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#### Abstract

We made a chaotic memristive circuit proposed by Chua and Muthuswamy-Chua, and analyzed the behavior of the voltage of the capacitor, electric current in the inductor and the voltage of the memristor by adding an external sinusoidal oscillation of a type $\gamma \omega \cos \omega t$ to $\dot{y}(t) \simeq \dot{i}_{L}(t)$, when the $\dot{x}(t) \simeq \dot{v}_{C}(t)$ is expressed by $y(t) / C$, and studied Devil's staircase route to chaos.


[^0]We compared the frequency of the driving oscillation $f_{s}$ and the frequency of the response $f_{d}$ in the window and assigned $W=f_{s} / f_{d}$ to each window. When capacitor $C=1.0$, we observe stable attractors of Farey sequences $W=\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{4}{5}, \cdots, \frac{14}{15}, \frac{1}{1}\right\}$, which can be interpreted as hidden attractors, while when $C=1.2$, we observe disturbed attractors.

Frequency dependent stability of chaotic circuits due to octonions is discussed.
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## 1 Introduction

In 1993, Hayes, Grebogi and Ott [1] discussed an electronic circuit in a chaotic regime, using the characterization of chaos as deterministic noise [2]. Typical evolution equation of the physical system is characterized by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}(t)=\boldsymbol{F}_{\mu}(\boldsymbol{x}(t)) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{x}$ is a set of coordinates in $R^{m}$ and $F_{\mu}(\boldsymbol{x})$ determines the nonlinear time evolution. The nature of asymptotic motion depends upon the parameter $\mu$ and values at which the change of asymptotic regime happens are called bifurcation points. The asymptotic motion is settled down onto an attractor in phase space, but its structure is not well understood, and unexpected instability of attractors are observed. Chaos is characterized by sensitivity to the initial condition, or the dependence on the difference of orbits

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(t)=\left|\boldsymbol{s}^{\prime}(t)-\boldsymbol{s}(t)\right| \sim e^{\lambda_{1} t} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The parameter $\lambda_{1}$ is called the largest Lyapunov coefficient.
How to measure the strangeness and the dimension of attractors was discussed by Grassberger and Procaccia [3]. In 1984, Grebogi et al. [4] showed that there are strange attractors whose Lyapunov exponents are negative. They showed that some attractors become nonstrange by certain weak perturbations, and the structure of Strange Nonchaotic Attractor
(SNA) is rather complicated. In 1971, Chua proposed that in addition to resistor, inductor and conductor, there is an interesting non-linear circuit element which is called memristor, a combination of memory and resistor [11]. Chua and Kang represented the dynamical system, which is called memristive system, by

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} & =f(x, u, t) \\
y & =g(x, u, t) u \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u$ and $y$ denote the input and the output of the system, respectively, and $x$ denotes the state of the system [12]. Muthuswamy and Chua[13] showed that one can produce a non linear memristor, and combined with inductor, capacitor and opeamp, presented chaotic behaviors. The chaotic behavior of memristic system was analyzed in [14, 15, 16] and [17].

Chaos in electronic circuits that consists of capacitors, inductors, resistors and opeamp was studied in 1986 in [18]. When an external current source is added to the Chua's circuit, a Farey sequence period adding law was observed in [20]. Analyses of driven Chua's circuit were done in [23, 24] and in [25]. We analyzed the chaotic behaviors of Chua's circuit by adding an external current in the system [28]. In this paper, we add an external oscillation of current to the memristic system, which we call memristive circuit, and study changes in the chaotic behaviors due to an addition of driving currents.

Electrons are described by spinors and in the spinor theory of Cartan[30], self-dual vector field $X_{i}(i=1,2,3,4)$ is produced from spinors as a Plückner coordinate. Spinors are described by quaternions $\mathcal{H}$ and bispinors that consist of two quaternions with a new imaginary unit $l$ composes an octonion,

$$
\mathcal{O}=\mathcal{H}+l \mathcal{H}
$$

which has the automorphism: $G_{2}$ group. There are 24 dimensional bases in the $G_{2}$ group, and it has the triality symmetry. We study the electro magnetic field of the memristor using the octonion bases [31, 34, 35].

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect.2, we present chaos in Chua's circuit, and
in Sect. 3 we present Chaos in Memristic circuit. In Sect.4, Strange nonchaotic attractor in driven memristor is studied, and in Sect.5, the resonance frequency of the driven memristive circuit is analyzed by using octonion basis. Difference of strange chaotic attractors and strange nonchaotic attractor (SNA) are discussed in Sect.6.

## 2 Chaos in Chua's circuit

Chua's circuit consists of an autonomous circuit which contains a three-segments piecewiselinear resistor, two capacitors, one inductor and a variable resistor [18]. The equation of the circuit is described by the coupled differential equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
C_{1} \frac{d}{d t} v_{C 1}=G\left(v_{C 2}-v_{C 1}\right)-\tilde{g}\left(v_{C 1}, m_{0}, m_{1}\right)  \tag{4}\\
C_{2} \frac{d}{d t} v_{C 2}=G\left(v_{C 1}-v_{C 2}\right)+i_{L} \\
L \frac{d}{d t} i_{L}=-v_{C 2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The three-segment piecewise-linear resistor which constitutes the non-linear element is characterized by

$$
\tilde{g}\left(v_{C 1}, m_{0}, m_{1}\right)=\left(m_{1}-m_{0}\right)\left(\left|v_{C 1}+B_{p}\right|-\left|v_{C 1}-B_{p}\right|\right) / 2+m_{0} v_{C 1},
$$

where $B_{p}$ is chosen to be $1 \mathrm{~V}, m_{0}$ is the slope $(\mathrm{mA} / \mathrm{V})$ outside $\left|v_{C 1}\right|>B_{p}$ and $m_{1}$ is the slope inside $-B_{p}<v_{C 1}<B_{p}$.

Here $v_{C 1}$ and $v_{C 2}$ are the voltages of the two capacitors (in V ), $i_{L}$ is the current that flows in the inductor (in A), $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are capacitance (in F ), $\quad L$ is inductance (in H ), and $G$ is the conductance of the variable resistor (in $\Omega^{-1}$ ).

The function $\tilde{g}\left(v_{C 1}, m_{0}, m_{1}\right)$ can be regarded as an active resistor. If it is locally passive, the circuit is tame, but when it is locally active, it keeps supplying power to the external circuit. The chaotic behavior is expected to be due to the power dissipated in the passive element. A difference from the van der Pol circuit is that the passive area and the active area are not separated by the strange attractor, but they are entangled. The orbit in the $v_{C 1}-v_{C 2}$
plane shows a double scroll orbit or a hetero-clinic orbit (i.e. an orbit which starts from a fixed point to another fixed point ). We assign $v_{C 1}, v_{C 2}$ and $i_{L}$, with a specific normalization, $x, y$ and $z$, respectively.

We did not control chaotic circuits by triggering simple electronic pulse as [19], when the orbit arrives in a certain region which we assigned ' $e$ ' in Fig. 8 of [28] from which complication of the orbit starts. Main difference from [19] was that we have chosen a proper height of the pulse, so that the periodic trajectory was kept and we did not need to reset the current.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}=\alpha(y-h(x))  \tag{5}\\
\dot{y}=x-y+z \\
\dot{z}=-\beta y
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
h(x)=m_{1} x+\frac{1}{2}\left(m_{0}-m_{1}\right)[|x+1|-|x-1|] \\
h(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
m_{1} x+\left(m_{0}-m_{1}\right) & x \geq 1 \\
m_{0} x & |x| \leq 1 \\
m_{1} x-\left(m_{0}-m_{1}\right) & x \leq-1
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

is a piecewise linear equation.
We observed that periodic double scroll is running on heteroclinic orbits. A periodic double scroll can be moved to a homoclinic orbit (i.e. an orbit which has single fixed point) by triggering a pulse when the orbit arrives at a certain region. A simulation of the Chua's circuit was done in [23]. Sinusoidally driven Chua's circuit was shown to have the Arnold's tongue and devil's staircase structure in the dynamical structure of the voltage [20], and the sinusoidally-driven double scroll Chua circuit was analyzed by Baptista and Caldas [24].

When a compact set $S$ is covered by $N(r)$ cubes of edge length $r$, and each cube $i$ is associated with the probability $p_{i}(r)$, we define the capacity dimension $D_{0}$ as

$$
D_{0}=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{N(r)}{|\log r|},
$$

and the information dimension $D_{1}$ as

$$
D_{1}=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{H(r)}{\log r}
$$

where

$$
H(r)=\sum_{i=1}^{N(r)} p_{i}(r) \log p_{i}(r)
$$

In [5], a differential equation of a pendulum

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d^{2} \phi(t)}{d t^{2}}+\nu \frac{d \phi(t)}{d t}+g \sin \phi(t) \\
& =F+G \sin \left(\omega_{1} t+\alpha_{1}\right)+H \sin \left(\omega_{2} t+\alpha_{2}\right) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

was studied, where $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ are incommensurate. They defined $\omega=\omega_{1} / \omega_{2}$ and considered a discrete map

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{n+1} & =F\left(\phi_{n}, \theta_{n}\right)  \tag{7}\\
\theta_{n+1} & =\left[\theta_{n}+2 \pi \omega\right] \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where a square bracket indicates modulo $2 \pi$. They conjectured that $D_{0}=2$ and $D_{1}=1$. The structure of the attractor of dynamical systems whose Lyapunov exponents are non positive and which is defined by the two variables $(x, \theta)$ was studied by [6, 7, 8] and [9].

The conjecture on $D_{0}$ and $D_{1}$ were confirmed in [10]. Mechanisms that changes the stable attractor to unstable attractor, which we study in a specific case of devil's staircase are important. Bifurcation and routes to chaos in other sinusoidally-driven extended Chua circuits was discusssed by Thamilmaran and Lakshmanan[25]. In 2010, Leonov, Vagaitsev and Kuznetsov [26, 27] claimed that there appear hidden attractors in a $n$ dimensional system with continuous vector function $\Psi(x)(\Psi(0)=0)$ and a constant $n \times n$ matrix $P$,

$$
\frac{d \mathbf{x}}{d t}=P \mathbf{x}+\Psi(\mathbf{x}) .
$$

To find a periodic solution close to the harmonic oscillation, they considered a matrix $K$, such that $P_{0}=P+K$ has a pair of purely imaginary eigenvalues $\pm i \omega_{0}\left(\omega_{0}>0\right)$ and other
eigenvalues of $P_{0}$ have negative real parts. They introduced a finite sequence of continuous functions $\phi^{0}(\mathbf{x}), \phi^{1}(\mathbf{x}), \cdots, \phi^{m}(\mathbf{x})$, such that $\phi^{0}(\mathbf{x})$ is small and $\phi^{m}(\mathbf{x})=\phi(\mathbf{x})$. They took sufficiently long period $[0, T]$ and considered

$$
\frac{d \mathbf{x}}{d t}=P_{0} \mathbf{x}+\phi^{0}(\mathbf{x}), \quad \frac{d \mathbf{x}}{d t}=P_{0} \mathbf{x}+\phi^{j}(\mathbf{x}), \quad(j=1, \cdots, m)
$$

with initial condition $\mathbf{x}^{j}(0)=\mathbf{x}^{j-1}(T)$. They showed that chaotic attractors can be produced by the perturbation. The review of chaotic hidden attractors in Chua's circuit is given in [27].

## 3 Chaos in Muthuswamy-Chua's circuits

In the study of chaotic behaviors in electronic circuits, Chua's electronic devices have attracted constant research interests.

In 2010, Muthuswamy and Chua [13] showed that a system with an inductor, capacitor and non-linear memristor can produce a chaotic circuit. The three-element circuit with the voltage across the capacitor $x(t)=v_{C}(t)$, the current through the inductor $y(t)=i_{L}(t)$ and the internal state of the memristor $z(t)$ satisfy the equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}=\frac{y}{C}  \tag{9}\\
\dot{y}=\frac{-1}{L}\left[x+\beta\left(z^{2}-1\right) y\right] \\
\dot{z}=-y-\alpha z+y z
\end{array}\right.
$$

We produced the similar circuit element as Muthuswamy-Chua, measured the current through the inductor, and the voltage across the capacitor. We choose

$$
\begin{align*}
C & =I_{s} C_{n} T_{s} \\
L & =\frac{L_{n} T_{s}}{I_{s}} \\
\beta & =\frac{\beta_{5 k p o t}}{R} \\
\alpha & =\frac{1}{T_{s} C_{f} \alpha_{10 k p o t}} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I_{s}=10000, C_{n}=1 \mathrm{nF}, T_{s}=10^{5}, L_{n}=360 \mathrm{mH}, R=1 \mathrm{k} \Omega, C_{f}=10 \mathrm{nF}$.

The chaotic attractor $i_{L}(t)=y$ versus $v_{C}(t)=x$ obtained by experiment is shown in Fig.1. Here we took $\beta=1.43$


Figure 1: The chaotic attractor $i_{L}(t)$ versus $v_{C}(t)$. Experimental result. .


Figure 2: The attractor in the xyz-space of $\mathrm{C}=1, \mathrm{~L}=3.3, \alpha=0.2, \beta=0.5$. Numerical simulation.


Figure 3: The DC $v_{M}-i_{M}$ and $x=i M /(i M+\alpha)$ plot at $C=1, L=3.3, \alpha=0.2$.

When $\dot{z}=0$, the eq. (9) becomes $-\alpha z+(z-1) y=0$ and $z=\frac{y}{y-\alpha}$.
Muthuswamy-Chua defined memrister equation by replacing $y$ by $i_{M}$ and $v_{L}+v_{c}=v_{M}$


Figure 4: The attractor in the xyz-space of $\mathrm{C}=1, \mathrm{~L}=3.3, \alpha=0.2, \beta=0.5$. Numerical simulation.
as

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{M}(t) & =\beta\left(z^{2}-1\right) i_{M}(t) \\
\dot{z} & =i_{M}(t)-\alpha z-i_{M}(t) z \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

and by choosing $\dot{z}=0$, the DC characteristic becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{M}(t)=\beta\left(\frac{i_{M}(t)^{2}}{\left(i_{M}(t)+\alpha\right)^{2}}-1\right) i_{M}(t) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $C=1, L=3.3$ and $\alpha=0.2$, and the DC characteristic is chosen, solution of the eq.(12) which yields Lorenz like attractor becomes Fig,2, and the DC $v_{M}-i_{M}$ plot becomes Fig 3.

The bifurcation diagram and the Lyapunov exponent $\lambda$ for $C=1, L=3.3$ and $\alpha=0.2$ as a function of $\beta$ are shown in Fig,3. The diagram shows that a bifurcation occurs at $\beta=$ $1.23,1.52$ and 1.58 and twice circuits, fourfolds circuits and eightfolds circuits, respectively appear.

The Fig 5 shows that in the region $1.5<\beta<1.8$ the Lyapunov exponent $\lambda$ is positive and about 0.04 , and the bifurcation diagram is chaotic.


Figure 5: The bifurcation diagram and the Lyapunov exponent of Memristor. $\mathrm{C}=1, \mathrm{~L}=3.3$

Ginoux, Letelier and Chua 16 calculated the flow curvature manifold of the memristor. Our result with $\gamma=0$ is consistent with their results.

## 4 Strange nonchaotic attractor in driven memristive circuit

We added external input voltage $F=\gamma \sin \omega t$ and considered the system of the equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}=\frac{y}{C}  \tag{13}\\
\dot{y}=\frac{-1}{L}\left[x+\beta\left(z^{2}-1\right) y+\gamma \sin \omega t\right] \\
\dot{z}=-y-\alpha z+y z
\end{array}\right.
$$

Strange nonchaotic attractor in periodically driven systems were investigated in [29] and it was argued that without external oscillation, i.e. in autonomous systems it is difficult to find a SNA, but in periodically driven systems, SNAs had been observed. We want to


Figure 6: The bifurcation diagram and the Lyapunov exponent of Memristor. $C=1.2, L=$ 3.3.
investigate details of chaos and SNAs in driven memristive circuits. When $\gamma=0.01$ i.e. very small, dependence of $y$ as a function of $\omega$ is chaotic. However, when we fixed $C=1.2$ and $\gamma=0.2$, and modified $\omega$ from 0.01 to $\omega=1$ we found a wide window of period 1 at around $\omega=0.51$, as shown in Fig 8 ,

In the region $0.51 \leq \omega \leq 0.62$ the period of the external voltage agrees with the eigenperiod of the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{L C} T_{s}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3.3}} T_{s}=0.55 T_{s} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding frequency is $f \omega T_{s}=7.3 \mathrm{kHz}(8.8 \mathrm{kHz}$ in the case of $C=1)$.
In Fig.7, we present the bifurcation diagram of the system with $C=1, L=3.3, \alpha=$ $0.2, \beta=0.5, \gamma=0.2$. We find nonchaotic strange attractor in this case.

The bifurcation diagrams of the system with $C=1.2, L=3.3, \alpha=0.2, \beta=0.5, \gamma=0.2$, in the range $0.24 \leq \omega \leq 0.36$ and $0.36 \leq \omega \leq 0.46$ are shown in Fig 9 and in Fig, 10,

respectively, together with the frequency of the response $f_{d}$.
We present the Lyapunov exponent $\lambda$ in Fig.11. When $\omega \sim 0.3605, \lambda$ becomes slightly positive up to about 0.01 . The Lyapunov exponents are negative in other windows.

The frequency of the windows $\omega$ as a function of the number of periodicity of the response for samples of $C=1$ is shown in Fig. 12. In the case of one period, we put the $\omega$ at $f_{d}=8$, since when we put $\omega / f_{d}$ as a function of the number of periodicity $f_{d}$, the $\omega$ of one periodicity divided by 8 becomes close to that of periodicity 7 . The $\omega / n$ for samples of $C=1.2$ are shown in Fig.13. It contains the series from $f_{d}=2$ to 9 , from 9 to 17 , from 5 to 7 and from 8 to 11 , which are shown by different colors. The single period window may be considered as 8 degenerate nodes. The energy $\omega / 8$ of this node put at $n=8$ is consistent with $\omega / f_{d}$ of $f_{d}=7$, i.e. energy per node of $f_{d}=\operatorname{Mod}(-1,8)=7$.

The matching $\omega / f_{d}$ in the case of $C=1$ is about $10 \%$ lower than that of $C=1.2$, and the width of the window of $C=1$ is narrower than that of $C=1.2$.

The attractor of $n=1$ of samples $C=1.2, L=3.3$ at $\omega=0.51$ is shown in Fig 14, and


Figure 9: The bifurcation diagram of the driven memristor. $C=1.2, L=3.3,0.24 \leq$ $\omega \leq 0.36$.


Figure 10: The bifurcation diagram of the driven memristor. $C=1.2, L=3.3,0.36 \leq$ $\omega \leq 0.46$.
the time series of $x(t)$ is compared with $\gamma \sin \omega t$ in Fig,15,
Since the frequency of the response and that of driving term are both equal to 1 , the ratio of $W=f_{s} / f_{d}=1 / 1$

At windows of nodes of $f_{d}=2$ to $f_{d}=9$ of samples $C=1.2, L=3.3$, we compared the time series $x(t)$ of the response and input $\gamma \sin \omega t$. The observed ratio $W=f_{s} / f_{d}$ turned out to be

$$
\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{4}{5}, \frac{5}{6}, \frac{6}{7}, \frac{7}{8}, \frac{8}{9}, \frac{1}{1}\right\} .
$$

The sequence follows the period adding law 20 ]

$$
\frac{q}{p} \rightarrow \frac{q+Q}{p+P} \rightarrow \frac{q+2 Q}{p+2 P} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \text { chaos } \rightarrow \frac{Q}{P}
$$

The time series $x(t)$ and $\gamma \sin \omega t$ at $\omega=0.4$ allows an assignment of $W=4 / 5$.
Between the window of $f_{d}=2$ and $f_{d}=3$, we found a window of $f_{d}=5=2+3$, which


Figure 11: The Lyapunov exponent of Memristor. $C=1.2, L=3.3, \alpha=0.2, \beta=0.5, \gamma=$ 0.2 .
appears from the Farey sum

$$
\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2}{3} \rightarrow \frac{3}{5}
$$

which satisfys the relation $\frac{1}{2}<\frac{3}{5}<\frac{2}{3}$. In general when $\frac{a}{b}<\frac{c}{d}$ and $b p-q a=q c-d p=1$, $b p+d p=q c+q a$ or $(b+d) p=(c+a) q$ and $\frac{a+c}{b+d}=\frac{p}{q}$ and $\frac{a}{b}<\frac{p}{q}<\frac{c}{d}$.

A comparison of the time series $x(t)$ and the corresponding $\gamma \sin \omega t$ at $\omega=0.315$ allows the assignment $W=3 / 5$. This new node of $W=3 / 5$ and the node of $W=1 / 2$ make a node of $f_{d}=7=5+2$. A comparison of the time series $x(t)$ and the corresponding $\gamma \sin \omega t$ at $\omega=0.300$ allows the assignment $W=4 / 7$ as shown in Fig 16. The new $W$ again appears from the Farey sum

$$
\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{5} \rightarrow \frac{4}{7}
$$

The time series of $x(t)$ and $\gamma \sin \omega t$ of $W=6 / 7$ are shown in Fig. 17 for comparison.
A similar comparison of $f_{d}=8$ data, $W=\frac{2}{3}+\frac{3}{5} \rightarrow \frac{5}{8}$ and $W=\frac{7}{8}$ are shown in Fig 18 and Fig.19, respectively.

We compared, also $f_{d}=9$ data, $W=\frac{3}{4}+\frac{4}{5} \rightarrow \frac{7}{9}$ and $W=\frac{8}{9}$.


Figure 12: The fit of $\omega / n$ as a function of the number of nodes $n$. $C=1$


Figure 13: The fit of $\omega / n$ as a function of number of nodes $n$. $C=1.2$

The series

$$
\left\{\frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{7}, \cdots, \frac{1}{2}\right\}
$$

follows the period adding law 20] with $q=3, Q=1, p=5, P=2$. The series

$$
\left\{\frac{5}{8}, \frac{7}{9}, \cdots, \frac{2}{1}\right\}
$$

follows the period adding law with $q=5, Q=2, p=8, P=1$.
There are other Farey sums

$$
\frac{4}{5}+\frac{5}{6} \rightarrow \frac{9}{11}, \quad \text { upto } \quad \frac{7}{8}+\frac{8}{9} \rightarrow \frac{15}{17}
$$

from $\omega=0.41$ until $\omega=0.4375$, which make the series of $W$

$$
\left\{\frac{9}{11}, \frac{11}{13}, \frac{13}{15}, \frac{15}{17}, \frac{2}{2}\right\} .
$$

Near the region of $\omega=0.45$, there is a window which has 10 nodes from left cluster and 9 nodes from right cluster as shown in Fig.10. We assign $W=\left\{\frac{9}{9}, \frac{8}{8}, \frac{7}{7}\right\}$ at $\omega=0.446,0.450$ and 0.4515 , respectively, and the Farey sums

$$
\frac{8}{9}+\frac{9}{9} \rightarrow \frac{17}{18}, \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{9}{9}+\frac{8}{8} \rightarrow \frac{17}{17}
$$



Figure 14: The attractor of $n=1$ in the $(x(t), y(t), z(t))$ space. $f_{d}=1 . \quad C=$ $1.2, L=3.3, \alpha=0.2, \beta=0.5, \gamma=0.2$


Figure 15: The time series of $x(t)$ (black) and $\gamma \sin \omega t$ (red). $\omega=0.51, W=1 / 1, C=$ $1.2, L=3.3$
at $\omega=0.4425$ and $\omega=0.448$, respectively.
The bifurcation diagram shows that when $\omega=0.3605$, the system is chaotic. We studied Poincaré section of driven memristive circuit at this $\omega=0.3605$ by taking the Poincaré section on the $x y$ plane, the $y z$ plane and the $x z$ plane.

The points are plotted, when $\omega t$ satisfies $\operatorname{Mod}[\omega t, 2 \pi]=0$. We calculated the Poincaré section of $\omega=0.3605$ on the $x y$, the $y z$ and the $x z$ plane, which are shown in Fig,20, 21 and 22, respectively.

In the case of oscillation on the $x y$ plane, we calculated $\Theta_{n}=\tan ^{-1}\left(y_{n} / x_{n}\right)$, where $x_{n}$ and $y_{n}$ are the $x$ and $y$ coordinates of the Poincaré map. The return map of $\Theta_{n+1}$ v.s. $\Theta_{n}$ simplifies the chaotic behaviors. It becomes a single line in the case of $\omega<0.26$, four clusters when $\omega=0.3$, and chaotic when $\omega=0.32$. When $\omega=0.5$, clusters appear again, and when $\omega=0.6$, a single line appears again.

We observed that except near $\omega=0.36, \lambda$ is negative, and the oscillation is non-chaotic


Figure 16: The time series of $x(t)$ )black) and $\gamma \sin \omega t$ (red). $\omega=0.30, W=4 / 7, C=$ $1.2, L=3.3$


Figure 17: The time series of $x(t)$ (black) and $\gamma \sin \omega t(\mathrm{red}) . \quad \omega=0.427, W=$ $6 / 7, C=1.2, L=3.3$
in most regions.
In the case of $C=1.2$, we observed windows $=\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \cdots, \frac{8}{9}\right\}$,i.e. 8 levels were observed, (The level $\frac{9}{10}$ is broken in the high-frequency part.) and above the level $\frac{4}{5}$ the level $\frac{7}{9}$ in the Farey sequence $\left\{\frac{3}{4}, \frac{7}{9}, \frac{4}{5}\right\}$ is made and the sequence $\left\{\frac{9}{11}, \cdots, \frac{15}{17}\right\}$ follows.

Below the level $\frac{7}{9}$ the Farey sequences are different, and as the source of 8 levels in the $C=1.2$ case, we imagine a combination of the input electron and the output electron both expressed by quaternions. Two quaternions can make an octonion which couples with 8 dimensional vector fields.

In the case of $C=1.0$ and $\gamma=0.2, \omega$ of the node $W=\frac{1}{1}$ is about $10 \%$ larger than that of $C=1.2$, and the node $n$ at highest $\omega$ was assigned up to 15 , in contrast to up to 8 in the case of $C=1.2$.

The width of the windows is narrower, and the sequences of $W$ consist of

$$
\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{4}{5}, \frac{5}{6}, \frac{6}{7}, \frac{7}{8}, \frac{8}{9}, \frac{9}{10}, \frac{10}{11}, \frac{11}{12}, \frac{12}{13}, \frac{13}{14}, \frac{14}{15}, \frac{1}{1}\right\} .
$$



Figure 18: The time series of $x(t)$ (black) and $\gamma \sin \omega t$ (red). $\quad \omega=0.321, W=$ $5 / 8, C=1.2, L=3.3$


Figure 19: The time series of $x(t)$ (black) and $\gamma \sin \omega t$ (red). $\quad \omega=0.434, W=$ $7 / 8, C=1.2, L=3.3$

The Farey sequences of $P \neq Q$ like $W=\left\{\frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{7}\right\}$ and $W=\left\{\frac{5}{8}, \frac{7}{9}\right\}$, which were observed in $C=1.2$, were not observed in $C=1.0$.

Extending this analysis to the lower frequency region, we observed the devil's staircase structure [33] in the case of $C=1$ as Fig 23 and in the case of $C=1.2$, as Fig 24,

The qualitative difference of the case of $C=1$ and $C=1.2$ can be explained by the hidden attractor [26, 27]. They showed that when there is a perturbation with amplitude small enough, the Poincaré map of $F$ of the set $\Omega$ of solutions of the differential equation into itself

$$
F \Omega \subset \Omega
$$

can introduce stable solutions. When $C=1.2$ the $F \Omega$ goes outside $\Omega$ and unstable solutions dominate, but when $C=1$, there appear solutions of $f_{s}<f_{d}$ and hidden attractors appear. As shown in [6], the birth of SNA is due to collision of an unstable parent torus and a period-doubled torus. In our system, the driving oscillation $\gamma \sin \omega t$ of various $\omega$ plays the


Figure 20: The Poincaré section on the $x y$ plane. $\omega=0.3605$.


Figure 21: The Poincaré section on the $y z$ plane. $\omega=0.3605$.


Figure 22: ThePoincaré section on the $x z$ plane. $\omega=0.3605$.
role of period-doubling torus which has, in the region $0.265<\omega<0.30$, the Lyapunov exponent $\lambda_{1}=0, \lambda_{2} \sim 0, \lambda_{4}<\lambda_{3}<0$. Since the SNA is defined from the insensitivity to the initial condition, and negative Lyapunov exponents, the attractors we observed in the low frequency region which have negative Lyapunov exponents are SNA. The qualitative difference of $C=1.0$ and $C=1.2$ can be also explained by the Lyapunov exponent $\lambda_{3}$ of $C=1.2$ (Fig.6) which is larger than that of $C=1.0($ Fig,(5) and the system of $C=1.2$ is more unstable than that of $C=1.0$.


Figure 23: The devil's staircase for $C=1$.

## 5 Conjecture on the frequency of the windows of driven Muthuswamy-Chua's circuit

We observed that the frequency $\omega$ of the single periodicity devided by 8 is close to the frequency $\omega$ of the seven periodicity devided by 7 in Fig 12 and 13. It suggests that the single periodicity is equivalent to 8 periodicity and the octonion plays an important role in the interaction of electrons which can be expressed by Dirac spinors and electromagnetic fields.

The four component Dirac's spinor is a combination of two two-component spinors each transforms as a quaternion. Cartan 30] defined, using semi-spinors of an even number of indices

$$
\xi_{\text {even }}:=\xi_{12}, \xi_{23}, \xi_{34}, \xi_{13}, \xi_{24}, \xi_{14}, \xi_{1234}, \xi_{0}
$$

and semi-spinors of an odd number of indices

$$
\xi_{\text {odd }}:=\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}, \xi_{4}, \xi_{234}, \xi_{134}, \xi_{124}, \xi_{123}
$$

for bases of spinors

In octonion basis, we consider a fermion $\phi$ and its charge conjugate $\mathcal{C} \phi$ [31, 34], which are described by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi & =\xi_{0} I+\xi_{14} \sigma_{x}+\xi_{24} \sigma_{y}+\xi_{34} \sigma_{z} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{4}+i A_{3} & i A_{1}-A_{2} \\
i A_{1}+A_{2} & A_{4}-i A_{3}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\vec{A}, A_{4}\right) \\
\mathcal{C} \phi & =\xi_{1234} I-\xi_{23} \sigma_{x}-\xi_{31} \sigma_{y}-\xi_{12} \sigma_{z} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
B_{4}-i B_{3} & -i B_{1}+B_{2} \\
-i B_{1}-B_{2} & B_{4}+i B_{3}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\vec{B}, B_{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and a fermion $\psi$ and its charge conjugate $C \psi$, which are described by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi & =\xi_{4} I+\xi_{1} \sigma_{x}+\xi_{2} \sigma_{y}+\xi_{3} \sigma_{z} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
C_{4}+i C_{3} & i C_{1}-C_{2} \\
i C_{1}+C_{2} & C_{4}-i C_{3}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\vec{C}, C_{4}\right) \\
\mathcal{C} \psi & =\xi_{123} I-\xi_{234} \sigma_{x}-\xi_{314} \sigma_{y}-\xi_{124} \sigma_{z} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
D_{4}-i D_{3} & -i D_{1}+D_{2} \\
-i D_{1}-D_{2} & D_{4}+i D_{3}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\vec{D}, D_{4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The choice of particle v.s. hole for $\psi$ v.s. $\phi$ depends on convention. Following the usual convention, we choose $\psi$ for a particle and $\phi$ for a hole.

The coupling of the spinor and vectors defined by Cartan is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F} & =X \mathcal{C} \phi \psi+X \mathcal{C} \phi \mathcal{C} \psi \\
& =x^{1}\left(-A_{1} D_{4}-B_{2} D_{3}+B_{3} D_{2}+B_{4} C_{1}\right) \\
& +x^{2}\left(B_{1} D_{3}-A_{2} D_{4}-B_{3} D_{1}+B_{4} C_{2}\right) \\
& +x^{3}\left(-B_{1} D_{2}+B_{2} D_{1}-A_{3} D_{4}+B_{4} C_{3}\right) \\
& +x^{4}\left(-A_{1} D_{1}-A_{2} D_{2}-A_{3} D_{3}+B_{4} C_{4}\right) \\
& +x^{1^{\prime}}\left(B_{1} C_{4}-A_{2} C_{3}-A_{3} C_{2}-A_{4} D_{1}\right) \\
& +x^{2^{\prime}}\left(A_{1} C_{3}+B_{2} C_{4}-A_{3} C_{1}-A_{4} D_{2}\right) \\
& +x^{3^{\prime}}\left(-A_{1} C_{2}+A_{2} C_{1}+B_{3} C_{4}-A_{4} D_{3}\right) \\
& +x^{4^{\prime}}\left(-B_{1} C_{1}-B_{2} C_{2}-B_{3} C_{3}+A_{4} D_{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In our system, there are an input electron which is represented by the plane wave, and an output fermions/holes. There are 4 possible choices of the input fermion wave functions $A, B, C, D$, and 2 possible types of exchanged photons i.e. left vertex and right vertex are the same $x_{4}$ or $x_{4}^{\prime}$ exchange. The coulomb interaction in coordinate space is instantaneous and expressed as

$$
D_{00}^{C}(x)=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|} \delta\left(x_{0}\right)
$$

and it is natural to indistinguish $x_{4}$ and $x_{4}^{\prime}$ and allow left vertex is that of $x_{4}$ and right vertex is that of $x_{4}^{\prime}$ or vice versa, which we denote $x_{4} / x_{4}^{\prime}$ exchange.

The exchange of $x_{4}$ between a hole with its 4th component interchanged by that of charge conjugate particle

$$
\tilde{\phi}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
B_{4}+i A_{3} & i A_{1}-A_{2} \\
i A_{1}+A_{2} & B_{4}-i A_{3}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\vec{A}, B_{4}\right)
$$

and another hole with its 4th component interchanged by that of charge conjugate particle

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{C} \psi}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
C_{4}+i D_{3} & i D_{1}-D_{2} \\
i D_{1}+D_{2} & C_{4}-i D_{3}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\vec{D}, C_{4}\right)
$$

is represented in Fig 25
The exchange of $x_{4}^{\prime}$ between a particle with its 4 th component interchanged by that of charge conjugate hole

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{C} \phi}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{4}+i B_{3} & i B_{1}-B_{2} \\
i B_{1}+B_{2} & A_{4}-i B_{3}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\vec{B}, A_{4}\right)
$$

and another particle with its 4th component interchanged by that of charge conjugate hole

$$
\tilde{\psi}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
D_{4}+i C_{3} & i C_{1}-C_{2} \\
i C_{1}+C_{2} & D_{4}-i C_{3}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\vec{C}, D_{4}\right)
$$

is represented in Fig.26.


Figure 25: The vector $x_{4}$ exchange between
Figure 26: The vector $x_{4}^{\prime}$ exchange between a hole-hole $\left(\vec{B}, A_{4}\right),\left(\vec{C}, D_{4}\right)$. a partcle-particle $\left(\vec{D}, C_{4}\right),\left(\vec{A}, B_{4}\right)$.

Since the vector particle is assumed to be self-dual, or propagation of $x_{4}$ is same as that of $x_{4}^{\prime}$, the hole-particle interaction between $\left(\vec{C}, D_{4}\right)$ and $\left(\vec{A}, B_{4}\right)$ represented in Fig.27, The particle-hole interaction between $\left(\vec{D}, C_{4}\right)$ and $\left(\vec{B}, A_{4}\right)$ can be obtained by performing the time reversal.

In our analysis of memristive circuit, we defined the voltage across the capacitor $x_{1}=$ $v_{C}(t)$, the current through the inductor $x_{2}=i_{L}(t)$ and the internal state of the memristor $x_{3}=z(t)$.

From the frequency $\omega$ of the single period oscillation observed in the widest window of the bifurcation diagram, we calculate $\omega / 8$ and compare the $\omega / n$ of oscillation of periodicity


Figure 27: The vector $x_{4} / x_{4}^{\prime}$ exchange between a hole-particle $\left(\vec{C}, D_{4}\right),\left(\vec{A}, B_{4}\right)$
equals to $n$. We observed a three periodic oscillation around $\omega_{3}=0.375, \omega_{3} / 3=0.125$, a four periodic oscillation around $\omega_{4}=0.416, \omega_{4} / 4=0.104$, and a five periodic oscillation around $\omega_{5}=0.444, \omega_{5} / 5=0.088$. $\omega$ divided by a number of periods $n$ defined as $\omega / n$ is nearly linear function of $0.18-0.018 n$, and $n$ runs from 2 to 15 .

In the case of $C=1.2$, we observe windows of periodicity $n$ from 2 to 9 . The last window of periodicity 10 is broken at the high-frequency region. In the case of $C=1.0$, we observed windows of $n=2, \cdots, 9$, which have the smooth n dependence of $\omega / n$.

## 6 Discussion

We studied the sinusoidally driven memristor circuit. We observed nonchaotic strange attractors and different from the case of driven Chua's circuit[20], we first observed period adding low as in LCR circuit [22]. In the case of $C=1.2$, we observed a sequence of response frequency $f_{d}$ stepwise increasing, and the winding number $W=f_{s} / f_{d}$ shows a sequence $W=\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{3}{4}, \cdots, \frac{8}{9}, \frac{1}{1}\right\}$ and Farey sum sequences $W=\left\{\frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{7}, \cdots, \frac{1}{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{\frac{5}{8}, \frac{7}{9}, \cdots, \frac{2}{1}\right\}$. It is interesting that in the analysis of non-linear circuit of [21], the number of response $P$ of the $v_{C}$, which corresponds to our $f_{d}$, was assigned as Level- 2 devil's staircase sequence from step 2 , and 8 steps $P=2,3, \cdots, 9$ were considered as in our case of $C=1.2$. The driving oscillation is $P=1$, in their cases and their responses are not hidden oscillations.

In the case of $C=1.0$, we find winding number's sequences $W=\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{3}{4}, \cdots, \frac{14}{15}, \frac{1}{1}\right\}$, but we do not find the Farey sum sequences observed in $C=1.2$. It means that the way to chaos via the devil's staircase which is observed in the case of $C=1.2$ is absent in the case of $C=1$. In the Level-2 devil's staircase sequence from step 3 to step 2 of [21], 7 steps $P=3,5, \cdots, 15$ were considered and devil's staircase route to chaos was discusseed.

When $\omega$ of $W=1$ is divided by 8 , it becomes close to $\omega$ of $W=\frac{6}{7}$ divided by 7 . It suggests that the octonion that appears as a combination of two quaternions plays an important role in the circuit, since Dirac electrons are expressed by octonions.

Oscillation in the voltage of two capacitances $v_{C 1}, v_{C 2}$ and the current $i_{L}$ of an inductor in nonlinear coupled circuits can be analyzed using the quaternion bases. In our memristive circuits, octonion plays an important role in the matching of period $\omega / f_{d}$. Symmetry of electromagnetic field and that of probes must be studied together. We found nonchaotic strange attractors, or strange attractors whose Lyapunov exponent is negative, in the driven memristive circuit.

The Poincaré map of the memristor current obtained by choosing the plane defined by the condition $\operatorname{Mod}[\omega t, 2 \pi]=0$ shows a relatively complicated structure at a certain frequency region. When the amplitude of the external oscillation is small, the strange attractor remains nonchaotic, but when it is large, the strange attractor at a certain frequency region becomes chaotic. The condition depends upon the capacity of the condenser $C$.

In the analysis of bifurcation of ordinary differential equation, treatment of structurally unstable invariant sets in a suitable phase-parameter space was discussed [41], and MATLAB programs using the coordinates that show collocation at Gaussian points [42] for bifurcation analysis of dynamical systems were proposed [43, 44, 45]. We did not choose coordinates that show collocation at Gaussian points, but since we have chosen fine mesh points in the program of Mathematica, we do not expect qualitative changes appear by different choice of mesh points.

We considered vector particle interactions with electron particles and holes which are
represented by octonions. It is not evident that octonions, give better results than a combination of quaternions, but it is worth studying the possibility of representing quarks and anti-quarks and lepton anti-leptons by octonions [31, 34, 35, ?, 37].

The recent analysis of a boson described by lepton and antilepton decay into two photons [36] shows that the description of spinors of leptons by octonions and description of interaction between vector fields in $\boldsymbol{R}^{3}$ and spinors of Cartan is promising.

The Coulomb interaction $D_{00}^{C}(x)$ appears from $\bar{\psi} \gamma_{0} \psi$ and the wave function $\psi$ is an element in the twistor space. Identity of the electroweak gauge group of Weinberg-Salam model and the gauge group of Dirac current in which $\psi$ is treated as a Clifford algebra spinor

$$
\psi=\Psi \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\gamma_{0}\right) \frac{1}{2}\left(1+i \gamma_{2} \gamma_{1}\right)
$$

where $\Psi$ in $\mathcal{C l}_{1,3}^{+}$is defined as

$$
\Psi=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\psi_{1} & -\psi_{2}^{*} & \psi_{3} & \psi_{4}^{*} \\
\psi_{2} & \psi_{1}^{*} & \psi_{4} & -\psi_{3}^{*} \\
\psi_{3} & \psi_{4}^{*} & \psi_{1} & -\psi_{2}^{*} \\
\psi_{4} & -\psi_{3}^{*} & \psi_{2} & \psi_{1}^{*}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is discussed in [39] and 40].
Penrose and Rindler [46] discuss that the Dirac equation

$$
\gamma_{a \rho}^{\sigma} \nabla^{a} \psi^{\rho}=\hbar^{-1} m \psi^{\sigma}
$$

and the Dirac-Weyl equation

$$
\gamma_{a R}^{S^{\prime}} \nabla^{a} \psi^{R}=0,
$$

where $\gamma_{a \rho}^{\sigma}$ and $\gamma_{a R}^{S^{\prime}}$ are operators to translate the 4 dimensional tangent vector field $\mathbf{V}^{a}$ to spinor form $\mathbf{S}^{\sigma}$ and $\mathbf{S}^{R}$, respectively, can be treated in the twistor space, where interaction of quaternions is treated. Although neutrino is not massless and does not satisfy the DiracWeyl equation, extension of the electromagnetic interaction to the electro-weak interecation including massive neutrinos is possible.

When we identify the boson as a Higgs boson and compare the ATLAS experiment of decay into two jet photons in which one photon mass is about 100 GeV [47], which is close to the total energy of a $Z$ boson which makes a jet, and the energy of another photon becomes about 20 GeV . We expect this photon becomes the source of 18 GeV photon from GRB 940217 which was difficult to produce from the inverse Compton scattering [48].
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