

The Constant Astigmatism Equation. New Exact Solution.

Natale Manganaro¹, Maxim V. Pavlov^{2,3,4}

¹Department of Mathematics and Informatics,
University of Messina,

Viale Ferdinando Stango D'Alcontres 31, 98166 Messina, Italy

²Sector of Mathematical Physics,

Lebedev Physical Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences,
Leninskij Prospekt 53, 119991 Moscow, Russia

³Laboratory of Geometric Methods in Mathematical Physics,
Lomonosov Moscow State University,
Leninskie Gory 1, 119991, Moscow, Russia

⁴Mathematical institute in Opava,
Silesian University in Opava,
Na Rybníčku 1, 746 01 Opava, Czech Republic

Abstract

In this paper we present a new solution for the Constant Astigmatism equation. This solution is parameterized by an arbitrary function of a single variable.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Reduction Procedure	3
3	New Particular Solution	6
4	Replication of Solutions	8
5	Conclusion	10

keywords: Constant Astigmatism equation, differential constraints, overdetermined systems, characteristic method, integrable systems, reductions.

MSC: 35L40, 70H06;

PACS: 02.30.Ik.

1 Introduction

The theory of integrable systems usually associates with the method of the Inverse Scattering Transform, which allows to construct multi-soliton, multi-gap and similarity solutions, i.e. parameterized by an arbitrary number of constants. Nevertheless, some integrable nonlinear systems in partial derivatives possess solutions parameterized by arbitrary functions of a single variable. The most known example is the three-wave interaction, which were deeply investigated in [18].

In this paper we deal with the Constant Astigmatism equation

$$u_{tt} + \left(\frac{1}{u} \right)_{xx} + 2 = 0, \quad (1)$$

which was considered in a set of papers (see detail in [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [17]). This equation is connected with the remarkable Bonnet (also known as the Sine-Gordon) equation by a reciprocal transformation. However, we show that just the Constant Astigmatism equation has the particular solution parameterized by an arbitrary function of a single variable.

To illustrate this phenomenon, we just mention another remarkable integrable nonlinear system known as the Kaup–Boussinesq system

$$u_t + \left(\frac{u^2}{2} - \eta \right)_x = 0, \quad \eta_t + \left(u\eta - \frac{1}{4}u_{xx} \right)_x = 0. \quad (2)$$

Under the potential substitution $u = z_x$ and $\eta = z_x^2/2 + z_t$, the second equation becomes

$$z_{tt} + 2z_x z_{xt} + \left(z_t + \frac{3}{2}z_x^2 \right) z_{xx} - \frac{1}{4}z_{xxxx} = 0.$$

This equation possesses the reduction

$$z_t + \frac{1}{2}(z_x^2 - z_{xx}) = 0,$$

which is nothing but a well-known Burgers equation

$$u_t + uu_x - \frac{1}{2}u_{xx} = 0, \quad (3)$$

which is linearizable to the heat equation

$$v_t = \frac{1}{2}v_{xx},$$

by the Cole–Hopf substitution $u = -v_x/v$ (indeed, one can see that the first equation in (2) reduces to (3) if $\eta = u_x/2$; then the second equation in (2) automatically satisfies). Since, the heat equation has a solution parameterized by an arbitrary function of a single variable $v_0(x)$, then the Kaup–Boussinesq system also admits a particular solution $u = z_x$ and $\eta = z_x^2/2 + z_t$, where:

$$u = -\partial_x \ln \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{(x-y)^2}{2t} - \int_0^y u(\xi, 0) d\xi \right) dy \right],$$

$$\eta = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 \ln \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{(x-y)^2}{2t} - \int_0^{x'} u(\xi, 0) d\xi \right) dy \right],$$

which depends on an arbitrary function $u_0(x) = u(x, t)|_{t=0}$.

2 Reduction Procedure

The aim of this section is to develop a reduction procedure for (1) within the framework of the theory of differential constraints. The method is based upon appending a set of PDEs to a given governing system of field equations and it was first applied by Janenko [11] to the gas dynamics model. The auxiliary equations play the role of differential constraints because they select classes of solutions of the system under interest. The method is very general and, in fact, it includes many of the reduction approaches known in a literature. Unfortunately often the generality of the method limits according diversity of applications. In each particular case, the method of differential constraints utilizes specific features of a corresponding nonlinear system (see Refs. [13], [15], [12], [4], [5], [1], [2], [3]).

First, for further convenience we change the sign $u \rightarrow -u$ in the Constant Astigmatism equation (1)

$$u_{tt} = 2 - \left(\frac{1}{u} \right)_{xx}. \quad (4)$$

Next, we reduce the governing equation (4) to the pair of equations

$$\begin{cases} a_t - b_x = 0 \\ b_t - \frac{1}{(a+t^2)^2} a_x = 0 \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where

$$a(x, t) = u(x, t) - t^2, \quad (6)$$

and $b(x, t)$ is an auxiliary function. System (5) is strictly hyperbolic and the eigenvalues of the matrix coefficients as well as the corresponding left eigenvectors are, respectively,

$$\lambda = \mp f(a, t); \quad \mathbf{l}^{(\mp)} = (-\lambda; 1), \quad (7)$$

where

$$f(a, t) = \frac{1}{a + t^2}. \quad (8)$$

Therefore, according to [14], owing to (7), the more general first order differential constraint admitted by (5) takes the form

$$\mathbf{l}^{(\mp)} \cdot \mathbf{U}_x = p(x, t, a, b), \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix},$$

which in our case specializes to

$$b_x - \lambda a_x = p(x, t, a, b), \quad (9)$$

where the function $p(x, t, a, b)$ must be determined during the process. In the following without loss of generality we consider the case $\lambda = +f(a, t)$. The consistency requirement between (5) and (9) leads to a linear expression with respect to a_x whose coefficients depend only on (x, t, a, b) . Thus both coefficients must vanish independently:

$$\begin{cases} p_a + f p_b = -\frac{f_t + p f_a}{2f} \\ p_t + f p_x = \frac{f_t + p f_a}{2f} p. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

Both these equations can be integrated by the method of characteristics, i.e. we have

$$\frac{db}{da} = f, \quad \frac{dp}{da} = -\frac{f_t + p f_a}{2f}, \quad \frac{dx}{dt} = f, \quad \frac{dp}{dt} = \frac{f_t + p f_a}{2f} p.$$

Taking (8) into account, we can independently solve first two ordinary differential equations (with respect to a) and two other ordinary differential equations (with respect to t). However, the solution of (10)

$$p = -2t \pm 2\sqrt{a + t^2} \quad (11)$$

follows directly from the compatibility condition

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dp}{da} \right) = \frac{d}{da} \left(\frac{dp}{dt} \right).$$

Therefore, taking (9) and (11) into account, equations (5) can be written in the form

$$\begin{cases} a_t - f a_x = p \\ b_t - f b_x = -fp. \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

Then the first equation

$$a_t - \frac{1}{a + t^2} a_x = -2t \pm 2\sqrt{a + t^2} \quad (13)$$

again can be integrated by the method of characteristic, while the function $b(x, t)$ satisfying the second equation can be found in quadratures (see (5))

$$db = a_t dx + \frac{a_x}{(a + t^2)^2} dt \equiv (u_t - 2t) dx + \frac{u_x}{u^2} dt,$$

because the compatibility condition $(b_t)_x = (b_x)_t$ is fulfilled by virtue of (4). Moreover, since $b_t = f^2 a_x$ and $b_x = a_t$, one can see that the second equation in (12) is equivalent to the first one in (12).

Of course a similar analysis holds in the case $\lambda = -f(a, t)$.

In order to obtain the required reduction of the governing equation (4), by writing equations (9) and (12) in terms of the original variable u we get (see (6) and, for instance, (13) for the case $\lambda = +f(a, t)$)

$$u_t = \pm \frac{1}{u} u_x \pm 2\sqrt{u}. \quad (14)$$

So that exact solutions of (4) are determined by integrating the first order equation (14) using the characteristic method. Therefore we proved the following:

Theorem 1: *Constant Astigmatism equation (4) possesses four natural reductions (14). Thus, Constant Astigmatism equation (4) has four particular solutions parameterized by an arbitrary function of a single variable.*

3 New Particular Solution

Let us first prove the following

Theorem 2: *The first order equation*

$$u_t = F(x, t, u, u_x). \quad (15)$$

is a reduction of Constant Astigmatism equation (4) if it specializes to (14).

Proof: Substitution of (15) into (4) leads to

$$F_t + FF_u + F_y(F_x + F_u u_x + F_y u_{xx}) - \frac{1}{u^2} u_{xx} + \frac{2}{u^3} u_x^2 = 2, \quad (16)$$

where we set $y = u_x$. By requiring (16) is satisfied $\forall u_{xx}$ we get

$$F = \pm \frac{y}{u} + G(x, t, u), \quad (17)$$

where the function $G(x, t, u)$ needs to be determined. Substitution of (17) in (16) yields

$$G_t + \left(G \pm \frac{y}{u}\right) \left(G_u \mp \frac{y}{u^2}\right) \pm \frac{1}{u} \left(G_x + \left(G_u \mp \frac{y}{u^2}\right) y\right) + \frac{2}{u^3} y^2 = 2. \quad (18)$$

Since relation (18) must be satisfied $\forall y$, we obtain $G = \pm 2\sqrt{u}$. Thus, in virtue of (17), the Theorem is proved.

Next we solve equation (14) by a slightly modified version of the characteristic method.

Under the point transformation $u = v^2$ (14) reduces to the form

$$v_t = \pm \frac{1}{v^2} v_x \pm 1.$$

This equation can be written in the conservative form

$$w_t \pm \left(\frac{1}{w \pm t}\right)_x = 0,$$

where $v = w \pm t$. Then the potential function z can be introduced such that

$$dz = w dx \mp \frac{dt}{w \pm t}.$$

In the first case

$$d[z + \ln(w + t)] = w dx + \frac{dw}{w + t}.$$

The compatibility condition implies $\left(\frac{1}{w+t}\right)_x = 1$. Thus $\frac{1}{w+t} = x + h(w)$, where $h(w)$ is an arbitrary function. So, the first particular solution of the Constant Astigmatism equation is

$$u_{(1)} = (w + t)^2, \quad (19)$$

where $w(x, t)$ is a solution of the algebraic equation

$$(x + h_1(w))(t + w) = 1. \quad (20)$$

Analogously, in the second case:

$$u_{(2)} = (w - t)^2,$$

where $w(x, t)$ is a solution of the algebraic equation

$$(x + h_2(w))(t - w) = 1;$$

In the third case:

$$u_{(3)} = (w + t)^2,$$

where $w(x, t)$ is a solution of the algebraic equation

$$(h_3(w) - x)(t + w) = 1;$$

In the fourth case:

$$u_{(4)} = (w - t)^2,$$

where $w(x, t)$ is a solution of the algebraic equation

$$(h_4(w) + x)(w - t) = 1.$$

Remark 1: The Constant Astigmatism equation preserves itself under the transformation $x \leftrightarrow t$ and $u \rightarrow 1/u$. Also its reduction (14) preserves itself under the same transformation.

Remark 2: A relationship between the Sine-Gordon and the Constant Astigmatism equations was presented in [10]. Corresponding reciprocal transformation (see formulas (29), (30) in this cited paper) contains two distinct expressions

$$\left(\frac{u_x}{u} \pm u_t\right)^2 - 4u,$$

which *vanishes* if the function $u(x, t)$ satisfies (14). Thus, the above four particular solutions parameterized by an arbitrary function of a single variable cannot be transformed to corresponding solutions of the Sine-Gordon equation.

Remark 3: A Cauchy problem for a nonlinear equation in partial derivatives of a second order is based on $u|_{t=0} = u_0(x)$ and $u_t|_{t=0} = u_1(x)$. Since, we can consider a nonlinear equation in partial derivatives of a first order, we investigate a Cauchy problem restricted on a narrow class of solutions. Indeed, for instance, in the first above case, a Cauchy problem has a solution

$$u = (W(x, t) + t)^2, \quad (21)$$

where $W(x, t)$ is a solution of an algebraic equation

$$\left(x + \frac{1}{W} - X(W)\right)(t + W) = 1,$$

and the function $X(W)$ is determined by the equation $X(W_0(x)) = x$, where $W_0(x) = \sqrt{u_0(x)}$ (see equation (21) for $t = 0$). Then $u_1(x)$ cannot be an arbitrary function:

$$u_1(x) = 2 \frac{1 + X'(W)W^2}{X'(W)W} \Big|_{W=\sqrt{u_0(x)}}.$$

4 Replication of Solutions

According to [8], particular solutions of the Constant Astigmatism equation can be replicated infinitely many times starting from any initial solution by the formulas

$$x^{(1)} = \frac{xu}{x^2u - 1}, \quad t^{(1)} = \eta, \quad u^{(1)} = \frac{(x^2u - 1)^2}{u}; \quad (22)$$

$$x^{(-1)} = \xi, \quad t^{(-1)} = \frac{t}{t^2 - u}, \quad u^{(-1)} = \frac{u}{(t^2 - u)^2}, \quad (23)$$

where

$$-d\eta = xu_t dx + \left(x \frac{u_x}{u^2} + \frac{1}{u} + x^2\right) dt, \quad d\xi = (tu_t - u - t^2) dx + t \frac{u_x}{u^2} dt. \quad (24)$$

Combination of these two transformations gives an infinite series of particular solutions in a general case. In this Section we consider replication of particular solutions starting from the solution constructed in the previous Section. Without loss of generality, we consider the first such a particular solution determined by (19), (20), i.e.

$$u = (w + t)^2,$$

where $w(x, t)$ is a solution of the algebraic equation

$$x = \frac{1}{t + w} - h(w). \quad (25)$$

Then the functions η, ξ (see (24)) can be found in quadratures, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} t^{(1)} &\equiv \eta = 2h(w) - wh^2(w) + \int h^2(w)dw - h^2(w)t \\ x^{(-1)} &\equiv \xi = -\frac{w^2}{t + w} + 2w + \int w^2 h'(w)dw, \end{aligned}$$

while (22) leads to a first iteration

$$u^{(1)} = (t^{(1)} + w^{(1)})^2,$$

where $w^{(1)}(x^{(1)}, t^{(1)})$ is a solution of the algebraic equation (cf. (25))

$$x^{(1)} = \frac{1}{t^{(1)} + w^{(1)}} - h^{(1)}(w^{(1)}). \quad (26)$$

In this case

$$t \equiv \eta^{(1)} = 2h^{(1)}(w^{(1)}) - w^{(1)}[h^{(1)}(w^{(1)})]^2 + \int [h^{(1)}(w^{(1)})]^2 dw^{(1)} - [h^{(1)}(w^{(1)})]^2 t^{(1)},$$

where

$$w^{(1)} = - \int h^2(w)dw, \quad h^{(1)}(w^{(1)}) = \frac{1}{h(w)}, \quad w = - \int [h^{(1)}(w^{(1)})]^2 dw^{(1)}.$$

Meanwhile, transformation (23) leads to a first “negative” iteration

$$u^{(-1)} = (w^{(-1)} + t^{(-1)})^2,$$

where $w^{(-1)}(x^{(-1)}, t^{(-1)})$ is a solution of the algebraic equation (cf. (25) and (26))

$$x^{(-1)} = \frac{1}{t^{(-1)} + w^{(-1)}} - h^{(-1)}(w^{(-1)}).$$

In this case

$$t^{(-1)} = -\frac{t}{w^2 + 2wt}, \quad t = -\frac{t^{(-1)}}{(w^{(-1)})^2 + 2w^{(-1)}t^{(-1)}},$$

where

$$h^{(-1)}(w^{(-1)}) = - \int w^2 h'(w)dw, \quad w^{(-1)} = w^{-1}.$$

Thus, we see that both transformations $(x, t, u) \rightarrow (x^{(1)}, t^{(1)}, u^{(1)})$ and $(x, t, u) \rightarrow (x^{(-1)}, t^{(-1)}, u^{(-1)})$ preserve the class of solutions (see Remark 2 in the previous Section) which cannot be associated with any solutions of the Sine-Gordon equation, i.e. all such iterated solutions are solutions of reduced equation (14). The above formulas just allow to connect an infinite set of solutions determined by different expressions $h(w)$.

5 Conclusion

It is well known that integrable systems conditionally can be split on two wide classes: S and C integrable systems. Usually, we understand that C integrable systems are linearizable systems by appropriate transformations. General solutions of C integrable systems can be expressed explicitly, and these solutions are parameterized by arbitrary functions. S integrable systems possess infinitely many particular solutions which are parameterized by sufficiently many arbitrary constants in general. Also, we know that some S integrable systems can be degenerated (for instance, the Sinh-Gordon equation $u_{xt} = c_1 e^u + c_2 e^{-u}$ in a particular case becomes the Liouville equation $u_{xt} = e^u$, whose general solution is parameterized by two arbitrary functions of a single variable; see other detail in [16]). In this paper we considered Constant Astigmatism equation (4), which is integrable by the Inverse Scattering Transform (see again detail in [7], [8], [9], [10], [17]) but also admits particular solutions parameterized by an arbitrary function of a single variable even in a nondegenerate case. We hope that particular solutions parameterized by arbitrary functions of a single variable for some other important integrable systems will be found soon.

In the previous Section we consider transformations for the Constant Astigmatism equation, which replicate solutions of its reduced version (14) only. We hope that a more general transformation connecting solutions of (14) and (4) can be found.

Acknowledgement

We thank Michal Marvan for fruitful discussions. MVP was supported by GAČR under the project P201/11/0356, by the RF Government grant #2010-220-01-077, ag. #11.G34.31.0005, by the grant of Presidium of RAS “Fundamental Problems of Nonlinear Dynamics” and by the RFBR grant 11-01-00197. MVP also would like to thank the National Group for Mathematical Physics (GNFM) for funding his visit at the Department of Mathematics and Informatics of University of Messina.

References

- [1] *C. Curró, D. Fusco, N. Manganaro*, A reduction procedure for generalized Riemann problems with application to nonlinear transmission lines, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, **44** No. 33 (2011) 335205, doi:10.1088/1751-8113/44/33/335205.

- [2] *C. Curró, D. Fusco, N. Manganaro*, Differential constraints and exact solution to Riemann problems for a traffic flow model, *Acta Appl Math.*, **122** No. 1 (2012) 167-178, doi: 10.1007/s10440-012-9735-x.
- [3] *C. Curró, N. Manganaro*, Riemann problems and exact solution to a traffic flow model, *J. Math. Phys.* **54** (2013) 071503, doi: 10.1063/1.4813473.
- [4] *D. Fusco, N. Manganaro*, Riemann invariants-like solutions for a class of rate-type materials, *Acta Mechanica*, **105** (1-4) , 23-32 (1994) doi: 10.1007/BF01183939.
- [5] *D. Fusco, N. Manganaro*, A method for determining exact-solutions to a class of nonlinear model based on introduction of differential constraints, *J. Math. Phys.* **35** (7), 3659-3669 (1994), doi: 10.1063/1.530439.
- [6] *A. Hlaváč, M. Marvan*, Some results concerning the constant astigmatism equation, arXiv:1206.0321.
- [7] *A. Hlaváč, M. Marvan*, Another integrable case in two-dimensional plasticity, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **46** (2013) 045203.
- [8] *A. Hlaváč, M. Marvan*, A reciprocal transformation for the constant astigmatism equation, arXiv:1111.2027.
- [9] *H. Baran, M. Marvan*, Classification of integrable Weingarten surfaces possessing an $sl(2)$ -valued zero curvature representation, *Nonlinearity* **23** (2010) 2577-2597.
- [10] *H. Baran, M. Marvan*, On integrability of Weingarten surfaces: a forgotten class, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **42** (2009) 404007.
- [11] *N.N. Janenko*, Compatibility theory and methods of integration of systems of nonlinear partial differential equation, *Proc. of the Fourth All-Union Math. Cong. (Leningrad)* (Leningrad: Nauka) (1964) 247-252.
- [12] *O. Kaptsov*, Determining equations and differential constraints, *Nonlinear Mathematical Physics*, **2** No. 3-4 (1995) 283-291.
- [13] *N. Manganaro, S.V. Meleshko*, Reduction procedure and generalized simple waves for systems written in the Riemann Variables, *Nonlinear Dynamics*, **30** No. 1 (2002) 87-102.

- [14] *S.V. Meleshko*, DP-conditions and the problem of adjoinment different DP-solutions to each other, *Chisl. Metody Mech. Splosh. Sredy*, **11** (1980) 96-109.
- [15] *S.V. Meleshko, V.P. Shapeev*, An application of the differential constraints method for the two-dimensional equations of gas dynamics, *Prikl. Matem. Mech.*, **63 (6)**, 909-916 (1999). (English transl. in *J. Appl. Maths. Mechs*, **63 (6)**, 885-891).
- [16] *M.V. Pavlov*, The Gurevich-Zybin system. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **38** (2005) 3823-3840.
- [17] *M.V. Pavlov, S.A. Zykov*, Lagrangian and Hamiltonian structures for the constant astigmatism equation, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **46** (2013) 395203.
- [18] *V.E. Zakharov, S.V. Manakov*, Exact theory of the resonant interaction of wave trains in nonlinear media. Preprint. Novosibirsk. 1974.