

Golden ratio lepton mixing and nonzero reactor angle with A_5

I. de Medeiros Varzielas^{(1)*} and L. Lavoura^{(2)†}

⁽¹⁾ Department of Physics, University of Basel,
Klingelbergstraße 82, CH-4056 Basel, Switzerland

⁽²⁾ Universidade de Lisboa, Instituto Superior Técnico, CFTP,
1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal

January 8, 2022

Abstract

We furnish a supersymmetric extension of the Standard Model with a flavour discrete symmetry A_5 under which the lepton fields transform as an irreducible triplet. Additional (‘flavon’) superfields are used to break A_5 into a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ subgroup in the charged-lepton sector and another \mathbb{Z}_2 subgroup in the neutrino sector. The first column of the resulting lepton mixing matrix is predicted and has entries which are related to the golden ratio. Using the observed θ_{13} as input, our model predicts a solar mixing angle θ_{12} in very good agreement with experiment; it also predicts a correlation between the atmospheric mixing angle θ_{23} and the CP -violating Dirac phase δ .

*E-mail: ivo.de@unibas.ch

†E-mail: balio@cftp.ist.utl.pt

1 Introduction

The experimental measurement of the mixing angle θ_{13} [1, 2, 3, 4] has been of great importance in advancing our understanding of lepton mixing. It has ruled out (at least at leading order) many mixing schemes which have $\theta_{13} = 0$; two of them are the golden ratio¹ schemes GR1 [5, 6, 7, 8, 9] and GR2 [10, 11] (see also refs. [12, 13, 14]). Those two schemes make predictions for the solar mixing angle θ_{12} ; in GR1 $\cot \theta_{12} = \varphi$ and in GR2 $\cos \theta_{12} = \varphi/2$. However, both those schemes also predict $\theta_{13} = 0$ —hence a spurious CP -violating phase δ —and maximal atmospheric mixing angle θ_{23} ; the first of these additional predictions is now excluded by the experimental observation of a nonzero θ_{13} [1, 2, 3, 4].

GR1 and GR2 were often implemented in models by using either the discrete group A_5 or its double cover [15, 16, 17]. In this paper we propose a model based on A_5 which leads to *an alternative golden ratio mixing scheme* which is in full agreement with the experimental data. In our scheme, just as in GR2, $\cos \theta_{12} = \varphi/2$ if θ_{13} vanishes; but, in our scheme θ_{13} is not necessarily zero, since our model only predicts the first column of the lepton mixing matrix. Our model has the additional feature that it is not just *one* matrix element of the lepton mixing matrix U which is related to the golden ratio—our model predicts both $|U_{e1}| = \varphi/2$ and $|U_{\mu 1}| = 1/(2\varphi)$.² In contrast to GR1 and GR2, and akin to trimaximal mixing [18] and other schemes which only fix one column of U (see for instance refs. [19, 20] and the references therein), our model does not fix (at leading order) all three mixing angles, but instead just predicts two relations among them.

Like many other previous models for lepton mixing, ours is based on the paradigm of a flavour symmetry group \mathcal{G} —in our case, A_5 —which is broken to an Abelian subgroup \mathcal{F}_ℓ in the charged-lepton sector and to another Abelian subgroup \mathcal{F}_ν in the neutrino sector. Various possibilities for \mathcal{G} and for its subgroups \mathcal{F}_ℓ and \mathcal{F}_ν have been searched systematically before [21, 22, 23] (see also [24]). However, to the best of our knowledge all those searches restricted \mathcal{F}_ℓ to be a \mathbb{Z}_n group with $n \geq 3$. Additionally, some works [25, 26, 27] have considered the possibility that both \mathcal{F}_ℓ and \mathcal{F}_ν are (distinct) $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ subgroups of \mathcal{G} . Of particular relevance for us is ref. [25], where $\mathcal{G} = A_5$ has been considered; in that work a mixing scheme has been suggested in which θ_{13} has a specific non-zero value related to the golden ratio but larger than the phenomenological value. In the present paper, \mathcal{F}_ℓ is $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and \mathcal{F}_ν is

¹The golden ratio is the number $\varphi \equiv (1 + \sqrt{5})/2 \approx 1.618$. For its importance in mathematics and the arts, see for instance <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Golden-ratio>.

²An alternative version of our model has $U_{\tau 1} = 1/(2\varphi)$ instead. The two versions are related by the approximate μ - τ interchange symmetry which seems to hold in U .

\mathbb{Z}_2 ; this possibility has never been considered before and for this reason our model apparently evaded all the searches previously made.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In section 2 we present our model. In section 3 we fit the predictions of our model to the phenomenological data. A summary is presented in section 4. An appendix is devoted to some facts on the group A_5 .

2 The model

The group A_5 is the *alternating group* of the even permutations of five objects. It has $5!/2 = 60$ elements and it is isomorphic to the symmetry group of the platonic solids icosahedron and dodecahedron; we also call it the *icosahedral group* (IG).

There are various possible presentations of the IG. In this paper we use a presentation [28, 29] wherein A_5 is the group generated by three transformations a , b , and c which satisfy

$$a^2 = b^3 = c^2 = (ba)^3 = (ac)^3 = (bc)^2 = e, \quad (1)$$

where e is the identity transformation.

The IG has five $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ subgroups.³ One of them is constituted by e , a , bab^2 , and b^2ab . The IG also has fifteen \mathbb{Z}_2 subgroups; one of them is formed by e and c . Those are the subgroups of the IG that are crucial in this paper.

The IG has five inequivalent irreducible representations (irreps): the **1** (trivial representation), the **3**₁, the **3**₂, the **4**, and the **5**, where the boldface numbers are the dimensions of the irreps. These are all real representations. In this paper we shall only use the **1**, the **3**₁, and the **5**; they are given, in convenient bases, in appendix A.

Let D_{Lj} ($j = 1, 2, 3$) be the superfields containing the gauge- $SU(2)$ doublets of left-handed leptons. We place the three D_{Lj} in a **3**₁ of A_5 ; let D_L denote it. Similarly, the right-handed charged leptons, which are singlets of the gauge $SU(2)$, are placed in a **3**₁ of A_5 ; let ℓ_R denote it. Our supersymmetric model⁴ has an R -symmetry under which both D_L and ℓ_R have R -charge +1; allowed superpotential terms must have R -charge +2.

³All the subgroups of A_5 are listed in ref. [13], but in a presentation different from ours.

⁴In our model supersymmetry is needed only in order to implement in a consistent fashion the required alignments of vacuum expectation values. In particular, supersymmetry is useful to separate the flavons and driving fields operating in the charged-lepton sector from the flavons and driving fields appearing in the neutrino sector, in such a way that the alignments may differ from each other in those two sectors.

The neutrino masses originate from a type-II seesaw mechanism, triggered by a gauge- $SU(2)$ triplet T which is invariant under A_5 . In order to achieve A_5 -invariance, we introduce *flavon* superfields S and P which are gauge-invariant and transform as a **1** and a **5**, respectively, of A_5 . Both the flavons and T have zero R -charge. The term of the (non-renormalizable) superpotential relevant for the neutrino masses then is

$$\frac{1}{\Lambda} D_L T D_L (z_S S + z_P P), \quad (2)$$

where $z_{S,P}$ are numerical coefficients and Λ is the high energy (cutoff) scale which suppresses all the non-renormalizable terms.⁵ We note that the product of the two D_L in eq. (2) is *symmetric*, therefore, because of eq. (A4), it can only contain the representations **1** and **5** of A_5 .

Analogously, the charged-lepton masses originate in the superpotential term

$$\frac{1}{\Lambda} D_L H \ell_R (\bar{z}_S \bar{S} + \bar{z}_P \bar{P}), \quad (3)$$

where H is an A_5 -invariant $SU(2)$ doublet while \bar{S} and \bar{P} are a **1** and a **5** of A_5 , respectively, which are invariant under the gauge group.⁶ The $\bar{z}_{S,P}$ are numerical coefficients.

2.1 Neutrino mass matrix

Let s denote the vacuum expectation value (VEV) of S and $(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5)$ denote the VEV of P . Then the neutrino Majorana mass matrix following

⁵Note that the origin of Λ can only be specified through a higher-scale completion of the model, wherein Λ might naturally be identified as the mass of some messenger superfield charged under the flavour symmetry (see *e.g.* refs. [30, 31]). At the level that we consider here it is not possible to relate Λ to the scale of $SU(2)$ breaking. Indeed, the neutrino mass scale depends on the ratio $\langle S \rangle / \Lambda$ rather than on the absolute scales $\langle S \rangle$ and Λ —which can easily be several orders of magnitude higher than the Fermi scale.

⁶According to eq. (A4), an additional flavon in a **3**₁ of A_5 might also contribute to the charged-lepton masses. We dispense with it because it is superfluous.

from eq. (2) is, according to eq. (A5), given by

$$(M_\nu)_{11} = z_S s + z_P \left(-\frac{\mu_+}{2} p_4 + \frac{1 - \mu_-}{2\sqrt{3}} p_5 \right), \quad (4a)$$

$$(M_\nu)_{22} = z_S s + z_P \left(-\frac{\mu_-}{2} p_4 + \frac{\mu_+ - 1}{2\sqrt{3}} p_5 \right), \quad (4b)$$

$$(M_\nu)_{33} = z_S s + z_P \left(-\frac{1}{2} p_4 + \frac{\mu_- - \mu_+}{2\sqrt{3}} p_5 \right), \quad (4c)$$

$$(M_\nu)_{12} = (M_\nu)_{21} = \frac{z_P p_1}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad (4d)$$

$$(M_\nu)_{13} = (M_\nu)_{31} = \frac{z_P p_3}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad (4e)$$

$$(M_\nu)_{23} = (M_\nu)_{32} = \frac{z_P p_2}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad (4f)$$

where

$$\mu_\pm \equiv \frac{\pm\sqrt{5} - 1}{2}. \quad (5)$$

These numbers satisfy

$$1 + \mu_+ + \mu_- = 0, \quad (6a)$$

$$\mu_+ \mu_- = -1, \quad (6b)$$

$$\mu_+^2 + \mu_-^2 = 3. \quad (6c)$$

Obviously, $\mu_- = -\varphi$ and $\mu_+ = 1/\varphi$.

We assume that *the VEV of P preserves the \mathbb{Z}_2 subgroup of A_5 generated by c* . According to eq. (A3), this means that

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \\ p_3 \\ p_4 \\ p_5 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x + 2z \\ x + \sqrt{6}y - z \\ x - \sqrt{6}y - z \\ 3\sqrt{2}z \\ 2y \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7)$$

It follows from eqs. (4)–(7) that

$$M_\nu v = \left[z_S s + z_P \left(-\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}} + 2\sqrt{\frac{5}{3}}y - \sqrt{2}z \right) \right] v, \quad (8)$$

where

$$v \equiv \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_- \\ \mu_+ \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

This means that v is a (normalized) eigenvector of M_ν . This is a consequence of the fact that, because the VEV of P preserves c ,

$$C_3 M_\nu C_3 = M_\nu, \quad (10)$$

where C_3 is the matrix that represents c in the representation $\mathbf{3}_1$ of A_5 . Now, C_3 has a non-degenerate eigenvalue $+1$ and v is the eigenvector corresponding to that eigenvalue; therefore, v must also be an eigenvector of M_ν :

$$(C_3 M_\nu C_3 = M_\nu, C_3 v = v) \Rightarrow M_\nu v = C_3 M_\nu v \Rightarrow M_\nu v \propto v. \quad (11)$$

The neutrino masses are free in our model, *i.e.* the model does not purport to either predict or constrain them. Their overall scale is fixed by $z_S s$ and the differences among them depend on $z_P x$, $z_P y$, and $z_P z$. These four parameters are all free.

2.2 Charged-lepton mass matrix

Let \bar{s} denote the VEV of \bar{S} and $(\bar{p}_1, \bar{p}_2, \bar{p}_3, \bar{p}_4, \bar{p}_5)$ denote the VEV of \bar{P} . Then, the charged-lepton mass matrix M_ℓ has exactly the same form as the neutrino mass matrix in eqs. (4):

$$(M_\ell)_{11} = \bar{z}_S \bar{s} + \bar{z}_P \left(-\frac{\mu_+}{2} \bar{p}_4 + \frac{1 - \mu_-}{2\sqrt{3}} \bar{p}_5 \right), \quad (12a)$$

$$(M_\ell)_{22} = \bar{z}_S \bar{s} + \bar{z}_P \left(-\frac{\mu_-}{2} \bar{p}_4 + \frac{\mu_+ - 1}{2\sqrt{3}} \bar{p}_5 \right), \quad (12b)$$

$$(M_\ell)_{33} = \bar{z}_S \bar{s} + \bar{z}_P \left(-\frac{1}{2} \bar{p}_4 + \frac{\mu_- - \mu_+}{2\sqrt{3}} \bar{p}_5 \right), \quad (12c)$$

$$(M_\ell)_{12} = (M_\ell)_{21} = \frac{\bar{z}_P \bar{p}_1}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad (12d)$$

$$(M_\ell)_{13} = (M_\ell)_{31} = \frac{\bar{z}_P \bar{p}_3}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad (12e)$$

$$(M_\ell)_{23} = (M_\ell)_{32} = \frac{\bar{z}_P \bar{p}_2}{\sqrt{2}}. \quad (12f)$$

We assume that the VEV of \bar{P} is invariant under the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ subgroup of A_5 generated by $b^2 ab$ and bab^2 . Since in the $\mathbf{5}$ of A_5

$$bab^2 \rightarrow \text{diag}(-1, -1, 1, 1, 1), \quad (13a)$$

$$b^2 ab \rightarrow \text{diag}(-1, 1, -1, 1, 1), \quad (13b)$$

this means that $\bar{p}_1 = \bar{p}_2 = \bar{p}_3 = 0$. The charged-lepton mass matrix is then diagonal and one possible assignment of the charged-lepton masses is

$$m_e = \left| \bar{z}_s \bar{s} - \frac{\bar{z}_P}{2} \left(\bar{p}_4 \mu_+ + \frac{\mu_- - 1}{\sqrt{3}} \bar{p}_5 \right) \right|, \quad (14a)$$

$$m_\mu = \left| \bar{z}_s \bar{s} - \frac{\bar{z}_P}{2} \left(\bar{p}_4 \mu_- + \frac{1 - \mu_+}{\sqrt{3}} \bar{p}_5 \right) \right|, \quad (14b)$$

$$m_\tau = \left| \bar{z}_s \bar{s} - \frac{\bar{z}_P}{2} \left(\bar{p}_4 + \frac{\mu_+ - \mu_-}{\sqrt{3}} \bar{p}_5 \right) \right|. \quad (14c)$$

2.3 Driving fields

In order to align the VEV of P along the directions in eq. (7), we introduce a ‘driving’ superfield Δ , which is a $\mathbf{5}$ of A_5 and has R -charge $+2$. The relevant (renormalizable) interaction terms are then

$$\Delta [a_1 SP + a_2 (PP)_1 + a_3 (PP)_2], \quad (15)$$

where we have taken into account that there are two independent $\mathbf{5}$ in the product of two $\mathbf{5}$ of A_5 , see eq. (A6). We use for those two $\mathbf{5}$ the convention explicit in eqs. (A7) and thereby identify two separate coefficients a_2 and a_3 in eq. (15). The minimization of the terms in eq. (15) implies

$$a_1 s p_1 + \sqrt{2} a_2 p_1 p_5 + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} a_3 (p_1 p_4 + \sqrt{2} p_2 p_3) = 0, \quad (16a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & a_1 s p_2 + \frac{a_2}{\sqrt{2}} (-p_2 p_5 + \sqrt{3} p_2 p_4) \\ & + \frac{a_3}{\sqrt{6}} (-p_2 p_4 - \sqrt{3} p_2 p_5 + 2\sqrt{2} p_1 p_3) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (16b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & a_1 s p_3 + \frac{a_2}{\sqrt{2}} (-p_3 p_5 - \sqrt{3} p_3 p_4) \\ & + \frac{a_3}{\sqrt{6}} (-p_3 p_4 + \sqrt{3} p_3 p_5 + 2\sqrt{2} p_1 p_2) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (16c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & a_1 s p_4 + \frac{a_2}{2\sqrt{2}} (-2p_4 p_5 + \sqrt{3} p_2^2 - \sqrt{3} p_3^2) \\ & + \frac{a_3}{2\sqrt{6}} (2p_1^2 - p_2^2 - p_3^2 + 3p_4^2 - 3p_5^2) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (16d)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & a_1 s p_5 + \frac{a_2}{2\sqrt{2}} (2p_1^2 - p_2^2 - p_3^2 - p_4^2 + p_5^2) \\ & + \frac{a_3}{2\sqrt{6}} (\sqrt{3} p_3^2 - \sqrt{3} p_2^2 - 6p_4 p_5) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (16e)$$

Equations (16) are (partially) solved in a self-consistent way, which means that one assumes a form for the VEV of P and checks that that form is compatible with eqs. (16). In practice, this just implies that the assumed form of the VEV of P should preserve some subgroup of A_5 ; in our case that subgroup is the one formed by e and c . Thus, inserting eq. (7) into eqs. (16), one obtains

$$a_1 s x + 8\sqrt{2}a_2 y z + \frac{2a_3}{\sqrt{3}}(x^2 + 2z^2 - 4y^2) = 0, \quad (17a)$$

$$a_1 s y + \frac{a_2}{\sqrt{2}}(3xz - 3z^2 - 2y^2) - \sqrt{3}a_3 y(x + 2z) = 0, \quad (17b)$$

$$a_1 s z + \sqrt{2}a_2 y(x - 2z) + \frac{a_3}{\sqrt{3}}(xz + 5z^2 - 2y^2) = 0. \quad (17c)$$

Thus, eq. (7) constitutes a solution to eqs. (16) provided x , y , and z satisfy eqs. (17). In practice, given $a_{1,2,3}$ and s , one may in principle solve eqs. (16) to determine (nonzero) x , y , and z .

In an analogous fashion, in order to align the VEV of \bar{P} we use a driving superfield $\bar{\Delta}$ which is a $\mathbf{5}$ of A_5 . The relevant interaction terms are

$$\bar{\Delta} [\bar{a}_1 \bar{S} \bar{P} + \bar{a}_2 (\bar{P} \bar{P})_1 + \bar{a}_3 (\bar{P} \bar{P})_2], \quad (18)$$

just as in eq. (15). The corresponding minimization equations are analogous to eqs. (16). However, now we make the self-consistent assumption $\bar{p}_1 = \bar{p}_2 = \bar{p}_3 = 0$, and this renders identically zero the equations corresponding to eqs. (16a)–(16c); the only remaining equations are the ones analogous to eqs. (16d) and (16e):

$$\bar{a}_1 \bar{s} \bar{p}_4 - \frac{\bar{a}_2}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{p}_4 \bar{p}_5 + \frac{3\bar{a}_3}{2\sqrt{6}} (\bar{p}_4^2 - \bar{p}_5^2) = 0, \quad (19a)$$

$$\bar{a}_1 \bar{s} \bar{p}_5 - \frac{\bar{a}_2}{2\sqrt{2}} (\bar{p}_4^2 - \bar{p}_5^2) + \frac{\sqrt{6}\bar{a}_3}{2} \bar{p}_4 \bar{p}_5 = 0. \quad (19b)$$

These two equations in principle determine \bar{p}_4 and \bar{p}_5 as functions of \bar{s} and of the coefficients \bar{a}_1 , \bar{a}_2 , and \bar{a}_3 . There is sufficient freedom to fit the lepton masses to their experimental values.⁷

2.4 Additional symmetries

An additional symmetry is needed that separates the flavons and driving fields responsible for the neutrino mass terms from the ones responsible for

⁷In this work we do not attempt to address the problem of the hierarchy of the charged-lepton masses.

the charged-lepton mass terms. We choose for this effect a $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ symmetry. Table 1 lists the charges of the superfields under all the symmetries of the model.

Superfield	D_L	ℓ_R	H	T	S	P	\bar{S}	\bar{P}	Δ	$\bar{\Delta}$
A_5	3 ₁	3 ₁	1	1	1	5	1	5	5	5
$\mathbb{Z}_{3\nu}$	1	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0
$\mathbb{Z}_{3\ell}$	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1
$U(1)_R$	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2

Table 1: The superfields and their transformation properties under the symmetries of the model.

Note that, as the superfields H and T are invariant under the additional symmetries, the model may be embedded in a full supersymmetric type II seesaw construction. This requires two gauge- $SU(2)$ doublets and two triplets [32, 33]. Here we show only H and T for simplicity.

3 Predictions for the mixing angles

It is clear at this stage that the solutions that we assumed for the VEVs are not unique. Indeed, we have assumed, in the neutrino and charged-lepton sectors, different self-consistent solutions for entirely analogous sets of alignment equations. Nonetheless, the set of VEV directions that solve those alignment constraints is discrete and, thus, it is reasonable to assume our desired alignments as the outcome. This assumption is justified by the phenomenological consequences of our selected VEVs of P and \bar{P} , which we describe in this section.

We have found that, with our choice of VEVs, the charged-lepton mass matrix is diagonal while the neutrino mass matrix has one eigenvector proportional to $(\mu_-, \mu_+, 1)^T$. This eigenvector is therefore one of the columns of the lepton mixing matrix

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{pmatrix} P, \quad (20)$$

where $c_i \equiv \cos \theta_i$ and $s_i \equiv \sin \theta_i$ for $i = 12, 13, 23$ and P is a diagonal unitary matrix containing the so-called Majorana phases. We now choose to identify this column as being the first one and, moreover, we take the charged leptons




Figure 1: s_{12}^2 as a function of s_{13}^2 within the 3σ phenomenological range $s_{13}^2 \in [0.017, 0.033]$.

in eq. (14) in the standard ordering. We thus obtain

$$c_{12}^2 c_{13}^2 = \frac{\mu_-^2}{4}, \quad (21a)$$

$$(c_{23}^2 - s_{23}^2)(s_{12}^2 - c_{12}^2 s_{13}^2) + 4c_{12}s_{12}c_{23}s_{23}s_{13}\cos\delta = \frac{\mu_+^2 - 1}{4}. \quad (21b)$$

We obtain from eq. (21a) that

$$s_{12}^2 = 1 - \frac{\mu_-^2}{4(1 - s_{13}^2)} = 1 - \frac{3 + \sqrt{5}}{8(1 - s_{13}^2)}. \quad (22)$$

We use the phenomenological data of ref. [34] (see refs. [35, 36] for alternative phenomenological fits to the data). Using as input the 3σ range given therein for s_{13}^2 , namely $s_{13}^2 \in [0.017, 0.033]$, we depict eq. (22) in fig. 1. The prediction for s_{12}^2 is in very good agreement with the experimental value, $s_{12}^2 \in [0.303, 0.336]$ at 1σ .

We are able to express $\cos\delta$ in terms of s_{13}^2 and s_{23}^2 by using eq. (21b). The expression is

$$\cos\delta = \frac{-2 + (5 + \sqrt{5})s_{13}^2 + (5 - \sqrt{5})s_{23}^2 - (11 + \sqrt{5})s_{23}^2 s_{13}^2}{(1 + \sqrt{5})\sqrt{2s_{13}^2 s_{23}^2 (1 - s_{23}^2)}\sqrt{5 - \sqrt{5} - 8s_{13}^2}}. \quad (23)$$

This is illustrated in fig. 2, wherein $\cos\delta$ varies from about -0.33 to -1 . In




Figure 2: $\cos \delta$ as function of the mixing angles.

fig. 2, the flat region indicates values of $\cos \delta$ smaller than -1 ; this means that the model predicts s_{23}^2 to be larger than about 0.48 —this is disfavoured by the fit of ref. [35] but allowed by the other two phenomenological fits. If future measurements clearly reveal a smaller value of s_{23}^2 , then this implementation of our model will be ruled out.

We may alternatively choose the option where the eigenvalues of M_ℓ that correspond to the masses of the μ and the τ charged leptons are interchanged. This means swapping m_μ and m_τ in eqs. (14); of course this requires a different set of parameters to fit the charged-lepton masses. In this case, we must swap the second and third rows of the lepton mixing matrix, so that

$$(c_{23}^2 - s_{23}^2)(s_{12}^2 - c_{12}^2 s_{13}^2) + 4c_{12}s_{12}c_{23}s_{23}s_{13}\cos\delta = \frac{1 - \mu_+^2}{4} \quad (24)$$

instead of eq. (21b). In this case the prediction for δ will be

$$\cos\delta = \frac{-3 + \sqrt{5} + 6s_{13}^2 + (5 - \sqrt{5})s_{23}^2 - (11 + \sqrt{5})s_{23}^2s_{13}^2}{(1 + \sqrt{5})\sqrt{2s_{13}^2s_{23}^2(1 - s_{23}^2)}\sqrt{5 - \sqrt{5} - 8s_{13}^2}}. \quad (25)$$

This is shown in fig. 3. One sees that in this case $\cos\delta$ is positive and lies between ~ 0.47 and 1 , while θ_{23} is usually in the first octant, with s_{23}^2 smaller than about 0.51 .




Figure 3: $\cos \delta$ as function of s_{13}^2 and s_{23}^2 for the alternative realization of the model where the second and third rows of U are interchanged.

4 Conclusions

We have considered in this paper a lepton mixing scheme arising from an A_5 flavour symmetry. In our model, the VEVs of the required A_5 multiplets are aligned in a self-consistent fashion and the neutrino and charged-lepton sectors are separated through an auxiliary $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ symmetry.

The model predicts two relations among the parameters of the lepton mixing matrix. Using the observed θ_{13} as input, the solar mixing angle is predicted in good agreement with experiment. Depending on the assignment of the lepton flavours, the model either predicts $s_{23}^2 > 0.48$ and negative $\cos \delta$ between -0.33 and -1 , or $s_{23}^2 < 0.51$ and positive $\cos \delta$ between 0.47 and 1 .

Acknowledgements: The authors thank Patrick O. Ludl for deriving the Clebsch–Gordan coefficients of A_5 . The work of IdMV is supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation. The work of LL is supported by the Portuguese *Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia* through the projects PEst-OE-FIS-UI0777-2013, CERN/FP/123580/2011, and PTDC/FIS-NUC/0548-2012.

A The group A_5

In suitable bases, the $\mathbf{3}_1$ and the $\mathbf{5}$ are given as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{3}_1 : \quad a &\rightarrow A_3 \equiv \text{diag}(-1, -1, 1), \\ b &\rightarrow B_3 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ c &\rightarrow C_3 \equiv \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_+ & -1 & \mu_- \\ -1 & \mu_- & \mu_+ \\ \mu_- & \mu_+ & -1 \end{pmatrix};\end{aligned}\tag{A1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{5} : \quad a &\rightarrow A_5 \equiv \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, 1, 1), \\ b &\rightarrow B_5 \equiv \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -\sqrt{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3} & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ c &\rightarrow C_5 \equiv \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 2 & 2\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 & -\sqrt{2} & \sqrt{6} \\ 2 & 0 & 2 & -\sqrt{2} & -\sqrt{6} \\ 2\sqrt{2} & -\sqrt{2} & -\sqrt{2} & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{6} & -\sqrt{6} & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}\tag{A2}$$

Since $c^2 = e$, c has eigenvalues $+1$ and -1 . Because $\text{tr } C_3 = -1$, C_3 has a twice degenerate eigenvalue -1 and a non-degenerate eigenvalue $+1$; the normalized eigenvector corresponding to the latter is the vector v in eq.(9). Because $\text{tr } C_5 = 1$, C_5 has a twice degenerate eigenvalue -1 and a thrice degenerate eigenvalue $+1$; three orthonormal eigenvectors spanning the subspace corresponding to the latter eigenvalue are

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \sqrt{6} \\ -\sqrt{6} \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ 3\sqrt{2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.\tag{A3}$$

The product $\mathbf{3}_1 \otimes \mathbf{3}_1$ may be decomposed as

$$\mathbf{3}_1 \otimes \mathbf{3}_1 = (\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{5})_{\text{symmetric}} \oplus (\mathbf{3}_1)_{\text{antisymmetric}}.\tag{A4}$$

Let (a_1, a_2, a_3) and (b_1, b_2, b_3) be two $\mathbf{3}_1$ of A_5 , then $(\sum_{i=1}^3 a_i b_i)/\sqrt{3}$ is A_5 -invariant and

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{6}(a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_1) \\ \sqrt{6}(a_2 b_3 + a_3 b_2) \\ \sqrt{6}(a_1 b_3 + a_3 b_1) \\ \sqrt{3}(-\mu_+ a_1 b_1 - \mu_- a_2 b_2 - a_3 b_3) \\ (1 - \mu_-) a_1 b_1 + (\mu_+ - 1) a_2 b_2 + (\mu_- - \mu_+) a_3 b_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{A5})$$

is a $\mathbf{5}$ of A_5 .

The product $\mathbf{5} \otimes \mathbf{5}$ may be decomposed as

$$\mathbf{5} \otimes \mathbf{5} = (\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{4} \oplus \mathbf{5} \oplus \mathbf{5})_{\text{symmetric}} \oplus (\mathbf{3}_1 \oplus \mathbf{3}_2 \oplus \mathbf{4})_{\text{antisymmetric}}. \quad (\text{A6})$$

Let $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5)$ and $(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5)$ be two $\mathbf{5}$ of the IG. Then, there is an A_5 -invariant $(\sum_{i=1}^5 a_i b_i)/\sqrt{5}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 2(a_1 b_5 + a_5 b_1) \\ -a_2 b_5 - a_5 b_2 + \sqrt{3}(a_2 b_4 + a_4 b_2) \\ -a_3 b_5 - a_5 b_3 - \sqrt{3}(a_3 b_4 + a_4 b_3) \\ -a_4 b_5 - a_5 b_4 + \sqrt{3}(a_2 b_2 - a_3 b_3) \\ 2a_1 b_1 - a_2 b_2 - a_3 b_3 - a_4 b_4 + a_5 b_5 \end{pmatrix}, \\ & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} 2(a_1 b_4 + a_4 b_1) + 2\sqrt{2}(a_2 b_3 + a_3 b_2) \\ -a_2 b_4 - a_4 b_2 - \sqrt{3}(a_2 b_5 + a_5 b_2) + 2\sqrt{2}(a_1 b_3 + a_3 b_1) \\ -a_3 b_4 - a_4 b_3 + \sqrt{3}(a_3 b_5 + a_5 b_3) + 2\sqrt{2}(a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_1) \\ 2a_1 b_1 - a_2 b_2 - a_3 b_3 + 3(a_4 b_4 - a_5 b_5) \\ \sqrt{3}(a_3 b_3 - a_2 b_2) - 3(a_4 b_5 + a_5 b_4) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A7})$$

are two $\mathbf{5}$ of the IG.

References

- [1] P. Adamson *et al.* (MINOS Collaboration), *Improved search for muon-neutrino to electron-neutrino oscillations in MINOS*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107** (2011) 181802 [[arXiv:1108.0015](#) [[hep-ex](#)]].
- [2] Y. Abe *et al.* (Double Chooz Collaboration), *Indication for the disappearance of reactor electron antineutrinos in the Double Chooz experiment*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108** (2012) 131801 [[arXiv:1112.6353](#) [[hep-ex](#)]].

- [3] F.P. An *et al.* (Daya Bay Collaboration), *Observation of electron-antineutrino disappearance at Daya Bay*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108** (2012) 171803 [arXiv:1203.1669 [hep-ex]].
- [4] J.K. Ahn *et al.* (RENO Collaboration), *Observation of reactor electron-antineutrino disappearance in the RENO experiment*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108** (2012) 191802 [arXiv:1204.0626 [hep-ex]].
- [5] A. Datta, F.-S. Ling, and P. Ramond, *Correlated hierarchy, Dirac masses, and large mixing angles*, Nucl. Phys. B **671** (2003) 383 [hep-ph/0306002].
- [6] Y. Kajiyama, M. Raidal, and A. Strumia, *The golden ratio prediction for the solar neutrino mixing*, Phys. Rev. D **76** (2007) 117301 [arXiv:0705.4559 [hep-ph]].
- [7] L.L. Everett and A.J. Stuart, *Icosahedral (A_5) family symmetry and the golden ratio prediction for solar neutrino mixing*, Phys. Rev. D **79** (2009) 085005 [arXiv:0812.1057 [hep-ph]].
- [8] C.-S. Chen, T.W. Kephart, and T.-C. Yuan, *An A_5 model of four lepton generations*, J. High Energy Phys. **1104** (2011) 015 [arXiv:1011.3199 [hep-ph]].
- [9] F. Feruglio and A. Paris, *The golden ratio prediction for the solar angle from a natural model with A_5 flavour symmetry*, J. High Energy Phys. **1103** (2011) 101 [arXiv:1101.0393 [hep-ph]].
- [10] W. Rodejohann, *Unified parametrization for quark and lepton mixing angles*, Phys. Lett. B **671** (2009) 267 [arXiv:0810.5239 [hep-ph]].
- [11] A. Adulpravitchai, A. Blum, and W. Rodejohann, *Golden ratio prediction for solar neutrino mixing*, New J. Phys. **11** (2009) 063026 [arXiv:0903.0531 [hep-ph]].
- [12] C.H. Albright, A. Dueck, and W. Rodejohann, *Possible alternatives to tri-bimaximal mixing*, Eur. Phys. J. C **70** (2010) 1099 [arXiv:1004.2798 [hep-ph]].
- [13] G.-J. Ding, L.L. Everett, and A.J. Stuart, *Golden ratio neutrino mixing and A_5 flavor symmetry*, Nucl. Phys. B **857** (2012) 219 [arXiv:1110.1688 [hep-ph]].

- [14] I. K. Cooper, S. F. King and A. J. Stuart, *A Golden A_5 Model of Leptons with a Minimal NLO Correction*, Nucl. Phys. B **875** (2013) 650 [arXiv:1212.1066 [hep-ph]].
- [15] L.L. Everett and A.J. Stuart, *The double cover of the icosahedral symmetry group and quark mass textures*, Phys. Lett. B **698** (2011) 131 [arXiv:1011.4928 [hep-ph]].
- [16] K. Hashimoto and H. Okada, *Lepton flavor model and decaying dark matter in the binary icosahedral group symmetry*, arXiv:1110.3640 [hep-ph].
- [17] Y. Kajiyama, A. Sato, W. Sato, and A. Suzuki, *One-parameter neutrino mass matrix and symmetry realization*, arXiv:1311.2347 [hep-ph].
- [18] W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, *A model for trimaximal lepton mixing*, J. High Energy Phys. **0809** (2008) 106 [arXiv:0809.0226 [hep-ph]].
- [19] W. Rodejohann and H. Zhang, *Simple two-parameter description of lepton mixing*, Phys. Rev. D **86** (2012) 093008 [arXiv:1207.1225 [hep-ph]].
- [20] I. de Medeiros Varzielas and L. Lavoura, *Flavour models for TM_1 lepton mixing*, J. Phys. G **40** (2013) 085002 [arXiv:1212.3247 [hep-ph]].
- [21] C.S. Lam, *Finite symmetry of leptonic mass matrices*, Phys. Rev. D **87** (2013) 013001 [arXiv:1208.5527 [hep-ph]].
- [22] D. Hernandez and A.Yu. Smirnov, *Discrete symmetries and model-independent patterns of lepton mixing*, Phys. Rev. D **87** (2013) 053005 [arXiv:1212.2149 [hep-ph]].
- [23] M. Holthausen, K.S. Lim, and M. Lindner, *Lepton mixing patterns from a scan of finite discrete groups*, Phys. Lett. B **721** (2013) 61 [arXiv:1212.2411 [hep-ph]].
- [24] A. D. Hanlon, S. -F. Ge and W. W. Repko, *Phenomenological Study of Residual Z_2^s and \bar{Z}_2^s Symmetries*, arXiv:1308.6522 [hep-ph], and the references therein.
- [25] R. de Adelhart Toorop, F. Feruglio, and C. Hagedorn, *Finite modular groups and lepton mixing*, Nucl. Phys. B **858** (2012) 437 [arXiv:1112.1340 [hep-ph]].

- [26] F. Feruglio, C. Hagedorn, and R. Ziegler, *Lepton mixing parameters from discrete and CP symmetries*, J. High Energy Phys. **1307** (2013) 027 [[arXiv:1211.5560](#) [hep-ph]].
- [27] C. Hagedorn, A. Meroni, and L. Vitale, *Mixing patterns from the groups $\Sigma(n\varphi)$* , J. Phys. A **47** (2014) 055201 [[arXiv:1307.5308](#) [hep-ph]].
- [28] G.A. Miller, H.F. Blichfeldt, and L.E. Dickson, *Theory and applications of finite groups* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1916).
- [29] W. Grimus and P.O. Ludl, *Finite flavour groups of fermions*, J. Phys. A **45** (2012) 233001 [[arXiv:1110.6376](#) [hep-ph]].
- [30] I. de Medeiros Varzielas and L. Merlo, *Ultraviolet completion of flavour models*, J. High Energy Phys. **1102** (2011) 062 [[arXiv:1011.6662](#) [hep-ph]].
- [31] I. de Medeiros Varzielas and D. Pidt, *UV completions of flavour models and large θ_{13}* , J. High Energy Phys. **1303** (2013) 065 [[arXiv:1211.5370](#) [hep-ph]].
- [32] T. Hambye, E. Ma, and U. Sarkar, *Supersymmetric triplet Higgs model of neutrino masses and leptogenesis*, Nucl. Phys. B **602** (2001) 23 [[hep-ph/0011192](#)].
- [33] A. Rossi, *Supersymmetric seesaw without singlet neutrinos: Neutrino masses and lepton flavor violation*, Phys. Rev. D **66** (2002) 075003 [[hep-ph/0207006](#)].
- [34] D.V. Forero, M. Tórtola, and J.W.F. Valle, *Global status of neutrino oscillation parameters after Neutrino-2012*, Phys. Rev. D **86** (2012) 073012 [[arXiv:1205.4018](#) [hep-ph]].
- [35] G.L. Fogli, E. Lisi, A. Marrone, D. Montanino, A. Palazzo, and A.M. Rotunno, *Global analysis of neutrino masses, mixings, and phases: Entering the era of leptonic CP violation searches*, Phys. Rev. D **86** (2012) 013012 [[arXiv:1205.5254](#) [hep-ph]].
- [36] M.C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni, J. Salvado, and T. Schwetz, *Global fit to three neutrino mixing: Critical look at present precision*, J. High Energy Phys. **1212** (2012) 123 [[arXiv:1209.3023](#) [hep-ph]].