# Control protocol of finite dimensional quantum systems using alternating square pulse

Jianju Tang and H. C. Fu

School of Physical Sciences and Technology, Shenzhen University,

Shenzhen 518060, P. R. China

Control protocol to drive finite dimensional quantum systems to an arbitrary target state using square pulses is proposed explicitly. It is a multi-cycle control process and in each cycle we apply square pulses to cause single or a few transitions between energy levels. Systems with equal energy gaps except the first one, four dimensional system with equal first and third energy gaps and different second energy gap, and systems with all equal energy gaps of dimension three, are investigated in detail. The control parameters, the interaction time between systems and control fields and free evolution times between cycles, are connected with the probability amplitudes of target states via trigonometric functions and are determined analytically.

PACS numbers: 32.80.Qk, 03.65.Sq, 03.65.Ta, 02.30.Yy

# I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum control is a coherent or incoherent process to steer a quantum system to a given target state [1]. It is significant in many fields of quantum physics, especially in the quantum computation and quantum information processing [2]. Various notations in classical control theory were generalized to the quantum control, such as open and closed control, optimal control [3], controllability [4-7], feedback control [8] and so on. For incoherent control the quantum system is controlled by its interaction with a quantum accessor rather than classical fields [9–12]. Typically one first models the controlled system and examine its controllability which is relevant to the system Hamiltonian and interaction Hamiltonian with control fields. Then one needs to design classical fields to interact with the controlled system to steer the system to the given target state, which is referred to as the control protocol and is the issue we would like to address in this paper. Some approaches, such as using the Cartan decomposition of Lie groups [13], were proposed on this issues.

In a previous paper [14], we proposed an explicit control protocol of finite dimensional quantum system using time-dependent cosine classical field, where we have to use the rotating wave approximation to drop the high oscillating terms in the interaction Hamiltonian. In this work, we will use the alternating square pulses with positive and negative parts in each period, to control the finite quantum systems. Advantage of using square pulses is that we can find an invariant space in which the interaction Hamiltonian and time evolution operator can be explicitly treated without using rotating wave approximation. On the other hand, except the system with equal energy gaps except the first we considered before, we mainly consider the systems with multiple transitions in a cycle, especially the one with all equal adjacent energy gaps of dimension three. The relationship between probability amplitudes of target states and control parameters, the number of pulses and the difference between positive and negative amplitudes of the pulses, is

analytically established.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we formulate the controlled system and control protocol, and derive the time evolution operators. We present control protocols of systems with equal energy gaps except the first one, four dimensional system with equal first and third energy gaps and different second energy gap, and system with equal energy gaps of dimension three in sections III, IV, and V, respectively. We draw our conclusion in Sec.VI.

Throughout this paper we use  $i = \sqrt{-1}$  and  $\hbar = 1$ .

## II. CONTROL SYSTEMS AND TIME EVOLUTION OPERATORS

## A. Control Systems and protocol

Consider an N-dimensional non-degenerate quantum system with eigenenergy  $E_n$  and corresponding eigenstate  $|n\rangle$ , described by the Hamiltonian

$$H_0 = \sum_{n=1}^{N} E_n \left| n \right\rangle \left\langle n \right|. \tag{1}$$

Our aim is to develop control protocols to drive the system to an arbitrary target states from an initial state, or in other words, to design classical fields to interact with the system such that the system is driven to a required target state within finite time. For this purpose, we should keep in mind that

- As there are 2(N-1) independent real parameters in the target states  $(N-1 \text{ real probability ampli$ tudes and <math>N-1 relative phases), we need to supply 2(N-1) real control parameters in the control protocol;
- One needs to establish relationship between control parameters and probability amplitudes of the target states such that one can design the control fields and its coupling with the controlled systems;

• In each cycle the control operation should be easily complemented in the laboratory.

The simplest protocol is that one can control transition only between two energy levels in each cycle, for example, the system (System I) with all equal energy gaps except the first one

$$\mu_1 \neq \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \dots = \mu_{N-1},\tag{2}$$

where  $\mu_i = E_{i+1} - E_i$  is the energy gap, and the system with all distinct adjacent energy gaps  $\mu_i \neq \mu_j$   $(i \neq j)$ , considered in Ref. [14]. However, this is not always possible, for example, the 4-dimensional system with  $\mu_1 = \mu_3 \neq \mu_2$  as shown in Fig.1(a) (System II). In this case, the control field with frequency  $\mu_1$  cause transition form  $|1\rangle$  to  $|2\rangle$  and from  $|3\rangle$  to  $|4\rangle$  simultaneously and the interaction Hamiltonian is written as

$$H = H_0 + f(t) \left( |1\rangle \langle 2| + |2\rangle \langle 1| + |3\rangle \langle 4| + |4\rangle \langle 3| \right).$$
 (3)

Fortunately, its time evolution operator can be factorized. In particular, for some special initial state such as  $|1\rangle$ , if we arrange this control process as the first cycle, the states  $|3\rangle$  and  $|4\rangle$  keep unchanged and mathematically equivalent to only transition between  $|1\rangle$  and  $|2\rangle$ occurs. We will investigate control protocol of this system in Sec.IV.

However, for systems with all equal adjacent energy gaps, the time evolution operator is difficult to treat explicitly. For 3-dimensional system as shown in Fig.1(b), the Hamiltonian in the cycle 1 is

$$H = H_0 + f(t) \left[ d_1(|1\rangle\langle 2| + |2\rangle\langle 1|) + d_2(|2\rangle\langle 3| + |3\rangle\langle 2|) \right].$$
(4)

Although the time evolution operator can be generally factorized in the form

$$U(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{\dim L} \exp\left[\alpha_i(t)X_i\right],\tag{5}$$

where  $X_i$ 's are a basis of Lie algebra L = u(3) (or su(3) if  $H_0$  is traceless), according to Wei-Norman theorem [16], the functions  $\{\alpha_i(t)\}$  satisfy a set of non-linear equations and are difficult to find explicitly. In Sec.V, we present an explicit approach to obtain the time evolution operator.

#### B. Pules and time evolution operator

In this paper, we shall use square pulses to control the quantum systems. We shall see that one does not need to use the rotating wave approximation in the derivation of time evolution operators as we did in [14].

Consider a sequence of pulses interacting only with two levels  $|m\rangle$  and  $|n\rangle$  (m > n) resonantly, which will be used in the rest of this paper. Each pulse can be written as

$$E_{mn}(t) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{E}_{mn}, & 0 \le t \le \Delta_1^{mn}; \\ -\mathcal{E}_{mn}, & \Delta_1^{mn} \le t \le \Delta_1^{mn} + \Delta_2^{mn}, \end{cases}$$
(6)



FIG. 1. Four dimensional system with  $\mu_1 = \mu_3 \neq \mu_2$  (System II), and 3-dimensional system with equal energy gaps (System III). Here the arrows represent transitions caused by control field and the numbers in circles are labels of cycle.



FIG. 2. Control pulses.

as shown in Fig. (2). Here  $\mathcal{E}_{mn}$  is constant electric field and  $T_{mn} = \Delta_1^{mn} + \Delta_2^{mn}$  is its period. Condition of resonant interaction requires that

$$\omega_{mn} = 2\pi/T_{mn} = E_m - E_n. \tag{7}$$

Corresponding to  $E_{mn}(t) = \pm \mathcal{E}_{mn}$ , the total Hamiltonian of the system and control pulse is in the form

$$H_{\pm}^{(mn)} = H_0 \pm d_{mn} \sigma_x^{mn},\tag{8}$$

where  $d_m = \mathcal{E}_m g$ , g is the coupling strength, and

$$\sigma_x^{mn} \equiv |m\rangle \langle n| + |n\rangle \langle m| \,. \tag{9}$$

As the Hamiltonian (8) is time independent, the corresponding time evolution operator can be written as  $U_{\pm}^{mn}(t) = \exp\left(iH_{\pm}^{(mn)}t\right)$ . To expand it to a simple form, we first rewrite the Hamiltonian as

$$H_{\pm}^{(mn)} = H_0^{(mn)} + H_{c\pm}^{(mn)}, \qquad (10)$$

where

$$H_0^{(mn)} = \theta_{mn}I + \sum_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq m,n}}^N \left(E_k - \theta_{mn}\right)|k\rangle\langle k|,$$
  

$$H_{c\pm}^{(mn)} = \frac{1}{2}\omega_{mn}(|n\rangle\langle n| - |m\rangle\langle m|) \pm d_{mn}\sigma_x^{mn},$$
  

$$\theta_{mn} = \frac{1}{2}(E_n + E_m),$$
(11)

and I is the identity operator. It is obvious that

$$\left[H_{c\pm}^{(mn)}, H_0^{(mn)}\right] = 0.$$
 (12)

Noticing that

$$H_0^{(mn)} |k\rangle = \begin{cases} \theta_{mn} |k\rangle, & k = m, n, \\ E_k |k\rangle, & k \neq m, n, \end{cases}$$
(13)

the subspace  $\mathcal{H}_{mn}$  spanned by  $\{|n\rangle, |m\rangle\}$  is invariant under the action of  $H_0^{(mn)}$  and thus  $H_+^{(mn)}$ .

It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{pmatrix} H_{c\pm}^{(mn)} \end{pmatrix}^{2k} = (\Omega_{mn})^{2k} I_{mn}, \quad (k \ge 1), \begin{pmatrix} H_{c\pm}^{(mn)} \end{pmatrix}^{2k+1} = (\Omega_{mn})^{2k} H_{c\pm}^{(mn)}, \quad (k \ge 0), \quad (14)$$

where

$$\Omega_{mn} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}\omega_{mn}^2 + d_{mn}^2},$$
 (15)

and  $I_{mn} \equiv |n\rangle\langle n| + |m\rangle\langle m|$  is the identity operator on the invariant subspace  $\mathcal{H}_{mn}$ . Using relations (12) and (14), we find the time evolution operator

$$U_{\pm}^{(mn)}(t) = e^{-i\left(H_{0}^{(mn)} + H_{c\pm}^{(mn)}\right)t} = e^{-iH_{0}^{(mn)}t}e^{-iH_{c\pm}^{(mn)}t}$$
$$= \left\{I + \left[\cos(\Omega_{mn}t) - 1\right]I_{mn} - \frac{i\sin(\Omega_{mn}t)}{\Omega_{mn}}H_{c\pm}^{(mn)}\right\}$$
$$\times e^{-iH_{0}^{(mn)}t}.$$
(16)

In the invariant subspace  $\mathcal{H}_{mn}$ , we have

$$U_{\pm}^{(mn)}(t) |n\rangle = \left\{ \cos(\Omega_{mn}t) |n\rangle - \frac{\mathrm{i}\sin(\Omega_{m}t)}{\Omega_{m}} \times \left( \frac{\omega_{mn}}{2} |n\rangle \pm d_{mn} |m\rangle \right) \right\} e^{-\mathrm{i}\theta_{mn}t}.$$
 (17)

For simplicity, we suppose that the control field is strong, namely,  $d_{mn} \gg \omega_{mn}$ . In this case,  $\omega_{mn}/\Omega_{mn} \to 0$  and  $d_{mn}/\Omega_{mn} \to 1$ , (17) is simplified as

$$U_{\pm}^{(mn)}(t) |n\rangle = e^{-i\theta_{mn}t} \left[ \cos(\Omega_{mn}t) |n\rangle \\ \mp i \sin(\Omega_{mn}t) |m\rangle \right].$$
(18)

Similarly, we have

$$U_{\pm}^{(mn)}(t) |m\rangle = e^{-i\theta_{mn}t} \left(\cos(\Omega_{mn}t) |m\rangle \\ \mp i \sin(\Omega_{mn}t) |n\rangle\right).$$
(19)

In a period  $T_{mn}$  of control field, the time evolution operator is  $U^{(mn)}(T_{mn}) \equiv U_{-}^{(mn)}(\Delta_2^{mn})U_{+}^{(mn)}(\Delta_1^{mn})$ , whose action on the states  $|n\rangle$  and  $|m\rangle$  is obtained as

$$U^{(mn)}(T_{mn}) |n\rangle = e^{-i\theta_{mn}T_{mn}} \left[ \cos\left(\Omega_{mn}\Delta_{mn}\right) |n\rangle + i\sin\left(\Omega_{mn}\Delta_{mn}\right) |m\rangle \right],$$
  

$$U^{(mn)}(T_m) |m\rangle = e^{-i\theta_{mn}T_{mn}} \left\{ \cos\left[\Omega_{mn}\Delta_{mn}\right] |m\rangle + i\sin\left[\Omega_{mn}\Delta_{mn}\right] |n\rangle \right\},$$
(20)

where  $\Delta_{mn} \equiv \Delta_2^{mn} - \Delta_1^{mn}$ .

Suppose that the system interacts with control field for  $l_{mn}$  pulses (or time period  $\tau_{mn} = T_{mn}l_{mn}$ ). Then the time evolution operator is

$$U^{(mn)}(\tau_{mn}) = U^{(mn)}(l_{mn}T_{mn}) = \left(U^{(mn)}(T_{mn})\right)^{l_{mn}},$$
(21)

which can be obtained by replacing  $\theta_{mn}$  by  $l_{mn}\Delta_{mn}$  and  $\Delta_{mn}$  by  $l_{mn}\Delta_{mn}$  from  $U^{(mn)}(T_{mn})$ . Notice that the real probability amplitude is determined by  $\Omega_{mn}l_{mn}\Delta_{mn}$  and one can adjust the parameter  $\Delta_{mn}$  to insure that  $l_{mn}$  is a positive integer.

Before closing this section, let us see the simplest case, the 2-level system. It is obvious that, if the system is initially prepared on  $|1\rangle$ , it is driven to the state

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi\rangle &= e^{-i\theta_{12}l_{12}T_{12}} \\ &\times \left[\cos(\Omega_{12}\Delta_{12}l_{12}) \left|1\right\rangle + i\sin(\Omega_{12}\Delta_{12}l_{12}) \left|2\right\rangle\right] \end{aligned} (22)$$

after interesting with the control field for  $l_{12}$  pulses. We see that there is only a fixed relative phase  $i = e^{i\pi/2}$ , different from the protocol using harmonic field given in [14] in which there is a relative phase  $e^{-i(E_2-E_1)\tau_1}$  ( $\tau_1$  is the interaction time) and it is tunable through amplitude of control field. In the present case, we can allow the system for a free evolution for time period  $\tau'_1$ 

$$\left|\psi'\right\rangle = \cos(\Omega_{12}\Delta_{12}l_{12})\left|1\right\rangle + \mathrm{i}e^{-\mathrm{i}\omega_{12}\tau'_{1}}\sin(\Omega_{12}\Delta_{12}l_{12})\left|2\right\rangle,$$

up to a common phase  $\delta = e^{-i\theta_{12}l_1T_{12}}e^{-iE_1\tau'_1}$ . By carefully choosing control parameters  $\Omega_{12} \approx \mathcal{E}_{12}g, \Delta_{12}, l_{12}$ and  $\tau'_1$ , we can achieve any target state.

## III. CONTROL PROTOCOL OF SYSTEM I

In this section we investigate the control protocol of System I. The control process includes N-1 cycles and in the *m*-th cycle, we first apply control field with frequency  $\omega_m = E_{m+1} - E_1$  to control transition between levels  $|1\rangle$  and  $|m+1\rangle$  for a time period  $\tau_m$  and then allow the system to evolve for time period  $\tau'_m$ . For convenience, we change the label  $(m+1\ 1) \to (m)$ , for example, the time evolution operator is relabeled as  $U^{(m)} \equiv U^{(m+1\ 1)}$ . We will derive the explicit expression of target states and relationship between the control parameters  $\{\tau_m, \tau'_m\}$  and probability amplitudes of target state.

## A. Cycle 1

Suppose that the system is initially prepared on the state  $|1\rangle$ . Then it is easy to find the state after interaction with control field for time  $\tau_1$  and free evolution for  $\tau'_1$ 

 $|\psi'\rangle^{(1)} = a_1^1 |1\rangle + a_2^1 |2\rangle,$ 

where

$$a_{1}^{1} = e^{-i(\theta_{1}l_{1}T_{1} + E_{1}\tau_{1}')} \cos(\Omega_{1}l_{1}\Delta_{1}),$$
  

$$a_{2}^{1} = e^{-i(\theta_{1}l_{1}T_{1} + E_{2}\tau_{1}')} i\sin(\Omega_{1}l_{1}\Delta_{1}).$$
(24)

(23)

## B. Recursion relations of amplitudes from (m-1)-th to *m*-th cycles

To obtain the explicit expression of the target state, we need recursion relations of probability amplitudes of states of two adjacent cycles. Suppose that, after (m-1)th cycle, the state is of the form

$$|\psi'\rangle^{(m-1)} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k^{m-1} |k\rangle.$$
 (25)

Then the state of system after interacting with  $l_m$  pulses and free evolution for a time period  $\tau'_m$  can be obtained as

$$\begin{split} |\psi'\rangle^{(m)} &= e^{-iH_0\tau'_m} U^{(m)}(l_m T_m) |\psi\rangle^{(m-1)} \\ &= e^{-i(\theta_m l_m T_m + E_1\tau'_m)} \cos(\Omega_m l_m \Delta_m) a_1^{m-1} |1\rangle \\ &+ \sum_{k=2}^m e^{-i(E_k l_m T_m + E_k\tau'_m)} a_k^{m-1} |k\rangle \\ &+ e^{-i(\theta_m l_m T_m + E_{m+1} 1\tau'_m)} i \\ &\times \sin(\Omega_m l_m \Delta_m) a_1^{m-1} |m+1\rangle \\ &\equiv \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} a_k^m |k\rangle , \end{split}$$
(26)

from which we find the recursion relations

$$a_{1}^{m} = e^{-i(\theta_{m}l_{m}T_{m} + E_{1}\tau_{m}')} \cos(\Omega_{m}l_{m}\Delta_{m})a_{1}^{m-1}, \qquad (27)$$

$$a_{1}^{m} = e^{-iE_{k}(l_{m}T_{m} + \tau_{m}')}e^{m-1} \qquad (2 \le h \le m) \qquad (28)$$

$$a_{k}^{m} = e^{-iE_{k}(l_{m}T_{m} + \tau_{m}')}a_{k}^{m-1}, \quad (2 \le k \le m), \quad (28)$$
$$a_{m+1}^{m} = ie^{-i(\theta_{m}l_{m}T_{m} + E_{m+1}\tau_{m}')}\sin(\Omega_{m}l_{m}\Delta_{m})a_{1}^{m-1}.$$

#### С. Target state

From (27) and (29), as well as (24), we can easily obtain that

$$a_{1}^{m} = \exp\left[-i\sum_{i=1}^{m} (\theta_{i}l_{i}T_{i} + E_{1}\tau_{i}')\right]\prod_{i=1}^{m} \cos(\Omega_{i}l_{i}\Delta_{i}), \quad (30)$$
$$a_{2}^{m} = \exp\left\{-i\left[E_{2}\sum_{i=2}^{m} (l_{i}T_{i} + \tau_{i}') + \theta_{1}l_{1}\tau_{1} + E_{2}\tau_{1}'\right]\right\}$$

$$\times i \sin(\Omega_1 l_1 \Delta_1), \tag{31}$$

$$a_{m+1}^{m} = \exp\left[-i\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \theta_{i} l_{i} T_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} E_{1} \tau_{i}' + E_{m+1} \tau_{m}'\right)\right]$$
$$\times i \sin(\Omega_{m} l_{m} \Delta_{m}) \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \cos(\Omega_{i} l_{i} \Delta_{i}).$$
(32)

Using (28), we obtain

$$a_{k}^{m} = e^{-iE_{k}(l_{m}T_{m} + \tau'_{m})} \cdots e^{-iE_{k}(l_{k}T_{k} + \tau'_{k})} a_{k}^{k-1}$$
$$= \exp\left\{-i\left[E_{k}\sum_{i=k-1}^{m}\tau'_{i} + E_{1}\sum_{i=1}^{k-2}\tau'_{i} + E_{k}\sum_{i=k}^{m}l_{i}T_{i}\right]\right\}$$

$$+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \theta_i l_i T_i \bigg] \bigg\} \operatorname{i} \sin(\Omega_{k-1} l_{k-1} \Delta_{k-1}) \\ \times \prod_{i=1}^{k-2} \cos(\Omega_i l_i \Delta_i), \quad 3 \le k \le m,$$
(33)

where we have used (32) with m replaced by k-1.

After N - 1 cycles, or letting m = N - 1 in elements (30-32) and (33), we find the target states

$$|\psi'\rangle^{N-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_k^{N-1} |k\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{N} C_k \gamma_k |k\rangle,$$
 (34)

where the *real* probability amplitudes  $C_k$  are

$$C_{1} = \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \cos(\Omega_{i} l_{i} \Delta_{i}),$$

$$C_{2} = \sin(\Omega_{1} l_{1} \Delta_{1}),$$

$$C_{k} = \sin(\Omega_{k-1} l_{k-1} \Delta_{k-1}) \prod_{i=1}^{k-2} \cos(\Omega_{i} l_{i} \Delta_{i}),$$

$$(3 \le k \le N),$$
(35)

and phases  $\gamma_k$  are

$$\gamma_{1} = \exp\left[-i\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (\theta_{i}l_{i}T_{i} + E_{1}\tau_{i}')\right],$$
  

$$\gamma_{2} = i\exp\left\{-i\left[E_{2}\sum_{i=2}^{N-1} (l_{i}T_{i} + \tau_{i}') + \theta_{1}l_{1}T_{1} + E_{2}\tau_{1}'\right]\right\},$$
  

$$\gamma_{k} = \exp\left\{-i\left[E_{k}\sum_{i=k-1}^{N-1} \tau_{i}' + E_{1}\sum_{i=1}^{k-2} \tau_{i}' + E_{k}\sum_{i=k}^{N-1} l_{i}T_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \theta_{i}l_{i}T_{i}\right]\right\},$$
  

$$\left.+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \theta_{i}l_{i}T_{i}\right]\right\},$$
  
(3  $\leq k \leq N$ ). (36)

#### D. Determine control parameters

For a given target state, namely,  $C_n$  and  $\gamma_n$  are given, we can determine the control parameters  $\{l_n \Delta_n, \tau'_n | n =$ 

we can determine the control parameters  $\{\iota_n \Delta_n, \tau_n | n = 1, 2, ..., N-1\}$ . From  $C_2$  we can determine  $l_1 \Delta_1$  and then  $l_2 \Delta_2$  from  $C_3$ , until all  $l_{k-1} \Delta_{k-1}$  obtained recursively. As for  $\tau'_i$ , we first obtain  $\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \tau'_i$  from  $\gamma_1$  or  $\gamma_2$  and  $E_3 \sum_{i=2}^{N-1} \tau'_i + E_1 \tau'_1$  from  $\gamma'_3$ . As  $E_1 \neq E_3$ , we can obtain  $\tau'_1$  and  $\sum_{i=2}^{N-1} \tau'_i$ . From  $\gamma_4$ , we obtain  $E_4 \sum_{i=3}^{N-1} \tau'_i + E_1 \tau'_2$  from which we obtain  $\tau'_2$  and  $\sum_{i=3}^{N-1} \tau'_i$ . Recursively, we can obtain all  $\tau'_i$ 's. can obtain all  $\tau'_i$ 's.

## IV. CONTROL OF SYSTEM II

We now turn to control of system II. In the first cycle, we apply the field with frequency  $\omega_1 = E_2 - E_1 = E_4 - E_4$   $E_3$  to interact with the system. The total Hamiltonian corresponding to positive and negative pulses reads

$$H^{\pm} = H_1^{\pm} + H_2^{\pm}, \tag{37}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_1 &= E_1 \left| 1 \right\rangle \langle 1 \right| + E_2 \left| 2 \right\rangle \langle 2 \right| \pm u_1 \left( \left| 1 \right\rangle \langle 2 \right| + \left| 2 \right\rangle \langle 1 \right| \right), (38) \\
H^{\pm} &= E_0 \left| 3 \right\rangle \langle 3 \right| \pm E_1 \left| 4 \right\rangle \langle 4 \right| \pm d_2 \left( \left| 3 \right\rangle \langle 4 \right| \pm \left| 4 \right\rangle \langle 3 \right| \right), (39)
\end{aligned}$$

 $H_{2}^{\perp} = E_{3} |3\rangle\langle 3| + E_{4} |4\rangle\langle 4| \pm d_{2} (|3\rangle\langle 4| + |4\rangle\langle 3|), (39)$ 

satisfying  $[H_1^{\pm}, H_2^{\pm}] = 0$ . Therefore the time evolution operator can be factorized as

$$U_{\pm}(t) = U_{\pm}^{1}(t)U_{\pm}^{2}(t) = \exp\left(-\mathrm{i}H_{1}^{\pm}t\right)\exp\left(-\mathrm{i}H_{2}^{\pm}t\right).$$
(40)

Both operators  $U_{\pm}^{1}(t)$  and  $U_{\pm}^{2}(t)$  can be treated similarly as in the Sec. II B. But if we prepare the system initially on the state  $|1\rangle$ , we have  $H_{2}^{\pm}|1\rangle = 0$  and thus  $U_{\pm}^{2}(t) = |1\rangle$ . In this case

$$U_{\pm}(t) |1\rangle = U_{\pm}^{1}(t) |1\rangle = \exp\left(-iH_{1}^{\pm}t\right) |1\rangle.$$
 (41)

To expand  $U_1^{\pm}(t)$ , we rewrite  $H_1^{\pm}$  as

$$H_1^{\pm} = \theta_{12}I - \theta_{12}I_{34} + H_{c\pm}^{(12)}, \qquad (42)$$

where  $I_{34} = |3\rangle\langle 3| + |4\rangle\langle 4|$ , and

$$H_{c\pm}^{(12)} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\omega_1 \left( |2\rangle\langle 2| - |1\rangle\langle 1| \right) \pm d_1 \left( |1\rangle\langle 2| + |2\rangle\langle 1| \right).$$

Then we have

$$e^{-\mathrm{i}H_1^{\pm}t} = \left[I + \left[\cos(\Omega_1 t) - 1\right]I_{12} - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\Omega_1}\sin(\Omega_1 t)H_{c\pm}^{(12)}\right]$$
$$\times \exp\left[-\mathrm{i}\theta_{12}I\right]\exp\left[\mathrm{i}\theta_{12}(|3\rangle\langle 3| + |4\rangle\langle 4|)\right], \tag{43}$$

where  $\Omega_1 = \left[ d_1^2 + \omega_1^2 / 4 \right]^{1/2}$ . Acting on the initial state  $|1\rangle$ , we have

$$e^{-\mathrm{i}H_1^{\pm}t} \left| 1 \right\rangle = e^{\theta_{12}t} \left[ \cos(\Omega_1 t) \left| 1 \right\rangle \mp \mathrm{i}\sin(\Omega_1 t) \left| 2 \right\rangle \right], \quad (44)$$

where we have used the strong field approximation, namely  $d_1 \gg \omega_1, \, \omega_1/\Omega_1 \to 0, \, d_1/\Omega_1 \to 1.$ 

We control the system for a time period  $l_1T_1$ , yielding

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi\rangle^{(1)} &= U^{(1)}(l_1T_1) |1\rangle = \left( U_1^-(\tau_2^1)U_1^+(\tau_1^1) \right)^{l_1} |1\rangle \\ &= e^{-i\theta_{12}l_1T_1} \left[ \cos(\Omega_1 l_1 \Delta_1) |1\rangle + i\sin(\Omega_1 l_1 \Delta_1) |2\rangle \right], \end{aligned}$$

with  $\Delta_1 = \tau_2^1 - \tau_1^1$ . After free evolution for  $\tau_1'$ , the state of system is

$$|\psi'\rangle^{(1)} = e^{-iH_0\tau'_1}|\psi\rangle^{(1)} = a_1^1|1\rangle + a_2^1|2\rangle, \quad (45)$$

where

$$a_{1}^{1} = e^{-i(\theta_{12}l_{1}T_{1} + E_{1}\tau_{1}')} \cos(\Omega_{1}l_{1}\Delta_{1}),$$
  

$$a_{2}^{1} = e^{-i(\theta_{12}l_{1}T_{1} + E_{2}\tau_{1}')} i\sin(\Omega_{1}l_{1}\Delta_{1}).$$
(46)

In cycle 2, we apply the field with frequency  $\omega_2 = E_4 - E_1$  to control the system for a time period  $l_2T_2$ . Standard treatment for the time evolution operator in Sec. II B applies in this case and we can easily find the state of the system after interaction with control field and free evolution for time period  $\tau_2'$ 

$$|\psi'\rangle^{(2)} \equiv e^{-iH_0\tau'_2} \left( U_-^{(2)}(\tau_2^2) U_+^{(2)}(\tau_1^2) \right)^{l_2} |\psi'\rangle^{(1)}$$
  
=  $a_1^2 |1\rangle + a_2^2 |2\rangle + a_4^2 |4\rangle,$  (47)

where

$$a_{1}^{2} = e^{-i(\theta_{1}l_{2}T_{2} + \theta_{1}2l_{1}T_{1} + E_{1}\sum_{i=1}^{2}\tau_{i}')} \times \cos(\Omega_{2}l_{2}\Delta_{2})\cos(\Omega_{1}l_{1}\Delta_{1}),$$

$$a_{2}^{2} = e^{-i(\theta_{1}2l_{1}T_{1} + E_{2}l_{2}T_{2} + E_{2}\sum_{i=1}^{2}\tau_{i}')}i\sin(\Omega_{1}l_{1}\Delta_{1}),$$

$$a_{4}^{2} = e^{-i(\theta_{1}l_{2}T_{2} + \theta_{1}2l_{1}T_{1} + E_{4}\tau_{2}' + E_{1}\tau_{1}')} \times i\sin(\Omega_{2}l_{2}\Delta_{2})\cos(\Omega_{1}l_{1}\Delta_{1}).$$
(48)

In cycle 3, we apply the field with frequency  $\omega_3 = E_3 - E_2$  to control the system for a period  $l_3T_3$  and then allow the system for a free evolution for time period  $\tau'_3$ . The time evolution operator can be treated as in Sec. II B and the target state can be obtained as

$$|\psi'\rangle^{(3)} = e^{-iH_0\tau'_3} \left( U_-^{(3)}(\tau_2^3) U_+^{(3)}(\tau_1^3) \right)^{\iota_3} |\psi'\rangle^{(2)}$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^4 a_n |n\rangle = \sum_{n=1}^4 \gamma_n C_n |n\rangle ,$$
(49)

where the real probability amplitudes are

$$C_{1} = \cos(\Omega_{2}l_{2}\Delta_{2})\cos(\Omega_{1}l_{1}\Delta_{1}),$$

$$C_{2} = \cos(\Omega_{3}l_{3}\Delta_{3})\sin(\Omega_{1}l_{1}\Delta_{1}),$$

$$C_{3} = \sin(\Omega_{3}l_{3}\Delta_{3})\sin(\Omega_{1}l_{1}\Delta_{1}),$$

$$C_{4} = \sin(\Omega_{2}l_{2}\Delta_{2}))\cos(\Omega_{1}l_{1}\Delta_{1}),$$
(50)

and the relative phases are

$$\gamma_1 = \exp\left[-i\left(\theta_{14}l_2T_2 + \theta_{12}l_1T_1 + E_1(\tau_1' + \tau_2' + \tau_3') + E_1l_3T_3\right)\right],$$
(51)

$$\gamma_2 = i \exp\left[-i\left(\theta_{23}l_3T_3 + \theta_{12}l_1T_1 + E_2l_2T_2 + E_2(\tau_1' + \tau_2' + \tau_3')\right)\right],$$
(52)

$$\gamma_{3} = \exp\left[-i\left(\theta_{23}l_{3}T_{3} + \theta_{12}l_{1}T_{1} + E_{3}\tau_{3}'\right) + E_{2}(\tau_{2}' + \tau_{2}') + E_{2}l_{2}T_{2}\right]$$
(52)

$$\begin{aligned} &+ E_2(T_1 + T_2) + E_2(2T_2)], \\ &\gamma_4 = i \exp\left[-i\left(\theta_{14}l_2T_2 + \theta_{12}l_1T_1\right) + E_4(\tau_2' + \tau_2') + E_4l_3T_3 + E_1\tau_1'\right)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

We need to determine the control parameters  $\{l_n\Delta_n, \tau'_n \mid n = 1, 2, 3\}$  from the probability amplitudes of the target state. It is easy to find that

$$\frac{C_4}{C_1} = \tan(\Omega_2 \Delta_2 l_2), \qquad \frac{C_3}{C_2} = \tan(\Omega_3 \Delta_3 l_3), \quad (55)$$

from which we can find control parameters  $\Delta_2 l_2$  and  $\Delta_3 l_3$ and then determine  $\Delta_1 l_1$  from  $C_1$ .

From  $\gamma_1$ ,  $\gamma_3$  and  $\gamma_4$ , we can determine

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_1' + \tau_2' + \tau_3', \\
E_2(\tau_1' + \tau_2') + E_3\tau_3', \\
E_1\tau_1' + E_2(\tau_2' + \tau_3'),
\end{aligned}$$
(56)

whose determinant of the coefficient matrix is  $(E_2 - E_1)(E_2 - E_3) \neq 0$ . So we can find control parameters  $\tau'_i$  (i = 1, 2, 3).

# V. SYSTEM WITH EQUAL ENERGY GAPS

In this section we consider the simplest system with all equal energy gaps, the 3-dimensional system with  $E_3 - E_2 = E_2 - E_1 = \mu$ . To control this system, we apply pulse field with frequency  $\omega = \mu$  to drive the system for time period  $l_1T_1$  and then let it involve for a time period  $\tau'_1$ , in the first cycle. In cycle 2, we use control field with frequency  $\omega_2 = E_3 - E_1 = 2\mu$  for time period  $l_2T_2$  first and then leave it for a free evolution for time period  $\tau'_2$ .

#### A. Cycle 1

The Hamiltonians between the system and control field corresponding to positive and negative pulses are

$$H_{\pm}^{(1)} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} E_i |i\rangle \langle i| \pm [d_1(|1\rangle \langle 2| + |2\rangle \langle 1|) + d_2(|2\rangle \langle 3| + |3\rangle \langle 2|)].$$
(57)

The last two terms are not commutative each other and thus the corresponding time evolution operator cannot be treated as in last section. We note that  $iH_{\pm}^{(1)}$  is an element of u(3) Lie algebra, and its time evolution operator can be generally written as the product of singleparameter subgroup elements  $\exp(-ig_i(t)x_i)$ , where  $x_i$ are all basis elements of u(3), according to Wei-Norman theorem [16]. One needs to solve a set of nonlinear equations to determine parameter  $g_i(t)$ , which is generally difficult.

However, when the system is initially prepared on the ground state  $|1\rangle$ , it is enough to find  $U^{\pm}(t) |1\rangle$ . It is easy to find that

$$H_{\pm}^{(1)} |1\rangle = E_1 |1\rangle + d_1 |2\rangle, (H_{\pm}^{(1)})^2 |1\rangle = (E_1^2 + d_1^2) |1\rangle + d_1(E_1 + E_2) |2\rangle + d_1 d_2 |3\rangle$$

Suppose that  $E_2 = 0$ , without losing generality, then  $E_1 = -\mu, E_3 = \mu \ll d_1, d_2$ , under strong field approximation. Then we have

$$H_{\pm}^{(1)} |1\rangle = d_1 |2\rangle, \left(H_{\pm}^{(1)}\right)^2 |1\rangle = d_1^2 |1\rangle + d_1 d_2 |3\rangle.$$
(58)

It is not difficult to find that

$$(H_{\pm}^{(1)})^{2k+1} |1\rangle = \pm d_1 \Omega_1^{2k} |2\rangle, \quad k \ge 0,$$

$$(H_{\pm}^{(1)})^{2k} |1\rangle = d_1 \Omega_1^{2(k-1)} (d_1 |1\rangle + d_2 |3\rangle), \quad k > 0, (60)$$

where  $\Omega_1 = \sqrt{d_1^2 + d_2^2}$ . Therefore, the time evolution operator acting on the initial state  $|1\rangle$  is obtained as

$$e^{-iH_{\pm}^{(1)}t} |1\rangle = \left\{ \frac{d_1}{\Omega_1^2} \left[ \cos\left(\Omega_1 t\right) - 1 \right] + 1 \right\} (d_1 |1\rangle + d_2 |3\rangle)$$
  
$$\mp i \frac{d_1}{\Omega_1} \sin(\Omega_1 t) |2\rangle.$$
(61)

Similarly, we can further obtain that

$$(H_{\pm}^{(1)})^{(2k+1)} |2\rangle = \pm \Omega_1^{2k} (d_1 |1\rangle + d_2 |3\rangle), (H_{\pm}^{(1)})^{2k} |2\rangle = \Omega_1^{2k} |2\rangle,$$
(62)

and

$$e^{-\mathrm{i}H_{\pm}^{(1)}t} |2\rangle = \cos\left(\Omega_{1}t\right)|2\rangle$$
  
$$\mp \mathrm{i}\frac{\sin(\Omega_{1}t)}{\Omega_{1}}(d_{1}|1\rangle + d_{2}|3\rangle). \tag{63}$$

We also have

$$e^{-iH_{\pm}^{(1)}t} |3\rangle = \frac{d_2}{\Omega_1^2} \left[ \cos\left(\Omega_1 t\right) - 1 \right] \left( d_1 |1\rangle + d_2 |3\rangle \right)$$
  
$$\mp i \frac{d_2}{\Omega_1} \sin(\Omega_1 t) |2\rangle + |3\rangle . \tag{64}$$

Then we obtain the action of the time evolution operator in a period  $T_1$ 

$$e^{-iH_{-}^{(1)}\Delta_{1}^{2}}e^{-iH_{+}^{(1)}\Delta_{1}^{1}}|1\rangle = \left\{\frac{d_{1}^{2}}{\Omega_{1}^{2}}[\cos(\Omega_{1}\Delta_{1})-1]+1\right\}|1\rangle + \frac{id_{1}}{\Omega_{1}}\sin(\Omega_{1}\Delta_{1})|2\rangle + \frac{d_{1}d_{2}}{\Omega_{1}^{2}}[\cos(\Omega_{1}\Delta_{1})-1]|3\rangle,$$
(65)

where  $\Delta_1 = \Delta_1^2 - \Delta_1^1$ . After the second pulse, we have

$$\left( e^{-iH_{-}^{(1)}\Delta_{1}^{2}} e^{-iH_{+}^{(1)}\Delta_{1}^{1}} \right)^{2} |1\rangle$$

$$= \left\{ \frac{d_{1}^{2}}{\Omega_{1}^{2}} [\cos(\Omega_{1}2\Delta_{1}) - 1] + 1 \right\} |1\rangle + \frac{id_{1}}{\Omega_{1}} \sin(\Omega_{1}2\Delta_{1}) |2\rangle$$

$$+ \frac{d_{1}d_{2}}{\Omega_{1}^{2}} [\cos(\Omega_{1}2\Delta_{1}) - 1] |3\rangle.$$
(66)

Recursively, when  $l_1 = \tau_1/T_1$  pulses are applied, the system is driven to

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi\rangle^{(1)} &= \left(e^{-iH_{-}^{(1)}\Delta_{1}^{2}}e^{-iH_{+}^{(1)}\Delta_{1}^{1}}\right)^{l_{1}}|1\rangle \\ &= \left\{\frac{d_{1}^{2}}{\Omega_{1}^{2}}\left[\cos(\Omega_{1}l_{1}\Delta_{1}) - 1\right] + 1\right\}|1\rangle + \frac{\mathrm{i}d_{1}}{\Omega_{1}}\sin(\Omega_{1}l_{1}\Delta_{1})|2\rangle \\ &+ \frac{d_{1}d_{2}}{\Omega_{1}^{2}}\left[\cos(\Omega_{1}l_{1}\Delta_{1}) - 1\right]|3\rangle. \end{aligned}$$
(67)

After free evaluation for  $\tau'_1$ , the system is driven to

$$\psi'\rangle^{(1)} = a_1^1 |1\rangle + a_2^1 |2\rangle + a_3^1 |3\rangle,$$
 (68)

where

$$a_{1}^{1} = e^{-iE_{1}\tau_{1}'} \operatorname{Re}(a_{1}^{1}),$$

$$a_{2}^{1} = e^{-iE_{2}\tau_{1}'} \frac{id_{1}}{\Omega_{1}} \sin(\Omega_{1}l_{1}\Delta_{1}),$$

$$a_{3}^{1} = e^{-iE_{3}\tau_{1}'} \operatorname{Re}(a_{3}^{1}),$$
(69)

and

$$\operatorname{Re}(a_1^1) \equiv \frac{d_1^2}{\Omega_1^2} \left[ \cos(\Omega_1 l_1 \Delta_1) - 1 \right] + 1, \tag{70}$$

$$\operatorname{Re}(a_3^1) \equiv \frac{d_1 d_2}{\Omega_1^2} \left[ \cos(\Omega_1 l_1 \Delta_1) - 1 \right].$$
 (71)

## B. Cycle 2

In cycle 2, the total Hamiltonian is

$$H_{\pm}^{(2)} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} E_i |i\rangle \langle i| \pm d_3 (|3\rangle \langle 1| + |1\rangle \langle 3|), \quad (72)$$

which causes transition between states  $|1\rangle$  and  $|3\rangle$ . So when  $l_2 = \tau_2/T_2$  pulses are applied to the system, we can use the result in Sec. II B to obtain that

$$U^{(2)}(l_2T_2)|1\rangle = (U^{(2)}(T_2))^{l_2}|1\rangle = e^{-i\theta_{13}l_2T_2} \left[\cos(\Omega_2 l_2 \Delta_2)|1\rangle + i\sin(\Omega_2 l_2 \Delta_2)|3\rangle\right], U^{(2)}(l_2T_2)|3\rangle = (U^{(2)}(T_2))^{l_2}|3\rangle = e^{-i\theta_{13}l_2T_2} \left[\cos(\Omega_2 l_2 \Delta_2)|3\rangle + i\sin(\Omega_2 l_2 \Delta_2)|1\rangle\right], U^{(2)}(l_2T_2)|2\rangle = |2\rangle,$$
(73)

where  $\Omega_2 = \sqrt{\omega_2^2/4 + d_3^2}$ . After the system interacts with  $l_2$  pulses and then involves for time period  $\tau'_2$ , we arrive at the target state

$$|\psi'\rangle^{(2)} = e^{-iH_0\tau'_2} |\psi\rangle^{(2)} = \sum_{k=1}^3 a_k^2 |k\rangle,$$
 (74)

where

$$a_{1}^{2} = e^{-iE_{1}(\tau_{1}' + \tau_{2}')} \operatorname{Re}(a_{1}^{1}) \cos(\Omega_{2}l_{2}\Delta_{2}) + e^{-i(E_{3}\tau_{1}' + E_{1}\tau_{2}')} \operatorname{Re}(a_{3}^{1}) \sin(\Omega_{2}l_{2}\Delta_{2}), \quad (75)$$

$$a_2^2 = e^{-iE_2(\tau_1' + \tau_2')} \frac{la_1}{\Omega_1} \sin(\Omega_1 l_1 \Delta_1),$$
(76)

$$a_{3}^{2} = e^{-i(E_{1}\tau_{1}' + E_{3}\tau_{2}')} iRe(a_{1}^{1}) \sin(\Omega_{2}l_{2}\Delta_{2}) + e^{-iE_{3}(\tau_{1}' + \tau_{2}')} Re(a_{3}^{1}) \cos(\Omega_{2}l_{2}\Delta_{2}),$$
(77)

where we have used the fact  $\theta_{13} = 0$ .

### C. Determine control parameters

From  $a_2^2$ , we can determine the control parameters  $l_1\Delta_1$  and  $\tau'_1 + \tau'_2$ , as well as  $\operatorname{Re}(a_1^1)$ ,  $\operatorname{Re}(a_3^1)$ . Then one can check that

$$-\mathrm{i}\left[e^{\mathrm{i}E_{1}(\tau_{1}'+\tau_{2}')}a_{1}^{2}\mathrm{Re}(a_{3}^{1})-e^{-\mathrm{i}E_{3}(\tau_{1}'+\tau_{2}')}(a_{3}^{2})^{*}\mathrm{Re}(a_{1}^{1})\right]$$

- V. P. Belavkin, Automatica and Remote Control 44, 178188 (1983); G. M. Huang, T. J. Tarn and J. W. Clark, J. Math. Phys. 24, 2608 (1983).
- [2] Information Complexity and Control in Quantum Physics, edited by A. Blaquiere, S. Dinerand, and G. Lochak (Springer, New York, 1987); A. G. Butkovskiy and Yu. I. Samoilenko, Control of Quantum- Mechanical Processes and Systems (Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1990); V. Jurdjevic, Geometric Control Theory (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K., 1997); S. Lloyd, Phys. Rev. A 62, 022108 (2000).

$$= \left[ (\operatorname{Rea}_{3}^{1})^{2} + (\operatorname{Rea}_{1}^{1})^{2} \right] \\ \times e^{-\mathrm{i}(E_{3} - E_{1})\tau_{1}'} \sin(\Omega_{2}l_{2}\Delta_{2}),$$
(78)

in which both terms in middle brackets on the left and right hand sides are known for a given target state. So we can determine  $e^{-i(E_3-E_1)\tau'_1}\sin(\Omega_2 l_2 \Delta_2)$  and thus  $\tau'_1$ and  $l_2 \Delta_2$ , as well as  $\tau'_2$ .

## VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper we proposed protocols to control finite dimensional quantum systems using square pulses. Time evolution operators are explicitly obtained under strong field approximation and used to control three types of finite dimensional systems. Relationship between control parameters and probability amplitudes of the target states are established via trigonometrical functions. The control parameters are time periods of interaction between the controlled system and control pulses and free evolution time periods of the system itself.

We would like to remark that: (1). Control protocol using square pulses avoid using the rotating wave approximation as using the harmonic field; (2). Interaction between the system and control field does not supply the relative phases and the relative phases are achieved by free evolution of the controlled system between cycles.

As further works, we would like to generalize the investigation presented in this paper to the indirect control protocol of finite quantum systems, and the control protocol of quantum systems in the presence of environment.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work is supported by the National Science Foundation of China under grand numbers 11075108 and 61374057.

- [3] M. A. Daleh, A. M. Peirce, and H. Rabitz, Phys. Rev. A 37, 4950 (1988); A. Bartana, R. Kosloff, and D. J. Tannor, Chem. Phys. 267, 95 (2001); U. Boscain, G. Charlot, J.-P. Gauthier, S. Duerin and H.-R. Jauslin, J. Math. Phys. 43, 2107 (2002).
- [4] V. Ramakrishna and H. Rabitz, Phys. Rev. A 54, 1715 (1996).
- [5] S. G. Schirmer, H. Fu and A. I. Solomon, Phys. Rev. A 63, 063410 (2001).
- [6] H. Fu, S. G. Schirmer and A. I. Solomon, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 34, 1679 (2001).

- [7] G. Turinici, Mathematical Models and Methods for ab Initio Quantum Chemistry, (Lecture Notes in Chemistry, Vol. 74, 2000), ed. M. Defranceschi and C. Le Bris, Springer, Berlin; G. Turinici and H. Rabitz, Chem. Phys. 267, 1 (2001).
- [8] H. M. Wiseman and G. J. Milburn, *Quantum measure*ment and control (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K., 2010).
- [9] H. C. Fu, H. Dong, X. F. Liu and C. P. Sun, Phys. Rev. A. 75, 052317 (2007).
- [10] H. C. Fu, H. Dong, X. F. Liu and C. P. Sun, J. Phys. A. Math. Gen 42, 045303 (2009).

- [11] R. Romano and D. D'Alessandro, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 080402 (2006); Phys. Rev. A 73, 022323 (2006).
- [12] A. Pechen and H. Rabitz, Phys. Rev. A 73, 062102 (2006).
- [13] R. Romano and D. D'Alessandro, J. Phys. A. 40, 2439 (2007).
- [14] J. Tang and H. C. Fu, Commun. Theor. Phys. 60, 731 (2013).
- [15] M. Scully and M.S. Zubairy, *Quantum Optics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K., 2000).
- [16] J. Wei and E. Norman, J. Math. Phys. 4, 575 (1963).