

# Peierls substitution for magnetic Bloch bands\*

Silvia Freund and Stefan Teufel

February 28, 2024

Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen, Mathematisches Institut,  
Auf der Morgenstelle 10, 72076 Tübingen, Germany.

stefan.teufel@uni-tuebingen.de

sima@fa.uni-tuebingen.de

## Abstract

We consider the one-particle Schrödinger operator in two dimensions with a periodic potential and a strong constant magnetic field perturbed by slowly varying non-periodic scalar and vector potentials,  $\phi(\varepsilon x)$  and  $A(\varepsilon x)$ , for  $\varepsilon \ll 1$ . For each isolated family of magnetic Bloch bands we derive an effective Hamiltonian that is unitarily equivalent to the restriction of the Schrödinger operator to a corresponding almost invariant subspace. At leading order, our effective Hamiltonian can be interpreted as the Peierls substitution Hamiltonian widely used in physics for non-magnetic Bloch bands. However, while for non-magnetic Bloch bands the corresponding result is well understood, both on a heuristic and on a rigorous level, for magnetic Bloch bands it is not clear how to even define a Peierls substitution Hamiltonian beyond a formal expression. The source of the difficulty is a topological obstruction: In contrast to the non-magnetic case, magnetic Bloch bundles are generically not trivializable. As a consequence, Peierls substitution Hamiltonians for magnetic Bloch bands turn out to be pseudodifferential operators acting on sections of non-trivial vector bundles over a two-torus, the reduced Brillouin zone. Part of our contribution is the construction of a suitable Weyl calculus for such pseudodifferential operators.

As an application of our results we construct a new family of canonical one-band Hamiltonians  $H_{\theta,q}^B$  for magnetic Bloch bands with Chern number  $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}$  that generalizes the Hofstadter model  $H_{\text{Hof}}^B = H_{0,1}^B$  for a single non-magnetic Bloch band. It turns out that  $H_{\theta,q}^B$  is isospectral to  $H_{\text{Hof}}^{q^2 B}$  for any  $\theta$  and all spectra agree with the Hofstadter spectrum depicted in his famous (black and white) butterfly. However, the resulting Chern numbers of subbands, corresponding to Hall conductivities, depend on  $\theta$  and  $q$ , and thus the models lead to different colored butterflies.

---

\*This work was supported by the German Science Foundation within the SFB TR 71.

# 1 Introduction

We consider perturbations of the self-adjoint Schrödinger operator

$$H_{B_0, \Gamma} = \frac{1}{2}(-i\nabla_x - A_0)^2 + V_\Gamma,$$

densely defined on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , where  $A_0 : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $V_\Gamma : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  act as multiplication operators. Here  $A_0(x) = (-B_0x_2, 0)$  is the vector potential of a constant magnetic field  $B_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and the scalar potential  $V_\Gamma$  is assumed to be periodic with respect to a Bravais lattice  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . The spectral properties of the operator  $H_{B_0, \Gamma}$  are extremely sensitive to the relation between the numerical value of  $B_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and the area  $|\Gamma|$  of one lattice cell. When  $B_0$  and  $\Gamma$  are commensurable in the sense that  $B_0|\Gamma|/2\pi = \frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}$ , the operator  $H_{B_0, \Gamma}$  is unitarily equivalent by an explicit unitary transformation  $\mathcal{F}_q$  to a countable direct sum of multiplication operators by real-valued continuous functions  $E_n : \mathbb{T}_q^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $E_n(k) \leq E_{n+1}(k)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{T}_q^*$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ . Here the two-dimensional torus  $\mathbb{T}_q^*$  is the Pontryagin dual of a subgroup  $\Gamma_q$  of  $\Gamma$ . In summary it holds that

$$\widehat{H}_{B_0, \Gamma} := \mathcal{F}_q H_{B_0, \Gamma} \mathcal{F}_q^* = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n P_n \quad \text{on} \quad \mathcal{H} := \mathcal{F}_q L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) = L^2(\mathbb{T}_q^*; \mathcal{H}_f) \cong \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} L^2(\mathbb{T}_q^*), \quad (1)$$

where  $P_n$  is the orthogonal projection onto the  $n$ th summand in the direct sum. As a consequence, the spectrum  $\sigma(H_{B_0, \Gamma}) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n(\mathbb{T}_q^*)$  is a union of intervals and purely absolutely continuous. If, on the other hand,  $B_0|\Gamma|/2\pi \notin \mathbb{Q}$ , then it is expected that  $\sigma(H_{B_0, \Gamma})$  is a set of Cantor-type, i.e. a closed nowhere-dense set of zero Lebesgue measure. The proof of this so-called Ten-Martini problem was given only recently [AJ09] and it only applies to simple tight-binding models on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ . The most prominent picture of this commensurability problem is the fractal Hofstadter butterfly, a plot of the spectrum of such a simple tight binding model as a function of the magnetic field  $B_0$ , cf. Figure 2 in Section 7.

The physical meaning of the operator  $H_{B_0, \Gamma}$  is that of a Hamiltonian for a single particle constrained to move in a planar two-dimensional crystalline lattice under the influence of a constant magnetic field of strength  $B_0$  perpendicular to the plane. However, from the point of view of physical applications and experiments, a constant magnetic field  $B_0$  is a highly idealized situation that can be realized only approximately. The distinction between rational and irrational magnetic fields  $B_0$  is a purely mathematical one. Thus it is of genuine interest to understand perturbations of  $H_{B_0, \Gamma}$  by potentials  $A^\varepsilon(x) := A(\varepsilon x)$  and  $\Phi^\varepsilon(x) := \Phi(\varepsilon x)$  corresponding to magnetic and electric fields  $B^\varepsilon(x) := \varepsilon(\text{curl}A)(\varepsilon x)$  and  $\mathcal{E}^\varepsilon(x) := \varepsilon(\nabla\Phi)(\varepsilon x)$  that are small and slowly varying in the asymptotic limit  $\varepsilon \ll 1$ . Here  $A : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are smooth functions. We therefore consider the self-adjoint Schrödinger operator

$$H_{B_0, \Gamma}^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}(-i\nabla_x - A_0 - A^\varepsilon)^2 + V_\Gamma + \Phi^\varepsilon$$

for a fixed rational value of  $B_0|\Gamma|/2\pi = \frac{p}{q}$  in the asymptotic limit  $\varepsilon \ll 1$  as a perturbation of the simple block-structure (1). It follows by well known techniques of adiabatic perturbation theory that parts of the block-decomposition (1) are stable under such perturbations: Assuming e.g. for a single function  $E_n$  the gap condition  $E_{n-1}(k) < E_n(k) < E_{n+1}(k)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{T}_q^*$ , one can construct from  $P_n$  an orthogonal projection  $\Pi_n^\varepsilon$  such that  $\|[\Pi_n^\varepsilon, \widehat{H}_{B_0, \Gamma}^\varepsilon]\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\infty)$ .

While the restriction  $P_n \widehat{H}_{B_0, \Gamma} P_n$  of the unperturbed operator to one of its invariant subspaces  $\text{ran} P_n$  acts as multiplication by the function  $E_n$ , the restriction  $\Pi_n^\varepsilon \widehat{H}_{B_0, \Gamma}^\varepsilon \Pi_n^\varepsilon$  of the perturbed operator  $\widehat{H}_{B_0, \Gamma}^\varepsilon$  to one of its almost invariant subspaces  $\text{ran} \Pi_n^\varepsilon$  has a priori no simple form. The ‘‘Peierls substitution rule’’, widely used in physics, suggests that  $\Pi_n^\varepsilon \widehat{H}_{B_0, \Gamma}^\varepsilon \Pi_n^\varepsilon$  is unitarily equivalent to a pseudodifferential operator with principal part

$$E_n(k - A(i\varepsilon \nabla_k)) + \Phi(i\varepsilon \nabla_k)$$

acting on some space of functions on the torus  $\mathbb{T}_q^*$ . The main result of our paper is to turn this claim into a precise statement and to prove it: We show that the blocks  $\Pi_n^\varepsilon \widehat{H}_{B_0, \Gamma}^\varepsilon \Pi_n^\varepsilon$  of the perturbed operator are unitarily equivalent to pseudodifferential operators acting on spaces of sections of possibly nontrivial vector bundles over the torus with principal part given by the Peierls substitution rule. A special case of our main result Theorem 5.1 is the following statement.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $A, \Phi$  be smooth bounded functions with bounded derivatives of any order and  $B_0|\Gamma|/2\pi = \frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}$ . For any simple Bloch function  $E_n$  of the unperturbed Hamiltonian  $H_{B_0, \Gamma}$  satisfying the gap condition there exist for  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough*

- an orthogonal projection  $\Pi_n^\varepsilon$ ,
- a line bundle  $\Xi_\theta$  over the torus  $\mathbb{T}_q^*$  with connection  $\nabla^\theta$  and Chern number  $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,
- a unitary map  $U^\varepsilon : \text{ran} \Pi_n^\varepsilon \rightarrow L^2(\Xi_\theta)$ ,
- and a pseudodifferential operator  $E_n^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(\Xi_\theta))$  with

$$\left\| E_n^\varepsilon - \left( E_n(k - A(i\varepsilon \nabla_k^\theta)) + \Phi(i\varepsilon \nabla_k^\theta) \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\Xi_\theta))} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$$

such that  $\|[\Pi_n^\varepsilon, \widehat{H}_{B_0, \Gamma}^\varepsilon]\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\infty)$  and

$$\left\| U^\varepsilon \Pi_n^\varepsilon \widehat{H}_{B_0, \Gamma}^\varepsilon \Pi_n^\varepsilon U^{\varepsilon*} - E_n^\varepsilon \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\Xi_\theta))} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\infty). \quad (2)$$

In Theorem 5.1 we actually consider a more general situation, where a single band  $E_n$  is replaced by a finite family of bands. Then  $\Xi_\theta$  becomes a vector-bundle of finite rank and the Peierls substitution Hamiltonian is a pseudodifferential operator with matrix-valued symbol. We also compute the subprincipal symbol of  $E_n^\varepsilon$  explicitly, which contains important information for transport and magnetic properties of electron gases in periodic media.

Theorem 5.1, and its special case Theorem 1.1, were shown before for the case  $B_0 = 0$  in [PST03b]. There one has  $\theta = 0$  and  $\Xi_0$  is a trivial vector-bundle over the torus  $\mathbb{T}_q^*$ . For the case  $B_0 \neq 0$  the validity and the meaning of Peierls substitution, even on a purely heuristic level, were a matter of debate (see e.g. [Zak86, Zak91]) and, to our knowledge, not even a precise conjecture was stated in the literature.

Before giving more details, let us mention that the systematic or even rigorous analysis of two-dimensional systems with periodic potential and magnetic field is a continuing theme

in theoretical physics, e.g. [Pei33, Blo62, Zak68, Hof76, TKNN82, SN99, GA03a], and also in mathematical physics and mathematics, e.g. [DN80a, DN80b, Nov81, Bus87, Bel88, GRT88, HS89, RB90, HKS90, HS90a, HS90b, Nen91, GMS91, HST01, PST03b, DGR04, Pan07, AJ09, DP10, DL11, StT13]. We can mention here only a small part of the enormous literature and for a review of the mathematical and physical literature until 1991 we refer to [Nen91].

Most of the mathematical literature is concerned with the problem of recovering the spectrum and sometimes the density of states of the perturbed Hamiltonian  $H_{B_0, \Gamma}^\varepsilon$ . In some cases this is done by constructing isospectral effective Hamiltonians in spirit of the Peierls substitution rule, see e.g. [HS89, RB90, HKS90, HS90a, HS90b, GMS91]. With a few exceptions, most notably [RB90], the limiting cases  $B_0 = 0$  and  $B_0 \rightarrow \infty$  were considered. More recently the question of constructing unitarily equivalent effective Hamiltonians was taken up in [PST03b, DP10, DL11] and the limiting regimes  $B_0 = 0$  and  $B_0 \rightarrow \infty$  are fully understood by now even on a mathematical level. For a thorough discussion of the question why unitary equivalence is important also from a physics point of view, we refer to [DP10]. Let us mention here only one example: The two canonical models for effective Hamiltonians for the asymptotic regimes  $B_0 = 0$  and  $B_0 \rightarrow \infty$  are exactly isospectral. This is known as the duality of the Hofstadter model, see e.g. [GA03b]. However, they are not unitarily equivalent and describe different physics.

The problem of constructing unitarily equivalent effective Hamiltonians in the intermediate regime of finite  $B_0 \neq 0$  was, to our knowledge, completely open up to now<sup>1</sup> and its solution is the main content of our paper. While we use the same basic approach that was applied in [PST03b, DP10] for the cases  $B_0 = 0$  and  $B_0 \rightarrow \infty$ , namely adiabatic perturbation theory [PST03a], there is a major geometric obstruction in extending these methods to perturbations around finite values of  $B_0$  such that  $B_0|\Gamma|/2\pi = \frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}$ , which we shortly explain. In all cases the projections  $P_n$  in (1) act on  $L^2(\mathbb{T}_q^*, \mathcal{H}_f)$  fiber-wise, i.e. they are given by projection-valued functions  $P_n : \mathbb{T}_q^* \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_f)$ ,  $k \mapsto P_n(k)$ . For an isolated simple band  $E_n$  the corresponding projection-valued function  $P_n(\cdot)$  is smooth and defines a complex line-bundle over  $\mathbb{T}_q^*$ , the so called Bloch bundle associated with the Bloch band  $E_n$ . For  $B_0 = 0$  the Bloch bundles are trivial and the effective operator  $E_n^\varepsilon$  is a pseudodifferential operator acting on  $L^2(\mathbb{T}_1^*)$ , the space of  $L^2$ -sections of the trivial line bundle over the torus  $\mathbb{T}_1^*$ . The Bloch bundles for  $B_0 \neq 0$  are not trivial in general and  $E_n^\varepsilon$  has to be understood as a pseudodifferential operator acting on the sections of a non-trivial line bundle  $\Xi_\theta$  over the torus  $\mathbb{T}_q^*$ .

An important shortcoming of our result is, however, that we can not allow for the case of a perturbation by a constant magnetic field  $B$ , corresponding to a linear vector potential  $A$ , in all steps of the derivation. While an (almost) invariant subspace and the corresponding (almost) block structure of the perturbed Hamiltonian can still be established in this case, and also the effective Hamiltonian  $\text{Op}^\theta(E_n(k - A(r)) + \Phi(r))$  remains well defined for linear  $A$ , the unitary map intertwining the (almost) invariant subspace and the reference space, as we construct it, no longer exists. For  $\theta = 0$  this problem actually disappears, and we recover the results for non-magnetic Bloch bands with constant small magnetic fields  $B$  obtained in [DP10, DL11]. Note, however, that the physically relevant situation where  $B$  and also

---

<sup>1</sup> It was observed in [DGR04] that the method of [PST03b] can be directly applied also to magnetic Bloch bands if one assumes that the magnetic Bloch bundles are trivial. But this assumption is generically not satisfied.

$E = -\nabla\Phi$  are constant over a macroscopic volume containing  $\varepsilon^{-2}$  lattice sites is included in all of our results.

Let us mention that some of the physically relevant questions can be answered without establishing Peierls substitution in our sense of unitary equivalence. There are, in particular, semiclassical and algebraic approaches that allow for direct computation of many relevant quantities without the detour via Peierls substitution. The modified semiclassical equations of motion for magnetic Bloch bands [SN99] became the starting point for a large number of quantitative results, see e.g. [XCN10] and references therein. This approach was rigorously derived and extended in [StT13, Teu12]. In [GA03a] the authors apply Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization with phases modified by the Berry curvature and the Rammal-Wilkinson term in order to compute the orbital magnetization in the Hofstadter model. For the case  $B = \text{const.}$  or periodic and  $\Phi = 0$  the algebraic approach of Bellissard and coworkers [Bel88, RB90, BKS91] provides a powerful tool for expansions to all orders for eigenvalues, free energies and quantities derived from there. This approach can also cope with random perturbations and has developed into a very general machinery, see e.g. [BES94, ST13] and references therein.

We end the introduction with a short outline of the paper. In Section 2 we give a precise formulation of the setup and introduce all relevant quantities and assumptions. In Section 3 we briefly formulate the result on the existence and the construction of almost invariant subspaces. We do not give a proof here, since nothing interesting changes with respect to the non-magnetic case at this point. In Section 4 we analyze in detail the structure of magnetic Bloch bundles. As a result we can construct the reference space for the effective Hamiltonian and the unitary map from the almost invariant subspace to this reference space. This analysis is one key ingredient to our main result, which we formulate and prove in Section 5. The result and its proof are based on geometric Weyl calculi for operators acting on sections of non-trivial vector bundles, the other key ingredients, that are developed in Section 6. In the final Section 7, we explicitly compute Peierls substitution Hamiltonians for magnetic subbands of the Hofstadter Hamiltonian. The Hofstadter model is the canonical model for a single non-magnetic Bloch band perturbed by a constant magnetic field  $B_0$ . As a result we find a new two-parameter family  $H_{\theta,q}^B$  (see (32)) of Hofstadter like Hamiltonians indexed by integers  $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ . The operator  $H_{\theta,q}^B$  can be viewed as the canonical model for a magnetic Bloch band with Chern number  $\theta$  and originating from a Bloch band split into  $q$  magnetic subbands. Like the Hofstadter model itself, all  $H_{\theta,q}^B$  are representations of an element of the non-commutative torus algebra, the abstract Hofstadter operator. As a consequence they are all isospectral and lead to the same black and white butterfly, Figure 2. But the transport properties encoded in the Chern numbers of spectral bands depend on  $\theta$  and  $q$  and they give rise to different colored butterflies, cf. Figure 4. The results of Section 7 and a more detailed analysis presented in [ADT15] suggest that our main Theorem 5.1 also holds for perturbations by magnetic fields with potentials  $A$  of linear growth.

**Acknowledgment:** We are grateful to Abderramán Amr for his involvement in a related project [Amr15, ADT15] which had important impact on Section 7. In particular, his code was used to produce Figure 4. We thank Giuseppe De Nittis, Jonas Lampart, Gianluca Panati, and Jakob Wachsmuth for numerous very helpful discussions and for continued exchange about many questions closely related to the content of this work. We thank Max Lein for his careful reading of a preliminary version of the manuscript.

## 2 Perturbed periodic and magnetic Schrödinger operators

We consider perturbations of a one-particle Schrödinger operator with a periodic potential and a constant magnetic field in two dimensions. The unperturbed operator is given by

$$H_{\text{MB}} = \frac{1}{2}(-i\nabla_x - A^{(0)}(x))^2 + V_{\tilde{\Gamma}}(x)$$

with domain  $H_{A^{(0)}}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , a magnetic Sobolev space. Here

$$A^{(0)}(x) := \mathcal{B}_0 x \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{B}_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -B_0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $V_{\tilde{\Gamma}}$  is periodic with respect to a Bravais lattice

$$\tilde{\Gamma} := \{a\tilde{\gamma}_1 + b\tilde{\gamma}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

spanned by a basis  $(\tilde{\gamma}_1, \tilde{\gamma}_2)$  of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , i.e.  $V_{\tilde{\Gamma}}(x + \tilde{\gamma}) = V_{\tilde{\Gamma}}(x)$  for all  $\tilde{\gamma} \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ . We will later assume that  $B_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfies a commensurability condition, so that  $H_{\text{MB}}$  obtains a magnetic Bloch band structure.

The full Hamiltonian is a perturbation of  $H_{\text{MB}}$  by “small” magnetic and electric fields of order  $\varepsilon$ . More precisely let  $A^{(1)}$  be a linear vector potential of an additional constant magnetic field  $B_1$  and  $A^{(2)}$  and  $\Phi$  be bounded vector and scalar potentials, then the full Hamiltonian  $H^\varepsilon$  reads

$$H^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}(-i\nabla_x - A^{(0)}(x) - \varepsilon A^{(1)}(x) - A^{(2)}(\varepsilon x))^2 + V_{\tilde{\Gamma}}(x) + \Phi(\varepsilon x) \quad (3)$$

with domain  $H_{A^{(0)} + \varepsilon A^{(1)}}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , where

$$H_A^m := \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \mid (i\nabla_x + A(x))^\alpha f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \text{ for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \text{ with } |\alpha| \leq m\}$$

and  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ .

**Assumption 1.** *Assume that  $A^{(2)} \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$  satisfies the gauge condition  $A^{(2)}(x) \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_2 = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and that  $\Phi \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ . Let  $V_{\tilde{\Gamma}} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a measurable function such that  $V_{\tilde{\Gamma}}(x + \tilde{\gamma}) = V_{\tilde{\Gamma}}(x)$  for all  $\tilde{\gamma} \in \tilde{\Gamma}$  and that the operator of multiplication by  $V_{\tilde{\Gamma}}$  is relatively  $(-i\nabla - A^{(0)} - \varepsilon A^{(1)})^2$ -bounded with relative bound smaller than 1 for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough.*

Under these conditions,  $H_{\text{MB}}$  and  $H^\varepsilon$  are essentially self-adjoint on  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and self-adjoint on  $H_{A^{(0)}}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  respectively on  $H_{A^{(0)} + \varepsilon A^{(1)}}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Note that any  $V_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  satisfies Assumption 1.

### 2.1 The band structure of $H_{\text{MB}}$

The magnetic translation of functions on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  by  $\tilde{\gamma}_j$  is defined by

$$(\tilde{T}_j \psi)(x) := e^{i\langle x, \mathcal{B}_0 \tilde{\gamma}_j \rangle} \psi(x - \tilde{\gamma}_j). \quad (4)$$

On  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  the magnetic translations are unitary and leave invariant the magnetic momentum operator and the periodic potential,

$$\tilde{T}_j^{-1} (-i\nabla - A^{(0)}) \tilde{T}_j = (-i\nabla - A^{(0)}), \quad \tilde{T}_j^{-1} V_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \tilde{T}_j = V_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \quad \text{and thus} \quad \tilde{T}_j^{-1} H_{\text{MB}} \tilde{T}_j = H_{\text{MB}}.$$

Because of

$$\tilde{T}_1 \tilde{T}_2 = e^{i\langle \tilde{\gamma}_2, \mathcal{B}_0 \tilde{\gamma}_1 \rangle} \tilde{T}_2 \tilde{T}_1,$$

we only obtain a unitary representation of  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  if  $\langle \tilde{\gamma}_2, \mathcal{B}_0 \tilde{\gamma}_1 \rangle \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ . Here  $\langle \tilde{\gamma}_2, \mathcal{B}_0 \tilde{\gamma}_1 \rangle = B_0 \tilde{\gamma}_1 \wedge \tilde{\gamma}_2$  is the magnetic flux through the unit cell  $M$  of the lattice  $\Gamma$  with oriented volume  $\tilde{\gamma}_1 \wedge \tilde{\gamma}_2$ .

**Assumption 2.** *The flux of  $B_0$  per unit cell satisfies  $\langle \tilde{\gamma}_2, \mathcal{B}_0 \tilde{\gamma}_1 \rangle = 2\pi \frac{p}{q} \in 2\pi\mathbb{Q}$ .*

By passing to the sublattice  $\Gamma \subset \tilde{\Gamma}$  spanned by the basis  $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) := (q\tilde{\gamma}_1, \tilde{\gamma}_2)$  and defining the magnetic translations  $T_1, T_2$  analogously, we achieve  $\langle \gamma_2, \mathcal{B}_0 \gamma_1 \rangle = 2\pi p \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ . Hence

$$T : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)), \quad \gamma = n_1 \gamma_1 + n_2 \gamma_2 \mapsto T_\gamma := T_1^{n_1} T_2^{n_2} \quad (5)$$

is a unitary representation of  $\Gamma$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  satisfying

$$T_\gamma^{-1} H_{\text{MB}} T_\gamma = H_{\text{MB}} \quad (6)$$

for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Before we introduce the Bloch-Floquet transformation in order to exploit the translation invariance of  $H_{\text{MB}}$ , we first define a number of useful function spaces. Let

$$\mathcal{H}_f := \{f \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2) \mid T_\gamma f = f \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma\},$$

which, equipped with the inner product  $\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_f} := \int_M \overline{f(y)} g(y) dy$ , is a Hilbert space. Analogously for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\mathcal{H}_{A^{(0)}}^m(\mathbb{R}^2) := \{f \in \mathcal{H}_f \mid (-i\nabla - A^{(0)})^\alpha f \in \mathcal{H}_f \text{ for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \text{ with } |\alpha| \leq m\}$$

is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{A^{(0)}}^m(\mathbb{R}^2)} := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \langle (-i\nabla - A^{(0)})^\alpha f, (-i\nabla - A^{(0)})^\alpha g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_f}.$$

Let  $\Gamma^*$  be the dual lattice of  $\Gamma$ , i.e. the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -span of the unique basis  $(\gamma_1^*, \gamma_2^*)$  such that  $\gamma_i^* \cdot \gamma_j = 2\pi \delta_{ij}$ . By  $M$  respectively  $M^*$  we denote the centered fundamental cells of  $\Gamma$  respectively of  $\Gamma^*$ . On  $\mathcal{H}_f$  a unitary representation of the dual lattice  $\Gamma^*$  is given by

$$\tau : \Gamma^* \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_f), \quad \gamma^* \mapsto \tau(\gamma^*) \quad \text{with } (\tau(\gamma^*)f)(y) := e^{iy \cdot \gamma^*} f(y).$$

Finally let the space of  $\tau$ -equivariant functions be

$$\mathcal{H}_\tau := \{f \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_k^2, \mathcal{H}_f) \mid f(k - \gamma^*) = \tau(\gamma^*)f(k) \text{ for all } \gamma^* \in \Gamma^*\}.$$

Equipped with the inner product  $\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_\tau} = \int_{M^*} \langle f(k), g(k) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_f} dk$ , where  $dk$  is the normalized Lebesgue measure on  $M^*$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_\tau$  is a Hilbert space.

For  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  the magnetic Bloch-Floquet transformation is defined by

$$(\mathcal{U}_{\text{BF}}\psi)(k, y) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-i(y-\gamma) \cdot k} (T_\gamma \psi)(y). \quad (7)$$




Figure 1: Two sketches of Bloch bands. Note that  $k \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , so the graphs of the Bloch bands are really surfaces. In (a) the families  $\{E_1(k)\}$ ,  $\{E_2(k), E_3(k)\}$  and  $\{E_4(k)\}$  are all isolated, but none of them is strictly isolated. In (b) they are all strictly isolated.

It extends uniquely to a unitary mapping  $\mathcal{U}_{\text{BF}} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\tau$  and its inverse is given by

$$(\mathcal{U}_{\text{BF}}^{-1}\phi)(x) = \int_{M^*} e^{ik \cdot x} \phi(k, x) dk.$$

Because of (6) the operator  $H_{\text{MB}}$  fibers in the magnetic Bloch-Floquet representation as

$$H_{\text{BF}}^0 := \mathcal{U}_{\text{BF}} H_{\text{MB}} \mathcal{U}_{\text{BF}}^* = \int_{M^*}^{\oplus} H_{\text{per}}(k) dk,$$

where

$$H_{\text{per}}(k) := \frac{1}{2}(-i\nabla_y - A^{(0)}(y) + k)^2 + V_\Gamma(y)$$

acts for any fixed  $k \in M^*$  on the  $k$ -independent domain  $\mathcal{H}_{A^{(0)}}^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \subset \mathcal{H}_f$ . The domain  $H_{A^{(0)}}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  of  $H_{\text{MB}}$  is mapped to

$$\mathcal{U}_{\text{BF}} H_{A^{(0)}}^2(\mathbb{R}^2) =: L_\tau^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathcal{H}_{A^{(0)}}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)) = L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathcal{H}_{A^{(0)}}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap \mathcal{H}_\tau.$$

As  $H_{\text{per}}(k)$  basically describes a Schrödinger particle in a box, it is bounded from below and has a compact resolvent for every  $k \in M^*$ . Hence  $H_{\text{per}}(k)$  has discrete spectrum with eigenvalues  $E_n(k)$  of finite multiplicity that accumulate at infinity. So let

$$E_1(k) \leq E_2(k) \leq \dots$$

be the eigenvalues repeated according to their multiplicity. In the following,  $k \mapsto E_n(k)$  will be called the  $n^{\text{th}}$  band function or just the  $n^{\text{th}}$  Bloch band. Since  $H_{\text{per}}(k)$  is  $\tau$ -equivariant, i.e.

$$H_{\text{per}}(k - \gamma^*) = \tau(\gamma^*) H_{\text{per}}(k) \tau(\gamma^*)^{-1},$$

and  $\tau(\gamma^*)$  is unitary, the Bloch bands  $E_n(k)$  are  $\Gamma^*$ -periodic functions.

The effective Hamiltonians that we construct will be associated with isolated families of Bloch bands of the unperturbed operator  $H_{\text{per}}(k)$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A family of bands  $\{E_n(k)\}_{n \in I}$  with  $I = [I_-, I_+] \cap \mathbb{N}$  is called *isolated*, or synonymously is said to satisfy the *gap condition*, if

$$\inf_{k \in M^*} \text{dist}(\cup_{n \in I} \{E_n(k)\}, \cup_{m \notin I} \{E_m(k)\}) =: c_g > 0.$$

We say that  $\{E_n(k)\}_{n \in I}$  is *strictly isolated* with *strict gap*  $d_g$  if for

$$\sigma_I := \overline{\cup_{n \in I} \cup_{k \in M^*} \{E_n(k)\}}$$

we have that

$$\inf_{m \notin I, k \in M^*} \text{dist}(E_m(k), \sigma_I) := d_g > 0.$$

By  $P_I(k)$  we denote the spectral projection of  $H_{\text{per}}(k)$  corresponding to the isolated family of eigenvalues  $\{E_n(k)\}_{n \in I}$ . Because of the gap condition, the map

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_f), \quad k \mapsto P_I(k)$$

is real analytic and with  $H_{\text{per}}(k)$  also  $\tau$ -equivariant. This family of projections defines a vector bundle over the torus  $\mathbb{T}^* := \mathbb{R}^2/\Gamma^*$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let the bundle  $\pi : \Xi_\tau \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^*$  with typical fiber  $\mathcal{H}_f$  be given by

$$\Xi_\tau := (\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathcal{H}_f) / \sim_\tau,$$

where

$$(k, \varphi) \sim_\tau (k', \varphi') \quad :\Leftrightarrow \quad k' = k - \gamma^* \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi' = \tau(\gamma^*)\varphi \quad \text{for some } \gamma^* \in \Gamma^*.$$

The Bloch bundle  $\Xi_{\text{Bl}}$  associated to the isolated family  $\{E_n(k)\}_{n \in I}$  of Bloch bands is the sub-bundle given by

$$\Xi_{\text{Bl}} := \{(k, \varphi) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathcal{H}_f \mid \varphi \in P(k)\mathcal{H}_f\} / \sim_\tau. \quad (8)$$

Hence, the  $L^2$ -sections of  $\Xi_\tau$  are in one-to-one correspondence with elements of  $\mathcal{H}_\tau$  and the  $L^2$ -sections of the Bloch bundle are in one-to-one correspondence with functions  $f \in \mathcal{H}_\tau$  that satisfy  $P_I(k)f(k) = f(k)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

## 2.2 $H^\varepsilon$ as a pseudodifferential operator on $\mathcal{H}_\tau$

The operator of multiplication by  $x$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is mapped under the Bloch-Floquet transformation to the operator  $i\nabla_k^\tau := \mathcal{U}_{\text{BF}} x \mathcal{U}_{\text{BF}}^*$ . A simple computation shows that  $i\nabla_k^\tau$  acts as the gradient with domain  $H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathcal{H}_f) \cap \mathcal{H}_\tau \subset \mathcal{H}_\tau$ . Hence, by the functional calculus for self-adjoint operators, the full Hamiltonian  $H^\varepsilon$  takes the form

$$H_{\text{BF}}^\varepsilon := \mathcal{U}_{\text{BF}} H^\varepsilon \mathcal{U}_{\text{BF}}^* = \frac{1}{2}(-i\nabla_y - A^{(0)}(y) + k - A(i\varepsilon\nabla_k^\tau))^2 + V_\Gamma(y) + \Phi(i\varepsilon\nabla_k^\tau),$$

where we put  $A := A^{(1)} + A^{(2)}$  and use that  $\varepsilon A^{(1)}(x) = A^{(1)}(\varepsilon x)$  due to linearity. One key step for the following analysis is to interpret  $H_{\text{BF}}^\varepsilon$  as a pseudodifferential operator with operator valued symbol

$$H(k, r) := \frac{1}{2}(-i\nabla_y - A^{(0)}(y) + k - A(r))^2 + V_\Gamma(y) + \Phi(r) \quad (9)$$

under the quantization map  $k \mapsto k$  and  $r \mapsto i\varepsilon \nabla_k^r$ . To make this precise, note that  $H(k, r)$  is a  $\tau$ -equivariant symbol taking values in the self-adjoint operators on  $\mathcal{H}_f$  with domain  $\mathcal{H}_{A^{(0)}}^2$  independent of  $(k, r)$ . For convenience of the reader we briefly give the definitions of the relevant symbol classes and refer to the Appendix B of [Teu03] for details on the  $\tau$ -quantization.

**Definition 2.3.** A function  $w : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying, for some  $C, N > 0$ ,

$$w(x) \leq C \langle x - y \rangle^N w(y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^4,$$

is called order function. Here  $\langle x \rangle := (1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2$  be Hilbert spaces and  $w$  an order function. Then by  $S^w(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2))$  we denote the space functions  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2))$ , that satisfy

$$\|f\|_{w, \alpha, \beta} := \sup_{(k, r) \in \mathbb{R}^4} w(k, r)^{-1} \|(\partial_k^\alpha \partial_r^\beta f)(k, r)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)} < \infty \quad \text{for all } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^2.$$

Functions in  $S^w(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2))$  are called operator-valued symbols with order function  $w$ . For the constant order function  $w(k, r) \equiv 1$  we write  $S^1(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)) := S^{w \equiv 1}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2))$ .

Let  $\tau_j : \Gamma^* \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , be unitary representations. A symbol  $f \in S^w(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2))$  is called  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -equivariant, if

$$f(k - \gamma^*, r) = \tau_2(\gamma^*) f(k, r) \tau_1(\gamma^*)^{-1} \quad \text{for all } \gamma^* \in \Gamma^* \text{ and } (k, r) \in \mathbb{R}^4.$$

The corresponding space is denoted by  $S_{(\tau_1, \tau_2)}^w(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2))$  and equipped with the Fréchet metric induced by the family of semi-norms  $\|\cdot\|_{w, \alpha, \beta}$ .

The space of uniformly bounded functions  $f : [0, \varepsilon_0) \rightarrow S_{(\tau_1, \tau_2)}^w(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2))$  is denoted by  $S_{(\tau_1, \tau_2)}^w(\varepsilon, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2))$ . If  $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{H}$  and  $\tau_1 = \tau_2$ , we write  $S_\tau^w(\varepsilon, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}))$  instead.

**Proposition 2.4.** Let  $w_A(k, r) := 1 + |k - A(r)|^2$ . Then the operator valued function  $(k, r) \mapsto H(k, r)$  defined in (9) is a symbol  $H \in S_{(\tau_1, \tau_2)}^{w_A}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{A^{(0)}}^2, \mathcal{H}_f))$  with  $\tau_1 = \tau|_{\mathcal{H}_{A^{(0)}}^2}$  and  $\tau_2 = \tau$ .

*Proof.* Since  $H(k, r) = H_{\text{per}}(k - A(r)) + \Phi(r)$ , all claims can be checked explicitly on  $H_{\text{per}}$  using Assumption 1: The  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -equivariance of  $H$  follows from the  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -equivariance of  $H_{\text{per}}$  and  $H_{\text{per}} \in S_{(\tau_1, \tau_2)}^{w_0}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{A^{(0)}}^2, \mathcal{H}_f))$  with  $w_0(k, r) := 1 + |k|^2$  implies  $H \in S_{(\tau_1, \tau_2)}^{w_A}(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{A^{(0)}}^2, \mathcal{H}_f))$ . See Lemma 3.8 in [DP10] for details on the last argument.  $\square$

Note that the Weyl quantization of a symbol  $f$  in  $S_{(\tau_1, \tau_2)}^w(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2))$  defines an operator  $\text{Op}^{(\tau_1, \tau_2)}(f)$  that maps  $\mathcal{H}_1$ -valued  $\tau_1$ -equivariant functions to  $\mathcal{H}_2$ -valued  $\tau_2$ -equivariant functions. For details on this  $\tau$ -quantization see Appendix B of [Teu03]. For a general introduction to pseudodifferential operators with operator valued symbols in the same context we refer to [GMS91].

Since the  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -quantization  $\text{Op}^{(\tau_1, \tau_2)}(H)$  of  $H$  restricted to the space of smooth  $\tau$ -equivariant functions with values in  $\mathcal{H}_{A^{(0)}}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  agrees with the restriction of  $H_{\text{BF}}^\varepsilon$ , and since both operators are essentially self-adjoint on this subspace, their closures agree and we will identify them in the following.

### 3 Almost invariant subspaces

The first step of space-adiabatic perturbation theory is the construction of the almost invariant subspace  $\Pi_I^\varepsilon \mathcal{H}_\tau$  associated with an isolated family of Bloch bands  $\{E_n(k)\}_{n \in I}$ . Here  $\Pi_I^\varepsilon$  is an orthogonal projection almost commuting with  $H_{\text{BF}}^\varepsilon$ . This concept goes back to [Nen02] and the general construction was introduced in [NeSo04, MaSo02] based on techniques developed already in [HS90a]. The application to the case of non-magnetic Bloch bands including the  $\tau$ -equivariant Weyl calculus was worked out in [PST03b, Teu03]. Since these methods carry over to the case of magnetic Bloch bands without difficulties, see also [DGR04, Sti11], we skip the details of the proof. Note, however, that we add a new observation to the statement: Under the assumption of a strict gap and for sufficiently small perturbations the resulting projection  $\Pi_I^\varepsilon$  actually commutes with  $H_{\text{BF}}^\varepsilon$ , since it turns out to be a spectral projection.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let Assumptions 1 and 2 hold and let  $\{E_n(k)\}_{n \in I}$  be an isolated family of Bloch bands. Then there exists an orthogonal projection  $\Pi_I^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)$  such that  $H_{\text{BF}}^\varepsilon \Pi_I^\varepsilon$  is a bounded operator and*

$$\|[H_{\text{BF}}^\varepsilon, \Pi_I^\varepsilon]\| = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\infty).$$

Moreover,  $\Pi_I^\varepsilon$  is close to a pseudodifferential operator  $\text{Op}^\tau(\pi)$ ,

$$\|\Pi_I^\varepsilon - \text{Op}^\tau(\pi)\| = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\infty), \quad (10)$$

where  $\pi \in S_\tau^1(\varepsilon, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_f)) := S_\tau^{w \equiv 1}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_f))$  with principal symbol  $\pi_0(k, r) = P_I(k - A(r))$ .

If  $\{E_n(k)\}_{n \in I}$  is strictly isolated with gap  $d_g$  and  $\|\Phi\|_\infty < \frac{1}{2}d_g$ , then (10) holds for  $\Pi_I^\varepsilon$  being the spectral projection of  $H_{\text{BF}}^\varepsilon$  associated to the interval  $[\inf E_I - d_g/2, \sup E_I + d_g/2]$ . In particular,  $[H_{\text{BF}}^\varepsilon, \Pi_I^\varepsilon] = 0$  in this case.

*Proof.* The construction of  $\Pi_I^\varepsilon$  is given in Proposition 5.16 in [Teu03] for general Hamiltonians with symbol  $\tilde{H} \in S_{(\tau_1, \tau_2)}^w(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H}_f))$  for  $w(k, r) = 1 + |k|^2$ , where  $\tilde{H}(k, r)$  is pointwise a self-adjoint operator on  $\mathcal{H}_f$  with domain  $\mathcal{D}$ . In the case  $A^{(1)} = 0$  it applies verbatim also to our Hamiltonian, since then  $H \in S_{(\tau_1, \tau_2)}^w(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{A^{(0)}}^2, \mathcal{H}_f))$ . The slight modification that allows to include also a linear term  $A^{(1)} \neq 0$  is worked out in Theorem 3.12 (1) in [DP10], where the order function  $w$  is replaced by  $w_A$ . Note that their assumption (D) on the triviality of the Bloch bundle is not used in the proof of part (1) of Theorem 3.12 in [DP10]. We remark that the construction of  $\Pi_I^\varepsilon$  for nonzero  $A^{(0)}$  and  $A^{(1)} = 0$  was also done in [Sti11].

The statement for strictly isolated bands follows from inspecting, for example, the proof of Proposition 5.16 in [Teu03], from where also the following notation is borrowed. Under the assumption of a strict gap, the Moyal resolvent  $R(\zeta)$  can be constructed globally on  $\mathcal{U}_{z_0} = \mathbb{R}^4$  and for  $\zeta$  in a fixed positively oriented circle  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}$  encircling  $[\inf E_I - d_g/2, \sup E_I + d_g/2]$ . But then (5.28) in [Teu03] implies  $\text{Op}^\tau(R(\zeta)) = (\text{Op}^{(\tau_1, \tau_2)}(H) - \zeta)^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\infty)$  and thus, by (5.32),

$$\text{Op}^\tau(\pi) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \oint_\Lambda \text{Op}^\tau(R(\zeta)) d\zeta = \frac{i}{2\pi} \oint_\Lambda (H_{\text{BF}}^\varepsilon - \zeta)^{-1} d\zeta + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\infty).$$

□

## 4 Magnetic Bloch bundles

With respect to the (almost) invariant subspace  $\Pi_I^\varepsilon \mathcal{H}_\tau$  associated to an isolated family of Bloch bands, the Hamiltonian thus takes the (almost) block-diagonal form

$$H_{\text{BF}}^\varepsilon = \Pi_I^\varepsilon H_{\text{BF}}^\varepsilon \Pi_I^\varepsilon + (1 - \Pi_I^\varepsilon) H_{\text{BF}}^\varepsilon (1 - \Pi_I^\varepsilon) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\infty),$$

where  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\infty)$  holds in the operator norm. For strictly isolated bands  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\infty)$  can be replaced by zero and the addendum ‘‘almost’’ can be dropped. The remaining task is to show that the block  $\Pi_I^\varepsilon H_{\text{BF}}^\varepsilon \Pi_I^\varepsilon$  is unitarily equivalent to an effective Hamiltonian  $H_{\text{eff}}$  given by Peierls substitution on some simple reference space  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{ref}}$ .

Let us quickly summarize how this is achieved in the case  $B_0 \equiv 0$  in [PST03b, Teu03]. The smoothness of  $H(k, r)$  and the gap condition imply the smoothness of the spectral projection  $P_I(k - A(r))$ . In particular,  $P_I(k - A(r))$  has constant rank  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is thus natural to choose  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{ref}}$  as the  $\mathbb{C}^m$ -valued functions over the torus  $\mathbb{T}^* = \mathbb{R}^2/\Gamma^*$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{ref}} = L^2(\mathbb{T}^*, \mathbb{C}^m)$ . As in the case of  $\Pi_I^\varepsilon$ , the unitary map  $U^\varepsilon : \Pi_I^\varepsilon \mathcal{H}_\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{ref}}$  is constructed perturbatively order by order as the quantization of a semiclassical symbol  $u(k, r) \asymp \sum_{j=0}^\infty \varepsilon^j u_j(k, r)$ . The starting point of the construction is a unitary map  $u_0(k, r) : P_I(k - A(r)) \mathcal{H}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$  that is smooth and right- $\tau$ -equivariant,

$$u_0(k - \gamma^*, r) = u_0(k, r) \tau(\gamma^*)^{-1} \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ and } \gamma^* \in \Gamma^*.$$

In geometric terms this means that we seek a  $U(m)$ -bundle-isomorphism between the Bloch bundle  $\Xi_{\text{Bl}}$  and the trivial bundle over the torus  $\mathbb{T}^*$  with fiber  $\mathbb{C}^m$ . But such an isomorphism exists if and only if the Bloch bundle is trivial. It was shown in [HS89] for the case  $m = 1$  and in [Pan07] also for  $m \geq 1$ , that in the case  $B_0 = 0$  time-reversal invariance implies that the Bloch bundle associated to any isolated family of Bloch bands is indeed trivial and hence an appropriate  $u_0$  always exists.

However, when  $B_0 \neq 0$ , then  $H_{\text{MB}}$  is no longer time-reversal invariant and the Bloch bundle is in general a non-trivial vector bundle over the torus. Indeed, its non-vanishing Chern numbers are closely related to the quantum Hall effect as was first discovered in the seminal paper by Thouless et al. [TKNN82]. The non-triviality of magnetic Bloch bundles is the main obstruction for defining Peierls substitution for magnetic Bloch bands in any straightforward way.

Let us start with a rough sketch of our strategy for overcoming this obstruction. Our reference space  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{ref}} = \mathcal{H}_\alpha$  now contains sections of a non-trivial vector bundle  $\Xi_\alpha$  over  $\mathbb{T}^*$  with typical fiber  $\mathbb{C}^m$  that is isomorphic to the Bloch bundle  $\Xi_{\text{Bl}}$ . According to a result of Panati [Pan07],  $\Xi_\alpha$  is uniquely characterized, up to isomorphisms, by its rank  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and its Chern number  $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Of course we could just glue together local trivializations of  $\Xi_{\text{Bl}}$  by suitable transition functions in order to construct such a bundle  $\Xi_\alpha$ . However, for the definition of the map  $U^\varepsilon : \Pi_I^\varepsilon \mathcal{H}_\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\alpha$  and for the construction of an appropriate pseudodifferential calculus on  $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ , it will be essential to have an explicit characterization of  $\Xi_\alpha$  with certain additional properties. To this end we first explicitly define a global trivialization of the extended Bloch bundle given by

$$\Xi'_{\text{Bl}} := \{(k, \varphi) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathcal{H}_f \mid \varphi \in P_I(k) \mathcal{H}_f\} \quad (11)$$

over the contractible base space  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , i.e. an orthonormal basis  $(\varphi_1(k), \dots, \varphi_m(k))$  of  $P(k)\mathcal{H}_f$  depending smoothly on  $k \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . For this we use the parallel transport with respect to the Berry connection  $\nabla_k^B = P_I(k) \nabla_k P_I(k) + P_I^\perp(k) \nabla_k P_I^\perp(k)$ . Then  $\Xi_\alpha := (\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^m) / \sim_\alpha$  is defined in terms of the “transition function”  $\alpha : \mathbb{R}^2 / \Gamma^* \times \Gamma^* \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^m)$  defined by  $\varphi(k - \gamma^*) =: \alpha(k, \gamma^*) \tau(\gamma^*) \varphi(k)$ . But the functions  $\varphi_j(k)$  are not  $\tau$ -equivariant and their derivatives of order  $n$  grow like  $|k|^n$ . Thus they can not be used directly to define a symbol of the form  $u_0(k, r)_{ij} = |e_i\rangle \langle \varphi_j(k - A(r))|$ . However, they do give the starting point for the perturbative construction of a unitary  $U_1^\varepsilon : \Pi_I^\varepsilon \mathcal{H}_\tau \rightarrow P_I \mathcal{H}_\tau$  by setting  $u_0(k, r)_{ij} := |\varphi_i(k)\rangle \langle \varphi_j(k - A(r))|$ , which is a good  $\tau$ -equivariant symbol. From the frame  $(\varphi_1(k), \dots, \varphi_m(k))$  we also get a bundle isomorphism between  $\Xi_{\text{Bl}}$  and  $\Xi_\alpha$ , i.e. a unitary map

$$U_\alpha : P_I \mathcal{H}_\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\alpha, \quad \varphi(k) \mapsto (U_\alpha \varphi)_j(k) := \langle \varphi_j(k), \varphi(k) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_f},$$

where  $P_I \mathcal{H}_\tau = \{f \in \mathcal{H}_\tau \mid f(k) = P_I(k)f(k)\}$  contains the  $L^2$ -sections of the Bloch bundle. But  $U_\alpha$  is not a pseudodifferential operator and thus it is not clear a priori if

$$H_{\text{eff}} := U_\alpha U_1^\varepsilon \Pi_I^\varepsilon \text{Op}^\tau(H) \Pi_I^\varepsilon U_1^{\varepsilon*} U_\alpha^*$$

is a pseudodifferential operator and how its principal symbol looks like. This problem will be solved by introducing a Weyl quantization adapted to the geometry of the Bloch bundle, for which the action of  $U_\alpha$  is explicit.

After this rough sketch of the general strategy let us start with the construction of the frame  $(\varphi_1(k), \dots, \varphi_m(k))$ . For this we need a lemma on the properties of the Berry connection.

**Lemma 4.1.** *On the trivial bundle  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathcal{H}_f$  the Berry connection*

$$\nabla_k^B := P_I(k) \nabla_k P_I(k) + P_I^\perp(k) \nabla_k P_I^\perp(k)$$

*is a metric connection.*

*For arbitrary  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$  let  $t^B(x, y)$  be the parallel transport with respect to the Berry connection along the straight line from  $y$  to  $x$ . Then  $t^B(x, y) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_f)$  is unitary, satisfies*

$$t^B(x, y) = P_I(x) t^B(x, y) P_I(y) + P_I^\perp(x) t^B(x, y) P_I^\perp(y), \quad (12)$$

*and is  $\tau$ -equivariant, i.e.*

$$t^B(x - \gamma^*, y - \gamma^*) = \tau(\gamma^*) t^B(x, y) \tau(\gamma^*)^{-1}. \quad (13)$$

*Proof.* Let  $\psi, \phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_f$  be smooth functions, then a simple computation yields

$$\nabla \langle \psi(k), \phi(k) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_f} = \langle \nabla^B \psi(k), \phi(k) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_f} + \langle \psi(k), \nabla^B \phi(k) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_f},$$

showing that  $\nabla^B$  is metric. As a consequence,  $t^B(x, y) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_f)$  is unitary. Let  $x(s) := y + s(x - y)$ ,  $s \in [0, 1]$ , be the straight line from  $y$  to  $x$ . Then  $t^B(x(s), y) =: t^B(s)$  is the unique solution of

$$\frac{d}{ds} t^B(s) = [(x - y) \cdot \nabla P_I(x(s)), P_I(x(s))] t^B(s) \quad \text{with} \quad t^B(0) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}_f}. \quad (14)$$

From this and  $\nabla P_I = P_I(\nabla P_I)P_I^\perp + P_I^\perp(\nabla P_I)P_I$  one easily computes that

$$\frac{d}{ds} (t^B(s)^* P_I(x(s)) t^B(s)) = 0,$$

which implies  $t^B(s)^* P_I(x(s)) t^B(s) = P_I(y)$  for all  $s \in [0, 1]$  and thus (12). Now  $t^B(x(s) - \gamma^*, y - \gamma^*) := \tilde{t}^B(s)$  is the unique solution of

$$\frac{d}{ds} \tilde{t}^B(s) = [(x - y) \cdot \nabla P_I(x(s) - \gamma^*), P_I(x(s) - \gamma^*)] \tilde{t}^B(s) \quad \text{with} \quad \tilde{t}^B(0) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}_f}. \quad (15)$$

Thus the  $\tau$ -equivariance of  $t^B(x, y)$  follows from comparing (14) and (15) and using the  $\tau$ -equivariance of the projection  $P_I(k)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $\{E_n(k)\}_{n \in I}$  be an isolated family of Bloch bands with  $|I| = m$ . There are functions  $\varphi_j \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathcal{H}_f)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , such that  $(\varphi_1(k), \dots, \varphi_m(k))$  is an orthonormal basis of  $P_I(k)\mathcal{H}_f$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and having the following property:*

*There is a function  $\alpha : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^m)$  taking values in the unitary matrices, such that*

$$\varphi(k - \gamma^*) = \alpha(\kappa_2)^{n_1} \tau(\gamma^*) \varphi(k)$$

for all  $\gamma^* =: n_1 \gamma_1^* + n_2 \gamma_2^* \in \Gamma^*$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\kappa_2 := \frac{\langle k, \gamma_2 \rangle}{2\pi}$ . If the rank  $m$  of the Bloch bundle is one, then  $\varphi = \varphi_1$  can be chosen such that

$$\alpha(\kappa_2) = e^{-i2\pi\theta\kappa_2} = e^{-i\theta\langle k, \gamma_2 \rangle}, \quad (16)$$

where  $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}$  is the Chern number of the Bloch bundle.

*Proof.* Note that if the Bloch bundle is trivial, then any trivializing frame  $(\varphi_j(k))_{j=1, \dots, m}$  would do the job and  $\alpha \equiv \mathbf{1}_{m \times m}$ . In general, we construct a trivializing frame of the extended Bloch bundle  $\Xi'_{\text{Bl}}$  (see (11)) by using the parallel transport with respect to the Berry connection.

Throughout this proof we use instead of cartesian coordinates the coordinates  $\kappa_j := \frac{\langle k, \gamma_j \rangle}{2\pi}$ , i.e.  $k = \kappa_1 \gamma_1^* + \kappa_2 \gamma_2^*$ . In particular, we identify also  $\gamma^* = (n_1, n_2) \in \Gamma^*$  with  $(n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ .

Let  $\kappa_2 \mapsto (h_1(\kappa_2), \dots, h_m(\kappa_2))$  be a smooth  $\tau_2$ -equivariant orthonormal frame of  $\Xi'_{\text{Bl}}|_{\kappa_1=0}$ , i.e.  $h_j(\kappa_2 - n_2) = \tau((0, n_2)) h_j(\kappa_2)$  and  $(h_1(\kappa_2), \dots, h_m(\kappa_2))$  is an orthonormal basis of  $P_I((0, \kappa_2))\mathcal{H}_f$ . Since every complex vector bundle over the circle is trivial, such a frame always exists. Now we define a global frame of  $E'_{\text{Bl}}$  by parallel transport of  $h$  along the  $\gamma_1^*$ -direction, i.e.

$$\tilde{\varphi}_j(\kappa_1, \kappa_2) := t^B((\kappa_1, \kappa_2), (0, \kappa_2)) h_j(\kappa_2).$$

By Lemma 4.1, the functions  $\tilde{\varphi}_j : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_f$  are smooth and  $(\tilde{\varphi}_1(k), \dots, \tilde{\varphi}_m(k))$  is an orthonormal basis of  $P_I(k)\mathcal{H}_f$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Since  $\tau(\gamma^*) : \text{Ran}P_I(k) \rightarrow \text{Ran}P_I(k + \gamma^*)$  is unitary for all  $k \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , we have that

$$\tilde{\varphi}_j(k - \gamma^*) =: \sum_{i=1}^m \tilde{\alpha}_{ji}(k, \gamma^*) \tau(\gamma^*) \tilde{\varphi}_i(k) \quad (17)$$

with a unitary  $m \times m$ -matrix  $\tilde{\alpha}(k, \gamma^*) = (\tilde{\alpha}_{ji}(k, \gamma^*))_{j,i=1, \dots, m}$ . The  $\tau$ -equivariance of  $h$  implies

$$\tilde{\alpha}((0, \kappa_2), (0, n_2)) = \mathbf{1}_{m \times m} \quad \text{for all } \kappa_2 \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

From the  $\tau$ -equivariance (13) of the parallel transport this implies also

$$\tilde{\alpha}(k, (0, n_2)) = \mathbf{1}_{m \times m} \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ and } n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (18)$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} & t^{\text{B}}((\kappa_1, \kappa_2 - n_2), (0, \kappa_2 - n_2)) \tau((0, n_2)) t^{\text{B}}((0, \kappa_2), (\kappa_1, \kappa_2)) = \\ &= \tau((0, n_2)) t^{\text{B}}((\kappa_1, \kappa_2), (0, \kappa_2)) \tau((0, n_2))^{-1} \tau((0, n_2)) t^{\text{B}}((0, \kappa_2), (\kappa_1, \kappa_2)) \\ &= \tau((0, n_2)). \end{aligned}$$

From the definition (17) it follows that  $\tilde{\alpha}$  satisfies the cocycle condition

$$\tilde{\alpha}(k - \tilde{\gamma}^*, \gamma^*) \tilde{\alpha}(k, \tilde{\gamma}^*) = \tilde{\alpha}(k, \gamma^* + \tilde{\gamma}^*) \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ and } \gamma^*, \tilde{\gamma}^* \in \Gamma^*, \quad (19)$$

which for  $\gamma^* = (0, n_2)$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}^* = (n_1, 0)$  together with (18) implies

$$\tilde{\alpha}(k, (n_1, 0)) = \tilde{\alpha}(k, (n_1, n_2)) \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ and } n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Hence  $\tilde{\alpha}$  does not depend on  $n_2$  and we write  $\tilde{\alpha}(k, n_1)$  in the following. But then the cocycle condition (19) with  $\gamma^* = (n_1, 0)$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}^* = (0, n_2)$  implies

$$\tilde{\alpha}((\kappa_1, \kappa_2 - n_2), n_1) \tilde{\alpha}((\kappa_1, \kappa_2), 0) = \tilde{\alpha}((\kappa_1, \kappa_2), n_1),$$

and thus periodicity of  $\tilde{\alpha}$  as a function of  $\kappa_2$ .

Next we introduce the  $m \times m$ -matrix-valued connection coefficients of the Berry connection as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{ji}^1(k) \\ \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{ji}^2(k) \end{pmatrix} := -\frac{i}{2\pi} \begin{pmatrix} \langle \tilde{\varphi}_i(k), \partial_{\kappa_1} \tilde{\varphi}_j(k) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_f} \\ \langle \tilde{\varphi}_i(k), \partial_{\kappa_2} \tilde{\varphi}_j(k) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_f} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{ji}^2(k) \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{ji}^1(k) = 0$  because the  $\tilde{\varphi}_i$  are parallel along the  $\gamma_1^*$ -direction. From (18) we infer that  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2$  is periodic in the  $\gamma_2^*$ -direction, i.e. that  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2(\kappa_1, \kappa_2 + n_2) = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

If we differentiate both sides of (17) with respect to  $\kappa_\ell$  and then project on  $\tilde{\varphi}_s(k - \gamma^*)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi i \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{js}^\ell(k - \gamma^*) &= \sum_{i=1}^m (\langle \tilde{\varphi}_s(k - \gamma^*), \partial_{\kappa_\ell} \tilde{\alpha}_{ji}(k, n_1) \tau(\gamma^*) \tilde{\varphi}_i(k) + \tilde{\alpha}_{ji}(k, n_1) \tau(\gamma^*) \partial_{\kappa_\ell} \tilde{\varphi}_i(k) \rangle) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \partial_{\kappa_\ell} \tilde{\alpha}_{ji}(k, n_1) \overline{\tilde{\alpha}_{si}(k, n_1)} + 2\pi i \sum_{i,n=1}^m \tilde{\alpha}_{ji}(k, n_1) \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{in}^\ell(k) \overline{\tilde{\alpha}_{sn}(k, n_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{ji}^1(k) = 0$ , the matrix  $\tilde{\alpha}(k, n_1)$  is independent of  $\kappa_1$  and satisfies the linear first order ODE

$$\partial_{\kappa_2} \tilde{\alpha}(\kappa_2, n_1) = 2\pi i \left( \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2(0, \kappa_2) \tilde{\alpha}(\kappa_2, n_1) - \tilde{\alpha}(\kappa_2, n_1) \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2(n_1, \kappa_2) \right). \quad (20)$$

Since  $\tilde{\alpha}(\kappa_2, \cdot) : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^m)$  is a group homomorphism for every  $\kappa_2 \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ , we can put  $\tilde{\alpha}(\kappa_2, n_1) = \alpha(\kappa_2)^{n_1}$  with  $\alpha(\kappa_2) := \tilde{\alpha}(\kappa_2, 1)$ . This proves the statement of the lemma for the case  $m > 1$  by setting  $\varphi := \tilde{\varphi}$ .

For  $m = 1$  we evaluate the solution of (20) in order to obtain an explicit expression for  $\alpha$ ,

$$\tilde{\alpha}(\kappa_2, 1) = \exp \left( 2\pi i \int_0^{\kappa_2} ds \left( \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2(0, s) - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2(1, s) \right) \right).$$

Introducing the curvature of the Berry connection

$$\Omega(k) = \frac{|M^*|}{2\pi} \partial_{\kappa_1} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2(k),$$

by Stokes' theorem we have

$$2\pi \int_0^{\kappa_2} (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2(1, s) - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^2(0, s)) ds = \frac{4\pi^2}{|M^*|} \int_0^{\kappa_2} \int_0^1 \Omega(p, s) dp ds =: \bar{\Omega}(\kappa_2)$$

and thus

$$\tilde{\alpha}(\kappa_2, 1) = e^{-i\bar{\Omega}(\kappa_2)}.$$

To obtain the simpler form claimed in the Lemma, we put

$$\varphi(k) := e^{i\kappa_1(2\pi\kappa_2\theta - \bar{\Omega}(\kappa_2))} \tilde{\varphi}(k),$$

where  $\theta := \frac{\bar{\Omega}(1)}{2\pi}$  is the Chern number of the Bloch bundle. Hence

$$\varphi(k - \gamma^*) = e^{-i2\pi\theta\kappa_2 n_1} \tau(\gamma^*) \varphi(k).$$

□

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let Assumptions 1 and 2 hold with  $A^{(1)} = 0$  and let  $\{E_n(k)\}_{n \in I}$  be an isolated family of Bloch bands. Then there exists a unitary operator  $U_1^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)$  such that*

$$U_1^\varepsilon \Pi_I^\varepsilon U_1^{\varepsilon*} = P_I$$

and  $U_1^\varepsilon = \text{Op}^\tau(u) + \mathcal{O}_0(\varepsilon^\infty)$ , where  $u \asymp \sum_{j \geq 0} \varepsilon^j u_j$  belongs to  $S_\tau^1(\varepsilon, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_t))$  and has the  $\tau$ -equivariant principal symbol  $u_0(k, r) = \sum_{i=1}^m |\varphi_i(k)\rangle \langle \varphi_i(k - A(r))| + u_0^\perp(k, r)$ .

*Proof.* We only need to show that a  $\tau$ -equivariant principal symbol  $u_0(k, r)$  of the form claimed above exists. Then the proof works line by line as the proof of Proposition 5.18 in [Teu03], see also [PST03b]. However, according to Lemma 4.1,

$$u_0(k, r) := t^B(k, k - A(r)) = t^B((\kappa_1, \kappa_2), (\kappa_1 - A_1(r), \kappa_2))$$

is  $\tau$ -equivariant and has the desired form. Here we use the choice of gauge  $\gamma_2 \cdot A(r) = 0$  and write as before  $A(r) = A_1(r)\gamma_1^*$ . Note that at this point we have to assume  $A^{(1)} \equiv 0$ , because otherwise the  $\kappa_2$ -derivatives of  $u_0$  would become unbounded functions of  $r$  and  $u_0 \notin S_\tau^w$  for all order functions  $w$ .

□

**Definition 4.4.** Using the matrix-valued function  $\alpha$  constructed in Proposition 4.2, we define

$$\mathcal{H}_\alpha := \{f \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}^m) \mid f(k - \gamma^*) = \alpha(\kappa_2)^{-n_1} f(k) \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{R}^2, \gamma^* \in \Gamma^*\}$$

with inner product  $\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_\alpha} = \int_{M^*} dk \langle f(k), g(k) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^m}$ .

Using the orthonormal frame  $(\varphi_1(k), \dots, \varphi_m(k))$  constructed in Proposition 4.2, we define the unitary maps

$$U_\alpha(k) : P_I(k)\mathcal{H}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m, \quad f \mapsto (U_\alpha(k)f)_i := \langle \varphi_i(k), f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_f}$$

and

$$U_\alpha : P_I\mathcal{H}_\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\alpha, \quad f \mapsto (U_\alpha f)(k)_i := \langle \varphi_i(k), f(k) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_f}.$$

In the same way that  $P_I\mathcal{H}_\tau$  is the space of  $L^2$ -sections of the Bloch bundle  $\Xi_{\text{Bl}}$ , the space  $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$  is the space of  $L^2$ -sections of a bundle  $\Xi_\alpha$ .

**Definition 4.5.** Let

$$\Xi_\alpha := (\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^m) / \sim_\alpha, \tag{21}$$

where

$$(k, \lambda) \sim_\alpha (k', \lambda') \quad :\Leftrightarrow \quad k' = k - \gamma^* \text{ and } \lambda' = \alpha(\kappa_2)^{-n_1} \lambda \quad \text{for some } \gamma^* = (n_1, n_2) \in \Gamma^*.$$

On sections of  $\Xi_\alpha$  we define the connection  $\nabla^\alpha := U_\alpha \nabla^{\text{B}} U_\alpha^*$ .

It was shown by Panati [Pan07] that even for  $m > 1$  the bundle  $\Xi_\alpha$  is, up to isomorphisms, uniquely determined by its Chern number

$$\theta := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{M^*} \text{tr}(\Omega(k)) dk.$$

However, we use a canonical form for  $\alpha$  only in the case  $m = 1$ , where a canonical choice is (16).

## 5 The effective Hamiltonian as a pseudodifferential operator

Combining the unitary maps  $U_1^\varepsilon : \Pi_I^\varepsilon \mathcal{H}_\tau \rightarrow P_I \mathcal{H}_\tau$  and  $U_\alpha : P_I \mathcal{H}_\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\alpha$  into

$$U^\varepsilon : \Pi_I^\varepsilon \mathcal{H}_\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\alpha, \quad U^\varepsilon := U_\alpha U_1^\varepsilon,$$

we find that the block  $\Pi_I^\varepsilon H_{\text{BF}}^\varepsilon \Pi_I^\varepsilon$  of  $H_{\text{BF}}^\varepsilon$  is unitarily equivalent to the effective Hamiltonian

$$H_I^{\text{eff}} := U^\varepsilon \Pi_I^\varepsilon H_{\text{BF}}^\varepsilon \Pi_I^\varepsilon U^{\varepsilon*}$$

acting on the space  $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$  of  $L^2$ -sections of  $\Xi_\alpha$ . The remaining problem is to compute explicitly an asymptotic expansion of  $H_I^{\text{eff}}$  in powers of  $\varepsilon$ , where the leading order term should be given by Peierls substitution,

$$H_I^{\text{eff}} = E_I(k - A(i\varepsilon \nabla_k^\alpha)) + \Phi(i\varepsilon \nabla_k^\alpha) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$$

with

$$E_I(k)_{ij} = \langle \varphi_i(k), H_{\text{per}}(k) \varphi_j(k) \rangle.$$

Note that  $\nabla^\alpha$  is the only natural connection on sections of  $\Xi_\alpha$ , as the flat connection, used implicitly for Peierls substitution in the non-magnetic case, is not at our disposal. It will be a considerable effort in itself to properly define the pseudodifferential operator  $E_I(k - A(i\varepsilon \nabla_k^\alpha)) + \Phi(i\varepsilon \nabla_k^\alpha)$  as an operator on  $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ .

In the non-magnetic case the problem of expanding  $H_{\text{eff}}$  is much simpler. Then not only the Hamiltonian  $H_{\text{BF}}^\varepsilon = \text{Op}^\tau(H)$  and the projection  $\Pi_I^\varepsilon = \text{Op}^\tau(\pi) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\infty)$  are  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\infty)$ -close to pseudodifferential operators, but also the intertwining unitary  $U^\varepsilon = \text{Op}^\tau(u) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\infty)$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$  contains periodic functions and  $H_I^{\text{eff}}$  is close to a semiclassical pseudodifferential operator  $h_I^{\text{eff}}(k, i\varepsilon \nabla_k)$  with an asymptotic expansion of its symbol computable using the Moyal product,

$$\begin{aligned} H_I^{\text{eff}} &= U^\varepsilon \Pi_I^\varepsilon H_{\text{BF}}^\varepsilon \Pi_I^\varepsilon U^{\varepsilon*} = \text{Op}^\tau(u) \text{Op}^\tau(\pi) \text{Op}^\tau(H) \text{Op}^\tau(\pi) \text{Op}^\tau(u^*) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\infty) \\ &= \text{Op}^\tau(\underbrace{u \sharp \pi \sharp H \sharp \pi \sharp u^*}_{=: h_I^{\text{eff}}}) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\infty). \end{aligned}$$

In our magnetic case, however, we cannot proceed like this. Although the operators  $\Pi_I^\varepsilon$  and  $U_I^\varepsilon$  are again nearly pseudodifferential operators, this is no longer true for  $U_\alpha$ . The symbol for this operator would have to be  $u_\alpha(k, r) = \sum_{i=1}^m |\langle \varphi_i(k), r \rangle|$ , which is in no suitable symbol class because its derivatives of order  $n$  grow like  $|k|^n$ . So we have to deal with the fact that our effective Hamiltonian is of the form

$$H_I^{\text{eff}} = U^\varepsilon \Pi_I^\varepsilon \text{Op}^\tau(H) \Pi_I^\varepsilon U^{\varepsilon*} = U_\alpha P_I \text{Op}^\tau(\mathfrak{h}) P_I U_\alpha^* + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\infty).$$

Our solution is to replace the  $\tau$ -quantized operator  $\text{Op}^\tau(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{h}(k, i\varepsilon \nabla_k^\tau)$  by a ‘‘Berry quantized’’ operator  $\text{Op}^B(h) = h(k, i\varepsilon \nabla_k^B)$  (c.f. (26)) with a modified symbol  $h$ . Because of the unitary equivalence  $\nabla^\alpha = U_\alpha \nabla^B U_\alpha^*$ , one expects and we will show that  $U_\alpha h(k, i\varepsilon \nabla_k^B) U_\alpha^* = h_I^{\text{eff}}(k, i\varepsilon \nabla_k^\alpha)$  with  $h_I^{\text{eff}}(k, r)_{ij} := \langle \varphi_i(k), h(k, r) \varphi_j(k) \rangle$ . We postpone the detailed definitions of the new quantizations and the proofs of their relevant properties to Section 6. In a nutshell the quantization maps are defined as follows.

- For  $h \in S_\tau^1(\varepsilon, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\tau))$  we put  $\text{Op}^B(h) = h(k, i\varepsilon \nabla_k^B)$  acting on  $\mathcal{H}_\tau$
- For  $h \in S_\alpha(\varepsilon, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^m))$  (c.f. Definition 6.11) we put  $\text{Op}^\alpha(h) = h(k, i\varepsilon \nabla_k^\alpha)$  acting on  $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$
- For  $m = 1$  and  $\Gamma^*$ -periodic  $h \in S^1(\varepsilon, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}))$  we put  $\text{Op}^\theta(h) = h(k, i\varepsilon \nabla_k^\theta)$  acting on  $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ , where  $\nabla_k^\theta := \nabla_k + \frac{i\theta}{2\pi} \langle k, \gamma_1 \rangle \gamma_2$ .

The last quantization will only be used for the case  $m = 1$  in order to obtain an explicit expression for  $H_I^{\text{eff}}$ . Note that changing the connection from  $\nabla^\alpha$  to  $\nabla^\theta$  makes the quantization rule independent of  $\varphi_1$ . Moreover,  $\nabla^\theta$  is canonical in the sense that its curvature tensor  $R^\theta(X, Y) = \frac{i\theta|M|}{2\pi} (X_1 Y_2 - X_2 Y_1)$  is constant.

All in all, the steps leading to a representation of the effective Hamiltonian  $H_I^{\text{eff}}$  as a pseudodifferential operator are

$$\begin{aligned} H_I^{\text{eff}} &:= U^\varepsilon \Pi_I^\varepsilon H_{\text{BF}}^\varepsilon \Pi_I^\varepsilon U^{\varepsilon*} = U^\varepsilon \Pi_I^\varepsilon \text{Op}^\tau(H) \Pi_I^\varepsilon U^{\varepsilon*} = U_\alpha P_I \text{Op}^\tau(\mathfrak{h}) P_I U_\alpha^* + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\infty) \\ &= U_\alpha P_I \text{Op}^B(h) P_I U_\alpha^* + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\infty) = \text{Op}^\alpha(h_I^{\text{eff}}) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\infty). \end{aligned}$$

In the following theorem we collect our main results.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let Assumptions 1 and 2 hold with  $A^{(1)} = 0$  and let  $\{E_n(k)\}_{n \in I}$  be an isolated family of Bloch bands. Then there exist an orthogonal projection  $\Pi_I^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)$  and a unitary map  $U^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{L}(\Pi_I^\varepsilon \mathcal{H}_\tau, \mathcal{H}_\alpha)$  such that*

$$\| [H_{\text{BF}}^\varepsilon, \Pi_I^\varepsilon] \|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\infty). \quad (22)$$

and, with  $H_I^{\text{eff}} := U^\varepsilon \Pi_I^\varepsilon H_{\text{BF}}^\varepsilon \Pi_I^\varepsilon U^{\varepsilon*}$ ,

$$\left\| (e^{-iH_{\text{BF}}^\varepsilon t} - U^{\varepsilon*} e^{-iH_I^{\text{eff}} t} U^\varepsilon) \Pi_I^\varepsilon \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\infty |t|). \quad (23)$$

If  $\{E_n(k)\}_{n \in I}$  is strictly isolated with gap  $d_g$  and  $\|\Phi\|_\infty < \frac{1}{2}d_g$ , then the expressions in (22) and (23) vanish exactly.

There is an  $\alpha$ -equivariant symbol  $h_I^{\text{eff}} \in S_\alpha(\varepsilon, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^m))$  such that

$$\| H_I^{\text{eff}} - \text{Op}^\alpha(h_I^{\text{eff}}) \|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\alpha)} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\infty). \quad (24)$$

The asymptotic expansion of the symbol  $h_I^{\text{eff}}$  can be computed, in principle, to any order in  $\varepsilon$ . Its principal symbol is given by

$$h_0(k, r) = E_I(k - A(r)) + \Phi(r) \mathbf{1}_{m \times m},$$

where

$$E_I(k)_{ij} := \langle \varphi_i(k), H_{\text{per}}(k) \varphi_j(k) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon}$$

and  $(\varphi_1(k), \dots, \varphi_m(k))$  is the orthonormal frame of the extended Bloch bundle constructed in Proposition 4.2. Thus, Peierls substitution is the leading order approximation to the restriction of the Hamiltonian to an isolated family of bands,

$$\| H_I^{\text{eff}} - \text{Op}^\alpha(h_0) \|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\alpha)} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon).$$

*Proof.* The projection  $\Pi_I^\varepsilon$  was constructed in Theorem 3.1. The unitary  $U^\varepsilon := U_\alpha U_1^\varepsilon$  is obtained from  $U_1^\varepsilon$  constructed in Corollary 4.3 and  $U_\alpha$  given in Definition 4.4. Statement (23) follows from (22) by standard time-dependent perturbation theory.

Now the operator  $H_0 := U_1^\varepsilon \Pi_I^\varepsilon H_{\text{BF}}^\varepsilon \Pi_I^\varepsilon U_1^{\varepsilon*}$  is, by construction, asymptotic to the  $\tau$ -quantization of the semiclassical symbol  $\mathfrak{h} := u \sharp \pi \sharp H \sharp \pi \sharp u^* \in S_\tau^1(\varepsilon)$  with principal symbol

$$\mathfrak{h}_0(k, r) = \langle \varphi_i(k - A(r)), (H_{\text{per}}(k - A(r)) + \Phi(r)) \varphi_j(k - A(r)) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon} |\varphi_i(k)\rangle \langle \varphi_j(k)|.$$

As sketched before and as to be shown in Corollary 6.9, one can approximate  $\text{Op}^\tau(\mathfrak{h})$  by the Berry-quantization  $\text{Op}^B(h)$  of a modified symbol  $h$  up to an error of order  $\varepsilon^\infty$ . More precisely, in Corollary 6.9 we show that there is a sequence of symbols  $h_n \in S_\tau^1$  with  $h_0 = \mathfrak{h}_0$  such that for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\left\| \sum_{n=0}^N \varepsilon^n \text{Op}^B(h_n) - \text{Op}^\tau(\mathfrak{h}) \right\| = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{N+1}).$$

As we will show in Proposition 6.13, the Berry-quantization transforms in an explicit way under the unitary mapping  $U_\alpha$  to the reference space  $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ . Namely, it holds that  $U_\alpha \text{Op}^{\text{B}}(h_n) U_\alpha^* = \text{Op}^\alpha(h_n^{\text{eff}})$  with

$$(h_n^{\text{eff}})_{ij}(k, r) = \langle \varphi_i(k), h_n(k, r) \varphi_j(k) \rangle.$$

Then (24) holds for any resummation  $h_I^{\text{eff}}$  of the asymptotic series  $\sum \varepsilon^n h_n^{\text{eff}}$ .  $\square$

As stated in the theorem, one can compute order by order the asymptotic expansion of  $h_I^{\text{eff}}$  using the explicit expansions of the symbols  $\pi$  and  $u$  and expanding Moyal products. We now show how to compute the subprincipal symbol  $h_1$  in a special case, and for this we adopt the notation introduced in the proof of Theorem 5.1. According to Corollary 6.9 there are two contributions to  $h_1$ , namely

$$h_1(k, r) = h_{1,c} + \mathfrak{h}_1 := -\frac{i}{2} (\nabla_r \mathfrak{h}_0(k, r) \cdot M(k) + M(k) \cdot \nabla_r \mathfrak{h}_0(k, r)) + \mathfrak{h}_1,$$

where

$$M(k) := [\nabla P_I(k), P_I(k)].$$

While one could compute  $h_1$  also for general isolated families of bands, this is more cumbersome and the result is rather complicated. We therefore specialize to the case  $m = 1$ , i.e. to a single nondegenerate isolated band  $E_n$ . Then

$$\mathfrak{h}_0(k, r) = (E_n(k - A(r)) + \Phi(r)) P_I(k)$$

and using the  $\varphi$  corresponding to (16) we obtain that the Berry connection coefficient  $\mathcal{A}_1(k) = -\frac{i}{2\pi} \langle \varphi_n(k), \partial_{\kappa_1} \varphi_n(k) \rangle$  is a periodic function of  $k_2$  and independent of  $k_1$ . Hence introducing the kinetic momentum  $\tilde{k} := k - A(r)$  and recalling that  $A(r) = A_1(r) \gamma_1^*$ , we have  $\mathcal{A}_1(\tilde{k}) = \mathcal{A}_1(k)$ . Using this and specializing to the case  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^2$  for the moment, one finds for the subprincipal symbol of

$$\mathfrak{h} = u \sharp \pi \sharp H \sharp \pi \sharp u^* = P_I \sharp u \sharp H \sharp u^* \sharp P_I$$

by the same reasoning as in the proof of Corollary 5.12 in [Teu03] the expression

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{h}_1(k, r) &= \left( -\mathcal{A}_1(\tilde{k}) \left( \partial_2 E_n(\tilde{k}) B(r) - \partial_{r_1} E_n(\tilde{k}) \right) \right. \\ &\quad + \left( \mathcal{A}_2(k) - \mathcal{A}_2(\tilde{k}) \right) \left( \partial_2 \Phi(r) - \partial_1 E_n(\tilde{k}) B(r) \right) \\ &\quad \left. + B(r) \text{Re} \left( i \langle \partial_1 \varphi_n(\tilde{k}), (H_{\text{per}} - E_n)(\tilde{k}) \partial_2 \varphi_n(\tilde{k}) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_f} \right) \right) P_I(k) \\ &\quad - \frac{i}{2} \nabla_r \left( E_n(\tilde{k}) + \Phi(r) \right) M(k), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{k} := k - A(r)$  and  $B = \text{curl} A = \partial_2 A_1$ . Using  $P_I(k) \nabla P_I(k) P_I(k) = 0$  the last term in  $\mathfrak{h}_1$  cancels exactly  $h_{1,c}$  in  $h_1$  and we find

$$\begin{aligned} h_1^{\text{eff}}(k, r) &= \langle \varphi_n(k), h_1(k, r) \varphi_n(k) \rangle \\ &= -\mathcal{A}_1(\tilde{k}) \left( \partial_2 E_n(\tilde{k}) B(r) - \partial_{r_1} E_n(\tilde{k}) \right) \\ &\quad + \left( \mathcal{A}_2(k) - \mathcal{A}_2(\tilde{k}) \right) \left( \partial_2 \Phi(r) - \partial_1 E_n(\tilde{k}) B(r) \right) \\ &\quad + B(r) \mathcal{M}(\tilde{k}), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\mathcal{M}(\tilde{k}) := \operatorname{Re} \left( i \langle \partial_1 \varphi_n(\tilde{k}), (H_{\text{per}} - E_n)(\tilde{k}) \partial_2 \varphi_n(\tilde{k}) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_f} \right)$$

the Rammal-Wilkinson term. To get a nicer expression we compute the symbol with respect to the  $\theta$ -quantization. According to Proposition 6.14 we have to add

$$\begin{aligned} & - \left( \mathcal{A}_1(k) \partial_{r_1} h_0^{\text{eff}}(k, r) + \left( \mathcal{A}_2(k) - \frac{\theta k_1}{2\pi} \right) \partial_{r_2} h_0^{\text{eff}}(k, r) \right) \\ & = -\mathcal{A}_1(k) \left( \partial_{r_1} E_n(\tilde{k}) + \partial_1 \Phi(r) \right) - \left( \mathcal{A}_2(k) - \frac{\theta k_1}{2\pi} \right) \left( \partial_2 \Phi(r) - \partial_1 E_n(\tilde{k}) B(r) \right). \end{aligned}$$

In summary we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_1^{\text{eff}, \theta}(k, r) & = -\mathcal{A}_1(\tilde{k}) \left( \partial_1 \Phi(r) + \partial_2 E_n(\tilde{k}) B(r) \right) \\ & \quad - \left( \mathcal{A}_2(\tilde{k}) - \frac{\theta k_1}{2\pi} \right) \left( \partial_2 \Phi(r) - \partial_1 E_n(\tilde{k}) B(r) \right) \\ & \quad + B(r) \mathcal{M}(\tilde{k}), \end{aligned}$$

where we note that the combination  $\left( \mathcal{A}_2(\tilde{k}) - \frac{\theta k_1}{2\pi} \right)$  is a  $\Gamma^*$ -periodic function.

So in summary we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 5.2.** *Let Assumptions 1 and 2 hold with  $A^{(1)} = 0$  and let  $E(k) \equiv E_n(k)$  be an isolated non-degenerate Bloch band. Then there is a  $\Gamma^*$ -periodic symbol  $h^{\text{eff}, \theta} \in S^1(\varepsilon, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}))$  such that for the effective Hamiltonian  $H_n^{\text{eff}} := H_{I=\{n\}}^{\text{eff}}$  from Theorem 5.1 it holds that*

$$\|H_n^{\text{eff}} - \text{Op}^\theta(h^{\text{eff}, \theta})\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\alpha)} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\infty). \quad (25)$$

The asymptotic expansion of the symbol  $h^{\text{eff}, \theta}$  can be computed, in principle, to any order in  $\varepsilon$ . Its principal symbol is given by

$$h_0(k, r) = E(\tilde{k}) + \Phi(r),$$

and its subprincipal symbol by

$$h_1(k, r) = \mathcal{A}(k, r) \cdot \left( B(r) \nabla E(\tilde{k})^\perp - \nabla \Phi(r) \right) + B(r) \mathcal{M}(\tilde{k}),$$

where  $\tilde{k} := k - A(r)$ ,  $\nabla E(\tilde{k})^\perp = (-\partial_2 E(\tilde{k}), \partial_1 E(\tilde{k}))$  and

$$\mathcal{M}(k) = -\operatorname{Im} \left( \langle \partial_1 \varphi(k), (H_{\text{per}} - E)(k) \partial_2 \varphi(k) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_f} \right).$$

The Berry connection coefficient  $\mathcal{A}$  is given by

$$\mathcal{A}(k, r) = \mathcal{A}_1(\tilde{k}) \gamma_1 + \left( \mathcal{A}_2(\tilde{k}) - \frac{\theta}{2\pi} \langle k, \gamma_1 \rangle \right) \gamma_2,$$

where the components  $\mathcal{A}_j$  are computed from the function  $\varphi$  constructed in Proposition 4.2 as

$$\mathcal{A}_j(k) = -\frac{i}{2\pi} \langle \varphi(k), \partial_{\kappa_j} \varphi(k) \rangle := -\frac{i}{2\pi} \langle \varphi(k), \gamma_j^* \cdot \nabla \varphi(k) \rangle.$$

The two terms in the subprincipal symbol have the following physical meaning: Since  $\nabla E_n(k)$  is the velocity of a particle with quasi-momentum  $k$  in the  $n$ th band, the term in brackets is the Lorentz force on the particle. Since the  $\theta$ -quantization takes into account the integrated curvature of the Berry connection of  $2\pi\theta$  per lattice cell of  $\Gamma^*$ , the curvature form of the effective Berry connection coefficient  $\mathcal{A}$  integrates to zero. The second term in  $h_1$  is a correction to the energy known as Rammal-Wilkinson term. For the case  $\theta = 0$  we recover the first order correction to Peierls substitution established in [PST03b].

## 6 Weyl quantization on the Bloch bundle

In this section we construct quantization schemes that map suitable symbols to pseudodifferential operators that act on sections of possibly non-trivial bundles. Our construction is related to and motivated by similar constructions in the literature [Pfl98a, Pfl98b, Saf98, Sha05a, Sha05b, Han10]. As opposed to the case of functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the relation between a pseudodifferential operator acting on sections of a vector bundle and its symbol becomes more subtle. If one defines a corresponding pseudodifferential calculus in local coordinates, like this is for example done in [Hör85], one can associate a symbol to an operator which is unique only up to an error of order  $\varepsilon$ . To define a full symbol, one has to take into account the geometry of the vector bundle. This means that instead of local coordinates, one must use a connection on the vector bundle and a connection on the base space. This idea goes back to Widom [Wid78, Wid80], who was the first to develop a complete isomorphism between such pseudodifferential operators and their symbols. However, while he showed how to recover the full symbol from a pseudodifferential operator and proved that this map is bijective, he did not provide an explicit integral formula for the quantization map. His work was developed further by Pflaum [Pfl98b] and Safarov [Saf98]. In [Pfl98b], the author constructs a quantization map which maps symbols that are sections of endomorphism bundles to operators between the sections of the corresponding bundles. In his quantization formulas he uses a cutoff function so that he can use the exponential map corresponding to a given connection on the manifold that may not be defined globally. A geometric symbol calculus for pseudodifferential operators between sections of vector bundles can also be found in [Sha05a, Sha05b], where the author moreover introduces the notion of a geometric symbol in comparison to a coordinatewise symbol. A semiclassical variant of this calculus can be found in [Han10]. When we compute the symbol  $f$  so that  $\text{Op}^\tau(\mathbf{f}) = \text{Op}^{\text{B}}(f) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\infty)$ , one could say, using the language of [Sha05a, Sha05b], that  $f$  is the geometric symbol with respect to the Berry connection of the operator  $\text{Op}^\tau(\mathbf{f})$ .

While in [Saf98] and [Pfl98a] the authors provide formulas for the Weyl quantization, this is done only for pseudodifferential operators on manifolds and not for operators between sections of vector bundles. Moreover, the authors consider only Hörmander symbol classes, see [Hör85]. In the following we define semiclassical Weyl calculi for more general symbol classes and include the case of bundles with an infinite dimensional Hilbert space as the typical fiber. In addition we prove a Calderon-Vaillancourt type theorem establishing  $L^2$ -boundedness and provide explicit formulas relating the different symbols of an operator corresponding to different quantization maps. However, our constructions are specific to bundles over the torus. Requiring periodicity conditions for symbols and functions allows to project the calculus from the cover  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to the quotient  $\mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$ , an approach already used in [GN98] and [PST03b, Teu03]. A similar approach was also applied in [AOS94], where the authors consider the Bochner Laplacian acting on sections of a line bundle with connection over the torus. In our calculus the Bochner Laplacian  $-\Delta_k$  corresponding to a connection is obtained by quantization of the symbol  $f(k, r) = r^2$  for  $\varepsilon = 1$  using the same connection.

## 6.1 The Berry quantization

The basic idea of the ‘‘Berry quantization’’ is to map multiplication by  $r$  to the covariant derivative  $i\varepsilon\nabla_k^{\text{B}}$ . In contrast to the  $\tau$ -quantization, where  $r$  is mapped to  $i\varepsilon\nabla_k$ , this has two advantages. Since  $i\varepsilon\nabla_k^{\text{B}}$  is a connection on the Bloch bundle, it leaves invariant its space of sections. As a consequence,  $f(k, i\varepsilon\nabla_k^{\text{B}})$  commutes with  $P_I$  if and only if  $f(k, r)$  commutes with  $P_I(k)$  for all  $(k, r) \in M^* \times \mathbb{R}^2$ . Moreover, the connection  $\nabla_k^{\text{B}}$  restricted to sections of the Bloch bundle is unitarily equivalent to the connection  $\nabla_k^\alpha$  on the bundle  $\Xi_\alpha$  via the unitary map  $U_\alpha$ .

As in [PST03b, Teu03], a symbol  $f_\varepsilon \in S^w(\varepsilon, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_f))$  is called  $\tau$ -equivariant (more precisely  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -equivariant) if

$$f_\varepsilon(q - \gamma, p) = \tau_2(\gamma)f_\varepsilon(q, p)\tau_1(\gamma)^{-1} \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

The spaces of  $\tau$ -equivariant symbols are denoted by  $S_\tau^w(\varepsilon, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_f))$ .

Using the parallel transport  $t^{\text{B}}(x, y)$  with respect to the Berry connection introduced in Lemma 4.1, we define the Berry quantization  $\text{Op}_\chi^{\text{B}}(f) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)$  for  $\tau$ -equivariant symbols  $f \in S_\tau^1(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_f))$  as

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Op}_\chi^{\text{B}}(f)\psi)(k) &= \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\varepsilon)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{i(k-y)r}{\varepsilon}} \chi(k-y) t^{\text{B}}\left(k, \frac{k+y}{2}\right) f\left(\frac{k+y}{2}, r\right) t^{\text{B}}\left(\frac{k+y}{2}, y\right) \psi(y) dy \right) dr. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Here, in contrast to the usual Weyl quantization rule, we take into account that  $\psi$  is a section of a vector bundle with connection  $\nabla^{\text{B}}$  and the symbol  $f(\cdot, r)$  is really a section of its endomorphism bundle. So for  $f(\frac{k+y}{2}, r)$  to act on  $\psi(y)$  we first need to map  $\psi(y)$  into the correct fiber of the bundle, which is done by the parallel transport  $t^{\text{B}}(\frac{k+y}{2}, y)$ . However, since the derivatives of  $t^{\text{B}}(x, y)$  are not uniformly bounded, we introduce a cutoff function  $\chi$  in the definition. The choice of this cutoff function has only an effect of order  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\infty)$  on the operator, but it simplifies the following analysis considerably.

**Definition 6.1.** *A function  $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is called a smooth cutoff function if  $\text{supp}\chi$  is compact,  $\chi \equiv 1$  in a neighborhood of 0, and  $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$ .*

Since we need  $\text{Op}_\chi^{\text{B}}(f)$  only for  $f \in S_\tau^1(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_f))$  as an operator on  $\mathcal{H}_\tau$ , we do not follow the usual routine and show that it is well defined on distributions for general symbol classes. We also do not develop a full Moyal calculus for products of such pseudodifferential operators, although this could be done easily with the tools we provide.

For all steps the following simple lemma will be crucial. It states that the cutoff function in the definition of  $\text{Op}_\chi^{\text{B}}(f)$  ensures that all derivatives of the parallel transport in the integral remain bounded uniformly.

**Lemma 6.2.** *There are constants  $c_\alpha$  such that*

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha t^{\text{B}}(x, y)\| \leq c_\alpha \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ with } |x - y| < 1.$$

*Proof.* This follows from the smoothness of  $t^{\text{B}}$  and its  $\tau$ -equivariance (13).  $\square$

Before we prove  $\mathcal{H}_\tau$  boundedness we first show that  $\text{Op}_\chi^{\text{B}}(f)$  is well defined on smooth functions.

**Proposition 6.3.** *Let  $f \in S_\tau^1(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_f))$  and  $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathcal{H}_f) \cap \mathcal{H}_\tau$ . Then  $\text{Op}_\chi^{\text{B}}(f)\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathcal{H}_f) \cap \mathcal{H}_\tau$ .*

*Proof.* First note that because of the cutoff function the  $y$ -integral in (26) extends only over a bounded region. Thus one can use

$$e^{-\frac{iy \cdot r}{\varepsilon}} = \left( \frac{1 - \varepsilon^2 \Delta_y}{1 + r^2} \right)^N e^{-\frac{iy \cdot r}{\varepsilon}}$$

and integration by parts in order to show  $r$ -integrability of the inner integral. Therefore  $(\text{Op}_\chi^{\text{B}}(f)\psi)(k)$  is well defined and its smoothness follows immediately, since by dominated convergence we can differentiate under the integral and still get enough decay in  $r$  by the above trick. The  $\tau$ -equivariance of  $(\text{Op}_\chi^{\text{B}}(f)\psi)(k)$  can be checked directly using the  $\tau$ -equivariance of  $\psi$ ,  $t^{\text{B}}$  and  $f$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 6.4.** *Let  $f \in S_\tau^1(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_f))$ . Then  $\text{Op}_\chi^{\text{B}}(f) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)$  with*

$$\|\text{Op}_\chi^{\text{B}}(f)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} \leq c_\chi \|f\|_{\infty, (4,1)},$$

where the constant  $c_\chi$  depends only on  $\chi$  and

$$\|f\|_{\infty, (4,1)} := \sum_{|\beta| \leq 4, |\beta'| \leq 1} \sup_{k \in M^*, r \in \mathbb{R}^2} \|\partial_k^\beta \partial_r^{\beta'} f(k, r)\|_\infty.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{\chi} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a cutoff function such that  $\text{supp } \tilde{\chi} \subset \{|r| < 1\}$  and  $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \tilde{\chi}_j(r) \equiv 1$ , where  $\tilde{\chi}_j(r) := \tilde{\chi}(r - j)$ , and let  $f_j := \tilde{\chi}_j f$ . If we can show that  $\text{Op}_\chi^{\text{B}}(f_j) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)$  and

$$\sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \|\text{Op}_\chi^{\text{B}}(f_j)^* \text{Op}_\chi^{\text{B}}(f_i)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq M \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \|\text{Op}_\chi^{\text{B}}(f_j) \text{Op}_\chi^{\text{B}}(f_i)^*\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq M, \quad (27)$$

then according to the Cotlar-Stein Lemma, cf. Lemma 7.10 in [DS99], it follows that  $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \text{Op}_\chi^{\text{B}}(f_j)$  converges strongly to a bounded operator  $F \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)$  with  $\|F\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} \leq M$ . However, the following lemma shows that  $F = \text{Op}_\chi^{\text{B}}(f)$ .

**Lemma 6.5.** *Let  $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathcal{H}_f) \cap \mathcal{H}_\tau)$ . Then there is a constant  $C$  such that for all  $f \in S_\tau^1(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_f))$  with  $\text{supp } f \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{|r| > R\}$*

$$\|\text{Op}_\chi^{\text{B}}(f)\psi(k)\|_{\mathcal{H}_f} \leq \frac{C}{R^2} \|f\|_{\infty, (4,0)}.$$

*Proof.* Proceed as in the proof of Proposition 6.3 using

$$e^{-\frac{iy \cdot r}{\varepsilon}} = \frac{\varepsilon^4}{r^4} \Delta_y^2 e^{-\frac{iy \cdot r}{\varepsilon}}$$

instead.  $\square$

Hence on the dense set  $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathcal{H}_f) \cap \mathcal{H}_\tau$  the sequence  $\sum_j \text{Op}_\chi^{\text{B}}(f_j)\psi$  converges uniformly and thus also in the norm of  $\mathcal{H}_\tau$  to  $\text{Op}_\chi^{\text{B}}(f)\psi$ .

So we are left to show (27), which follows immediately once we can show

$$\|\text{Op}_\chi^{\text{B}}(f_j)^* \text{Op}_\chi^{\text{B}}(f_i)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} \leq C(|i-j|+1)^{-4} \|f_i\|_{\infty,(4,1)} \|f_j\|_{\infty,(4,1)} \quad (28)$$

and the analogous second bound for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ . Let  $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}_\tau$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi, (\text{Op}_\chi^{\text{B}}(f_j)^* \text{Op}_\chi^{\text{B}}(f_i) \psi) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_\tau} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\varepsilon)^4} \int_{M^*} dq \int_{\mathbb{R}^8} dy dk dr dr' e^{\frac{ik(r-r')}{\varepsilon}} e^{\frac{i(qr'-yr)}{\varepsilon}} \chi(q-k) \chi(k-y) \\ &\quad \phi^*(q) t^{\text{B}}(k, \frac{q+k}{2}) f_j^*(\frac{q+k}{2}, r') t^{\text{B}}(\frac{q+k}{2}, k) t^{\text{B}}(k, \frac{k+y}{2}) f_i(\frac{k+y}{2}, r) t^{\text{B}}(\frac{k+y}{2}, y) \psi(y). \end{aligned}$$

Because of the cutoff functions the domains of integration for  $k$  and  $y$  are also restricted to compact convex sets  $M^* \subset M_k \subset M_y$  respectively.

For  $|i-j| > 2$ ,  $f_i$  and  $f_j$  have disjoint  $r$ -support and

$$e^{\frac{ik \cdot (r-r')}{\varepsilon}} = \left( \frac{-\varepsilon^2 \Delta_k}{|r-r'|^2} \right)^2 e^{\frac{ik \cdot (r-r')}{\varepsilon}} \quad \text{for } r-r' \neq 0.$$

Now we insert this into the above integral, integrate by parts, take the norm into the integral and obtain for  $|i-j| > 2$

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \phi, \text{Op}_\chi^{\text{B}}(f_j)^* \text{Op}_\chi^{\text{B}}(f_i) \psi \rangle| &\leq \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon^4}{(2\pi\varepsilon)^4} \int_{M^*} dq \int_{M_k} dk \int_{M_y} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} dr dr' \frac{1}{|r-r'|^4} \sum_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_8} |\partial_k^{\beta_1} \chi(q-k)| |\partial_k^{\beta_2} \chi(k-y)| \times \\ &\quad \times \|\phi^*(q)\| \|\partial_k^{\beta_3} t^{\text{B}}(k, \frac{q+k}{2})\| \|\partial_k^{\beta_4} f_j^*(\frac{q+k}{2}, r')\| \|\partial_k^{\beta_5} t^{\text{B}}(\frac{q+k}{2}, k)\| \|\partial_k^{\beta_6} t^{\text{B}}(k, \frac{k+y}{2})\| \times \\ &\quad \times \|\partial_k^{\beta_7} f_i(\frac{k+y}{2}, r)\| \|\partial_k^{\beta_8} t^{\text{B}}(\frac{k+y}{2}, y)\| \|\psi(y)\| \\ &\leq c \|f_j\|_{\infty,4} \|f_i\|_{\infty,4} \sum_{\beta_1, \beta_2} \int_{M^*} dq \int_{M_k} dk \int_{M_y} dy \int_{\text{supp} \tilde{\chi}_i} dr \int_{\text{supp} \tilde{\chi}_j} dr' \\ &\quad \frac{\|\phi(q)\| \|\psi(y)\|}{|r-r'|^4} |\partial_k^{\beta_1} \chi(q-k)| |\partial_k^{\beta_2} \chi(k-y)|. \end{aligned}$$

Here the sum  $\sum_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_8}$  runs over a finite number of multi-indices and we used Lemma 6.2. Moreover we have that because of the  $\tau$ -equivariance

$$\|f_j\|_{\infty,4} := \sum_{|\beta| \leq 4} \sup_{k \in M_y, r \in \mathbb{R}^2} \|\partial_k^\beta f_j(k, r)\| = \sum_{|\beta| \leq 4} \sup_{k \in M^*, r \in \mathbb{R}^2} \|\partial_k^\beta f(k, r)\|.$$

For the remaining integral we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{M^*} dq \int_{M_k} dk \int_{M_y} dy \int_{\text{supp} \tilde{\chi}_i} dr \int_{\text{supp} \tilde{\chi}_j} dr' \frac{\|\phi(q)\| \|\psi(y)\|}{|r-r'|^4} |\partial_k^{\beta_1} \chi(q-k)| |\partial_k^{\beta_2} \chi(k-y)| \\ &\leq \frac{c_2}{(|i-j|-2)^4} \int_{M_k} dk (\|\phi_{M^*}\| * \partial_k^{\beta_1} \chi)(k) (\|\psi_{M_y}\| * \partial_k^{\beta_2} \chi)(k) \\ &\leq \frac{c_2}{(|i-j|-2)^4} \|\phi_{M^*}\|_2 \|\psi_{M_y}\|_2 \|\partial_k^{\beta_1} \chi\|_1 \|\partial_k^{\beta_2} \chi\|_1 \leq \frac{c_3}{(|i-j|-2)^4} \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}_\tau} \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_\tau}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used Cauchy-Schwarz and Young inequalities in the next to last step. Here  $\phi_{M^*}(q) := \phi(q)\mathbf{1}_{M^*}(q)$  and  $\psi_{M_y}(q) := \psi(q)\mathbf{1}_{M_y}(q)$ .

In order to obtain a bound uniform in  $\varepsilon$  on  $\|\text{Op}_\chi^{\text{B}}(f_j)^* \text{Op}_\chi^{\text{B}}(f_i)\|_{\mathcal{H}_\tau}$  for all  $i, j$  directly, observe that one can get the factor  $\frac{\varepsilon^4}{|r-r'|^2|k-y||q-k|}$  from appropriate integrations by parts also in  $r$  and  $r'$  using

$$e^{\frac{i(k-y)\cdot r}{\varepsilon}} = \left( \frac{-i\varepsilon(k-y) \cdot \nabla_r}{|k-y|^2} \right) e^{\frac{i(k-y)\cdot r}{\varepsilon}}.$$

The remaining expression can be bounded as before noting that  $\frac{1}{|r-r'|^2}$  is integrable on  $\mathbb{R}^4$  and that  $\partial_k^\beta \chi(k)/|k|$  is integrable on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . In summary we can conclude (28), which finishes the proof.  $\square$

Next we check that the choice of the cutoff function has only an effect of order  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\infty)$ .

**Proposition 6.6.** *Let  $f \in S_\tau^1(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_f))$  and let  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  be two cutoff functions. Then*

$$\|\text{Op}_{\chi_1}^{\text{B}}(f) - \text{Op}_{\chi_2}^{\text{B}}(f)\| = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\infty).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{\chi} := \chi_1 - \chi_2$ , then  $0 < c \leq |k| \leq C < \infty$  for all  $k \in \text{supp}\bar{\chi}$ . We control the norm of  $\text{Op}_{\bar{\chi}}^{\text{B}}(f) = \text{Op}_{\chi_1}^{\text{B}}(f) - \text{Op}_{\chi_2}^{\text{B}}(f)$  as in the previous proof. So we have to estimate the integrals

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi, \text{Op}_{\bar{\chi}}^{\text{B}}(f_j)^* \text{Op}_{\bar{\chi}}^{\text{B}}(f_i)\psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_\tau} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\varepsilon)^4} \int_{M^*} dq \int_{\mathbb{R}^8} dy dk dr dr' e^{\frac{i(k-y)\cdot r}{\varepsilon}} e^{\frac{i(q-k)\cdot r'}{\varepsilon}} \bar{\chi}(q-k)\bar{\chi}(k-y) \\ &\quad \phi^*(q) t^{\text{B}}(k, \frac{q+k}{2}) f_j^*(\frac{q+k}{2}, r') t^{\text{B}}(\frac{q+k}{2}, k) t^{\text{B}}(k, \frac{k+y}{2}) f_i(\frac{k+y}{2}, r) t^{\text{B}}(\frac{k+y}{2}, y) \psi(y). \end{aligned}$$

Using

$$e^{\frac{i(k-y)\cdot r}{\varepsilon}} = \left( \frac{-\varepsilon^2 \Delta_r}{|k-y|^2} \right)^N e^{\frac{i(k-y)\cdot r}{\varepsilon}} \quad \text{for } k-y \neq 0,$$

we can get any power of  $\varepsilon^2$  by integration by parts and estimating the remaining expression as in the previous proof.  $\square$

In the following we drop the subscript  $\chi$  in  $\text{Op}_\chi^{\text{B}}(f)$  in the notation, whenever the statement is not affected by a change of order  $\varepsilon^\infty$ . Also note that  $\text{Op}^\tau(f) - \text{Op}_\chi^\tau(f) = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^\infty)$  for any cutoff function  $\chi$ .

Next we relate the  $\tau$ - and the Berry quantization by using a Taylor expansion of the parallel transport.

**Lemma 6.7.** *For  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^2$  with  $|\delta| < \delta_0$  small enough, the parallel transport from  $z$  to  $z + \delta$  has a uniformly and absolutely convergent expansion*

$$t^{\text{B}}(z + \delta, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_n^{i_1, \dots, i_n}(z) \delta_{i_1} \cdots \delta_{i_n} := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \{1, 2\}^n} t_n^{i_1, \dots, i_n}(z) \delta_{i_1} \cdots \delta_{i_n},$$

where the coefficients  $t_n^{i_1, \dots, i_n} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_f)$  are real-analytic and  $\tau$ -equivariant. The first terms are explicitly

$$t_0 = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}_f} \quad \text{and} \quad t_1(z) = M(z) := [\nabla P_I(z), P_I(z)].$$

*Proof.* Note that  $t^{\mathbf{B}}(z + \delta, z) = t(1)$  where  $t(s)$  is the solution of

$$\frac{d}{ds}t(s) = [\delta \cdot \nabla P_I(z + s\delta), P_I(z + s\delta)] t(s) =: \delta \cdot M(z + s\delta) t(s) \quad \text{with } t(0) = \mathbf{1}.$$

Since  $\delta \cdot M : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_f)$  is smooth and uniformly bounded, the solution of this linear ODE is given by the uniformly convergent Dyson series,

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\mathbf{B}}(z + \delta, z) - \mathbf{1} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^1 \int_0^{t_1} \cdots \int_0^{t_{n-1}} \delta \cdot M(z + t_1\delta) \cdots \delta \cdot M(z + t_n\delta) dt_n \cdots dt_1 \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^1 \int_0^{t_1} \cdots \int_0^{t_{n-1}} \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{m_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t_1^{m_1} (\delta \cdot \nabla)^{m_1} \delta \cdot M(z)}{m_1!} \cdots \frac{t_n^{m_n} (\delta \cdot \nabla)^{m_n} \delta \cdot M(z)}{m_n!} dt_n \cdots dt_1, \end{aligned}$$

where in the second equality we inserted the uniformly convergent power series for the real-analytic function  $\delta \cdot M$

$$\delta \cdot M(z + t\delta) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^m (\delta \cdot \nabla)^m \delta \cdot M(z)}{m!}.$$

□

**Theorem 6.8.** Let  $f \in S_{\tau}^1(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_f))$  and define for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\mathfrak{f}_n(k, r) := \sum_{\substack{a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ a+b=n}} \frac{(-1)^a}{(2i)^n} t_a^{i_1, \dots, i_a}(k) (\partial_{r_{i_1}} \cdots \partial_{r_{i_a}} \partial_{r_{j_1}} \cdots \partial_{r_{j_b}} f)(k, r) (t_b^{j_1, \dots, j_b}(k))^*.$$

Then  $\mathfrak{f}_n \in S_{\tau}^1(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_f))$  and

$$\left\| \sum_{n=0}^N \varepsilon^n \text{Op}^{\tau}(\mathfrak{f}_n) - \text{Op}^{\mathbf{B}}(f) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\tau})} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{N+1}). \quad (29)$$

The first terms are explicitly  $\mathfrak{f}_0(k, r) = f(k, r)$  and

$$\mathfrak{f}_1(k, r) = \frac{i}{2} (\nabla_r f(k, r) \cdot M(k) + M(k) \cdot \nabla_r f(k, r)),$$

where  $M(k) = [\nabla P_I(k), P_I(k)]$ . Moreover, if  $f$  has compact  $r$ -support, then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^N \varepsilon^n \text{Op}_{\chi}^{\tau}(\mathfrak{f}_n) = \text{Op}_{\chi}^{\mathbf{B}}(f)$$

strongly in  $\mathcal{H}_{\tau}$ .

*Proof.* The idea is to insert the Taylor expansion of  $t^{\mathbf{B}}$  from Lemma 6.7 into the integral in Definition (26). To this end first note that with  $\delta := (k - y)/2$  we have that

$$t^{\mathbf{B}}(k, \frac{k+y}{2}) = t^{\mathbf{B}}(\frac{k+y}{2} + \delta, \frac{k+y}{2}) \quad \text{and} \quad t^{\mathbf{B}}(\frac{k+y}{2}, y) = t^{\mathbf{B}}(\frac{k+y}{2} - \delta, \frac{k+y}{2})^*.$$

Assume that  $f$  has compact  $r$ -support for the moment. Then for  $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_\tau$  we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{Op}_\chi^{\text{B}}(f)\psi)(k) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\varepsilon)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} dydr e^{\frac{i2\delta \cdot r}{\varepsilon}} \chi(k-y) \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} t_a^{i_1, \dots, i_a} \left(\frac{k+y}{2}\right) \delta_{i_1} \cdots \delta_{i_a} f\left(\frac{k+y}{2}, r\right) \times \\
&\quad \sum_{b=0}^{\infty} (-1)^b t_b^{j_1, \dots, j_b} \left(\frac{k+y}{2}\right)^* \delta_{j_1} \cdots \delta_{j_b} \psi(y) \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi\varepsilon)^2} \sum_{a,b=0}^{\infty} (-1)^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} dydr \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2i}\right)^{a+b} \left( \partial_{r_{i_1}} \cdots \partial_{r_{i_a}} \partial_{r_{j_1}} \cdots \partial_{r_{j_b}} e^{\frac{i2\delta \cdot r}{\varepsilon}} \right) \times \\
&\quad \chi(k-y) t_a^{i_1, \dots, i_a} \left(\frac{k+y}{2}\right) f\left(\frac{k+y}{2}, r\right) t_b^{j_1, \dots, j_b} \left(\frac{k+y}{2}\right)^* \psi(y) \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi\varepsilon)^2} \sum_{a,b=0}^{\infty} (-1)^a \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2i}\right)^{a+b} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} dydr e^{\frac{i(k-y) \cdot r}{\varepsilon}} \chi(k-y) t_a^{i_1, \dots, i_a} \left(\frac{k+y}{2}\right) \times \\
&\quad \left( \partial_{r_{i_1}} \cdots \partial_{r_{i_a}} \partial_{r_{j_1}} \cdots \partial_{r_{j_b}} f \right) \left(\frac{k+y}{2}, r\right) t_b^{j_1, \dots, j_b} \left(\frac{k+y}{2}\right)^* \psi(y) \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^n (\text{Op}_\chi^\tau(\mathbf{f}_n)\psi)(k).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used that all sums and integrals converge absolutely and uniformly, so interchanging sums and integrals is no problem. Moreover, by the fact that  $\text{Op}_\chi^{\text{B}}(f)\psi$  is a uniformly bounded and  $\tau$ -equivariant function, the pointwise convergence implies also the strong convergence in  $\mathcal{H}_\tau$ .

In order to estimate  $\Delta_N \psi := \left( \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \varepsilon^n \text{Op}_\chi^\tau(\mathbf{f}_n) - \text{Op}_\chi^{\text{B}}(f) \right) \psi$  in  $\mathcal{H}_\tau$ , we estimate as in the previous proofs  $|\langle \phi, \Delta_N \psi \rangle|$ . Write for the remainder in the Taylor expansion

$$\begin{aligned}
t^{\text{B}}(z + \delta, z) &= \sum_{a=0}^{N-1} t_a^{i_1, \dots, i_a}(z) \delta_{i_1} \cdots \delta_{i_a} + \frac{(\partial_{i_1} \cdots \partial_{i_N} t^{\text{B}})(z + \xi(\delta)\delta, z)}{N!} \delta_{i_1} \cdots \delta_{i_N} \\
&=: \sum_{a=0}^{N-1} t_a^{i_1, \dots, i_a}(z) \delta_{i_1} \cdots \delta_{i_a} + R_N^{i_1, \dots, i_N}(z, \delta) \delta_{i_1} \cdots \delta_{i_N},
\end{aligned}$$

then one term appearing in the estimate of  $|\langle \phi, \Delta_N \psi \rangle|$  is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{(2\pi\varepsilon)^2} \int_{M^*} dk \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} dydr e^{\frac{i2\delta \cdot r}{\varepsilon}} \chi(k-y) \phi^*(k) R_N^{i_1, \dots, i_N} \left(\frac{k+y}{2}, \delta\right) \delta_{i_1} \cdots \delta_{i_N} f\left(\frac{k+y}{2}, r\right) \psi(y) \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi\varepsilon)^2} \left(\frac{-\varepsilon}{2i}\right)^N \int_{M^*} dk \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} dydr e^{\frac{i(k-y) \cdot r}{\varepsilon}} \chi(k-y) \phi^*(k) R_N^{i_1, \dots, i_N} \left(\frac{k+y}{2}, \delta\right) \\
&\quad \left( \partial_{r_{i_1}} \cdots \partial_{r_{i_N}} f \right) \left(\frac{k+y}{2}, r\right) \psi(y).
\end{aligned}$$

Such an expression can be bounded by a constant times  $\varepsilon^N \|\phi\| \|\psi\|$  by obtaining an integrable factor  $\frac{\varepsilon^2}{|r|^{k-y}}$  through additional integration by parts as in the proof of Proposition 6.4. All other terms can be treated similarly and we have shown (29) for  $f$  with compact  $r$ -support.

For the general statement we use again the Cotlar-Stein lemma on the family of almost orthogonal operators  $\Delta_{N,i} := \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \varepsilon^n \text{Op}_\chi^\tau(\mathbf{f}_{n,i}) - \text{Op}_\chi^{\text{B}}(f_i)$ . While this is very lengthy to write

down, the estimates are completely analogous to those of Proposition 6.4 using integration by parts as before.  $\square$

Of course we can reverse the rolls of the two quantizations and obtain the reverse statement.

**Corollary 6.9.** *Let  $\mathfrak{f} \in S_\tau^1(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\mathfrak{f}))$  and define*

$$f_n(k, r) := \sum_{a+b=n} \frac{(-1)^a}{(2i)^n} (t_a^{i_1, \dots, i_a}(k))^* (\partial_{r_{i_1}} \cdots \partial_{r_{i_a}} \partial_{r_{j_1}} \cdots \partial_{r_{j_b}} \mathfrak{f})(k, r) t_b^{j_1, \dots, j_b}(k) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Then  $f_n \in S_\tau^1(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\mathfrak{f}))$  and

$$\left\| \sum_{n=0}^N \varepsilon^n \text{Op}^B(f_n) - \text{Op}^\tau(\mathfrak{f}) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{N+1}).$$

The first terms are explicitly  $f_0(k, r) = \mathfrak{f}(k, r)$  and

$$f_1(k, r) = -\frac{i}{2} (\nabla_r \mathfrak{f}(k, r) \cdot M(k) + M(k) \cdot \nabla_r \mathfrak{f}(k, r)).$$

While we do not use the following proposition explicitly, it sheds some light on the geometric significance of the Berry quantization. It states that  $\text{Op}^B(f)$  commutes with the projection  $P_I$  if and only if the symbol  $f(k, r)$  commutes pointwise with  $P_I(k)$ .

**Proposition 6.10.** *Let  $f \in S_\tau^1(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\mathfrak{f}))$ . Then*

$$[f(k, r), P_I(k)] = 0 \quad \forall (k, r) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad [\text{Op}^B(f), P_I] = 0.$$

*Proof.* It suffices to consider the commutator on the dense set  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathcal{H}_\mathfrak{f}) \cap \mathcal{H}_\tau$ , so we can work with the integral definition (26) of  $\text{Op}^B(f)$ . For  $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathcal{H}_\mathfrak{f}) \cap \mathcal{H}_\tau$  it follows from (12) that

$$\begin{aligned} & ([\text{Op}_\chi^B(f), P_I] \psi)(k) = \\ & \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i(k-y)r} \chi(k-y) t^B(k, \frac{k+y}{2}) [f(\frac{k+y}{2}, \varepsilon r), P_I(\frac{k+y}{2})] t^B(\frac{k+y}{2}, y) \psi(y) dy \right) dr \end{aligned}$$

so the implication from left to right is obvious. To prove the reverse implication in detail is somewhat tedious. Since we don't use it, we only sketch the argument. Assume that  $[f(k, r), P_I(k)] = O(k, r) \neq 0$ . Then  $O \in S_\tau^1(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\mathfrak{f}))$  and one can show that  $\|\text{Op}_\chi^B(O)\| \geq C > 0$  for some  $C$  independent of  $\varepsilon$  by looking at the action of  $\text{Op}_\chi^B(O)$  on suitable coherent states. This implies even the stronger statement

$$[\text{Op}^B(f), P_I] = o(\varepsilon) \quad \Rightarrow \quad [f(k, r), P_I(k)] = 0 \quad \forall (k, r) \in \mathbb{R}^4.$$

$\square$

## 6.2 The $\alpha$ -quantization and the $\theta$ -quantization

The other two quantizations we use are the  $\alpha$ -quantization and the effective quantization. The  $\alpha$ -quantization with respect to the connection  $\nabla^\alpha = U_\alpha \nabla^B U_\alpha^*$  is used to map  $\alpha$ -equivariant symbols in  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^m))$  to operators in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\alpha)$ , c.f. Definition 4.4. For  $m = 1$  it can be replaced by the effective quantization with respect to the explicit connection  $\nabla_k^\theta := \nabla_k + \frac{i\theta}{2\pi} \langle k, \gamma_1 \rangle \gamma_2$ .

In both cases the construction is exactly the same as the one for the Berry quantization, which is to use the parallel transport of the desired connection in the definition of the quantization. Let

$$t^\alpha(x, y) : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m, \quad \lambda \mapsto t^\alpha(x, y)\lambda := U_\alpha(x)t^B(x, y)U_\alpha^*(y)\lambda$$

be the parallel transport along the straight line from  $y$  to  $x$  with respect to the connection  $\nabla^\alpha = U_\alpha \nabla^B U_\alpha^*$ . Then  $\tau$ -equivariance of  $t^B$  implies  $\alpha$ -equivariance of  $t^\alpha$ , i.e.

$$t^\alpha(x - \gamma^*, y - \gamma^*) = \alpha\left(\frac{\langle x, \gamma_2 \rangle}{2\pi}\right)^{-n_1} t^\alpha(x, y) \alpha\left(\frac{\langle y, \gamma_2 \rangle}{2\pi}\right)^{n_1}.$$

For  $m = 1$  we introduce the effective connection  $\nabla_k^\theta = \nabla_k + \frac{i\theta}{2\pi} \langle k, \gamma_1 \rangle \gamma_2$  and the corresponding  $\alpha$ -equivariant parallel transport

$$t^\theta(x, y) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \lambda \mapsto t^\theta(x, y)\lambda := e^{\frac{i\theta}{4\pi} \langle x+y, \gamma_1 \rangle \langle y-x, \gamma_2 \rangle} \lambda.$$

We say that a symbol  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^m))$  is  $\alpha$ -equivariant, if

$$f(k - \gamma^*, r) = \alpha(\kappa_2)^{-n_1} f(k, r) \alpha(\kappa_2)^{n_1} \quad \text{for all } \gamma^* \in \Gamma^*, k, r \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

where we use again the notation  $\kappa_j = \frac{\langle k, \gamma_j \rangle}{2\pi}$ . Note that for  $m = 1$  the  $\alpha$ -equivariant symbols are just the periodic symbols. However, for  $m > 1$  the  $\kappa_2$ -derivatives of an  $\alpha$ -equivariant symbol are in general unbounded as functions of  $\kappa_1$ . Thus we define the space of ‘‘bounded’’ symbols  $S_\alpha(\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^m))$  as follows.

**Definition 6.11.** *Let  $S_\alpha(\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^m))$  be the space of  $\alpha$ -equivariant functions  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^m))$  that satisfy*

$$\sup_{k \in M^*, r \in \mathbb{R}^2} \|(\partial_k^\alpha \partial_r^\beta f)(k, r)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^m)} < \infty \quad \text{for all } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^2.$$

*As always,  $S_\alpha(\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^m))$  is equipped with the corresponding Fréchet metric and  $S_\alpha(\varepsilon, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^m))$  denotes the space of uniformly bounded functions  $f : [0, \varepsilon_0) \rightarrow S_\alpha(\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^m))$ .*

In complete analogy to the Berry quantization we define for  $\alpha$ -equivariant symbols  $f \in S_\alpha(\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^m))$  and  $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$  the  $\alpha$ -quantization by

$$(\text{Op}_\chi^\alpha(f)\psi)(k) := \frac{1}{(2\pi\varepsilon)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{i(k-y)r}{\varepsilon}} \chi(k-y) t^\alpha(k, \frac{k+y}{2}) f(\frac{k+y}{2}, r) t^\alpha(\frac{k+y}{2}, y) \psi(y) dy \right) dr,$$

and for  $m = 1$  the  $\theta$ -quantization by

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Op}_\chi^\theta(f)\psi)(k) &:= \frac{1}{(2\pi\varepsilon)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{i(k-y)r}{\varepsilon}} \chi(k-y) t^\theta(k, \frac{k+y}{2}) f(\frac{k+y}{2}, r) t^\theta(\frac{k+y}{2}, y) \psi(y) dy \right) dr \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\varepsilon)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{i(k-y)r}{\varepsilon}} \chi(k-y) e^{\frac{i\theta}{4\pi} \langle x+y, \gamma_1 \rangle \langle y-x, \gamma_2 \rangle} f(\frac{k+y}{2}, r) \psi(y) dy \right) dr. \end{aligned}$$

Now we can show all results of the previous section in a completely analogous way also for the  $\alpha$ - and the  $\theta$ -quantization.

**Proposition 6.12.** *Let  $f \in S_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^m))$ . Then  $\text{Op}_\chi^\alpha(f) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\alpha)$  with*

$$\|\text{Op}_\chi^\alpha(f)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\alpha)} \leq c_\chi \|f\|_{\infty, (4,1)} := c_\chi \sum_{|\beta| \leq 4, |\beta'| \leq 1} \sup_{k \in M^*, r \in \mathbb{R}^2} \|\partial_k^\beta \partial_r^{\beta'} f(k, r)\|,$$

where the constant  $c_\chi$  depends only on  $\chi$ . For  $m = 1$  the same bound holds for  $\text{Op}_\chi^\theta(f)$ .

**Proposition 6.13.** *Let  $f \in S_r^1(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_f))$  and*

$$f_I(k, r)_{ij} := \langle \varphi_i(k), f(k, r) \varphi_j(k) \rangle.$$

Then  $f_I \in S_\alpha(\mathbb{C}^m)$  and

$$\text{Op}_\chi^\alpha(f_I) = U_\alpha \text{Op}_\chi^B(f) U_\alpha^*.$$

*Proof.* It follows directly from the definitions that  $f_I \in S_\alpha(\mathbb{C}^m)$ . The equality of the operators can be checked on the dense set  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap \mathcal{H}_\alpha$  using their integral definitions and the fact that, again by definition,  $U_\alpha^*(x)t^\alpha(x, y) = t^B(x, y)U_\alpha^*(y)$ .  $\square$

For the case  $m = 1$  we can finally replace the  $\alpha$ - by the  $\theta$ -quantization if we suitably modify the symbol. To this end we introduce the Taylor series of the difference of the parallel transports as

$$t^{\theta*}(k, k + \delta)t^\alpha(k, k + \delta) =: \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_n^{i_1, \dots, i_n}(k) \delta_{i_1} \cdots \delta_{i_n},$$

where

$$t_0(k) \equiv 1 \quad \text{and} \quad t_1(k) = i(\mathcal{A}_1(k)\gamma_1 + (\mathcal{A}_2(k) - \frac{\theta}{2\pi}\langle k, \gamma_1 \rangle)\gamma_2) =: i\mathcal{A}(k).$$

The proof of the following proposition is analogous to the proof of Theorem 6.8. The expressions simplify a bit because for  $m = 1$  the symbol and the parallel transport commute.

**Proposition 6.14.** *Let  $f \in S^1(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{C})$  be a periodic symbol and define for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$*

$$f_n^\theta(k, r) := i^n t_n^{i_1, \dots, i_n}(k) (\partial_{r_{i_1}} \cdots \partial_{r_{i_n}} f)(k, r).$$

Then  $f_n^\theta \in S^1(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{C})$  is periodic and

$$\left\| \sum_{n=0}^N \varepsilon^n \text{Op}^\theta(f_n^\theta) - \text{Op}^\alpha(f) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\alpha)} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{N+1}). \quad (30)$$

The first terms are explicitly  $f_0^\theta(k, r) = f(k, r)$  and

$$f_1^\theta(k, r) = -\mathcal{A}(k) \cdot \nabla_r f(k, r).$$

## 7 Application to the Hofstadter model

In this section we apply the general theory developed in the previous sections to perturbations of magnetic subbands of the Hofstadter Hamiltonian [Hof76]. The motivation for doing this is twofold. First it shows in the simplest possible example how magnetic Peierls substitution Hamiltonians can be explicitly computed and analyzed. Second, we will find strong support for the conjecture that Theorem 5.1 is actually still valid for perturbations by small constant fields  $B$ . Note that the Hofstadter Hamiltonian and related tight binding models served not only as model Hamiltonians for the illustration of general results on perturbed periodic Schrödinger operators, but also gave rise to considerable mathematical work dedicated specifically to them, e.g. [HS89, HS90a, HKS90, BKS91, AJ09]. For a recent overview of the mathematics and the physics literature on the Hofstadter Hamiltonian we refer to [DeN10].

The Hofstadter model is the canonical model for a single non-magnetic Bloch band perturbed by a constant magnetic field  $B_0$ . It can be seen to arise from the tight-binding formalism in physics or, alternatively, from Peierls substitution for a non-magnetic Bloch band. The Hofstadter Hamiltonian is the discrete magnetic Laplacian on the lattice  $\tilde{\Gamma} = \mathbb{Z}^2$ ,

$$H_{\text{Hof}}^{B_0} = D_1 + D_1^* + D_2 + D_2^* \quad \text{acting on} \quad \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2).$$

Here  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are the (dual) magnetic translations

$$(D_1\psi)(x) := \psi(x - e_1) \quad \text{and} \quad (D_2\psi)(x) := e^{iB_0(x, e_1)}\psi(x - e_2).$$

For  $B_0 = 2\pi p/q$  we define the corresponding magnetic Bloch-Floquet transformation on the lattice  $\Gamma = q\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  as

$$\mathcal{U}_{\text{BF}} : \ell^2(\Gamma; \mathbb{C}^q) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T}_q^*; \mathbb{C}^q), \quad (\mathcal{U}_{\text{BF}}\psi)(k)_j := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{i\gamma \cdot k} (T_\gamma \psi)((j, 0)) \quad \text{for } j = 0, \dots, q-1,$$

where we recall that the magnetic translations  $T_\gamma$  were defined in (5). Note that the fiber space  $\mathcal{H}_f = \mathbb{C}^q$  is now finite dimensional and thus we can drop the additional phase  $e^{-ik \cdot y}$  in the definition of  $\mathcal{U}_{\text{BF}}$ , which appeared in (7) to make the domain of  $H_{\text{per}}(k)$  independent of  $k$ . As a consequence, the range of  $\mathcal{U}_{\text{BF}}$  now contains periodic functions on  $\mathbb{T}_q^* = [0, \frac{2\pi}{q}) \times [0, 2\pi)$  and  $\tau$ -equivariance becomes periodicity. A straightforward computation shows that the shift operators  $D_j$  become matrix-multiplication operators  $\hat{D}_j := \mathcal{U}_{\text{BF}} D_j \mathcal{U}_{\text{BF}}^*$ ,

$$\hat{D}_1(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & e^{iqk_1} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{D}_2(k) = e^{ik_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & e^{iB_0} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i2B_0} & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & e^{i(q-1)B_0} \end{pmatrix}.$$




Figure 2: The black and white butterfly [Hof76] showing the spectrum of  $H_{\text{Hof}}^{B_0}$  as a function of  $B_0$ . For rational values  $B_0 = 2\pi\frac{p}{q}$  the spectrum of  $H_{\text{Hof}}^{B_0}$  consists of  $q$  disjoint intervals if  $q$  is odd and of  $q - 1$  disjoint intervals if  $q$  is even.

For the Hamiltonian one thus finds

$$\hat{H}_{\text{Hof}}^{B_0}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \cos(k_2) & 1 & 0 & \dots & e^{ik_1} \\ 1 & 2 \cos(k_2 - B_0) & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \cos(k_2 - 2B_0) & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & & & & 1 \\ e^{-ik_1} & 0 & \dots & 1 & 2 \cos(k_2 - (q-1)B_0) \end{pmatrix},$$

which is indeed  $2\pi/q$ -periodic in  $k_1$  and  $2\pi$ -periodic in  $k_2$ . The spectrum of  $\hat{H}_{\text{Hof}}^{B_0}(k)$  consists of  $q$  distinct eigenvalue bands  $E_n(k)$ ,  $n = 1, \dots, q$ , with periodic spectral projections  $P_n(k)$ , defining the magnetic Bloch bands and Bloch bundles of the Hofstadter model. The spectrum of  $H_{\text{Hof}}^{B_0}$  is the union of the ranges of the functions  $E_n(k)$  and thus consists of  $q$  intervals. As a function of  $B_0$  the spectrum is depicted in the famous Hofstadter butterfly, Figure 2. Note that for  $B_0 \notin 2\pi\mathbb{Q}$  the spectrum of  $H_{\text{Hof}}^{B_0}$  is a Cantor-type set, i.e. a nowhere dense, closed set of Lebesgue measure zero, cf. [AJ09].

Osadchy and Avron [OA01] produced a colored version of the butterfly by coloring the gaps in the spectrum according to the sum of the Chern numbers of the overlying bands, see Figure 3. E.g. for  $B_0 = 2\pi\frac{1}{3}$ , the top and the bottom bands have Chern number 1 each and the middle band has Chern number  $-2$ . Thus the gaps are labeled from top to bottom by 0 (white), 1 (red),  $-1$  (blue), and again 0 (white).

Now we apply the machinery developed in the previous sections to determine Peierls substitution Hamiltonians for magnetic subbands of  $H_{\text{Hof}}^{B_0}$ . Let  $B_0 = 2\pi\frac{p}{q}$ , then  $\hat{H}_{\text{Hof}}^{B_0}(k)$  is a matrix valued function on the torus  $\mathbb{T}_q^* = [0, \frac{2\pi}{q}) \times [0, 2\pi)$ , but its eigenvalue bands have period  $2\pi/q$  in both directions. Hence we can take as a model dispersion relation

$$E_q(k) := 2(\cos(qk_1) + \cos(qk_2)) = e^{iqk_1} + e^{-iqk_1} + e^{iqk_2} + e^{-iqk_2}.$$




Figure 3: The colored butterfly for the Hofstadter Hamiltonian  $H_{\text{Hof}}^{B_0}$ , as first plotted in [OA01]. The colored regions are open components of the resolvent set and the colors encode Chern numbers of overlying Bloch bundles. Physically, the Chern numbers represent the Hall conductivity of a corresponding non-interacting Fermi gas. For fixed  $B_0$ , i.e. in each vertical line, the Chern numbers of the single bands sum up to the total Chern number  $\theta = 0$ , as represented by the white region on bottom of the butterfly.

This is, up to a constant factor, the leading order part in the Fourier expansion of any Bloch band  $E_n(k)$  on  $\mathbb{T}_q^*$ . So we pick an isolated simple subband of  $\hat{H}_{\text{Hof}}^{B_0}(k)$  with Chern number  $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}$  and approximate its dispersion by  $E_q(k)$ . If we now perturb  $B_0$  by an additional “small” constant magnetic field  $B = \text{curl}A(x)$  with  $A(x) = (0, Bx_1)$ , the Peierls substitution Hamiltonian for this subband is given as the  $\theta$ -quantization of  $E_q(k - A(r))$ ,

$$H_{\theta,q}^B := \text{Op}^\theta(E_q(k - A(r))) = e^{iq\mathcal{K}_1} + e^{-iq\mathcal{K}_1} + e^{iq\mathcal{K}_2} + e^{-iq\mathcal{K}_2}$$

with

$$\mathcal{K}_1 = k_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{K}_2 = k_2 - iB\nabla_1^\theta = k_2 - iB\partial_{k_1}$$

acting on

$$\mathcal{H}_\theta = \left\{ f \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \mid f(k_1 - \frac{2\pi}{q}, k_2) = e^{i\theta k_2} f(k_1, k_2), f(k_1, k_2 - 2\pi) = f(k_1, k_2) \right\}.$$

Here  $\nabla_k^\theta = (\partial_{k_1}, \partial_{k_2} + i\frac{q\theta k_1}{2\pi})$  and due to our choice of gauge for the perturbing magnetic field the operator  $H_{\theta,q}^B$  depends on  $\theta$  only through its domain. Note that this gauge is different from the one used in Theorem 5.1 and we use it to simplify the analysis of the resulting operator  $H_{\theta,q}^B$ . However, since Theorem 5.1 does not cover the case of a perturbation by a constant magnetic field anyways, our derivation of  $H_{\theta,q}^B$  is merely heuristic for any choice of gauge.

To determine the spectrum of  $H_{\theta,q}^B$ , it is sufficient to notice that it has the structure

$$U_1 + U_1^* + U_2 + U_2^*$$

with unitary operators  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  that satisfy

$$U_1 U_2 = e^{iq^2 B} U_2 U_1 =: e^{i\alpha} U_2 U_1. \quad (31)$$

The  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{N}_\alpha$  generated by two abstract elements  $\mathfrak{U}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{U}_2$  satisfying (31) is called the non-commutative torus. The mappings

$$\pi_{\theta,q}^B : \mathcal{N}_{q^2 B} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\theta), \quad \mathfrak{U}_j \mapsto e^{iq\mathcal{K}_j}$$

thus define a  $*$ -representation of  $\mathcal{N}_{q^2B}$  into the bounded operators on  $\mathcal{H}_\theta$ . Accordingly, each operator  $H_{\theta,q}^B$  is a representation of the abstract element  $\mathfrak{H}^\alpha = \mathfrak{U}_1 + \mathfrak{U}_1^* + \mathfrak{U}_2 + \mathfrak{U}_2^*$  of  $\mathcal{N}_\alpha$  for  $\alpha = q^2B$ . Since one can show that the representations  $\pi_{\theta,q}^B$  are  $*$ -isomorphisms onto their ranges (see [DeN10, Fre13, ADT15]), this implies that the spectrum of  $H_{\theta,q}^B$  agrees with the spectrum of  $\mathfrak{H}^{q^2B}$ . However, the latter is just the spectrum of  $H_{\text{Hof}}^{q^2B}$ , i.e. it is again given by the black and white Hofstadter butterfly.

In order to associate Chern numbers with the spectral subbands of  $H_{\theta,q}^B$ , we now turn it by a suitable unitary transformation into matrix-multiplication form. Since  $H_{\theta,q}^B$  contains within  $e^{iq\mathcal{K}_2}$  a shift by  $qB$  in the  $k_1$ -direction, this is possible if we assume this shift to be a rational fraction of the width  $\frac{2\pi}{q}$  of the Brillouin zone, i.e.  $qB = \frac{2\pi}{q} \frac{\tilde{p}}{\tilde{q}}$  or  $B = \frac{2\pi}{q^2} \frac{\tilde{p}}{\tilde{q}}$  with  $\tilde{p}$  and  $\tilde{q}$  coprime. To this end we pass from  $\mathcal{H}_\theta$ , i.e. from complex valued functions on the Brillouin zone  $M_q^* = [0, \frac{2\pi}{q}] \times [0, 2\pi)$ , to  $\mathbb{C}^{\tilde{q}}$ -valued functions on the further reduced Brillouin zone  $M_{q,\tilde{q}}^* = [0, \frac{2\pi}{q\tilde{q}}] \times [0, 2\pi)$ . To define the corresponding unitary map  $U^B : \mathcal{H}_\theta \rightarrow L^2(M_{q,\tilde{q}}^*, \mathbb{C}^{\tilde{q}})$ , we let

$$M_j := \left\{ (k_1, k_2) \in M_q^* \mid k_1 \in \left[ (j-1)qB, (j-1)qB + \frac{2\pi}{q\tilde{q}} \right) \right\} \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, \tilde{q}$$

and define

$$(U^B \psi)_j(k) := e^{i\theta k_2 (j-1) \frac{\tilde{p}}{\tilde{q}}} \psi(k_1 + (j-1)qB, k_2) \quad \text{for } k \in M_{q,\tilde{q}}^*.$$

Thus  $(U^B \psi)_j$  is obtained by restricting  $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_\theta$  to the region  $M_j$ , translating it to  $M_{q,\tilde{q}}^* = M_1$  and finally multiplying it by  $e^{i\theta k_2 (j-1) \frac{\tilde{p}}{\tilde{q}}}$ . The last phase turns the translation by  $qB$  in the  $k_1$ -direction on  $\mathcal{H}_\theta$  into the cyclic permutation of components in  $L^2(M_{q,\tilde{q}}^*, \mathbb{C}^{\tilde{q}})$  times a phase. More precisely we have

$$e^{iq\mathcal{K}_1} \psi(k) = e^{iqk_1} \psi(k_1, k_2) \quad \text{and thus} \quad (U^B e^{iq\mathcal{K}_1} \psi)_j(k) = e^{iq(k_1 + (j-1)qB)} \psi_j(k),$$

and

$$e^{iq\mathcal{K}_2} \psi(k) = e^{iqk_2} \psi(k_1 + qB, k_2) \quad \text{and thus} \quad (U^B e^{iq\mathcal{K}_2} \psi)_j(k) = e^{iqk_2} e^{-i\theta k_2 \frac{\tilde{p}}{\tilde{q}}} \psi_{j+1}(k).$$

Hence  $U^B H_{\theta,q}^B U^{B*}$  acts as the matrix-valued multiplication operator

$$H_{\theta,q}^B(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \cos(qk_1) & e^{ik_2(q-\theta\frac{\tilde{p}}{\tilde{q}})} & 0 & \dots & e^{-ik_2(q-\theta\frac{\tilde{p}}{\tilde{q}})} \\ e^{-ik_2(q-\theta\frac{\tilde{p}}{\tilde{q}})} & 2 \cos(q(k_1 + qB)) & e^{ik_2(q-\theta\frac{\tilde{p}}{\tilde{q}})} & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-ik_2(q-\theta\frac{\tilde{p}}{\tilde{q}})} & 2 \cos(q(k_1 + 2qB)) & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & & & & e^{ik_2(q-\theta\frac{\tilde{p}}{\tilde{q}})} \\ e^{ik_2(q-\theta\frac{\tilde{p}}{\tilde{q}})} & 0 & \dots & e^{-ik_2(q-\theta\frac{\tilde{p}}{\tilde{q}})} & 2 \cos(q(k_1 + (\tilde{q}-1)qB)) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (32)$$

Like the Hofstadter matrix  $\hat{H}_{\text{Hof}}^{B_0}(k)$ , also  $H_{\theta,q}^B(k)$  has  $\tilde{q}$  distinct eigenvalue bands  $E_{\theta,q,n}^B(k)$ ,  $n = 1, \dots, \tilde{q}$ . By isospectrality of  $H_{\theta,q}^B$  and  $H_{\text{Hof}}^{q^2B}$  the ranges of these band functions all




Figure 4: The operator  $H_{-2,3}^B$  is up to a constant factor and higher order terms in the Fourier expansion of  $E_2(k)$  the leading order part of the Peierls substitution Hamiltonian for the middle band of  $H_{\text{Hof}}^{B_0}$  for  $B_0 = 2\pi\frac{1}{3}$ . This band has Chern number  $-2$ . As can be seen from the coloring, the Chern numbers of the subbands of  $H_{-2,3}^B$  match for  $\frac{B}{2\pi} \in [0, \frac{1}{9}]$  exactly the Chern numbers of the corresponding subbands of  $H_{\text{Hof}}^{B_0+\tilde{B}}$  with  $\tilde{B} = B\left(1 - \frac{1}{1+\frac{\pi}{3B}}\right) = B(1 + \mathcal{O}(B))$ .

agree. However, as functions they are in general distinct. The corresponding eigenprojections  $P_{\theta,q,n}^B(k)$  define line bundles over the torus  $M_{q,\tilde{q}}^*$  and one can compute their Chern numbers by integrating the curvature of the corresponding Berry connection  $P_{\theta,q,n}^B U^B \nabla_k^\theta U^{B*}$  over the reduced Brillouin zone  $M_{q,\tilde{q}}^*$ . Using a program from [Amr15], we did this numerically for a large number of values for  $\theta$ ,  $q$  and  $B$  and found, that the Chern numbers of the subbands of  $H_{\theta,q}^B(k)$  always match the Chern numbers of the corresponding sub-subbands of the Hofstadter Hamiltonian. To make this more precise, recall that  $H_{\theta,q}^B(k)$  was derived as the Peierls substitution Hamiltonian for a magnetic subband of  $H_{\text{Hof}}^{B_0}$  for  $B_0 = 2\pi\frac{p}{q}$  with Chern number  $\theta$  perturbed by a small additional magnetic field  $B$ . The Chern numbers of the subbands of  $H_{\theta,q}^B(k)$  for  $B = \frac{2\pi}{q^2}\frac{\tilde{p}}{\tilde{q}}$  agree with the Chern numbers of the subbands of  $H_{\text{Hof}}^{B_0+\tilde{B}}$  into which the unperturbed subband of  $H_{\text{Hof}}^{B_0}$  splits. Here

$$\tilde{B} = B \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2\pi}{q\theta B}}\right) = B \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 - \frac{q\tilde{q}}{\theta\tilde{p}}}\right) = B + \mathcal{O}(B^2).$$

The situation is depicted in Figure 4. Note, however, that for drawing the colored butterfly of  $H_{\theta,q}^B$  it is not feasible to compute all Chern numbers numerically by integrating the curvature of the Berry connection. This is because for large denominators  $\tilde{q}$  the matrix  $H_{\theta,q}^B(k)$  and the number of its subbands becomes large. Instead in [Amr15] an algorithm was found, that allows to compute the Chern numbers of  $H_{\theta,q}^B$  in a purely algebraic fashion, similar to the diophantine equations used for labeling the gaps of  $H_{\text{Hof}}^{B_0}$ . Also the code to produce the colored butterfly of  $H_{-2,3}^B$  in Figure 4 is taken from [Amr15] and based on a code originally developed by Daniel Osadchy. This algorithm, the details on the numerics and a much more detailed study of the operator  $H_{\theta,q}^B$  will be presented elsewhere [ADT15]. There we also show how to explicitly incorporate a better approximation to the true dispersion relation of a magnetic subband and the subprincipal symbol as given in Theorem 5.1 into the the Peierls substitution Hamiltonian. Then the agreement in terms of Chern numbers depicted in Figure 4 turns into a

quantitative agreement also of the spectrum. We take these numerical results as an indication, that Theorem 5.1 also holds for perturbations by small constant magnetic fields.

## References

- [Amr15] A. Amr. *Chern numbers in solid state physics*. Diploma thesis, University of Tübingen, 2015.
- [ADT15] A. Amr, G. De Nittis, and S. Teufel. *Twisted Hofstadter Hamiltonians*. In preparation, 2015.
- [AOS94] J. Asch, H. Over, and R. Seiler. *Magnetic Bloch analysis and Bochner Laplacians*. J. Geom. Phys. **13**, 275–288, 1994.
- [AJ09] A. Avila and S. Jitomirskaya. *The ten martini problem*. Annals of Mathematics, 303–342, 2009.
- [Bel88] J. Bellissard.  *$C^*$ -algebras in solid state physics. 2D electrons in a uniform magnetic field*. Volume II of *Operator algebras and applications*, University Press, 1988.
- [BES94] J. Bellissard, A. van Elst, and H. Schulz-Baldes. *The Noncommutative Geometry of the Quantum Hall-Effect*. Journal of Mathematical Physics, 35(10):5373–5451, 1994.
- [BKS91] J. Bellissard, C. Kreft, and R. Seiler. *Analysis of the spectrum of a particle on a triangular lattice with two magnetic fluxes by algebraic and numerical methods*. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General 24(10):2329, 1991.
- [Blo62] E. I. Blount. *Formalisms of band theory*. Solid State Physics 13, Academic Press, New York, 305–373, 1962.
- [Bus87] V. Buslaev. *Semiclassical approximation for equations with periodic coefficients*. Russ. Math. Surveys **42**, 97–125 (1987).
- [DeN10] G. De Nittis. *Hunting colored (quantum) butterflies: a geometric derivation of the TKNN-equations*. PhD thesis, SISSA, Trieste, Italy, 2010. Electronic copy available at: <https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-00674271/document>.
- [DL11] G. De Nittis and M. Lein. *Applications of magnetic  $\Psi$ DO techniques to SAPT*. Rev. Math. Phys., 23:233–260, 2011.
- [DP10] G. De Nittis and G. Panati. *Effective models for conductance in magnetic fields: derivation of Harper and Hofstadter models*. Preprint available at: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1007.4786>, 2010.
- [DGR04] M. Dimassi, J.-C. Guillot, and J. Ralston. *On effective Hamiltonians for adiabatic perturbations of magnetic Schrödinger operators*. Asymptotic Analysis, **40**, 137–146, 2004.

- [DN80a] B. A. Dubrovin and S. P. Novikov. *Ground states in a periodic field. Magnetic Bloch functions and vector bundles.* Soviet Math. Dokl, volume 22, pages 240–244, 1980.
- [DN80b] B. A. Dubrovin and S. P. Novikov. *Ground states of a two-dimensional electron in a periodic field.* Soviet Physics JETP, 52: 511–516, 1980.
- [DS99] M. Dimassi and J. Sjöstrand. *Spectral asymptotics in the semiclassical limit*, volume 268 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge university Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [Fre13] S. Freund. *Effective Hamiltonians for magnetic Bloch bands.* PhD thesis, Universität Tübingen, 2013.
- [GA03a] O. Gat and J. Avron. *Semiclassical Analysis and Magnetization of the Hofstadter Model.* Phys. Rev. Let. **91**, 186801, 2003.
- [GA03b] O. Gat and J. Avron. *Magnetic fingerprints of fractal spectra and the duality of Hofstadter models.* New J. Phys. **44**, 44.1–44.8, 2003.
- [GMS91] C. Gérard, A. Martinez and J. Sjöstrand. *A Mathematical Approach to the Effective Hamiltonian in Perturbed Periodic Problems.* Commun. Math. Phys. **142**, 217–244, 1991.
- [GN98] C. Gérard and F. Nier. *Scattering Theory for the Perturbations of Periodic Schrödinger Operators.* J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 38(4):595–634, 1998.
- [GP95] V. Geyler, and I. Popov. *Group-theoretical analysis of lattice Hamiltonians with a magnetic field.* Physics Letters A **201**, 359–364, 1995.
- [GRT88] J. Guillot, J. Ralston, and E. Trubowitz. *Semiclassical asymptotics in solid state physics.* Commun. Math. Phys. **116**, 401–415, 1988.
- [Han10] S. Hansen *Rayleigh-type surface quasimodes in general linear elasticity.* Analysis & PDE **4**, 461–497, 2011.
- [HKS90] B. Helffer, P. Kerdelhué, and J. Sjöstrand. *Le papillon de Hofstadter revisité.* Mémoires de la Soc. Math. France **43**, 1–87, 1990.
- [HS89] B. Helffer and J. Sjöstrand. *Équation de Schrödinger avec champ magnétique et équation de Harper.* Lecture Notes in Phys. **345**, 118–197, Springer (1989).
- [HS90a] B. Helffer and J. Sjöstrand. *Analyse semiclassique pour l'équation de Harper II.* Mémoires de la Soc. Math. France **40**, 1–139, 1990.
- [HS90b] B. Helffer and J. Sjöstrand. *On diamagnetism and de Haas-van Alphen effect.* Ann. I. Henri Poincaré. Physique Théorique **52**, 303–375, 1990.
- [Hof76] D. R. Hofstadter. *Energy levels and wave functions of Bloch electrons in rational and irrational magnetic fields.* Phys. Rev. B, 14(6):2239–2249, 1976.

- [Hör85] L. Hörmander. *The Analysis of Partial Differential Operators III*. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften. Springer, 1985.
- [HST01] F. Hövermann, H. Spohn, and S. Teufel. *Semiclassical limit for the Schrödinger equation with a short scale periodic potential*. Commun. Math. Phys. **215**, 609–629, 2001.
- [MaSo02] A. Martinez and V. Sordoni. *On the time-dependent Born-Oppenheimer approximation with smooth potential*. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **334** 185–188, 2002
- [Nen91] G. Nenciu. *Dynamics of Band Electrons in Electric and Magnetic-Fields - Rigorous Justification of the Effective-Hamiltonians*. Reviews of Modern Physics **63**, 91–128, 1991.
- [Nen02] G. Nenciu. *On asymptotic perturbation theory for quantum mechanics: almost invariant subspaces and gauge invariant magnetic perturbation theory*. Journal of Mathematical Physics, 43:1273, 2002.
- [NeSo04] G. Nenciu and V. Sordoni. *Semiclassical limit for multistate Klein-Gordon systems: Almost invariant subspaces, and scattering theory*. J. Math. Phys., 45(9):3676–3696, 2004.
- [Nov81] S. P. Novikov. *Magnetic Bloch functions and vector bundles. Typical dispersion laws and their quantum numbers*. Soviet Math. Dokl, volume 23, pages 298–303, 1981.
- [OA01] D. Osadchy and J. E. Avron. *Hofstadter butterfly as quantum phase diagram*. J. Math. Phys. **42**, 5665–5671, 2001.
- [Pan07] G. Panati. *Triviality of Bloch and Bloch-Dirac bundles*. Ann. Henri Poincaré **8**, 995–1011, 2007.
- [PST03a] G. Panati, H. Spohn, and S. Teufel. *Space-adiabatic perturbation theory*. Adv. Theor. Math. Phys., **7**, 145–204, 2003.
- [PST03b] G. Panati, H. Spohn, and S. Teufel. *Effective dynamics for Bloch electrons: Peierls substitution and beyond*. Comm. Math. Phys. **242**, 547–578, 2003.
- [Pei33] R. Peierls. *Zur Theorie des Diamagnetismus von Leitungselektronen*. Z. Phys. **80**, 763–791, 1933.
- [Pfl98a] M. J. Pflaum. *A deformation-theoretical approach to weyl quantization on riemannian manifolds*. Lett. Math. Phys., 45:277–294, 1998.
- [Pfl98b] M. J. Pflaum. *The normal symbol on riemannian manifolds*. New York Journal of Mathematics, 4:97–125, 1998.
- [RB90] R. Rammal, and J. Bellissard. *An algebraic semi-classical approach to Bloch electrons in a magnetic field*. Journal de Physique **51**, 1803–1830, 1990.

- [Saf98] Y. Safarov. *Pseudodifferential operators and linear connections*. Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, 3:97–125, 1998.
- [ST13] H. Schulz-Baldes and S. Teufel. *Orbital polarization and magnetization for independent particles in disordered media*. Commun. Math. Phys. **319**, 649–681, 2013.
- [Sha05a] V. A. Sharafutdinov. *Geometric symbol calculus for pseudodifferential operators. I*. [Translation of Mat. Tr. **7**, 159–206, 2004]. Siberian Adv. Math. **15**, 81–125, 2005.
- [Sha05b] V. A. Sharafutdinov. *Geometric symbol calculus for pseudodifferential operators. II*. [Translation of Mat. Tr. **8**, 176–201, 2005]. Siberian Adv. Math. **15**, 71–95, 2005.
- [Sti11] H.-M. Stiepan. *Adiabatic perturbation theory for Magnetic Bloch Bands*. PhD thesis, Universität Tübingen, 2011.
- [StT13] H.-M. Stiepan and S. Teufel. *Semiclassical approximations for Hamiltonians with operator-valued symbols*. Commun. Math. Phys. **320**, 821–849, 2013.
- [SN99] G. Sundaram and Q. Niu. *Wave-packet dynamics in slowly perturbed crystals, gradient corrections and Berry-phase effects*. Phys. Rev. B, **59**, 14195–14925, 1999.
- [Teu03] S. Teufel. *Adiabatic Perturbation Theory in Quantum Dynamics*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics Vol. **1821**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
- [Teu12] S. Teufel. *Semiclassical approximations for adiabatic slow-fast systems*. Europhysics Letters **98**, 50003, 2012.
- [TKNN82] D. J. Thouless, M. Kohmoto, M. P. Nightingale and M. Den Nijs. *Quantized Hall conductance in a two-dimensional periodic potential*. Phys. Rev. Lett., 49(6):405–408, 1982.
- [Wid78] H. Widom. *Families of pseudodifferential operators*. Topics in Functional Analysis (I. Gohberg and M. Kac, eds.), Academic Press, New York, pp. 345–395, 1978.
- [Wid80] H. Widom. *A complete symbolic calculus for pseudodifferential operators*. Bull. Sci. Math. (2)104, 19–63, 1980.
- [XCN10] D. Xiao, M. C. Chang, Q. Niu, *Berry phase effects on electronic properties*. Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 1959–2007, 2010.
- [Zak68] J. Zak. *Dynamics of electrons in solids in external fields*. Phys. Rev., 168:686–695, 1968.
- [Zak86] J. Zak. *Effective Hamiltonians and magnetic energy bands?* Physics Letters A **117**, 367–371, 1986.
- [Zak91] J. Zak. *Exact symmetry of approximate effective Hamiltonians* Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 2565–2568, 1981.