ON THE FIRST EIGENFUNCTION OF THE SYMMETRIC STABLE PROCESS IN A BOUNDED LIPSCHITZ DOMAIN

RODRIGO BAÑUELOS AND DANTE DEBLASSIE

ABSTRACT. We give a proof that the first eigenfunction of the α -symmetric stable process on a bounded Lipschitz domain in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 1$, is superharmonic for $\alpha = 2/m$, where m > 2 is an integer. This result was first proved for the ball by M. Kaßmann and L. Silvestre (personal communication) with different methods. For $\alpha = 1$, the result was proved in [2, Theorem 4.7].

1. Introduction

For $\alpha \in (0,2)$ and $d \ge 1$, let X_t be the d-dimensional α -symmetric stable process. This is the process with stationary independent increments whose transition density

$$p(t, x, y) = p(t, x - y), \quad (t, x, y) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$$

is characterized by its Fourier transform

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{iy \cdot \xi} p(t, y) \, dy = \exp(-t|\xi|^{\alpha}), \quad t > 0, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

When $\alpha = 2$, this reduces to d-dimensional Brownian motion run at twice its usual speed.

The potential theory for X_t , $0 < \alpha < 2$, has been the subject of intense study for quite a few years and many of the well known results for Brownian motion have been extended for these α 's (and even to many other Lévy processes). More recently, there have been many efforts to extend the detailed and refined spectral theoretic properties of Brownian motion to the general case of $0 < \alpha < 2$. Substantial progress has been made but many basic questions remain open. For some of this literature, we refer the reader to [1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 11], and the many references given in these papers. The result in this paper arises from problems first raised in Bañuelos and Kulczycki [2] (see the introduction to that paper) and Bañuelos, Kulczycki and Méndez-Hernández [3, Question 1.1, Conjecture 1.2], concerning the shape of the first eigenfunction for the semigroup generated by the stable process killed upon leaving a domain D, or equivalently, for the first Dirichlet eigenfunction for the fractional Laplacian with Dirichlet boundary conditions. As discussed in [2], these problems were originally motivated by the classical result of Brascamp and Lieb [7] which states that for the Laplacian (the case $\alpha = 2$) the eigenfunction is log-concave when the domain is convex. Since one way to obtain this result is to show that the finite dimensional distributions of Brownian motion are log-concave in the starting point when the sets are convex, one would expect such an approach to yield results also for stable processes and even for more general Lévy processes. This approach rests on Prékipa's result that multiple convolutions of log-concave functions are log-concave and it fails for $\alpha \neq 2$ as the transition densities are not log-concave. For more on this approach and what it gives for stable processes ("mid-concavity"), see [3]. By exploiting the connection with a mixed Steklov problem it is proved in [2, Theorem 4.7] that when $\alpha = 1$ —the case of the Cauchy processes—the first eigenfunction is

1

R. Bañuelos is supported in part by NSF Grant #0603701-DMS.

superharmonic for any Lipschitz domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 1$. As a corollary of this result one obtains that the eigenfunction for the interval D = (-1,1) for the Cauchy process is in fact concave (hence log-concave) as in the case of the Laplacian. In [8], DeBlassie made an analogous connection for *rational* values of α and solutions of PDEs involving certain higher order operators. In this paper we make use of this connection to study the situation when $\alpha = 2/m$, where m > 2 is an integer.

To set the stage, let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open set with finite volume. Denote by τ_D the first exit time of X_t from D and \mathbb{E}_x the expectation associated with $X_0 = x$. Then the operator (see [2] and [6])

$$P_t^D f(x) = \mathbb{E}_x[f(X_t); \tau_D > t], \quad x \in D, \quad t > 0, \quad f \in L^2(D)$$

generates a self-adjoint ultracontractive semigroup on $L^2(D)$ and hence there is an orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions $\{\varphi_n\}$ in $L^2(D)$ with corresponding eigenvalues $\{\lambda_n\}$ such that

$$P_t^D \varphi_n = -\lambda_n \varphi_n, \quad \text{on } D$$

and

$$0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \le \lambda_3 \le \cdots$$

with $\lambda_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Moreover, $\varphi_1 > 0$ on D and each eigenfunction is bounded and continuous on D. Our result in this paper extends the result in Bañuelos and Kulczycki, [2, Theorem 4.7] for $\alpha = 1$ as well as the Kaßman-Silvestre result in the ball cited in the abstract.

Theorem 1.1. Suppose $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is a bounded Lipschitz domain, where $d \geq 1$. Let $\alpha = 2/m$, where m > 2 is an integer. Then

$$(1.1) \Delta \varphi_1 \leq 0 on D.$$

Remark 1.1. As mentioned above, this result was first proved for the ball by M. Kaßmann and L. Silvestre (personal communication). Their method is completely different from ours. Our proof of Theorem 1.1 rests on various extensions of the results in [2] and [8].

2. Preliminaries

In this section we collect some results on stable subordinators. We also summarize and expand upon some of the work in [2] and [8] that we use below. The extensions are easily obtained using the methods and ideas in those papers and we omit the details.

Let P_t be the semigroup associated with the α -symmetric stable process X_t defined by

$$P_t f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t, x, y) f(y) \, dy, \quad f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Define

$$(2.1) u_n(t,x) = P_t \varphi_n(x), \quad n \ge 1$$

(recall φ_n is the n^{th} eigenfunction associated with P_t^D). It is well known that X_t can be obtained by subordinating a Brownian motion. More precisely, by running a d-dimensional Brownian motion at twice an independent $\alpha/2$ -stable subordinator, we obtain the symmetric α -stable process X_t . The density $f_t(x)$ of the $\alpha/2$ -stable subordinator has Laplace transform

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} f_t(s) \, ds = e^{-t\lambda^{\alpha/2}}.$$

Thus if

$$g(s, x, y) = (4\pi s)^{-d/2} \exp(-|x - y|^2/4s),$$

then the transition density of X_t can be expressed as

$$p(t, x, y) = \int_0^\infty g(s, x, y) f_t(s) ds.$$

The density f_t has the following properties:

Scaling:

(2.2)
$$f_t(s) = t^{-2/\alpha} f_1(t^{-2/\alpha} s).$$

For each nonnegative integer q, there are $a_j(q)$, j = 0, 1, ..., q such that

(2.3)
$$\frac{\partial^q}{\partial t^q} f_t(s) = \sum_{j=0}^q a_j(q) t^{-2/\alpha - q} \left(t^{-2/\alpha} s \right)^j f_1^{(j)} (t^{-2/\alpha} s).$$

If we set

$$\gamma_k = \frac{(-1)^{k+1} \Gamma(k\alpha/2+1)}{\pi k!} \sin\left(\frac{\pi k\alpha}{2}\right),$$

then we have

(2.4)
$$f_1(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_k s^{-k\alpha/2 - 1}.$$

For any nonnegative integer n,

(2.5)
$$f_t^{(n)}(s) \to 0, \text{ as } s \to 0^+.$$

The formula (2.3) follows from (2.2). The expression (2.4) can be found in Zolotarev [12, p. 90, (2.4.8)] or Feller [9, p. 583, Lemma 1]. The limit in (2.5) is from Zolotarev [12]; see Section 2.5, Theorem 2.5.3 and Remark 1.

Lemma 2.1. If $\alpha = 2/m$ for some integer m > 2, then

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} - (-1)^m \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m}\right) f_t(s) = 0.$$

Proof. It is not hard to show that for $\lambda > 0$ and q > 0,

$$\frac{\partial^q}{\partial t^q} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} f_t(s) \, ds = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} \frac{\partial^q}{\partial t^q} f_t(s) \, ds$$

(see the proof of Lemma 3.1 in [8]). Then integration by parts (using (2.2), (2.4) and (2.5) to see that the boundary terms are 0) gives

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda s} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} f_{t}(s) ds = \lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda s} f_{t}(s) ds$$

$$= \lambda \exp(-t\lambda^{\alpha/2}) = \lambda \exp(-t\lambda^{1/m})$$

$$= (-1)^{m} \frac{\partial^{m}}{\partial t^{m}} \exp(-t\lambda^{1/m}) = (-1)^{m} \frac{\partial^{m}}{\partial t^{m}} \exp(-t\lambda^{\alpha/2})$$

$$= (-1)^{m} \frac{\partial^{m}}{\partial t^{m}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda s} f_{t}(s) ds$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda s} (-1)^{m} \frac{\partial^{m}}{\partial t^{m}} f_{t}(s) ds.$$

The next result is taken from DeBlassie [8, Propositions 4.7 and 4.8].

Lemma 2.2. Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain and suppose $\alpha = k/m \in (0,1)$ is rational. Then for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and t > 0,

$$\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t}(t,x) = -\lambda_n u_n(t,x) + P_t r_n(x),$$

where the integrable function r_n is given by

$$r_n(x) = \begin{cases} \int_D \frac{c_{d,\alpha} \, \varphi_n(y)}{|x - y|^{d + \alpha}} \, dy, & x \in \mathit{int}(D^c) \\ \\ 0, & x \in \overline{D}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$c_{d,\alpha} = 2^{\alpha} \pi^{-1 - d/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{d + \alpha}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \sin\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}.$$

Using the methods from [8], the argument used to prove Theorem 4.7 in Bañuelos and Kulczycki [2] can be modified to yield the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.3. Suppose $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is a bounded Lipschitz domain and $\alpha = k/m \in (0,1]$ is rational. Then for $x \in D$,

$$\Delta_x u_1(t,x) \to \Delta_x \varphi_1(x), \quad as \ t \to 0^+.$$

The final result we will need below is an easy extension of Lemma 2.1 in DeBlassie [8].

Lemma 2.4. Suppose φ is integrable on \mathbb{R}^d . Then for any integer $q \geq 0$,

$$\frac{\partial^q}{\partial t^q} P_t \varphi(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^\infty \varphi(y) g(s, x, y) \frac{\partial^q}{\partial t^q} f_t(s) \, ds \, dy.$$

In addition, if φ is bounded with compact support, then for any multi-index $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d)$,

$$D_x^{\gamma} P_t \varphi(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^{\infty} \varphi(y) D_x^{\gamma} g(s, x, y) f_t(s) \, ds \, dy, \qquad D_x^{\gamma} = \frac{\partial^{\gamma_1}}{\partial x^{\gamma_1}} \cdots \frac{\partial^{\gamma_d}}{\partial x^{\gamma_d}}. \qquad \Box$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We assume the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 throughout this section.

By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.4, integration by parts (where we also use (2.2)–(2.5) to see that the boundary terms are 0) yields

$$\begin{split} \Delta_x u_1(t,x) &= \Delta_x P_t \varphi_1(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^\infty \varphi_1(y) \Delta_x g(s,x,y) f_t(s) \, ds \, dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^\infty \varphi_1(y) \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial s} g(s,x,y) \right] f_t(s) \, ds \, dy \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^\infty \varphi_1(y) g(s,x,y) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} f_t(s) \, ds \, dy \\ &= (-1)^{m+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^\infty \varphi_1(y) g(s,x,y) \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m} f_t(s) \, ds \, dy \\ &= (-1)^{m+1} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m} P_t \varphi_1(x) \\ &= (-1)^{m+1} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m} u_1(t,x). \end{split}$$

By Lemma 2.4 $P_t r_1$ is infinitely differentiable in t, so by Lemma 2.2 we have

$$\frac{\partial^m u_1}{\partial t^m}(t,x) = (-1)^m \left(\lambda_1^m u_1(t,x) + \sum_{q=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{q+1} \lambda_1^{m-1-q} \frac{\partial^q}{\partial t^q} P_t r_1(x) \right).$$

Thus,

$$\Delta_x u_1(t,x) = -\lambda_1^m u_1(t,x) + \sum_{q=0}^{m-1} (-1)^q \lambda_1^{m-1-q} \frac{\partial^q}{\partial t^q} P_t r_1(x).$$

By Lemma 2.3, upon letting $t \to 0^+$, we get

$$\Delta_x \varphi_1(x) = -\lambda_1^m \varphi_1(x) + \lim_{t \to 0^+} \sum_{q=0}^{m-1} (-1)^q \lambda_1^{m-1-q} \frac{\partial^q}{\partial t^q} P_t r_1(x).$$

Thus the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 will follow once we show

(3.1)
$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} (-1)^q \frac{\partial^q}{\partial t^q} P_t r_1(x) \le 0, \quad q = 0, \dots, m - 1.$$

In order to prove (3.1) we need the following technical lemmas, whose proofs we defer to the next section.

Lemma 3.1. Let $x \in D$ and set $c = d(x, D^c)^2/4$ (which is positive). Then given a positive integer q and M > 1, for some $c_1(q, M) > 0$ independent of t we have

$$\sup_{y \in D^c} \left| \int_0^{Mt^{2/\alpha}} g(s, x, y) \frac{\partial^q}{\partial t^q} f_t(s) \, ds \right| \le c_1(q, M) t^{-2/\alpha - q} \int_0^{Mt^{2/\alpha}} s^{-d/2} e^{-c/s} \, ds \to 0,$$

$$as \ t \to 0^+.$$

Lemma 3.2. Let $x \in D$. Then given a positive integer q and M > 1, there is $\beta(q, M) > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{t \le 1} \sup_{y \in D^c} \left| t^{-q-2/\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^q a_j(q) \int_{Mt^{2/\alpha}}^{\infty} s^j g(s,x,y) \frac{\partial^j}{\partial s^j} \left(\sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} \gamma_k (t^{-2/\alpha} s)^{-k\alpha/2-1} \right) ds \right| \le \beta(q,M),$$

where $\beta(q, M)$ converges to 0 as $M \to \infty$.

Lemma 3.3. For $x \in D$ and q a nonnegative integer,

$$\sup_{t \le 1} \sup_{y \in D^c} \left| t^{-q-2/\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^q a_j(q) \int_{Mt^{2/\alpha}}^{\infty} s^j g(s,x,y) \frac{\partial^j}{\partial s^j} \left(\sum_{k=1}^q \gamma_k (t^{-2/\alpha} s)^{-k\alpha/2-1} \right) ds \right| < \infty$$

and the inside of the absolute value converges to

$$(q!) \gamma_q \int_0^\infty s^{-q\alpha/2-1} g(s, x, y) ds,$$

as $t \to 0^+$.

We now show how these lemmas imply (3.1). First, note that by Lemma 2.2, r_1 is integrable and vanishes on D, so by Lemma 2.4 we have for $x \in D$,

$$\frac{\partial^q}{\partial t^q} P_t r_1(x) = \int_{D^c} \left(\int_0^\infty g(s, x, y) \, \frac{\partial^q}{\partial t^q} f_t(s) \, ds \right) \, r_1(y) \, dy.$$

Next, given a positive integer q and M > 1,

$$(3.2) \qquad \int_0^\infty g(s,x,y) \, \frac{\partial^q}{\partial t^q} f_t(s) \, ds = \int_0^{Mt^{2/\alpha}} g(s,x,y) \, \frac{\partial^q}{\partial t^q} f_t(s) \, ds + \int_{Mt^{2/\alpha}}^\infty g(s,x,y) \, \frac{\partial^q}{\partial t^q} f_t(s) \, ds,$$
 and by (2.3)-(2.4),

$$\int_{Mt^{2/\alpha}}^{\infty} g(s, x, y) \frac{\partial^{q}}{\partial t^{q}} f_{t}(s) ds = \sum_{j=0}^{q} a_{j}(q) t^{-q-2/\alpha} \int_{Mt^{2/\alpha}}^{\infty} g(s, x, y) (t^{-2/\alpha} s)^{j} f_{1}^{(j)}(t^{-2/\alpha} s) ds$$

$$= t^{-q-2/\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{q} a_{j}(q) \int_{Mt^{2/\alpha}}^{\infty} s^{j} g(s, x, y) \frac{\partial^{j}}{\partial s^{j}} f_{1}(t^{-2/\alpha} s) ds$$

$$= t^{-q-2/\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{q} a_{j}(q) \int_{Mt^{2/\alpha}}^{\infty} s^{j} g(s, x, y) \frac{\partial^{j}}{\partial s^{j}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{k} (t^{-2/\alpha} s)^{-k\alpha/2-1} \right) ds$$

$$= t^{-q-2/\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{q} a_{j}(q) \int_{Mt^{2/\alpha}}^{\infty} s^{j} g(s, x, y) \frac{\partial^{j}}{\partial s^{j}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{q} + \sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} \right) \times$$

$$\left(\gamma_{k} (t^{-2/\alpha} s)^{-k\alpha/2-1} \right) ds,$$
(3.3)

where in the second equality we used the fact that

$$\frac{\partial^{j}}{\partial s^{j}} f_{1}(t^{-2/\alpha}s) = t^{(-2/\alpha)j} f_{1}^{(j)}(t^{-2/\alpha}s).$$

Hence by Lemmas 3.1-3.3, we see that for fixed $x \in D$, the left hand side of (3.2) is bounded for $t \le 1$ and $y \in D^c$. Since r_1 is integrable on \mathbb{R}^d and vanishes on D, we can apply dominated convergence to get for

M > 1,

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{\partial^q}{\partial t^q} P_t r_1(x) = \lim_{t \to 0^+} \left[\int_{D^c} \left(\int_0^{Mt^{2/\alpha}} g(s, x, y) \frac{\partial^q}{\partial t^q} f_t(s) \, ds \right) r_1(y) \, dy \right]$$

$$+ \int_{D^c} \left(\int_{Mt^{2/\alpha}}^{\infty} g(s, x, y) \frac{\partial^q}{\partial t^q} f_t(s) \, ds \right) r_1(y) \, dy$$

$$= \left[0 + (q!) \gamma_q \int_{D^c} \left(\int_0^{\infty} s^{-q\alpha/2 - 1} g(s, x, y) \, ds \right) r_1(y) \, dy + O(\beta(q, m)) \right],$$

by (3.3) and Lemmas 3.1-3.3. Then let $M \to \infty$ to obtain

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{\partial^q}{\partial t^q} P_t r_1(x) = (q!) \, \gamma_q \int_{D^c} \left(\int_0^\infty s^{-q\alpha/2 - 1} \, g(s, x, y) \, ds \right) \, r_1(y) \, dy.$$

To finish the proof of (3.1), since $r_1 \ge 0$, it remains to show that

$$(-1)^q \gamma_q \le 0, \quad q = 0, \dots, m - 1.$$

To see this, observe that for some $R_q > 0$,

$$\gamma_q = R_q (-1)^{q+1} \sin\left(\frac{\pi q \alpha}{2}\right).$$

Since $0 \le q \le m - 1$ and $\alpha = 2/m$,

$$\frac{\pi q \alpha}{2} \in \left[0, \pi (1 - 1/m)\right],\,$$

and this implies that

$$\sin\left(\frac{\pi q\alpha}{2}\right) \ge 0.$$

It follows that for some $\rho_q \geq 0$,

$$\gamma_q = \rho_q \, (-1)^{q+1},$$

and this yields that $(-1)^q \gamma_q \leq 0$, as desired.

4. Proof of Lemmas 3.1-3.3

Proof of Lemma 3.1. For M > 1 and $s \le Mt^{2/\alpha}$, by (2.3) and (2.5),

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{q}}{\partial t^{q}} f_{t}(s) \right| = \left| \sum_{j=0}^{q} a_{j}(q) t^{-2/\alpha - q} (t^{-2/\alpha} s)^{j} f_{1}^{(j)} (t^{-2/\alpha} s) \right|$$

$$\leq c_{1}(q, M) t^{-2/\alpha - q},$$

where $c_1(q, M) > 0$ is independent of t. Thus for fixed $x \in D$,

$$\sup_{y \in D^c} \left| \int_0^{Mt^{2/\alpha}} g(s, x, y) \frac{\partial^q}{\partial t^q} f_t(s) \, ds \right| \le c_2 \int_0^{Mt^{2/\alpha}} s^{-d/2} e^{-c/s} \left| \frac{\partial^q}{\partial t^q} f_t(s) \right| \, ds$$

$$\le c_3 t^{-2/\alpha - q} \int_0^{Mt^{2/\alpha}} s^{-d/2} e^{-c/s} \, ds$$

$$\to 0,$$

as
$$t \to 0^+$$
.

Proof of Lemma 3.2. We have for M > 1,

$$\left| t^{-q-2/\alpha} \int_{Mt^{2/\alpha}}^{\infty} s^{j} g(s, x, y) \frac{\partial^{j}}{\partial s^{j}} \left(\sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} \gamma_{k} (t^{-2/\alpha} s)^{-k\alpha/2-1} \right) ds \right|$$

$$= \left| t^{-q-2/\alpha} \int_{Mt^{2/\alpha}}^{\infty} s^{j} g(s, x, y) \left(\sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} \gamma_{k} (-1)^{j} \frac{\Gamma(k\alpha/2+1+j)}{\Gamma(k\alpha/2+1)} t^{-2j/\alpha} (t^{-2/\alpha} s)^{-k\alpha/2-1-j} \right) ds \right|$$

$$\leq t^{-q-2/\alpha} \sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} |\gamma_{k}| \frac{\Gamma(k\alpha/2+1+j)}{\Gamma(k\alpha/2+1)} \int_{Mt^{2/\alpha}}^{\infty} (t^{-2/\alpha} s)^{-k\alpha/2-1} g(s, x, y) ds$$

$$= t^{-q-2/\alpha} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} |\gamma_{\ell+q+1}| \frac{\Gamma((\ell+q+1)\alpha/2+1+j)}{\Gamma((\ell+q+1)\alpha/2+1)} \int_{Mt^{2/\alpha}}^{\infty} (t^{-2/\alpha} s)^{-(\ell+q+1)\alpha/2-1} g(s, x, y) ds$$

$$= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} |\gamma_{\ell+q+1}| \frac{\Gamma((\ell+q+1)\alpha/2+1+j)}{\Gamma((\ell+q+1)\alpha/2+1)} \int_{Mt^{2/\alpha}}^{\infty} (t^{-2/\alpha} s)^{-(\ell+1)\alpha/2} s^{-q\alpha/2-1} g(s, x, y) ds$$

$$\leq \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} |\gamma_{\ell+q+1}| \frac{\Gamma((\ell+q+1)\alpha/2+1+j)}{\Gamma((\ell+q+1)\alpha/2+1)} M^{-(\ell+1)\alpha/2} \int_{Mt^{2/\alpha}}^{\infty} s^{-q\alpha/2-1} g(s, x, y) ds.$$

Therefore for fixed $x \in D$ and M > 1, with $c = d(x, D^c)^2/4$,

$$\sup_{y \in D^{c}} \left| t^{-q-2/\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{q} a_{j}(q) \int_{Mt^{2/\alpha}}^{\infty} s^{j} g(s, x, y) \frac{\partial^{j}}{\partial s^{j}} \left(\sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} \gamma_{k} (t^{-2/\alpha} s)^{-k\alpha/2 - 1} \right) ds \right|$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{j=0}^{q} |a_{j}(q)| \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} |\gamma_{\ell+q+1}| \frac{\Gamma((\ell+q+1)\alpha/2 + 1 + j)}{\Gamma((\ell+q+1)\alpha/2 + 1)} M^{-(\ell+1)\alpha/2} \right) \times (4\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{-q\alpha/2 - 1 - d/2} e^{-c/s} ds = \beta(q, M),$$

where $\beta(q, M) \to 0$ as $M \to \infty$ is independent of t.

In order to prove Lemma 3.3, we need the following result.

Lemma 4.1. The following identity holds:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{q} a_j(q) (-1)^j \frac{\Gamma(k\alpha/2 + 1 + j)}{\Gamma(k\alpha/2 + 1)} = \begin{cases} 0, & k < q \\ q!, & k = q. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By (2.2), $f_t(s) = t^{-2/\alpha} f_1(t^{-2/\alpha}s)$, hence the formula (2.3) is a special case of the formula

(4.1)
$$\frac{\partial^q}{\partial t^q} t^{-2/\alpha} h(t^{-2/\alpha} s) = \sum_{j=0}^q a_j(q) t^{-2/\alpha - q} (t^{-2/\alpha} s)^j h^{(j)} (t^{-2/\alpha} s).$$

Taking $h(x) = x^{-k\alpha/2-1}$ we have

$$h^{(j)}(x) = (-1)^j \frac{\Gamma(k\alpha/2 + 1 + j)}{\Gamma(k\alpha/2 + 1)} x^{-k\alpha/2 - 1 - j}.$$

Then with s = 1, the right hand side of (4.1) becomes

$$\sum_{j=0}^{q} a_{j}(q) t^{-2/\alpha - q} (t^{-2/\alpha})^{j} h^{(j)}(t^{-2/\alpha})$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{q} (-1)^{j} a_{j}(q) t^{-2/\alpha - q} (t^{-2/\alpha})^{j} \frac{\Gamma(k\alpha/2 + 1 + j)}{\Gamma(k\alpha/2 + 1)} (t^{-2/\alpha})^{-k\alpha/2 - 1 - j}$$

$$= t^{k-q} \sum_{j=0}^{q} (-1)^{j} a_{j}(q) \frac{\Gamma(k\alpha/2 + 1 + j)}{\Gamma(k\alpha/2 + 1)}.$$

On the other hand,

$$t^{-2/\alpha} \, h(t^{-2/\alpha}) = t^{-2/\alpha} \, (t^{-2/\alpha})^{-k\alpha/2 - 1} = t^k,$$

and so (4.1) becomes

$$\frac{\partial^q}{\partial t^q} t^k = t^{k-q} \sum_{j=0}^q (-1)^j a_j(q) \frac{\Gamma(k\alpha/2 + 1 + j)}{\Gamma(k\alpha/2 + 1)}.$$

The desired conclusion follows from this.

Proof of Lemma 3.3. We have

$$\begin{split} t^{-q-2/\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{q} a_{j}(q) \int_{Mt^{2/\alpha}}^{\infty} s^{j} \, g(s,x,y) \frac{\partial^{j}}{\partial s^{j}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{q} \gamma_{k} (t^{-2/\alpha} s)^{-k\alpha/2-1} \right) \, ds \\ &= t^{-q-2/\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{q} a_{j}(q) \sum_{k=1}^{q} \gamma_{k} \int_{Mt^{2/\alpha}}^{\infty} s^{j} \, g(s,x,y) \, t^{k+2/\alpha} \, (-1)^{j} \, \frac{\Gamma(k\alpha/2+1+j)}{\Gamma(k\alpha/2+1)} s^{-k\alpha/2-1-j} \, ds \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{q} a_{j}(q) \, (-1)^{j} \, \left(\int_{Mt^{2/\alpha}}^{\infty} s^{-k\alpha/2-1} \, g(s,x,y) \, ds \right) \sum_{k=1}^{q} \gamma_{k} \, \frac{\Gamma(k\alpha/2+1+j)}{\Gamma(k\alpha/2+1)} \, t^{k-q} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{q} \gamma_{k} \, t^{k-q} \, \left(\int_{Mt^{2/\alpha}}^{\infty} s^{-k\alpha/2-1} \, g(s,x,y) \, ds \right) \sum_{j=0}^{q} a_{j}(q) \, (-1)^{j} \, \frac{\Gamma(k\alpha/2+1+j)}{\Gamma(k\alpha/2+1)} \\ &= (q!) \, \gamma_{q} \int_{Mt^{2/\alpha}}^{\infty} s^{-q\alpha/2-1} \, g(s,x,y) \, ds, \end{split}$$

by Lemma 4.1. In particular, for fixed $x \in D$ and $c = d(x, D^c)^2/4$,

$$\sup_{t \le 1} \sup_{y \in D^{c}} \left| t^{-q-2/\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{q} a_{j}(q) \int_{Mt^{2/\alpha}}^{\infty} s^{j} g(s, x, y) \frac{\partial^{j}}{\partial s^{j}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{q} \gamma_{k} (t^{-2/\alpha} s)^{-k\alpha/2 - 1} \right) ds \right|$$

$$\leq (q!) |\gamma_{q}| (4\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{-q\alpha/2 - 1 - d/2} e^{-c/s} ds < \infty.$$

Moreover, as $t \to 0^+$,

$$t^{-q-2/\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{q} a_j(q) \int_{Mt^{2/\alpha}}^{\infty} s^j g(s, x, y) \frac{\partial^j}{\partial s^j} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{q} \gamma_k (t^{-2/\alpha} s)^{-k\alpha/2 - 1} \right) ds$$
$$\rightarrow (q!) \gamma_q \int_0^{\infty} s^{-q\alpha/2 - 1} g(s, x, y) ds,$$

as desired. \Box

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Bañuelos and P. J. Méndez-Hernández. *Symmetrization of Lévy processes and applications*, Journal of Functional Analysis, **258** (2010), 4026-4051.
- [2] R. Bañuelos and T. Kulczycki. *The Cauchy process and the Steklov problem*, Journal of Functional Analysis **211** (2004), 355–423.
- [3] R. Bañuelos, T. Kulczycki, P. J. Méndez-Hernández. On the shape of the ground state eigenfunction for stable processes, Potential Analysis, 24(3) (2006), 205-221.
- [4] R. Bañuelos, T. Kulczycki and B. Siudeja. *On the trace of symmetric stable processes on Lipschitz domains*, Journal of Functional Analysis, **257(10)** (2009), 3329-3352.
- [5] K. Bogdan, T. Byczkowski, T. Kulczycki, M. Ryznar, R. Song and Z. Vondracek. *Potential analysis of stable processes and its extensions*, Springer, 2009.
- [6] E.B. Davies. Heat Kernels and Spectral Theory, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [7] H.J. Brascamp and E.H. Lieb. On extensions of the Brunn-Minkowski and Prékipa-Leindler theorems, including inequalities for log concave functions, and with an application to the diffusion equation, Journal of Functional Analysis 22 (1976), 366–389.
- [8] R. D. DeBlassie. *Higher order PDEs and symmetric stable processes*, Probability Theory and Related Fields **129** (2004), 495–536. Correction, **133** (2005), 141–143.
- [9] W. Feller. An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications, Wiley, New York, 1971.
- [10] P. Kim, R. Song and Z. Vondracek. Potential theory of subordinate Brownian motions revisited, Stochastic Analysis and Applications to Finance–Essays in Honour of Jia-an Yan, edited by Tusheng Zhang & Xunyu Zhou, World Scientific 2012, 243–290.
- [11] M. Kwaśnicki. Eigenvalues of the fractional Laplace operator in the interval, Journal of Functional Analysis **262** (2012), 2379-2402
- [12] V. M. Zolotarev. One-Dimensional Stable Distributions, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I. 1986.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE, IN 47907 *E-mail address*: banuelos@math.purdue.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY, P. O. BOX 30001, DEPARTMENT 3MB, LAS CRUCES, NM 88003-8001

E-mail address: deblass@nmsu.edu