

# Majorana phases in neutrino-antineutrino oscillations

Ye-Ling Zhou

Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P.O. Box 918, Beijing 100049, China

E-mail: zhouyeling@ihep.ac.cn

**Abstract.** If the massive neutrinos are Majorana particles, neutrinoless double beta ( $0\nu\beta\beta$ ) decay experiments are not enough to determine the Majorana phases. We carry out a systematic study of CP violation in neutrino-antineutrino oscillations. In these processes, CP-conserving parts involve six independent  $0\nu\beta\beta$ -like mass terms  $\langle m \rangle_{\alpha\beta}$  and CP-violating parts are associated with nine independent Jarlskog-like parameters  $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}$  (for  $\alpha, \beta = e, \mu, \tau$  and  $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ ). With the help of current neutrino oscillation data, we illustrate the salient features of six independent CP-violating asymmetries between  $\nu_\alpha \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_\beta$  and  $\bar{\nu}_\alpha \rightarrow \nu_\beta$  oscillations.

## 1. Introduction

The lepton flavor mixing is described by the Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata (PMNS) matrix  $U$  [1]. Given neutrinos the Majorana nature, the PMNS matrix can be parametrized in terms of three flavor mixing angles ( $\theta_{12}, \theta_{13}, \theta_{23}$ ) and three CP-violating phases ( $\delta, \rho, \sigma$ ) as follows:

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{13}s_{23}e^{i\delta} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{13}s_{23}e^{i\delta} & c_{13}s_{23} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}s_{13}c_{23}e^{i\delta} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}s_{13}c_{23}e^{i\delta} & c_{13}c_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\rho} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\sigma} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where  $c_{ij} \equiv \cos \theta_{ij}$  and  $s_{ij} \equiv \sin \theta_{ij}$  (for  $ij = 12, 13, 23$ ). So far all the three neutrino mixing angles have been measured to precisely in neutrino oscillation experiments [2]. A determination of the phase parameter  $\delta$  will be one of the major goals in the next-generation long-baseline neutrino oscillation experiments. The most challenging task is to detect the Majorana phases  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$ , which can only emerge in the lepton flavor violation (LNV) processes. Ref. [3] shows that it is in principle possible to determine all the three phases from the CP-violating asymmetries  $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}$  between  $\nu_\alpha \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_\beta$  and  $\bar{\nu}_\alpha \rightarrow \nu_\beta$  oscillations. Here we report our work in Ref. [4], where we give a systematic analysis of the effective parameters and CP-violating asymmetries in the neutrino-antineutrino oscillations.

In section 2 we briefly review some issues of three-flavor neutrino-antineutrino oscillations. Six independent  $0\nu\beta\beta$ -like mass terms  $\langle m \rangle_{\alpha\beta}$  and nine independent Jalskog-like parameters  $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}$  (for  $\alpha, \beta = e, \mu, \tau$  and  $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ ) are introduced and their main features are discussed. In section 3 we study the sensitivities of six CP-violating asymmetries  $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}$  to the three phases, neutrino mass ordering, and the ratio of the neutrino beam energy  $E$  to the baseline length  $L$ . Section 4 is devoted to a summary of this report.

## 2. Neutrino-antineutrino oscillations and effective parameters

The neutrino-antineutrino oscillation probabilities for  $\nu_\alpha \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_\beta$  and  $\bar{\nu}_\alpha \rightarrow \nu_\beta$  are given by [3]

$$\begin{aligned} P(\nu_\alpha \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_\beta) &= \frac{|K|^2}{E^2} \left[ |\langle m \rangle_{\alpha\beta}|^2 - 4 \sum_{i<j} m_i m_j \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} \sin^2 \phi_{ji} + 2 \sum_{i<j} m_i m_j \mathcal{V}_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} \sin 2\phi_{ji} \right], \\ P(\bar{\nu}_\alpha \rightarrow \nu_\beta) &= \frac{|\bar{K}|^2}{E^2} \left[ |\langle m \rangle_{\alpha\beta}|^2 - 4 \sum_{i<j} m_i m_j \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} \sin^2 \phi_{ji} - 2 \sum_{i<j} m_i m_j \mathcal{V}_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} \sin 2\phi_{ji} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

in which  $\phi_{ji} \equiv \Delta m_{ji}^2 L / (4E)$  with  $\Delta m_{ji}^2 \equiv m_j^2 - m_i^2$  being the mass-squared difference and  $E$  the neutrino (or antineutrino) beam energy,  $L$  the baseline length.  $K$  and  $\bar{K}$  are the kinematical factors, which satisfy  $|K| = |\bar{K}|$ .  $m_i/E$  stands for the helicity suppression in the transition between  $\nu_i$  and  $\bar{\nu}_i$ . The effective mass term  $\langle m \rangle_{\alpha\beta}$  is defined as  $\langle m \rangle_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \sum_i m_i U_{\alpha i} U_{\beta i}$ . We call them  $0\nu\beta\beta$ -like mass terms since  $\langle m \rangle_{ee}$  is the effective mass term of the  $0\nu\beta\beta$  decay.  $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} \equiv \text{Re}(U_{\alpha i} U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha j}^* U_{\beta j}^*)$  and  $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} \equiv \text{Im}(U_{\alpha i} U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha j}^* U_{\beta j}^*)$  describe the CP-conserving and CP-violating contributions of the PMNS mixing, where the Greek and Latin subscripts running over  $(e, \mu, \tau)$  and  $(1, 2, 3)$ , respectively. The CP-violating parts are referred to as Jarlskog-like parameters since they are similar to the definition of the Jarlskog parameter  $\mathcal{J} = \text{Im}(U_{e1} U_{\mu 2} U_{e2}^* U_{\mu 1}^*)$  [5].

A measurement of neutrino-antineutrino oscillations is far beyond nowadays experimental capability as  $P(\bar{\nu}_\alpha \rightarrow \nu_\beta)$  highly suppressed by the factor  $m_i^2/E^2$ . The typical oscillation lengths by taking  $E \sim \mathcal{O}(10)$  keV for example, (1)  $L_{31}^{\text{osc}} \simeq L_{32}^{\text{osc}} \simeq \frac{E}{10 \text{ keV}} \times 10$  m, and (2)  $L_{21}^{\text{osc}} \simeq \frac{E}{10 \text{ keV}} \times 330$  m, corresponding to  $\Delta m_{21}^2 \simeq 7.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$  and  $|\Delta m_{31}^2| \simeq |\Delta m_{32}^2| \simeq 2.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ , respectively. To make the oscillation effect detectable, the sizes of the neutrino (or antineutrino) source and the detector should be much smaller than  $L_{21}^{\text{osc}}$  and (or)  $L_{31}^{\text{osc}} \simeq L_{32}^{\text{osc}}$ .

The Jarlskog-like parameters  $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}$  satisfy the relations  $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} = \mathcal{V}_{\beta\alpha}^{ij} = -\mathcal{V}_{\alpha\beta}^{ji} = -\mathcal{V}_{\beta\alpha}^{ji}$ , and  $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha\beta}^{ii} = 0$ ; but  $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha\alpha}^{ij} \neq 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . With the sum rules  $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} = (\mathcal{V}_{\gamma\gamma}^{ij} - \mathcal{V}_{\alpha\alpha}^{ij} - \mathcal{V}_{\beta\beta}^{ij})/2$  ( $\alpha \neq \beta \neq \gamma \neq \alpha$ ), we have only nine independent  $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}$ . For a numerical illustration, we take  $\theta_{12} \simeq 33.4^\circ$ ,  $\theta_{13} \simeq 8.66^\circ$  and  $\theta_{23} \simeq 40.0^\circ$  as inputs [6]. In general,  $\mathcal{V}_{ee}^{12}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_{\mu\mu}^{23}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_{\tau\tau}^{23}$  and  $\mathcal{V}_{\mu\tau}^{23}$  can maximally reach about 20% in magnitude; in comparison, the Jarlskog invariant  $\mathcal{J} \leq 9.6\%$ , suppressed by  $s_{13}$ . One special case is the ‘‘pseudo-Dirac’’ case with  $\rho = \sigma = 0$ . This case is interesting because appreciable CP- and T-violating effects are expected to show up in neutrino-antineutrino oscillations even if the Majorana phases  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  vanish.

The effective mass terms  $\langle m \rangle_{\alpha\beta}$  are important to understand the origin of neutrino masses, since they are simply the  $(\alpha, \beta)$  elements of the symmetric Majorana neutrino mass matrix  $M_\nu$  in the charged lepton flavor basis. These parameters also play important roles in some other LNV processes, such as the doubly charged Higgs decay  $H^{++} \rightarrow \ell_\alpha^+ \ell_\beta^+$  [7] in the type-II seesaw mechanism [8] and some LNV decays of  $K$ ,  $D$  and  $B$  mesons [9]. A measurement of the three CP-violating phases is absolutely necessary in order to fully reconstruct the neutrino mass matrix  $M_\nu$ . Figure 1 illustrates the profiles of six  $|\langle m \rangle_{\alpha\beta}|$ , with inputs shown above. We allow  $\delta$  to randomly vary in  $(0, 360^\circ)$  and  $\rho, \sigma$  vary in  $(0, 180^\circ)$ . For some values of the lightest neutrino mass, texture zero  $|\langle m \rangle_{\alpha\beta}| = 0$  is allowed, either in the normal hierarchy (e.g.,  $|\langle m \rangle_{ee}| = 0$  [10]) or in the inverted hierarchy (e.g.,  $|\langle m \rangle_{\tau\tau}| = 0$ ), or in both of them (e.g.,  $|\langle m \rangle_{e\mu}| = 0$ ).

## 3. CP violation in neutrino-antineutrino oscillations

To eliminate the  $|K|^2/E^2$  and  $|\bar{K}|^2/E^2$  factors, we define the CP-violating asymmetry between  $\nu_\alpha \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_\beta$  and  $\bar{\nu}_\alpha \rightarrow \nu_\beta$  oscillations [3]

$$\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{P(\nu_\alpha \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_\beta) - P(\bar{\nu}_\alpha \rightarrow \nu_\beta)}{P(\nu_\alpha \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_\beta) + P(\bar{\nu}_\alpha \rightarrow \nu_\beta)} = \frac{2 \sum_{i<j} m_i m_j \mathcal{V}_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} \sin 2\phi_{ji}}{|\langle m \rangle_{\alpha\beta}|^2 - 4 \sum_{i<j} m_i m_j \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} \sin^2 \phi_{ji}}. \quad (3)$$

Only six of the nine CP-violating asymmetries are independent and nontrivial because of

$\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta} = \mathcal{A}_{\beta\alpha}$ . The  $\nu_\alpha \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_\alpha$  oscillation is actually a kind of “appearance” process and thus it can accommodate the CP-violating effects. Because of the fact  $|\Delta m_{31}^2| \simeq |\Delta m_{32}^2| \simeq 32\Delta m_{21}^2$ , there may exist two oscillating regions dominated respectively by  $\Delta m_{21}^2$  and  $\Delta m_{31}^2$ . We classify our analysis into three cases: (1) the normal neutrino mass hierarchy with  $m_1 = 0$ , (2) the inverted neutrino mass hierarchy with  $m_3 = 0$ , and (3) the nearly degenerate mass hierarchy with  $m_1 \simeq m_2 \simeq m_3$ . By fixing the CP phases, we schematically show some results in Figs. (2), (3) and (4), where the inputs of mixing angles and mass-squared differences are the same as above. Note that some of  $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}$  are remarkably dependent on the CP phases. This has been considered in Ref. [4], and more detailed discussions can be found over there.

#### 4. Summary


In principle, one may determine the Majorana phases of the PMNS matrix in neutrino-antineutrino oscillations. Such an experiment might be feasible in the very distant future, but a systematic study of CP violation in neutrino-antineutrino oscillations is still useful, so as to enrich the Majorana neutrino phenomenology. Six independent  $0\nu\beta\beta$ -like mass terms  $\langle m \rangle_{\alpha\beta}$  and nine independent Jalskog-like parameters  $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}$  have been analyzed in detail, and allowed parameter spaces for  $\langle m \rangle_{\alpha\beta}$  have been presented. We have also carried out an analysis of the sensitivities of six CP-violating asymmetries  $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}$  to the three phase parameters and the ratio  $E/L$  in different mass hierarchies. Our analytical and numerical results provide a complete description of the distinct roles of Majorana CP-violating phases in neutrino-antineutrino oscillations.

#### Acknowledgement


The author is indebted to Z.Z. Xing for his collaboration. This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 11135009.

#### References


- [1] Z. Maki, M. Nakagawa, and S. Sakata, Prog. Theor. Phys. **28**, 870 (1962); B. Pontecorvo, Sov. Phys. JETP **26**, 984 (1968).
- [2] J. Beringer *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. D **86**, 010001 (2012).
- [3] Z.Z. Xing, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 053019 (2013).
- [4] Z.Z. Xing and Y.-L. Zhou, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 033002 (2013).
- [5] C. Jarlskog, Phys. Rev. Lett. **55**, 1039 (1985); D.D. Wu, Phys. Rev. D **33**, 860 (1986).
- [6] M.C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni, J. Salvado, and T. Schwetz, JHEP **1212**, 123 (2012).
- [7] See, e.g., J. Garayoa and T. Schwetz, JHEP **0803**, 009 (2008); M. Kadastik, M. Raidal, and L. Rebane, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 115023 (2008); A.G. Akeroyd, M. Aoki, and H. Sugiyama, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 075010; Z.Z. Xing, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 011301 (2008); P. Fileviez Perez, T. Han, G.Y. Huang, T. Li, and K. Wang, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 015018 (2008); F. del Aguila and J.A. Aguilar-Saavedra, Nucl. Phys. B **813**, 22 (2009).
- [8] W. Konetschny and W. Kummer, Phys. Lett. B **70**, 433 (1977); M. Magg and C. Wetterich, Phys. Lett. B **94**, 61 (1980); J. Schechter and J.W.F. Valle, Phys. Rev. D **22**, 2227 (1980); T.P. Cheng and L.F. Li, Phys. Rev. D **22**, 2860 (1980); G. Lazarides, Q. Shafi, and C. Wetterich, Nucl. Phys. B **181**, 287 (1981); R.N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 165 (1981).
- [9] J. Bahcall and H. Primakoff, Phys. Rev. D **18**, 3463 (1978); L.N. Chang and N.P. Chang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **45**, 1540 (1980); J. Schechter and J.W.F. Valle, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 1666 (1981); L.F. Li and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. D **25**, 143 (1982); J. Bernabeu and P. Pascual, Nucl. Phys. B **228**, 21 (1983); J.D. Vergados, Phys. Rept. **133**, 1 (1986); P. Langacker and J. Wang, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 093004 (1998); A. de Gouvea, B. Kayser, and R.N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 053004 (2003); D. Delepine, V.G. Macias, S. Khalil, and G.L. Castro, Phys. Lett. B **693**, 438 (2010).
- [10] Z.Z. Xing, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 053002 (2003); Y.F. Li and S.S. Liu, Phys. Lett. B **706**, 406 (2012).




**Figure 1.** The profiles of  $|\langle m \rangle_{\alpha\beta}|$  versus the lightest neutrino mass  $m_1$  (normal hierarchy or NH: red region) or  $m_3$  (inverted hierarchy or IH: green region).



**Figure 2.** The CP-violating asymmetries  $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}$  versus  $L/E$  in the normal neutrino mass hierarchy with  $m_1 = 0$ ,  $\delta = 90^\circ$ ,  $\sigma = 45^\circ$ , and  $\rho$  being arbitrary.



**Figure 3.** The CP-violating asymmetries  $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}$  versus  $L/E$  in the inverted neutrino mass hierarchy with  $m_3 = 0$ : (a)  $\delta = 0^\circ$  and  $\rho - \sigma = 45^\circ$  (red dashed lines); (b)  $\delta = 90^\circ$  and  $\rho - \sigma = 0^\circ$  (blue solid lines). The absolute values of  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  are set to be arbitrary.



**Figure 4.** The CP-violating asymmetries  $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}$  (blue solid lines) versus  $L/E$  in the nearly degenerate neutrino mass hierarchy with  $m_1 \simeq m_2 \simeq m_3$ ,  $\rho = 0^\circ$ ,  $\sigma = 45^\circ$  and  $\delta = 90^\circ$ , where the red dashed lines stand for the oscillations driven by  $\Delta m_{31}^2$  and  $\Delta m_{32}^2$  being averaged out.