A MODEL STRUCTURE ON THE CATEGORY OF
DIFFEORELOGICAL SPACES
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Abstract. We construct on the category of diffeological spaces a Quillen
model structure having smooth weak homotopy equivalences as the class
of weak equivalences. It is shown that our model structure on the cate-
gory of diffeological spaces is Quillen equivalent to the standard Quillen
model structure on the category of topological spaces, with weak homo-
topy equivalences as the class of weak equivalences.

1. Introduction

The theory of model category was developed by Quillen in [9] and [10]. By
definition, a model category is just a category with three specified classes
of morphism, called fibrations, cofibrations and weak equivalences, which
satisfy several axioms that are deliberately reminiscent of typical properties
appearing in homotopy theory of topological spaces. It is shown in [8, 8.3]
that the category Top of topological spaces has, so called, the Quillen model
structure, under which a map $f: X \to Y$ is defined to be

1. a weak equivalence if $f$ is a weak homotopy equivalence [13, p.404],
2. a fibration if $f$ is a Serre fibration [11], and
3. a cofibration if $f$ has the left lifting property with respect to trivial
fibrations.

In this article we prove that the category Diff of diffeological spaces
has a Quillen model category structure which is Quillen equivalent to the
standard Quillen model structure on Top. When we prove that Top is the
model category under the requirements stated above, we need knowledge of
homotopy groups and cell complexes. Likewise, we need to define and study
smooth homotopy groups and smooth version of cell complexes.

The paper is organized as follows. We briefly review in Section 2 the basic
properties of diffeorelogical spaces, following the treatment given by Iglesias-
Zemmour [6].

In Section 3 we introduce smooth homotopy groups $\pi_n(X, x_0)$ and relative
homotopy groups $\pi_n(X, A, x_0)$ as the sets of homotopy classes of smooth
maps $(I^n, \partial I^n) \to (X, x_0)$ and $(I^n, \partial I^n, J^{n-1}) \to (X, A, x_0)$, respectively.
Here, $I^n$ is the standard $n$-cube, $\partial I^n$ is the boundary of $I^n$, and $J^{n-1}$ is its
subset $\partial I^{n-1} \times I \cup I^{n-1} \times \{1\}$. Our definition of homotopy groups is different
from but turns out to be equivalent to, the one given by [6]. Due to the
difficulty of finding a smooth retraction of $I^n$ onto $J^{n-1}$, the treatment of
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smooth homotopy groups slightly harder compared to the case of continuous homotopy groups. Still, basic properties of continuous homotopy groups mostly hold in the smooth case; in particular, there exists a homotopy long exact sequence associated with a pair of diffeological spaces.

In Section 4 we introduce the notion of a weak fibration and investigate its homotopical behavior with respect to cubical cell complexes. Briefly, weak fibrations are characterized by some sort of the right lifting property with respect to the inclusions $L^{n-1} \to I^n$, where $L^{n-1} = \partial I^{n-1} \times I \cup I^{n-1} \times \{0\}$. Although we cannot establish homotopy extension property and covering homotopy extension property in its full generality, we provide a limited version of them (Theorems 4.5 and 4.6), which are more than enough to construct commonly used tools in homotopy theory such as homotopy long exact sequence for fibrations and change of basepoint homomorphism.

Based on the results obtained in preceding sections, we prove in Section 5 that $\text{Diff}$ has a model structure in which a weak equivalence is a weak homotopy equivalence. Unlike the case of topological spaces, our model structure is not cofibrantly generated, so we have to straightforwardly verify the axioms, following the argument given in [3].

In Section 6 we show that our model structure on $\text{Diff}$ is left Quillen equivalent to the standard Quillen model structure on $\text{Top}$. The proof boils down to showing that the unit $X \to DTX$, associated with the adjunction between $\text{Diff}$ and $\text{Top}$, is a weak equivalence for a certain type of smooth cell complexes $X$, which in turn is derived from the classical Whitney approximation theorem on smooth manifolds.

Finally, in Section 7 we compare the model structures of $\text{Top}$ and $\text{Diff}$ with the model structure on the category $\text{NG}$ of numerically generated spaces studied in [4]. It turns out that the model structures on $\text{Top}$, $\text{NG}$, and $\text{Diff}$ are Quillen equivalent to each other. We also observe that there exist diffeological spaces which have smooth homotopy groups not isomorphic to their continuous homotopy groups, and hence do not have the smooth homotopy type of a topological space. The Irrational torus is a typical example of such spaces.

Throughout the paper, composition of maps is denoted in the form $g \circ f$; but the abbreviated notation $gf$ is also used in the complicated formulas.

The authors wish to thank Dan Christensen and Hiroshi Kihara for helpful discussions while preparing the article.

2. Diffeological spaces

In this section we recall basic facts about diffeological spaces. For details see [6].

A diffeological space consists of a set $X$ together with a family $D$ of maps from open subsets of Euclidean spaces into $X$ satisfying the following conditions:

(Covering) any constant parameterization $\mathbb{R}^n \to X$ belongs to $D$;

(Locality) a parameterization $P: U \to X$ belongs to $D$ if every point $u$ of $U$ has a neighborhood $W$ such that $P|W: W \to X$ belongs to $D$; and
(Smooth compatibility) if \( P: U \to X \) belongs to \( D \), then so does the composite map \( P \circ Q: V \to X \) for any smooth map \( Q: V \to U \) between open subsets of Euclidean spaces.

We call \( D \) a diffeology of \( X \), and each member of \( D \) a plot of \( X \). Throughout the paper, \( \mathbb{R}^n \) denotes the \( n \)-dimensional Euclidean space equipped with the standard diffeology consisting of all smooth parameterizations of \( \mathbb{R}^n \).

A map between diffeological spaces \( f: X \to Y \) is called smooth if for every plot \( P: U \to X \) of \( X \) the composite map \( f \circ P: U \to Y \) is a plot of \( Y \). In particular, if \( D \) and \( D' \) are diffeologies on a set \( X \), then the identity map \( (X, D) \to (X, D') \) is smooth if and only if \( D \subset D' \) holds. In that case, we say that \( D \) is finer than \( D' \), or \( D' \) is coarser than \( D \). A smooth map \( f: X \to Y \) is called a diffeomorphism if \( f \) is bijective and its inverse \( f^{-1} \) is also smooth. If there is a diffeomorphism from \( X \) to \( Y \) then we say that \( X \) and \( Y \) are diffeomorphic and write \( X \cong Y \).

Suppose \( (X, D) \) is a diffeological space. Then to any map \( f \) from a set \( A \) to \( X \) there exists a coarsest diffeology \( f^*D \) on \( A \) such that \( f: A \to X \) is smooth. The diffeology \( f^*D \) is called a pullback of \( D \) along \( f \). A smooth injection \( i: Z \to X \) is called an induction if \( Z \) has the diffeology \( i^*D \). In particular, if \( i \) is an inclusion of a subset then \( Z \) is called a subspace of \( X \).

Dually, to any map \( g \) from \( X \) to a set \( C \) there exists a finest diffeology \( g_*D \) on \( C \) such that \( g: X \to C \) is smooth. The diffeology \( g_*D \) is called a pushforward of \( D \) along \( g \). A smooth surjection \( p: X \to Z \) is called a subduction if \( Z \) has the diffeology \( p_*D \). In this case, \( Z \) is called a quotient space of \( X \). The following propositions are immediate from the definition.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let \( f: X \to Y \) be a bijection between diffeological spaces. Then the following are equivalent to each other:

1. The map \( f \) is an induction.
2. The map \( f \) is a subduction.
3. The map \( f \) is a diffeomorphism.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let \( X, Y \) and \( Z \) be diffeological spaces and let \( p: X \to Y \) be a subduction. Then the following hold:

1. A map \( f: Y \to Z \) is smooth if and only if so is \( f \circ p \).
2. A map \( f: Y \to Z \) is a subduction if and only if so is \( f \circ p \).

The class of diffeological spaces together with smooth maps form a category \( \text{Diff} \) which is complete, cocomplete, and is cartesian closed. (See, e.g. [12, Theorem 2.1].) In fact, its cartesian closedness is a consequence of the exponential law described below.

For given diffeological spaces \( X \) and \( Y \), let \( C\infty(X,Y) \) denote the set of smooth maps from \( X \) to \( Y \). Then \( C\infty(X,Y) \) has a diffeology \( D_{X,Y} \) consisting of those parameterizations \( P: U \to C\infty(X,Y) \) such that for every plot \( Q: V \to X \) of \( X \), the composition of \( (P,Q) \) with the evaluation map

\[
U \times V \to C\infty(X,Y) \times X \to Y
\]

is a plot of \( Y \). In other words, \( D_{X,Y} \) is the coarsest diffeology on \( C\infty(X,Y) \) such that the evaluation map \( C\infty(X,Y) \times X \to Y \) is smooth.
Proposition 2.3 ([8 1.59]). Suppose $X$, $Y$ and $Z$ are diffeological spaces. Then the composition

$$C^\infty(Y,Z) \times C^\infty(X,Y) \to C^\infty(X,Z), \quad (g,f) \mapsto g \circ f,$$

is smooth.

For given $f \in C^\infty(X \times Y,Z)$, let us define $\alpha(f) \in C^\infty(X,C^\infty(Y,Z))$ by the formula: $\alpha(f)(x)(y) = f(x,y)$ $(x \in X$, $y \in Y)$. Then we have the following exponential law.

Proposition 2.4 ([8 1.60]). For any $X$, $Y$ and $Z$, the map

$$\alpha: C^\infty(X \times Y,Z) \to C^\infty(X,C^\infty(Y,Z)),$$

which takes $f \in C^\infty(X \times Y,Z)$ to $\alpha(f)$ is a diffeomorphism.

3. Homotopy sets

We introduce homotopy groups for diffeological spaces in a slightly different manner than the one given in [6].

Let $\mathbb{R}$ be the real line equipped with the standard diffeology, and let $I$ be the unit interval $[0,1]$ equipped with the subspace diffeology. Suppose $f_0$, $f_1: X \to Y$ are smooth maps between diffeological spaces. We say that $f_0$ and $f_1$ are homotopic, written $f_0 \simeq f_1$, if there is a smooth map $F: X \times I \to Y$ such that $F(x,0) = f_0(x)$ and $F(x,1) = f_1(x)$ hold for every $x \in X$. Such a smooth map $F$ is called a homotopy between $f_0$ and $f_1$. A map $f: X \to Y$ is called a homotopy equivalence if there is a smooth map $g: Y \to X$ satisfying

$$g \circ f \simeq 1: X \to X, \quad f \circ g \simeq 1: Y \to Y.$$

We say that $X$ and $Y$ are homotopy equivalent, written $X \simeq Y$, if there exists a homotopy equivalence $f: X \to Y$.

We will show that the notion of homotopy introduced above is equivalent to the one given in [6]. Following [8], let $\gamma$ be the smooth function given by $\gamma(t) = 0$ for $t \leq 0$, and $\gamma(t) = \exp(-1/t)$ for $t > 0$, and put

$$\lambda(t) = \frac{\gamma(t)}{\gamma(t) + \gamma(1-t)}.$$ 

Then $\lambda: \mathbb{R} \to I$ is a non-decreasing smooth function satisfying $\lambda(t) = 0$ for $t \leq 0$, $\lambda(t) = 1$ for $1 \leq t$, and $\lambda(1-t) = 1 - \lambda(t)$ for every $t$.

Proposition 3.1. Let $f_0$, $f_1: X \to Y$ be smooth maps. Then $f_0$ and $f_1$ are homotopic if and only if there exists a smooth map $G: X \times \mathbb{R} \to Y$ such that $G(x,0) = f_0(x)$ and $G(x,1) = f_1(x)$ hold for every $x \in X$.

Proof. Suppose there is a smooth map $G: X \times \mathbb{R} \to Y$ such that $G(x,0) = f_0(x)$ and $G(x,1) = f_1(x)$ hold for every $x \in X$. Then the restriction of $G$ to $X \times I$ gives a homotopy $f_0 \simeq f_1$. On the other hand, if there is a homotopy $F: X \times I \to Y$ between $f_0$ and $f_1$ then the composition $G = F \circ (1 \times \lambda)$ is a smooth map $X \times \mathbb{R} \to Y$ satisfying $G(x,0) = f_0(x)$ and $G(x,1) = f_1(x)$. □
Suppose $F$ is a homotopy between $f_0, f_1 : X \to Y$ and $G$ a homotopy between $f_1, f_2 : X \to Y$. Let us define $F \ast G : X \times I \to Y$ by the formula
\[
F \ast G(x,t) = \begin{cases} F(x, \lambda(3t)), & 0 \leq t \leq 1/2, \\ G(x, \lambda(3t - 2)), & 1/2 \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}
\]
Then $F \ast G$ is smooth all over $X \times I$, hence gives a homotopy between $f_0$ and $f_2$. It follows that the relation $\sim$ is an equivalence relation. The resulting equivalence classes are called homotopy classes.

In particular, if $P$ consists of a single point then smooth maps from $P$ to $X$ are just the points of $X$ and their homotopies are smooth paths $I \to X$.

**Definition 3.2.** Given a diffeological space $X$, we denote by $\pi_0 X$ the set of path components of $X$, that is, equivalence classes of points of $X$, where $x$ and $y$ are equivalent if there is a smooth path $\alpha : I \to X$ such that $\alpha(0) = x$ and $\alpha(1) = y$.

For given pairs of diffeological spaces $(X, X_1)$ and $(Y, Y_1)$, we put
\[
[X, X_1 ; Y, Y_1] = \pi_0 C^\infty((X, X_1), (Y, Y_1)),
\]
where $C^\infty((X, X_1), (Y, Y_1))$ is the subspace of $C^\infty(X, Y)$ consisting of maps of pairs $(X, X_1) \to (Y, Y_1)$. Similarly, we put
\[
[X, X_1, X_2 ; Y, Y_1, Y_2] = \pi_0 C^\infty((X, X_1, X_2), (Y, Y_1, Y_2)),
\]
where $C^\infty((X, X_1, X_2), (Y, Y_1, Y_2))$ is the subspace consisting of maps of triples. Clearly, we have $[X, X_1 ; Y, Y_1] = [X, X_1, \emptyset ; Y, Y_1, \emptyset]$.

By the cartesian closedness of $\text{Diff}$ we have the following.

**Proposition 3.3.** The elements of $[X, X_1, X_2 ; Y, Y_1, Y_2]$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the homotopy classes of maps $(X, X_1, X_2) \to (Y, Y_1, Y_2)$.

As a consequence of Propositions 2.3 and 2.4 together with the argument similar to that of [12, Proposition 6.1], we can show the following.

**Proposition 3.4.** Suppose $f : (X, X_1, X_2) \to (Y, Y_1, Y_2)$ is a homotopy equivalence. Then the precomposition and postcomposition by $f$ induce homotopy equivalences
\[
f^* : C^\infty((Y, Y_1, Y_2), (Z, Z_1, Z_2)) \to C^\infty((X, X_1, X_2), (Z, Z_1, Z_2))
\]
\[
f_* : C^\infty((Z, Z_1, Z_2), (X, X_1, X_2)) \to C^\infty((Z, Z_1, Z_2), (Y, Y_1, Y_2))
\]
for every $(Z, Z_1, Z_2)$.

**Proof.** For any $X = (X, X_1, X_2)$ and fixed $Z = (Z, Z_1, Z_2)$, put
\[
FX = C^\infty(X, Z) = C^\infty((X, X_1, X_2), (Z, Z_1, Z_2)).
\]
We shall show that the contravariant functor $F$ from the category of triples of diffeological spaces to $\text{Diff}$ preserves homotopies. This of course implies that $f^* : FY \to FX$ is a homotopy equivalence if so is $f : X \to Y$.

The contravariant functor $F$ is enriched in the sense that the map
\[
C^\infty(X, Y) \to C^\infty(FY, FX),
\]
which takes $f : X \to Y$ to the induced map $f^* : FY \to FX$, is smooth. This follows from Proposition 2.3 because the map above is adjoint to the composition $C^\infty(Y, Z) \times C^\infty(X, Y) \to C^\infty(X, Z)$. 
Suppose \( h: X \times I \rightarrow Y \) is a homotopy between \( f \) and \( g \). Then by Proposition \( \ref{prop:homotopy_invariance} \) together with the enrichedness of \( F \) the composite map

\[
I \rightarrow C^\infty(X, Y) \rightarrow C^\infty(FY, FX),
\]

which takes \( t \in I \) to \( h^* : FY \rightarrow FX \), is smooth. Thus, by passing to the adjoint again, we get a smooth map \( FY \times I \rightarrow FX \) giving a homotopy between \( f^* \) and \( g^* \).

Quite similarly, we can prove that the covariant functor \( X \rightarrow C^\infty(Z, X) \) preserves homotopies.

**Corollary 3.5.** The homotopy set \( [X, X_1, X_2; Y, Y_1, Y_2] \) is homotopy invariant with respect to both \( (X, X_1, X_2) \) and \( (Y, Y_1, Y_2) \).

We are now ready to define the \( n \)-th homotopy set of a diffeological space. Denote by \( \partial I^n \) the boundary of the cube \( I^n \), and let

\[
J^{n-1} = \partial I^{n-1} \times I \cup I^{n-1} \times \{1\}
\]

for \( n \geq 1 \). We regard \( J^{n-1} \) as a subspace of \( \partial I^n \).

**Definition 3.6.** Given a pointed diffeological space \( (X, x_0) \), we put

\[
\pi_n(X, x_0) = [I^n, \partial I^n ; X, x_0], \quad n \geq 0.
\]

Similarly, given a pointed pair of diffeological spaces \( (X, A, x_0) \), we put

\[
\pi_n(X, A, x_0) = [I^n, \partial I^n, J^{n-1} ; X, A, x_0], \quad n \geq 1.
\]

For \( n \geq 1 \), \( \pi_n(X, x_0) \) is isomorphic to \( \pi_n(X, x_0, x_0) \), and \( \pi_0(X, x_0) \) is isomorphic to the set of path components \( \pi_0 X \), regardless of the choice of basepoint \( x_0 \). Note, however, that we consider \( \pi_0(X, x_0) \) as a pointed set with basepoint \( [x_0] \in \pi_0 X \).

**Remark.** Our definition of \( \pi_n(X, x_0) \) is equivalent to the one given in \([9]\); in which the \( n \)-th homotopy set of \( (X, x_0) \) is defined to be the set of path components of the iterated loop space \( \text{Loops}^n(X, x_0) \), where

\[
\text{Loops}(Y, y) = C^\infty((R, 0, 1), (Y, y, y))
\]

for any pointed diffeological space \( (Y, y) \). On the other hand, our \( \pi_n(X, x_0) \) is the set of path components of another type of iterated loop space \( \Omega^n(X, x_0) \), where

\[
\Omega(Y, y) = C^\infty((I, 0, 1), (Y, y, y)).
\]

But the inclusion of \( (I, 0, 1) \) into \( (R, 0, 1) \) is a homotopy equivalence because it has a homotopy inverse \( \lambda: (R, 0, 1) \rightarrow (I, 0, 1) \). Thus, by Proposition \( \ref{prop:homotopy_invariance} \), we have

\[
\text{Loops}(Y, y) \simeq \Omega(Y, y),
\]

implying the homotopy equivalence \( \text{Loops}^n(X, x_0) \simeq \Omega^n(X, x_0) \) for all \( n \geq 0 \). The situation is similar for the homotopy sets of pairs \( \pi_n(X, A, x_0) \).

We now introduce a group structure on \( \pi_n(X, A, x_0) \). Suppose \( \phi \) and \( \psi \) are smooth maps from \((I^n, \partial I^n, J^{n-1})\) to \((X, A, x_0)\). If \( n \geq 2 \), or if \( n \geq 1 \) and \( A = x_0 \), then there is a smooth map \( \phi \ast \psi : I^n \rightarrow X \) which takes \((t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n) \in I^n\) to

\[
\begin{cases}
\phi(\lambda(3t_1), t_2, \ldots, t_n), & 0 \leq t_1 \leq 1/2 \\
\psi(\lambda(3t_1 - 2), t_2, \ldots, t_n), & 1/2 \leq t_1 \leq 1.
\end{cases}
\]
It is clear that $\phi \ast \psi$ defines a map of triples $(I^n, \partial I^n, J^{n-1}) \to (X, A, x_0)$, and there is a multiplication on $\pi_n(X, A, x_0)$ given by the formula

$$[\phi] \cdot [\psi] = [\phi \ast \psi] \in \pi_n(X, A, x_0).$$

**Proposition 3.7.** With respect to the multiplication $([\phi], [\psi]) \mapsto [\phi] \cdot [\psi]$, the homotopy set $\pi_n(X, A, x_0)$ is a group if $n \geq 2$ of if $n \geq 1$ and $A = x_0$, and is an abelian group if $n \geq 3$ of if $n \geq 2$ and $A = x_0$. Moreover, for every smooth map $f : (X, A, x_0) \to (Y, B, y_0)$ the induced map

$$f_* : \pi_n(X, A, x_0) \to \pi_n(Y, B, y_0)$$

is a group homomorphism whenever its source and target are groups.

In the case of topological spaces, the fact that $J^{n-1}$ is a retract of $I^n$ is crucial for developing homotopy theory. (Compare e.g. homotopy exact sequence and homotopy extension property). Unfortunately, it is not easy to construct a smooth retraction $I^n \to J^{n-1}$. Thus, we try to retrieve most of the ingredients of homotopy theory without relying such a strict retraction.

**Definition 3.8.** Let $f : K \to X$ be a smooth map from a cubical subcomplex $K$ of $I^n$ (e.g. $I^n$, $\partial I^n$, or $J^{n-1}$) to a diffeological space $X$. Suppose $0 < \epsilon \leq 1/2$. Then $f$ is called to be $\epsilon$-tame if we have

$$f(t_1, \cdots, t_{j-1}, t_j, t_{j+1}, \cdots, t_n) = f(t_1, \cdots, t_{j-1}, \alpha, t_{j+1}, \cdots, t_n)$$

for every $(t_1, \cdots, t_n) \in K$ and $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$ such that $|t_j - \alpha| \leq \epsilon$ holds. We use the abbreviation “tame” to mean $\epsilon$-tame for some $\epsilon > 0$.

Note that $\epsilon$-tameness implies $\sigma$-tameness for any $\sigma < \epsilon$. Note also that $f : K \to X$ is 1/2-tame if and only if it is locally constant. In particular, every map from a 0-dimensional complex $K$ is 1/2-tame.

For $0 < \epsilon \leq 1/2$, we denote $I^n(\epsilon) = [\epsilon, 1 - \epsilon]_n$ and call it the $\epsilon$-chamber of $I^n$. More generally, if $K$ is a cubical subcomplex of $I^n$ then its $\epsilon$-chamber $K(\epsilon)$ is defined to be the union of $\epsilon$-chambers of its maximal faces. Thus we have $\partial I^n(\epsilon) = \bigcup F(\epsilon)$, where $F$ runs through the $(n-1)$-dimensional faces of $I^n$, and $J^{n-1}(\epsilon) = \partial I^n(\epsilon) \cap J^{n-1}$. It is evident that the following holds.

**Lemma 3.9.** Let $f$ and $g$ be smooth maps from a cubical subcomplex $K$ of $I^n$ to a diffeological space $X$. Suppose both $f$ and $g$ are $\epsilon$-tame. Then $f$ and $g$ coincide on $K$ if and only if they coincide on the $\epsilon$-chamber $K(\epsilon)$.

We show that any tame map defined on $J^{n-1}$ is extendable over $I^n$. To see this we need several lemmas.

**Lemma 3.10.** Suppose $0 \leq \sigma < \tau \leq 1/2$. Then there exists a non-decreasing smooth function $T_{\sigma, \tau} : I \to I$ satisfying the following conditions:

1. $T_{\sigma, \tau}(t) = 0$ for $t \leq \sigma$,
2. $T_{\sigma, \tau}(t) = t$ for $\tau \leq t \leq 1 - \tau$,
3. $T_{\sigma, \tau}(t) = 1$ for $1 - \sigma \leq t$, and
4. $T_{\sigma, \tau}(1 - t) = 1 - T_{\sigma, \tau}(t)$ for all $t$.

**Proof.** For every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, put

$$F(t) = \int_0^t \lambda \left( \frac{\tau x - \sigma}{\tau - \sigma} \right) dx + \frac{\tau - \sigma}{2\tau} \lambda \left( \frac{\tau t - \sigma}{\tau - \sigma} \right),$$

where $\lambda$ is a smooth function such that $\lambda(0) = 0$ and $\lambda(1) = 1$.

With this choice, the formula for $F(t)$ satisfies the required properties. The details of the proof are left as an exercise for the reader.
Then \( F: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) is a non-decreasing smooth function such that \( F(t) \) has value 0 for \( t \leq \sigma / \tau \) and has value \( t \) for \( t \geq 1 \). Now, let us define a function \( T_{\sigma, \tau}: I \to I \) by putting \( T_{\sigma, \tau}(t) = F(t/\tau) \) for \( 0 \leq t \leq 1/2 \), and \( T_{\sigma, \tau}(t) = 1 - F((1 - t)/\tau) \) for \( 1/2 \leq t \leq 1 \). As we have \( T_{\sigma, \tau}(t) = t \) for \( \tau \leq t \leq 1 - \tau \), the function \( T_{\sigma, \tau} \) is smooth all over \( \mathbb{R} \) and satisfies the desired conditions.

**Lemma 3.11.** Let \( K \) be a cubical subcomplex of \( I^n \). Then for any smooth map \( f: K \to X \) and \( 0 < \sigma < \epsilon \leq 1/2 \), there exists a homotopy \( f \simeq g \) relative to \( K(\epsilon) \) such that \( g \) is \( \sigma \)-tame. If \( f \) is \( \epsilon \)-tame on a subcomplex \( L \) of \( K \) then the homotopy can be taken to be relative to \( L \cup K(\epsilon) \).

**Proof.** Let \( g = f \circ (T_{\sigma, \epsilon})^n|K: K \to X \). Then \( g \) is \( \sigma \)-tame and there is a homotopy \( f \simeq g \) relative to \( K(\epsilon) \) given by the map \( K \times I \to X \) which takes \((v, t) \in K \times I \) to \((1 - t)f(v) + tg(v)\). If \( f \) is \( \epsilon \)-tame on \( L \) then the homotopy \( f \simeq g \) is relative to \( L \cup K(\epsilon) \) because \( g \) coincides with \( f \) on \( L \). \( \square \)

It follows, in particular, that any element of \( \pi_n(X, A, x_0) \) is represented by a tame map \((I^n, \partial I^n, J^{n-1}) \to (X, A, x_0)\).

A map \( I^n \to J^{n-1} \) is called an \( \epsilon \)-approximate retraction if it restricts to the identity on the \( \epsilon \)-chamber \( J^{n-1}(\epsilon) \).

**Lemma 3.12.** For any real number \( \epsilon \) with \( 0 < \epsilon < 1/2 \), there exists an \( \epsilon \)-approximate retraction \( R_\epsilon: I^n \to J^{n-1} \).

**Proof.** Let \( \sigma < \epsilon' < \epsilon \), and let \( m(u) = (1 - u)\epsilon' + u\sigma \), so that we have \( \epsilon' \geq m(u) \geq \sigma \) for \( 0 \leq u \leq 1 \). For \( t = (t_1, \cdots, t_n-1) \in I^{n-1} \) and \( u \in I \), put

\[
v(t, u) = T_{\sigma, \epsilon}(u) + T_{\sigma, \epsilon}(1 - u) \prod_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} \left( \frac{t_k}{m(u)} \right) \lambda \left( \frac{1 - t_k}{m(u)} \right).
\]

Then the function \( v: I^n \to I \) satisfies \( v(t, u) = u \) for \((t, u) \in \partial I^{n-1} \times I(\epsilon)\), and \( v(t, u) = 1 \) if \( u \geq 1 - \sigma \) or if \( t \in I^{n-1}(m(u)) \) holds. It is easy to see that the smooth map \( R_\epsilon: I^n \to J^{n-1} \) given by the formula

\[
R_\epsilon(t, u) = (T_{m(u), \epsilon}(t_1), \cdots, T_{m(u), \epsilon}(t_{n-1}), v(t, u))
\]

restricts to the identity on \( J^{n-1}(\epsilon) \). \( \square \)

By combining this with Lemmas 3.9 we see that any tame map \( J^{n-1} \to X \) can be extended to a smooth map \( I^n \to X \). In fact, the following holds.

**Proposition 3.13.** Any \( \epsilon \)-tame map \( f: J^{n-1} \to X \) can be extended to a \( \sigma \)-tame map \( g: I^n \to X \) for any \( \sigma < \epsilon \). Moreover, if \( f \) is \( \epsilon' \)-tame on \( \partial I^{n-1} \times \{0\} \) then \( g \) can be taken to be \( \sigma' \)-tame on \( I^{n-1} \times \{0\} \) for any \( \sigma' < \epsilon' \).

**Proof.** We may assume \( \epsilon < \epsilon' \) and \( \sigma < \sigma' \) hold. For \( 0 \leq u \leq 1 \), let

\[
a(u) = \sigma + (\sigma' - \sigma)\lambda(1 - u/\sigma), \quad b(u) = \epsilon + (\epsilon' - \epsilon)\lambda(1 - u/\sigma).
\]

Then \( a \) and \( b \) are non-increasing functions satisfying \((a(0), b(0)) = (\sigma', \epsilon')\) and \((a(u), b(u)) = (\sigma, \epsilon)\) for \( u \geq \sigma \). Now, let us define \( g: I^n \to X \) by

\[
g(t_1, \cdots, t_{n-1}, u) = f \circ R_\epsilon(T_{a(u), b(u)}(t_1), \cdots, T_{a(u), b(u)}(t_{n-1}), T_{\sigma, \epsilon}(u)).
\]

Then \( g \) is a \( \sigma \)-tame extension of \( f \) which also is \( \sigma' \)-tame on \( I^{n-1} \times \{0\} \). \( \square \)
For any pointed pair of diffeological spaces \((X, A, x_0)\), let
\[ i_x : \pi_n(A, x_0) \to \pi_n(X, x_0), \quad j_x : \pi_n(X, x_0) \to \pi_n(X, A, x_0) \]
be the maps induced respectively by the inclusions \((A, x_0) \to (X, x_0)\) and \((X, x_0) \to (X, A, x_0)\), and let
\[ \Delta : \pi_n(X, A, x_0) \to \pi_{n-1}(A, x_0) \quad (n \geq 1) \]
be the map which takes the class of \(\phi : (I^n, \partial I^n, J^{n-1}) \to (X, A, x_0)\) to the class of its restriction \(\phi|I^{n-1} : (I^{n-1}, \partial I^{n-1}) \to (A, x_0)\). Here, we identify \(I^{n-1}\) with \(I^n - \{0\} \subset I^n\). Clearly, \(\Delta\) is a group homomorphism for \(n \geq 2\).

Since any element of the homotopy group has a tame representative, we can obtain the homotopy exact sequence by arguing as in the case of topological spaces.

**Proposition 3.14.** Given a pointed pair of diffeological spaces \((X, A, x_0)\), there is an exact sequence of pointed sets
\[ \cdots \to \pi_{n+1}(X, A, x_0) \xrightarrow{\Delta} \pi_n(A, x_0) \xrightarrow{i_x} \pi_n(X, x_0) \xrightarrow{j_x} \pi_n(X, A, x_0) \to \cdots \]
\[ \cdots \to \pi_1(X, A, x_0) \xrightarrow{\Delta} \pi_0(A, x_0) \xrightarrow{i_x} \pi_0(X, x_0). \]

4. Cubical complexes and fibrations

We introduce a diffeological version of the notion of Serre fibration, and describe its homotopical behavior with respect to cubical cell complexes.

For \(n \geq 1\), let \(L^{n-1} = \partial I^{n-1} \times I \cup I^{n-1} \times \{0\} \subset I^n\).

**Definition 4.1.** A smooth map \(p : E \to B\) is called a weak fibration if for any pair of tame maps \(f : L^{n-1} \to E\) and \(g : I^n \to B\) satisfying \(p \circ f = g|L^{n-1}\), there exists a smooth map \(G : I^n \to E\) satisfying \(G|L^{n-1} = f\) and \(p \circ G = g\).

Here the lift \(G\) can be taken to be tame on \(I^n\). More precisely, we have

**Proposition 4.2.** In the definition of weak fibration above, suppose both \(f\) and \(g\) are \(\epsilon\)-tame. Then the lift \(G : I^n \to E\) can be taken to be \(\sigma\)-tame for any \(\sigma\) satisfying \(0 < \sigma < \epsilon\).

**Proof.** Suppose \(G' : I^n \to E\) satisfies \(G'|L^{n-1} = f\) and \(p \circ G' = g\), and let \(\rho_n = T_{\sigma, \epsilon}\) for \(0 < \sigma < \epsilon\). As \(f\) and \(g\) are \(\epsilon\)-tame, we have \(f \circ \rho_n|L^{n-1} = f\) and \(g \circ \rho_n = g\). But then the composition \(G = G' \circ \rho_n : I^n \to E\) is \(\sigma\)-tame and satisfies \(G|L^{n-1} = f\) and \(p \circ G = g\).

**Example 4.3.** (1) It follows by Proposition 3.13 that for any diffeological space \(X\) the constant map \(X \to \ast\) is a weak fibration.

(2) If \(p : E \to B\) is a diffeological fiber bundle with fiber \(F\) then its pullback by a smooth map from \(I^n\) to \(B\) is trivial (cf. [8, 18, Lemma 2]). But (1) implies that a trivial fiber bundle is a weak fibration, hence so is \(p\).

(3) Given a diffeological space \(X\) with basepoint \(x_0\), let \(P(X, x_0)\) denote the subset of \(C^\infty(I, \{1\}, (X, x_0))\) consisting of those tame paths \(\ell : I \to X\) satisfying \(\ell(0) = x_0\). Then we can show by using Lemma 3.12 that the map \(p : P(X, x_0) \to X\), which takes a path \(\ell\) to its initial point \(\ell(0)\), is a weak fibration. Note that the inclusion of \(\Omega(X, x_0) = p^{-1}(x_0)\) into \(\Omega(X, x_0)\) is a homotopy equivalence by Lemma 3.11.
In the sequel we use the term **cubical complex** to mean a disjoint union of spaces, each diffeomorphic to a cubical subcomplex of $I^n$ for various $n \geq 0$. Thus a cubical complex $X$ is a union $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda$ such that each $X_\lambda$ is diffeomorphic to $I^{n(\lambda)}$ for some $n(\lambda) \geq 0$, and that the following conditions hold: (i) each face of a cube $X_\lambda$ is a cube in $X$, (ii) the intersection $X_\lambda \cap X_\mu$ of any two cubes is a face of each, and (iii) each connected component of $X$ is a union of finite cubes.

**Definition 4.4.** A smooth map $f$ from a cubical complex $X$ to a diffeological space $Y$ is called to be *tame* if its restriction $f|X_\lambda: X_\lambda \to Y$ to each cube of $X$ is tame. Similarly, a homotopy $h: X \times I \to Y$ between tame maps is called to be *tame* if $f|X_\lambda \times I: X_\lambda \times I \to Y$ is tame for every $\lambda$.

The theorem below shows that a weak fibration enjoys a slightly weaker form of *covering homotopy extension property* holds for cubical complexes.

**Theorem 4.5.** Let $p: E \to B$ be a weak fibration, and $(X, A)$ be a pair of a cubical complex and its subcomplex. Suppose there is a tame map $f: X \to E$ and tame homotopies $h: A \times I \to E$, $k: X \times I \to B$ satisfying $h_0 = f|A$, $k_0 = p \circ f$, and $p \circ h = k|A \times I$. Then there exists a tame homotopy $H: X \times I \to E$ satisfying $H_0 = f$, $H|A \times I = h$, and $p \circ H = k$.

Since the constant map $Y \to *$ is a weak fibration, we can apply the theorem above to deduce a weaker form of *homotopy extension property*.

**Theorem 4.6.** Let $(X, A)$ be a pair of cubical complexes, and $f: X \to Y$ be a tame map. Suppose there is a tame homotopy $h: A \times I \to Y$ satisfying $h_0 = f|A$. Then there exists a tame homotopy $H: X \times I \to Y$ satisfying $H_0 = f$ and $H|A \times I = h$.

**Proof of Theorem 4.5.** For $n \geq -1$, let $X^n$ denote the union of all cubes $X_\lambda$ such that either $X_\lambda \subseteq A$ or $\dim X_\lambda \leq n$ holds. Thus we have $A = X^{-1} \subseteq X^0 \subseteq X^1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq X$. We shall inductively construct a tame homotopy $H^n: X^n \times I \to E$ of $h|X^n \times I$ such that $H^n|X^{n-1} \times I = H^{n-1}$ holds. The desired homotopy is obtained by putting $H = \colim H^n: X \times I \to E$.

Suppose there is a tame homotopy $H^{n-1}: X^{n-1} \times I \to E$ satisfying $H_0^{n-1} = f|X^{n-1}$, $H^{n-1}|A \times I = h$, $p \circ H^{n-1} = k|X^{n-1} \times I$, and choose a diffeomorphism $\Phi_\lambda: I^n \cong X_\lambda$ for each $n$-dimensional cube $X_\lambda \subseteq X^n$. Then we have a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
\partial I^n \times I \cup I^n \times \{0\} & \rightarrow & X^{n-1} \times I \cup X_\lambda \times \{0\} & \rightarrow & E \\
I^n \times I & \rightarrow & (X^{n-1} \cup X_\lambda) \times I & \rightarrow & B.
\end{array}
\]

Since the horizontal compositions of upper and lower arrows are tame, and since $p$ is a weak fibration, there exists a tame lift $K_\lambda: I^n \times I \to E$ making the diagram commutative. Hence there is a map $H_\lambda: (X^{n-1} \cup X_\lambda) \times I \to E$
such that the following diagram is commutative:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X^{n-1} \times I \coprod I^n \times I & \xrightarrow{H^n \cup K_\lambda} & E \\
\downarrow_{i \times 1 \cup \Phi_\lambda \times 1} & & \downarrow_p \\
(X^{n-1} \cup X_\lambda) \times I & \xrightarrow{k} & B
\end{array}
\]

The map \( H_\lambda \) is smooth, in fact tame, because so are \( H_\lambda \) and \( K_\lambda \). Thus we can take the union of all such maps \( H_\lambda \) to obtain a tame homotopy \( H^n : X^n \times I \to E \) extending \( H^{n-1} \) and satisfying the desired conditions. □

By virtue of Theorems 4.5 and 4.6, we can construct basic tools in homotopy theory, such as homotopy long exact sequence of a fibration and change of basepoint homomorphism, within \textbf{Diff}.

**Proposition 4.7.** Let \( p : E \to B \) be a weak fibration and let \( F = p^{-1}(b) \) be the fiber at \( b \in B \). Let \( i : F \to B \) be the inclusion. Then for any basepoint \( e \) of \( F \), there is an exact sequence

\[
\cdots \to \pi_{n+1}(B, b) \xrightarrow{\partial^*} \pi_n(F, e) \xrightarrow{i_*} \pi_n(E, e) \xrightarrow{p_*} \pi_n(B, b) \to \cdots
\]

\[
\cdots \to \pi_1(B, b) \xrightarrow{\partial^*} \pi_0(F, e) \xrightarrow{i_*} \pi_0(E, e) \xrightarrow{p_*} \pi_0(B, b).
\]

**Proof.** The fact that every element of \( \pi_n(B, b) \) has a tame representative enables us to apply Theorem 4.5 to show that

\( p_* : \pi_n(E, F, e) \to \pi_n(B, b, b) = \pi_n(B, b) \)

is bijective for \( n \geq 1 \). The desired exact sequence is obtained from the homotopy exact sequence for the pair \((E, F)\) together with the evident exact sequence \( \pi_0(F, e_0) \xrightarrow{i_*} \pi_0(E, e_0) \xrightarrow{p_*} \pi_0(B, b_0) \). □

**Example 4.8.** Let \( \theta \) be an irrational number. The irrational torus \( T_\theta \) of slope \( \theta \) is defined to be the quotient of the 2-torus \( \mathbb{T}^2 \) by the 1-parameter subgroup \( R_\theta = \{ [t, \theta t] \mid t \in \mathbb{R} \} \). By [8.15], the natural map \( p : \mathbb{T}^2 \to T_\theta \) is a diffeological fiber bundle with contractible fiber \( R_\theta \). By using the homotopy long exact sequence, we see that the map \( p \) induces an isomorphism \( \pi_n(\mathbb{T}^2, x) \cong \pi_n(T_\theta, p(x)) \) for every \( n \geq 0 \) and \( x \in \mathbb{T}^2 \). Thus \( p \) is a weak homotopy equivalence, and hence \( T_\theta \) has the smooth fundamental group \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \).

We now show that \( \pi_n(X, x_0) \) can be identified with the set of homotopy classes of smooth maps \( (\partial I^{n+1}, e) \to (X, x_0) \), where \( e = (1, \cdots, 1) \in \partial I^{n+1} \). Consider the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
[I^n, \partial I^n ; X, x_0] & \xrightarrow{i^*} & [\partial I^{n+1}, J^n ; X, x_0] \\
\cong \downarrow & & \cong \\
[I^n/\partial I^n, * ; X, x_0] & \xrightarrow{j^*} & [\partial I^{n+1}/J^n, * ; X, x_0]
\end{array}
\]

induced by the evident inclusions and projections. By the commutativity of the left hand square, we see that \( i^* \) is an isomorphism. To see that \( j^* \) is an isomorphism, let us take a tame map \( f : (\partial I^{n+1}, e) \to (X, x_0) \). Since \( I^{n-1} \times \{1\} \) is a deformation retract of \( J^n \) and is contractible to \( e \), there exists
a tame contracting homotopy \( r: J^n \times I \to J^n \) of \( J^n \) onto \( e \). By applying Theorem 4.6 to the map \( f \) and the homotopy \( f|J^n \circ r: J^n \times I \to X \), we obtain a homotopy \( f \simeq g \) relative to \( e \) such that \( g(J^n) = x_0 \) holds. Hence we have \( [f] = j^*(g) \in [\partial I^{n+1}, e; X, x_0] \), implying that \( j^* \) is surjective. Similarly, we can show that \( j^* \) is injective. Thus we have the following.

**Lemma 4.9.** For every \( n \geq 0 \) there is a natural isomorphism

\[
\pi_n(X, x_0) \cong [\partial I^{n+1}, e; X, x_0].
\]

Suppose \( f: (\partial I^{n+1}, e) \to (X, x_0) \) is a tame representative of an element of \( \pi_n(X, x_0) \), and \( \ell: I \to X \) a tame path from \( x_0 \) to \( x_1 \). Then, by applying Theorem 4.6 to the tame homotopy \( e \times I \to X \) given by \( l \), we obtain a homotopy \( f \simeq g \) such that \( g(e) = x_1 \) holds. Thus we can construct

\[
\ell_1: \pi_n(X, x_0) \to \pi_n(X, x_1)
\]

to be the map which takes \( [f] \in \pi_n(X, x_0) \) to the class \( [g] \in \pi_n(X, x_1) \).

We leave it to the reader to verify the following.

**Proposition 4.10.** To every tame path \( \ell: I \to X \) joining \( x_0 \) to \( x_1 \), there attached a group isomorphism \( \ell_1: \pi_n(X, A, x_0) \to \pi_n(X, x_1) \). If \( \ell': I \to X \) is another tame path joining \( x_1 \) to \( x_2 \) then we have \( (\ell \star \ell')_2 = \ell'_1 \circ \ell_1 \).

As a final result of this section, we show that homotopy invariance holds for certain type of adjunction spaces.

**Proposition 4.11.** Let \( C \) be a cubical complex and \( k: K \to C \) be the inclusion of a subcomplex. Suppose \( \phi: K \to Y \) is a tame map. If \( f: Y \to Z \) is a homotopy equivalence then so is the induced map \( Y \cup_{\phi, k} C \to Z \cup_{f, k} C \).

We prove this by way of lemmas. Given two smooth maps \( f: Y \to Z \) and \( g: Y' \to Z \), we denote by \( f \cup g \) the composition

\[
\nabla \circ (f \sqcup g): Y \sqcup Y' \to Z \sqcup Z \to Z,
\]

where \( \nabla \) is the folding map of \( Z \sqcup Z \) onto \( Z \).

**Lemma 4.12.** Let \( \Phi: C \to Y \) be a smooth map and let \( Z = Y \cup_{\phi, k} C \), where \( \phi = \Phi|K: K \to Y \). Let \( i: Y \to Z \) be the inclusion. Then the map

\[
i \times 1 \cup \Phi \times 1: Y \times I \sqcup_1 C \times I \to Z \times I
\]

is a subduction.

**Proof.** Let \( P: U \to Z \times I \) be a plot of \( Z \times I \) given by \( P(r) = (\sigma(r), \sigma'(r)) \), and let \( r \in U \). Since \( \sigma \) is a plot of \( Z \), there exists a plot \( Q_1: V \to Y \) such that \( \sigma|V = i \circ Q_1 \) holds, or a plot \( Q_2: V \to C \) such that \( \sigma|V = \Phi \circ Q_2 \) holds. In either case, we have

\[
P|V = (i \times 1 \cup \Phi \times 1) \circ (Q_\alpha, \sigma'|V),
\]

where \( \alpha \) is either 1 or 2. This means that \( i \times 1 \cup \Phi \times 1 \) is a subduction. \( \square \)

Given a homotopy \( h: K \times I \to Y \), its **homotopy cylinder** \( M(h) \) is defined to be the adjunction space

\[
M(h) = (Y \times I) \cup_{(h, k \times 1)} (C \times I)
\]
where \( \tilde{h} : K \times I \to Y \times I \) is given by the formula, \( \tilde{h}(v,t) = (h(v,t),t) \). Let

\[
\iota_\alpha : Y \cup_{(h_\alpha,k)} C \to M(h) \quad (\alpha = 0, 1)
\]

be the inclusion induced by \( Y \times \{\alpha\} \subset Y \times I \) and \( C \times \{\alpha\} \subset C \times I \).

**Lemma 4.13.** If \( h : K \times I \to Y \) is a tame homotopy between \( h_0 \) and \( h_1 \) then there are deformation retractions \( p_\alpha : M(h) \to Y \cup_{(h_\alpha,k)} C \) \((\alpha = 0, 1)\), and hence a homotopy equivalence \( p_0 \circ \iota_1 : Y \cup_{(h_1,k)} C \simeq Y \cup_{(h_0,k)} C \).

**Proof.** We assume that \( C \) is a finite complex and show that a deformation retraction \( p_0 \) exists. Clearly, this means that the lemma holds for all \( C \) which is a disjoint union of finite complexes. As \( h_0 : K \to Y \) is tame, there is a homotopy \( g : C \times I \to C \) from the identity to a tame map \( \rho : C \to C \) such that \( h_0(g(v,t)) = h_0(v) \) holds for \((v,t) \in K \times I \) (cf. the proof of Proposition 4.6). But then, there exists by Theorem 4.6 a tame homotopy \( H' : C \times I \to M(h) \) extending

\[
(i_0 \circ \Phi_0 \circ \rho) \cup (i \circ \tilde{h}) : C \times \{0\} \cup K \times I \to M(h),
\]

where \( \Phi_0 \) is the natural map \( C \to Y \cup_{(h_0,k)} C \) and \( i : Y \times I \to M(h) \) is the inclusion. Now, let us choose \( \epsilon > 0 \) such that \( H' \) is constant on \( C \times [0, \epsilon] \) and define a smooth homotopy \( H : C \times I \to M(h) \) by putting

\[
H(v,t) = \begin{cases} H'(v,t), & \frac{\epsilon}{2} \leq t \leq 1 \\ i_0(\Phi_0(g(\lambda(4t/\epsilon))))), & 0 \leq t \leq \epsilon/2. \end{cases}
\]

Define \( j : (Y \times I) \times I \to M(h) \) and \( \Psi : (C \times I) \times I \to M(h) \) by putting

\[
(j(y,t,s) = i(y, (1-s)t) \text{ and } \Psi(v,t,s) = H(v, (1-s)t),
\]

Then we have \( j \circ (\tilde{h} \times 1) = \Psi \circ (k \times 1 \times 1) \). Hence we can apply Lemma 4.12 to obtain a homotopy \( \tilde{H} : M(h) \times I \to M(h) \) such that \( \tilde{H}_0 \) is the identity and \( H_1 \) factors as a composition

\[
M(h) \xrightarrow{p_0} Y \cup_{(h_0,k)} C \xrightarrow{\iota_0} M(h).
\]

It follows that \( p_0 : M(h) \to Y \cup_{(h_0,k)} C \) is a deformation retraction. \( \square \)

The next lemma is inspired by the construction given in [1, Chapter 7].

**Lemma 4.14.** Let \( h_0 \) and \( h_1 \) be tame maps from \( K \) to \( Y \), and let \([h_0; h_1]\) be the set of homotopy classes of homotopies between \( h_0 \) and \( h_1 \) relative to end maps. Then there is a correspondence

\[
\gamma_{h_0,h_1} : [h_0 ; h_1] \to [Y \cup_{(h_1,k)} C ; Y \cup_{(h_0,k)} C]
\]

enjoying the following properties:

1. To any tame homotopy \( h \) between \( h_0 \) and \( h_1 \), there exists a homotopy equivalence \( \gamma : Y \cup_{(h_1,k)} C \to Y \cup_{(h_0,k)} C \) satisfying \( \gamma_{h_0,h_1}([h]) = [\gamma] \);
2. If \( h \) and \( h' \) are respective homotopies \( h_0 \simeq h_1 \) and \( h_1 \simeq h_2 \) then we have \( \gamma_{h_0,h_2}([h * h']) = \gamma_{h_0,h_1}([h]) \circ \gamma_{h_1,h_2}([h']) \);
3. If \( c_f \) is the constant homotopy of \( f : K \to Y \) then \( \gamma_{f,f}([c_f]) \) is the class of the identity of \( Y \cup_{(f,k)} C \).
(4) for any map \( f: K \to Y \) and homotopy \( g: Y \times I \to Y \) we have
\[
\gamma_{g_0f,g_1f}([h]) \circ [\tilde{g}_1] = [\tilde{g}_0]
\]
where \( h \) is the composition \( g(f \times 1): K \times I \to Y \) and \( g_\alpha \) is the map \( Y \cup_{(f,k)} C \to Y \cup_{(g_\alpha,f,k)} C \) induced by \( g_\alpha: Y \to Y \) \( (\alpha = 0, 1) \).

Proof. By applying Lemma \[11\] to the homotopy cylinder \( M(h) \), we can construct a homotopy equivalence
\[
\gamma(h) = p_0 \circ \iota_1: Y \cup_{(h_1,g)} C \to Y \cup_{(h_0,g)} C.
\]
To see that \( \gamma(h) \) depends only on the homotopy class of \( h \), let us take another tame homotopy \( h' \) from \( h_0 \) to \( h_1 \), and let \( G \) be a homotopy \( h \simeq h' \) relative to end maps. Let \( \iota'_1: Y \cup_{(h_1,k)} C \to M(h') \) and \( p'_0: M(h') \to Y \cup_{(h_0,k)} C \) denote, respectively, the inclusion and the retraction arising from \( h' \).

We need to show that \( \gamma'(h') = p'_0 \circ \iota'_1 \) is homotopic to \( \gamma(h) \). Let
\[
W(G) = Y \times I \times I \cup_{(G,k \times I \times I)} C \times I \times I,
\]
where \( \tilde{G}: K \times I \times I \to Y \times I \times I \) is given by \( \tilde{G}(v,t,u) = (G(v,u,t), u,t) \). Since \( \tilde{G}(v,0,0) = (\tilde{h}(v,u),0) \) and \( \tilde{G}(v,1,u) = (\tilde{h}'(v,u),1) \) hold, there are inclusions \( \gamma: M(h) \to W(G) \) and \( \gamma': M(h') \to W(G) \). It is now clear that there are two deformation retractions of \( W(G) \) onto \( Y \cup_{(h_0,k)} C \) and \( h_0 \), one factors through \( p_0: M(h) \to Y \cup_{(h_0,k)} C \) and another factors through \( p_0': M(h') \to Y \cup_{(h_0,k)} C \). Evidently, this implies that \( \gamma(h') \) is homotopic to \( \gamma(h) \). Therefore, there exists a well-defined map
\[
\gamma_{h_0,h_1}: [h_0; h_1] \to [Y \cup_{(h_1,k)} C; Y \cup_{(h_0,k)} C]
\]
given by \( \gamma_{h_0,h_1}([h]) = [\gamma(h)] \), which by its definition satisfies the property (1). It is a routine task to verify the rest of the properties. \( \square \)

Proof of Proposition \[4.11\] Let \( g: W \to Z \) be a homotopy inverse to \( f \). Then we have a commutative diagram consisting of pushout squares:
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Z & \xrightarrow{f} & W \\
\downarrow{i} & & \downarrow{j} \\
Z \cup_{(\phi,k)} C & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & W \cup_{(gf\phi,k)} C \\
\end{array}
\]

By the property (4) of Lemma \[4.11\] there exist homotopy equivalences
\[
\gamma: Z \cup_{(gf\phi,k)} C \to Z \cup_{(\phi,k)} C, \quad \gamma': W \cup_{(gf\phi,k)} C \to W \cup_{(\phi,k)} C,
\]
induced by the respective homotopies \( 1 \simeq gf \) and \( 1 \simeq fg \), such that \( \gamma \tilde{f} \simeq 1 \) and \( \gamma' \tilde{f}' \simeq 1 \) hold. But this means that \( \tilde{g} \) has a right homotopy inverse \( \tilde{f} \gamma \) and a left homotopy inverse \( \gamma' \tilde{f}' \). Hence \( \tilde{g} \) is a homotopy equivalence and so is \( \tilde{f} \). \( \square \)

5. Model category of diffeological spaces

In this section we shall show that the category \textbf{Diff} has a model structure by arguing as in the proof of \[3\] Proposition 8.3.
Definition 5.1. Suppose $K$ is a cubical subcomplex of $I^n$ and $0 < \epsilon \leq 1/2$. A smooth map $f: K \to X$ is said to be $\epsilon$-admissible if it is $\epsilon^{j+1}$-tame on each $j$-dimensional face of $K$.

Clearly, $\epsilon$-tameness implies $\epsilon$-admissibility, and conversely, $\epsilon$-admissibility implies $\epsilon^{\dim K + 1}$-tameness. Since smooth maps are homotopic to tame maps, we can convert any smooth map into an admissible one. But in the sequel we require a more sophisticated way of doing this.

Proposition 5.2. Suppose $f: K \to X$ is $\epsilon$-admissible on a cubical subcomplex $L$ of $K$. Then there is a homotopy $f \simeq g$ relative to $L$ such that $g$ is $\epsilon$-admissible.

Proof. It is easy to construct a homotopy $f \simeq f'$ rel $L$ such that $f'$ is $\sigma$-tame if $\sigma < \epsilon^{\dim L + 1}$ (cf. Lemma 3.11). Hence we may assume from the beginning that $f$ is a tame map. For $0 \leq j \leq \dim K$, let $K^j = L \cup K^j$ be the union of $L$ and the $j$-skeleton of $K$. Starting from the constant homotopy $\bar{h}^0: K^0 \times I \to X$, we inductively construct a tame homotopy $\bar{h}^j: K^j \times I \to X$ from $f|K^j$ to an $\epsilon$-admissible map $g^j$ relative to $L$.

Suppose $\bar{h}^{j-1}$ exists. Let $F$ be a $j$-dimensional face not contained in $L$. As $\partial F \subset K^{j-1}$, there is a tame map $h^F: (\partial F \times I) \cup (F \times \{0\}) \to X$, which takes $(t, u)$ to $\bar{h}^{j-1}(t, u)$ if $t \in \partial F$ and to $f(t)$ if $u = 0$. But as $g^{j-1} \partial F$ is $\epsilon^j$-tame and $(\partial F \times I) \cup (F \times \{0\})$ is linearly diffeomorphic to $J^{j-1}$, we can apply Proposition 3.13 with sufficiently small $\sigma$ and $\sigma' = \epsilon^{j+1}$ to obtain a tame extension $\bar{h}^F: F \times I \to X$ such that $g^F = \bar{h}^F|F \times \{1\}: F \to X$ is $\epsilon$-admissible. Thus, if we define $\bar{h}^j: K^j \times I \to X$ to be the union $\bigcup_F \bar{h}^F$, where $F$ runs through $j$-dimensional faces of $K$ not contained in $L$, then $\bar{h}^j$ gives a tame homotopy $f|K^j \simeq g^j$ rel $L$ such that $g^j = \bigcup_F g^F$ is $\epsilon$-admissible. This proves the induction step. \hfill $\square$

Especially, we have the following.

Corollary 5.3. Any $\epsilon$-admissible map $f: L^{n-1} \to X$ can be extended to an $\epsilon$-admissible map $I^n \to X$.

Definition 5.4. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be either $\mathcal{I}$ or $\mathcal{J}$. A smooth map $f: X \to Y$ is called a $\mathcal{K}$-fibration if for every member $k_n: K^{n-1} \to I^n$ of $\mathcal{K}$ and every pair of $\epsilon$-admissible maps $r: K^{n-1} \to X$ and $s: I^n \to Y$ satisfying $f \circ r = s \circ k_n$, there exists an $\epsilon$-admissible lift $h: I^n \to X$ which makes the two triangles in the diagram below commutative:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
K^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{r} & X \\
\downarrow{k_n} & & \downarrow{f} \\
I^n & \xrightarrow{h} & Y \\
\end{array}
\]

A smooth map $f: X \to Y$ is called a $\mathcal{K}$-cofibration if it has the left lifting property with respect to $\mathcal{K}$-fibrations, that is, for every commutative square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{f} & E \\
\downarrow{p} & & \downarrow{p'} \\
Y & \xrightarrow{g} & B \\
\end{array}
\]
such that $p: E \to B$ is a $K$-fibration, there exists a lift $Y \to E$ making the two triangles commutative.

A $K$-fibration or a $K$-cofibration $f: X \to Y$ is called to be trivial if it is a weak homotopy equivalence, that is, the induced map $f_*: \pi_n(X, x) \to \pi_n(Y, f(x))$ is a bijection for every $x \in X$ and $n \geq 0$.

**Example 5.5.** The class of $J$-fibrations contains many useful weak fibrations including the ones listed in Example 4.3. In fact, every constant map $X \to *$ is a $J$-fibration by Corollary 5.3 and so is any differential fiber bundle. To see that $p: P(X, x_0) \to X$ is a $J$-fibration, let us take an $\epsilon$-admissible pair $u: L^{n-1} \to P(X, x_0)$ and $v: I^n \to X$. Let $K = L^{n-1} \times I \cup I^n \times \{0, 1\}$ and $u': K \to X$ be a tame map which takes $(t, s) \in K$ to $u(t)(s)$ if $t \in L^{n-1}$, to $v(t)$ if $s = 0$, and to $x_0$ if $s = 1$. To obtain an $\epsilon$-admissible lift $I^n \to P(X, x_0)$ of $u$, it suffices to extend $u'$ to a tame map $\bar{u}: I^n \times I \to X$ which is $\epsilon$-admissible with respect to the first $n$ coordinates. We accomplish this by extending $u'$ in several steps. Let $A = I^n(\epsilon^{n+1}) \times I$, $B = I^n(\epsilon^{n+1}) \times [\epsilon^{n+1}, 1] \times I$, and $C = I^n - \text{Int} B$. As we have $C = K \cup (L^{n-1} \times I)$, where $L^{n-1}$ is the closure of the $\epsilon^{n+1}$-neighborhood of $L^{n-1}$, and $v$ is $\epsilon^{n+1}$-tame, $u'$ can be extended to a tame map $\bar{u}': C \to X$ in an evident manner. But as $(A, A \cap C) \cong (I^{n+1}, L^n)$ and $\bar{u}'$ is tame on $A \cap C$, there exists an extension $\bar{u}'': A \to X$ of $\bar{u}'|A \cap C$ having enough tameness on $A \cap (I^{n-1} \times \{1 - \epsilon^{n+1}\} \times I)$ (cf. Proposition 3.3). It is now clear that $\bar{u}''$ can be extended trivially to $\bar{u}'''$: $B \to X$, and the resulting map $\bar{u} = \bar{u}' \cup \bar{u}'''$: $I^{n+1} = C \cup B \to X$ extends $u'$ and is $\epsilon$-admissible with respect to the first $n$ coordinates.

**Theorem 5.6.** The category Diff has a structure of a model category in the sense of [3, Definition 3.3], where a smooth map $f: X \to Y$ is

1. a weak equivalence if $f$ is a weak homotopy equivalence,
2. a fibration if $f$ is a $J$-fibration, and
3. a cofibration if $f$ is an $I$-cofibration.

We shall prove Theorem 5.6 by verifying the following axioms (cf. [3]).

- **MC1** Finite limits and colimits exist.
- **MC2** If $f$ and $g$ are maps such that $g \circ f$ is defined and if two of the three maps $f$, $g$, $g \circ f$ are weak equivalences, then so is the third.
- **MC3** If $f$ is a retract of $g$ and $g$ is a fibration, cofibration, or a weak equivalence, then so is $f$.
- **MC4** Given a commutative square of the form

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \longrightarrow & X \\
\downarrow^i & & \downarrow^p \\
B & \longrightarrow & Y
\end{array}
$$

the dotted arrow exists so as to make the two triangles commutative if either (i) $i$ is a cofibration and $p$ is a trivial fibration, or (ii) $i$ is a trivial cofibration and $p$ is a fibration.

- **MC5** Any map $f$ can be factored in two ways: (i) $f = p \circ i$, where $i$ is a cofibration and $p$ is a trivial fibration, and (ii) $f = p \circ i$, where $i$ is a trivial cofibration and $p$ is a fibration.
Axiom MC1 follows from the fact that Diff has small limits and colimits, and MC2 follows from the functoriality of induced maps combined with the change of basepoint homomorphism (Proposition 1.10). Axiom MC3 is straightforward from the definitions (cf. [3, 8.10]). In order to verify MC4 and MC5, we need several lemmas and propositions.

We say that a diffeological space $X$ has the weak diffeology with respect to its covering $\{X_j\}_{j \in J}$ if it satisfies the following condition: a parameterization $P: U \to X$ is a plot of $X$ if and only if there is an element $j \in J$ such that $P$ is written locally as the composition of a plot of $X_j$ with the inclusion $X_j \to X$.

**Lemma 5.7.** Let $\delta$ be an ordinal and $X: \delta \to \text{Diff}$ a $\delta$-sequence of inclusions. Then colim $X$ has the weak diffeology with respect to the covering consisting of the images of $X_\alpha$ ($\alpha < \delta$).

**Proof.** Let $\pi: \coprod_{\alpha < \delta} X_\alpha \to \text{colim} X$ be the natural map. Given a plot $P: U \to \text{colim} X$ of $\text{colim} X$ and $r$ in $U$, there exist an open neighborhood $V$ of $r$ and a plot $Q: V \to \coprod_{\alpha < \delta} X_\alpha$ of $\coprod_{\alpha < \delta} X_\alpha$ such that $P|V = \pi \circ Q$ holds. Then, by the definition of sum diffeology, there exist an open neighborhood $W$ of $r$ and a plot $Q': W \to X_\beta$ of $X_\beta$ such that $Q|W$ is the composition of $Q'$ with the inclusion $i_\beta: X_\alpha \to \coprod_{\alpha < \delta} X_\alpha$. Thus we have $P|W = \pi \circ i_\beta \circ Q'$, showing that colim $X$ has the weak diffeology with respect to the covering consisting of the images of $\pi \circ i_\alpha: X_\alpha \to \text{colim} X$. \qed

**Proposition 5.8.** The spaces $I^n$, $\partial I^n$ and $L^{n-1}$ are finite relative to inclusions.

**Proof.** Suppose $X: \delta \to \text{Diff}$ is a $\delta$-sequence of inclusions. We need only show that if $f: I^n \to \text{colim} X$ is smooth then its image is contained in some $X_\alpha$. To see this, put $g = f \circ \lambda^n: \mathbb{R}^n \to \text{colim} X$. Then $g$ is a plot of $\text{colim} X$, and we have $f(I^n) = g(I^n)$ because $\lambda^n(I^n)$ covers the image $\lambda^n$. By Lemma 5.7 there exist for any $v \in I^n$ an open neighborhood $V_v$ of $v$ and a plot $P_v: V_v \to X_{\alpha(v)}$ such that $g|V_v$ coincides with the composition of $P_v$ with the inclusion $X_{\alpha(v)} \to \text{colim} X$. Since $I^n \subset \bigcup_{v \in I^n} V_v$ and $I^n$ is compact, there exist $v_1, \ldots, v_k \in I^n$ satisfying $f(I^n) = g(I^n) \subset \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq k} X_{\alpha(v_k)}$.

Thus by putting $\alpha = \max\{\alpha(v_k) \mid 1 \leq j \leq k\}$ we have $f(I^n) \subset X_\alpha$. \qed

**Corollary 5.9.** Let $X: \delta \to \text{Diff}$ be a $\delta$-sequence of inclusions that are also weak equivalences. Then the inclusion $X_0 \to \text{colim} X$ is a weak equivalence.

**Proof.** Let $i_\alpha: X_0 \to \text{colim} X$ and $j_\alpha: X_0 \to X_\alpha$ denote the natural maps. We need to show that the induced map $i_{0*}: \pi_0(X_0, x) \to \pi_0(\text{colim} X, i_0(x))$ is bijective for every $n \geq 0$ and $x \in X_0$.

Suppose $n = 0$. Then, for any $y \in \text{colim} X$ there is an $\alpha$ such that $y$ is contained in $X_\alpha$. But, as $j_\alpha$ is a weak equivalence, there exists a point $x \in X_\alpha$ contained in the path component of $y$. Thus $i_{0*}: \pi_0 X_0 \to \pi_0 \text{colim} X$ is surjective. That $i_{0*}$ is injective follows from the preceding corollary.
Suppose now that \( g \) is an element of \( \pi_n(\colim X, i_0(x)) \), where \( n \geq 1 \). Then, by Proposition 5.8 there exists a map \( \gamma: (I^n, \partial I^n) \to (X_\alpha, j_\alpha(x)) \) such that \( g = i_{\alpha*}(\gamma) \) holds. Since \( j_\alpha: X_0 \to X_\alpha \) is a weak equivalence, there is an element \( [\tilde{\gamma}] \in \pi_n(X_0, x) \) satisfying \( j_\alpha([\tilde{\gamma}]) = [\gamma] \). Thus we have
\[
g = i_{\alpha*}(\gamma) = i_{\alpha*}(j_\alpha([\tilde{\gamma}])) = i_{\alpha*}j_\alpha([\tilde{\gamma}]) = i_\alpha([\tilde{\gamma}])
\]
implies that \( i_\alpha \) is surjective. That \( i_\alpha \) is injective is proved similarly. \( \square \)

**Proposition 5.10.** Let \( p: X \to Y \) be a smooth map between diffeological spaces. Then \( p \) is an \( I \)-fibration if and only if it is a trivial \( J \)-fibration.

**Proof.** We first show that an \( I \)-fibration \( p: X \to Y \) is a weak equivalence, that is, the induced map \( p_*: \pi_n(X, x) \to \pi_n(Y, p(x)) \) is bijective for every \( n \geq 0 \) and \( x \in X \). Let \( \gamma: (I^n, \partial I^n) \to (Y, p(x)) \) be a tame map, and let \( c_\gamma: \partial I^n \to X \) be the constant map with value \( x \in X \). Then we have a commutative square
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\partial I^n & \xrightarrow{c_\gamma} & X \\
\downarrow i_n & & \downarrow p \\
I^n & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & Y.
\end{array}
\]
Since \((c_\gamma, \gamma)\) is \( \epsilon \)-admissible for some \( \epsilon > 0 \), there is a lift \( \tilde{\gamma}: I^n \to X \) satisfying \( \tilde{\gamma} \circ i_n = c_\gamma \) and \( p \circ \tilde{\gamma} = \gamma \). Thus we have \( p_*([\gamma]) = [\gamma] \), implying that \( p_* \) is a surjection. To see that \( p_* \) is injective, let \( \gamma_0 \) and \( \gamma_1 \) be tame maps \((I^n, \partial I^n) \to (X, x)\) such that \( p_*([\gamma_0]) = p_*([\gamma_1]) \) holds in \( \pi_n(Y, p(x)) \). Then there exists a tame homotopy \( H: I^n \times I \to Y \) between \( p \circ \gamma_0 \) and \( p \circ \gamma_1 \) relative to \( \partial I^n \). Let \( \gamma: \partial I^{n+1} \to X \) be a tame map which takes \((t, s)\) to \( \gamma_\alpha(t) \) if \((t, s) \in I^n \times \{0, 1\}\), and to \( x \) if \((t, s) \in \partial I^n \times I \). Then we have a commutative square
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\partial I^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & X \\
\downarrow i_{n+1} & & \downarrow p \\
I^n \times I & \xrightarrow{H} & Y.
\end{array}
\]
Hence there exists a lift \( \tilde{H}: I^n \times I \to X \) which gives a homotopy \( \gamma_0 \simeq \gamma_1 \). Thus we have \([\gamma_0] = [\gamma_1]\), showing that \( p_* \) is injective.

To see that \( p \) is a \( J \)-fibration, take an \( \epsilon \)-admissible pair consisting of \( f: L^{n-1} \to X \) and \( g: I^n \to Y \). Then we have a commutative square
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\partial I^{n-1} \times \{1\} & \xrightarrow{f|\partial I^{n-1} \times \{1\}} & X \\
\downarrow i_{n-1} & & \downarrow p \\
I^{n-1} \times \{1\} & \xrightarrow{g|I^{n-1} \times \{1\}} & Y.
\end{array}
\]
Since \( f|\partial I^{n-1} \times \{1\} \) and \( g|I^{n-1} \times \{1\} \) are \( \epsilon \)-admissible, there is an \( \epsilon \)-admissible lift \( \tilde{g}: I^{n-1} \times \{1\} \to X \), and consequently we can define \( \tilde{f}: \partial I^n \to X \) to be the union \( f \cup \tilde{g}: L^{n-1} \cup I^{n-1} \times \{1\} \to X \). Clearly, \( \tilde{f} \) is \( \epsilon \)-admissible, and hence there exists an \( \epsilon \)-admissible lift \( G: I^n \to X \) satisfying \( p \circ G = g \) and \( G \circ i_n = \tilde{f} \). But this means \( G|L^{n-1} = f \), implying that \( p \) is a \( J \)-fibration.

Conversely, suppose \( p: X \to Y \) is a trivial \( J \)-fibration. Let \( f: \partial I^n \to X \) and \( g: I^n \to Y \) be an \( \epsilon \)-admissible pair. We need to show that there is
an $\epsilon$-admissible lift $G: I^n \to X$ satisfying $p \circ G = g$ and $G \circ i_n = f$. Let $e = (1, \cdots, 1) \in I^n$ and $x = f(e)$. Since $p \circ f = g|_{\partial I^n}$ is null homotopic and $p$ is a weak equivalence, there exists by Lemma 5.9 a tame homotopy $F: (\partial I^n, \{e\}) \times I \to (X, x)$ from the constant map to $f$. Here, $F$ can be taken to be $\epsilon$-admissible by Proposition 5.10. Let us define $H: J^n \to Y$ by

$$H(t, s) = \begin{cases} g(t), & (t, s) \in I^n \times \{1\}. \\ p(F(t, s)), & (t, s) \in \partial I^n \times I \end{cases}$$

Since $H$ is $\epsilon$-admissible, it can be extended by Corollary 5.3 to an $\epsilon$-admissible homotopy $H': I^n \times I \to Y$ from $\gamma': (I^n, \partial I^n) \to (Y, p(x))$ to $g$. But as $p$ is a weak equivalence, there exist a tame map $\gamma: (I^n, \partial I^n) \to (X, x)$ and a tame homotopy $H'': (I^n, \partial I^n) \times I \to (Y, p(x))$ from $p \circ \gamma$ to $\gamma'$. Again, we may assume by Proposition 5.4 that $\gamma$ and $H''$ are $\epsilon$-admissible. Let $\tau$ be such that $\epsilon^2 < \tau < 1/2$, and define a bijection $\theta: [1/2, 1] \to [0, 1]$ by

$$\theta(s) = T_{\epsilon^2}(s) - 1/2 + T_{1/2-\epsilon^2}(s - 1/2).$$

Then we can define $K: L^n \to X$ and $G': I^n \times I \to Y$ by the formula,

$$K(t, s) = \begin{cases} F(t, \theta(s)), & (t, s) \in \partial I^n \times [1/2, 1] \\ x, & (t, s) \in \partial I^n \times [0, 1/2] \\ \gamma(t), & (t, s) \in I^n \times \{0\}, \end{cases}$$

$$G'(t, s) = \begin{cases} H'(t, \theta(s)), & 1/2 \leq s \leq 1. \\ H''(t, 1 - \theta(1 - s)), & 0 \leq s \leq 1/2. \end{cases}$$

As we have $1 - \theta(1 - s) = s$ for $s \leq \epsilon^2$ and $\theta(s) = s$ for $s \geq 1 - \epsilon^2$, both $K$ and $G'$ are $\epsilon$-admissible and $p \circ K = G' \circ j_{n+1}$ holds. Hence there is an $\epsilon$-admissible lift $\tilde{G}: I^n \times I \to X$ satisfying $p \circ \tilde{G} = G'$ and $\tilde{G}|J^n = K$, and consequently, we have an $\epsilon$-admissible lift $G = \tilde{G}|I^n \times \{1\}: I^n \to X$ satisfying $G \circ i_n = f$ and $p \circ G = g$.

Proposition 5.10 says that $f$ is a cofibration if and only if it satisfies the left lifting property with respect to trivial $J$-fibrations. Hence we have

**Corollary 5.11.** Axiom MC4 holds under the condition (i).

We now introduce infinite gluing construction similar to that of [3] by employing the terminology of relative $K$-cell complex.

**Definition 5.12.** Let $K = \{k_n: K^{n-1} \to I^n\}$ be either $I$ or $J$. A smooth map $f: X \to Y$ is called a relative $K$-cell complex if there is an ordinal $\delta$ and a $\delta$-sequence $Z: \delta \to \text{Diff}$ such that $f: X \to Y$ coincides with the composition $Z_0 \to \text{colim} Z$ and that for each $\beta$ such that $\beta + 1 < \delta$, there is a pushout square

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\partial I^{d_{\beta+1}} & \xleftarrow{\phi_{\beta+1}} & Z_\beta \\
\downarrow{k_{d_{\beta+1}}} & & \downarrow{} \\
I^{d_{\beta+1}} & \xrightarrow{\phi_{\beta+1}} & Z_{\beta+1},
\end{array}
$$

that is, $Z_{\beta+1}$ is an adjunction space $Z_\beta \cup (\phi_{\beta+1}, k_{d_{\beta+1}}) I^{d_{\beta+1}}$ for some $d_{\beta+1} \geq 0$. 
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Proposition 5.13. Given $f: X \to Y$, there is a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
X & \to & F^1(K, f) & \to & F^2(K, f) & \to & \cdots & \to & F^l(K, f) & \to & \cdots \\
\downarrow \sigma_1 & & \downarrow \tau_1 & & \downarrow \sigma_2 & & \downarrow \tau_2 & & \downarrow \sigma_l & & \downarrow \tau_l \\
Y & \to & G^1(K, f) & \to & G^2(K, f) & \to & \cdots & \to & G^l(K, f) & \to & \cdots
\end{array}
\]

such that the following hold:

1. Each arrow in the upper row is a relative $K$-cell complex, and hence so is the composition $i_l^*: X \to F^l(K, f)$ for every $l \geq 1$.
2. Each arrow in the middle row is an inclusion, and hence so is the composition $i_l^*: X \to G^l(K, f)$ for every $l \geq 1$.
3. The maps $\tau_l$ and $\sigma_l$ are homotopy inverse to each other.
4. The colimit $i_\infty^*: X \to G^\infty(K, f)$ of the maps $i_l^*$ is a $K$-cofibration.
5. The map $p_\infty: G^\infty(K, f) \to Y$ induced by the maps $p_l$ is a $K$-fibration.

To prove this, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.14. For any $\epsilon$ satisfying $0 < \epsilon \leq 1/2$, there is a subduction $\rho_\epsilon: I^n \to \tilde{I}_n$ enjoying the following properties: Any smooth map $f: I^n \to X$ which is $\epsilon$-admissible on $K^{n-1}$ is $\epsilon$-admissible on $I^n$ if and only if it factors through $\rho_\epsilon$. Moreover, $\rho_\epsilon$ has a homotopy inverse $\iota_\epsilon: \tilde{I}_n \to I^n$ such that $\iota_\epsilon \circ \rho_\epsilon \simeq 1$ rel $I^n(\epsilon^n)$ and $\rho_\epsilon \circ \iota_\epsilon \simeq 1$ rel $I^n(\epsilon^n)$ hold.

Proof. Define a smooth map $\rho_\epsilon: I^n \to I^n$ by the formula:

\[\rho_\epsilon(t_1, \cdots, t_{n-1}, u) = (T_{u(1), b(1)}(t_1), \cdots, T_{u(n), b(n)}(t_{n-1}), T_{\epsilon^{n-1}, \epsilon^n}(u)),\]

where $(a(u), b(u)) \equiv (\epsilon^{n-1}, \epsilon^n)$ if $K^{n-1} = \partial I^n$, while in the case of $K^{n-1} = L^{n-1}$, $a(u)$ and $b(u)$ are taken to be non-decreasing functions satisfying $(a(u), b(u)) = (\epsilon^{n-1}, \epsilon^n)$ for $0 \leq u \leq 1 - \epsilon^{n-1}$ and $(a(1), b(1)) = (\epsilon^n, \epsilon^{n-1})$. (cf. the proof of Proposition 5.13). Let $\tilde{I}_n$ be the $n$-cube equipped with the pushforward by $\rho_\epsilon$ of the standard diffeology of $I^n$. Then it follows by definition that $\rho_\epsilon: I^n \to \tilde{I}_n$ is a subduction.

Suppose $f: I^n \to X$ is $\epsilon$-admissible on $K^{n-1}$. If $f$ factors through $\rho_\epsilon$ then $\tilde{f} = \rho_\epsilon \circ f$ is $\epsilon$-admissible on $I^n$ because $\rho_\epsilon$ is $\epsilon^{n+1}$-tame on $I^n$ and, additionally, is $\epsilon^n$-tame on $I^n \times \{1\}$ when $K^{n-1} = L^n$. On the other hand, if $f$ is $\epsilon$-admissible then we can define $\tilde{f}: \tilde{I}_n \to X$ by putting $\tilde{f}(t) = f(\rho_\epsilon^{-1}(t))$, which is well-defined because $\rho_\epsilon$ is bijective on $I^n(\epsilon^{n+1})$ and $\tilde{f}$ is $\epsilon^{n+1}$-tame. Thus we have a factorization $f = \tilde{f} \circ \rho_\epsilon$, which in turn implies $\tilde{f}$ is smooth because $\rho_\epsilon$ is a subduction.

Now, let $\iota_\epsilon: \tilde{I}_n \to I^n$ be the identity map. Then $\iota_\epsilon$ is smooth because the diffeology of $\tilde{I}_n$ is finer than the standard diffeology. Moreover, if we put

\[h(t, u) = (1 - u)\rho_\epsilon(t) + ut, \quad (t, u) \in I^n \times I\]

then $h: I^n \times I \to I^n$ gives $\iota_\epsilon \circ \rho_\epsilon \simeq 1$ rel $I^n(\epsilon^n)$. While on the other hand, if we define $\tilde{h}: \tilde{I}_n \times I \to \tilde{I}_n$ by the formula $\tilde{h}(t, u) = \rho_\epsilon(h(\rho_\epsilon^{-1}(t), u))$ then $\tilde{h}$ is smooth because $\rho_\epsilon \circ h = \tilde{h} \circ (\rho_\epsilon \times 1)$ holds and $\rho_\epsilon \times 1$ is a subduction. Clearly, $\tilde{h}$ gives a homotopy $\rho_\epsilon \circ \iota_\epsilon \simeq 1$ relative to $I^n(\epsilon^n)$.

\[\square\]
Proof of Proposition 5.13 We proceed by induction on \( l \). For \( l = 0 \), we take \( F^0(\mathcal{K}, f) = G^0(\mathcal{K}, f) = X \), \( i_0 = \iota'_0 = \sigma_0 = \tau_0 = 1 \), and \( p_0 = p'_0 = f \). Suppose \( F^{l-1}(\mathcal{K}, f) \) and \( G^{l-1}(\mathcal{K}, f) \) exist, and let \( S(k_n, p_{l-1}) \) be the set of pairs of tame maps \( u: K^{n-1} \to G^{l-1}(\mathcal{K}, f) \) and \( v: I^n \to Y \) satisfying \( p_{l-1} \circ u = v \circ k_n \).

Let
\[
C = \prod_{n \geq 0} \prod_{(u, v) \in S(k_n, p_{l-1})} I^n, \quad K = \prod_{n \geq 0} \prod_{(u, v) \in S(k_n, p_{l-1})} K^{n-1},
\]
and put \( F^l(\mathcal{K}, f) = F^{l-1}(\mathcal{K}, f) \cup (\sigma_{l-1} u, k) C \), where \( k: K \to C \) is the inclusion and \( u: K \to G^{l-1}(\mathcal{K}, f) \) is the union of the maps \( u: K^{n-1} \to G^{l-1}(\mathcal{K}, f) \) for \((u, v) \in S(k_n, p_{l-1})\). More explicitly, \( F^l(\mathcal{K}, f) \) is the union of adjunction spaces \( F^{l-1}(\mathcal{K}, f) \cup (\sigma_{l-1} u, k_n) I^n \). Thus, with a suitable choice of total order on \( \prod_{n \geq 0} S(k_n, p_{l-1}) \) the inclusion \( F^{l-1}(\mathcal{K}, f) \to F^l(\mathcal{K}, f) \) is a relative \( \mathcal{K} \)-cell complex, and hence so is the composition \( \iota'_l : X \to F^l(\mathcal{K}, f) \).

To construct \( G^l(\mathcal{K}, f) \), choose for each \((u, v) \in S(k_n, p_{l-1})\) the largest constant \( \epsilon \) such that both \( u \) and \( v \) are \( \epsilon \)-admissible \((0 < \epsilon \leq 1/2)\), and denote by \( \rho_{u,v} : I^n \to \tilde{I}_{u,v} \) the subtraction \( \rho_{u,v} : I^n \to \tilde{I}_{u,v} \). Let \( \tilde{K}^{n-1}_{u,v} = \rho_{u,v}(K^{n-1}) \) and \( \tilde{k}_n : \tilde{K}^{n-1}_{u,v} \to \tilde{I}_{u,v} \) be the inclusion. Since \( u \) and \( v \) are \( \epsilon \)-admissible, there exist smooth maps \( \tilde{u}: \tilde{K}^{n-1}_{u,v} \to G^{l-1}(\mathcal{K}, f) \) and \( \tilde{v}: \tilde{I}_{u,v} \to Y \) such that \( u = \tilde{u} \circ \rho_{u,v} \) and \( v = \tilde{v} \circ \rho_{u,v} \) hold (Lemma 5.14).

Now, let
\[
\tilde{C} = \prod_{n \geq 0} \prod_{(u, v) \in S(k_n, p_{l-1})} \tilde{I}_{u,v} I^n, \quad \tilde{K} = \prod_{n \geq 0} \prod_{(u, v) \in S(k_n, p_{l-1})} \tilde{K}^{n-1}_{u,v},
\]
and put \( G^l(\mathcal{K}, f) = G^{l-1}(\mathcal{K}, f) \cup (\tilde{u}, \tilde{k}) \tilde{C} \), that is, the union of adjunction spaces \( G^{l-1}(\mathcal{K}, f) \cup (\tilde{u}, \tilde{k}_n) \tilde{I}_{u,v} I^n \). As we have \( p_{l-1} \circ \tilde{u} = \tilde{v} \circ \tilde{k}_n \), there is a map \( (p_l)_{u,v} : G^{l-1}(\mathcal{K}, f) \cup (\tilde{u}, \tilde{k}_n) \tilde{I}_{u,v} I^n \to Y \) making the diagram below commutative:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
K^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\rho_{u,v}} & \tilde{K}^{n-1}_{u,v} \\
\downarrow{k_n} & & \downarrow{\tilde{k}_n} \\
I^n & \xrightarrow{\rho_{u,v}} & \tilde{I}_{u,v} \\
\tilde{u} & & \tilde{v} \\
\downarrow{\tilde{u}_{u,v}} & & \downarrow{\tilde{v}_{u,v}} \\
G^{l-1}(\mathcal{K}, f) \cup (\tilde{u}, \tilde{k}_n) \tilde{I}_{u,v} I^n & \xrightarrow{(p_l)_{u,v}} & Y.
\end{array}
\]

Thus we have an inclusion \( G^{l-1}(\mathcal{K}, f) \to G^l(\mathcal{K}, f) \) and \( p_l : G^l(\mathcal{K}, f) \to Y \) defined as the union of the maps \( (p_l)_{u,v} \) above.

We define \( \gamma_l : F^l(\mathcal{K}, f) \to G^l(\mathcal{K}, f) \) and \( \sigma_l : G^l(\mathcal{K}, f) \to F^l(\mathcal{K}, f) \) to be the respective compositions \( \gamma_l \circ \tau_l \) and \( \sigma_l \circ \sigma_{l-1} \) in the diagram below. For brevity, we abbreviate \( F^{l-1}(\mathcal{K}, f) \) to \( F^{l-1} \) and \( G^{l-1}(\mathcal{K}, f) \) to \( G^{l-1} \).

\[
F^{l-1} \cup (\sigma_{l-1} u, k) \xrightarrow{\gamma_l} G^{l-1} \cup (u, k) \xrightarrow{\tau_l} F^l \cup (\tilde{u}, \tilde{k}) \tilde{C}.
\]

Here \( \sigma_l \) is the map induced by \( \sigma_{l-1} \) and \( \tau_l \) is the composition

\[
F^{l-1} \cup (\sigma_{l-1} u, k) \xrightarrow{\gamma_{l-1}} G^{l-1} \cup (\gamma_{l-1} \sigma_{l-1} u, k) \xrightarrow{\gamma} G^{l-1} \cup (u, k) \xrightarrow{\gamma} F^l \cup (\tilde{u}, \tilde{k}) \tilde{C},
\]

where \( \gamma_{l-1} \) is induced by \( \gamma_{l-1} \) and \( \gamma \) is a homotopy equivalence given by Lemma 5.13. As we have shown in the proof of Proposition 5.11 \( \sigma_l \) and \( \tau_l \) are homotopy inverse to each other. On the other hand, \( \gamma_l \) and \( \gamma_{l-1} \) are induced by
the subductions $\rho_{u,v}: I^n \to \tilde{I}_{u,v}^n$ and their homotopy inverses $\iota_{u,v}: \tilde{I}_{u,v}^n \to I^n$
given by Lemma 5.14. But if $u$ is $\varepsilon$-admissible then $u$ is $\varepsilon^n$-tame, and hence
the homotopies $\iota_{u,v} \circ \rho_{u,v} \simeq 1$ rel $I^n(\varepsilon^n)$ and $\rho_{u,v} \circ \iota_{u,v} \simeq 1$ rel $I^n(\varepsilon^n)$
induce homotopy equivalence $G^{l-1}(\mathcal{K},f) \cup (u,k_n) I^n \simeq G^{l-1}(\mathcal{K},f) \cup (\tilde{u},k_n) \tilde{I}_{u,v}^n$ relative to $G^{l-1}(\mathcal{K},f)$. Thus $\tau_l'$ and $\sigma_l''$ are homotopy inverse to each other,
and so are the compositions $\tau_l$ and $\sigma_l$.

We next show that $i_\infty: X \to G^\infty(\mathcal{K},f)$ is a $\mathcal{K}$-fibration, and let $q: E \to B$
be a $\mathcal{K}$-fibration, and let $r: X \to E$ and $s: G^\infty(\mathcal{K},f) \to B$ be smooth maps
satisfying $q \circ r = s \circ i_\infty$. Let us write $s_l = s|G^l(\mathcal{K},f)$ for $l \geq 1$. Starting from $h_0 = r$,
we shall inductively construct a lift $h_l: G^l(\mathcal{K},f) \to E$ such that the following diagram commutes.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{r} & E \\
\downarrow{u} & \nearrow{h_l} & \downarrow{q} \\
G^l(\mathcal{K},f) & \longrightarrow & B.
\end{array}
\]

Suppose $h_{l-1}$ exists. Then for every $(u,v) \in S(k_n,p_{l-1})$ we have a commutative
diagram (in which we abbreviate $G^l(\mathcal{K},f)$ to $G^l$)

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
K^{n-1} & \overset{\rho_{u,v}}{\longrightarrow} & \tilde{K}_{u,v}^{n-1} \\
\downarrow{k_n} & \nearrow{\tilde{u}} & \downarrow{k_n} \\
I^n & \overset{\rho_{u,v}}{\longrightarrow} & I^n(\tilde{u},k_n) \\
\downarrow{\iota_{u,v}} & \nearrow{\tilde{I}_{u,v}^n} & \downarrow{\iota_{u,v}} \\
G^{l-1} & \longrightarrow & G^{l-1} \\
\downarrow{\iota_{u,v}} & \nearrow{\tilde{I}_{u,v}^n} & \downarrow{\iota_{u,v}} \\
G^l(\mathcal{K},f) & \longrightarrow & G^l(\mathcal{K},f) \\
\downarrow{q} & \nearrow{s_l} & \downarrow{q} \\
B.
\end{array}
\]

Since the upper and lower horizontal compositions are $\varepsilon$-admissible, there is an $\varepsilon$-admissible lift $h'_{u,v}: I^n \to E$ making the diagram commutative. But then $h'_{u,v}$ induces a unique map $h''_{u,v}: \tilde{I}_{u,v} \to E$ such that $h'_{u,v} = h''_{u,v} \circ \rho_{u,v}$, and hence $h''_{u,v} \circ \tilde{k}_n = h_{l-1} \circ \tilde{u}$ hold. Consequently, there exists a lift $\tilde{h}_{u,v}: G^{l-1}(\mathcal{K},f) \cup (\tilde{u},k_n) \tilde{I}_{u,v} \to E$ for every $(u,v) \in S(k_n,p_{l-1})$ such that

\[
h_l = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \bigcup_{(u,v) \in S(k_n,p_{l-1})} \tilde{h}_{u,v}: G^l(\mathcal{K},f) \to E
\]

makes the diagram [5.2] commutative. Now, by taking the colimit as $l \to \infty$
we obtain a lift $h_\infty: G^\infty \to E$ satisfying $h_\infty \circ i_\infty = r$ and $q \circ h_\infty = s$.

To see that $p_\infty$ is a $\mathcal{K}$-fibration, let $r: K^{n-1} \to G^\infty(\mathcal{K},f)$ and $s: I^n \to Y$
be an $\varepsilon$-admissible pair, and $l$ be an integer such that the image of $r$ is contained in $G^l(\mathcal{K},f)$ (Proposition 5.8). Then there is a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
K^{n-1} & \overset{r}{\longrightarrow} & G^l(\mathcal{K},f) \\
\downarrow{k_n} & \nearrow{\tilde{u}^{n+1}} & \downarrow{\tilde{k}_n} \\
I^n & \overset{p_{l+1}}{\longrightarrow} & G^{l+1}(\mathcal{K},f) \\
\downarrow{\tilde{u}^{n+1}} & \nearrow{\tilde{I}_{u,v}^n} & \downarrow{\tilde{u}^{n+1}} \\
G^\infty(\mathcal{K},f) & \longrightarrow & G^\infty(\mathcal{K},f) \\
\downarrow{p_\infty} & \nearrow{p_\infty} & \downarrow{p_\infty} \\
Y.
\end{array}
\]

As $(r,s) \in S(k_n,p_1)$, there is an $\varepsilon$-admissible lift $I^n \to G^\infty(\mathcal{K},f)$ given as the composition

\[
I^n \overset{\tilde{r}_{r,s}}{\longrightarrow} \tilde{I}_{r,s} \overset{\tilde{h}_{r,s}}{\longrightarrow} G^l(\mathcal{K},f) \cup (\tilde{r},k_n) \tilde{I}_{r,s} \overset{\subset}{\longrightarrow} G^{l+1}(\mathcal{K},f) \to G^\infty(\mathcal{K},f).
\]
Lemma 5.15. For any \( f : X \to Y \), the map \( i_\infty : X \to G^\infty(\mathcal{J}, f) \) is a weak equivalence.

Proof. By Corollary 5.9 it suffices to show that the inclusion \( G^k(\mathcal{J}, f) \to G^{k+1}(\mathcal{J}, f) \) is a weak equivalence for every \( k \geq 0 \), or equivalently, so is \( F^k(\mathcal{J}, f) \to F^{k+1}(\mathcal{J}, f) \). But this follows from the fact that if \( u : L^{n-1} \to W \) is tame then there is a deformation retraction of the adjunction space \( Z = W \cup (u, j_n) I^n \) onto \( W \). To verify this, let \( i : W \to Z \) be the inclusion and \( \Phi : I^n \to Z \) be the natural map. Suppose \( u \) is \( \epsilon \)-tame for some \( \epsilon > 0 \), and define \( H : I^n \times I \to I^n \) by

\[
H(t, s) = (1 - s)t + s R_\epsilon(t),
\]

where \( R_\epsilon : I^n \to L^{n-1} \) is an \( \epsilon \)-approximate retraction (cf. Lemma 5.12). Then the composition \( F = \Phi \circ H : I^n \times I \to Z \) satisfies

\[
F(t, 0) = \Phi(t), \quad F(t, 1) \subset W, \quad F(t, s) = i(u(t)) \text{ if } (t, s) \in L^{n-1} \times I
\]

because \( \Phi|L^{n-1} = i \circ u \) is \( \epsilon \)-tame. Hence the map \( G : W \times \bigcup I^n \times I \to Z \), which takes \( (x, s) \in W \times I \) to \( i(x) \) and \( (t, s) \in I^n \times I \) to \( F(t, s) \), factors through the subduction \( i \times 1 \bigcup \Phi \times 1 : W \times \bigcup I^n \times I \to Z \times Z \times I \) (Lemma 4.12), which in turn induces a map \( K : Z \times I \to Z \) making the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
W \times \bigcup I^n \times I & \xrightarrow{G} & Z \\
i \times 1 \bigcup \Phi \times 1 & & \downarrow K \\
Z \times I & \xrightarrow{K} & Z \times I
\end{array}
\]

commutative. It is easily verified that the homotopy \( K \) satisfies \( K_0 = 1_Z \), \( K_1(Z) \subset W \), and \( K_u|W = 1_W \) (0 \( \leq u \leq 1 \)). Hence \( W \) is a deformation retract of \( Z = W \cup (f, j_n) I^n \).

By combining Propositions 5.10 and 5.13 we obtain MC5 (i).

Proposition 5.16. In the factorization \( X \xrightarrow{i_\infty} G^\infty(\mathcal{J}, f) \xrightarrow{p_\infty} Y \), the map \( i_\infty \) is a cofibration and \( p_\infty \) is a trivial fibration.

On the other hand, Proposition 5.13 and Lemma 5.15 imply MC5 (ii).

Proposition 5.17. In the factorization \( X \xrightarrow{i_\infty} G^\infty(\mathcal{J}, f) \xrightarrow{p_\infty} Y \), the map \( i_\infty \) is a trivial cofibration and \( p_\infty \) is a fibration.

Finally, we verify MC4 (ii) by making use of the preceding proposition.

Proposition 5.18. Every trivial cofibration has the left lifting property with respect to fibrations.

Proof. Suppose \( i : X \to Y \) is a trivial cofibration and \( p : A \to B \) a fibration. Let \( f : X \to A \) and \( g : Y \to B \) be smooth maps such that \( p \circ f = g \circ i \) holds. Let us take the factorization \( i = p_\infty \circ i_\infty : X \to G^\infty(\mathcal{J}, i) \to Y \), where \( i_\infty \) is a trivial cofibration and \( p_\infty \) is a fibration. Because \( i \) and \( i_\infty \) are weak equivalences, \( p_\infty \) is a weak equivalence, and hence a trivial fibration.
Now, consider the commutative square

\[
\begin{array}{c}
X \\ \downarrow ^{i} \\
G^\infty (J, i) \\
Y \\
\end{array}
\]

As \( i \) is a cofibration, there exists a lift \( h: Y \to G^\infty (J, i) \) such that \( p_\infty \circ h = 1 \) and \( h \circ i = i_\infty \) hold (MC4 (i)). Hence we obtain a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
X \\ \downarrow ^{i} \\
X \\
\downarrow ^{i_\infty} \\
G^\infty (J, i) \\
Y \\
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
f \\
\downarrow ^{p_\infty} \\
A \\
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
h \\
\downarrow ^{p_\infty} \\
B \\
\end{array}
\]

As \( i_\infty \) is a \( J \)-cofibration, there exists a lift \( g': G^\infty (J, i) \to A \) making the diagram commutative. Thus we obtain a desired left lift \( g' \circ h: Y \to A \).

This completes the proof of Theorem 5.6.

6. Quillen equivalence between \( \text{Diff} \) and \( \text{Top} \)

We shall show that there exists a Quillen equivalence between the model categories \( \text{Diff} \) and \( \text{Top} \).

Let us briefly recall the Quillen model structure on \( \text{Top} \). Let \( D^n \) be the unit \( n \)-disk in \( \mathbb{R}^n \), and let \( S^{n-1} \) be the unit \( n \)-sphere in \( \mathbb{R}^n \). Let \( I' \) be the set of boundary inclusions \( S^{n-1} \to D^n \), \( J \) the set of the inclusions \( D^n \times \{0\} \to D^n \times I \), and \( W_{\text{Top}} \) the class of weak homotopy equivalences.

**Theorem 6.1** ([5, 2.4.19]). There exists a finitely generated model structure on \( \text{Top} \) with \( I' \) as the set of generating cofibrations, \( J \) as the set of generating trivial cofibrations, and \( W_{\text{Top}} \) as the class of weak equivalences.

It follows that a continuous map \( p: X \to Y \) between topological spaces is a fibration in \( \text{Top} \) if it has the right lifting property with respect to the inclusions \( D^n \times \{0\} \to D^n \times I \), and is a trivial fibration if it has the right lifting property with respect to the inclusions \( S^{n-1} \to D^n \).

There is an adjunction \( (T, D, \varphi) \) from \( \text{Diff} \) to \( \text{Top} \), where

1. the left adjoint \( T: \text{Diff} \to \text{Top} \) takes a diffeological space to the topological space which has the same underlying set, but is equipped with the initial topology with respect to the plots of \( X \);
2. the right adjoint \( D: \text{Top} \to \text{Diff} \) takes a topological space to the diffeological space which has the same underlying set, but is equipped with the diffeology consisting of all continuous parameterizations;
3. \( \varphi \) is a natural isomorphism \( \text{hom}_{\text{Top}}(TX, Y) \cong \text{hom}_{\text{Diff}}(X, DY) \).

The main result of this section is the following.

**Theorem 6.2.** The adjunction \( (T, D, \varphi) \) induces a Quillen equivalence between the model categories \( \text{Diff} \) and \( \text{Top} \).

To see that \( (T, D, \varphi) \) is a Quillen adjunction, we need the following lemma.
Lemma 6.3. The topological spaces $T I^n$, $T \partial I^n$, and $T L^{n-1}$ are respectively homeomorphic to the topological subspaces $I^n$, $\partial I^n$, and $L^{n-1}$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. It is clear that $TR^n$ is homeomorphic to the topological space $\mathbb{R}^n$. As $I^n$ is a convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$, we conclude that $TI^n$ and $I^n$ are homeomorphic by [2, Lemma 3.16], which in turn implies that $T \partial I^n$ and $T L^{n-1}$ are homeomorphic to $\partial I^n$ and $L^{n-1}$, respectively. □

Proposition 6.4. $(T,D,\varphi)$ is a Quillen adjunction from $\textbf{Diff}$ to $\textbf{Top}$.

Proof. It suffices to show that the right adjoint $D: \textbf{Top} \to \textbf{Diff}$ preserves fibrations and trivial fibrations by [5, Lemma 1.3.4]. Suppose $p: X \to Y$ is a trivial fibration in $\textbf{Top}$. We shall show that $Dp: DX \to DY$ is a trivial fibration, or equivalently, an $I$-fibration (cf. Proposition 5.10). Suppose we are given an $\epsilon$-admissible pair given by $f: \partial I^n \to DX$ and $g: I^n \to DY$.

Then we have a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\partial TI^n & \xrightarrow{Tf} & TX \\
\downarrow{i_n} & & \downarrow{\varepsilon_X} \\
TI^n & \xrightarrow{g} & TY \\
\downarrow{Tp} & & \downarrow{\varepsilon_Y} \\
X & & Y.
\end{array}
\]

Since $(TI^n, T\partial I^n) \cong (D^n, S^{n-1})$ and $p$ is a trivial fibration, there exists a lift $G: TI^n \to X$ satisfying $p \circ G = \varepsilon_Y \circ Tg$ and $G \circ i_n = \varepsilon_X \circ Tf$. Moreover, $G$ can be taken to be $\epsilon^n$-tame by composing, if necessary, with the map $c(\epsilon^n): I^n \to I^n$, where $c(\epsilon^n): I \to I$ is the cut-off function having value $\max\{\epsilon^n, t\}$ for $t \leq 1/2$ and $\min\{1 - \epsilon^n, t\}$ for $t \geq 1/2$.

Now, let us define a smooth map $\tilde{g}: I^n \to DX$ to be the composition

\[
I^n \xrightarrow{\eta} DTI^n \cong DI^n \xrightarrow{DG} DX.
\]

Then $\tilde{g}$ is an $\epsilon$-admissible lift satisfying $\tilde{g} \circ i_n = f$ and $Dp \circ \tilde{g} = g$, showing that $Dp: DX \to DY$ is an $I$-fibration. Hence $D$ preserves trivial fibrations.

By arguing similarly, but with $\partial I^n$ replaced $L^{n-1}$, we can show that $D$ also preserves fibrations. □

Lemma 6.5. Any cofibration $i: A \to X$ is a retract of $i_\infty: A \to G^\infty(I,i)$, that is, there is a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{i} & A \\
\downarrow{i} & & \downarrow{i} \\
X & \xrightarrow{h} & G^\infty(I,i) \\
\downarrow{i_\infty} & & \downarrow{p_\infty} \\
& & X.
\end{array}
\]

Proof. Consider the commutative square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{i_\infty} & G^\infty(I,i) \\
\downarrow{i} & & \downarrow{p_\infty} \\
X & \xrightarrow{p_\infty} & X.
\end{array}
\]

Since $i$ is a cofibration and $p_\infty$ is a trivial fibration, there exists a lift $h: X \to G^\infty(I,i)$ making the two triangles commutative. Clearly, this means that the diagram (6.1) is commutative.
Definition 6.6. A diffeological space $X$ is called an $I$-cell complex if the map $\emptyset \to X$ is a relative $I$-cell complex, and is called a gathered $I$-cell complex if all its attaching maps are tame.

By the definition, $F^l(I, \emptyset \to X)$ is a gathered $I$-cell complex for every $X$ and $1 \leq l \leq \infty$.

Proposition 6.7. $(T, D, \varphi)$ is a Quillen equivalence if the natural map $X \to DTX$ is a weak equivalence for every gathered $I$-cell complex $X$.

Proof. Since every objects in $\text{Top}$ is fibrant, and since $D$ reflects weak equivalences, $(T, D, \varphi)$ is a Quillen equivalence if $X \to DTX$ is a weak equivalence for every cofibrant $X$ (cf. [5, Corollary 1.3.16]). Thus, to prove the proposition it suffices to show that if $X \to DTX$ is a weak equivalence for every gathered $I$-cell complex $X$ then so is for every cofibrant $X$.

Suppose $X$ is cofibrant, that is, the map $i: \emptyset \to X$ is a cofibration. Let $Z = G^\infty(I, i)$ and let $h: X \to Z$ be the map satisfying $p_\infty \circ h = 1$ given by Lemma 6.5. Then $h$ is a weak equivalence because so is $p_\infty$. Moreover, the map $Z \to DTZ$ is a weak equivalence because $G^l(I, i)$ is homotopy equivalent to the gathered $I$-cell complex $F^l(I, i)$ and $DT$ preserves homotopies (cf. Proposition 7.1). Now, we have a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi_n(X, x_0) & \xrightarrow{h_*} & \pi_n(Z, h(x_0)) \\
\pi_n(DTX, x_0) & \xrightarrow{DT h_*} & \pi_n(DTZ, h(x_0)) \\
\pi_n(DTX, x_0) & \xrightarrow{DT p_\infty*} & \pi_n(DTX, x_0)
\end{array}
$$

in which top horizontal arrows and middle vertical arrow are isomorphisms. By the commutativity of the left hand square, we see that $\pi_n(X, x_0) \to \pi_n(DTX, x_0)$ is a monomorphism. On the other hand, as we have $DT(p_\infty)_* \circ DT h_* = DT(p_\infty \circ h)_* = (1_{DTX})_* = 1_{\pi_n(DTX, x_0)}$, $DT(p_\infty)_*$ is an epimorphism, hence so is $\pi_n(X, x_0) \to \pi_n(DTX, x_0)$ for any $x_0 \in X$, implying that $X \to DTX$ is a weak equivalence.

Thus, Theorem 6.2 is a consequence of the next proposition.

Proposition 6.8. If $X$ is a gathered $I$-cell complex then $X \to DTX$ is a weak equivalence.

By adjointness, the smooth homotopy groups of $(DTX, x_0)$ are naturally isomorphic to the continuous homotopy groups of $(TX, x_0)$. Therefore, Proposition 6.8 follows from the two statements below. For brevity, we write “$f: Z \to W$ is continuous” to mean $f$ is a continuous map from $TZ$ to $TW$. Similarly, if $f: Z \to W$ is continuous and $A$ is a subspace of $Z$ then “$f$ is tame on $A$” means that $f|A: A \to W$ is smooth and tame.

1. Any continuous map $f: (I^n, \partial I^n) \to (X, x_0)$ is continuously homotopic to a tame map $g: (I^n, \partial I^n) \to (X, x_0)$.

2. If there is a continuous homotopy between tame maps $f_0$ and $f_1$, then there exists a smooth homotopy $g: (I^n, \partial I^n) \times I \to (X, x_0)$ such that $g_0 = f_0$ and $g_1 = f_1$ hold.

Clearly, these two statements are consequences of the next proposition.
Proposition 6.9. Let $X$ be a gathered $I$-cell complex, and let $f : I^n \to X$ be a continuous map. Then $f$ is continuously homotopic to a tame map $g : I^n \to X$. If $f$ is tame on a cubical subcomplex $L$ of $I^n$ then the homotopy $f \simeq Tg$ can be taken to be relative to $L$.

To prove this, we require several lemmas.

Lemma 6.10. Let $f : I^n \to X$ be a continuous map which is tame on a cubical subcomplex $L$. Suppose there is a tame map $g' : I^n \to X$ and a homotopy $f \simeq Tg'$ restricting to a tame homotopy $f|L \simeq g'|L$. Then there exists a homotopy $f \simeq Tg$ relative to $L$ such that $g : I^n \to X$ is tame.

Proof. It is obvious that there is a homotopy $f \simeq f'$ rel $L$ such that $f'$ is tame as a continuous map (cf. Lemma 3.11). Hence we may assume from the beginning that $f$ is a continuous tame map. Let $h : I^n \times I \to X$ be a continuous homotopy from $f$ to $g'$ which restricts to a tame homotopy $f|L \simeq g'|L$. Then, by applying Theorem 4.6 to the tame map $g'$ and the tame homotopy $g'|L \simeq f|L$ given by the inverse to $h|L \times I$, we obtain a tame homotopy $g' \simeq g$ such that $g$ is tame and satisfies $g|L = f|L$. However, the composite homotopy $f \simeq g' \simeq g$ is not a homotopy relative to $L$ because its restriction to $L$ is the composition of $f|L \simeq g'|L$ with its inverse $g|L \simeq f|L$, and hence is not constant.

To convert $f \simeq g$ into a homotopy relative to $L$, let $\mu(t) = \lambda(2t) - \lambda(2t - 1)$ and define $h' : L \times I \times I \to X$ by $h'(v, t, s) = h(v, (1 - s)\mu(t))$. Then $h'$ gives $f|L \simeq g'|L \simeq f|L$ on $L \times I \times \{0\}$ and the constant homotopy on $L \times I \times \{1\}$. Now, let $K = I^n \times \{0, 1\} \cup L \times I$ and define $H : K \times I \to X$ by putting $H(v, 0, s) = f(v)$, $H(v, 1, s) = g(v)$, and $H(v, t, s) = h'(v, t, s)$ for $v \in L$. Then, by the homotopy extension property we can extend $H$ to a continuous homotopy $G : I^n \times I \times I \to X$. Clearly, $G|I^n \times I \times \{1\}$ gives a continuous homotopy $f \simeq g : I^n \to X$ relative to $L$. □

We also need the classical Whitney approximation on manifolds.

Lemma 6.11 (c.f. Theorem 10.21). Let $N$ and $M$ be a smooth manifolds, and let $F : N \to M$ be a continuous map. Then $F$ is homotopic to a smooth map $\hat{F} : N \to M$. If $F$ is smooth on a closed subset $L \subset N$, then the homotopy can be taken to be relative to $L$.

We are now ready to prove Proposition 6.9.

Proof of Proposition 6.9. Let $f : I^n \to X$ be a continuous map which is tame on a subcomplex $K \subset I^n$. As $I^n$ is compact, $f(I^n)$ is contained in a finite subcomplex. Thus we may assume $X$ is a finite $I$-cell complex.

Let $m$ be the number of cells of $X$, so that there is a finite sequence

$$\emptyset = X_0 \to X_1 \to \cdots \to X_m = X$$

such that for each $k \leq m$ there are an integer $d_k \geq 0$ and a pushout square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\partial I^{d_k} & \xrightarrow{\partial} & X_{k-1} \\
\downarrow \phi_k & & \downarrow \\
I^{d_k} & \xrightarrow{\phi_k} & X_k,
\end{array}$$

where $\phi_k : I^{d_k} \to X_k$ is an embedding.
We shall prove the statement by induction on \( m \). If \( m = 1 \) then the statement holds because \( X_1 \) is a point. Let \( m > 1 \) and suppose the statement is true for any gathered \( I \)-cell complex having less than \( m \) cells. If \( d_m = 0 \) then \( X \) is the disjoint union \( X_{m-1} \coprod I^0 \) and the statement surely holds. Suppose \( d_m > 0 \) and \( \phi_m \) is \( \epsilon \)-tame. If we put \( r = (T_{\epsilon/2,T})^{d_m}; I^{d_m} \to I^{d_m} \) then we have \( \phi_m((1-t)v + tr(v)) = \phi(v) \) for \( (v, t) \in \partial I^{d_m} \times I \) because \( \phi_m \) is \( \epsilon \)-tame. Hence we can define \( h: X \times I \to X \) by the formula

\[
h(x, t) = \begin{cases} 
\Phi_m((1-t)v + tr(v)), & x = \Phi_m(v) \in \Phi_m(I^{d_m}) \\
x, & x \in X_{m-1}.
\end{cases}
\]

As \( r \) is \( \epsilon/2 \)-tame, we see that \( h \) is smooth. Now, let

\[
U = \Phi_m(I^{d_m} - \partial I^{d_m}) \quad \text{and} \quad V = \Phi_m(I^{d_m} - [\epsilon, 1 - \epsilon]^{d_m}) \cup X_{m-1}.
\]

Then we have the following.

1. The set \( \{U, V\} \) is an open cover of \( X \).
2. \( U \) is diffeomorphic to the euclidean space \( \mathbb{R}^{d_m} \).
3. There is a smooth homotopy \( h: X \times I \to X \) relative to \( X_{m-1} \) such that \( h_0 \) is the identity and \( h_1 \) gives a retraction of \( V \) onto \( X_{m-1} \).

Let \( Sd_k(I^n) \) be the cubical subdivision of \( I^n \) consisting of subcubes

\[
K_J = \left[ \frac{j_1 - 1}{k}, \frac{j_1}{k} \right] \times \cdots \times \left[ \frac{j_n - 1}{k}, \frac{j_n}{k} \right]
\]

where \( J = (j_1, \ldots, j_n) \in \{1, \ldots, k\}^n \). By taking \( k \) large enough, we may assume each \( f(K_J) \) is contained in either \( U \) or \( V \).

We construct a homotopy from \( f \) to a tame map \( g \) in several steps.

**Step 1.** We construct a homotopy \( f \simeq f' \) which restricts to a tame homotopy \( f|L \simeq f'|L \) and is such that \( f' \) is tame not only on \( L \) but also on every subface \( L \cap K_J \). Let \( f' \) be the composition \( f' = f \circ \xi \), where \( \xi: I^n \to I^n \) is a tame map such that for every \( J \) the restriction \( \xi|K_J \) is identical with \( T^n_{\sigma,\tau} \) under the evident homeomorphism \( K_J \cong I^n \). Then \( f' \) is tame on \( L \cap K_J \) and there is a homotopy \( f \simeq f' \) induced by the smooth homotopy \( 1 \simeq \xi \) arising from a suitably chosen tame homotopy \( 1 \simeq T_{\sigma,\tau} \).

**Step 2.** Let \( M \) be the set of labels \( J \) such that \( f'(K_J) \) is contained in \( U \). For every subset \( M' \) of \( M \), write \( K(M') = \bigcup_{J \in M'} K_J \subset Sd_k(I^n) \). We shall inductively construct a continuous homotopy

\[
f'|K(M) \simeq g'_M: K(M) \to U \quad \text{rel} \ L \cap K(M)
\]

such that \( g'_M \) is piecewise tame, that is, restricts to a tame map \( K_J \to U \) for every \( J \in M \). Evidently, this means that \( g'_M \) is smooth all over \( K(M) \).

Let us endow \( M \) with the lexicographical order, and denote

\[
M^J = \{ J' \in M \mid J' < J \}, \quad M_0^J = M^J \cup \{ J \}.
\]

for every \( J \in M \). Suppose there is a continuous homotopy

\[
f'|K(M^J) \simeq g'_M^J: K(M^J) \to U \quad \text{rel} \ L \cap K(M^J)
\]

such that \( g'_M^J \) is piecewise tame. To see that \([6.2]\) exists, it suffices to show that the homotopy \([6.3]\) can be extended to a homotopy

\[
f'|K(M^J) \simeq g'_M^J: K(M^J) \to U \quad \text{rel} \ L \cap K(M^J)
\]
such that $g^\prime_M'$ is piecewise tame. By the homotopy extension property with respect to $(K_J, L \cap K_J \cap K(M'_0))$, there exists a continuous homotopy

$$f'\mid K_J \simeq \tilde{f}'_J: K_J \to U \text{ rel } L \cap K_J,$$

which coincides with (6.3) on the surface $K(M'_0) \cap K_J$. But as $U$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{dm}$, we can apply the Whitney approximation theorem (Lemma 6.11) to get a homotopy

$$\tilde{f}'_J \simeq g'_J: K_J \to U \text{ rel } (L \cup K(M'_0)) \cap K_J$$

such that $g'_J$ is smooth. Moreover, $g'_J$ can be taken to be tame by composing, if necessary, with (suitably rescaled) $T^d_{\sigma, \tau}: K_J \to K_J$ with $\tau$ sufficiently small. Thus, we get (6.4) by pasting (6.3) and (6.5) together, and hence (6.2) exists.

**Step 3.** Let us write $\rho = h_1: X \to X$, and put $f'' = \rho \circ f'$, $g''_M = \rho \circ g'_M$. By Step 2, there is a continuous homotopy

$$f''\mid (K(M) \simeq g''_M: K(M) \to X \text{ rel } L \cap K(M)$$

obtained from (6.2) by composing with $\rho$.

If $J$ is not contained in $M$ then $f'(K_J)$ is contained in $V$, and hence $f''(K_J) = \rho(f'(K_J))$ is contained in $X_{m-1}$. By the inductive assumption, we can apply Proposition 6.9 to $f''|K_J$ (with $I^n$ and $L$ replaced by $K_J$ and $L \cap K_J$, respectively). Thus, by arguing as in the previous step, but using the assumption of the induction instead of the Whitney approximation, we can extend (6.6) to a continuous homotopy

$$f'' \simeq g'': Sd_k(I^n) \to X \text{ rel } L$$

such that $g''$ is piecewise tame.

**Step 4.** Thus we have a composite homotopy $f \simeq f' \simeq f'' \simeq g''$ such that $g''$ is piecewise tame with respect to $Sd_k(I^n)$. But every piecewise tame map from $Sd_k(I^n)$ is tame as a map from $I^n$. Hence we may regard $g''$ as a tame map $I^n \to X$. Moreover, the homotopy $f \simeq g''$ we have constructed restricts to a tame homotopy $f|L \simeq \rho \circ f|L$ on $L$. Hence there exist by Lemma 6.10 a tame map $g$ and a continuous homotopy $f \simeq g$ rel $L$.

This completes the proof of the proposition. \qed

### 7. Comparison with the Model Category $\text{NG}$

Let $\text{NG}$ be the full subcategory of $\text{Top}$ consisting of those objects $Y$ such that the counit $TDY \to Y$ is a homeomorphism, and let $\text{STop}$ be the full subcategory of $\text{Diff}$ consisting of those $X$ such that the unit $X \to DTX$ is a diffeomorphism. As we have shown in [12], $\text{NG}$ can be identified with the full subcategory of $\text{Top}$ consisting of numerically generated (same as $\Delta$-generated) spaces; while on the other hand, $\text{STop}$ can be identified with the category of topological spaces with numerically continuous maps as morphisms. As in [12] Section 4], we denote by $\text{smap}(X, Y)$ the set of numerically continuous maps $X \to Y$ equipped with the topology such that the following holds (Proposition 4.7 of [12]):

$$D\text{smap}(X, Y) = C^\infty(DX, DY).$$
The category $\mathcal{NG}$ is cartesian closed with respect to exponentials $Y^X = \nu \text{smap}(X,Y)$, where $\nu$ denotes the coreflection $TD: \text{Top} \rightarrow \mathcal{NG}$.

It is clear that $T$ factors as a composition $\text{Diff} \rightarrow \mathcal{NG} \rightarrow \text{Top}$, and $D$ factors as $\text{Top} \rightarrow \text{STop} \rightarrow \text{Diff}$. Clearly, $T$ and $D$ induce an inverse equivalence between $\text{STop}$ and $\mathcal{NG}$.

Proposition 7.1. Both $T: \text{Diff} \rightarrow \mathcal{NG}$ and $D: \text{Top} \rightarrow \text{STop}$ preserve homotopies.

Proof. If $f: X \times I \rightarrow Y$ is a smooth homotopy between $f_0$ and $f_1$ then we have a composition

$$TI \xrightarrow{TE} T \mathcal{C}^\infty(X,Y) \rightarrow T \mathcal{C}^\infty(DTX,DTY) = \nu \text{smap}(TX,TY)$$

where $F: I \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(X,Y)$ is the adjoint to $f$. Since $\nu \text{smap}(TX,TY) = TY^{TX}$ is the exponential object in $\mathcal{NG}$, we obtain, by adjunction, a continuous homotopy $TX \times TI \cong TX \times [0,1] \rightarrow TY$ between $Tf_0$ and $Tf_1$.

On the other hand, if $g: Z \times TI \rightarrow W$ is a continuous homotopy between $g_0$ and $g_1$ then the composition

$$DZ \times I \rightarrow DZ \times DTI = D(Z \times TI) \xrightarrow{Dg} DW$$

gives a smooth homotopy between $Dg_0$ and $Dg_1$. □

Remark. The inclusion $\text{STop} \rightarrow \text{Diff}$ also preserves homotopies, hence so does $D: \text{Top} \rightarrow \text{Diff}$. But the situation is subtle for $\mathcal{NG} \rightarrow \text{Top}$, as it does not commute with product nor $\text{Top}$ is not cartesian closed.

By [4, Theorem 3.3], $\mathcal{NG}$ has a finitely generated model structure that is Quillen equivalent to that of $\text{Top}$ under the adjunction $(i,\nu)$, where $i$ is the inclusion of $\mathcal{NG}$ into $\text{Top}$ and $\nu = TD$ is the coreflection $\text{Top} \rightarrow \mathcal{NG}$.

Thus, there is a sequence of Quillen equivalences between model categories

$$\text{Diff} \xrightarrow{T} \mathcal{NG} \xrightarrow{i} \text{Top}.$$ 

As a final note of the paper, we remark that there are non-cofibrant, but still geometrically interesting, diffeological spaces $X$ which have smooth homotopy groups not isomorphic to their continuous homotopy groups. Note that for such an $X$ the map $X \rightarrow DTX$ is not a weak equivalence, and hence it does not have the smooth homotopy type of a topological space.

To illustrate the situation, take the irrational torus $T_{\theta}$. We have shown in Example [4,8] that the smooth fundamental group of $T_{\theta}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^2$; while on the other hand, $TT_{\theta}$ has the trivial fundamental group because it is an indiscrete topological space. From this we observe the following.

Proposition 7.2. The natural map $T_{\theta} \rightarrow DT_{\theta}$ is not a weak equivalence. Moreover, $T_{\theta}$ does not have the smooth homotopy type of a topological space.

Proof. For a topological space $Y$ the smooth fundamental group of $DY$ is isomorphic to the continuous fundamental group of $Y$. In particular, by taking $Y = TT_{\theta}$, we see that the smooth fundamental group of $DTT_{\theta}$ is trivial. Therefore, $T_{\theta} \rightarrow DT_{\theta}$ cannot be a weak homotopy equivalence.

To prove the second assertion, suppose there is a homotopy equivalence $DY \simeq T_{\theta}$ for some $Y \in \text{Top}$. Since $D$ preserves homotopy groups, and since
TDY \to Y$ is a weak homotopy equivalence by [12, Proposition 5.4], we have $\pi_1(TDY) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$. But, on the other hand, we have $TDY \simeq T\mathbb{T}_0$ because $T$ preserves homotopy equivalences (Proposition 7.1). Hence $TDY$ have the trivial fundamental group, in contradiction to the previous assertion that $TDY$ must have the non-trivial fundamental group. □
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