

A new technique to observe direct CP Violation in D mesons using Bose Symmetry and Dalitz Plot

Dibyakrupa Sahoo,¹ Rahul Sinha,¹ N. G. Deshpande,² and Sandip Pakvasa³

¹*The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Taramani, Chennai 600113, India*

²*Institute of Theoretical Science, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 94703, USA*

³*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA*

(Dated: February 27, 2022)

We present a new and sensitive method to observe direct CP violation in D mesons using Bose symmetry and Dalitz plot. We apply the method to processes such as $B \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0 P$, where P is either a K or a π . By choosing to reconstruct D mesons only through their decays into CP eigenstates, we show that any asymmetry in the Dalitz plot can arise only through direct CP violation. We further show how CP violation parameters can be determined. Since the approach involves only Bose symmetry, the method is applicable to any multi-body process that involves $D^0 \bar{D}^0$ in the final state. We briefly discuss how $B \rightarrow D^* \bar{D}^* P$ can also be used in a similar way.

PACS numbers: 13.25.Hw, 11.30.Ly, 12.15.Hh

It is well known that the explanation of observed dominance of matter over antimatter in our universe requires as one of the conditions that the laws of nature are not invariant under CP [1]. Indeed CP violation has been well established in the weak decays of K [2] and B mesons [3–9]. In the standard model of particle physics CP violation arises via the Kobayashi-Maskawa mechanism at a level consistent with that observed in the K mesons and B mesons. However, it is well known that CP violation in the standard model cannot account for the observed baryon asymmetry making it imperative to search for new sources of CP violation beyond the standard model. In the standard model, CP violation in the D meson system is expected to be rather small in both mass mixing and in direct decays. An observation of sizable CP violation in D mesons would hence open a window of opportunity to probe for new sources of CP violation. This in turn may lead to a more complete theory of CP violation that furthers our understanding of the observed baryon asymmetry. It is, however, challenging to observe an unambiguous signal of CP violation in D mesons. In this letter we present a new technique to observe direct CP violation using Bose symmetry and Dalitz plots. The method is completely general but we apply it here to study CP violation in D mesons.

Our approach relies on using D mesons produced in decays such as $B \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0 K$ or $B \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0 \pi$ or any similar mode such as $B \rightarrow D^* \bar{D}^* P$ that result in $D^0 \bar{D}^0$ in the final decay chain. The $D^0 \bar{D}^0$ pair is best viewed in terms of the mass eigenstates D_1 and D_2 so that the time evolution is simple. The final $D^0 \bar{D}^0$ state can be observed as a combination of $D_1 D_1$, $D_2 D_2$ and $D_1 D_2$ states. In the absence of CP violation in the mixing matrix of $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ system, D_1 and D_2 would be even and odd eigenstates of CP . In the three body Dalitz plot because of Bose symmetry, we necessarily have $D_1 D_1$ and $D_2 D_2$ in symmetric states under exchange of momenta. Further, as we will see, a $D_1 D_2$ state is necessarily anti-symmetric under the

exchange of momenta. Now if there is no CP violation in both mass-mixing (indirect CP violation) and directly in the decay amplitude, and if one observes both D mesons reconstructed in CP even eigenstates (such as $K^+ K^-$ or $\pi^+ \pi^-$), this can come only from the $D_1 D_1$, and hence would be distributed symmetrically under the exchange of the two identical D mesons in the Dalitz plot. The same is true if we reconstruct both the D mesons in CP odd eigenstates such as $K_S \pi^0$, $K_S \omega$ or $K_S \phi$, which arise from decays of D_2 .

The advantage of studying Dalitz plot distributions with due care to the consequences of Bose symmetry has also been eluded to earlier [10]. The crucial observation of this letter is that any asymmetry in the Dalitz plot distribution of $B \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0 P$ events under exchange of the D mesons, when the D 's are reconstructed in same CP eigenstates, must necessarily arise from the antisymmetric state $D_1 D_2$ and imply CP violation, since it would mean that both D_1 and D_2 decay into the same CP final state. We prove that an observation of such an asymmetry would constitute an unambiguous signal of *direct* CP violation which is independent of CP violation in mass mixing. Further, the asymmetry in the Dalitz plot would arise from the interference of CP violating and CP conserving terms. Hence, our procedure could be more sensitive to CP -violation compared to the observation of CP -violation using measurement of differences in decay rates. The novel observation made is that the distribution of points on the Dalitz plot depends on the CP -property of the decay mode chosen to reconstruct the D mesons. This follows as a consequence of the Bose symmetry of final states in the Dalitz plot distribution. The technique presented here promises to be a powerful new tool for discovery of new physics.

The mass eigenstates of the two neutral D mesons are defined as

$$|D_{1,2}\rangle = p |D^0\rangle \pm q |\bar{D}^0\rangle, \quad (1)$$

where, $|p|^2 + |q|^2 = 1$, and the two CP eigenstates are

$$|D_{\pm}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|D^0\rangle \pm |\bar{D}^0\rangle). \quad (2)$$

The D^0 and \bar{D}^0 mesons can then be expressed in terms of the mass eigenstates and the CP eigenstates as follows:

$$|\bar{D}^0\rangle = \frac{1}{2p}(|D_1\rangle \pm |D_2\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|D_+\rangle \pm |D_-\rangle). \quad (3)$$

Finally the mass eigenstates can be written in terms of the CP eigenstates at any given time as follows:

$$|D_{1,2}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}((p \pm q)|D_+\rangle + (p \mp q)|D_-\rangle). \quad (4)$$

The states $|D_{1,2}\rangle$ have only an exponential time dependence corresponding to their mass and decay width and do not depend on time in any other way. This time dependence is given by

$$|D_{1,2}(t)\rangle = e^{-i\mu_{1,2}t} |D_{1,2}\rangle \equiv e^{-i(\mu \pm \Delta\mu)t} |D_{1,2}\rangle, \quad (5)$$

where $\mu = M - i(\Gamma/2)$ and $\Delta\mu = (x - iy)(\Gamma/2)$ with M and Γ being the average mass and decay width of D_1 and D_2 and $x\Gamma$, $2y\Gamma$ being the differences in masses and widths of D_1 and D_2 respectively. Experimentally [11] $x = (0.48^{+0.17}_{-0.19}) \times 10^{-2}$ and $y = (0.715 \pm 0.095) \times 10^{-2}$. We choose to work in terms of mass eigenstates as the time dependence is a simple exponential that can be easily integrated, and these states also obey Bose statistics.

We consider the decay $B \rightarrow D^0(p_1)\bar{D}^0(p_2)P(p_3)$ in the Gottfried-Jackson frame with the B moving along the \hat{z} axis so that the D^0 and \bar{D}^0 go back to back with D^0 at an angle θ with $P(p_3)$. In this frame $\vec{p}_1 + \vec{p}_2 = 0$. We define $s \equiv (p_1 + p_2)^2$, $t \equiv (p_2 + p_3)^2$ and $u \equiv (p_1 + p_3)^2$. Here t and u can be written as:

$$t \equiv a + b \cos \theta, \quad u \equiv a - b \cos \theta, \quad (6)$$

where $a = (M_B^2 + M_P^2 + 2M_D^2 - s)/2$ and $b = \sqrt{s - 4M_D^2} \lambda^{1/2}(M_B^2, M_P^2, s)/(2\sqrt{s})$, with $\lambda(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 2(xy + yz + zx)$. The D^0 and \bar{D}^0 mesons produced in the decay of the B meson at time $t = 0$ oscillate and eventually decay by weak interactions to the final states f_1 and f_2 at times t_1 and t_2 respectively. We shall first consider the Dalitz plot for the decay $B \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0 P$, where both the D are reconstructed in CP -even states. Since the two D mesons are reconstructed in CP eigenstates we cannot ascertain the flavor of the D meson. Hence, we choose the D meson reconstructed in the final state f_1^+ to have momentum p_1 and the other D meson with momentum p_2 is presumed to decay to f_2^+ . The superscript $+$ is used to indicate that the state is a CP -even eigenstate. Here f_1^+ and f_2^+ could be for example $\pi^+\pi^-$, K^+K^- or any other CP -even final state. To obtain an asymmetry it is necessary that $f_1^+ \neq f_2^+$. In the

case $f_1^+ = f_2^+$ there is a two fold ambiguity in assigning a point on the Dalitz plot. These pair of points are related by $t \leftrightarrow u$, and we can plot the points uniquely in the half plane $t \geq u$. We will show later that this plot contains important information.

Our interest lies in the decays of the D^0 and \bar{D}^0 mesons decaying as mass eigenstates. Hence we express the state $|D^0(p_1)\bar{D}^0(p_2)P\rangle$ in terms of mass eigenstates of the D^0 and \bar{D}^0 mesons (using Eq. (3)) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |D^0(p_1)\bar{D}^0(p_2)P\rangle = \\ \frac{1}{4pq} \left(\left\{ |D_1(p_1)D_1(p_2)P\rangle - |D_2(p_1)D_2(p_2)P\rangle \right\} \right. \\ \left. - \left\{ |D_1(p_1)D_2(p_2)P\rangle - |D_2(p_1)D_1(p_2)P\rangle \right\} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where the momenta of the two D mesons have been explicitly written to emphasize the exchange symmetry between the D_1 and D_2 mesons. Since the two mesons are reconstructed in CP -eigenstates that do not tag the flavor of the D^0 and \bar{D}^0 meson, the symmetry properties of the two D mesons need to be taken care off. It is clear from Eq. (7) that the term in the first curly bracket is symmetric under the exchange of the momenta of the two D mesons, whereas the second term is antisymmetric under the same exchange. Exchanging p_1 and p_2 we obtain the expression for the state $|D^0(p_2)\bar{D}^0(p_1)P\rangle$ which is identical to the right-hand-side of Eq. (7) except a change of sign in front of the second curly bracket. The term symmetric under exchange of p_1 and p_2 exhibits Bose symmetry as it has identical mesons. Since, the exchange $p_1 \leftrightarrow p_2$ is equivalent to the exchange $t \leftrightarrow u$, the Bose symmetry is therefore realized as a symmetry under $t \leftrightarrow u$ exchange in the amplitude and consequently in the Dalitz plot.

We define the amplitude for the decay of D_{\pm} to a CP even final state f_i^+ as

$$\text{Amp}(D_+ \rightarrow f_i^+) = \langle f_i^+ | D_+ \rangle = A_i \quad (8)$$

$$\text{Amp}(D_- \rightarrow f_i^+) = \langle f_i^+ | D_- \rangle = \epsilon_i A_i, \quad (9)$$

where ϵ_i clearly indicate CP violation. The amplitude for the decay $D_{1,2} \rightarrow f_i^+$ can be written using Eq. (4) as,

$$\text{Amp}(D_{1,2} \rightarrow f_i^+) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}((p \pm q)A_i + (p \mp q)\epsilon_i A_i). \quad (10)$$

In order to understand the nature of CP violation possible in our method we first examine two simple cases in the limit of vanishing mass and width differences between D_1 and D_2 :

Case (a) No direct CP violation In this limit we have $\epsilon_i = 0$, resulting in a simpler expression for the amplitude for the decay $D_{1,2} \rightarrow f_i^+$:

$$\text{Amp}(D_{1,2} \rightarrow f_i^+) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(p \pm q)A_i. \quad (11)$$

It is obvious that the contribution from both the states $|D_1(p_1)D_2(p_2)P\rangle$ and $|D_2(p_1)D_1(p_2)P\rangle$ decaying to $f_1^+ f_2^+ P$ is each proportional to $(p^2 - q^2)$. Hence, the odd state $\{|D_1(p_1)D_2(p_2)P\rangle - |D_2(p_1)D_1(p_2)P\rangle\}$ gives two contributions that exactly cancel. Thus no asymmetry would be observed in the Dalitz plot distribution if direct CP violation were absent.

Case (b) No CP violation in mixing In this case we take the limit $p = q$ and hence

$$|D_{1,2}\rangle = |D_{\pm}\rangle \quad (12)$$

Using Eq. (8) and (9), it is easy to see that the odd-state $\{|D_1(p_1)D_2(p_2)P\rangle - |D_2(p_1)D_1(p_2)P\rangle\}$ has a contribu-

tion proportional to $(\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j)A_i A_j$. Hence, we conclude that only direct CP violation can contribute to the asymmetry observed in the Dalitz plot.

We now discuss the completely general case where no assumption is made about the nature of CP violation. Since, the mass difference ($x\Gamma$) and width difference ($2y\Gamma$) of D_1 and D_2 are negligible compared to the decay width (Γ), we shall ignore these differences by setting $x \rightarrow 0$ and $y \rightarrow 0$. Corrections due to retaining x and y are extremely small. Ignoring the mass and width differences, the amplitude for the B meson decay to the exchange-symmetric (even) final state $\{(f_1^+)_{D_1}(f_2^+)_{D_1}P - (f_1^+)_{D_2}(f_2^+)_{D_2}P\}$, is given by

$$\text{Amp}\left(B \rightarrow \{(f_1^+)_{D_1}(f_2^+)_{D_1}P - (f_1^+)_{D_2}(f_2^+)_{D_2}P\}\right) = 2 A_1 A_2 e^{-i\mu(t_1+t_2)} (A(t, u) + A(u, t)) p q (1 - \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2), \quad (13)$$

where, $(f_i^+)_{D_1}$ indicates that D_1 decays to the final state f_i^+ , $A(t, u)$ is a function of t and u that describes the amplitude for the decay of the B meson to $D^0 \bar{D}^0 P$. One can similarly write the amplitude for the B meson decay to the exchange-antisymmetric (odd) final state $\{(f_1^+)_{D_1}(f_2^+)_{D_2}P - (f_1^+)_{D_2}(f_2^+)_{D_1}P\}$ as

$$\text{Amp}\left(B \rightarrow \{(f_1^+)_{D_1}(f_2^+)_{D_2}P - (f_1^+)_{D_2}(f_2^+)_{D_1}P\}\right) = 2 A_1 A_2 e^{-i\mu(t_1+t_2)} (A(t, u) - A(u, t)) p q (\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1). \quad (14)$$

The complete amplitude for the decay $B \rightarrow (f_1^+)_{D_1}(f_2^+)_{D_2}P$ is given by the sum of the amplitudes as given in Eqs. (13) and (14):

$$\text{Amp}(B \rightarrow (f_1^+)_{D_1}(f_2^+)_{D_2}P) = A_1 A_2 e^{-i\mu(t_1+t_2)} (A_e(1 - \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2) - A_o(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2) \cos \theta). \quad (15)$$

where A_e and A_o are both even under $t \leftrightarrow u$ or $\cos \theta \leftrightarrow -\cos \theta$ (see Eq. (6)) and are defined as:

$$A_e = \frac{A(t, u) + A(u, t)}{2}, \quad A_o = \frac{A(t, u) - A(u, t)}{2 \cos \theta}. \quad (16)$$

The Dalitz plot distribution for $B \rightarrow (f_1^+)_{D_1}(f_2^+)_{D_2}P$ is proportional to the time integrated differential decay rate. We find this to be:

$$\frac{d\Gamma(B \rightarrow (f_1^+)_{D_1}(f_2^+)_{D_2}P)}{dt du} = \frac{\text{Br}_1^+ \text{Br}_2^+}{256 \pi^3 M_B^3} |1 + \epsilon_1|^{-2} |1 + \epsilon_2|^{-2} \left(|1 - \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2|^2 |A_e|^2 + |\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2|^2 |A_o|^2 \cos^2 \theta - 2 \text{Re}((\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2)(1 - \epsilon_1^* \epsilon_2^*) A_e^* A_o) \cos \theta \right), \quad (17)$$

where Br_1^+ and Br_2^+ are the branching fractions for the processes $D^0 \rightarrow f_1^+$ and $D^0 \rightarrow f_2^+$ respectively. Since $t - u \propto \cos \theta$, it is evident from Eq. (17) that any asymmetry across the line $t = u$ is an unambiguous signature of direct CP violation in D mesons. We emphasize that this method of observing direct CP violation in D mesons is in no way related to the traditional method of comparing Dalitz plots of B decay and its conjugate process, which in this case would indicate direct CP violation in B decays and would be insensitive to any CP violation in D decays. Another approach [12] which is completely unrelated to ours, has previously used the Dalitz plot of

D decay to observe evidence of CP violation in a B decay (e.g. $B^+ \rightarrow DK^+, D \rightarrow K\pi\pi$).

In the above we have discussed in detail only the final states where both the meson decay to CP -even eigenstates. The same analysis can also be carried out when both the D mesons decay to CP -odd states f_1^- and f_2^- , where f_1^- and f_2^- are one of the following $K_S \pi^0$, $K_S \omega$ or $K_S \phi$. It is easy to verify that the differential decay width $D_{1,2}^-$ describing the distribution for the process $B \rightarrow (f_1^-)_{D_1}(f_2^-)_{D_2}P$ is exactly the same as the expression for $D_{1,2}^{++}$ in Eq. (17), with the replacements $A_i = \text{Amp}(D_- \rightarrow f_i^-) = \langle f_i^- | D_- \rangle$ and $\epsilon_i \rightarrow \epsilon_i =$

$\text{Amp}(D_+ \rightarrow f_i^-)/\text{Amp}(D_- \rightarrow f_i^-)$. Note, that ε (ϵ) denotes CP violation for states that are CP -odd (even).

Another interesting possibility is to reconstruct the Dalitz plot in a mixture of CP -even and CP -odd states i.e. $f_i^- f_j^+$. If CP is conserved this would contribute only to the anti-symmetric part of the amplitude, but nevertheless the Dalitz plot would be symmetric across

$t = u$, since the Dalitz plot is proportional to the mod-squared of the amplitude. In the presence of direct CP -violation the amplitude develops a symmetric part, once again leading to an asymmetry in the Dalitz plot across $t = u$. The difference in this case is that the coefficients of the expressions in Eq. (13) and (14) are interchanged. This results in the following expression for the differential decay width

$$\frac{d\Gamma(B \rightarrow (f_1^-)_D(f_2^+)_D P)}{dt du} = \frac{\text{Br}_1^- \text{Br}_2^+}{256 \pi^3 M_B^3} |1 + \varepsilon_1|^{-2} |1 + \varepsilon_2|^{-2} \left(|1 - \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2|^2 |A_o|^2 + |\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2|^2 |A_e|^2 \cos^2 \theta \right. \\ \left. - 2 \text{Re}((\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2)(1 - \varepsilon_1^* \varepsilon_2^*) A_o^* A_e) \cos \theta \right). \quad (18)$$

The Dalitz plot distribution can be written in the form $E + O \cos \theta$, where the E and O are defined as the even and odd parts of the distribution. These terms are distinguished by their behavior under $t \leftrightarrow u$. The odd term is the coefficient of $\cos \theta$ which changes sign under $u \leftrightarrow t$, however both E and O are by themselves even functions of $\cos \theta$. It is easy to see from Eqs. (17) that when $f_1^+ = f_2^+$ in the final state, only E term proportional to $|A_e|^2$ survives. Hence, $|A_e|^2$ can easily be extracted from such a symmetric Dalitz plot distribution defined here as E_{sym} . This holds true even in the case $f_1^- = f_2^-$. It may be noted that Eq. (18) enables us to measure $|A_o|^2$ using the even (E) term whereas the odd (O) term can then be used to extract the CP violation parameter $\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2$ modulo the relative phase. The expressions for the CP violation parameter $\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2$ is thus given by

$$|\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2| \geq \frac{\text{Br}_{\text{sym}}}{2 \sqrt{\text{Br}_1^- \text{Br}_2^+}} \frac{O}{\sqrt{E_{\text{sym}} E}}, \quad (19)$$

where Br_{sym} is the branching fraction for D^0 to the final state $f_1 = f_2$. If we consider the odd (O) term from the Dalitz distribution for $B \rightarrow (f_1^+)_D(f_2^+)_D P$, then the expression for CP violation parameter $\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2$ is given by

$$|\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2| \geq \frac{\text{Br}_{\text{sym}}}{2 \text{Br}_1^-} \sqrt{\frac{\text{Br}_1^-}{\text{Br}_2^+}} \frac{O}{\sqrt{E E_{\text{sym}}}}, \quad (20)$$

where Br_1^- is the branching fraction for $D^0 \rightarrow f_1^-$, where f_1^- is the mode used to measure A_o as described above. In deriving Eqs. (19) and (20) we have neglected higher powers of ϵ 's and ε 's in comparison with unity. The CP violating asymmetry $|\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2|$ (or $|\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2|$) is easily related to the difference in CP asymmetries usually referred to as $\Delta A_{CP} = A_{CP}(f_1^+) - A_{CP}(f_2^+)$ (or $\Delta A_{CP} = A_{CP}(f_1^-) - A_{CP}(f_2^-)$) [13]. Note that even

though E , E_{sym} and O are functions of t and u , measurement of the CP violation parameter can be done by integrating over regions of t and u . The easiest way to observe CP violation is to measure the difference between the number of events with $t > u$ and those with $t < u$ and compare this to the total number of events on the Dalitz plot.

Having established that any asymmetry in the Dalitz plot distribution of the three body decay $B \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0 P$ indicates the presence of CP violation, we next examine the mode $B \rightarrow D^* \bar{D}^* P$, which has a much higher branching fraction. The D^* and \bar{D}^* undergo further decays resulting in a five body final state that includes $D^0 \bar{D}^0$. However, the presence of the additional two particles adds only to the complication in reconstruction. If the D mesons are reconstructed in two different CP -even eigenstates e.g. f_1^+ , f_2^+ , the resulting $D^* \bar{D}^* P$ Dalitz plot should be symmetric under $t \leftrightarrow u$ exchange in the absence of CP violation. This is easy to see since f_1^+ (or equivalently f_2^+) could be produced by the decay of D_1 which in turn can arise from the decay of either D^* or \bar{D}^* . The inequalities of Eqs. (19) and (20) are also valid for the $D^* \bar{D}^* P$ Dalitz plots. However, the E , E_{sym} and O terms should now be extracted from the even and odd parts of the $D^* \bar{D}^* P$ Dalitz plots, while the branching fractions are still those of the D mesons.

We estimate the sensitivity of our approach by considering $B^\pm \rightarrow D^{*0} \bar{D}^{*0} K^\pm \rightarrow (D^0 \pi^0)(\bar{D}^0 \pi^0) K^\pm$ decay where the $D^0 \bar{D}^0$ pair is reconstructed via $(K_S \pi^0)(K^+ K^-)$. A sample of $\sim 3 \times 10^{11}$ B decays would enable us to probe the CP violation parameter of the order of 10^{-2} at 5σ . If the $D^0 \bar{D}^0$ pair were reconstructed via $(K^+ K^-)(\pi^+ \pi^-)$, the same sensitivity would require $\sim 3 \times 10^{12}$ B decays. These estimates are based only on statistical errors and do not include detection efficiencies. Combining various decay modes one can enhance the reach significantly. The current measurements [13–18] suggest a central value of $\Delta A_{CP} = A_{CP}(KK) - A_{CP}(\pi\pi)$

to be about -0.34×10^{-2} but are not yet significant.

In this letter we have presented a new method to observe direct CP violation in D mesons using three body B decays. The method relies only on Bose symmetry and requires that the Dalitz plot of B decays be constructed with the D mesons decaying to specific CP eigenstates. The signature of *direct* CP violation in the D mesons is the presence of an asymmetry in the number of events under $t \leftrightarrow u$ on the Dalitz plot distribution.

This work is supported in part by DOE under contract numbers DE-FG02-96ER40969ER41155 and DE-FG02-04ER41291. We thank Tom Browder for detailed discussions. R.S. thanks N.G.D. and Institute of Theoretical Science, University of Oregon for hospitality. S.P. acknowledges the support of Alexander von Humboldt Foundation Research Award and Professor Heinrich Paes, Physics Department of the Technical University of Dortmund for hospitality.

-
- [1] A. D. Sakharov, *Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **5**, 32-35 (1967), *JETP Lett.* **5**, 24-27 (1967), *Sov. Phys. Usp.* **34**, 392393 (1991), *Usp. Fiz. Nauk* **161**, 61-64 (1991).
 - [2] J.H. Christenson *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **13**, 138 (1964).
 - [3] B. Aubert *et al.*, [BABAR Collab.], *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 091801 (2001).

- [4] K. Abe *et al.*, [Belle Collab.], *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 091802 (2001).
- [5] B. Aubert *et al.*, [BABAR Collab.], *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 131801 (2004).
- [6] K. Abe *et al.*, [Belle Collab.], [arXiv:hep-ex/0507045](#).
- [7] A. Poluektov *et al.*, [The Belle Collab.], *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 112002 (2010).
- [8] P. del Amo Sanchez *et al.*, [BABAR Collab.], *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 072004 (2010).
- [9] R. Aaij *et al.*, [LHCb Collab], [arXiv:1203.3662 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [10] R. Sinha, N. G. Deshpande, S. Pakvasa and C. Sharma, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 271801 (2011) [[arXiv:1104.3938 \[hep-ph\]](#)].
- [11] J. Beringer *et al.* (Particle Data Group), *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 010001 (2012) and 2013 partial update for the 2014 edition.
- [12] A. Giri, Y. Grossman, A. Soffer and J. Zupan, *Phys. Rev. D* **68**, 054018 (2003) [[hep-ph/0303187](#)].
- [13] R. Aaij *et al.*, [LHCb Collab], *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 111602 (2012).
- [14] B. Aubert *et al.* [BaBar Collaboration], *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 061803 (2008).
- [15] T. Aaltonen *et al.* [CDF Collaboration], *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 111801 (2012).
- [16] B. R. Ko [Belle Collaboration], [arXiv:1212.5320 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [17] The LHCb Collaboration [LHCb Collaboration], *LHCb-CONF-2013-003*.
- [18] B. R. Ko [for the Belle Collaboration], [arXiv:1310.3891 \[hep-ex\]](#).