

# A Study of Weakly Discontinuous Solutions for Hyperbolic Differential Equations Based on Wavelet Transform Methods

SHIJIE GU\*

## Abstract

A new approach to prove the one-dimensional Cauchy problem's weakly discontinuous solutions for hyperbolic PDEs on the characteristics is discussed in this paper. To do so, I use wavelet singularity detection methods or WTMM [1] based on two-dimensional wavelet transform and combine it with the Lipschitz index to strengthen the detection.

**Keywords.** Wavelet singularity detection; WTMM; Lipschitz index

## 1 Introduction

Wavelet singularity detection theories have been applied in many fields [2], [3], [4], such as signal and imaging process, especially wavelet denoise methods. Multiresolution analysis is very useful for identifying peaks and valleys of noisy signals. The key point of wavelet-based detection is wavelet transform. By using wavelet transform, the "peaks" or "cusps" are able to be smoothed, and appear to be local maxima and zeros (inflections) by using first derivative, second derivative or even higher derivatives wavelet transform convolution (this method is called wavelet transform modulus maxima or WTMM [1]).

In this paper, we introduced a theoretical application of WTMM. During the process of application, we successfully found out that WTMM is a good tool for the study of discontinuous and weakly discontinuous problems in PDEs. In other word, one can use the property of WTMM to detect the position of weakly discontinuous solutions. This brand new approach will be introduced in section 3, and the orientation of WTMM is found by Proposition 1. Hopefully this research can shed light on the studies of discontinuous problems in PDEs. In section 2, the notations in two-dimensional wavelet transform are introduced; in section 4, we showed the way to distinguish the "real" jump discontinuity and "fake" one.

---

\*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 65T60, 49K20; Secondary 65M99

Note that weakly discontinuity is the specific property for hyperbolic PDEs; that means parabolic and elliptical PDEs don't have such property [5, P. 133]. So the topic of this paper focuses on hyperbolic PDEs.

Our below definition of weakly discontinuity is inspired by Bhamra's definition [6, P. 156] of a kind of weak solution.

**Definition.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a smooth curve on  $xOt$  plane and  $D$  be a smooth solution area containing  $\Gamma$ . Then,  $\Gamma$  is the weakly discontinuous curve of equation

$$au_{xx} + 2bu_{xt} + cu_{tt} + du_x + eu_t + gu = f \quad (1.1)$$

where the coefficients are real numbers, if

- (i)  $u \in C^1(D) \cap C^2(D \setminus \Gamma)$ ;
- (ii)  $u$  satisfies Eq.(1.1);
- (iii) the second partial derivatives of  $u$  have discontinuity of first kind on the curve  $\Gamma$ .

Applying wavelet singularity detection theories and WTMM, we can change the discontinuities into the local modulus maxima. Such technique follows a new way to prove the weak form of below well-known theorem in Cauchy problem,

**Theorem 1.** [5, P. 133] *Let  $\Gamma : x = x(t)$  be the weakly discontinuous curve of Eq.(1.1). Suppose the coefficients in Eq.(1.1) satisfy*

$$b^2 - ac > 0, c > 0, \forall (x, t) \in D, \quad (1.2)$$

*then  $x = x(t)$  must satisfy*

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \lambda_1(x, t) \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{dx}{dt} = \lambda_2(x, t), \quad (1.3)$$

*where  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  are the real roots to the equation*

$$c\lambda^2 - 2b\lambda + a = 0. \quad (1.4)$$

Restricting the field of our study, we may assume the discontinuity of first kind is jump discontinuity (shock). Then  $u(x, t)$  has a jump discontinuity (shock) along  $\Gamma$ , which means  $u(x, t)$  is continuously differentiable in two parts  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  of the domain  $D$ , but with a jump discontinuity (shock) along the dividing smooth curve  $\Gamma$ . So the limits  $u_x^-(t) = u_x(x^-, t) = u_x(x(t)-0, t-0)$  and also  $u_x^+(t) = u_x(x^+, t) = u_x(x(t)+0, t+0)$  exist. Figure 1 illustrates this kind of condition.

Let  $b = d = e = g = 0$  in Eq.(1.1), then

$$au_{xx} + cu_{tt} = 0. \quad (1.5)$$




Figure 1: Smooth curve  $\Gamma$  cross domain  $D$  has jump discontinuities

Consider Cauchy problem (or Cauchy initial condition and boundary condition), we have

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \nu^2 u_{xx} = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = \phi(x), \\ u_t(x, 0) = \psi(x). \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

where  $\nu^2 = -a/c$ ,  $\phi(x) \in C^2$ ,  $\psi(x) \in C^1$ . Then the weak of form of Theorem 1 is

**Theorem 2.** *For Eq. (1.6), the conclusion in Theorem 1 holds.*

## 2 Notations in Two-dimensional Wavelet Transform

Before proving Theorem 2, we need to introduce the concept of two dimensional wavelet transform. First, let the unknown solution  $u(x, t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  to Eq.(1.1) be a two dimensional signal, where  $x$  and  $t$  are displacement-coordinate and time-coordinate respectively. One can use the functions which have short support or rapid reduction ones to detect the locations of singular points of the solution  $u(x, t)$ . For convenience, we take Gaussian distribution function

$$\theta(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right). \quad (2.1)$$

Since  $u(x, t)$  can be understood as a two-dimensional signal, it would be necessary to employ the two-dimensional wavelet transform. That means one should extend one-dimensional Gaussian distribution function to two-dimensional Gaussian distribution function as

$$\Psi_\sigma(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2 + t^2}{2\sigma^2}\right), \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\sigma$  is a scale parameter.

Then denote  $\Psi_{\sigma; b_1, b_2}(x, t)$  as a function generated by  $\Psi_\sigma(x, t)$  with two-dimensional displacement,

$$\Psi_{\sigma; b_1, b_2}(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sigma} \Psi\left(\frac{x - b_1}{\sigma}, \frac{t - b_2}{\sigma}\right). \quad (2.3)$$

Apply the convolution integral to  $u(x, t)$  and  $\Psi_{\sigma; b_1, b_2}(x, t)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} WTu(\sigma; b_1, b_2) &= \langle u(x, t), \Psi_{\sigma; b_1, b_2}(x, t) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma} \iint_D u(x, t) \Psi\left(\frac{x - b_1}{\sigma}, \frac{t - b_2}{\sigma}\right) dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Let  $r := (x, t)^T$  and  $b := (b_1, b_2)^T$ ,  $r, b \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . The smooth operator can be expressed by

$$P_\sigma \Psi(r) := \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \Psi\left(\frac{r}{\sigma}\right). \quad (2.5)$$

Then, the convolution integral in Eq.(2.4) can be represented by

$$WTu(\sigma; b_1, b_2) = (P_\sigma \Psi * u)(b). \quad (2.6)$$

The multi-scale differential operator of the wavelet transform can be expressed by

$$WT^{(m)}u(\sigma; b_1, b_2) = (P_\sigma \Psi^{(m)} * u)(b). \quad (2.7)$$

**Remark 1:** In this paper, the first and second derivatives are sufficient to deal with the problem. Hence, we ignore the higher derivatives.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_x^{(m)} &= \frac{\partial^m}{\partial x^m} \Psi_{\sigma; b_1, b_2}(x, t); \\ \Psi_t^{(m)} &= \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m} \Psi_{\sigma; b_1, b_2}(x, t). \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where  $m = 1, 2$ .

Denote

$$\nabla \Psi = \nabla \Psi_{\sigma; b_1, b_2}(r) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Psi_{\sigma; b_1, b_2}(x, t) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi_{\sigma; b_1, b_2}(x, t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.9)$$

where  $\nabla = (\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t})$ .

If the two-dimensional wavelet transform is separable, then the second derivative tensor wavelet can be represented by

$$\Psi_H^{(2)} = H \Psi_{\sigma; b_1, b_2}(r), \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial t} \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial t} & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.11)$$

**Remark 2:** Without the influence of the noise (wavelet transform is often influenced by noise at small scale and may produce false extreme points), the corresponding relationship between the local maxima or zeros of the wavelet

transform modulus and singularity for  $u(x, t)$  (signal) is very accurate. The smaller the scale parameter  $\sigma$  is, the more accurate the corresponding relationship will be. Usually, we choose  $\sigma = 2^j$  [1] The details will be discussed in section 4.

### 3 Proof of Theorem 2

The proof of Theorem 2 can be separated into 2 cases—curve  $\Gamma$  does intersect with  $x$ -axis and does not intersect with  $x$ -axis.

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, select a small neighborhood of discontinuous point  $p_0 = (x(t_0), t_0) \in \Gamma$ .

If the curve  $\Gamma$  intersects with  $x$ -axis at point  $p_0 = (x(0), 0) \in \Gamma$ , then we can consider  $u(x, 0) = \phi(x)$  and get the modulus by using one-dimensional wavelet transform

$$|\theta' * \phi''|, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $|\cdot|$  is modulus.

Since  $\phi''$  is discontinuous at  $x_0$ , then by WTMM, at  $x = x(0)$ ,

$$|\theta' * \phi''|_{x=x(0)} \quad (3.2)$$

is maximum modulus in the small neighborhood of  $p_0$ . Figure 2 gives an example of 1D WTMM which may present a visualized way to help reader understand it well.




Figure 2: [7] Here's the process of WTMM for 1D signal. **a)** is the signal with jump discontinuities at  $x = 0.1$  and  $x = 0.15$ ; **b)** discontinuities are smoothed when convoluted with  $\theta$ ; **c)** discontinuities are local maxima when convoluted with  $\theta'$ ; **d)** discontinuities are zeros when convoluted with  $\theta''$ .

Finally, apply d'Alembert formula, it is easy to show that the modulus maximum will spread along the characteristics:  $x \pm \nu t = x(0)$ .

If the curve  $\Gamma$  has no intersection with  $x$ -axis, then we pick any point  $p_0 = (x(t_0), t_0) \in \Gamma$ . By hypothesis, the solution  $u(p_0) = u(x(t_0), t_0)$  has second

derivative jump discontinuity (shock). For the two-dimensional WTMM, inspired by Canny's [8] edge detector, Mallat and Hwang [1] showed that the components of the wavelet transform are proportional to the coordinates of the gradient vector of  $u(x, t)$  smoothed by  $\Psi_{\sigma; b_1, b_2}(x, t)$ ; in other word,

$$\begin{pmatrix} WT_x u(\sigma; b_1, b_2) \\ WT_t u(\sigma; b_1, b_2) \end{pmatrix} = \sigma \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(P_\sigma \Psi * u) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(P_\sigma \Psi * u) \end{pmatrix} = \sigma \nabla(P_\sigma \Psi * u). \quad (3.3)$$

Canny [9] pointed out that the edge points where the modulus of the gradient vector of  $P_\sigma \Psi * u$  is maximum in the direction where the gradient vector points too. The orientation of the gradient vector indicates the direction where partial derivative of  $u(x, t)$  has an absolute value which is maximum. Mallat and Hwang [1] showed that Eq.(2.14) implies an angle between the horizontal and gradient vector, that is

$$Au(\sigma; b_1, b_2) = \arctan \left( \frac{WT_t u(\sigma; b_1, b_2)}{WT_x u(\sigma; b_1, b_2)} \right) \quad (3.4)$$

Furthermore, the locally modulus maximum of  $u(x, t)$  is along the gradient direction with the angle  $Au(\sigma; b_1, b_2)$  if  $\sigma = 2^j$ .

In our proof, for  $u_x$ , let  $(u_x)_t$  represent the partial derivative of  $u_x$  along  $\Gamma$  respect to  $t$ . Let  $M$  be the modulus maximum operator of two-dimensional WTMM. Consider a small neighborhood of point  $p_0 = (x(t_0), t_0) \in \Gamma$ , we have

$$M(u_x)_t = \sqrt{|WT_x(u_x)_t|^2 + |WT_t(u_x)_t|^2}, \quad (3.5)$$

is modulus maximum in the small neighborhood of  $p_0$ .

Then, when  $t = t_0$ , the partial derivative of  $M(u_x)_t$  respect to  $t$  is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(M(u_x)_t)|_{t=t_0} = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Similarly, at  $t = t_0$ , we have

$$M(u_t)_t = \sqrt{|WT_x(u_t)_t|^2 + |WT_t(u_t)_t|^2}, \quad (3.7)$$

is modulus maxima in the small neighborhood of  $p_0$ . And

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(M(u_t)_t)|_{t=t_0} = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Note that direction of WTMM is as same as the one of gradient vector, and  $x$  is a function of  $t$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $u_x^-(t_0) < u_x^+(t_0)$ . Since there exists WTMM at  $t = t_0$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(WT_t(u_x)_t)|_{t=t_0} = WT_{tt}(u_x)_t|_{t=t_0} = 0 \quad (3.9)$$

Consider the derivatives respect to  $t$  along the curve  $\Gamma$  (which is the WTMM orientation). We have  $(u_x)_t = u_{xx}x'(t) + u_{xt}$  and  $(u_t)_t = (u_x)_tx'(t) + u_{tt}$ . It implies the following systems,

$$\begin{cases} x'(t_0)(WT_{tt}u_{xx})|_{t=t_0} + (WT_{tt}u_{xt})|_{t=t_0} = 0, \\ x'(t_0)(WT_{tt}u_{xt})|_{t=t_0} + (WT_{tt}u_{tt})|_{t=t_0} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

In words, as  $t \rightarrow t_0$ , the wavelet transform values of  $(u_x)_t$  and  $(u_t)_t$  on  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are approaching to the values at  $t = t_0$  on  $\Gamma$ .

Next, use the convolution integral and wavelet transform on each side of Eq.(1.5), we have

$$a(WT_{tt}u_{xx})|_{t=t_0} + c(WT_{tt}u_{tt})|_{t=t_0} = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

By Eq.(3.10)-(3.11), we have

$$\begin{cases} a(WT_{tt}u_{xx})|_{t=t_0} + c(WT_{tt}u_{tt})|_{t=t_0} = 0, \\ x'(t_0)(WT_{tt}u_{xx})|_{t=t_0} + (WT_{tt}u_{xt})|_{t=t_0} = 0, \\ x'(t_0)(WT_{tt}u_{xt})|_{t=t_0} + (WT_{tt}u_{tt})|_{t=t_0} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.12)$$

Eq.(3.12) has nonzero solution, for the direction of derivatives of  $WT_tu_{xx}$  and  $WT_tu_{tt}$  respect to  $t$  may not be always along  $\Gamma$ . Thus, determinant of Eq.(3.12) is equal to zero. By the arbitrary of  $t_0$ , we have  $x'(t) = \nu$  or  $x'(t) = -\nu$ . ■

Furthermore, one can also show that for the equation

$$au_{xx} + 2bu_{xt} + cu_{tt} = 0, \quad (b \neq 0) \quad (3.13)$$

the weakly discontinuity will also spread along the characteristics.

According to the above discussion, we have a conclusion for one-dimensional homogeneous hyperbolic PDE with Cauchy problem under the conditions mentioned in section 1, that is

**Proposition 1.** *Suppose there exists a weakly discontinuous curve  $\Gamma : x = x(t)$  on the solutions, then the orientation of two-dimensional wavelet transform modulus maxima are along the characteristics.*

Sketch of proof. From the proof of Theorem 2, we can see that wavelet transform modulus maxima have to appear on the weakly discontinuities. Apply Theorem 1, discontinuous solutions are spreading along the characteristics. That means wavelet transform modulus maxima are along the characteristics. ■

#### 4 Lipschitz Index Criterion

We cannot assure at all the points where signal changes rapidly are the discontinuities. So it is necessary to give a further explanation. For example, let's consider the condition if  $\Gamma$  intersects with  $x$ -axis at point  $x_0$ .

Suppose the discontinuous points on  $\Gamma$  are jump discontinuity (shock),

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^-} \phi''(x) \neq \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^+} \phi''(x). \quad (4.1)$$

Approximate  $\phi''(x)$  at point  $x_0$  by a step function, then in a small neighborhood of discontinuous point  $x_0$ , we have

$$|\phi''(x) - \phi''(x_0)| = \mathcal{O}(|x - x_0|^0) \quad (4.2)$$

Lipschitz index  $\alpha = 0$ .

Then, for  $|\theta' * \phi''(x) - \theta' * \phi''(x_0)|$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\theta' * \phi''(x) - \theta' * \phi''(x_0)| \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left| \int_0^x \exp\left(\frac{-\tau^2}{2}\right) \phi''(x - \tau) d\tau - \int_0^{x_0} \exp\left(\frac{-\tau^2}{2}\right) \phi''(x_0 - \tau) d\tau \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sup_{\tau > 0} |\phi''(x - \tau) - \phi''(x_0 - \tau)| \left| \int_0^\infty \exp\left(\frac{-\tau^2}{2}\right) d\tau \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\tau > 0} |\phi''(x - \tau) - \phi''(x_0 - \tau)| \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Since the derivative of  $\phi''(x_0)$  is a Dirac function, by (3.3), we have

$$|\theta' * \phi''(x) - \theta' * \phi''(x_0)| \leq \mathcal{O}(|x - x_0|^{-1}) \quad (4.4)$$

Lipschitz index  $\alpha = -1$ .

Hence, the solution of  $u(x, t)$  at the discontinuous point  $x_0$  will appear “shock” which is quite different from other point in the neighborhood. And the solutions on the characteristics will also have the same feature.

Moreover, coefficients of wavelet transform in the interval section  $[x_1, x_2]$  satisfy [1]

$$|W_f(\sigma, x)| \leq K\sigma^\alpha \quad \text{or} \quad \log |W_f(\sigma, x)| \leq \log K + \alpha \log \sigma. \quad (4.5)$$

When  $\alpha < 0$ , maxima of wavelet transform modulus decreases with increasing  $\sigma$ . Hence, small scale parameter can avoid the confusion of the images of singularity and point which has rapid change.

If  $\Gamma$  does not intersect with  $x$ -axis, we will consider two-dimensional signal. The further details about the two-dimensional WTMM Lipschitz index can be found in [1].



## 5 Conclusion

An approach to prove Theorem 2 by using WTMM is given in this paper. The main idea is to use convolution to smooth the solution containing weakly discontinuous points, and transfer the problem to how to locate local maxima. The orientation of WTMM is also given in Proposition 1.

## References

- [1] S. Mallat and W.L.Hwang, Singularity detection and processing with wavelets, *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 38, pp. 617–643, Mar 1992.
- [2] D. A. Lorenz, *Variational denoising in Besov spaces and interpolation of hard and soft wavelet shrinkage*, Preprint 48, DFG 1114, 2004.
- [3] D. L. Donoho, Denoising via soft thresholding, *IEEE Transactions on information Theory*, 41(3), pp.613-627,1995.
- [4] L. Sendur and W. Selesnick, A bivariate shrinkage function for wavelet based denoising, *IEEE ICASSP*, 2002.
- [5] X M. Wang, *Equations of mathematical physics*, Tsinghua University Press, Beijing, 2005. This book is written in Chinese. The theorem is well-known, so the author thinks most readers are familiar with it.
- [6] K. S. Bhamra, *Partial Differential Equations*, PHI Learning Pvt. Ltd. (ISBN 8120339177)
- [7] A. Bouzid and N. Ellouze, Voice source parameter measurement based on multi-scale analysis of electroglottographic signal *Speech Communication*, vol. 51, Issue 9, pp. 782–792, 2009.
- [8] J. Canny, Pointwise smoothness, two microlocalisation and wavelet coefficients, *Publicacions Mathematiques*, vol. 35, 1991
- [9] J. Canny, A computational approach to edge detection, *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Machine Intell.*, vol. 8, pp. 679-698, 1986.

Department of Mathematics & Statistics,  
University of Nevada, Reno  
1664 N. Virginia Street Reno, NV 89557-0084  
Email: sgu@unr.edu