No-Scale Ripple Inflation Revisited

Tianjun Li,^{1, 2, 3} Zhijin Li,² and Dimitri V. Nanopoulos^{2, 4, 5}

¹State Key Laboratory of Theoretical Physics and Kavli Institute for

Theoretical Physics China (KITPC), Institute of Theoretical Physics,

Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, P. R. China

²George P. and Cynthia W. Mitchell Institute for Fundamental Physics and Astronomy,

Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA

³School of Physical Electronics, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu 610054, P. R. China

⁴Astroparticle Physics Group, Houston Advanced Research Center (HARC), Mitchell Campus, Woodlands, TX 77381, USA

⁵Academy of Athens, Division of Natural Sciences,

28 Panepistimiou Avenue, Athens 10679, Greece

Abstract

We revisit the no-scale ripple inflation model, where no-scale supergravity is modified by an additional term for the inflaton field in the Kähler potential. This term not only breaks one SU(N, 1)symmetry explicitly, but also plays an important role for inflation. We generalize the superpotential in the no-scale ripple inflation model slightly. There exists a discrete Z_2 symmetry/parity in the scalar potential in general, which can be preserved or violated by the non-canonical nomalized inflaton kinetic term. Thus, there are three inflation paths: one parity invariant path, and the left and right paths for parity violating scenario. We show that the inflations along the parity invariant path and right path are consistent with the Planck results. However, the gavitino mass for the parity invariant path is so large that the inflation results will be invalid if we consider the inflaton supersymmetry breaking soft mass term. Thus, only the inflation along the right path gives the correct and consistent results. Notably, the tensor-to-scalar ratio in such case can be large, with a value around 0.05, which may be probed by the future Planck experiment.

PACS numbers: 04.65.+e, 04.50.Kd, 12.60.Jv, 98.80.Cq

I. INTRODUCTION

The first-year observations from the Planck satellite experiment on the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) strongly support the six parameter Λ CDM [1]. In particular, the scalar spectral index n_s , the running of the scalar spectral index $\alpha_s \equiv dn_s/d \ln k$, the tensorto-scalar ratio r, and the scalar amplitude A_s for the power spectrum of the curvature perturbation are respectively constrained to be [1]

$$n_s \simeq 0.9603 \pm 0.0073$$
, $\alpha_s = -0.0134 \pm 0.0090$,
 $r \le 0.11$, $A_s^{1/2} \simeq 4.6856^{+0.0566}_{-0.0628} \times 10^{-5}$. (1)

Also, there is no sign of primordial non-Gaussianity in the CMB fluctuations. Although the Planck results are qualitatively consistent with generic predictions of the cosmological inflationary paradigm, many previously popular inflation models are challenged. For example, single field inflation models with a monomial potential ϕ^n for $n \ge 2$ are disfavoured. Interestingly, the Starobinsky $R + R^2$ model [2, 3] predicts a value of $n_s \sim 0.96$, which is in perfect agreement with the CMB data, and a value of $r \sim 0.004$ that is comfortably consistent with the Planck upper bound [1].

On the other hand, it is well-konwn that supersymmetry is the most promising construct for new physics beyond the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics. Especially, it can stabilize the scalar masses, and the superpotential is not renormalized. Given also that gravity is very important in the early Universe, it seems to us that a natural framework for inflation model building is supergravity [4]. However, the supersymmetry breaking scalar masses in a generic supergravity theory are of the same order as the gravitino mass, giving rise to the so-called η problem [5], where all the scalar masses are of the order of the Hubble parameter because of the large vacuum energy density during inflation [6]. Although this problem can be solved for inflationary models within simple supergravity [7, 8], the solution relies on a seemingly accidental cancellation in the inflaton mass [9].

No-scale supergravity was proposed to solve the cosmological flatness problem [10]. It satisfies the following three constraints: (i) The vacuum energy vanishes automatically due to a suitable chosen Kähler potential; (ii) At the minimum of the scalar potential, there are flat directions that leave the gravitino mass $M_{3/2}$ undetermined; (iii) The quantity Str \mathcal{M}^2 is zero at this minimum. If the third condition were not applicable, large one-loop corrections would force the gravitino mass to be either identically zero or of the Planck scale. Interestingly, no-scale supergravity can be realized naturally in the compactifications of weakly coupled heterotic string theory [11] and M-theory on S^1/Z_2 [12]. Crucially, quadratic scalar mass terms are suppressed, and the effective scalar potential is similar to that occuring in global supersymmetric models, such that the η problem is solved naturally. Therefore, no-scale supergravity is a very important framwork for inflation [13, 14]. Most remarkably, it has been shown recently that the cosmological inflation models resembling the Starobinsky $R + R^2$ model can be constructed naturally in no-scale $SU(N, 1)/SU(N) \times U(1)$ supergravity with N > 1 [15, 16], which provides a strong link between particle physics and cosmology. The inflaton field may be identified with either a modulus field or a matter field. If a matter field plays the role of the inflaton, the modulus field may be stabilized as well [16]. For subsequent related works, see Refs. [17–22].

In this paper, we will revisit the no-scale ripple inflation model, which was proposed by Enqvist, Nanopoulos, and Quiros (ENQ) [14]. For simplicity, we shall call it the ENQ model in the following. In this model, there exists an extra term of inflaton field in the Kähler potential, which breaks SU(N,1) symmetry explicitly and plays an important role for inflation. However, the ENQ model is not consistent with the Planck results because its tensor-to-scalar ratio is too large for n_s around 0.96. Thus, we modify the superpotential in the ENQ model slightly. In general, there is a discrete Z_2 symmetry/parity in the scalar potential, which can be preserved or violated by the non-canonical inflaton kinetic term. Thus, we have three inflation paths: one parity invariant path, and the left and right paths for parity violating scenario. We find that the inflation along both the parity invariant path and the right path is consistent with the Planck results. However, the gavitino mass for the parity invariant path is so large that the inflation results will not be valid if we consider the supersymmetry breaking soft mass term for the inflation field. Thus, only the inflation along the right path gives the correct and consistent results. Notably, the tensor-to-scalar ratio in this case can be large, with a value around 0.05, which may be probed by the future Planck experiment.

II. THE ENQ MODEL

First, let us briefly review the ENQ inflation model [14]. It is based on the maximally symmetric supergravity with a generalized SU(N, 1) manifold. The Kähler function is

$$G = -3\ln\left[z + z^* - K'(\phi, \phi^*) - \frac{1}{3}y^i y_i^*\right] - aK'(\phi, \phi^*) + F + F^*,$$
(2)

where z is the modulus field, ϕ is the inflaton, and y_i are matter fields. The term $F = F(\phi, y_i)$ is the superpotential, which, in a more familiar notation, is expressed as $F = \ln W(\phi, y_i)$. The leading term $\mathcal{G} \equiv -3 \ln[z + z^* - K'(\phi, \phi^*) - \frac{1}{3}y^i y_i^*]$ is the no-scale supergravity Kähler potential if $K'(\phi, \phi^*)$ is real, which satisfies the flatness condition $\mathcal{G}_i^{j*} \partial^i \mathcal{G} \partial_{j*} \mathcal{G} = 3$. This type of Kähler potential has important applications in cosmology, such as inflation [13, 15, 16]. The no-scale supergravity inflation models are favored because the scalar potential, althought not perfectly flat after supersymmetry breaking, still slopes sufficiently gently to avoid the η problem [5] and provide slow-roll inflation. The extra term $aK'(\phi, \phi^*)$, which can be considered as higher order correction, breaks the SU(N, 1) symmetry of the Kähler potential and generates a non-flat direction like a "ripple". Otherwise, the potential is flat at tree level. We will show that, with such a term, inflation can be realized in a relatively simple and natural way.

The kinetic terms of the scalars are given by $K_i^{j^*} \partial_\mu \phi^i \partial^\mu \phi_j^*$, where $K_i^{j^*} \equiv \partial^2 K / \partial \phi^i \partial \phi_j^*$ is the Kähler metric. Specifically, the kinetic term of the inflaton field ϕ is

$$\mathcal{L}_{KE} = \left(3x^2 K'_{\phi} K'_{\phi^*} + (3x - a) K'_{\phi\phi^*}\right) \partial_{\mu} \phi \ \partial^{\mu} \phi^* \ , \tag{3}$$

where $x = (z + z^* - K'(\phi, \phi^*) - \frac{1}{3}y^iy_i^*)^{-1}$. The scalar potential is given by

$$V = e^{G} \left[\frac{\partial G}{\partial \phi^{i}} (K^{-1})^{i}_{j^{*}} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \phi_{j^{*}}} - 3 \right] , \qquad (4)$$

in which $(K^{-1})_{j^*}^i$ is the inverse of the Kähler metric. Assuming that the D-term contribution to the potential vanishes during inflation, we obtain an effective scalar potential corresponding to the Kähler potential in Eq. (2)

$$V = \frac{x^3}{(3x-a)K'_{\phi\phi^*}} \exp(F + F^* - aK')|F_{\phi} - aK'_{\phi}|^2 + x^2 \exp(F + F^* - aK')F_i^*F^i.$$
(5)

From Eqs. (3) and (5), we can see that in the region with positive kinetic energy, *i.e.*, with 3x - a > 0, the scalar potential is always positive semi-definite as long as $K'_{\phi\phi^*} > 0$.

The potential in Eq. (5) depends on the inflaton field ϕ , matter fields y_i , and the combined term x. During inflation, all these terms, except the inflaton, are fixed in a local minimum of the potential, which requires $\frac{\partial F}{\partial y_i} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} = 0$. The second condition leads to $x = \frac{a}{2}$, and the matter fields y_i are set to zero at the inflation energy scale. One can easily check that this is a stable point, i.e., $\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial^2 x} > 0$. From $x = \frac{a}{2}$, we obtain the real part of modulus zwhich varies during inflation

$$\operatorname{Re}(z) = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{2}K'(\phi, \phi^*) .$$
(6)

Because the inflaton potential in our paper only depends on x, we can choose the proper value of $\operatorname{Re}(z)$ so that the inflaton potential is minimized, and x is a constant and equal to $\frac{a}{2}$ during inflation.

The scalar potential during inflation can then be simplified as follows

$$V = \frac{a^2}{4K'_{\phi\phi^*}} \exp(F + F^* - aK') |F_{\phi} - aK'_{\phi}|^2 .$$
(7)

Obviously, this potential vanishes if a = 0, and ripple inflation is generated by the non-zero a. Also, it has a global minimum at $F_{\phi} - aK'_{\phi} = 0$, since the potential is non-negative for $K'_{\phi\phi^*} > 0$. Inflation evolves towards this global minimum along the valley described by Eq. (7), and the pre-inflation state is assumed to be close to the origin.

Similar to Ref. [14], we will take $K'(\phi, \phi^*)$ as the canonical Kähler potential term, *i.e.*, $K' \equiv \phi \phi^*$. The kinetic term L_{KE} is

$$L_{KE} = (3x^2\phi\phi^* + (3x-a))\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi, \qquad (8)$$

which is non-canonical and will play an important role in the inflation. In ENQ model, the superpotential is chosen as follows

$$F = \ln m_0 + \xi \phi + \frac{1}{2}(a - \xi^2)\phi^2 + \cdots,$$
(9)

where m_0 is the overall energy scale, and the higher order terms in F are ignored.

In this paper, we will generalize the ENQ superpotential slightly. First, we consider a simple case with superpotential

$$F = \ln m_0 + \frac{1}{2}(a-b)\phi^2.$$
 (10)

Decomposing the complex field as $\phi \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\varphi + i\chi)$, the scalar potential becomes

$$V = \frac{1}{4}a^2 m_0^2 e^{(\frac{b}{2}-a)\chi^2 - \frac{b}{2}\varphi^2} \left(2(\frac{b}{2}-a)^2\chi^2 + \frac{b^2}{2}\varphi^2\right) .$$
(11)

And the kinetic term is

$$L_{KE} = \frac{a}{2} \left(\frac{3a}{8} (\varphi^2 + \chi^2) + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\partial_\mu \varphi \partial^\mu \varphi + \partial_\mu \chi \partial^\mu \chi \right) . \tag{12}$$

Making the following transformations on φ and χ

$$\varphi \to \sqrt{a}\varphi \ , \quad \chi \to \sqrt{a}\chi \ , \tag{13}$$

we obtain the scalar potential and kinetic term

$$V = \frac{1}{2}a^3 m_0^2 e^{(\frac{b}{2a}-1)\chi^2 - \frac{b}{2a}\varphi^2} \left((\frac{b}{2a}-1)^2 \chi^2 + (\frac{b}{2a})^2 \varphi^2 \right) , \qquad (14)$$

$$L_{KE} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{3}{8} (\varphi^2 + \chi^2) + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\partial_\mu \varphi \partial^\mu \varphi + \partial_\mu \chi \partial^\mu \chi \right) .$$
 (15)

Thus, the scalar potential and kinetic term are invariant under the following discrete Z_2 symmetry or parity

$$\varphi \leftrightarrow \chi , \quad \frac{b}{2a} - 1 \leftrightarrow -\frac{b}{2a} .$$
 (16)

Therefore, we can consider either the real part φ or imaginary part χ as the inflaton. The above potential has a global minimum $V(\varphi, \chi) = 0$ at $\varphi = \chi = 0$, where the masses of fields φ and χ are

$$m_{\chi}^{2} = V_{\chi\chi}(0,0) = a^{3}m_{0}^{2} \left(\frac{b}{2a} - 1\right)^{2} ,$$

$$m_{\varphi}^{2} = V_{\varphi\varphi}(0,0) = a^{3}m_{0}^{2} \left(\frac{b}{2a}\right)^{2} .$$
(17)

Without loss of generality, we assume that the real part φ plays the role of inflaton (up to a field redefinition for canonical normalization), and the imaginary part χ is stabilized at the minimum. The masses of the two fields should satisfy the condition $m_{\varphi}^2 \ll m_{\chi}^2$, or equally $\left|\frac{b}{2a}\right| \ll 1$. This constraint can be satisfied in the numerical results based on the Planck observations. An example for the scalar potential with $\frac{b}{2a} = 0.02$ is shown in Fig. 1. It is obvious that the inflaton φ provides the relatively much flatter direction while the direction along χ is very steep.



FIG. 1: The inflationary potential for the parity invariant scenario with $\frac{b}{2a} = 0.02$. During inflation the field φ (re-scaled) runs from 4.9 to 1.3. The overall coefficient of the scalar potential is ignored as it is irrelevant to the slow-roll analysis.

The potential with $\chi = 0$ for the inflation is

$$V = \alpha \varphi^2 e^{-\frac{b}{2a}\varphi^2},\tag{18}$$

in which the coefficient $\alpha = \frac{1}{8}ab^2m_0^2$ is not important for the slow-roll analysis. Note that we have $\frac{b}{2a} \ll 1$ and $\varphi < \frac{2a}{b}$ during inflation, we obtain the field χ mass along the inflation path

$$m_{\chi}^{2} = V_{\chi\chi}(\varphi, 0) \simeq a^{3} m_{0}^{2} \left(\frac{b}{2a} - 1\right)^{2} e^{-\frac{b}{2a}\varphi^{2}} + \cdots$$

$$\simeq \frac{2\left(\frac{b}{2a} - 1\right)^{2}}{\left(\frac{b}{2a}\right)^{2}\varphi^{2}} V(\varphi, 0) \ge 6H^{2} ,$$
(19)

where a negative mass term proportional to $(\frac{b}{2a})^2 \varphi^2$ has been dropped since it gives small contribution to the χ mass. Therefore, the field χ is stabilized during inflation.

Also, the kinetic term L_{KE} becomes

$$L_{KE} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{3}{8} \varphi^2 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \partial_\mu \varphi \partial^\mu \varphi .$$
 (20)

Both the potential and kinetic term are function of φ^2 , consequently, the potential after canonical normalization should be parity invariant, and has the global minimum at $\varphi = 0$.



FIG. 2: The potential $e^{-\varphi^2}\varphi^2$ with parity invariant scenario. The inflations along the left and right paths are the same.

The inflations along the left and right sides are exactly the same due to the Z_2 symmetry/parity, as shown in Fig. 2.

Next, we consider the general superpotential F with an additional linear term

$$F = \ln m_0 + \frac{1}{2}(a-b)\phi^2 + \frac{c}{\sqrt{2}}\phi .$$
(21)

So the scalar potential turns into

$$V = \frac{1}{2}a^3 m_0^2 e^{\frac{c^2}{2b}} e^{(\frac{b}{2a}-1)\chi^2 - \frac{b}{2a}(\varphi - \frac{\sqrt{ac}}{b})^2} \left((\frac{b}{2a} - 1)^2 \chi^2 + (\frac{b}{2a})^2 (\varphi - \frac{\sqrt{ac}}{b})^2 \right) , \qquad (22)$$

in which the fields have been rescaled as in Eq. (13). After introducing the linear term in the superpotential, we obtain the general Z_2 discrete symmetry or parity for scalar potential

$$\varphi' \leftrightarrow \chi , \quad \frac{b}{2a} - 1 \leftrightarrow -\frac{b}{2a} , \qquad (23)$$

where

$$\varphi' = \varphi - \frac{\sqrt{ac}}{b} \,. \tag{24}$$

As before, with a tiny value of $\left|\frac{b}{2a}\right|$, the field χ obtains mass much larger than φ and then is fixed at the global minimum. The corresponding scalar potential is

$$V = \frac{1}{8}ab^2 m_0^2 e^{\frac{c^2}{2b}} e^{-\frac{b}{2a}(\varphi - \frac{\sqrt{ac}}{b})^2} \left(\varphi - \frac{\sqrt{ac}}{b}\right)^2,$$
(25)

or more concisely,

$$V = \alpha e^{-\lambda(\varphi-\mu)^2} (\varphi-\mu)^2.$$
(26)



FIG. 3: The potential $e^{-\lambda(\varphi-\mu)^2}(\varphi-\mu)^2$ with symmetry along new axis $\varphi = \mu$ where μ is normalized to 1. However, the inflation along the left and right paths are totally different due to the canonical normalization.

The potential in ENQ's model is equal to the case with $\lambda = \frac{b}{2a} = \frac{1}{\mu^2}$, which gives the constraint $\frac{c^2}{2b} = 1$ and $\alpha = \frac{1}{8}ab^2m_0^2e$. The potential in Eq. (26) is the horizontal shift of the potential in Eq. (18), and is still symmetric under a new axis $\varphi = \mu$, as shown in Fig. 3. Because the kinetic term is the same as the above simple case, the discrete symmetry or parity is broken by it. So after the canonical normalization, the symmetry with respect to the axis $\varphi = \mu$ disappears, and then the inflations along the left and right paths are significantly different!

III. INFLATION STUDY

Taking different superpotentials, we get three different inflation paths: the parity invariant path and the asymmetrical left and right paths. The inflation predictions along three paths for the canonical normalized inflaton field are given in Fig. 4. For each strip in Fig. 4, the upper and lower boundaries correspond to the e-folding numbers N = 50 and N = 60, respectively. Besides the e-folding number N, the $n_s - r$ relation only depends on the parameter $\lambda = \frac{b}{2a}$. Thus, the strip ranges are obtained by varying λ with different $N \in [50, 60]$. By the way, the parameter α can be determined by the observed scalar amplitude via $A_s = \frac{2V(\varphi_i)}{3\pi^2 r}$ in Planck unit [1], and it is about 1.5×10^{-11} [23]. Interestingly, the tensor-to-scalar ratio is larger than 0.01, which is above the Lyth bound [24] and belongs to the large field inflation class. Among the three paths, inflation along the parity invariant



FIG. 4: Numerical results for the inflations along the parity invariant path and left/right asymmetrical paths. The parity invariant inflation is close to the result of inflation with potential $\alpha \phi e^{-\beta \phi}$, while the right path is similar to the case with potential $\alpha \phi^2 e^{-\beta \phi}$. The left path is out of 2σ region of the Planck observations. Inflations along the left and right paths coincide with each other at the approximation of the chaotic inflation model with $V = \frac{1}{2}m_0^2\phi^2$.

and right paths can fit the Planck observations.

1. Inflation along the Parity Invariant Path

The potential in Eq. (18) as well as the kinetic term is invariant under the parity, so the inflations along the left and right valleys are the same. The main predictions for n_s and r are within the 1σ region of the Planck observations. Specifically, for $n_s = 0.9603$ and e-folding number N = 55, the tensor-to-scalar ratio r is about 0.02, and the re-scaled field φ runs from $\varphi_i = 4.9$ to $\varphi_f = 1.3$. The coefficient $\frac{b}{2a} \simeq 0.026$, which is much smaller than 1, validates the initial assumption for the stabilization of the imaginary part χ .

Along this path the inflation is similar to the inflationary results of the potential $\alpha \phi^n e^{-\beta^m \phi^m}$ with m = 1 and n = 1. Because the inflation is the typical large field inflation, the kinetic term approximately is

$$L_{KE} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{3}{8} \varphi^2 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \partial_\mu \varphi \partial^\mu \varphi$$

$$\simeq \frac{3}{16} \varphi^2 \partial_\mu \varphi \partial^\mu \varphi .$$
(27)

The canonical normalization is close to $\varphi \to \sqrt{\frac{3}{32}}\varphi^2 \equiv \psi$, so the corresponding scalar

potential with canonical normalized inflaton field is

$$V = \alpha e^{-\frac{b}{2a}\varphi^2}\varphi^2 = \alpha \psi e^{-\beta\psi}.$$
(28)

Therefore, the parity invariant path is close to the inflation with potential $\alpha \phi e^{-\beta \phi}$ [23] in the large field approximation.

2. Inflation along the Left and Right Paths

The inflations along the left and right asymmetrical paths are interesting due to the noncanonical kinetic term. Without canonical normalization of the inflaton field, the potential is still symmetric between the two sides of the global minimum. However, this symmetry is broken by the non-canonical inflaton kinetic term. As a result, the inflation processes along the two paths are significantly different. As shown in Fig. 4, the left path gives too large r which is out of the 2σ region of the Planck results. However, for the right path, it gives totally different results, which highly agree with the Planck observations. Here, for the linear term coefficient c, we adopt the value used in ENQ's paper so that $\lambda = \frac{1}{\mu^2}$, or $\frac{c^2}{2b} = 1$. The right path gives the results with very large range of r, from 0.02 to 0.08 within 1σ region. Specifically, for $n_s = 0.9603$ with e-folding number N = 55, the tensor-to-scalar ratio r is about 0.05, the re-scaled field φ runs from $\varphi_i = 7.7$ to $\varphi_f = 5.1$. The coefficient $\frac{b}{2a} \simeq 0.047$ is also much smaller than 1, therefore, the condition to stabilize field χ is satisfied.

There is an interesting fact for the left and right paths: the two strips for the left and right paths are going to coincide, and even perfectly fit with each other at the end of the two strips. Actually, this is the prediction of the chaotic inflation model with $V = \frac{1}{2}m_0^2\phi^2$! Let us explain it briefly. The inflation exit condition for the parity violating scenario is

$$\epsilon = \epsilon' \frac{1}{\frac{3}{8}\varphi_f^2 + \frac{1}{2}} = 1, \tag{29}$$

in which the ϵ' is

$$\epsilon' = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{V_{\varphi}}{V}\right)^2 \,, \tag{30}$$

and has a pole at the global minimum $\varphi_0 = \mu$. For large field $\varphi_f > 1$, the exit condition in Eq. (29) requires a large ϵ' , which can be realized only in the region close to the pole $\varphi_0 = \mu$. In this region, the potential can be expanded in terms of the canonical normalized field ψ



FIG. 5: Value of the shift parameter μ versus the spectral index n_s . For the $n_s \sim 0.9603$, the shift parameter μ varies from 5-10 (6-8) for the right (invariant) path, which corresponds to the parameter $\frac{b}{2a} \sim O(10^{-2})$.

as

$$V(\psi) = V(\mu') + V'(\mu')(\psi - \mu') + \frac{1}{2}V''(\mu')(\psi - \mu')^2 + \cdots, \qquad (31)$$

where μ' is the value of ψ at the global minimum. Here, $V(\mu') = V'(\mu') = 0$, $V''(\mu') = m_0^2$ and μ' is large. Therefore, in this approximation, no matter we approach the global minimum from left or right, the inflation is the same as the chaotic inflation with $V = \frac{1}{2}m_0^2\phi^2$.

3. Stabilization Condition

To get the single field inflation, the extra field χ has been stabilized by the condition that its mass is much larger than the inflaton φ . The condition is $\frac{b}{2a} \ll 1$ so that the mass of φ is several orders smaller than χ . Fig. 5 shows the relation between the spectra index n_s and the shift parameter μ , from which the value of the parameter $\frac{b}{2a} = \frac{1}{\mu^2}$ can be evaluated. It is shown that close to the central value of $n_s \sim 0.9603$, the parameter $\frac{b}{2a}$ is about $O(10^{-2})$, which leads to the mass ratio $\frac{m_{\varphi}^2}{m_{\chi}^2} \sim 10^{-4}$. Therefore, the ENQ model can stabilize the extra field χ and fit the Planck observations simultaneously. While for the right path with much smaller $n_s < 0.93$, $\mu \leq 3$ and then χ can not be stabilized.

IV. COMMENTS ON GRAVITINO MASS

Because the vacuum corresponds to the large field $\varphi > 1$ in the Planck unit, the gravitino mass will be unacceptable large if there does not exist significant cancellations. Thus, the gravitino mass needs to be considered carefully.

The gravitino mass $M_{\frac{3}{2}}$ is

$$M_{\frac{3}{2}} = \exp\left(\frac{\langle G \rangle}{2}\right) = x^{\frac{3}{2}} \times \exp\left(\frac{\langle -aK'(\phi, \phi^*) + F + F^* \rangle}{2}\right) , \qquad (32)$$

in which the terms $\langle ... \rangle$ are evaluated at the vacuum (global minimum). For the parity invariant and violating scenarios, the vacuum expectation values of the complex inflaton field ϕ are respectively 0 and $\frac{c}{b}$ with the constraint $x > \frac{a}{3}$. Thus, we have $\langle -aK'(\phi, \phi^*) + F + F^* \rangle = 2 \ln m_0$ for the parity invariant scenario and $\langle -aK'(\phi, \phi^*) + F + F^* \rangle = 1 + 2 \ln m_0$ for the parity violating scenario. The inflation is determined by the condition $x = \frac{a}{2}$. At the vacuum that corresponds to the end point of the inflation, the gravitino mass is $M_{\frac{3}{2}} = m_0(\frac{a}{2})^{\frac{3}{2}}$ for the parity invariant scenario and $M_{\frac{3}{2}} = m_0 e^{\frac{1}{2}}(\frac{a}{2})^{\frac{3}{2}}$ for the parity violating scenario.

The scalar amplitude A_s for the power spectrum of the curvature perturbation from the Planck data [1] is

$$A_s = \frac{V}{24\pi^2 \epsilon} \simeq 2.196 \times 10^{-9} , \qquad (33)$$

where the inflaton is taken as $\varphi = \varphi_i$. For the parity invariant scenario, using $\frac{b}{2a} = \frac{1}{\mu^2}$, the potential is

$$V = \frac{1}{8}ab^2 m_0^2 e^{-\frac{b}{2a}\varphi^2} \varphi^2 = m_0^2 (\frac{a}{2})^3 \frac{4}{\mu^2} (\frac{\varphi}{\mu})^2 e^{-(\frac{\varphi}{\mu})^2}$$

= $M_{\frac{3}{2}}^2 \frac{4}{\mu^2} (\frac{\varphi}{\mu})^2 e^{-(\frac{\varphi}{\mu})^2}$. (34)

Similarly, the potential for the parity violating scenario is

$$V = \frac{1}{8} eab^2 m_0^2 e^{-\frac{b}{2a}\varphi^2} \varphi^2 = em_0^2 (\frac{a}{2})^3 \frac{4}{\mu^2} (\frac{\varphi}{\mu} - 1)^2 e^{-(\frac{\varphi}{\mu} - 1)^2}$$

$$= M_{\frac{3}{2}}^2 \frac{4}{\mu^2} (\frac{\varphi}{\mu} - 1)^2 e^{-(\frac{\varphi}{\mu} - 1)^2}.$$
 (35)

Applying the above equations into Eq. (33), the gravitino masses can be evaluated. The results are shown in Fig. 6. For the parity invariant scenario, the gravitino mass evaluated from the inflation results is about $O(10^{-2})$ in the Planck unit, which is too large for inflation.



FIG. 6: Gravitino mass versus the spectral index n_s . The gravitino mass is significantly reduced in the right path.

In particular, the inflation results will be invalid if we consider the supersymmetry breaking soft mass term for the inflaton field. Interesting, the gravitino mass can be as small as $O(10^{-4})$ in the parity violating scenario. Thus, the inflation along the right path is indeed consistent.

V. CONCLUSION

We have revisted the ENQ model by modifying its superpotential. We found that there is a discrete Z_2 symmetry/parity in the scalar potential in general, which can be preserved or violated by the non-canonical nomalized inflaton kinetic term. We showed that the inflations along the parity invariant path and right path are consistent with the Planck results. However, the gavitino mass for the parity invariant path is so large that the inflation results will not be valid if we consider the supersymmetry breaking soft mass term for the inflaton field. Thus, only the inflation along the right path gives the correct and consistent results. Notably, the tensor-to-scalar ratio in this case can be large, with a value around 0.05, which may be probed by the future Planck experiment.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported in part by the Natural Science Foundation of China under grant numbers 10821504, 11075194, 11135003, and 11275246, by the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program) under grant number 2010CB833000, and by the DOE grant DE-FG03-95-Er-40917.

- [1] P. A. R. Ade et al. [Planck Collaboration], arXiv:1303.5082 [astro-ph.CO].
- [2] A. A. Starobinsky, Phys. Lett. B **91**, 99 (1980).
- [3] V. F. Mukhanov and G. V. Chibisov, JETP Lett. 33, 532 (1981) [Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.
 33, 549 (1981)].
- [4] D. Z. Freedman, P. van Nieuwenhuizen and S. Ferrara, Phys. Rev. D 13 (1976) 3214; S. Deser and B. Zumino, Phys. Lett. B 62 (1976) 335.
- [5] E. J. Copeland, A. R. Liddle, D. H. Lyth, E. D. Stewart and D. Wands, Phys. Rev. D 49, 6410 (1994) [astro-ph/9401011]; E. D. Stewart, Phys. Rev. D 51, 6847 (1995) [hep-ph/9405389]; Also see, for example: A. D. Linde, *Particle Physics and Inflationary Cosmology* (Harwood, Chur, Switzerland, 1990); D. H. Lyth and A. Riotto, *Phys. Rep.* 314 (1999) 1 [arXiv:hep-ph/9807278]. J. Martin, C. Ringeval and V. Vennin, arXiv:1303.3787 [astro-ph.CO]; M. Yamaguchi, Class. Quant. Grav. 28, 103001 (2011) [arXiv:h101.2488 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [6] A. S. Goncharov, A. D. Linde and M. I. Vysotsky, Phys. Lett. B 147, 279 (1984).
- [7] D. V. Nanopoulos, K. A. Olive, M. Srednicki and K. Tamvakis, Phys. Lett. B 123, 41 (1983).
- [8] R. Holman, P. Ramond and G. G. Ross, Phys. Lett. B 137, 343 (1984).
- [9] A. D. Linde and A. Westphal, JCAP 0803, 005 (2008) [arXiv:0712.1610 [hep-th]].
- [10] E. Cremmer, S. Ferrara, C. Kounnas and D. V. Nanopoulos, Phys. Lett. B 133, 61 (1983);
 J. R. Ellis, A. B. Lahanas, D. V. Nanopoulos and K. Tamvakis, Phys. Lett. B 134, 429 (1984);
 J. R. Ellis, C. Kounnas and D. V. Nanopoulos, Nucl. Phys. B 241, 406 (1984); Nucl. Phys. B 247, 373 (1984);
 A. B. Lahanas and D. V. Nanopoulos, Phys. Rept. 145, 1 (1987).
- [11] E. Witten, Phys. Lett. B **155**, 151 (1985).
- [12] T. Li, J. L. Lopez and D. V. Nanopoulos, Phys. Rev. D 56, 2602 (1997).

- [13] J. R. Ellis, K. Enqvist, D. V. Nanopoulos, K. A. Olive and M. Srednicki, Phys. Lett. B 152, 175 (1985) [Erratum-ibid. 156B, 452 (1985)].
- [14] K. Enqvist, D. V. Nanopoulos and M. Quiros, Phys. Lett. B 159, 249 (1985).
- [15] J. Ellis, D. V. Nanopoulos and K. A. Olive, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 111301 (2013) [arXiv:1305.1247 [hep-th]].
- [16] J. Ellis, D. V. Nanopoulos and K. A. Olive, JCAP 1310, 009 (2013) [arXiv:1307.3537 [hep-th]].
- [17] R. Kallosh and A. Linde, JCAP 1306, 028 (2013) [arXiv:1306.3214 [hep-th]].
- [18] W. Buchmuller, V. Domcke and K. Kamada, arXiv:1306.3471 [hep-th].
- [19] R. Kallosh and A. Linde, JCAP **1307**, 002 (2013) [arXiv:1306.5220 [hep-th]].
- [20] F. Farakos, A. Kehagias and A. Riotto, Nucl. Phys. B 876, 187 (2013) [arXiv:1307.1137 [hep-th]].
- [21] S. Ferrara, R. Kallosh, A. Linde and M. Porrati, arXiv:1307.7696 [hep-th].
- [22] F. Briscese, L. Modesto and S. Tsujikawa, arXiv:1308.1413 [hep-th].
- [23] T. Li, Z. Li and D. V. Nanopoulos, arXiv:1311.6770 [hep-ph].
- [24] D. H. Lyth, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 1861 (1997) [hep-ph/9606387].