arXiv:1311.6319 [gr-qc]

Int.J.Geom.Meth.Mod.Phys. 12 (2015) 1550004 © World Scientific Publishing Company

arXiv:1311.6319v3 [gr-qc] 21 Jan 2015

Effective field theory from modified gravity with massive modes

Salvatore Capozziello

Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Napoli "Federico II", Napoli, Italy.
Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN) Sez. di Napoli, Compl. Univ. di Monte S. Angelo, Edificio G, Via Cinthia, I-80126, Napoli, Italy.
Gran Sasso Science Institute (INFN), Via F. Crispi 7, I-67100, L' Aquila, Italy.

Mariafelicia De Laurentis

Tomsk State Pedagogical University, Department of Theoretical Physics, pr. Komsomolsky, 75, Tomsk, 634041, Russia

Mariacristina Paolella

Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Napoli "Federico II", Napoli, Italy.
Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN) Sez. di Napoli, Compl. Univ. di Monte S. Angelo, Edificio G, Via Cinthia, I-80126, Napoli, Italy.

Giulia Ricciardi

Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Napoli "Federico II", Napoli, Italy.
Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN) Sez. di Napoli, Compl. Univ. di Monte S. Angelo, Edificio N, Via Cinthia, I-80126, Napoli, Italy.

Massive gravitational modes in effective field theories can be recovered by extending General Relativity and taking into account generic functions of the curvature invariants, not necessarily linear in the Ricci scalar R. In particular, adopting the minimal extension of $f(R)$ gravity, an effective field theory with massive modes is straightforwardly recovered. This approach allows to evade shortcomings like ghosts and discontinuities if a suitable choice of expansion parameters is performed.

Keywords: Modified gravity; effective field theories; massive gravitons.

1. Introduction

The long standing problem of graviton mass [1,2,3,4,5,6,7] has recently excited a renewed interest both at fundamental and cosmological level. From one side, massive gravitational states could be the signature of some effective theory quantization. From the other side, massive gravitons could be the natural candidates for dark matter capable of structuring self-gravitating astrophysical systems [9,10].

Even though a quantum description of gravity has not been achieved yet [11, 12], it is possible to quantize gravity in the linear approximation of Minkowskian limit. Specifically, assuming General Relativity (GR) as the theory of gravitational

arXiv:1311.6319 [gr-qc]

interaction, the quantization in this limit gives rise to spin-2 massless bosons, i.e. the massless gravitons. Starting from this result, a reasonable question to ask is whether gravitons could be massive in some alternative theory of gravity where GR is only a limiting or a particular case [7,8]. However, the concept of massive gravitons poses some controversial issues that greatly complicate the formulation of self-consistent theories, such as the presence of ghost, instabilities, discontinuity and strong coupling effects at low energy scales [2,3,5,6]. In any case, massive graviton solutions cannot be simply ruled out if one wants to face coherently the problem of gravitational interaction in the ultraviolet limit [4,14,15,26].

On the other hand, a large amount of alternative theories of gravity has been recently developed in order to match the problem of cosmic acceleration in view of dark energy [17,18,19,20,16]. In all these approaches, the problem of massive gravitons emerges and has to be consistently considered also at infrared limit [23,21]. The original motivation is related to the observational evidence of the accelerated expansion of the Universe at the present epoch. This accelerated expansion may be due to the cosmological constant, to new weakly interacting fields constituting some kind of dark energy. The problem is that the dark energy scale appears to be smaller and smaller with respect to the energy scale of any known interactions. The unnatural smallness of dark energy density constitutes the cosmological constant problem. In this sense, infrared-modified gravity models could be phenomenologically relevant as a possible alternative to dark matter and dark energy whose effects at large scales could be originated by geometry, specifically by the further degrees of freedom emerging in alternative theories of gravity [19].

Based on the previous, as well as other, motivations, there have been several experimental searches for massive gravitons, resulting in upper limits for the mass which differ by several orders of magnitude. For example, a limit on the graviton mass ($\sim 8 \times 10^4$ eV) has been achieved by measuring the decay of two photons [24]. Besides, assuming that clusters of galaxies are bound by more-or-less standard gravity, it is possible to obtain an upper limit of $2 \times 10^{-29} h_0$ eV, where h_0 is the Hubble constant in units of $100\,\mathrm{km\,s^{-1}\,Mpc^{-1}}$ [25].

On the other hand, gravitational waves sector has a prominent role in this discussion. Gravitational waves coming from GR are described by the transverse-traceless gauge, which is a spin-2 tensor under rotations with massless modes. Beside of these standard results, it is possible to construct consistent models where Lorentz invariance is broken and the masses of scalar, vector and tensor perturbations are different from zero. A direct limit on the mass of graviton can be obtained from gravitational waves by binary stellar systems and from the inspiral rate inferred from the timing of binary pulsars. This bound is about 7.6×10^{-20} eV for the binary pulsar PSR B1913+16 [26]. The same limit can be also obtained by studying binary systems in $f(R)$-gravity [27]. An estimate of the graviton mass upper limit of about 7×10^{-32} eV, is obtained by considering the effect of gravitons on the power spectrum of weak lensing, with assumptions about dark energy and other parameters [13].

arXiv:1311.6319 [gr-qc]

From a genuine theoretical point of view, the study of gravitons is challenging due to the problem of reconciling gravity and quantum field theories describing fundamental interactions. A bridge is represented by effective field theories (EFT), that allow to analyze different energy regimes separately (see e.g. [28,29]). In general, since the effective Lagrangians is non-renormalizable, due to an infinite number of counterterms, one retains only a few of them, in a phenomenological approach where only leading terms are necessary. This means that the determination of the effective degrees of freedom is a crucial point for any effective theory and this fact is even more important in connection with gravity.

Technically, a way to build up an effective Lagrangian is to identify some expansion parameters and classify terms in the Lagrangian according to such parameters. Without knowing the underlying fundamental theory, the coefficients of the expansion are necessarily unknown, and their values have to be determined, in principle, by experiments.

In this paper, we take into account an effective theory of gravity that follows naturally from Extended Theories of gravity (ETG) (see e.g. [17]). The action can be expanded in powers of the Ricci curvature scalar R satisfying a massive Klein Gordon field equation. In particular, by linearizing $f(R)$ gravity, the Lagrangian describes a massive scalar field where a mass scale m emerges naturally. The theory does not predict the value of this mass, but it does predict its connection with parameters of the ETG Lagrangian. It is possible to identify correlations between the coefficients of the effective Lagrangioan, which may, in turn, induce correlations among observables at different scales. A first result is that the assumption of an effective Lagrangian derived from $f(R)$ gravity allows to escape the problem of scalar ghosts in massive theories, as pointed out in [2]. In the limit where $m \gg \Lambda$ (being Λ the cosmological constant), we achieve a physically acceptable scalar field satisfying a homogeneous Klein Gordon equation and then one achieves an effective field Lagrangian bypassing some of the problems raised in [2] where GR, i.e. $f(R) = R$, was considered. The paper is organized as follows. Sec. 2 is devoted to the construction of the field equations for analytical $f(R)$ gravity models. Here we put in evidence the main parameters of the theory defining an effective massive mode related to the further degrees of freedom coming from $f(R)$. The linearized theory is discussed in Sec.3. In particular, we derive and discuss the emergence of massive modes and how they contribute in the construction of the effective Lagrangian. The effective Lagrangian and its features are considered in Sec. 4. Conclusions are drawn in Sec. 5.

2. Field equations for $f(R)$ gravity

Let us consider a 4-dimensional action in vacuum for a generic function $f(R)$ of the Ricci scalar [17,18,19,20]

$$S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}f(R)\,, \tag{1}$$

arXiv:1311.6319 [gr-qc]

where the Ricci scalar is defined as $R = g^{\mu\nu}R_{\mu\nu}$, and g is the determinant of the metric. The only assumption at this stage is that $f(R)$ is an analytic function (*i.e.* Taylor expandable) in term of the Ricci scalar, that is

$$f(R) = \sum_n \frac{f^n(R_0)}{n!}(R - R_0)^n = f_0 + f_0^{'}R + \frac{1}{2}f_0''R^2 + ..., \tag{2}$$

where we recover the flat-Minkowski background as soon as $R = R_0 = 0$ and $f_0 = 0$. Here $f'(R) = \dfrac{df(R)}{dR}$ and $f''(R) = \dfrac{d^2f(R)}{dR^2}$ indicate the derivative with respect to the Ricci scalar R. We have defined $f_0 = f(R)|_{R=R_0}$, $f_0^{'} = f'(R)|_{R=R_0}$ and so on. At the second order of approximation in term of R, the above action (1) becomes:

$$S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[f_0 + f_0'R + \frac{1}{2}f_0''R^2\right]. \tag{3}$$

This can be viewed as an EFT Lagrangian, naturally emerging in the context of ETG. In a bottom-up approach, from the point of view of unconstrained EFT, there is no rationale, like symmetries or renormalizability, for choosing the gravitational action proportional to R like in GR, except indications that the curvature R is rather small. Moreover, there are infinite terms allowed by general coordinate invariance, such as $R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu}$, where $R_{\mu\nu}$ is the Ricci tensor, $R_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}$, where $R_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}$ is the Riemann tensor, derivatives of R, and so on. Where one has to truncate the expansion is somehow a matter of choice, and the coefficients are completely unknown from a theoretical point of view. Instead, the terms in the action (3) follow from the underlying ETG, which can also give indications on the coefficients and the order of the series. Here we are choosing the simplest possibility considering an analytical $f(R)$ theory of gravity.

By varying the action (3) with respect to the metric, we obtain the field equations

$$-\frac{f_0}{2}g_{\mu\nu} + f_0'G_{\mu\nu} - f_0''\left[\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu R - g_{\mu\nu}\Box R + R\left(\frac{1}{4}Rg_{\mu\nu} - R_{\mu\nu}\right)\right] = 0\,, \tag{4}$$

where

$$G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R \tag{5}$$

is the Einstein tensor and $\Box = \nabla_\sigma\nabla^\sigma$ is the d'Alembert operator with ∇_σ indicating covariant derivatives. It is interesting to note that if we rewrite the ETG Lagrangian in the form:

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-g}\left[\frac{f_0}{f_0'} + R + \frac{1}{2}\frac{f_0^{''}}{f_0^{'}}R^2\right] f_0', \tag{6}$$

we can identify the cosmological constant term as $\dfrac{f_0}{f_0'} = -2\Lambda$. We are working in Planck units, therefore we assume that the Lagrangian in Action (6) is multiplied by $1/16\pi G$, where G is the Newton constant. From now on, we will work in in

arXiv:1311.6319 [gr-qc]

"modified" Planck units, that is we will assume a multiplicative factor $1/16\pi\tilde{G}$, with $\tilde{G} = G/f_0'$, that reduces to the standard one as soon as $f_0' = 1$. Immediately, we have

$$\Lambda g_{\mu\nu} + G_{\mu\nu} - \frac{f_0''}{f_0'}\left[\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu R - g_{\mu\nu}\Box R + R\left(\frac{1}{4}Rg_{\mu\nu} - R_{\mu\nu}\right)\right] = 0\,. \tag{7}$$

The trace of above field equations gives

$$\Box R - \frac{f_0'}{3f_0''}(R - 4\Lambda) = 0\,. \tag{8}$$

Obviously, setting $f_0 = 0$, that is discarding the 0^{th} term, is equivalent to set to zero the cosmological constant, and the trace equation becomes

$$\Box R - \frac{f_0'}{3f_0''}R = 0\,. \tag{9}$$

Eqs. (8) and (9) are Klein-Gordon-like equations; indeed, by assuming that the ratio $f_0^{'}/f_0^{''}$ is negative, we can define an effective mass

$$m^2 \equiv -\frac{f_0^{'}}{3f_0^{''}} \tag{10}$$

so that we have

$$\Box R + m^2 R = 0\,, \tag{11a}$$

$$\Box R + m^2(R - 4\Lambda) = 0\,. \tag{11b}$$

It follows that the curvature R can be considered formally analogous to a massive scalar field [31]. We can neglect the non-homogeneous equation as soon as the condition

$$R \gg \Lambda \tag{12}$$

holds. Let us study now the linearized version of such a theory in order to interpret it in the context of EFT.

3. Linearized $f(R)$ gravity

In order to linearize the field equations (4) at first order in $h_{\mu\nu}$, we have to expand around the flat spacetime metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ [32,33,34]. Therefore we have

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}\,, \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu = (\eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu})dx^\mu dx^\nu\,, \tag{13}$$

with $h_{\mu\nu}$ small ($\mathcal{O}(h^2) \ll 1$). It is important to stress that the perturbation $h_{\mu\nu}$ is a symmetric tensor. The Ricci scalar, at the first order in metric perturbation, reads

$$R = \partial^\sigma\partial^\tau h_{\sigma\tau} - \Box h\,, \tag{14}$$

arXiv:1311.6319 [gr-qc]

where $h \equiv h^{\mu}_{\mu}$ is the trace of $h_{\mu\nu}$ and $\Box = \partial_\sigma \partial^\sigma$ that is reduced now to the standard d'Alembert operator defined on the underlying Minkowski spacetime where gravity is assumed as a perturbation. Considering the harmonic gauge condition[a]

$$\partial^\mu h_{\mu\nu} = 0 \tag{15}$$

we find

$$R = -\Box h \,. \tag{16}$$

The fluctuation of the metric on the background represents, in this approach, the field mediating the gravitational interaction. Our aim is now to identify its properties by setting the corresponding field equations.

Let us consider the homogeneous Klein-Gordon Eq. (11a). Substituting the expression for R given by Eq. (16), we find

$$\Box(\Box h + m^2 h) = 0 \,, \tag{17}$$

We can choose the trivial solution

$$\Box h + m^2 h = 0 \,, \tag{18}$$

and find the condition

$$\Box h = -m^2 h \,, \tag{19}$$

that is a sort of mass shell condition. We can also consider Eq. (11b) discussing the role of cosmological constant. As it is well known, a general solution is the sum of the field satisfying the associated homogeneous Eq. (11a) plus a particular solution R', that we can formally write as

$$R'(x) = 4\Lambda m^2 \int G(x, x') dx' \tag{20}$$

Here $G(x, x')$ is a non-local Green function satisfying the field equation

$$(\Box + m^2) G(x, x') = \delta(x, x') \,. \tag{21}$$

Only the scale m^2 appears in Eqs. (19) and (21), while R' is suppressed by Λ. We can reasonably assume that R' can be neglected with respect to the solutions of Eq. (11a), as far as the approximation $\Lambda \ll m^2$ holds.

Let us now rewrite the Lagrangian (6) in term of the perturbation. It is

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{f_0}{f_0'} + R + \frac{f_0''}{2 f_0'} R^2 \right] = \sqrt{-\det\left(\eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}\right)} \left(R - 2\Lambda - \frac{1}{6m^2} R^2 \right) . \tag{22}$$

[a]Such a condition is also called Hilbert, or De Donder or Lorentz gauge. In general, the harmonic gauge is defined in a curved background by the condition $\partial_\nu \left(g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{-g} \right) = 0$. Writing $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$ and expanding to linear order, the harmonic gauge reduces to the standard Lorentz gauge.

arXiv:1311.6319 [gr-qc]

where we have indicated the determinant of the metric. Substituting $R \to -\Box h$, we find

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-\det(\eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu})} \left[-2\Lambda - \Box h - \frac{1}{6m^2} (\Box h)^2 \right] , \tag{23}$$

and using the condition (19) as a sort of Lagrange multiplier (see also [35]), it becomes

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-\det(\eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu})} \left[m^2 h - 2\Lambda - \frac{m^2}{6} h^2 \right] . \tag{24}$$

Working out the square root up to the second order [b] in $h_{\mu\nu}$, we find

$$\sqrt{-\det(\eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu})} \simeq 1 + \frac{1}{2}h + \frac{1}{8}h^2 - \frac{1}{4} h_{\mu\nu} h^{\mu\nu} , \tag{25}$$

and the Lagrangian becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}h + \frac{1}{8}h^2 - \frac{1}{4} h_{\mu\nu} h^{\mu\nu} \right) \left(-2\Lambda + m^2 h - \frac{m^2}{6} h^2 \right) \\ &= -2\Lambda + (m^2 - \Lambda) h + \left(\frac{m^2}{3} - \frac{\Lambda}{4} \right) h^2 + \frac{1}{2}\, \Lambda\, h_{\mu\nu} h^{\mu\nu} + \frac{m^2}{24} h^3 - \frac{m^2}{48} h^4 \\ &\quad - \frac{m^2}{4} h\, h_{\mu\nu} h^{\mu\nu} + \frac{m^2}{24} h^2\, h_{\mu\nu} h^{\mu\nu} . \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

By truncating up to the second order in h, we get

$$\mathcal{L} = -2\Lambda + (m^2 - \Lambda) h + \left(\frac{m^2}{3} - \frac{\Lambda}{4} \right) h^2 + \frac{1}{2}\, \Lambda\, h_{\mu\nu} h^{\mu\nu} \tag{27}$$

This is a Lagrangian that describe a spin-0 particle and a spin-2 particle. The term proportional to h does not affect the calculation of perturbative observables, since it is linear in the creation and destruction operators. It vanishes when it is inserted between vacuum states.

4. The effective field Lagrangian

Eqs. (22) and (27) can be considered as effective Lagrangians written in different variables. In [30], the EFT is used to select the low energy modes, that are those of the GR, and contributions from quantum physics are analyzed. As in Eq. (22), the gravitational action is chosen proportional to powers of the curvature R, but the arbitrary motivation of this choice is the physical smallness of R. On the other hand, the expansion in R comes out naturally from the ETG where the coefficients are fixed from the effective Lagrangian.

[b] We expand $\sqrt{-\det(\eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu})}$ at the second order in $h_{\mu\nu}$, in agreement with the order of expansion of $f(R)$ in R.

arXiv:1311.6319 [gr-qc]

Let us compare the effective Lagrangian from linearized $f(R)$ gravity Eq. (27) with a Lagrangian derived on purely phenomenologically ground. The free part of the Lagrangian for a massless spin-2 field can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \frac{1}{2}\partial^\lambda(h_{\lambda\mu} + h_{\mu\lambda})\partial^\mu h - \frac{1}{4}\partial^\lambda\,(h_{\lambda\mu} + h_{\mu\lambda})\partial_\nu(h^{\mu\nu} + h^{\nu\mu}) + \\ + \frac{1}{8}\partial_\lambda(h_{\mu\nu} + h_{\nu\mu})\partial^\lambda(h^{\mu\nu} + h^{\nu\mu}) - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\lambda h\partial^\lambda h\,. \tag{28}$$

This form is derived on the basis of Lorentz invariance and gauge transformations as

$$h_{\mu\nu} \to h_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu\xi_\nu + \partial_\nu\eta_\mu \tag{29}$$

where ξ_ν and η_μ are eight arbitrary functions. Generic mass terms can be added

$$\mathcal{L}_m = -a_1 h^2 - a_2 h_{\mu\nu}h^{\mu\nu} - a_3 h_{\mu\nu}h^{\nu\mu} \tag{30}$$

with a_1, a_2 and a_3 being arbitrary coefficients. In our case, $h_{\nu\mu}$ is symmetric, therefore the second and the third term coincide, which is equivalent to set, for instance, $a_3 = 0$. The Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_m$ describes an effective theory with two particles of 0-spin and 2-spin, as the Lagrangian in Eq. (27). It has been demonstrated that, when $a_2 \neq a_3$, the condition of null divergence of h is not generally respected by the scalar field, resulting in negative energy, or indefinite metric, which are not physically acceptable [2]. In order to recover null divergence, the coefficients and the masses of Eq. (30) need to respect fixed relations among them.

It is not obvious to build sensible descriptions of the gravitational interaction with this characteristic. A standard way is to use the Hilbert-Einstein action for the massless gravitational field together with mass terms respecting the symmetries leading to the correct Ward identities. In [2], it is observed that such mass terms do not respect the relations necessary to a physically acceptable Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_m$, and we are forced to conclude that this description of massive gravity is not satisfactory.

In our case, the effective Lagrangian (27) evades the condition $a_2 \neq a_3$ assumed in [2]. In fact, the Lagrangian contains, at leading order, only terms proportional to powers of h, which correspond to $a_2 = a_3 = 0$. Additional contributions are suppressed by Λ, in the limit $\Lambda \ll m^2$, which is the same limit where dynamics of the scalar is described by the physical Klein Gordon equation (19). In other words, we can say that starting from an analytical $f(R)$ gravity model, it is quite natural to recover an EFT where massive modes emerge at scalar and tensor levels.

5. Conclusions

The issue of the consistency of a field theory for massive gravitons can be settled by extending the Einstein gravity through generic functions of curvature invariants. The minimal extension is $f(R)$ gravity where the standard Hilbert-Einstein action, linear in the Ricci scalar R is substituted by a generic function. From a dynamical

arXiv:1311.6319 [gr-qc]

viewpoint, this means that further degrees of freedom of gravitational field have to be taken into account and the possibility of massive gravitons naturally comes out, at least, as massive scalar modes.

In this paper, we have confronted the principal features of the Lagrangian resulting from the linearization of $f(R)$ gravity with the ones of the effective phenomenological Lagrangian previously discussed in [2]. The main result is that it is possible to obtain massive terms which indeed emerge naturally if one breaks spontaneously the diffeomorphism invariance of GR, and, in this case, for a certain interval of parameters, it is possible to evade ghosts and discontinuities.

Furthermore, it is possible to identify a natural mass scale m directly related to the expansion parameters of the theory. This fact could avoid to fix *by hand* the graviton mass since it comes directly from the structure of the theory. Upper limits (or mass ranges) could directly come by experimental constraints (see e.g. [34]). Finally, in the limit $m \gg \Lambda$ (or the less restrictive one $m^2 \gg \Lambda$), the theory results naturally regularized and the massive scalar satisfies a physically acceptable Klein Gordon equation. In a forthcoming paper, we will extend this approach to more general theories involving also the other curvature invariants. Quantitative constraints to the massive modes resulting from the present analysis will be included.

Acknowledgments

SC and MDL acknowledge partial support of INFN Sez. di Napoli (iniziative specifiche QGSKY and TEONGRAV). MDL is supported by MIUR (PRIN 2009). GR acknowledges partial support by MIUR under project 2010YJ2NYW. GR and MP acknowledge partial support of INFN Sez. di Napoli (iniziativa specifica RM21).

References

[1] M. Fierz and W. Pauli, On Relativistic Wave Equations For Particles Of Arbitrary Spin In An Electromagnetic Field, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A* **173**, (1939), 211-232.

[2] H. van Dam and M. J. G. Veltman, Massive And Massless Yang-Mills And Gravitational Fields, *Nucl. Phys. B* **22**, (1970), 397-411.

[3] V. I. Zakharov, Linearized Gravitation Theory and the Graviton Mass, *JETP Lett.* **12**, (1970), 312-314.

[4] A. I. Vainshtein, To The Problem Of Nonvanishing Gravitation Mass, *Phys. Lett. B* **39**, (1972), 393-394.

[5] D. G. Boulware and S. Deser, Can Gravitation Have A Finite Range?, *Phys. Rev. D* **6**, (1972), 3368-3382.

[6] N. Arkani-Hamed, H. Georgi and M. D. Schwartz, Effective field theory for massive gravitons and gravity in theory space, *Annals Phys.* **305**, (2003), 96-118.

[7] V. A. Rubakov and P. G. Tinyakov, Infrared-modified gravities and massive gravitons, *Phys. Usp.* **51**,(2008) 759-792.

[8] K. Hinterbichler, Theoretical Aspects of Massive Gravity, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **84**, (2012), 671-710.

[9] H. W. Lee, K.Y. Kim, and Y. S. Myung, Massive gravitons dark matter scenario revisited, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* 27, (2012), 1250146-1250159.

arXiv:1311.6319 [gr-qc]

[10] L. Bellagamba, R. Casadio, R. Di Sipio, V. Viventi, Black Hole Remnants at the LHC, *Eur.Phys.J.* **C 72**, (2012), 1957-1970 .
[11] L. Smolin, How far are we from the quantum theory of gravity? (2003), arXiv:hep-th/0303185
[12] C. Kiefer, Quantum gravity: General introduction and recent developments, *Annalen der Physik*, **15**, (2006),129-148.
[13] C. Will, The Confrontation between general relativity and experiment, *Living Reviews in Relativity*, **9**, (2006), 3-89.
[14] M. Visser, Mass for the graviton, *General Relativity and Gravitation*, 30, (1998), 1717-1728.
[15] C. Deffayet, G. Dvali, G. Gabadadze, A.Vainshtein, Nonperturbative continuity in graviton mass versus perturbative discontinuity, *Phys.Rev. D* **65**, (2002), 044026-044045.
[16] Dvali, G., Gabadadze, G., & Porrati, M. , 4-D gravity on a brane in 5-D Minkowski space, Physics Letters B, 485, (2000), 208-214.
[17] S. Capozziello, M. De Laurentis, Extended Theories of Gravity, *Phys. Rept.* **509**, (2011) 167-321.
[18] S. Nojiri, S.D. Odintsov, Unified cosmic history in modified gravity: from F(R) theory to Lorentz non-invariant models, *Phys. Rept.* **505**, (2011) 59-144.
[19] S. Capozziello, M. Francaviglia, Extended Theories of Gravity and their Cosmological and Astrophysical Applications, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **40**, (2008) 357-420.
[20] A. De Felice, S. Tsujikawa, f(R) theories, *Living Rev.Rel.* **13**, (2010), 3-139.
[21] S. Capozziello, G. Basini, M. De Laurentis, Deriving the mass of particles from Extended Theories of Gravity in LHC era, *Eur. Phys. Jou. C* **71**, (2011) 1679-1709.
[22] G. F. B. Riemann,G.F.B., *Uber dieHypothesen, welche der Geometrie zu Grunde liegen* , Abhand. K. Ges. Wiss. Gottingen, **13**, 133 (1868); English translation by Clifford, W. K. *Nature* **8**, 14, (1873); reprinted and edited by Weyl, H., Springer, Berlin, 1920. Included in its *Gesammelte Mathematische Werke, wissenschaftlicher Nachlass und Nachtrage*, eds. Weber, H., Dedekind, R., Teubner, B. G., Leipzig, (1892); 2d ed. Dover Publ., New York.
[23] C. Bogdanos, S. Capozziello, M. De Laurentis, S. Nesseris, Massive, massless and ghost modes of gravitational waves from higher-order gravity, *Astrop. Phys.***34**, (2010) 236-244.
[24] M. G. Hare, Mass of the graviton, *Canadian Journal of Physics*, 51, (1973) 431-433.
[25] A.S. Goldhaber, M.M. Nieto, Mass of the graviton, *Phys.Rev. D* **9** (1974) 1119-1121.
[26] L.S. Finn, P.J. Sutton, Bounding the mass of the graviton using binary pulsar observations, *Phys.Rev. D* **65** (2002) 044022-044037.
[27] M. De Laurentis, I. De Martino, Testing f(R) theories using the first time derivative of the orbital period of the binary pulsars, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astr. Soc.* **431** (1), (2013), 741-748.
[28] J. F. Donoghue, Introduction to the effective field theory description of gravity, (1995) gr-qc/9512024.
[29] C. P. Burgess, Quantum gravity in everyday life: General relativity as an effective field theory, *Living Rev. Rel.* **7** (2004) 5-62.
[30] J. F. Donoghue,The effective field theory treatment of quantum gravity, *AIP Conf. Proc.* **1483** (2012) 73-94
[31] A.A. Starobinsky, A New Type of Isotropic Cosmological Models Without Singularity, *Phys. Lett.* **B 91**, (1980), 99-102.
[32] M. Maggiore, *Gravitational Waves: Theory and Experiments* (Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2007).

arXiv:1311.6319 [gr-qc]

[33] M. De Laurentis, Newtonian and relativistic theory of orbits and emission of gravitational waves, *The Open Astronomy Journal* **4**, (2011), 1874-1918 .
[34] M. De Laurentis and S. Capozziello, Quadrupolar gravitational radiation as a testbed for f(R)-gravity, *Astropart. Phys.* **35**, (2011), 257-265.
[35] S. Capozziello, J. Matsumoto, S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, Dark energy from modified gravity with Lagrange multipliers, *Phys. Lett. B* **693**, (2010), 198-208.