arXiv:1310.3657v1 [hep-ex] 14 Oct 2013

Status of the Exclusive MSSM Higgs production at LHC after the Run I

Marek Taševský

Institute of Physics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Na Slovance 2, 18221 Prague, Czech Republic

We investigate the prospects for Central Exclusive Diffractive (CED) production of MSSM Higgs bosons at the LHC using forward proton detectors (FPD) proposed to be installed 220 m and 420 m from ATLAS and CMS detectors. We summarize the situation after the first and very successful data taking period of the LHC. The discovery of a Higgs boson and results from searches for additional MSSM Higgs bosons from the ATLAS and CMS, have recently led to a proposal of new low-energy MSSM benchmark scenarios. The CED signal cross section for the process $H/h \to b\bar{b}$ and its backgrounds are estimated in these new scenarios. We also comment on the experimental procedure if the proposed FPDs are to be used to measure the CED signal.

1 Introduction

A brief overview of the analysis is given here, while more details can be found in [1]. The interest in the CED production of new particles is still significant over the last decade (e.g. [1, 2, 3] and references in [1]). The process is defined as $pp \to p \oplus \Phi \oplus p$ where all of the energy lost by the protons during the interaction (a few per cent) goes into the production of the central system, Φ. The final state therefore consists of a centrally produced system (e.g. dijet, heavy particle or Higgs boson) coming from a hard subprocess, two very forward protons and no other activity. The '$\oplus$' sign denotes the regions devoid of activity, often called rapidity gaps. A simultaneous detection of both forward protons and the central system opens up a window to a rich physics program covering not only exclusive but also a variety of QCD, Electroweak and beyond Standard Model (BSM) processes (see e.g. [2, 4, 5, 6, 7]). Such measurements can put constraints on the Higgs sector of Minimal Supersymmetric SM (MSSM) and other popular BSM scenarios [8, 9, 10].

The attractivity of the CED production stems from a precise measurement of the Higgs mass using FPDs, a possibility to measure its spin, parity and couplings to b-quarks using a few events and a good S/B ratio. These aspects together with calculations of signal and background processes are in detail discussed in [9]. Studies of the CED Higgs production contributed to the physics motivation for upgrade projects to install FPDs at 420 m [5] and 220 m from the ATLAS (AFP project [6]) and CMS (PPS project [7]) detectors. At present, only 220 m devices are being considered in ATLAS and CMS.

In MSSM [11] the Higgs sector consists of five physical states. At the lowest order the MSSM Higgs sector is $\mathcal{CP}$-conserving, containing two $\mathcal{CP}$-even bosons, the lighter h and the heavier H, a $\mathcal{CP}$-odd boson, A, and the charged bosons $H^{\pm}$. It can be specified in terms of the gauge couplings, the ratio of the two vacuum expectation values, $\tan\beta \equiv v_2/v_1$, and the mass of the

A boson, M_A.

Last year, the discovery of a new resonance with mass close to 125.5 GeV has been announced by ATLAS [12] and CMS [13]. Preliminary estimates of its spin-parity and couplings suggest that it behaves like a SM Higgs boson. At the same time, results from analyses searching for the MSSM signal at LHC have been published. Based on all these results i) seven new low-energy MSSM benchmark scenarios have been proposed [14] that are compatible over large parts of the (M_A, $\tan\beta$) parameter plane with the mass and production rates of the observed Higgs boson signal at 125.5 GeV, and ii) the most recent LHC exclusion regions have been evaluated using the latest version of the program `HiggsBounds` [15]. The aim of this analysis is to investigate the CED Higgs boson production in these new benchmark scenarios taking into account the recent LHC exclusion regions and the region of the allowed Higgs mass.

2 Prospects in new benchmark MSSM scenarios

The SM cross section used [16] for the normalization within `HiggsBounds` is evaluated using the MRST2002 NNLO PDFs. For each point in the parameter space we evaluate the relevant Higgs production cross section times the Higgs branching ratio for the $b\bar{b}$ decay in MSSM (BR($h \to b\bar{b}$)). The values of M_H, BRs and effective couplings in MSSM are calculated with the program `FeynHiggs` [17]. The resulting theoretical cross section is multiplied by the experimental efficiencies as described in [9]. We also show the parameter regions excluded by the LEP and LHC Higgs-boson searches as obtained with `HiggsBounds` [15] and so called region of allowed Higgs masses, i.e. $M_H = 125.5 \pm 3$ GeV (by the light gray (green)). The total uncertainty of 3 GeV represents a combination of the experimental ($\sim$0.6 GeV) and theoretical uncertainty from unknown higher-order corrections in MSSM. The prospects for observing the neutral $\mathcal{CP}$-even Higgs bosons in CED within the new MSSM benchmark scenarios are discussed in detail in [1]. In summary: available cross-sections in all scenarios are too small (smaller than 0.02 fb) to be considered seriously for further studies with the exception of the Low-MH scenario for which the contours of $3\,\sigma$ statistical significances are shown in Fig. 1 for the 420+220 FPD configuration and for two assumed effective luminosities, 600 fb^{-1} and 600 fb^{-1} eff$\times$2. The region of interest is the area of allowed Higgs masses that is not overlaid by the LHC exclusion region. The highest achievable significances are located in the same corner of the green band as the highest S/B ratios (see [1]). We conclude that if MSSM should be realized as in the Low-MH scenario, i.e. the heavy Higgs at mass of 125.5 GeV and the lighter one in the range 80–90 GeV, then FPD projects could be very helpful to ATLAS and CMS in searches for such a low-mass object. We stress that due to the mass acceptance this light Higgs boson can only be seen with stations at 420 m.

A few notes about experimental issues: i) the total integrated luminosity needed to observe the light Higgs boson produced in CED with mass around 80–90 GeV is of the order of 1000 fb^{-1} meaning that data from both the AFP and PPS would have to be combined, ii) putting the AFP stations at 420 m into the L1 ATLAS trigger scheme is currently impossible due to a short L1 latency, iii) the total mass acceptance decreases and the mass resolution and b-tagging efficiency worsen with decreasing mass. However, improvements are expected in the reduction of background, e.g. in reducing the misidentification of gluon to be b-quark and resolution below 10 ps in the fast timing detectors. We conclude that investigating the mass range 80–90 GeV with FPDs at LHC is more challenging than that around 120 GeV.




Figure 1: Contours of $3\,\sigma$ statistical significance (solid blue lines) for the $h \to b\bar{b}$ channel in CED production at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV in the (μ, tan β) plane of the MSSM within the Low-MH benchmark scenario. The values of the mass of the light $\mathcal{CP}$-even Higgs boson, M_h, are indicated by dashed (black) contour lines. The dark shaded (blue) region corresponds to the parameter region that is excluded by the LEP MSSM Higgs searches, the lighter shaded (red), the lighter shaded (pink) and black areas are excluded by LHC MSSM Higgs searches in the analyses of h/H/A $\to \tau\tau$, charged Higgs and Higgs rates, respectively. The light shaded (green) area corresponds to the allowed mass region $122.5 < M_H < 128.5$ GeV.

Acknowledgments

The work was supported by the project LG13009 of the Ministry of Education of the Czech republic. Big thanks to Sven Heinemeyer and Valery Khoze who participated at the early stage of this analysis for their encouragement and assistance.

References

[1] M. Tasevsky, arXiv:1309.7772 [hep-ph].
[2] V.A. Khoze, A.D. Martin and M.G. Ryskin, Eur. Phys. J. **C 23** (2002) 311.
[3] L.A. Harland-Lang *et al.*, arXiv:1301.2552 [hep-ph].
[4] CMS and TOTEM forward physics group, CERN/LHCC 2006-039/G-124.
[5] M. Albrow *et al.*, JINST **4** (2009) T10001
[6] The AFP project in ATLAS, `http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1402470`.
[7] The PPS project in CMS, M. Albrow, AIP Conf. Proc. **1523** (2012) 320.
[8] A. Kaidalov *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. **C 33** (2004) 261.
[9] S. Heinemeyer *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. **C 53** (2008) 231; Eur. Phys. J. **C 71** (2011) 1649.
[10] B. Cox, F. Loebinger and A. Pilkington, JHEP **0710** (2007) 090.
[11] H. Nilles, Phys. Rept. **110** (1984) 1; H. Haber and G. Kane, Phys. Rept. **117** (1985) 75;
[12] G. Aad *et al.* (ATLAS Collab.), Phys. Lett. **B716** (2012) 1.
[13] S. Chatrchyan *et al.* (CMS Collab.), Phys. Lett. **B716** (2012) 30.
[14] M. Carena *et al.*, arXiv:1302.7033 [hep-ph].
[15] P. Bechtle *et al.*, Comp. Phys. Comm. **181** (2010) 138; Comp. Phys. Comm. **182** (2011) 2605; arXiv:1301.2345 [hep-ph];
[16] R Harlander and W. Kilgore, Phys. Rev. **D 68** (2003) 013001.
[17] S. Heinemeyer *et al.*, Comp. Phys. Comm. **124** (2000) 76; Comp. Phys. Comm. **180** (2009) 1426.