arXiv:1312.5603v1 [hep-ph] 19 Dec 2013

Some remarks on non-planar Feynman diagrams

Krzysztof Bielas[†], Ievgen Dubovyk, Janusz Gluza

Institute of Physics, University of Silesia,
Uniwersytecka 4, PL-40-007 Katowice, Poland

Tord Riemann

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, DESY,
Platanenallee 6, 15738 Zeuthen, Germany

Two criteria for planarity of a Feynman diagram upon its propagators (momentum flows) are presented. Instructive `Mathematica` programs that solve the problem and examples are provided. A simple geometric argument is used to show that while one can planarize non-planar graphs by embedding them on higher-genus surfaces (in the example it is a torus), there is still a problem with defining appropriate dual variables since the corresponding faces of the graph are absorbed by torus generators.

PACS numbers: 02.70.Wz, 12.38.Bx, 02.10.Ox

1. Introduction

Non-planar Feynman diagrams arise naturally from perturbative quantum field theory. They are interesting for many reasons. First of all, from the graph-theoretical point of view many constructions and theorems are formulated only for planar graphs. Formally, any Feynman diagram G can be considered as a graph and thus subjected to graph-theoretical methods. Moreover, labelling all edges of G by momenta makes G a network flow, and which is not necessarily unique. Consequently, it can make some redundant problems on the way to find effective analytical or numerical solutions to a given Feynman diagram. This is especially true if we want to make general programs which use some methods to solve Feynman integrals. We focus here on one technical aspect. Given a Feynman diagram G, is it possible to

[†] Presented by K. Bielas at the International Conference of Theoretical Physics "Matter To The Deepest", Ustron 2013

decide the planarity of G only upon its propagators? The question could be rewritten in a more general way: is it possible to decide the planarity of a network flow only upon its flows? The answer is important considering e.g. computer algebra methods in particle physics. We faced the problem when working on the upgrade of `AMBRE` package [1], where different methods are applied in order to construct an optimal, low-dimensional Mellin–Barnes representation of G depending on its planarity (work in progress). The problem of planarity identification of G upon its propagators has been mentioned lately also in [2]. In general, the available graph-theoretical methods to recognize planarity of a graph rely mainly on its geometry, like the Kuratowski theorem, that claims that a graph G is planar iff it does not contain a subgraph that is a subdivision of $K_{3,3}$ or K_5 [3]. When no geometry of G is given, it is hard to decide about subgraphs of G. This is the case of `AMBRE`, where only propagators are given. As we will show, the answer for the question is positive.

Another interesting property of non-planar Feynman diagrams is that they are not dealt with twistor methods, originally applied only to planar sector of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM [4]. The question whether it is possible to apply these methods to the non-planar sector remains open. The main obstacle is the lack of duals for non-planar diagrams, hence lack of dual variables, on which these methods rely. On the other hand, in the so-called 't Hooft limit of $\mathrm{SU}(N)$ with coupling g, where $N \to \infty$, $g^2N = \mathrm{const}$, only planar diagrams survive. Thus one could argue that (non-)planarity is not of purely technical, graph theoretical character, but rather it is a significant ingredient with a physical interpretation in the above limit.

Let us start with some definitions. A graph is *planar* if it can be drawn on a surface (sphere) without intersections. A *non-planar* graph is a graph that is not planar.

A *dual* to a graph is constructed by drawing vertices inside the faces (including the external face) and connecting vertices that correspond to adjacent faces (Fig. 1).




Fig. 1. Given graph (solid line) and its dual (dashed line)




Fig. 2. A Feynman diagram (left) and its adjoint (right)

Such duals can be defined only for planar graphs.

To say that a Feynman diagram G is (non-)planar, one defines the *adjoint* diagram G^* (Fig. 2). It is constructed from G by attaching all external lines to an auxiliary vertex [3].

We say that a Feynman diagram G is planar iff G^* is planar.

2. Method I

Let G be a connected $l-$loop Feynman diagram, $\{p_1, ..., p_m\}$ be the set of external momenta, $\{e_1, ..., e_n\}$ be the set of edges in G (neglecting external lines), $\{k_1, ..., k_n\}$ be the set of corresponding momentum flows in G and $\{v_1, ..., v_r\}$ be the set of vertices in G. Then there holds [5]

$$r = n - l + 1. \tag{1}$$

Hence given the number of edges (flows) and loops, the number of vertices r can be derived from (1). After introducing Feynman parameters $x_1, ..., x_n$, one defines the Laplacian matrix L of a graph G as a $r \times r$ matrix with entries

$$L_{ij} = \begin{cases} \sum\limits_{k=1}^{n} x_k & \text{if } i = j,\ e_k \text{ is attached to } v_i,\ e_k \text{ is not a self-loop,} \\ -\sum\limits_{k=1}^{n} x_k & \text{if } i \neq j,\ e_k \text{ connects } v_i, v_j. \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

Elements of L are calculated in a few steps. Diagonal elements L_{ii} are obtained by deciding which Feynman parameters x_k are attached to v_i. Vertices are divided into external (attached to external lines) and internal ones. Note that only triple and quartic (i.e. of degree 3 and 4) vertices are allowed.[1] Thus the conditions are of the form

$$\text{(for external vertices)}\ \ \pm k_a \pm k_b = \pm p_e \text{ or } \pm k_a \pm k_b \pm k_c = \pm p_e,$$

[1] For more general applications like gravity, the algorithm should be improved. However, in the case of $n-$ary vertices, the method II is a better approach.

$$\text{(for internal vertices)}\ \pm k_a \pm k_b = \pm k_c \text{ or } \pm k_a \pm k_b \pm k_c = \pm k_d,$$

where $a, b, c, d \in \{1, ..., n\}$, $e \in \{1, ..., m\}$. The flows that fulfill the above relations contribute to diagonal elements of L. Furthermore, off-diagonal elements L_{ij} are obtained by deciding Feynman parameters x_k that connect vertices v_i, v_j. Observe that such x_k's have to be both in L_{ii} and L_{jj}, thus the intersection of elements in L_{ii} and L_{jj} is non-empty and gives exactly these x_k's. In the case of many edges connecting v_i, v_j, they shrink to one edge, thus giving exactly one x_k (hence $\sum x_k \to x_k$).
In order to obtain a more familiar form of L, understandable to `Mathematica` software [6], redefine L by

$$L_{ij} = \begin{cases} \deg(v_i) & \text{if } i = j, \\ -1 & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } v_i, v_j \text{ are adjacent}, \end{cases}$$

where $\deg(v_i)$ is the degree of v_i. The above definition is derived from (2) by substituting $x_k \to 1$. Then L can be written as

$$L = D - A, \tag{3}$$

where $D = \operatorname{diag}(\deg(v_1), ..., \deg(v_r))$ is a degree matrix and A is the adjacency matrix given by

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } v_i, v_j \text{ are adjacent}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

The final part of the algorithm is to create the adjoint diagram G^*.[2] The Laplacian matrix L^* of G^* is build upon L by extending it by one row and one column corresponding to v_{r+1}. Clearly $\deg(v_{r+1}) = m$ and extra 1's appear in the $(r+1)^{th}$ column and $(r+1)^{th}$ row at the elements corresponding to external vertices. Thus, from (3) the adjacency matrix $A^* = D^* - L^*$ is obtained. Eventually, given A^*, the function `PlanarQ` of the `Mathematica` package `Combinatorica` yields the answer for the question of planarity of a Feynman diagram G. Additionally, in [2] there was made a remark that it is possible to draw a diagram upon the set of denominators. In fact, given the matrix A^* it is possible to draw a given diagram with `Mathematica` by using the function `AdjacencyGraph`. Instructive examples for planarity recognition using the described algorithm are given in [7].

3. Method II

Let G be a connected $l-$loop Feynman diagram, $\{p_1, ..., p_m\}$ be the set of external momenta, $\{k_1, ..., k_n\}$ be the set of corresponding momentum

[2] In the case of vacuum diagrams, G^* is the same as G by definition.




Fig. 3. A box diagram, external dual variables and dual graph, respectively

flows in G. *Dual variables* $\{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$ are defined by (see e.g. [4])

$$p_1 = x_1 - x_m,\ p_2 = x_2 - x_1,\ \ \ldots\ ,\ p_m = x_m - x_{m-1}. \tag{4}$$

Then by introducing rules of the form $k_i \to x_j \pm x_l$ all momenta are substituted by dual variables and one obtains the following criterion: A Feynman diagram is planar iff it is possible to write all propagators (including external momenta) in the form $x_i \pm x_l$. Let us present two examples.[3]

1. Let G be a simple box diagram with four external lines $\{p_1, \ldots, p_4\}$ and a loop momentum k. The amplitude is proportional to the integral

$$\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^4 k}{k^2 \left(k - p_1\right)^2 \left(k - p_1 - p_2\right)^2 \left(k - p_1 - p_2 - p_3\right)^2}. \tag{5}$$

   Introduce dual variables according to (4).

   Note that external momenta correspond to crossing of external lines and lines between corresponding dual variables (Fig. 3). The integral is now of the form

$$\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^4 k}{k^2 \left(k - x_1 + x_4\right)^2 \left(k - x_2 + x_4\right)^2 \left(k - x_3 + x_4\right)^2}. \tag{6}$$

   Observe that substitution $k \to x_5 - x_4$ gives a conformal invariant object

$$\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^4 x_5}{\left(x_5 - x_4\right)^2 \left(x_5 - x_1\right)^2 \left(x_5 - x_2\right)^2 \left(x_5 - x_3\right)^2}. \tag{7}$$

[3] The following examples are massless, but the method is general and applicable also in massive cases, since masses do not contribute to the momentum flow in a diagram.




Fig. 4. Non-planar double box (left) and its dual variables (right)

2. Let G be a non-planar double box with

$$\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^4k_1\mathrm{d}^4k_2}{k_1^2\left(k_1-p_2\right)^2\left(k_1-p_1-p_2\right)^2k_2^2\left(k_2+p_3\right)^2\left(k_1-k_2\right)^2\left(k_1-k_2+p_4\right)^2} \tag{8}$$

and introduce dual variables again (Fig. 4).

Obviously, since the diagram is non-planar, it does not have a dual. Note that after transforming momenta to dual variables, one of the possible forms of the integral is

$$\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^4x_5\mathrm{d}^4x_6}{\left(x_5+x_2\right)^2\left(x_5+x_1\right)^2\cdot\ldots\cdot\left(x_6+x_5-x_3+x_4\right)^2}. \tag{9}$$

Non-planarity is encoded in the element $x_6+x_5-x_3+x_4$, that breaks the conformal invariance. Thus there is a strict correspondence between dual diagrams and dual variables, hence another planarity criterion for Feynman diagrams is established. Instructive examples for planarity recognition using described algorithm are given in [7].

4. Non-planar diagrams and dual variables

Observe that it is possible to planarize a non-planar diagram by embedding it on a surface with genus higher than 0. Actually, the minimal genus of a surface where the given diagram G is planar is called the *genus* of G. Let us give an example. Let a three-loop non-planar self-energy be embedded on a torus. Then there is no crossing of diagram lines, hence the diagram is planar on the torus (Fig. 5). It is then possible to find its dual diagram and corresponding dual variables. Unfortunately, such an embedding sets




Fig. 5. Three-loop non-planar self-energy (left) and its embedding on a torus (right)

the number of faces too small to give a proper interpretation of momenta by dual variables. It can be easily calculated by Euler's formula that

$$\chi = v - e + f = 2 - 2g = 2 - 2 \cdot 1 = 0 \longrightarrow f = 0 - 6 + 9 = 3,$$

where χ — Euler characteristics, v — number of vertices, e — number of edges, f — number of faces (dual variables), g — genus of a graph (surface). Thus there are only three dual variables x_1, x_2, x_3 available, in contrast to five momenta p, $-p$, k_1, k_2, k_3. Hence, although the non-planar diagram is planarized, it is not possible to define appropriate dual variables, since two momenta are absorbed by torus generators.

Acknowledgements

Work supported by European Initial Training Network LHCPHENOnet PITN-GA-2010-264564. K. Bielas is supported by Świder PhD program, co-funded by the European Social Fund.

REFERENCES

[1] J. Gluza, K. Kajda, T. Riemann, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **177** (2007) 879; J. Gluza, K. Kajda, T. Riemann and V. Yundin, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **205** (2010) 147; ibid. Eur. Phys. J. C **71** (2011) 1516.

[2] R. N. Lee, arXiv:1212.2685 [hep-ph].

[3] N. Nakanishi, "Graph Theory and Feynman Integrals" (Routledge 1971).

[4] J. Arkani-Hamed et al., *JHEP* **1206** (2012) 125.

[5] C. Bogner and S. Weinzierl, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A25** (2010) 2585.

[6] Wolfram Research, Inc., Mathematica, Champaign, IL (2010).

[7] Katowice, webpage http://prac.us.edu.pl/∼gluza/ambre;
DESY, webpage http://www-zeuthen.desy.de/theory/research/CAS.html.