

A geometric realization of the ultradiscrete periodic Toda lattice via tropical plane curves

Atsushi NOBE*

Abstract

This is a review article on a tropical geometric realization of the ultradiscrete periodic Toda lattice (UD-pTL). Time evolution of the UD-pTL is translated into an addition on the Picard group of its spectral curve, which is a tropical hyperelliptic curve of arbitrary genus depending on the system size. The addition on the Picard group can be realized by using intersection of several tropical plane curves, one of which is the spectral curve. In addition, the tropical eigenvector map, which maps a point in the phase space of the UD-pTL into a set of points on the spectral curve, can also be realized by using intersection of tropical curves. Thus, if the initial values are given then the time evolution of the UD-pTL is completely translated into a motion of intersection points of tropical plane curves. Moreover, all tropical plane curves appearing in the curve intersection are explicitly given in terms of the conserved quantities of the UD-pTL.

1 Introduction

The discovery of a cellular automaton possessing solitonical nature by Takahashi – Satsuma in 1990 [29] was a trigger of various subsequent studies upon new integrable dynamical systems governed by piecewise linear maps on the real spaces of finite or infinite dimension. Such dynamical systems are called ultradiscrete integrable systems and their evolutions are described by means of the max-plus algebra. The most powerful tool to investigate ultradiscrete integrable systems known as the procedure of ultradiscretization was proposed by Tokihiro et al. in 1996 [31]. By using this procedure, ultradiscrete integrable systems are directly connected with the discrete integrable systems such as the discrete KdV, the discrete Toda and the discrete KP equations. Namely, evolution equations, exact solutions and conserved quantities of such discrete integrable systems are simultaneously reduced to those of ultradiscrete integrable systems by applying ultradiscretization [22, 30, 12]. With ultradiscretization as a booster, ultradiscrete integrable systems have intensively been studied by using various mathematical tool such as combinatorics [20, 1, 34, 27], crystal bases of quantum groups [6, 4, 5, 9], tropical geometry [23, 17, 16, 24, 9] and so forth.

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Chiba University, 1-33 Yayoi-cho Inage-ku, Chiba 263-8522, Japan.

e-mail: nobe@faculty.chiba-u.jp

This work was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 22740100.

In this article, we focus our interests on the geometric aspects of ultradiscrete integrable systems. The first step of the studies on the geometry of ultradiscrete integrable systems was made by Kimijima – Tokihiro in 2002 [18]. They applied the procedure of ultradiscretization to the periodic discrete Toda lattice (pdTL) and their quasi-periodic solutions, and linearized time evolution of the ultradiscrete periodic Toda lattice (UD-pTL) on the real torus corresponding to the Jacobian of the spectral curve of the pdTL. They also solved the initial value problem to the UD-pTL of genus 1 and constructed its quasi-periodic solutions by means of the ultradiscrete elliptic theta functions. Subsequent steps were made by Inoue – Takenawa [10, 11] and Iwao et al. [8, 15] in terms of tropical geometry [13] and ultradiscretization procedure. They solved the initial value problems to the UD-pTL of arbitrary genus by using tropical hyperelliptic curves, their tropical Jacobians, ultradiscrete theta functions and ultradiscretization of Abelian integrals. Through their works, we have gradually recognized the advantage in applying the method of tropical geometry to ultradiscrete integrable systems.

We will go a step further and try to realize the UD-pTL completely in the framework of tropical geometry. In section 2 and 3, we introduce the UD-pTL and its spectral curve and realize time evolution of the UD-pTL as an addition of points on the Picard group of its spectral curve. We give explicit formulae for the eigenvectors of the Lax matrix of the pdTL in terms of the conserved quantities in section 4. Moreover, we realize the tropical eigenvector maps geometrically by using intersection of the spectral curve of the UD-pTL and tropical plane curves derived from the eigenvector of the Lax matrix. In section 5, we translate the addition of points on the Picard group into intersection of tropical plane curves. These tropical plane curves, one of which is the spectral curve of the UD-pTL, are explicitly given by using the conserved quantities of the UD-pTL. If we restrict the UD-pTL to take its initial values in positive integers then its time evolution is realized as a motion of balls in an array of boxes and is called the periodic box-ball system (pBBS) [35]. In A, we present a concrete computation of the geometric realization of the pBBS for a certain initial condition by using intersection of tropical plane curves. We observe that the time evolution of the pBBS is realized as a motion of several points on a tropical hyperelliptic curve.

2 Ultradiscrete periodic Toda lattice and periodic box-ball system

Let us consider the dynamical system $\zeta : \mathbb{R}^{2g+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2g+2}$;

$$(\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{W}) = (J_1, \dots, J_{g+1}, W_1, \dots, W_{g+1}) \mapsto (\bar{\mathbf{J}}, \bar{\mathbf{W}}) = (\bar{J}_1, \dots, \bar{J}_{g+1}, \bar{W}_1, \dots, \bar{W}_{g+1})$$

given by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{J}_i &= \lfloor W_i, X_i + J_i \rfloor, & \bar{W}_i &= J_{i+1} + W_i - \bar{J}_i, \\ X_i &= \left[\sum_{l=1}^k (J_{i-l} - W_{i-l}) \right]_{0 \leq k \leq g}. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Here we define

$$\lfloor A, B, \dots \rfloor := \min(A, B, \dots), \quad \lceil A, B, \dots \rceil := \max(A, B, \dots)$$

for $A, B, \dots \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, we assume that $\sum_{l=1}^0 (J_{i-l} - W_{i-l}) = 0$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{g+1} J_i < \sum_{i=1}^{g+1} W_i. \quad (2)$$

When we iterate the map ζ we use the notation

$$(\mathbf{J}^t, \mathbf{W}^t) = (J_1^t, \dots, J_{g+1}^t, W_1^t, \dots, W_{g+1}^t) := \underbrace{\zeta \circ \zeta \circ \dots \circ \zeta}_t(\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{W})$$

for $t = 0, 1, \dots$

We call the dynamical system generated by (1) the ultradiscrete periodic Toda lattice (UD-pTL). It is well known that the UD-pTL is reduced from the periodic discrete Toda lattice or the periodic discrete KdV equation through the procedure of ultradiscretization [31]. In particular, if the variables J_1, \dots, J_{g+1} and W_1, \dots, W_{g+1} take their values in positive integers then the dynamical system is called the periodic box-ball system (pBBS) [35, 18]. The pBBS is obtained from the box-ball system (BBS), which was introduced by Takahashi – Satsuma as a soliton cellular automaton [29], by imposing a periodic boundary condition.

The pBBS can be realized by using an array of finite boxes and balls as follows. Consider an array of $\sum_{i=1}^{g+1} (J_i + W_i)$ boxes whose both ends are connected each other. Assume that we have $\sum_{i=1}^{g+1} J_i$ balls. Put a ball into an arbitrary box in the array of boxes. Successively, put a ball into the next box of the occupied one to the right. Repeat this procedure J_1 times then we obtain a sub-array of consecutive J_1 boxes each of which is occupied with a ball. For the adjoining W_1 boxes of the right-most occupied one to the right, we do not put balls into them. Thus we obtain a sub-array of consecutive W_1 empty boxes adjoining the sub-array of J_1 occupied ones. Next, put a ball into the next box of the right-most box in the sub-array of W_1 empty boxes to the right, and repeat the above procedure for J_2 and W_2 . Then we obtain a sub-array of consecutive J_2 occupied boxes and that of consecutive W_2 empty boxes adjoining to the sub-array of W_1 empty boxes. By applying the procedure repeatedly, we finally obtain sub-arrays of J_1, \dots, J_{g+1} occupied boxes and those of W_1, \dots, W_{g+1} empty boxes which are alternately arranged (see figure 1). This is the initial state of the pBBS.




Figure 1: Correspondence of \mathbf{J} and \mathbf{W} with the variables of the pBBS.

Time evolution (1) can be realized by moving balls in the following manner. Make a copy of every ball in the initial state constructed above. Pick an arbitrary copy of ball and move it to the nearest empty box to the right. Repeat this procedure till every ball moves once, and then erase the original ones. Thus we obtain the second state consisting of

$\sum_{i=1}^{g+1} W_i$ empty boxes and $\sum_{i=1}^{g+1} J_i$ occupied boxes each of which contains a ball. We find that the sub-array of occupied boxes starting from the left-most box in that of W_1 empty boxes in the initial state has length \bar{J}_1 . We also find that the sub-array of empty boxes adjoining that of \bar{J}_1 occupied boxes has length \bar{W}_1 . We inductively find that the second state consists of sub-arrays of $\bar{J}_1, \dots, \bar{J}_{g+1}$ occupied boxes and those of $\bar{W}_1, \dots, \bar{W}_{g+1}$ empty boxes which are alternately arranged (see figure 2). Repeat this procedure t times then we obtain the t -th state of the balls corresponding to $\{\mathbf{J}^t, \mathbf{W}^t\}$.




Figure 2: Time evolution of the pBBS.

Now we introduce the spectral curve of the UD-pTL. Let us consider the following tropical polynomial F in X and Y

$$F(X, Y) := [2Y, Y + \lfloor (g+1)X, C_g + gX, \dots, C_1 + X, C_0 \rfloor, C_{-1}],$$

where $C_{-1}, C_0, \dots, C_g \in \mathbb{T} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$. We can associate the coefficients C_{-1}, C_0, \dots, C_g in F with the variables \mathbf{J} and \mathbf{W} of the UD-pTL appropriately [19, 10, 26], e.g.,

$$\begin{aligned} C_g &= C_g(\mathbf{J}; \mathbf{W}) = \lfloor J_i, W_i \rfloor_{1 \leq i \leq g+1}, \\ C_{g-1} &= C_{g-1}(\mathbf{J}; \mathbf{W}) = \left\lfloor \begin{array}{c} \lfloor J_i + J_j, W_i + W_j \rfloor, \lfloor J_i + W_j \rfloor \\ 1 \leq i < j \leq g+1 \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq g+1 \\ \quad \quad \quad j \neq i, i-1 \end{array} \right\rfloor, \\ C_0 &= C_0(\mathbf{J}; \mathbf{W}) = \left\lfloor \sum_{i=1}^{g+1} J_i, \sum_{i=1}^{g+1} W_i \right\rfloor = \sum_{i=1}^{g+1} J_i, \\ C_{-1} &= C_{-1}(\mathbf{J}; \mathbf{W}) = \sum_{i=1}^{g+1} (J_i + W_i). \end{aligned}$$

Here we use the assumption (2). The correspondence defines a piecewise linear map

$$\psi : \mathbb{R}^{2g+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{g+2}; (\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{W}) \mapsto (C_{-1}, C_0, \dots, C_g). \quad (3)$$

We may use the notation $C_i^t := C_i(\mathbf{J}^t; \mathbf{W}^t)$ for $t = 0, 1, \dots$ when the map ζ is iterated. One can show that the coefficients C_{-1}, C_0, \dots, C_g in F are the conserved quantities of the UD-pTL [19, 10].




Figure 3: The tropical hyperelliptic curve $\tilde{\Gamma}$. The compact subset Γ is drawn by solid lines and the half rays by dotted lines.

Consider the tropical plane curve $\tilde{\Gamma}$ defined by F [28, 2, 13]:

$$\tilde{\Gamma} := \{P \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid F \text{ is not differentiable at } P\}.$$

Assume $C_{-1} > 2C_0$, $C_{g-1} > 2C_g$, and $C_i + C_{i+2} > 2C_{i+1}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, g-2$. Then $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is a tropical hyperelliptic curve of genus g [21, 3]. By removing all half rays from $\tilde{\Gamma}$, we obtain the compact tropical curve denoted by Γ (see figure 3). Hereafter, we consider Γ rather than $\tilde{\Gamma}$ as the spectral curve of the UD-pTL.

Consider the involution $\iota : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma; P = (X, Y) \mapsto P' = (X, C_{-1} - Y)$. We call P' the conjugate of P . Note that Γ is symmetric with respect to the line $Y = C_{-1}/2$. We denote the $2g + 2$ vertices of Γ by V_i and V'_i for $i = 0, 1, \dots, g$

$$\begin{aligned} V_i &= (C_{g-i} - C_{g-i+1}, C_{-1} - (g-i+1)C_{g-i} + (g-i)C_{g-i+1}), \\ V'_i &= (C_{g-i} - C_{g-i+1}, (g-i+1)C_{g-i} - (g-i)C_{g-i+1}). \end{aligned}$$

The cycle connecting V_i, V_{i-1}, V'_{i-1} , and V'_i in a counterclockwise direction is denoted by α_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, g$.

3 Addition on tropical hyperelliptic curves

We briefly review addition of points on tropical hyperelliptic curves [33, 25].

Denote the divisor group of Γ by $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$. A rational function on Γ is a continuous function $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that its restriction to any edge is piecewise linear with integral slope [2]. The order of f at $P \in \Gamma$ is the sum of the outgoing slopes of all segments emanating from P and is denoted by $\text{ord}_P f$. If $\text{ord}_P f < 0$ then P is called the zero

of f of order $|\text{ord}_P f|$. If $\text{ord}_P f > 0$ then P is called the pole of f of order $\text{ord}_P f$. The principal divisor (f) of f is defined to be $(f) := \sum_{P \in \Gamma} (\text{ord}_P f) P$. We then find $\deg(f) = \sum_{P \in \Gamma} (\text{ord}_P f) = 0$.

Define the Picard group of Γ to be the residue class group $\text{Pic}^0(\Gamma) := \mathcal{D}_0(\Gamma)/\mathcal{D}_l(\Gamma)$, where $\mathcal{D}_0(\Gamma)$ is the group of divisors of degree 0 on Γ and $\mathcal{D}_l(\Gamma)$ is the group of principal divisors of rational functions on Γ .

Define the canonical map $\Phi : \mathcal{D}_g^+(\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(\Gamma)$ to be

$$\Phi(A) := A - D^* \pmod{\mathcal{D}_l(\Gamma)} \quad \text{for } A \in \mathcal{D}_g^+(\Gamma),$$

where $\mathcal{D}_g^+(\Gamma)$ is the group of effective divisors of degree g on Γ and $D^* \in \mathcal{D}_g^+(\Gamma)$ is a fixed element. We then have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1 ([25]) *The canonical map Φ is surjective. In particular, Φ is bijective if $g = 1$.*

By using the surjection Φ , we induce an addition of points on the g -th symmetric product $\text{Sym}^g(\Gamma) := \Gamma^g/\mathfrak{S}_g$ from $\text{Pic}^0(\Gamma)$. Put $\tilde{\Phi} := \Phi \circ \mu^{-1} : \text{Sym}^g(\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(\Gamma)$, where $\mu : \mathcal{D}_g^+(\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Sym}^g(\Gamma); D_P = P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_g \mapsto d_P := \mu(D_P) = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_g\}$. For $d_P, d_Q \in \text{Sym}^g(\Gamma)$, we define $d_P \oplus d_Q$ to be an element in the subset

$$\tilde{\Phi}^{-1} \left(\tilde{\Phi}(d_P) + \tilde{\Phi}(d_Q) \right) \subset \text{Sym}^g(\Gamma).$$

Although $d_P \oplus d_Q$ is not uniquely determined on $\text{Sym}^g(\Gamma)$, there exists a subset of $\text{Sym}^g(\Gamma)$ on which the addition is uniquely determined.

Put $\alpha_{ij} := \alpha_i \cap \alpha_j \setminus \{\text{end points of } \alpha_i \cap \alpha_j\}$ for the cycles α_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, g$). We define the subset $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ of $\mathcal{D}_g^+(\Gamma)$ to be

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}} := \left\{ D_P \in \mathcal{D}_g^+(\Gamma) \mid \begin{array}{l} P_i \in \alpha_i \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, g \text{ and} \\ \text{there exists at most one point on } \alpha_{ij} \end{array} \right\}.$$

We then have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2 ([10]) *The reduced map $\Phi|_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}} : \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Pic}^0(\Gamma)$ is bijective.*

Since $\mu|_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}} : \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \mu(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}) \subset \text{Sym}^g(\Gamma)$ is also bijective, the addition $\oplus : \mu(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}) \times \mu(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}) \rightarrow \mu(\tilde{\mathcal{D}})$ is uniquely determined. Thus we see that $\mu(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}) \simeq \text{Pic}^0(\Gamma)$ as a group.

Hereafter, we fix D^* as follows

$$D^* = \begin{cases} \frac{g}{2}(V_0 + V'_0) & \text{for even } g, \\ \frac{g-1}{2}(V_0 + V'_0) + V_0 & \text{for odd } g. \end{cases}$$

Define the element $o \in \text{Sym}^g(\Gamma)$ to be

$$o := \begin{cases} \bigcup_{i=1}^{g/2} \{V_{2i-1}, V'_{2i-1}\} & \text{for even } g, \\ \{V_0\} \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{g-1/2} \{V_{2i}, V'_{2i}\} \right) & \text{for odd } g. \end{cases}$$

Then o is the unit of addition of the group $\mu(\tilde{\mathcal{D}})$ [25, 26].

4 Tropical eigenvector maps

Let the phase space of the UD-pTL be

$$\mathcal{T} := \left\{ (\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{W}) \mid \sum_{i=1}^{g+1} J_i < \sum_{i=1}^{g+1} W_i \right\}$$

and the moduli space of Γ be $\mathcal{C} := \{(C_{-1}, C_0, \dots, C_g)\}$. Consider the map $\psi : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ defined by (3) and set

$$\mathcal{T}_C := \psi^{-1}(C_{-1}, C_0, \dots, C_g) \subset \mathcal{T}.$$

The set \mathcal{T}_C is called the isospectral set of the UD-pTL.

Now remember the periodic discrete Toda lattice (pdTL) $\chi : \mathbb{C}^{2g+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2g+2}; (\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{V}) \mapsto (\bar{\mathbf{I}}, \bar{\mathbf{V}})$ given by

$$\bar{I}_i + \bar{V}_{i-1} = I_i + V_i, \quad \bar{V}_i \bar{I}_i = I_{i+1} V_i, \quad (4)$$

where we put $\mathbf{I} = (I_1, \dots, I_{g+1})$ and $\mathbf{V} = (V_1, \dots, V_{g+1})$ [7]. By applying the procedure of ultradiscretization to the pdTL, we obtain the UD-pTL [35, 18]. Let $\varphi(x, y) = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_g, -\varphi_{g+1})^T$ be the eigenvector of the Lax matrix

$$L := \begin{bmatrix} I_2 + V_1 & 1 & & & (-1)^g I_1 V_1 / y \\ I_2 V_2 & \ddots & & \ddots & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & 1 \\ (-1)^g y & & I_{g+1} V_{g+1} & & I_1 + V_{g+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

of the pdTL. Here $-x$ is the eigenvalue of L and y is the spectral parameter.

Let \tilde{f} be the polynomial $y|x\mathbb{I} + L|$ in x and y . Then \tilde{f} has the form

$$\tilde{f}(x, y) = y^2 + y(x^{g+1} + c_g x^g + \dots + c_1 x + c_0) + c_{-1}$$

and defines the spectral curve $\tilde{\gamma}$ of the pdTL, which is a hyperelliptic curve of genus g for appropriate choice of c_i 's [10]. Note that the coefficients c_{-1}, c_0, \dots, c_g are subtraction-free polynomials in \mathbf{I} and \mathbf{V} and are the conserved quantities of the pdTL.

Consider the eigenvalue equation of L

$$(x\mathbb{I} + L) \varphi(x, y) = 0. \quad (5)$$

Let the (i, j) -entry of L be l_{ij} . By applying the Cramer formula, each element of $\varphi(x, y)$ is explicitly given by

$$\varphi_i(x, y) = \begin{vmatrix} l_{11} + x & \cdots & l_{1,i-1} & l_{1,g+1} & l_{1,i+1} & \cdots & l_{1g} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ l_{g1} & \cdots & l_{g,i-1} & l_{g,g+1} & l_{g,i+1} & \cdots & l_{gg} + x \end{vmatrix}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, g$ and

$$\varphi_{g+1}(x) = |x\mathbb{I} + L_{\bar{\Lambda}}|,$$

where $L_{\bar{\Lambda}} = (l_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq g}$.

Let \mathcal{U}_c be the isospectral set of the pdTL. The eigenvector map ϕ of the pdTL is defined to be a map $\phi : \mathcal{U}_c \rightarrow \text{Pic}^g(\gamma) := \mathcal{D}_g(\gamma)/\mathcal{D}_l(\gamma)$;

$$(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{V}) \mapsto \phi(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{V}) \equiv P_1 + \cdots + P_g \pmod{\mathcal{D}_l(\gamma)},$$

where P_1, P_2, \dots, P_g are points on $\tilde{\gamma}$ such that they are the common g zeros of the rational functions $\varphi_1(x, y), \varphi_2(x, y), \dots, \varphi_g(x, y)$ in the eigenvector $\varphi(x, y)$ [32, 14].

We can easily see that the first two entries $\varphi_1(x, y)$ and $\varphi_2(x, y)$ of $\varphi(x, y)$ have exactly g zeros in common, and these g zeros define ϕ . Expand $\varphi_1(x, y)$ with respect to the first column:

$$\varphi_1(x, y) = \frac{(-1)^g}{y} I_1 V_1 \begin{vmatrix} I_3 + V_2 + x & 1 & & \\ & I_3 V_3 & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & I_g V_g & I_{g+1} + V_g + x \end{vmatrix} - (-1)^g. \quad (6)$$

Also expand $\varphi_2(x, y)$ with respect to the second column:

$$\varphi_2(x, y) = (-1)^g (I_2 + V_1 + x) - \frac{(-1)^g I_1 I_2 V_1 V_2}{y} \begin{vmatrix} I_4 + V_3 + x & 1 & & \\ & I_4 V_4 & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & I_g V_g & I_{g+1} + V_g + x \end{vmatrix}. \quad (7)$$

Now consider the following formula [26]:

$$\begin{vmatrix} I_2 + V_1 + x & 1 & & \\ & I_2 V_2 & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & I_g V_g & I_{g+1} + V_g + x \end{vmatrix} = \frac{|x\mathbb{I} + L(\mathbf{I}_{2,g+1}; \mathbf{V}_{1,g})| - y}{x} \quad (8)$$

$$= x^g + \sum_{i=1}^g c_i(\mathbf{I}_{2,g+1}; \mathbf{V}_{1,g}) x^{i-1},$$

where we put

$$\mathbf{I}_{i,j} := (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{i-1}, I_i, I_{i+1}, \dots, I_j, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{g+1-j}),$$

$$\mathbf{V}_{i,j} := (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{i-1}, V_i, V_{i+1}, \dots, V_j, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{g+1-j})$$

for $i \leq j$, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, g+1$. It should be noted that (8) is the subtraction-free polynomial $\varphi_{g+1}(x)$ in x , the $(g+1)$ -th entry of the eigenvector $\varphi(x, y)$.

Setting $I_2 = V_1 = 0$ in (8), we have

$$\begin{vmatrix} I_3 + V_2 + x & 1 & & & \\ & I_3 V_3 & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & I_g V_g & I_{g+1} + V_g + x \end{vmatrix} = x^{g-1} + \sum_{i=2}^g c_i(\mathbf{I}_{3,g+1}; \mathbf{V}_{2,g}) x^{i-2},$$

where we use the fact $c_1(\mathbf{I}_{3,g+1}; \mathbf{V}_{2,g}) = 0$. Moreover setting $I_3 = V_2 = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{vmatrix} I_4 + V_3 + x & 1 & & & \\ & I_4 V_4 & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & I_g V_g & I_{g+1} + V_g + x \end{vmatrix} = x^{g-2} + \sum_{i=3}^g c_i(\mathbf{I}_{4,g+1}; \mathbf{V}_{3,g}) x^{i-3},$$

where we use the fact $c_2(\mathbf{I}_{4,g+1}; \mathbf{V}_{3,g}) = 0$. Thus we find the following explicit formulae for φ_1 and φ_2

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1(x, y) &= \frac{(-1)^g}{y} I_1 V_1 \left\{ x^{g-1} + \sum_{i=2}^g c_i(\mathbf{I}_{3,g+1}; \mathbf{V}_{2,g}) x^{i-2} \right\} - (-1)^g, \\ \varphi_2(x, y) &= (-1)^g (I_2 + V_1 + x) - \frac{(-1)^g}{y} I_1 I_2 V_1 V_2 \left\{ x^{g-2} + \sum_{i=3}^g c_i(\mathbf{I}_{4,g+1}; \mathbf{V}_{3,g}) x^{i-3} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

From the first equation in (5) we have

$$\varphi_{g+1}(x) = \frac{(-1)^g y}{I_1 V_1} \{ (I_1 + V_1 + x) \varphi_1(x, y) + \varphi_2(x, y) \}. \quad (9)$$

The remaining equations in (5) imply

$$I_{i+1} V_{i+1} \varphi_i + (I_{i+2} + V_{i+1} + x) \varphi_{i+1} + \varphi_{i+2} = 0 \quad (10)$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, g-2$ and

$$(-1)^g y \varphi_1(x, y) + I_{g+1} V_{g+1} \varphi_g(x, y) - (I_1 + V_{g+1}) \varphi_{g+1}(x) = 0. \quad (11)$$

Note that (11) is equivalent to the defining polynomial $\tilde{f}(x, y)$ of $\tilde{\gamma}$.

Now we apply the procedure of ultradiscretization to φ_1 and φ_2 . Replace x and $-y$ with $e^{-X/\epsilon}$ and $e^{-Y/\epsilon}$, respectively. Also replace I_i and V_i with $e^{-J_i/\epsilon}$ and $e^{-W_i/\epsilon}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, g-1$, respectively. Then the polynomials $(-1)^{g-1} y \varphi_1(x, -y)$ and $(-1)^g y \varphi_2(x, -y)$ are subtraction-free and we obtain tropical polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(X, Y) &:= -\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon \log \left(\frac{(-1)^{g-1} y}{I_1 V_1} \varphi_1(x, -y) \right) \\ &= \left[\underset{2 \leq i \leq g}{C_i(\mathbf{J}_{3,g+1}; \mathbf{W}_{2,g})} + (i-2)X, (g-1)X, Y - J_1 - W_1 \right] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$G_2(X, Y) := -\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon \log \left(\frac{(-1)^g y}{I_1 I_2 V_1 V_2} \varphi_2(x, -y) \right)$$

$$= \left\lfloor [C_i(\mathbf{J}_{4,g+1}; \mathbf{W}_{3,g}) + (i-3)X], (g-2)X, Y + \lfloor J_2, W_1, X \rfloor - \sum_{i=1}^2 (J_i + W_i) \right\rfloor$$

in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Here C_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, g-1$) is the coefficient in the defining polynomial F of Γ and we put

$$\mathbf{J}_{i,j} := (\underbrace{\infty, \dots, \infty}_{i-1}, J_i, J_{i+1}, \dots, J_j, \underbrace{\infty, \dots, \infty}_{g+1-j}),$$

$$\mathbf{W}_{i,j} := (\underbrace{\infty, \dots, \infty}_{i-1}, W_i, W_{i+1}, \dots, W_j, \underbrace{\infty, \dots, \infty}_{g+1-j})$$

for $i \leq j$, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, g+1$.

Define tropical plane curves L_1 and L_2 by using these tropical polynomials:

$$L_1 := \{P \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid G_1 \text{ is not differentiable at } P\},$$

$$L_2 := \{P \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid G_2 \text{ is not differentiable at } P\}.$$

We may use the notation $G_1^t(X, Y)$, $G_2^t(X, Y)$ and L_1^t, L_2^t for \mathbf{J}^t and \mathbf{W}^t for $t = 0, 1, \dots$.

By embedding these tropical curves into tropical projective plane \mathbb{TP}^2 , we can prove that L_1 intersects L_2 exactly at g points P_1, P_2, \dots, P_g . Moreover, by (9)–(11), we find that the g intersection points P_1, P_2, \dots, P_g of L_1 and L_2 are on Γ .

We define the tropical eigenvector map $\phi : \mathcal{T}_C \rightarrow \text{Pic}^g(\Gamma) := \mathcal{D}_g^+(\Gamma)/\mathcal{D}_l(\Gamma)$ to be

$$\phi(\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{W}) \equiv P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_g \pmod{\mathcal{D}_l(\Gamma)},$$

where P_1, P_2, \dots, P_g are the intersection points of L_1, L_2 and Γ .

5 A geometric realization of UD-pTL

Define $T \in \mathcal{D}_g^+(\Gamma)$ to be

$$T := \begin{cases} V_0 + V'_g + \sum_{i=1}^{(g-2)/2} (V_{2i} + V'_{2i}) & \text{for even } g, \\ B + V_1 + V'_g + \sum_{i=2}^{(g-1)/2} (V_{2i-1} + V'_{2i-1}) & \text{for odd } g \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

for $g \geq 2$. Here $B = (C_g, C_{-1} - C_{g-1} - (g-1)C_g) \in \overline{V_0 V'_0} \subset \alpha_1$ is the unique point such that $B + V_1 - 2V_0$ is the principal divisor of a rational function on Γ . For $g = 1$, we define T to be the point $(C_1, C_0) \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ on the edge $\overline{V_0 V'_0}$.

We easily observe that $T \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}$. Moreover, we obtain the following theorem concerning time evolution of the UD-pTL and an addition on $\text{Sym}^g(\Gamma)$.

Theorem 5.1 ([26]) *Set $\tau = \mu(T) \in \text{Sym}^g(\Gamma)$. Then, for any g , the following diagram is commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{T}_C & \xrightarrow{\mu \circ \phi} & \text{Sym}^g(\Gamma) \\ (1) \downarrow & & \downarrow \oplus \tau \\ \mathcal{T}_C & \xrightarrow{\mu \circ \phi} & \text{Sym}^g(\Gamma). \end{array}$$

Let P_1, P_2, \dots, P_g be the points on Γ given by the tropical eigenvector map ϕ . Also let $d_P = \mu(D_P)$ for $D_P = P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_g$. Then the addition of points on $\text{Sym}^g(\Gamma)$ in theorem 5.1 is written as

$$d_{\bar{P}} = d_P \oplus \tau \iff \begin{cases} d_Q \oplus d_P \oplus \tau = o, \\ d_Q \oplus d_{\bar{P}} = o. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

Since $\phi(T) \equiv V'_g - V'_0 \pmod{\mathcal{D}_l(\Gamma)}$ for any g , (13) is written by the divisors on $\text{Pic}^0(\Gamma)$:

$$D_Q + D_P + V'_g - V'_0 - 2D^* \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathcal{D}_l(\Gamma)}, \quad (14)$$

$$D_Q + D_{\bar{P}} - 2D^* \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathcal{D}_l(\Gamma)}, \quad (15)$$

where $D_Q = Q_1 + \dots + Q_g = \mu^{-1}(d_Q)$. Hereafter, we assume that the divisor $D_P = P_1 + \dots + P_g$ is in $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$.

Let M be a number such that $M \geq [\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{W}]$. Define $S_j C_i$ and $T_k C_i$ for $i = 0, \dots, g$ and $j, k = 1, 2, \dots, g+1$ to be

$$\begin{aligned} S_j C_i &:= C_i(J_1, \dots, J_j - (g-i+1)M, \dots, J_{g+1}; \mathbf{W}) + (g-i+1)M, \\ T_k C_i &:= C_i(\mathbf{J}; W_1, \dots, W_{k-1}, W_k + (g-i+1)M, W_{k+1}, \dots, W_{g+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $S_j C_i$ eliminates the terms in C_i not containing J_j and $T_k C_i$ the terms in C_i containing W_k .

Define tropical polynomials

$$H_1(X, Y) := \begin{cases} \left\lfloor \left[S_1 C_i + iX \right]_{0 \leq i \leq g}, Y \right\rfloor & \text{for even } g, \\ \left\lfloor \left[T_{g+1} C_i + iX \right]_{0 \leq i \leq g}, (g+1)X, Y \right\rfloor & \text{for odd } g \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

and

$$H_2(X, Y) := \left\lfloor \acute{C}_0, \acute{C}_1 + X, \dots, \acute{C}_{g-1} + (g-1)X, C_g + gX, (g+1)X, Y \right\rfloor,$$

where $\acute{C}_i := \lfloor C_i, S_1 C_i, J_1 + C_{i+1}(\mathbf{J}_{2,g+1}; \mathbf{W}_{2,g+1}) \rfloor$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, g-1$.

By using H_1 and H_2 , we define the tropical curves K_1 and K_2 , respectively

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &:= \{P \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid H_1 \text{ is not differentiable at } P\}, \\ K_2 &:= \{P \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid H_2 \text{ is not differentiable at } P\}. \end{aligned}$$

These tropical plane curves are tropical analogues of the plane curves which intersect the spectral curve $\tilde{\gamma}$ of the pdTL and give the time evolution of the pdTL [26]. We may use the notation $H_1^t(X, Y)$, $H_2^t(X, Y)$ and K_1^t, K_2^t for \mathbf{J}^t and \mathbf{W}^t for $t = 0, 1, \dots$.

Denote the restriction of the rational function H_1 on Γ by $H_1|_\Gamma$. We then have the following proposition.

Proposition 5.2 ([26]) *Assume that the points $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_g \in \Gamma$ are on the tropical curve K_1 . Then the rational function $H_1|_\Gamma$ on Γ satisfies*

$$(H_1|_\Gamma) = D_Q + D_P + V'_g - V'_0 - 2D^*. \quad (17)$$

Thus we see that the addition (14) is realized by using the intersection of Γ and K_1 defined by H_1 , and hence Q_1, \dots, Q_g are the intersection points of Γ and K_1 . Moreover, if g is an even number we have (see [25])

$$D_Q + D_{Q'} - 2D^* \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathcal{D}_l(\Gamma)}$$

for $Q_1, \dots, Q_g \in \Gamma$. This implies $D_{\bar{P}} = D_{Q'}$. Thus the time evolution of the UD-pTL is realized by using the intersection of tropical plane curves Γ and K_1 if g is an even number.

Now assume that g is an odd number. The restriction $H_2|_\Gamma$ of rational function H_2 on Γ has poles of order g at V_0 and V'_0 . This implies

$$(H_2|_\Gamma) = D_R + D_{R'} + V_0 - V'_0 - 2D^*,$$

where R_1, \dots, R_g and R'_1, \dots, R'_g are the intersection points of Γ and K_2 defined by H_2 . There uniquely exists a point Z_0 on $\alpha_1 \setminus \alpha_{1,2}$ such that

$$V'_0 + Z_0 - V_0 - R'_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathcal{D}_l(\Gamma)}.$$

We then find

$$(H_2|_\Gamma) \equiv D_R + Z_0 + R'_2 + \dots + R'_g - 2D^* \pmod{\mathcal{D}_l(\Gamma)}.$$

Since there exists at least one intersection point of Γ and K_1 on the upper half of $\alpha_1 \setminus \alpha_{1,2}$, we can assume that Q_1 is the one. Then there exist rational functions G_1 and G_2 on Γ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (G_1) &= Z_1 + Q_1 - Z_0 - R_1, \\ (G_2) &= Q_2 + \dots + Q_g + Q'_2 + \dots + Q'_g - R_2 - \dots - R_g - R'_2 - \dots - R'_g. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$(G_1 + G_2) = D_Q + Z_1 + Q'_2 + \dots + Q'_g - Z_0 - D_R - R'_2 - \dots - R'_g.$$

It immediately follows

$$(H_2|_\Gamma) \equiv D_Q + Z_1 + Q'_2 + \dots + Q'_g - 2D^* \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathcal{D}_l(\Gamma)}. \quad (18)$$

Comparing (15) with (18), we find

$$D_{\bar{P}} = Z_1 + Q'_2 + \dots + Q'_g.$$

Remember that Q_1, \dots, Q_g are the intersection points of Γ and K_1 , and Z_1 is the unique point on Γ determined by the intersection points R_1, R'_1 of Γ and K_2 by the formula

$$Z_1 + Q_1 + V'_0 - R_1 - R'_1 - V_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathcal{D}_l(\Gamma)}.$$

Thus the time evolution of the UD-pTL is realized by using the intersection of the tropical plane curves Γ , K_1 and K_2 if g is an odd number. We obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 5.3 ([26]) *Let D_P and D_Q be elements of $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ satisfying (14). Also let T be the element of $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ given by (12). Put $d_P = \mu(D_P) \in \text{Sym}^g(\Gamma)$ and $\tau = \mu(T) \in \text{Sym}^g(\Gamma)$. Then the element $d_{\bar{P}} \in \text{Sym}^g(\Gamma)$ defined by the addition*

$$d_{\bar{P}} = d_P \oplus \tau$$

is explicitly given by the formula

$$d_{\bar{P}} = \begin{cases} \{Q'_1, Q'_2, \dots, Q'_g\} & \text{for even } g, \\ \{Z_1, Q'_2, \dots, Q'_g\} & \text{for odd } g. \end{cases}$$

In appendix A, we give an explicit computation of the time evolution of the pBBS imposing a certain initial condition on the integral lattice via tropical curve intersection.

6 Conclusion

We establish a geometric realization of the UD-pTL via the tropical curve intersection of its spectral curve Γ and two plane curves K_1 and K_2 . Namely, the linear flow on the tropical Jacobian of Γ equivalent to the time evolution of the UD-pTL is translated into the intersection of tropical curves Γ , K_1 and K_2 . The rational functions F , H_1 and H_2 which respectively define Γ , K_1 and K_2 are explicitly given by using the conserved quantities C_{-1}, C_0, \dots, C_g of the UD-pTL. Moreover, the tropical eigenvector map, which maps a point in the isospectral set \mathcal{T}_C of the UD-pTL into a set of points on Γ , is explicitly given by using two tropical plane curves L_1 and L_2 . The rational functions G_1 and G_2 which respectively define these tropical plane curves are also given by using the conserved quantities of the UD-pTL. Thus, if initial values of the UD-pTL are given then we can completely realize the time evolution of the UD-pTL via tropical plane curves Γ , L_1 , L_2 , K_1 and K_2 . We observe that the points $P_1^t, P_2^t, \dots, P_g^t$ on Γ corresponding to the variables $\mathbf{J}^t, \mathbf{W}^t$ of the UD-pTL move the cycles $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_g$ in Γ in a counterclockwise direction as t increasing, respectively.

The pBBS studied in this article is a fundamental ultradiscrete integrable system and is associated with the crystal basis of the quantum group $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ [4]. To establish geometric realizations for members in a wide class of ultradiscrete integrable systems such as box-ball systems associated with the crystal bases of the quantum groups $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ of affine Lie algebras $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ other than $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ is a further problem.

A An example of geometric realization of pBBS

In this section, we show explicit computation of the tropical geometric realization of the UD-pTL discussed above. In particular, we consider the pBBS case, that is, we take the initial values in positive integers. Throughout this section, we fix $g = 2$.

Let us consider the following initial values of the pBBS

$$\mathbf{J}^0 = (J_1^0, J_2^0, J_3^0) = (3, 2, 1), \quad \mathbf{W}^0 = (W_1^0, W_2^0, W_3^0) = (3, 2, 4). \quad (19)$$

The time evolution of the pBBS imposing this initial condition from $t = 1$ to 4 is computed as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}^1 &= (J_1^1, J_2^1, J_3^1) = (3, 2, 1), & \mathbf{W}^1 &= (W_1^1, W_2^1, W_3^1) = (2, 1, 6), \\ \mathbf{J}^2 &= (J_1^2, J_2^2, J_3^2) = (2, 1, 3), & \mathbf{W}^2 &= (W_1^2, W_2^2, W_3^2) = (2, 1, 6), \\ \mathbf{J}^3 &= (J_1^3, J_2^3, J_3^3) = (2, 1, 3), & \mathbf{W}^3 &= (W_1^3, W_2^3, W_3^3) = (1, 3, 5), \\ \mathbf{J}^4 &= (J_1^4, J_2^4, J_3^4) = (1, 2, 3), & \mathbf{W}^4 &= (W_1^4, W_2^4, W_3^4) = (1, 4, 4). \end{aligned}$$

The realization of the pBBS with boxes and balls is illustrated in figure 4.




Figure 4: Time evolution of the pBBS for the initial values (19) from $t = 0$ to 4.

The spectral curve Γ of the pBBS is given by

$$F(X, Y) = \lfloor 2X, Y + \lfloor 3X, C_2 + 2X, C_1 + X, C_0 \rfloor, C_{-1} \rfloor,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_2 &= \lfloor J_1, J_2, J_3, W_1, W_2, W_3 \rfloor, \\ C_1 &= \lfloor J_1 + J_2, J_2 + J_3, J_3 + J_1, W_1 + W_2, W_2 + W_3, \\ &\quad W_3 + W_1, J_1 + W_2, J_2 + W_3, J_3 + W_1 \rfloor, \\ C_0 &= J_1 + J_2 + J_3, \\ C_{-1} &= J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + W_1 + W_2 + W_3. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the initial values (19) into the coefficients C_{-1}, C_0, C_1, C_2 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C_2 &= C_2^0 = [3, 2, 1, 3, 2, 4] = 1, \\ C_1 &= C_1^0 = [3 + 2, 2 + 1, 1 + 3, 3 + 2, 2 + 4, 4 + 3, 3 + 2, 2 + 4, 1 + 3] = 3, \\ C_0 &= C_0^0 = 3 + 2 + 1 = 6, \\ C_{-1} &= C_{-1}^0 = 3 + 2 + 1 + 3 + 2 + 4 = 15 \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$F(X, Y) = [2X, Y + [3X, 1 + 2X, 3 + X, 6], 15]. \quad (20)$$

Figure 5(a) shows the spectral curve $\tilde{\Gamma}$ given by (20), which is a tropical hyperelliptic curve of genus 2. The vertices in $\tilde{\Gamma}$ are as follows

$$V_0 = (1, 12), \quad V_1 = (2, 10), \quad V_2 = (3, 9), \quad V'_0 = (1, 3), \quad V'_1 = (2, 5), \quad V'_2 = (3, 6).$$

The tropical plane curves L_1 and L_2 which define the tropical eigenvector map are given by the tropical polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(X, Y) &= [C_2(\mathbf{J}_{3,3}; \mathbf{W}_{2,2}), X, Y - J_1 - W_1] \\ &= [J_3, W_2, X, Y - J_1 - W_1], \\ G_2(X, Y) &= \left[0, Y + [J_2, W_1, X] - \sum_{i=1}^2 (J_i + W_i) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where we use

$$C_2(\mathbf{J}_{3,3}; \mathbf{W}_{2,2}) = C_2(\infty, \infty, J_3; \infty, W_2, \infty) = [J_3, W_2].$$

For the initial values (19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G_1^0(X, Y) &= [1, 2, X, Y - 6], \\ G_2^0(X, Y) &= [0, Y + [2, 3, X] - 10] = [0, Y - 8, Y + X - 10]. \end{aligned}$$

Figure 5(a) shows Γ , L_1^0 and L_2^0 and their intersection points $P_1^0 = (1, 9)$ and $P_2^0 = (2, 7)$. By applying the eigenvector map repeatedly, we obtain the sequence

$$\begin{aligned} P_1^0 &= (1, 9), & P_1^1 &= (1, 7), & P_1^2 &= (1, 5), & P_1^3 &= (1, 4), & P_1^4 &= (1, 3), & \dots \\ P_2^0 &= (2, 7), & P_2^1 &= (2, 6), & P_2^2 &= (2, 5), & P_2^3 &= (3, 6), & P_2^4 &= (3, 7), & \dots \end{aligned}$$

of points on Γ corresponding to the sequence $\mathbf{J}^0, \mathbf{W}^0, \mathbf{J}^1, \mathbf{W}^1, \dots$ (see figure 5(b)). We observe that the points P_1^t and P_2^t move the cycles α_1 and α_2 in a counterclockwise direction as t increases, respectively.

Now consider time evolution of the pBBS. The tropical curve K_1 is given by the tropical polynomial

$$H_1(X, Y) = [Y, S_1 C_2 + 2X, S_1 C_1 + X, S_1 C_0],$$




Figure 5: Intersection of tropical plane curves Γ , L_1^t and L_2^t which realizes the eigenvector map ϕ (left; for $t = 0$). Each set $\{\mathbf{J}^t, \mathbf{W}^t\}$ of values of the pBBS is mapped into the intersection points P_1^t and P_2^t of Γ , L_1^t and L_2^t by the tropical eigenvector map ϕ (right; for $t = 0, 1, \dots, 4$).

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 C_2 &= C_2(J_1 - M, J_2, J_3; \mathbf{W}) + M = J_1, \\ S_1 C_1 &= C_1(J_1 - 2M, J_2, J_3; \mathbf{W}) + 2M = \lfloor J_1 + J_2, J_3 + J_1, J_1 + W_2 \rfloor, \\ S_1 C_0 &= C_0(J_1 - 3M, J_2, J_3; \mathbf{W}) + 3M = J_1 + J_2 + J_3. \end{aligned}$$

For the initial values (19), we obtain


$$\begin{aligned} S_1 C_2^0 &= J_1^0 = 3, \\ S_1 C_1^0 &= \lfloor J_1^0 + J_2^0, J_3^0 + J_1^0, J_1^0 + W_2^0 \rfloor = \lfloor 5, 4, 5 \rfloor = 4, \\ S_1 C_0^0 &= J_1^0 + J_2^0 + J_3^0 = 6 \end{aligned}$$

and hence


$$H_1^0(X, Y) = \lfloor Y, 3 + 2X, 4 + X, 6 \rfloor.$$

Since we fix $g = 2$, we do not need the second curve K_2 given by H_2 to realize the time evolution of the pBBS.


Figure 6(a) shows the intersection of K_1^0 and Γ . The conjugates $Q_1^{0'}$ and $Q_2^{0'}$ of the new intersection points Q_1^0 and Q_2^0 are P_1^1 and P_2^1 , and these points correspond to \mathbf{J}^1 and




(a) Evolution from $t = 0$ to 1



(b) Evolution from $t = 1$ to 2



(c) Evolution from $t = 2$ to 3



(d) Evolution from $t = 3$ to 4

Figure 6: Intersection of tropical plane curves Γ and K_1^t which is equivalent to the time evolution of the pBBS imposing the initial values (19).

\mathbf{W}^1 through the eigenvector map ϕ . Figures 6(b) – 6(d) show the intersection of Γ and K_1^t equivalent to the time evolution of the pBBS for $t = 1, 2, 3$.

To obtain the intersection points concretely, we use the notion of stable intersection [33] and rational functions on Γ . For example, in figure 6(a), we see that Γ intersects K_1^0 at 5 vertices ($V_0, V_1, V_2' \in \Gamma$ and $U_0, U_1 \in K_1^0$) in the sense of stable intersection. This fact suggests $V_0 + V_1 + U_0 + U_1 + V_2' - V_0' - 2D^* \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathcal{D}_l(\Gamma)}$ (see (14)). We easily find that there exists a rational function on Γ whose principal divisor is $P_1^0 + P_2^0 + Q_1^0 + Q_2^0 - V_0 - V_1 - U_0 - U_1$. This implies

$$P_1^0 + P_2^0 + Q_1^0 + Q_2^0 + V_2' - V_0' - 2D^* \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathcal{D}_l(\Gamma)}.$$

Thus the intersection points of Γ and K_1^0 are $P_1^0, P_2^0, Q_1^0, Q_2^0$ and V_2' .

References

- [1] Fukuda, K, Yamada, Y and Okado, M, Energy functions in box ball systems, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A*, **15** (2000), 1379–1392.
- [2] Gathmann, A, Tropical algebraic geometry, *Preprint* (2006), math.AG/0601322.
- [3] Haase, C, Musiker, G and Yu, J, Linear systems on tropical curves, *Math. Z.*, **270** (2012), 1111–1140.
- [4] Hatayama, G, Hikami, K, Inoue, R, Kuniba, A, Takagi, T and Tokihiro, T, The $A_M^{(1)}$ automata related to crystals of symmetric tensors, *J. Math. Phys.*, **42** (2001), 274–308.
- [5] Hatayama, G, Kuniba, A, Okado, M, Takagi, T and Yamada, Y, Scattering rules in soliton cellular automata associated with crystal bases, *Contemp. Math.*, **297** (2002), 151–182.
- [6] Hikami, K, Inoue, R and Komori, Y, Crystallisation of the Bogoyavlensky lattice, *J. Phys. Soc. Japan*, **68** (1999), 2234–2240.
- [7] Hirota, R, Tsujimoto, S, and Imai, T, Difference scheme of soliton equations, *Future Directions of Nonlinear Dynamics in Physical and Biological Systems* edited by Christiansen, P L, Eilbeck, J G, and Parmentier, R D (New York: Plenum Press) 1993.
- [8] Idzumi, M, Iwao, S, Mada, J and Tokihiro, T, Solution to the initial value problem of the ultradiscrete periodic Toda equation, *J. Phys. A, Math. Theor.*, **42** (2009), 315209.
- [9] Inoue, R, Kuniba, A, Takagi, T, Integrable structure of box-ball systems: crystal, Bethe ansatz, ultradiscretization and tropical geometry, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, **45** (2012), 073001.
- [10] Inoue, R and Takenawa, T, Tropical Spectral Curves and Integrable Cellular Automata, *Int. Math. Res. Notices*, Vol. 2008 (2008), article ID rnn019.

- [11] Inoue, R and Takenawa, T, A tropical analogue of Fay's trisecant identity and the ultra-discrete periodic Toda lattice, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **289** (2009), 995-1021.
- [12] Isojima, S, Murata, M, Nobe, A and Satsuma, J, Exact solutions for discrete and ultradiscrete modified KdV equations and their relation to box-ball systems, *Phys. Lett. A*, **331** (2004), 378-86.
- [13] Itenberg, I, Mikhalkin, G and Shustin, E, *Tropical Algebraic Geometry* (Basel: Birkhäuser) 2007.
- [14] Iwao, S, Solutions of the generalized periodic discrete Toda equation, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, **41** (2008), 115201.
- [15] Iwao, S Integration over Tropical Plane Curves and Ultradiscretization, *Int. Math. Res. Notices*, Vol. 2010 (2010) 112-48.
- [16] Kajiwara, K, Kaneko, M, Nobe, A and Tsuda, T, Ultradiscretization of a solvable two-dimensional chaotic map associated with the Hesse cubic curve, *Kyushu J. Math.*, **63** (2009), 315-38.
- [17] Kajiwara, K, Nobe, A and Tsuda, T, Ultradiscretization of solvable one-dimensional chaotic maps, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, **41** (2008), 395202.
- [18] Kimijima, T and Tokihiro, T, Initial-value problem of the discrete periodic Toda equation and its ultradiscretization, *Inv. Prob.*, **18** (2002), 1705-32.
- [19] Mada, J, Idzumi, M and Tokihiro, T, Path description of conserved quantities of generalized periodic box-ball systems, *J. Math. Phys.*, **46** (2005), 022701.
- [20] Matsukidaira, J, Satsuma, J, Takahashi, D, Tokihiro, T and Torii, M, Toda-type cellular automaton and its N -soliton solution, *Phys. Lett. A*, **225** (1997), 287-95.
- [21] Mikhalkin, G and Zharkov, I, Tropical curves, their Jacobians and theta functions, *Preprint* (2006) math.AG/0612267.
- [22] Nishinari, K and Takahashi, D, Analytical properties of ultradiscrete Burgers equation and rule-184 cellular automaton, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, **31** (1998), 5439-50.
- [23] Nobe, A Ultradiscrete QRT maps and tropical elliptic curves, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, **41** (2008), 125205.
- [24] Nobe, A, An ultradiscrete integrable map arising from a pair of tropical elliptic pencils, *Phys. Lett. A*, **375** (2011), 4178-82.
- [25] Nobe, A, Addition in Jacobians of tropical hyperelliptic curves, *RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu*, **B30** (2012), 25-51.
- [26] Nobe, A, A geometric realization of the periodic discrete Toda lattice and its tropicalization, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, **46** (2013), 465203.
- [27] Nobe, A and Yura, F, On reversibility of cellular automata with periodic boundary conditions, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, **37** (2004), 5789-804.

- [28] Richter-Gebert, J, Sturmfels, B and Theobald, T, First steps in tropical geometry, *Preprint* (2003), math.AG/0306366.
- [29] Takahashi, D and Satsuma, J, A soliton cellular automaton, *J. Phys. Soc. Japan*, **59** (1990), 3514-9.
- [30] Tokihiro, T, Takahashi, D and Matsukidaira, J, Box and ball system as a realization of ultradiscrete nonautonomous KP equation, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, **33** (200), 607-19.
- [31] Tokihiro, T, Takahashi, D and Matsukidaira, J and Satsuma, J, From Soliton Equations to Integrable Cellular Automata through a Limiting Procedure, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **76** (1996), 3247-50.
- [32] van Moerbeke, P and Mumford, D, The spectrum of difference operators and algebraic curves, *Acta Math.*, **143** (1979), 94-154.
- [33] Vigeland, M D, The group law on a tropical elliptic curve, *Preprint* (2004), math.AG/0411485.
- [34] Yoshihara, D, Yura, F and Tokihiro, T, Fundamental cycle of a periodic box-ball system, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, **36** (2003), 99-121.
- [35] Yura, F and Tokihiro, T, On a periodic soliton cellular automaton, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, **35** (2002), 3787-801.