arXiv:1311.0125v1 [math.AP] 1 Nov 2013

REDUCTIONS OF THE NAVIER-STOKES-ALLEN-CAHN AND THE NAVIER-STOKES-CAHN-HILLIARD EQUATIONS

HEINRICH FREISTÜHLER AND MATTHIAS KOTSCHOTE

Abstract. This paper studies two well-known models for two-phase fluid flow at constant temperature, the isothermal Navier-Stokes-Allen-Cahn and the isothermal Navier-Stokes-Cahn-Hilliard equations, both of which consist of equations for the (total) fluid density ρ, the (mass-averaged) velocity $\mathbf{u}$ and the concentration (of one of the phases,) c. Assuming in either case that both phases are incompressible with different densities, each of the models is shown to reduce to a system of evolution equations in ρ and $\mathbf{u}$ alone. In the case of the Navier-Stokes-Allen-Cahn model, this reduced system is the classical Navier-Stokes-Korteweg model. The reduced system resulting from the Navier-Stokes-Cahn-Hilliard equations is a novel 'integro'-differential system in which a non-local operator acts on the divergence of the velocity.

1. With phase transformation

We consider the Navier-Stokes-Allen-Cahn system (NSAC) of Blesgen [2],

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t\rho + \nabla\cdot(\rho\mathbf{u}) &= 0,\\ \partial_t(\rho\mathbf{u}) + \nabla\cdot(\rho\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u}) &= \nabla\cdot(\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{u}) + \mathcal{P}(c))\\ \partial_t(\rho c) + \nabla\cdot(\rho c\,\mathbf{u}) &= \delta^{-1/2}(\rho q + \nabla\cdot(\delta\rho\nabla c)) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

with the Cauchy stress tensor $\mathcal{S}$ and non-hydrodynamic tensor $\mathcal{P}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{u}) &= 2\mu\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{u}) + \lambda\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u}\mathcal{I}, \quad \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{2}\big(\nabla\mathbf{u} + (\nabla\mathbf{u})^T\big)\\ \mathcal{P}(c) &= -p\mathcal{I} - \theta\delta\rho\nabla c\otimes\nabla c \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

and fixed temperature $\theta > 0$. The density ρ and the production rate q are given by

$$\rho^{-1} = \tau = G_p(p,c) \quad \text{and} \quad q = -\frac{1}{\theta}G_c(p,c). \tag{3}$$

Here G denotes the *extended* Gibbs energy assumed to be of the form

$$G(p,c,\nabla c) = \hat{G}(p,c) + \theta\big(W(c) + \frac{\delta}{2}|\nabla c|^2\big) \tag{4}$$

with

$$\hat{G}(p,c) = (c\tau_1 + (1-c)\tau_2)p \tag{5}$$

and $\tau_1, \tau_2 > 0$ are constants with

$$\tau_1 \neq \tau_2. \tag{6}$$

Note that (4), (5) is the Gibbs energy used by Lowengrub and Truskinovsky in their pioneering work on two-fluid mixtures [7], in which they formulate and treat the corresponding Navier-Stokes-Cahn-Hillard system (that we consider below).

Date: October 26, 2013.

Theorem 1. *NSAC can be written as the Navier-Stokes-Korteweg system*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t\rho + \nabla\cdot(\rho\mathbf{u}) &= 0,\\ \partial_t(\rho\mathbf{u}) + \nabla\cdot(\rho\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u}) - \nabla\cdot(\mathcal{S}_\delta(\mathbf{u}) + \mathcal{K}(\rho)) &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

with

$$\mathcal{S}_\delta(\mathbf{u}) = 2\mu\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{u}) + \lambda_*(\rho)\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u}\,\mathcal{I}, \quad \lambda_*(\rho) := \lambda + \frac{\delta_*}{\delta^{1/2}\rho}, \quad \delta_* := \frac{\theta\delta}{(\Delta\tau)^2}, \quad \Delta\tau := \tau_1 - \tau_2, \tag{8}$$

$$\mathcal{K}(\rho) = -\rho^2\psi_\rho\,\mathcal{I} + \rho\nabla\cdot(\kappa(\rho)\nabla\rho)\,\mathcal{I} - \kappa(\rho)\nabla\rho\otimes\nabla\rho, \tag{9}$$

$$\psi(\rho) = R(\rho) + \frac{\kappa(\rho)}{2\rho}|\nabla\rho|^2, \quad R(\rho) := \theta W(\hat c(\rho)), \quad \kappa(\rho) := \frac{\delta_*}{\rho^3}, \quad \hat c(\rho) := \frac{1-\tau_1\rho}{\Delta\tau\ \rho}. \tag{10}$$

Proof. First, note that by relation (5) the unknown c can be regarded as a function depending on ρ. We therefore set

$$c = \hat c(\rho) = \frac{1/\rho - \tau_1}{\tau_1 - \tau_2}, \quad \rho c = \tilde c(\rho) = \frac{1-\tau_1\rho}{\tau_1-\tau_2} \tag{11}$$

with derivatives

$$\tilde c'(\rho) = \frac{1}{\Delta\tau}, \quad \hat c'(\rho) = -\frac{1}{\Delta\tau}\frac{1}{\rho^2}.$$

Inserting these relations into the Allen-Cahn equation we obtain

$$\partial_t(\rho c) + \nabla\cdot(\rho c u) \equiv \tilde c'(\rho)\big(\partial_t + \nabla\rho\cdot u\big) + \tilde c\nabla\cdot u = (\tilde c - \rho\tilde c'(\rho))\nabla\cdot u = \frac{1}{\Delta\tau}\nabla\cdot u$$

as well as

$$\delta^{-1/2}(\rho q + \nabla\cdot(\delta\rho\nabla c)) \equiv -\delta^{-1/2}\rho\left(\frac{\Delta\tau}{\theta}p + W'(c)\right) - \delta^{-1/2}\nabla\cdot\left(\frac{\delta}{\Delta\tau\rho}\nabla\rho\right).$$

From these relations we are able to find en explicit representation of the pressure,

$$\begin{aligned} p &= -\frac{\delta^{1/2}\theta}{(\Delta\tau)^2\rho}\nabla\cdot u - \frac{\theta}{\Delta\tau}W'(\hat c(\rho)) - \frac{1}{\rho}\nabla\cdot\left(\frac{\theta\delta}{(\Delta\tau)^2\rho}\nabla\rho\right)\\ &= -\frac{\delta_*}{\delta^{1/2}\rho}\nabla\cdot u + \rho^2 R'(\rho) - \frac{1}{\rho}\nabla\cdot\left(\frac{\delta_*}{\rho}\nabla\rho\right), \quad R(\rho) := W(\hat c(\rho)). \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla\cdot(\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{u}) + \mathcal{P}(c)) &= \nabla\cdot\mathcal{S}_\delta(u) - \nabla\cdot\big(\rho^2R'(\rho)\mathcal{I} - \rho^{-1}\nabla\cdot\big(\delta_*\rho^{-1}\nabla\rho\big)\,\mathcal{I} + \theta\delta\rho\nabla c\otimes\nabla c\big)\\ &= \nabla\cdot\mathcal{S}_\delta(u) + \nabla\cdot\big(-\rho^2R'(\rho)\mathcal{I} + \rho^{-1}\nabla\cdot\big(\delta_*\rho^{-1}\nabla\rho\big)\,\mathcal{I} - \delta_*\rho^{-3}\nabla\rho\otimes\nabla\rho\big), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (11) and $\mathcal{S}_\delta(\mathbf{u})$ is given by (8). Let $\kappa(\varrho) = \delta_*\varrho^{-3}$. The second last term can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla\cdot\big(\varrho^{-1}\nabla\cdot(\varrho^2\kappa(\varrho)\nabla\varrho)\mathcal{I}\big) &= \nabla\big(\varrho\nabla\cdot(\kappa(\varrho)\nabla\varrho) + \varrho^{-1}\kappa(\varrho)\nabla\varrho\cdot 2\varrho\nabla\varrho\big)\\ &= \nabla(\varrho\nabla\cdot(\kappa(\varrho)\nabla\varrho) + 2\kappa(\varrho)|\nabla\varrho|^2)\\ &= \nabla\big(\varrho\nabla\cdot(\kappa(\varrho)\nabla\varrho) - \varrho^2\partial_\varrho\big(\frac{\kappa(\varrho)}{2\varrho}|\nabla\varrho|^2\big)\big). \end{aligned}$$

Using this identity we find

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla\cdot\big(-\varrho^2R'(\varrho)\mathcal{I} + \varrho^{-1}\nabla\cdot\big(\delta_*\varrho^{-1}\nabla\varrho\big)\,\mathcal{I} - \delta_*\varrho^{-3}\nabla\varrho\otimes\nabla\varrho\big) \equiv&\\ \nabla\cdot\big(-\varrho^2\partial_\varrho\psi\mathcal{I} + \varrho\nabla\cdot(\kappa(\varrho)\nabla\varrho)\mathcal{I} - \kappa(\varrho)\nabla\varrho\otimes\nabla\varrho\big) =:& \nabla\cdot\mathcal{K} \end{aligned}$$

with ψ, R, and κ given as in (10). The tensor $\mathcal{K}$ is exactly the Korteweg tensor defined by Dunn & Serrin [3]. □

2. Without phase transformation

The Navier-Stokes-Cahn-Hilliard equations (NSCH) read

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t\rho + \nabla\cdot(\rho\mathbf{u}) &= 0,\\ \partial_t(\rho\mathbf{u}) + \nabla\cdot(\rho\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u}) - \nabla\cdot\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{u}) - \nabla\cdot\mathcal{P}(c) &= 0\\ \partial_t(c\rho) + \nabla\cdot(c\rho\mathbf{u}) - \nabla\cdot(\gamma\nabla\mu) &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

We assume again (2) - (6) and use the chemical potential

$$\mu = \frac{1}{\theta}\partial_c G(p,c) - \rho^{-1}\nabla\cdot(\delta\rho\nabla c), \tag{13}$$

as well as a mobility $\gamma > 0$. As for NSAC, the pressure can be eliminated from the equations, turning them into a Navier-Stokes-Korteweg system. This Navier-Stokes-Korteweg system has the same capillarity $\kappa(\rho)$ and extended Helmholtz energy ψ as the ones found in the NSAC case (equation (10)); differently from the NSAC case (1), the Cauchy tensor acquires a non-local part.

Theorem 2. *NSCH can be written as the Navier-Stokes-Korteweg system*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t\rho + \nabla\cdot(\rho\mathbf{u}) &= 0,\\ \partial_t(\rho\mathbf{u}) + \nabla\cdot(\rho\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u}) - \nabla\cdot(\mathcal{S}_\gamma(\mathbf{u}) + \mathcal{K}(\rho)) &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

with

$$\mathcal{S}_\gamma(\mathbf{u}) = 2\mu\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{u}) + \lambda\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u}\,\mathcal{I} + \frac{\theta}{(\Delta\tau)^2}\Lambda_\gamma^{-1}(\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u})\mathcal{I}, \tag{15}$$

$\mathcal{K}(\rho)$ *given as in* (9)*, and* Λ_γ^{-1} *a solution operator for the elliptic problem*

$$\Lambda_\gamma\phi \equiv -\nabla\cdot(\gamma\nabla\phi) = f \tag{16}$$

Remark 1. In case of the whole space $\mathbb{R}^n$, one may take

$$\Lambda_\gamma^{-1} f := \frac{1}{\gamma}(\Phi_n * f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi_n(x-y)f(y)\,dy$$

with Φ_n the Poisson kernel. On bounded domains Ω one may fix Λ_γ^{-1} using the constraints

$$\partial_\nu\phi = 0,\ \int_\Omega \phi\,dx = 0.$$

together with the boundary condition

$$(\mathbf{u}\,|\,\nu)_{|\partial\Omega} = 0$$

that yields $\int_\Omega f\,dx = 0$ for $f = \nabla\cdot\mathbf{u}$.

Proof. The only difference from the proof of Theorem 1 is in the representation of the pressure. Using (11) in the Cahn-Hilliard equation of (12), we obtain this time

$$\frac{1}{\Delta\tau}\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u} = -\nabla\cdot(\gamma\nabla(-\mu)),\quad \mu = \frac{\Delta\tau}{\theta}p + W'(c) - \rho^{-1}(\delta\rho\nabla c)$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} p &= -\frac{\theta}{(\Delta\tau)^2}\Lambda_\gamma^{-1}\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u} - \frac{\theta}{\Delta\tau}W'(c) + \rho^{-1}\nabla\cdot\big(\frac{\delta\theta}{\Delta\tau}\rho\nabla c\big)\\ &= -\frac{\theta}{(\Delta\tau)^2}\Lambda_\gamma^{-1}\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u} + \rho^2 R'(\rho) - \frac{1}{\rho}\nabla\cdot\left(\frac{\delta^*}{\rho}\nabla\rho\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

References

[1] S. Benzoni-Gavage, R. Danchin, S. Descombes, D. Jamet: Structure of Korteweg models and stability of diffuse interfaces. *Interfaces Free Bound.* **7** (2005), 371–414.

[2] T. Blesgen: A generalisation of the Navier-Stokes equations to two-phase-flows. *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **32** (1999), 1119–1123.

[3] J.E. Dunn, J. Serrin: *On the thermomechanics of interstitial working.* Arch. rational Mech. Anal., 88(2), 95-133 (1985).

[4] H. Freistühler: Phase transitions and traveling waves in compressible fluids. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* (2013).

[5] H. Freistühler and M. Kotschote: Diffuse planar phase boundaries in a two-phase fluid with one incompressible phase. `arxiv 1306.1905`

[6] M. Kotschote: Strong solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations for a compressible fluid of Allen-Cahn type. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **206** (2012), 489–514.

[7] J. Lowengrub and L. Truskinovsky: Quasi-incompressible Cahn-Hilliard fluids and topological transitions. *R. Soc. Lond. Proc. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* 454 (1998) 2617–2654.

[8] M. Slemrod: Admissibility criteria for propagating phase boundaries in a van der Waals fluid. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 81 (1983), 301–315.