

Charged lepton flavour violation in supersymmetric and holographic composite Higgs models with flavour symmetries

C. Hagedorn^a

^a*Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia ‘G. Galilei’, Università di Padova, Via Marzolo 8, I-35131 Padua, Italy and SISSA, Via Bonomea 265, I-34136 Trieste, Italy*

Abstract

We discuss charged lepton flavour violating processes such as $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ in supersymmetric extensions of the Standard Model and in models with gauge-Higgs unification and a warped extra dimension. In both classes of models the processes turn out to be compatible with present experimental bounds, but can be detected by future experiments, thanks to the presence of a flavour symmetry G_f which constrains the form of the relevant couplings. The symmetry G_f is chosen to be finite, discrete and non-abelian and also helps to predict the peculiar lepton mixing pattern.

Keywords: charged lepton flavour violation, supersymmetric models, holographic composite Higgs models, gauge-Higgs unification in warped extra dimensions, discrete flavour symmetries

1. Introduction

The existence of three generations of elementary particles, the strong hierarchy among the charged fermion masses, the small mixing among quarks and the lepton mixing pattern with two large and one small mixing angle can be accommodated, but not explained within the Standard Model (SM) and many of its extensions. A possible explanation of these features are flavour symmetries G_f that act on the space of the three generations. Finite discrete non-abelian groups turned out to be prime candidates for the explanation of the peculiar lepton mixing pattern, especially if they are broken to different (non-trivial) subgroups G_e and G_ν in the charged lepton and the neutrino sector, respectively. Since $G_e \neq G_\nu$, G_f is fully broken at low energies.

In theories beyond the SM additional degrees of freedom and/or additional interactions are present, which induce flavour violating processes, e.g. $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$, that are tightly constrained by experiments. Flavour symmetries G_f can play a crucial role in constraining the couplings relevant for such processes. In the following we discuss this aspect in two different classes of models: extensions of the Minimal Supersymmetric SM with the

flavour symmetry A_4 [1, 2, 3] and models with gauge-Higgs unification and a warped extra dimension (which are realizations of holographic composite Higgs models) with $G_f = X \times Z_N$ and X non-abelian [4, 5].

2. Supersymmetric models with $G_f = A_4$

Tri-bimaximal lepton mixing ($\sin^2 \theta_{12} = 1/3$, $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 1/2$, $\theta_{13} = 0$) can be explained with the flavour symmetry A_4 , if left-handed (LH) leptons transform as **3** under A_4 and the latter is broken to Z_3 in the charged lepton and to Z_2 in the neutrino sector. This symmetry breaking pattern can be achieved in a simple way in supersymmetric models, if the group A_4 is broken spontaneously through vacuum expectation values (VEVs) of gauge singlets which transform under A_4 , so-called flavons. The parameter ξ quantifies the size of the breaking of A_4 (i.e. the generic VEV of the flavons over the cutoff scale Λ of the theory). For $\xi \sim 0.1$ the reactor mixing angle is $\theta_{13} \sim 0.15$, in accordance with experimental data. Higher-dimensional operators with several flavons induce corrections to the leading order (LO) results which are proportional to powers of ξ . Right-handed (RH) charged leptons are in different

singlets of A_4 and are charged under a Froggatt-Nielsen symmetry $U(1)_{FN}$ so that the charged lepton mass hierarchy is achieved.

We consider a theory in which the symmetry $A_4 \times U(1)_{FN}$ also determines the flavour structure of the soft supersymmetry breaking terms, soft masses and A-terms [1, 3]. The soft masses m_L^2 for LH sleptons are flavour universal at LO, since they form a triplet $\mathbf{3}$ under A_4 , whereas soft masses m_R^2 for RH charged sleptons are flavour diagonal. A-terms are diagonal in flavour space and $A_{\alpha\alpha} \propto m_\alpha$ for $\alpha = e, \mu, \tau$. Corrections from higher-dimensional operators lead to deviations from these simple structures so that the soft masses m_L^2 acquire off-diagonal elements proportional to ξ^2 , while $(m_R^2)_{\alpha\beta} \propto \xi \times m_\alpha/m_\beta$ with $m_\alpha < m_\beta$ in the physical (lepton mass) basis. The A-terms are non-diagonal as well and $A_{\alpha\beta} \propto \xi \times m_\alpha$ for $m_\alpha < m_\beta$, while $A_{\alpha\beta}$ for $m_\alpha > m_\beta$ is either proportional to $\xi^2 \times m_\alpha$ or to $\xi \times m_\alpha$.¹

These non-vanishing off-diagonal elements in the soft masses and A-terms induce flavour violating processes, e.g. $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ can arise from $(m_L^2)_{\mu e} \neq 0$. An analytic estimate shows that the branching ratio $\text{BR}(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma) \propto \xi^2$ or ξ^4 depending on the existence of the above-mentioned contribution to the A-terms. $\text{BR}(\tau \rightarrow (e, \mu)\gamma)$ should be of the same order of magnitude as $\text{BR}(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma)$ [1, 3] and thus are in general too small for detection in the near future. In our numerical analysis [1, 3] we have computed $\text{BR}(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma)$, scanning over a large range of soft scalar and gaugino masses ($m_0, M_{1/2}$) and setting $\xi \approx 0.076$ and $\tan\beta = 2$ or $\tan\beta = 15$. For $\tan\beta = 2$ and $m_0 = 200$ GeV $M_{1/2}$ has to be larger than 500 GeV, while for $m_0 = 5000$ GeV smaller values of $M_{1/2}$ are sufficient for passing the current experimental limit from MEG. For larger $\tan\beta$ $\text{BR}(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma)$ increases approximately like $\tan^2\beta$. Including the renormalization group running effects from (three) RH neutrinos can increase $\text{BR}(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma)$ by a factor $10^{1\pm 2}$ [2, 3].

3. Models with gauge-Higgs unification, a warped extra dimension and $G_f = X \times Z_N$

The gauge group in the bulk is $SO(5) \times U(1)_X$ (without $SU(3)_c$), necessary for gauge-Higgs unification, and it is broken at the ultraviolet (UV, Planck) and infrared (IR, TeV) boundaries to $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ and $SO(4) \times U(1)_X \times P_{LR}$, respectively. The bulk flavour symmetry $G_f = X \times Z_N$, $N \geq 3$, is broken at the boundaries to $Z_2 \times Z_2 \times Z_N$ and to $Z_N^{(D)}$ which is the diagonal

¹The latter type of contribution, however, can vanish under certain assumptions, made about the flavon potential.




Figure 1: Results for $\text{BR}(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma)$ for Majorana (upper) as well as Dirac neutrinos (lower figure) depending on the size $\delta\hat{z}$ of the boundary kinetic terms. The different symbols stand for different flavour symmetries X (and mixing patterns M): red dots for $X = S_4$, blue crosses for $X = A_5$, filled (open) light (dark) green triangles for $X = \Delta(96)$ and pattern M1 (M2), filled (open) black (violet) diamonds for $X = \Delta(384)$ and pattern M3 (M4) and filled (open) grey (pink) squares for $X = \Delta(1536)$ and pattern M5 (M6). Current and expected experimental limits from MEG 2013 and MEG Upgrade are shown.

subgroup of $Z_N \subset X$ and the additional Z_N . Auxiliary (flavour universal and abelian) symmetries G_a , $G_{a,UV}$ and $G_{a,IR}$ reduce the number of couplings [4, 5].

The particles of the SM are identified with the zero modes of the $SO(5)$ multiplets $\xi_{\nu,\alpha} \sim \mathbf{1}$, $\xi_{L,\alpha} \sim \mathbf{5}$ and $\xi_{e,\alpha} \sim \mathbf{10}$ present in the bulk [4,5] (all are neutral under $U(1)_X$). In particular, RH neutrinos reside in the singlets $\xi_{\nu,\alpha}$, LH lepton doublets in $\xi_{L,\alpha}$ with $T_{3R} = -1/2$ and RH charged leptons in $\xi_{e,\alpha} \sim \mathbf{10}$ with $T_{3R} = -1$. The multiplets $\xi_{\nu,\alpha}$ and $\xi_{L,\alpha}$ transform as $\mathbf{3}$ under X and trivially under Z_N , while $\xi_{e,\alpha}$ are singlets under X and distinguished with the help of Z_N . In this way, lepton mixing turns out to be determined by the breaking of G_f to $Z_2 \times Z_2 \times Z_N$ and $Z_N^{(D)}$ at the boundaries and the charged lepton mass hierarchy is achieved via the appropriate localization of $\xi_{e,\alpha}$ in the extra dimension.

One can construct models for both types of neutrinos, Majorana and Dirac [4, 5]. The crucial difference lies in




Figure 2: Results for $\text{BR}(\mu \rightarrow 3e)$ versus $\text{BR}(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma)$ for the case of Majorana neutrinos. The current experimental bounds from SINDRUM and MEG 2013 are displayed together with the expected future limits from Mu3e phase 1 (2) and MEG Upgrade, respectively. For further details see caption of figure 1.

the assignment of the boundary conditions of the singlet state with $T_{3L} = T_{3R} = 0$ contained in $\xi_{L,\alpha}$, i.e. $(-+)$ for Majorana and $(+-)$ for Dirac neutrinos. Charged lepton masses remain unaffected by this choice of possibilities and arise through mass terms localized at the IR boundary. In the model for Majorana neutrinos an accidental Z_2 symmetry at the IR boundary which exchanges multiplets with the same transformation properties under $SO(4)$ further reduces the number of parameters.

We have considered the flavour symmetries $X = S_4, \Delta(96), \Delta(384), \Delta(1536)$ with $N = 3$ and $X = A_5$ with $N = 5$. Especially for $X = \Delta(1536)$ lepton mixing angles are very close to the experimental data at LO: $\sin^2 \theta_{12} \approx 0.342$, $\sin^2 \theta_{23} \approx \{0.387 \text{ (M5)}, 0.613 \text{ (M6)}\}$, $\sin^2 \theta_{13} \approx 0.0254$. Including the effect of Kaluza-Klein states these results change only slightly in both models.

In the model with Majorana neutrinos lepton flavour violating processes are of the same order as in the SM with neutrino masses and thus completely negligible, since all flavour violation is encoded in the UV-localized mass term for RH neutrinos. This is different in the model for Dirac neutrinos, because the presence of lightish Kaluza-Klein states induces sizable flavour violation at one-loop. A (further) source of sizable flavour violation in both models are boundary kinetic terms. The dominant one is $\bar{L}_L(x, R)(R\hat{Z}_l)i\cancel{D}L_L(x, R)$ for LH leptons which is localized on the UV brane $z = R$. \hat{Z}_l is flavour non-diagonal and depends on the chosen G_f .

The processes $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$, $\mu \rightarrow 3e$ and $\mu - e$ conversion all depend quadratically on $\delta\hat{z} \propto (\hat{Z}_l)_{e\mu}$, see figures 1-3. The branching ratio of $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ is about two orders of magnitude larger in the model for Dirac neutrinos than in the one for Majorana neutrinos, see figure 1. The different contributions to $\text{BR}(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma)$ and their possible cancellation in the model for Dirac neutrinos




Figure 3: Results for $\mu - e$ conversion in Titanium for the case of Majorana neutrinos for the various choices of the flavour symmetry X , see figure 1. The results for Dirac neutrinos are very similar. The current bound is from SINDRUMII and expected future bounds are from DeeMe, COMET phase 1, Mu2e and PRISM/PRIME.

are clearly visible in figure 1. In the case of Majorana neutrinos experiments searching for $\mu \rightarrow 3e$ can considerably constrain the parameter space, not accessible to MEG (Upgrade), see figure 2. The results for $\mu - e$ conversion in Titanium are very similar in both types of models and the current experimental bound puts a weak constraint on $\delta\hat{z}$, see figure 3. $\text{BR}(\tau \rightarrow \mu\gamma)$ and $\text{BR}(\tau \rightarrow e\gamma)$ are smaller than 2×10^{-9} (2×10^{-11}) and 6×10^{-10} (7×10^{-12}) in the model for Dirac (Majorana) neutrinos and thus hardly observable in the near future.

Acknowledgements: The author would like to thank the organizers of the “1st Conference on Charged Lepton Flavor Violation”. She is supported by the ERC Advanced Grant no. 267985, “Electroweak Symmetry Breaking, Flavour and Dark Matter: One Solution for Three Mysteries” (DaMeSyFla).

References

- [1] F. Feruglio, C. Hagedorn, Y. Lin, L. Merlo, Lepton Flavour Violation in a Supersymmetric Model with A_4 Flavour Symmetry, Nucl.Phys. B832 (2010) 251–288. arXiv:0911.3874, doi:10.1016/j.nucphysb.2010.02.010.
- [2] C. Hagedorn, E. Molinaro, S. Petcov, Charged Lepton Flavour Violating Radiative Decays $l_i \rightarrow l_j + \gamma$ in See-Saw Models with A_4 Symmetry, JHEP 1002 (2010) 047. arXiv:0911.3605, doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2010)047.
- [3] G. Altarelli, F. Feruglio, L. Merlo, E. Stamou, Discrete Flavour Groups, θ_{13} and Lepton Flavour Violation, JHEP 1208 (2012) 021. arXiv:1205.4670, doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2012)021.
- [4] C. Hagedorn, M. Serone, Leptons in Holographic Composite Higgs Models with Non-Abelian Discrete Symmetries, JHEP 1110 (2011) 083. arXiv:1106.4021, doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2011)083.
- [5] C. Hagedorn, M. Serone, General Lepton Mixing in Holographic Composite Higgs Models, JHEP 1202 (2012) 077. arXiv:1110.4612, doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2012)077.