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#### Abstract

We study existence, multiplicity and qualitative properties of entire solutions for a noncompact problem related to p-biharmonic type equations with weights. More precisely, we deal with the following family of equations


$$
\Delta_{p}^{2} u=\lambda|x|^{-2 p}|u|^{p-2} u+|x|^{-\beta}|u|^{q-2} u \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N},
$$

where $N>2 p, p>1, q>p, \beta=N-\frac{q}{p}(N-2 p)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is smaller than the Rellich constant.
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## 1 Introduction

In this article we study weak solutions to the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta_{p}^{2} u=\lambda|x|^{-2 p}|u|^{p-2} u+|x|^{-\beta}|u|^{q-2} u \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}  \tag{1.1}\\
u \in D^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \quad u \neq 0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Delta_{p}^{2} u=\Delta\left(|\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p>1, N>2 p, \quad q>p, \quad \beta=N-\frac{q}{p}(N-2 p), \quad \lambda<\gamma_{N, p}^{p} \text { and } \gamma_{N, p}=\frac{N(p-1)(N-2 p)}{p^{2}} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $D^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with respect to the norm $\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\Delta u|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. It is well known that $\gamma_{N, p}^{p}$ is the best constant in the Rellich inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{N, p}^{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-2 p}|u|^{p} d x \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\Delta u|^{p} d x \quad \text { for any } \quad u \in D^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]In literature (1.3) with $p=2$ is considered as the classical Rellich inequality and was proved by Rellich in 1953 (See [18], [19]). Later Davies and Hinz [8] generalized the classical Rellich inequality and showed that (1.3) holds for any $p \in\left(1, \frac{N}{2}\right)$.
If $q$ coincides with the critical Sobolev exponent

$$
p^{* *}:=\frac{N p}{N-2 p},
$$

then (1.1) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta_{p}^{2} u=\lambda|x|^{-2 p}|u|^{p-2} u+|u|^{p^{* *-2}-2} u \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}  \tag{1.4}\\
u \in D^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \quad u \neq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

When $\lambda=0$, it's well known from the celebrated paper of P.L.Lions [14] that (1.4) has a positive solution $U$ which is the extremal for the Sobolev inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{* *}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p^{* *}} d x\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{* *}}} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\Delta u|^{p} d x \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S^{* *}$ is the Sobolev Constant. To prove that $S^{* *}$ is achieved, P.L. Lions had shown that every bounded minimizing sequence is relatively compact up to dilations and translations. Moreover, by using Schwarz symmetrization he showed that, up to a change of sign, any extremal for $S^{* *}$ is radially symmetric, nonnegative and decreasing. Later using this information, Hulshof and Van der Vorst [12] proved the uniqueness of extremals for $S^{* *}$, modulo dilations, translations in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and change of sign.
If $p \leq q \leq p^{* *}$ and $\beta$ are as in (1.2), then by interpolating (1.3) and (1.5) via Hölder inequality, it can be easily shown that there exists a constant $C=C(N, p, q)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-\beta}|u|^{q} d x\right)^{p / q} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\Delta u|^{p} d x \quad \text { for any } u \in D^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that (1.6) with $p=2$ is the fourth-order version of the celebrated Caffarelli-KohnNirenberg inequalities [3]. We cite also [5] for a large class of dilation-invariant inequalities on cones.
In recent years problems related with the inequality (1.6) (in the case $p=2$ ) and the equation with biharmonic operator have been investigated in several works, we quote [1] [2], 4, [5, [6, [7, [11, [15, [16, , 17] and the references there-in. Recently the generalized version of the inequality (1.6) and the extremal of that inequality has been studied by Roberta Musina. (see [16] and [17]).

Note that that the choice of $\beta$ in (1.2) makes (1.1) invariant with respect to the weighted dilation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x) \mapsto t^{\frac{N-2 p}{p}} u(t x), \quad(t>0) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consequence, the corresponding variational problems exhibit a lack of compactness.

It is clear that the infimum

$$
S_{q}(\lambda):=\inf _{\substack{u \in D^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \\ u \neq 0}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\Delta u|^{p} d x-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-2 p}|u|^{p} d x}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-\beta}|u|^{q} d x\right)^{p / q}}
$$

is positive, provided that $\lambda<\gamma_{N, p}^{p}$. In addition, extremals for $S_{q}(\lambda)$ give rise to solutions to (1.1) upto a multiplicative constant.

Define,

$$
S_{q}^{\mathrm{rad}}(\lambda):=\inf _{\substack{\left.u \in D^{2, p}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \\ u=u(|x|), u \neq 0}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\Delta u|^{p} d x-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-2 p}|u|^{p} d x}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-\beta}|u|^{q} d x\right)^{p / q}}
$$

which is positive as $S_{q}^{\mathrm{rad}}(\lambda) \geq S_{q}(\lambda)>0$ when $\lambda<\gamma_{N, p}^{p}$.
We prove the following results.
Theorem 1.1 Let $N>2 p, q>p, \lambda<\gamma_{N, p}^{p}$, and $\beta=N-\frac{q}{p}(N-2 p)$. Then the problem (1.1) has at least one radially symmetric solution $u$ which achieves $S_{q}^{\mathrm{rad}}(\lambda)$.

In fact when $p=2$ and $-(N-2)^{2} \leq \lambda<\left(\gamma_{N, 2}\right)^{2}$, problem (1.1) has a unique radial solution. (See [2]).
For $\lambda=0$, Theorem 1.1 was proved in [17, Theorem 1.3]. Following the same procedure as in [17], Theorem 1.1 can be proved in the case $\lambda \neq 0$ as well.

In case $q \leq p^{* *}$ one can use again variational methods to find solutions to (1.1) that are not necessarily radially symmetric.
In Section 2 we prove the next existence result.
Theorem 1.2 Let $N>2 p, q \in\left(p, p^{* *}\right], \lambda<\gamma_{N, p}^{p}$, and $\beta=N-\frac{q}{p}(N-2 p)$. Then
(i) The infimum $S_{q}(\lambda)$ is achieved for any $q \in\left(p, p^{* *}\right)$.
(ii) The infimum $S_{p^{* *}}(\lambda)$ is achieved if and only if $\lambda \geq 0$.

In Section 3 we wonder whether the solutions in Theorem 1.2 are radially symmetric or breaking symmetry occurs. First, by using rearrangement techniques we prove that extremal for $S_{q}(\lambda)$ is always radially symmetric provided that $\lambda \geq 0$. In contrast, we show that if $\lambda \ll 0$ and $p \geq 2$ then $S_{q}(\lambda)<S_{q}^{\mathrm{rad}}(\lambda)$ i.e break of symmetry occurs. Therefore, if in addition $q \in\left(p, p^{* *}\right)$ then problem (1.1) has at least two distinct nontrivial solutions.

## 2 Existence and non existence of ground state solutions

In this section we will prove Theorem [1.2. A key tool in our argument is the following $\varepsilon$ - compactness lemma. This result is an adaptation of a tool already used in previous works, like [2] or [5]. Therefore we omit the proof.

Lemma 2.1 Let $u_{n} \in D^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup 0$ in $\mathcal{D}^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta_{p}^{2} u_{n}-\lambda|x|^{-2 p}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n}=|x|^{-\beta}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q-2} u_{n}+f_{n}  \tag{2.1}\\
\int_{B_{R}}|x|^{-\beta}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q} d x \leq \varepsilon_{0} \quad \text { for some } \varepsilon_{0}, R>0, \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $f_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in the dual space of $D^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. If $\varepsilon_{0}<S_{q}(\lambda)^{\frac{q}{q-p}}$, then

$$
|x|^{-\beta}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(B_{R}\right) .
$$

### 2.1 Proof of Theorem 1.2 (i)

Step 1: Using Ekeland's variational principle we can choose a minimizing sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ for $S_{q}(\lambda)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\Delta u_{n}\right|^{p}-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-2 p}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-\beta}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q} d x \\
&=S_{q}(\lambda)^{\frac{q}{q-p}}+o(1)  \tag{2.3}\\
& \Delta_{p}^{2} u_{n}-\lambda|x|^{-2 p}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n}=|x|^{-\beta}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q-2} u_{n}+f_{n} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in the dual space of $D^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Up to a rescaling, we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{2}}|x|^{-\beta}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q} d x=\frac{1}{2} S_{q}(\lambda)^{\frac{q}{q-p}} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore it can be easily checked that $u_{n}$ is a bounded sequence in $D^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ by Rellich inequality as we have $\lambda<\gamma_{N, p}^{p}$. Hence we can assume that there exists $u \in D^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $D^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

Claim 1: $u \neq 0$.

We argue by contradiction. Suppose $u_{n} \rightharpoonup 0$. Then using Lemma (2.1) we obtain

$$
o(1)=\int_{B_{1}}|x|^{-\beta}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q} d x=\int_{B_{2}}|x|^{-\beta}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q} d x-\int_{1<|x|<2}|x|^{-\beta}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q} d x .
$$

Thus from (2.5) we infer

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{1<|x|<2}|x|^{-\beta}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q} d x=\frac{1}{2} S_{q}(\lambda)^{\frac{q}{q-p}}+o(1) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to a contradiction by Rellich's compactness theorem, as $q \in\left(p, p^{* *}\right)$. Thus Claim 1 follows.

Claim 2: $u$ is a weak solution of (1.1).
For $p=2$, it is straight forward. For $p \neq 2$, we choose $w_{n} \in D^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that

$$
\Delta\left(\left|\Delta w_{n}\right|^{p-2} \Delta w_{n}\right)=f_{n} ; \quad \text { and } \quad w_{n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { in } \quad D^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right),
$$

where $f_{n}$ is as in (2.4). Define, $h_{n}:=-\left|\Delta u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \Delta u_{n}+\left|\Delta w_{n}\right|^{p-2} \Delta w_{n}$, that is bounded in $L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Also from (2.4), it follows that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta h_{n}=\lambda|x|^{-2 p}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n}+|x|^{-\beta}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q-2} u_{n} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $p<q<p^{* *}$, it is easy to see that 1 st term of RHS of (2.7) is bounded in $L_{l o c}^{\frac{q}{p-1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ and 2nd term of RHS of (2.7) is bounded in $L_{\text {loc }}^{\frac{q}{q-1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\}\right)$. Since $p<q$ implies $\frac{q}{q-1}<\frac{q}{p-1}$, we can easily conclude that $-\Delta h_{n}$ is bounded in $L_{l o c}^{\frac{q}{q-1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\}\right)$, which in turn implies $h_{n}$ is bounded in $W_{\text {loc }}^{2, \frac{q}{q-1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\}\right)$. Therefore almost everywhere convergence of $h_{n}$ follows and so of $u_{n}$, as $w_{n} \rightarrow 0$ a.e. Hence using Vitaly's convergence theorem via Holder inequality, we obtain

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\Delta u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \Delta u_{n} \Delta \phi \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u \Delta \phi \quad \forall \quad \phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) .
$$

Therefore it is easy to see that the claim follows.
Step 2: Therefore $S_{q}(\lambda)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|u|^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x\right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\Delta u|^{p}-\lambda \frac{|u|^{p}}{|x|^{2 p}}\right) d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|u|^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x$.
Since $u \neq 0$, we obtain $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|u|^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x \geq S_{q}(\lambda)^{\frac{q}{q-p}}$. On the other hand by the lower semicontinuity of the norm in $L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N},|x|^{-\beta} d x\right)$ and (2.3), we have $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|u|^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x \leq S_{q}(\lambda)^{\frac{q}{q-p}}$.
Therefore $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|u|^{q}}{|x|^{\beta}} d x=S_{q}(\lambda)^{\frac{q}{q-p}}$ which in turn implies that $S_{q}(\lambda)$ is achieved by $u$.

### 2.2 Proof of Theorem 1.2 in the limiting case $q=p^{* *}$

We start by pointing out a sufficient condition for existence.
Lemma 2.2 If $S_{p^{* *}}(\lambda)<S^{* *}$ then $S_{p^{* *}}(\lambda)$ is achieved.
Proof. As in the proof of Theorem $1.2(i)$, we choose a minimizing sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ for $S_{p^{* *}}(\lambda)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\Delta u_{n}\right|^{p} d x-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-2 p}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} d x & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{* *}} d x+o(1) \\
& =S_{p^{* *}}(\lambda)^{N / 2 p}+o(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta_{p}^{2} u_{n}-\lambda|x|^{-2 p}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n}=\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{* *}-2} u_{n}+f_{n}  \tag{2.8}\\
\int_{B_{2}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{* *}} d x=\frac{1}{2} S_{p^{* *}}(\lambda)^{N / 2 p}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $f_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in the dual of $D^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. In addition, we can assume that $u_{n}$ weakly converges to $u$ in $D^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
Claim 1: $u \neq 0$.

We will prove the claim by contradiction, thus we assume $u_{n} \rightharpoonup 0$ in $D^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem $1.2(i)$ we can conclude that (2.6) holds with $q=p^{* *}$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{1<|x|<2}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{* *}} d x=\frac{1}{2} S_{p^{* *}}(\lambda)^{N / 2 p}+o(1) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we choose a cut off function $\phi$ in $C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ such that $\phi \equiv 1$ in $B_{2} \backslash B_{1}$. Taking $\phi^{p} u_{n}$ as a test function in (2.8) and using Rellich's compactness theorem and Hölder inequality we obtain

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\left|\Delta\left(\phi u_{n}\right)\right|^{p}-\lambda|x|^{-2 p}\left|\phi u_{n}\right|^{p}\right] d x \leq S_{p^{* * *}}(\lambda)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\phi u_{n}\right|^{p^{* *}} d x\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{* *}}}+o(1)
$$

Since $\phi$ has a compact support in $\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\}$, using Rellich's compactness theorem and the Sobolev inequality and arguing as in the proof of Theorem $1.2(i)$ we obtain

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\left|\Delta\left(\phi u_{n}\right)\right|^{p}-\lambda|x|^{-2 p}\left|\phi u_{n}\right|^{p}\right]=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\Delta\left(\phi u_{n}\right)\right|^{p}+o(1) \geq S^{* *}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\phi u_{n}\right|^{p^{* *}}\right)^{p / p^{* *}}
$$

Therefore we have,

$$
S^{* *}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\phi u_{n}\right|^{p^{* *}} d x\right)^{p / p^{* *}} \leq S_{p^{* *}}(\lambda)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\phi u_{n}\right|^{p^{* *}} d x\right)^{p / p^{* *}}
$$

As $S_{p^{* *}}(\lambda)<S^{* *}$, the above inequality implies $\left.\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\phi u_{n}\right|\right|^{p^{* *}}=o(1)$. Hence $\int_{B_{2} \backslash B_{1}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{* *}} d x=$ $o(1)$, since $\phi \equiv 1$ in $B_{2} \backslash B_{1}$. This is a contradiction to (2.9). Thus $u \neq 0$.
It follows by a standard concentration-compactness technique by P. L. Lions (see the proof of [14, Theorem 2.4]) that $u_{n}$ is relatively compact and therefore up to a subsequence $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $D^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Hence $S_{p^{* *}}(\lambda)$ is achieved.

Proof of Theorem 1.2- (ii). First we show that $S_{p^{* *}}(\lambda)<S^{* *}$ holds when $\lambda \in\left(0, \gamma_{N, p}^{p}\right)$. Let $U$ be an extremal of $S^{* *}$ which exists by [14]. Therefore if $\lambda \in\left(0, \gamma_{N, p}^{p}\right)$, then we have

$$
S_{p^{* *}}(\lambda) \leq \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[|\Delta U|^{p}-\lambda|x|^{-2 p}|U|^{p}\right]}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|U|^{p^{* *}}\right)^{p / p^{* *}}}<\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\Delta U|^{p}}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|U|^{p^{* *}}\right)^{p / p^{* *}}}=S^{* *}
$$

hence $S_{p^{* *}}(\lambda)$ is achieved.
Now it remains to study the case $\lambda<0$. In this case, it is easy to see that $S_{p^{* *}}(\lambda) \geq S^{* *}$. Now we choose an arbitrary function $u$ in $C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ and set $u_{y}(x)=u(x+y)$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{p^{* * *}}(\lambda) & \leq \lim _{|y| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int\left[\left|\Delta u_{y}\right|^{p}-\lambda|x|^{-2 p}\left|u_{y}\right|^{p}\right]}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{y}\right|^{\left.\right|^{* * *}}\right)^{p / p^{* *}}}=\lim _{|y| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int\left[|\Delta u|^{p}-\lambda|x-y|^{-2 p}|u|^{p}\right]}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p^{* *}}\right)^{p / p^{* *}}} \\
& =\frac{\int|\Delta u|^{p}}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p^{* *}}\right)^{p / p^{* *}}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies $S_{p^{* *}}(\lambda) \leq S^{* *}$. Hence $S_{p^{* *}}(\lambda)=S^{* *}$. Therefore $S_{p^{* *}}(\lambda)$ can not be achieved since $S^{* *}$ is achieved.

## 3 Nonnegativity, symmetry and breaking symmetry

In this section we will study the symmetry, nonnegativity and breaking symmetry of the extremal of $S_{q}(\lambda)$ depending on the parameter $\lambda$. It's known from [14] that when $\lambda=0$ and $q=p^{* *}$, the Sobolev constant $S^{* *}$ is achieved by a radially symmetric nonnegative and decreasing function.
Since truncations $u \mapsto u^{ \pm}$are not allowed in dealing with fourth order differential operators, the nonnegativity of extremals for $S_{q}(\lambda)$ does not follow by usual arguments.

Theorem 3.1 Assume $\lambda \neq 0$ or $q<p^{* *}$. If $\lambda \geq 0$ then $S_{q}(\lambda)$ is achieved by a positive function $u \in D^{2,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Moreover, $u$ is radially symmetric about the origin and radially decreasing.

The proof is based on rearrangement technique which was already used to prove this result in the case $p=2$ in [2]. This is an easy adaptation of the proof used for $p=2$.
Proof. Let $u$ be an extremal of $S_{q}(\lambda)$ and we denote by $(-\Delta u)^{*}$ the Schwarz symmetrization of $-\Delta u$. Let $v \in D^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that

$$
-\Delta v=(-\Delta u)^{*}
$$

(existence of such function follows from [14]). In turns out that $u^{*} \leq v$ on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, see for instance Remark II. 13 in [14]. If $u=u^{*}$ then we are done. So assuming $u \neq u^{*}$ we would like to derive a contradiction. By the theory of symmetrization (see Lieb and Loss [13], Theorem 3.4), we first obtain

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\Delta v|^{p} d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|(-\Delta u)^{*}\right|^{p} d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\Delta u|^{p} d x
$$

In addition, since we are assuming that $u^{*} \neq u$, then

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-2 p}|u|^{p} d x<\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-2 p}\left(|u|^{p}\right)^{*} d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-2 p}\left|u^{*}\right|^{p} d x \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-2 p}|v|^{p} d x .
$$

Thus we infer that

$$
\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-2 p}|u|^{p} d x \leq \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-2 p}|v|^{p} d x
$$

and that the strict inequality holds if $\lambda>0$. Similarly, we find

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-\beta}|u|^{q} d x \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-\beta}|v|^{q} d x
$$

and the strict inequality holds if $\beta>0$, that is, if $q<p^{* *}$. In conclusion, since we are assuming that $\lambda$ and $\beta$ are not contemporarily zero, we have that

$$
S_{q}(\lambda) \leq \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[|\Delta v|^{p}-\lambda|x|^{-2 p}|v|^{p}\right] d x}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-\beta}|v|^{q} d x\right)^{2 / q}}<\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[|\Delta u|^{p}-\lambda|x|^{-2 p}|u|^{p}\right] d x}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-\beta}|u|^{q} d x\right)^{2 / q}}=S_{q}(\lambda),
$$

a contradiction. Therefore $u=u^{*}$, that is, $u$ is a nonnegative and radially symmetric decreasing function.

As soon as $\lambda \rightarrow-\infty$, a braking symmetry phenomenon appears. In the next theorem we study the case $q<p^{* *}$, due to the nonexistence result pointed out in the critical case $q=p^{* *}$, $\lambda<0$. We cite [9, [10] for remarkable breaking symmetry results for similar second-order equations in the case $p=2$. Also see [2] and [4] for the similar type of results in the case of biharmonic equations.

Theorem 3.2 If $\lambda \ll 0$ and $2 \leq p<q<p^{* *}$ then $S_{q}(\lambda)<S_{q}^{\mathrm{rad}}(\lambda)$ and hence no extremal for $S_{q}(\lambda)$ is radially symmetric.

Proof. We already know that $S_{q}(\lambda) \leq S_{q}^{\text {rad }}(\lambda)$. We will give an explicit condition on $\lambda$ to have $S_{q}(\lambda)<S_{q}^{\mathrm{rad}}(\lambda)$. Define

$$
n(u)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[|\Delta u|^{p}-\lambda|x|^{-2 p}|u|^{p}\right] d x, \quad d(u)=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-\beta}|u|^{q} d x\right)^{p / q}
$$

and $Q(u)=n(u) / d(u)$. Let $u$ be a radially symmetric minimizer of $Q$ on $\mathcal{D}^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Our goal is to show that $-\lambda$ can not be too large. By homogeneity we can assume that $d(u)=1$. Thus $Q^{\prime}(u) \cdot v=0$ and $Q^{\prime \prime}(u)[v, v] \geq 0$ for all $v \in D^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, that is, and

$$
\begin{align*}
n^{\prime}(u) \cdot v & =Q(u) d^{\prime}(u) \cdot v \\
n^{\prime \prime}(u)[v, v] & \geq Q(u) d^{\prime \prime}(u)[v, v] \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $v \in D^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
Let $\varphi_{1} \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{N-1}\right)$ be an Eigenfunction of Laplace-Beltrami operator on $\mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ corresponding to the smallest positive Eigenvalue. Thus

$$
-\Delta_{\sigma} \varphi_{1}=(N-1) \varphi_{1}, \quad \frac{1}{\left|\mathbb{S}^{N-1}\right|} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}}\left|\varphi_{1}\right|^{2} d \sigma=1, \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \varphi_{1} d \sigma=0
$$

Now we set the test function $v$ as $v(x):=u(|x|) \varphi_{1}\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)$. Therefore it turns out that

$$
\begin{align*}
d^{\prime \prime}(u)[v, v] & =p(p-q)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-\beta}|u|^{q-2} u v\right)^{2}+p(q-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-\beta}|u|^{q-2} v^{2} \\
& =p(q-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-\beta}|u|^{q}=p(q-1) \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Also we see that

$$
|\Delta v|^{2}=\left|\Delta\left(u \varphi_{1}\right)\right|^{2}=\left.\left.|\Delta u-(N-1)| x\right|^{-2} u\right|^{2} \varphi_{1}^{2}
$$

and therefore as $p \geq 2$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
n^{\prime \prime}(u)[v, v] & =p(p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\Delta u|^{p-2}|\Delta v|^{2}-\lambda|x|^{-2 p}|u|^{p-2}|v|^{2}\right) d x \\
& =p(p-1)\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\Delta u|^{p-2}\left|\Delta u-(N-1) \frac{u}{|x|^{2}}\right|^{2} \varphi_{1}^{2}-\lambda|x|^{-2 p}|u|^{p} \varphi_{1}^{2}\right] d x \\
& =p(p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[|\Delta u|^{p-2}\left|\Delta u-(N-1) \frac{u}{|x|^{2}}\right|^{2}-\lambda|x|^{-2 p}|u|^{p}\right] d x \\
& =p(p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[|\Delta u|^{p}+\frac{(N-1)^{2} u 2|\Delta u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{4}}-\frac{2(N-1)|\Delta u|^{p-2} u \Delta u}{|x|^{2}}-\lambda \frac{|u|^{p}}{|x|^{2 p}}\right] d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $p \geq 2$, using Hölder inequality and the fact that $d(u)=1$ we obtain,

$$
\begin{aligned}
n^{\prime \prime}(u)[v, v] & \leq p(p-1)\left[n(u)+2(N-1)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\Delta u|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|u|^{p}}{|x|^{2 p}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right] \\
& +p(p-1)(N-1)^{2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\Delta u|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|u|^{p}}{|x|^{2 p}} d x\right)^{\frac{2}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore from (3.1), (3.2) and the definition of $Q(u)=n(u)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
(q-p) Q(u) & \leq 2(p-1)(N-1)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\Delta u|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|u|^{p}}{|x|^{2 p}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& +(p-1)(N-1)^{2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\Delta u|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|u|^{p}}{|x|^{2 p}} d x\right)^{\frac{2}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(q-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\Delta|^{p} d x & \leq \lambda(q-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|u|^{p}}{|x|^{2 p}}+2(p-1)(N-1)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\Delta u|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|u|^{p}}{|x|^{2 p}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& +(p-1)(N-1)^{2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\Delta u|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|u|^{p}}{|x|^{2 p}} d x\right)^{\frac{2}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, the quantity

$$
X:=\left(\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\Delta u|^{p}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-2 p}|u|^{p}}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

satisfies the inequality

$$
(q-p) X^{p} \leq \lambda(q-p)+2(N-1)(p-1) X^{p-1}+(p-1)(N-1)^{2} X^{p-2}
$$

which implies

$$
\lambda \geq \min _{t \in \mathbb{R}} f(t),
$$

where

$$
f(t)=\left(t^{p}-\frac{2(N-1)(p-1)}{q-p} t^{p-1}-\frac{(p-1)(N-1)^{2}}{q-p} t^{p-2}\right) .
$$

Define

$$
t_{0}=\frac{\gamma_{1}(p-1)+\sqrt{\gamma_{1}^{2}(p-1)^{2}+4 \gamma_{2} p(p-2)}}{2 p}
$$

where $\gamma_{1}=\frac{2(p-1)(N-1)}{q-p}$ and $\gamma_{2}=\frac{(p-1)(N-1)^{2}}{q-p}$. By a straight forward calculation we obtain $\gamma \geq f\left(t_{0}\right)$.
Therefore no extremal for $S_{q}(\lambda)$ is radially symmetric and break of symmetry occurs if $\lambda<$ $f\left(t_{0}\right)$.

Remark 3.3 If $q$ is close enough to $p^{* *}$ then one can obtain a better estimate on the breaking symmetry parameter $\lambda$ by arguing as follows. Notice that $X>\gamma_{N, p}$ by the Rellich inequality (1.3). Thus, if $\gamma_{N, p} \geq t_{0}$ that is, if

$$
p+\frac{p(N-1)\left[p^{2}(N-1)(p-2)+2 N(p-1)^{2}(N-2 p)\right]}{N^{2}(p-1)(N-2 p)^{2}} \leq q \leq p^{* *}
$$

then the radial solution $u$ does not achieve $S_{q}(\lambda)$ unless

$$
\lambda>\min _{t \geq \gamma_{N, p}} f(t)=\gamma_{N, p}^{p}-\gamma_{1}\left(\gamma_{N, p}\right)^{p-1}-\gamma_{2}\left(\gamma_{N, p}\right)^{p-2}
$$

Conversely, if

$$
\lambda \leq \gamma_{N, p}^{p}-\gamma_{1}\left(\gamma_{N, p}\right)^{p-1}-\gamma_{2}\left(\gamma_{N, p}\right)^{p-2}
$$

then break of symmetry occurs.
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