge, the calculation of the subleading terms, of $\mathcal{O}(r^2 r_w^2)$, is new.

III. RADIATIVE DECAYS

We now turn our attention to the contributions of the W -boson propagator to the decays

$$\mu^- \rightarrow e^- \nu_\mu \bar{\nu}_e \gamma, \quad (12)$$

$$\tau^- \rightarrow l^- \nu_\tau \bar{\nu}_l \gamma, \quad (13)$$

when a radiative photon is detected and measured. The tree-level SM prediction for the differential decay rates (12, 13) of a polarized μ^- or τ^- at rest is

$$\frac{d^6\Gamma^0}{dx dy d\Omega_l d\Omega_\gamma} = \frac{\alpha G_F^2 M^5}{(4\pi)^6} \frac{x\beta}{1 + \delta_w(m_\mu, m_e)} \left[G_0(x, y, c) + x\beta \hat{n} \cdot \hat{p}_l J_0(x, y, c) + y \hat{n} \cdot \hat{p}_\gamma K_0(x, y, c) \right], \quad (14)$$

where $y = 2E_\gamma/M$, E_γ is the energy of the photon, the final charged lepton and photon are emitted at solid angles Ω_l and Ω_γ , respectively, with normalized three-momenta \hat{p}_l and \hat{p}_γ , and $c \equiv \cos\theta$ is the cosine of the angle between \hat{p}_l and \hat{p}_γ . The corresponding formula for the radiative decay of a polarized μ^+ or τ^+ is simply obtained inverting the signs in front of the scalar products $\hat{n} \cdot \hat{p}_l$ and $\hat{n} \cdot \hat{p}_\gamma$ in Eq. (14). The function G_0 and, analogously, J_0 and K_0 , are given by

$$G_0 = \frac{4}{3yz^2} \left[g_0(x, y, c) + r_w^2 g_w(x, y, c) + \mathcal{O}(r_w^4) \right], \quad (15)$$

where $z = xy(1 - c\beta)/2$. The functions g_0 , j_0 , and k_0 , computed in [18, 33–35], arise from the pure Fermi $V-A$ interaction, whereas g_w , j_w , and k_w are the leading contributions of the W -boson propagator. Their explicit expressions are:

$$\begin{aligned} g_w = & z \left(-2x^4y - 6x^3y^2 + 6x^3yz + 4x^3y + 2x^3z \right. \\ & - 7x^2y^3 + 16x^2y^2z + 8x^2y^2 - 7x^2yz^2 - 2x^2yz \\ & - 6x^2z^2 - 4x^2z - 4xy^4 + 14xy^3z + 6xy^3 - 14xy^2z^2 \\ & - 8xy^2z + 4xyz^3 - 12xyz^2 - 8xyz + 6xz^3 + 8xz^2 \\ & - y^5 + 4y^4z + 2y^4 - 6y^3z^2 - 5y^3z + 4y^2z^3 - 4y^2z^2 \\ & - 4y^2z - yz^4 + 9yz^3 + 14yz^2 - 2z^4 - 4z^3) \\ & + r^2 (2x^3y^2 + 4x^3yz + 6x^2y^3 + 2x^2y^2z - 4x^2y^2 \\ & - 8x^2yz^2 - 4x^2yz - 4x^2z^2 + 6xy^4 - 12xy^3z \\ & - 8xy^3 - 8xy^2z^2 + 4xy^2z + 6xyz^3 - 4xyz^2 - 4xyz \\ & + 8xz^3 + 4xz^2 + 2y^5 - 9y^4z - 4y^4 + 4y^3z^2 + 12y^3z \\ & + 5y^2z^3 - 4y^2z^2 - 2yz^4 + 12yz^3 + 4yz^2 - 4z^4 \\ & - 4z^3 + 4z^2) - 2r^4 (2x^2y^2 + 4xy^3 - 4xy^2z \\ & - 2xy^2 + 2xyz + 2y^4 - 7y^3z - 2y^3 + 2y^2z^2 \\ & + 2y^2z - 2y^2 - 2z^2) + 4r^6 y^2, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} j_w = & z \left(-4x^3y - 10x^2y^2 + 10x^2yz + 4x^2z - 8xy^3 \right. \\ & + 21xy^2z - 8xyz^2 + 8xyz - 8xz^2 - y^4 + 10y^3z \\ & - y^3 - 11y^2z^2 + 2y^2z + 2yz^3 - 10yz^2 + 4z^3) / 2 \\ & + r^2 (4x^2y^2 + 8x^2yz + 8xy^3 + 4xy^2z - 12xyz^2 \\ & - 8xz^2 + 4y^4 - 5y^3z - 8y^2z^2 + 4yz^3 - 8yz^2 \\ & + 8z^3) / 2 - 4r^4 y^2 (x + y - z), \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} k_w = & z \left(-2x^3y + 2x^3z - 6x^2y^2 + 11x^2yz - 6x^2z^2 \right. \\ & - 7xy^3 + 18xy^2z + xy^2 - 17xyz^2 - 2xyz + 6xz^3 \\ & - 2y^4 + 8y^3z - 12y^2z^2 + 8yz^3 + 2yz - 2z^4) / 2 \\ & + r^2 (4x^2y^2 - 4x^2z^2 + 8xy^3 - 19xy^2z - 8xyz^2 \\ & + 8xz^3 + 4y^4 - 18y^3z + 8y^2z^2 + 8y^2z + 10yz^3 \\ & + 6yz^2 - 4z^4) / 2 \\ & - 2r^4 y (2xy - 2xz + 2y^2 - 7yz + 2z^2). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

The kinematic limits for x , c , and y are

$$\begin{aligned} 2r & \leq x \leq 1 + r^2, \\ -1 & \leq c \leq 1, \\ 0 & < y \leq y_{\max}(x, c), \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where the maximum normalized photon energy is

$$y_{\max}(x, c) = \frac{2(1 + r^2 - x)}{2 - x + cx\beta}. \quad (20)$$

However, every experimental setup has a minimum photon energy $E_\gamma^{\min} = y_{\min}(M/2)$ below which photons are not detected. As the constraint $y_{\min} < y_{\max}(x, c)$, necessary to measure radiative decays, leads to the bound $c < c_{\max}(x)$, with

$$c_{\max}(x) = \frac{2(1 + r^2 - x) - (2 - x)y_{\min}}{x\beta y_{\min}}, \quad (21)$$

the kinematic ranges of x , c , and $y > y_{\min}$ are reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} 2r & \leq x \leq 1 + r^2, \\ -1 & \leq c \leq \min\{1, c_{\max}(x)\}, \\ y_{\min} & \leq y \leq y_{\max}(x, c). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

We note that the terms in G_0 , J_0 , and K_0 proportional to r^2 cannot be neglected in the integrated decay rate. Indeed, the functions multiplying these r^2 terms generate a singular behavior in the $r \rightarrow 0$ limit after the integration over $c \equiv \cos\theta$: terms proportional to r^2/z^2 in G_0 (or J_0 , K_0) lead to a nonvanishing contribution to the integrated decay rate since $\int dc (1/z^2) \propto 1/z$ is evaluated at the integration limit $c \rightarrow 1$ where $z \rightarrow xy(1 - \beta)/2 \approx r^2(y/x)$ for $x \gg 2r$.

One-loop radiative corrections to Eq. (14) were computed in Refs. [36, 37].

If the initial μ^\pm or τ^\pm are not polarized, Eq. (14) simplifies to

$$\frac{d^3\Gamma^0}{dx\,dc\,dy} = \frac{\alpha G_F^2 M^5}{(4\pi)^6} \frac{8\pi^2 x\beta}{1 + \delta_w(m_\mu, m_e)} G_0(x, y, c). \quad (23)$$

Integrating Eq. (23) over the kinematic ranges (22) and dividing the result by the muon or τ total widths $\Gamma_{\mu,\tau}$ one obtains the branching ratios of the radiative decays (12, 13) for a given threshold y_{\min} . We note that these branching ratios contain mass singularities (and $\ln y_{\min}$) [18, 33], but their presence does not contradict the Kinoshita–Lee–Nauenberg theorem, which applies only to total decay rates [12, 38].

In particular, for the branching ratio of radiative μ^+ decays with a minimum detected photon energy $E_\gamma^{\min} = 10$ MeV we obtain 1.3×10^{-2} , to be compared with the experimental value $1.4(4) \times 10^{-2}$ [39]. A new preliminary measurement of this branching ratio has recently been reported by the PIBETA experiment [40]. For radiative τ^- decays, with the same threshold $E_\gamma^{\min} = 10$ MeV, we obtain 1.84×10^{-2} ($l = e$) and 3.67×10^{-3} ($l = \mu$), to be compared with the values measured by the CLEO Collaboration, $(1.75 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-2}$ and $(3.61 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-3}$, respectively, where the first error is statistical and the second one is systematic [41].

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We examined the corrections induced by the W -boson propagator to the rates of the leptonic decay of a polarized muon and τ . We first analysed the experimental setup in which inner bremsstrahlung is not measured, reviewing the W -propagator correction to the integrated decay rates and the definition of the Fermi constant. Leading ($\mathcal{O}(M^2/M_W^2)$) and subleading ($\mathcal{O}(m^2/M_W^2)$) contributions to the energy-angle distribu-

tion of the final charged lepton were also presented (M and m are the masses of the initial and final charged leptons). The leading ones are already required by present studies of τ leptonic decays at Belle [32]. Comparisons with existing results were performed.

We then considered radiative decays, where the emission of a real photon is detected and measured, deriving the expression for the differential decay rate with respect to the angles and the energies of the final lepton and photon. The numerical impact of the W -propagator corrections to the differential rate of radiative muon decay is currently negligible because $m_\mu^2/M_W^2 \sim 10^{-6}$, whereas, at present, the relative error in the measurement of the electron spectrum is of $\mathcal{O}(1\%)$ [40]. On the other hand, since in radiative τ decays $m_\tau^2/M_W^2 \sim 5 \times 10^{-4}$, W -propagator corrections are useful to control the present systematic uncertainty of the final-lepton spectra measured at Belle [42], and their inclusion is expected to be important to interpret the precise results from the upcoming Belle-II experiment [6].

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank S. Eidelman, D. Epifanov and A. Sirlin for very useful comments. The work of M.F. is supported in part by the Research Executive Agency of the European Union under the Grant Agreement PITN-GA-2010-264564 (LHCPhenoNet). L.M. is supported by a grant from the Swiss National Science Foundation. M.P. also thanks the Department of Physics and Astronomy of the University of Padova for its support. His work was supported in part by the Italian Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca Scientifica under the program PRIN 2010-11, and by the European Programmes UNILHC (contract PITN-GA-2009-237920) and INVISIBLES (contract PITN-GA-2011-289442).

-
- [1] D.M. Webber *et al.* [MuLan Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **106** (2011) 041803.
 - [2] A. Sirlin and A. Ferroglia, Rev. Mod. Phys. **85** (2013) 1, [arXiv:1210.5296 [hep-ph]].
 - [3] W.J. Marciano, Phys. Rev. D **60** (1999) 093006 [hep-ph/9903451].
 - [4] A. Hillairet *et al.* [TWIST Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **85** (2012) 092013 [arXiv:1112.3606 [hep-ex]].
 - [5] A. Heister *et al.* [ALEPH Collaboration], Eur. Phys. J. C **22** (2001) 217; P. Abreu *et al.* [DELPHI Collaboration], Eur. Phys. J. C **16** (2000) 229 [hep-ex/0107076]; K. Ackerstaff *et al.* [OPAL Collaboration], Eur. Phys. J. C **8** (1999) 3 [hep-ex/9808016].
 - [6] T. Aushev *et al.*, arXiv:1002.5012 [hep-ex].
 - [7] M. Fael, L. Mercolli and M. Passera, arXiv:1301.5302 [hep-ph].
 - [8] A. Ferroglia, C. Greub, A. Sirlin and Z. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **88** (2013) 033012 [arXiv:1307.6900 [hep-ph]].
 - [9] A. Sirlin, Phys. Rev. D **22** (1980) 971.
 - [10] R.E. Behrends, R.J. Finkelstein and A. Sirlin, Phys. Rev. **101** (1956) 866.
 - [11] S.M. Berman, Phys. Rev. **112** (1958) 267.
 - [12] T. Kinoshita and A. Sirlin, Phys. Rev. **113** (1959) 1652.
 - [13] M. Roos and A. Sirlin, Nucl. Phys. B **29** (1971) 296.
 - [14] T. van Ritbergen and R.G. Stuart, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82** (1999) 488 [hep-ph/9808283]; Nucl. Phys. B **564** (2000) 343 [hep-ph/9904240]; M. Steinhauser and T. Seidensticker, Phys. Lett. B **467** (1999) 271 [hep-ph/9909436]; A. Pak and A. Czarnecki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100** (2008) 241807 [arXiv:0803.0960 [hep-ph]].
 - [15] T. van Ritbergen and R.G. Stuart, Phys. Lett. B **437** (1998) 201 [hep-ph/9802341].
 - [16] A. Ferroglia, G. Ossola and A. Sirlin, Nucl. Phys. B **560** (1999) 23 [hep-ph/9905442].
 - [17] T.D. Lee and C.-N. Yang, Phys. Rev. **108** (1957) 1611.
 - [18] S.G. Eckstein and R.H. Pratt, Ann. Phys. **8** (1959) 297.

- [19] Q. Ho-Kim and X.-Y. Pham, “Elementary particles and their interactions,” Berlin, Germany, Springer-Verlag, 1998; see Eq. (13.28) on p. 459, and p. 474.
- [20] M. Krawczyk and D. Temes, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **44**, 435 (2005) [hep-ph/0410248].
- [21] D.M. Asner *et al.*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **24** (2009) S1 [arXiv:0809.1869 [hep-ex]]; see Eqs. (29.2.16-17), p. 722.
- [22] S.M. Berman and A. Sirlin, *Ann. Phys.* **20** (1962) 20.
- [23] J. Beringer *et al.* [Particle Data Group Collaboration], *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 010001.
- [24] K. Nakamura *et al.* [Particle Data Group Collaboration], *J. Phys. G* **37** (2010) 075021.
- [25] W.J. Marciano and A. Sirlin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **61** (1988) 1815.
- [26] S. Actis *et al.*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **66** (2010) 585 [arXiv:0912.0749 [hep-ph]].
- [27] T. Kinoshita and A. Sirlin, *Phys. Rev.* **107** (1957) 593.
- [28] A.B. Arbuzov, *Phys. Lett. B* **524** (2002) 99 [hep-ph/0110047]; A. Arbuzov, A. Czarnecki and A. Gaponenko, *Phys. Rev. D* **65** (2002) 113006 [hep-ph/0202102]; A. Arbuzov and K. Melnikov, *Phys. Rev. D* **66** (2002) 093003 [hep-ph/0205172]; A. Arbuzov, *JHEP* **0303** (2003) 063 [hep-ph/0206036].
- [29] C. Anastasiou, K. Melnikov and F. Petriello, *JHEP* **0709** (2007) 014 [hep-ph/0505069].
- [30] A.I. Davydychev, K. Schilcher and H. Spiesberger, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **19** (2001) 99 [hep-ph/0011221].
- [31] M. Fischer, S. Groote, J.G. Korner and M.C. Mauser, *Phys. Rev. D* **67** (2003) 113008 [hep-ph/0203048].
- [32] D. Epifanov, II workshop on tau lepton decays, IFJ PAN, Cracow, Poland, Sep 2013.
- [33] T. Kinoshita and A. Sirlin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2** (1959) 177.
- [34] C. Fronsdal and H. Uberall, *Phys. Rev.* **113** (1959) 654.
- [35] Y. Kuno and Y. Okada, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **73** (2001) 151 [hep-ph/9909265].
- [36] A. Fischer, T. Kurosu and F. Savatier, *Phys. Rev. D* **49** (1994) 3426.
- [37] A.B. Arbuzov and E.S. Scherbakova, *Phys. Lett. B* **597** (2004) 285 [hep-ph/0404094].
- [38] T. Kinoshita, *J. Math. Phys.* **3** (1962) 650; T.D. Lee and M. Nauenberg, *Phys. Rev.* **133** (1964) B1549.
- [39] R.R. Crittenden, W.D. Walker and J. Ballam, *Phys. Rev.* **121** (1961) 1823.
- [40] D. Počanić [PIBETA and PEN Collaborations], AIP Conf. Proc. **1423** (2012) 273; D. Počanić, 9th Intl. workshop on e^+e^- collisions from ϕ to ψ , Rome, Sep 2013; E. Munyangabe, Ph.D. Thesis, U. Virginia, June 2012.
- [41] T. Bergfeld *et al.* [CLEO Collaboration], *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84** (2000) 830 [hep-ex/9909050].
- [42] D. Epifanov, private communication.