

# Anomalous quartic $WW\gamma\gamma$ and $ZZ\gamma\gamma$ couplings in $\gamma p$ collision at the LHC

A. Senol\*

*Kastamonu University, Department of Physics, 37100, Kastamonu, Turkey*

## Abstract

We analyze the anomalous quartic gauge boson couplings  $WW\gamma\gamma$  and  $ZZ\gamma\gamma$ , described by dimension-6 effective quartic Lagrangian at the LHC. The sensitivities to anomalous quartic gauge couplings  $a_{0,c}^{W,Z}/\Lambda^2$  by examining the two different photon-induced processes  $pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pW\gamma qX$  and  $pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pZZqX$  with  $W$  and  $Z$ s decaying leptonically are investigated. We show that  $\gamma p$  mode of photon-induced reactions at the LHC are able to probe these couplings to the order of  $10^{-6}$ - $10^{-7}$   $\text{GeV}^{-2}$  at 95% confidence level with  $\sqrt{s} = 14$  TeV and for proton-proton luminosities in the range of 30-200  $\text{fb}^{-1}$ .

PACS numbers: 13.85.Hd, 12.15.-y, 12.60.Cn

---

\*Electronic address: asenol@kastamonu.edu.tr

## I. INTRODUCTION

The structure of triple and quartic interactions of the gauge bosons in the electroweak sector of the Standard Model (SM) are represented by the non-Abelian  $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$  local gauge symmetry. Possible deviations of the triple and quartic gauge boson couplings from SM predictions within the experimental precision can give valuable information about new physics beyond the SM. A simple way to parameterize these new physics effects at higher energies is to assume that the SM is an effective theory at low energies. Genuine quartic gauge couplings arise from effective operators which do not lead to any trilinear gauge boson couplings. Therefore, the SM can be extended via the trilinear gauge couplings that are equal to their SM values while quartic gauge couplings are modified by genuine anomalous interactions. In this way, quartic gauge boson couplings can be constrained independently of the bounds on the anomalous trilinear vertices. The two independent C and P conserving dimension-6 effective quartic Lagrangian operators involving at least two photons that give rise to genuine anomalous quartic couplings imposing local  $U(1)_{EM}$  and custodial  $SU(2)_{Weak}$  symmetry are [1, 2]

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \frac{-e^2 a_0^W}{8 \Lambda^2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} W^{+\alpha} W_{\alpha}^{-} - \frac{e^2}{16 \cos^2 \theta_W} \frac{a_0^Z}{\Lambda^2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} Z^{\alpha} Z_{\alpha} \quad (1)$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_c = \frac{-e^2 a_c^W}{16 \Lambda^2} F_{\mu\alpha} F^{\mu\beta} (W^{+\alpha} W_{\beta}^{-} + W^{-\alpha} W_{\beta}^{+}) - \frac{e^2}{16 \cos^2 \theta_W} \frac{a_c^Z}{\Lambda^2} F_{\mu\alpha} F^{\mu\beta} Z^{\alpha} Z_{\beta}, \quad (2)$$

where  $W_{\alpha}^{\pm}$  is the  $W^{\pm}$  boson field,  $F_{\mu\nu}$  is the tensor for electromagnetic field strength,  $a_0^{W(Z)}$  and  $a_c^{W(Z)}$  are the dimensionless anomalous coupling constants of W (Z) parts of the Lagrangian, and  $\Lambda$  is interpreted as the energy scale of the new physics. The anomalous couplings are zero in the SM.

The interaction Lagrangians  $\mathcal{L}_0$  and  $\mathcal{L}_c$  generate anomalous contributions to two  $WW\gamma\gamma$  vertices as given by [3]

$$i \frac{2\pi\alpha}{\Lambda^2} a_0^W g_{\mu\nu} [g_{\alpha\beta} (p_1 \cdot p_2) - p_{2\alpha} p_{1\beta}] \quad (3)$$

and

$$i \frac{\pi\alpha}{2\Lambda^2} a_c^W [(p_1 \cdot p_2) (g_{\mu\alpha} g_{\nu\beta} + g_{\mu\beta} g_{\alpha\nu}) + g_{\alpha\beta} (p_{1\mu} p_{2\nu} + p_{2\mu} p_{1\nu}) - p_{1\beta} (g_{\alpha\mu} p_{2\nu} + g_{\alpha\nu} p_{2\mu}) - p_{2\alpha} (g_{\beta\mu} p_{1\nu} + g_{\beta\nu} p_{1\mu})], \quad (4)$$

TABLE I: The 95% C.L. upper limits on anomalous quartic  $WW\gamma\gamma$  and  $ZZ\gamma\gamma$  couplings without form factors.

Parameters [GeV <sup>-2</sup> ]	CMS	D0	OPAL
$a_0^W/\Lambda^2$	$[-4.0 \times 10^{-6}; 4.0 \times 10^{-6}]$	$[-0.00043, 0.00043]$	$[-0.020, -0.020]$
$a_c^W/\Lambda^2$	$[-1.5 \times 10^{-5}, 1.5 \times 10^{-5}]$	$[-0.0015, 0.0015]$	$[-0.052, 0.037]$
$a_0^Z/\Lambda^2$	-	-	$[-0.007, 0.023]$
$a_c^Z/\Lambda^2$	-	-	$[-0.029, 0.029]$

where the fine structure constant is  $\alpha = e^2/(4\pi)$ ,  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are the four-momenta of photons. The anomalous  $ZZ\gamma\gamma$  vertex is derived by multiplying above vertex functions Eq. (3) and Eq. (4) by  $1/\cos^2 \theta_W$  and with the replacement  $W \rightarrow Z$ . The  $ZZ\gamma\gamma$  vertex does not occur in SM at tree level.

All anomalous couplings in the effective Lagrangian Eqs. (1) and (2) cause tree-level unitarity violation at high energies. The standard procedure to regularise the cross section is to employ a dipole form factor:

$$a_{0,c}^{W,Z}(\hat{s}) = \frac{a_{0,c}^{W,Z}}{(1 + \hat{s}/\Lambda_{cutoff}^2)^2} \quad (5)$$

where  $\hat{s}$  is the partonic center of mass energy and  $\Lambda_{cutoff}$  is the scale of new physics. We obtained the limits on anomalous couplings to compare our results with the scenario  $\Lambda_{cutoff} \rightarrow \infty$ . The maximal  $\Lambda_{cutoff}$  is calculated from the given value of anomalous couplings which can be in form factors. To protect the unitarity, in this study we calculated the maximal  $\Lambda_{cutoff}$  to be about 3 TeV when order of  $10^{-7}$  is taken for  $a_{0,c}^{W,Z}/\Lambda^2$ .

The anomalous  $a_0^{W,Z}/\Lambda^2$  and  $a_c^{W,Z}/\Lambda^2$  couplings were experimentally limited at the 95% C.L. by the OPAL collaboration from measurements of  $WW\gamma\gamma$ ,  $q\bar{q}\gamma\gamma$ , and  $\nu\bar{\nu}\gamma\gamma$  production at CERN LEP collider [4]. Recently, the experimental 95% C.L. limits on anomalous couplings  $a_{0,c}^W$  have been provided by the D0 [5] collaboration at the Fermilab Tevatron from events with dielectron and missing energy, and by the CMS [6] collaboration at CERN LHC from exclusive two-photon production of  $W^+W^-$ . All limits are given in Table I.

LHC will allow probing of new physics via photon-induced interactions at energies beyond the electroweak energy scale by allowing the use of complementary information to the parton-parton collisions at the LHC by adding forward proton detectors [7]. For instance, the use of forward proton tagging for the measurements of outgoing scattered proton momenta,

would provide spin-parity information about exclusively produced particles in the photon-induced processes [8]. Photon-induced processes include a low virtuality quasi-real photon which is scattered with small angle from the beam pipe. Therefore, the photon emitting intact proton is scattered with small angle and thus escapes from the central detectors of CMS and ATLAS without being detected. This intact scattered protons in the final state leave a characteristic sign in the forward detectors which are suggested to be located at distances of 220 m and 420 m from the interaction point according to the forward physics program of CMS and ATLAS collaborations [9–11]. The photon-induced reactions provide a suitable platform of searching for photonic-quartic anomalous gauge couplings thanks to these distinctive experimental features. At the LHC, photonic quartic  $WW\gamma\gamma$  and  $ZZ\gamma\gamma$  vertices are probed in photon-induced reactions, i.e.  $pp \rightarrow p\gamma\gamma p \rightarrow pW^+W^-p$  [12, 13] for  $WW\gamma\gamma$  couplings and  $pp \rightarrow p\gamma\gamma p \rightarrow pZZp$  [13, 14],  $pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow p\gamma qZX$  [15] for  $ZZ\gamma\gamma$  couplings which were elaborately studied in the literature. Furthermore, particularly well suited phenomenological studies of anomalous vertices  $WW\gamma\gamma$  and  $ZZ\gamma\gamma$  have already been performed at the LHC via traditional pp reactions [16–21],  $e^+e^-$  colliders [1, 22–30] and its  $\gamma\gamma$  [2, 31–33],  $e\gamma$  [3, 34] modes. In this work, we study the anomalous quartic gauge boson couplings  $WW\gamma\gamma$  and  $ZZ\gamma\gamma$  by examining the two different photon-induced processes which are  $pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pW\gamma qX$  and  $pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pZZqX$  at the LHC.

## II. THE CROSS SECTIONS FOR THE PRODUCTION OF $W\gamma$ AND $ZZ$ IN $\gamma p$ COLLISION

The tree-level SM Feynman diagrams of the subprocess  $\gamma q \rightarrow W\gamma q'$  in the main reaction  $pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pW\gamma qX$  are shown in Fig. 1. The first of these diagrams receive contributions from the anomalous  $WW\gamma\gamma$  couplings. In the case of examining anomalous  $ZZ\gamma\gamma$  couplings, we consider the subprocess  $\gamma q \rightarrow ZZq$  of the main reaction  $pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pZZqX$ . The anomalous  $ZZ\gamma\gamma$  vertex contributions are shown in the first diagrams of Fig. 2, whereas the others depict the tree-level SM Feynman diagrams. All calculations were evaluated using the tree-level event generator CalcHEP [35], by adding the vertex functions Eqs. (3) and (4). The total cross sections for  $pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pW\gamma qX$  and  $pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pZZqX$  processes can be obtained by integrating the cross sections for the subprocess  $\gamma q \rightarrow W\gamma q'$  (where  $q = u, c, \bar{d}, \bar{s}$  and  $q' = d, s, \bar{u}, \bar{c}$ ) and  $\gamma q \rightarrow ZZq$  (where  $q = u, \bar{u}, d, \bar{d}, c, \bar{c}, s, \bar{s}, b, \bar{b}$ ) with the




FIG. 1: Feynman graphs for the tree-level subprocess  $\gamma q \rightarrow W \gamma q'$  (where  $q = u, c, \bar{d}, \bar{s}$  and  $q' = d, s, \bar{u}, \bar{c}$ ).




FIG. 2: Feynman graphs for the tree-level subprocess  $\gamma q \rightarrow Z Z q$  (where  $q = u, \bar{u}, d, \bar{d}, c, \bar{c}, s, \bar{s}, b, \bar{b}$ ).

photon and quark distributions:

$$\sigma \left( \begin{array}{l} pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pW\gamma qX \\ pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pZZqX \end{array} \right) = \int_{Q_{min}^2}^{Q_{max}^2} dQ^2 \int_{x_{1min}}^{x_{1max}} dx_1 \int_{x_{2min}}^{x_{2max}} dx_2 \left( \frac{dN_\gamma}{dx_1 dQ^2} \right) \times \left( \frac{dN_q}{dx_2} \right) \hat{\sigma} \left( \begin{array}{l} \gamma q \rightarrow W\gamma q \\ \gamma q \rightarrow ZZq \end{array} \right) (\hat{s}) \quad (6)$$

where  $x_1 = \frac{E_\gamma}{E}$  (here  $E$  denotes the energy of the incoming proton beam and  $E_\gamma$  is the photon energy),  $x_2$  is the momentum fraction of the proton's momentum carried by the quark,  $\frac{dN_q}{dx_2}$  is the quark distribution function of the proton and  $\frac{dN_\gamma}{dx_1 dQ^2}$  is the photon spectrum in equivalent photon approximation (EPA). In numerical calculations, we use CTEQ6L [36] for parton distribution functions and the EPA [37–39] embedded in CalcHEP for the photon spectra. The photon spectrum of virtuality  $Q^2$  and energy  $E_\gamma$  in EPA is defined by the following formula [37, 39]:

$$\frac{dN_\gamma}{dE_\gamma dQ^2} = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \frac{1}{E_\gamma Q^2} \left[ \left(1 - \frac{E_\gamma}{E}\right) \left(1 - \frac{Q_{min}^2}{Q^2}\right) F_E + \frac{E_\gamma^2}{2E^2} F_M \right] \quad (7)$$

where  $Q_{min}^2$  denotes the photon minimum virtuality is given by

$$Q_{min}^2 = \frac{m_p^2 E_\gamma^2}{E(E - E_\gamma)}$$

here,  $m_p$  is the mass of the incoming proton. The magnetic and electric form factors  $F_M$  and  $F_E$  are defined by

$$F_E = \frac{4m_p^2 G_E^2 + Q^2 G_M^2}{4m_p^2 + Q^2}, \quad F_M = G_M^2$$

$$G_E^2 = \frac{G_M^2}{7.78} = \left(1 + \frac{Q^2}{0.71 \text{ GeV}^2}\right)^{-4}$$

In our calculations, we have taken  $Q_{max}^2 = 2 \text{ GeV}^2$  for which the contribution to the integral above this value is very small.

The total cross sections of the processes  $pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pW\gamma qX$  and  $pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pZZqX$  are given in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 as functions of anomalous  $a_{0,c}^W/\Lambda^2$  and  $a_{0,c}^Z/\Lambda^2$  couplings at the LHC with  $\sqrt{s} = 14 \text{ TeV}$ . In these figures, the cross sections depending on the anomalous quartic gauge coupling parameter were obtained by varying only one of the anomalous couplings at a time while the other was fixed to zero.




FIG. 3: The total cross sections depending on anomalous  $a_0^W/\Lambda^2$  and  $a_c^W/\Lambda^2$  couplings for the process  $pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pW\gamma qX$  at the LHC with  $\sqrt{s}=14$  TeV.




FIG. 4: The total cross sections as function of anomalous  $a_0^Z/\Lambda^2$  and  $a_c^Z/\Lambda^2$  couplings for the process  $pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pZZqX$  at the LHC with  $\sqrt{s}=14$  TeV.

### III. SENSITIVITY TO THE ANOMALOUS $WW\gamma\gamma$ AND $ZZ\gamma\gamma$ COUPLINGS

The bounds of anomalous  $a_0^{W,Z}/\Lambda^2$  and  $a_c^{W,Z}/\Lambda^2$  couplings at 95% C.L. were obtained by applying one and two-dimensional  $\chi^2$  tests without considering systematic errors.  $\chi^2$  is

TABLE II: 95% C.L. constrains on anomalous quartic gauge couplings  $WW\gamma\gamma$  and  $ZZ\gamma\gamma$  parameters  $a_c^{W,Z}/\Lambda^2$  and  $a_0^{W,Z}/\Lambda^2$  at LHC with  $\sqrt{s}=14$  TeV.

$L(\text{fb}^{-1})$	$a_0^W/\Lambda^2(\times 10^{-6} \text{ GeV}^{-2})$	$a_c^W/\Lambda^2(\times 10^{-6} \text{ GeV}^{-2})$	$a_0^Z/\Lambda^2(\times 10^{-7} \text{ GeV}^{-2})$	$a_c^Z/\Lambda^2(\times 10^{-7} \text{ GeV}^{-2})$
30	[-8.67; 8.32]	[-12.71; 12.33]	[-5.82; 5.58]	[-22.62; 21.24]
50	[-7.65; 7.31]	[-11.21; 10.83]	[-5.13; 4.90]	[-19.99; 18.61]
100	[-6.46; 6.12]	[-9.45; 9.08]	[-4.33; 4.10]	[-16.93; 15.54]
200	[-5.46; 5.12]	[-7.98; 7.61]	[-3.66; 3.43]	[-14.35; 12.97]

defined as:

$$\chi^2 = \left( \frac{\sigma_{SM} - \sigma_{AN}}{\sigma_{SM} \delta} \right)^2 \quad (8)$$

where  $\sigma_{AN}$  is the cross section in the presence of anomalous couplings,  $\delta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$  is the statistical error and here  $N$  is the number of events. The number of events for  $pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pW\gamma qX$  is given by  $N = E \times S \times \sigma_{SM} \times L_{int} \times BR(W \rightarrow l\nu)$  where  $E$  is the jet reconstruction efficiency,  $S$  denotes the survival probability factor,  $\sigma_{SM}$  is the corresponding SM background cross section,  $L_{int}$  is the integrated luminosity and  $l = e^-$  or  $\mu^-$ . Similarly, for  $pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pZZqX$  process  $N = S \times E \times \sigma_{SM} \times L_{int} \times BR(Z \rightarrow l\bar{l})^2$ . We also assume  $S = 0.7$  and  $E = 0.6$  for both processes, as in Ref. [7, 15, 40]. A  $p_T^{j,\gamma} > 15$  GeV cut was applied on the transverse momenta of final state photons and jets. We also imposed the pseudorapidity cuts  $|\eta^{j,\gamma}| < 2.5$  on final state photons and jets because pseudorapidity coverage of central detectors of CMS and ATLAS is  $|\eta| < 2.5$ . We do not consider any acceptance for the final state leptons because our calculations do not provide the lepton momenta.

To discern the photoproduction process from the usual proton-proton backgrounds and close the intrinsic  $p_T$  spread of the LHC beams, we apply a  $p_T > 100$  MeV cut on the transverse momentum of outgoing protons that emit photons [9, 39, 41].

The calculated one-dimensional limits (with the other anomalous coupling fixed to zero) on anomalous quartic gauge couplings  $a_c^{W,Z}/\Lambda^2$  and  $a_0^{W,Z}/\Lambda^2$  at 95% C.L. sensitivity for some integrated luminosities are given in Table II.

Our obtained limits on  $a_0^{W,Z}/\Lambda^2$  and  $a_c^{W,Z}/\Lambda^2$  are approximately four orders of magnitude more restrictive than the best limits obtained from OPAL [4] as can be seen from the comparison of Table I and Table II. On the other hand, the bounds for  $a_0^W/\Lambda^2$  and  $a_c^W/\Lambda^2$

from D0 collaboration at Tevatron [5] are worse than our values by a factor of order two while the CMS limits at  $\sqrt{s}=7$  TeV with  $L_{int}=5$  fb $^{-1}$  [6] have similar sensitivity as our limits.

In addition, we present 95% C.L. contours in the  $a_0^W/\Lambda^2$ - $a_c^W/\Lambda^2$  plane in Fig.5 and the  $a_0^Z/\Lambda^2$ - $a_c^Z/\Lambda^2$  plane in Fig.6 at  $\sqrt{s}=14$  TeV for various integrated luminosities. As we can see from Fig.5, the best limits on  $a_0^W/\Lambda^2$  and  $a_c^W/\Lambda^2$  through the reactions  $pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pW\gamma qX$  are  $[-6.5 \times 10^{-6}; 6.0 \times 10^{-6}]$  GeV $^{-2}$  and  $[-9.5 \times 10^{-6}; 8.5 \times 10^{-6}]$  GeV $^{-2}$ , respectively for  $L_{int}=200$  fb $^{-1}$  at the LHC. According to Fig.6, the attainable bounds on  $a_0^Z/\Lambda^2$  and  $a_c^Z/\Lambda^2$  via reactions  $pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pZZqX$  are  $[-1.0 \times 10^{-6}; 1.0 \times 10^{-6}]$  GeV $^{-2}$  and  $[-4.0 \times 10^{-6}; 3.5 \times 10^{-6}]$  GeV $^{-2}$ , respectively.




FIG. 5: 95% C.L. contours for anomalous  $a_0^W/\Lambda^2$  and  $a_c^W/\Lambda^2$  couplings for the process  $pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pW\gamma qX$  at the LHC with  $\sqrt{s}=14$  TeV.




FIG. 6: 95% C.L. contours for anomalous  $a_0^Z/\Lambda^2$  and  $a_c^Z/\Lambda^2$  couplings for the process  $pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pZZqX$  at the LHC with  $\sqrt{s}=14$  TeV.

#### IV. CONCLUSIONS

The high energy photon-photon or photon-proton interactions at the LHC exhibit a suitable platform to probe genuine anomalous quartic gauge couplings. Especially, the photon-photon reactions can provide much higher sensitivity than partonic reactions due to clean experimental conditions and mostly free from QCD backgrounds for anomalous quartic gauge couplings. On the other hand, photon-proton reactions have higher luminosities and higher center of mass energies compared to photon-photon reactions. Since the anomalous quartic gauge boson couplings involve higher luminosity and higher center of mass energies, it is more proper to study them in photon-proton reactions. In this work, we have performed an analysis of the  $pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pW\gamma qX$  and  $pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pZZqX$  processes with  $W$  and  $Z$ s decaying leptonically in order to assess the sensitivities to anomalous quartic gauge couplings  $a_{0,c}^{W,Z}/\Lambda^2$  by using dimension-6 effective quartic Lagrangian at LHC assuming triple gauge

boson couplings  $WW\gamma$  to be at their SM values. We showed that our limits are several orders of magnitude beyond the best limits obtained from LEP [4] and Tevatron [5]. Our limits have similar sensitivity with those obtained from CMS [6] at  $\sqrt{s}=7$  TeV with  $L_{int}=5$  fb $^{-1}$ . The results of  $pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pW\gamma qX$  and  $pp \rightarrow p\gamma p \rightarrow pZZqX$  processes in our study are less sensitive than the results of ref. [12] which are obtained by the fully exclusive production.

## Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Abant Izzet Baysal University Department of Physics where this study was carried out, for their hospitality and Orhan Cakir for useful comments and discussions.

- 
- [1] G. Belanger and F. Boudjema, Phys. Lett. B **288**, 201 (1992).
  - [2] G. Belanger and F. Boudjema, Phys. Lett. B **288**, 210 (1992).
  - [3] O. J. P. Eboli, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia and S. F. Novaes, Nucl. Phys. B **411**, 381 (1994) [hep-ph/9306306].
  - [4] G. Abbiendi *et al.* [OPAL Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **70**, 032005 (2004) [hep-ex/0402021].
  - [5] V. M. Abazov *et al.* [D0 Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **88**, 012005 (2013) [arXiv:1305.1258 [hep-ex]].
  - [6] S. Chatrchyan *et al.* [CMS Collaboration], JHEP **1307**, 116 (2013) [arXiv:1305.5596 [hep-ex]].
  - [7] J. de Favereau de Jeneret, V. Lemaitre, Y. Liu, S. Olyn, T. Pierzchala, K. Piotrkowski, X. Rouby and N. Schul *et al.*, arXiv:0908.2020 [hep-ph].
  - [8] S. Heinemeyer, V. A. Khoze, M. G. Ryskin, W. J. Stirling, M. Tasevsky and G. Weiglein, Eur. Phys. J. C **53**, 231 (2008) [arXiv:0708.3052 [hep-ph]].
  - [9] M. G. Albrow, T. D. Coughlin and J. R. Forshaw, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **65**, 149 (2010) [arXiv:1006.1289 [hep-ph]].
  - [10] M. Tasevsky, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **179-180**, 187 (2008).
  - [11] M. Tasevsky, arXiv:1407.8332 [hep-ph].
  - [12] T. Pierzchala and K. Piotrkowski, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **179-180**, 257 (2008)

- [arXiv:0807.1121 [hep-ph]].
- [13] E. Chapon, C. Royon and O. Kepka, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 074003 (2010) [arXiv:0912.5161 [hep-ph]].
  - [14] R. S. Gupta, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 014006 (2012) [arXiv:1111.3354 [hep-ph]].
  - [15] I. Sahin and B. Sahin, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 115001 (2012) [arXiv:1211.3100 [hep-ph]].
  - [16] A. S. Belyaev, O. J. P. Eboli, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, J. K. Mizukoshi, S. F. Novaes and I. Zacharov, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 015022 (1999) [hep-ph/9805229].
  - [17] P. J. Dervan, A. Signer, W. J. Stirling and A. Werthenbach, J. Phys. G **26**, 607 (2000) [hep-ph/0002175].
  - [18] O. J. P. Eboli, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, S. M. Lietti and S. F. Novaes, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 075008 (2001) [hep-ph/0009262].
  - [19] O. J. P. Eboli, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia and J. K. Mizukoshi, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 073005 (2006) [hep-ph/0606118].
  - [20] O. J. P. Eboli, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia and S. M. Lietti, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 095005 (2004) [hep-ph/0310141].
  - [21] D. Yang, Y. Mao, Q. Li, S. Liu, Z. Xu and K. Ye, JHEP **1304**, 108 (2013) [arXiv:1211.1641 [hep-ph]].
  - [22] G. Abu Leil and W. J. Stirling, J. Phys. G **21**, 517 (1995) [hep-ph/9406317].
  - [23] T. Han, H. -J. He and C. P. Yuan, Phys. Lett. B **422**, 294 (1998) [hep-ph/9711429].
  - [24] E. Boos, H. J. He, W. Kilian, A. Pukhov, C. P. Yuan and P. M. Zerwas, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 1553 (1998) [hep-ph/9708310].
  - [25] E. Boos, H. J. He, W. Kilian, A. Pukhov, C. P. Yuan and P. M. Zerwas, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 077901 (2000) [hep-ph/9908409].
  - [26] W. J. Stirling and A. Werthenbach, Eur. Phys. J. C **14**, 103 (2000) [hep-ph/9903315].
  - [27] G. Belanger, F. Boudjema, Y. Kurihara, D. Perret-Gallix and A. Semenov, Eur. Phys. J. C **13**, 283 (2000) [hep-ph/9908254].
  - [28] A. Denner, S. Dittmaier, M. Roth and D. Wackerroth, Eur. Phys. J. C **20**, 201 (2001) [hep-ph/0104057].
  - [29] G. Montagna, M. Moretti, O. Nicrosini, M. Osmo and F. Piccinini, Phys. Lett. B **515**, 197 (2001) [hep-ph/0105120].
  - [30] A. Gutierrez-Rodriguez, C. G. Honorato, J. Montano and M. A. Prez, arXiv:1304.7410 [hep-

- ph].
- [31] O. J. P. Eboli, M. B. Magro, P. G. Mercadante and S. F. Novaes, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 15 (1995) [hep-ph/9503432].
  - [32] O. J. P. Eboli and J. K. Mizukoshi, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 075011 (2001) [hep-ph/0105238].
  - [33] I. Sahin, J. Phys. **36**, 075007 (2009) [arXiv:0807.4777 [hep-ph]].
  - [34] S. Atag and I. Sahin, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 073003 (2007) [hep-ph/0703201 [HEP-PH]].
  - [35] A. Belyaev, N. D. Christensen and A. Pukhov, arXiv:1207.6082 [hep-ph].
  - [36] J. Pumplin, D. R. Stump, J. Huston, H. L. Lai, P. M. Nadolsky and W. K. Tung, JHEP **0207**, 012 (2002) [hep-ph/0201195].
  - [37] V. M. Budnev, I. F. Ginzburg, G. V. Meledin and V. G. Serbo, Phys. Rept. **15**, 181 (1975).
  - [38] I. F. Ginzburg, G. L. Kotkin, V. G. Serbo and V. I. Telnov, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. **205**, 47 (1983).
  - [39] K. Piotrkowski, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 071502 (2001) [hep-ex/0009065].
  - [40] V. A. Khoze, A. D. Martin and M. G. Ryskin, Eur. Phys. J. C **24**, 459 (2002) [hep-ph/0201301].
  - [41] B. E. Cox, A. De Roeck, V. A. Khoze, T. Pierzchala, M. G. Ryskin, I. Nasteva, W. J. Stirling and M. Tasevsky, Eur. Phys. J. C **45**, 401 (2006) [hep-ph/0505240].