

Article

## Enhanced sampling in molecular dynamics using metadynamics, replica-exchange, and temperature-acceleration

Cameron Abrams <sup>1,\*</sup> and Giovanni Bussi <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, Drexel University, 3141 Chestnut St., Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, United States 19104

<sup>2</sup> Scuola Internazionale Superiore di Studi Avanzati (SISSA), via Bonomea 265, 34136 Trieste, Italy

\* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; cfa22@drexel.edu; tel +1-215-895-2231

Version August 22, 2018 submitted to *Entropy*. Typeset by *LaTeX* using class file *mdpi.cls*

---

**Abstract:** We review a selection of methods for performing enhanced sampling in molecular dynamics simulations. We consider methods based on collective variable biasing and on tempering, and offer both historical and contemporary perspectives. In collective-variable biasing, we first discuss methods stemming from thermodynamic integration that use mean force biasing, including the adaptive biasing force algorithm and temperature acceleration. We then turn to methods that use bias potentials, including umbrella sampling and metadynamics. We next consider parallel tempering and replica-exchange methods. We conclude with a brief presentation of some combination methods.

**Keywords:** collective variables, free energy, blue-moon sampling, adaptive-biasing force algorithm, temperature-acceleration, umbrella sampling, metadynamics

---

## 11 1. Introduction

12 The purpose of molecular dynamics (MD) is to compute the positions and velocities of a set of  
13 interacting atoms at the present time instant given these quantities one time increment in the past.  
14 Uniform sampling from the discrete trajectories one can generate using MD has long been seen as  
15 synonymous with sampling from a statistical-mechanical ensemble; this just expresses our collective  
16 wish that the ergodic hypothesis holds at finite times. Unfortunately, most MD trajectories are not ergodic  
17 and leave many relevant regions of configuration space unexplored. This stems from the separation of  
18 high-probability “metastable” regions by low-probability “transition” regions and the inherent difficulty  
19 of sampling a  $3N$ -dimensional space by embedding into it a one-dimensional dynamical trajectory.

20 This review concerns a selection of methods to use MD simulation to enhance the sampling of  
21 configuration space. A central concern with any enhanced sampling method is guaranteeing that  
22 the statistical weights of the samples generated are known and correct (or at least correctable) while  
23 simultaneously ensuring that as much of the relevant regions of configuration space are sampled. Because  
24 of the tight relationship between probability and free energy, many of these methods are known as  
25 “free-energy” methods. To be sure, there are a large number of excellent reviews of free-energy methods  
26 in the literature (e.g., [1–5]). The present review is in no way intended to be as comprehensive as  
27 these; as the title indicates, we will mostly focus on enhanced sampling methods of three flavors:  
28 tempering, metadynamics, and temperature-acceleration. Along the way, we will point out important  
29 related methods, but in the interest of brevity we will not spend much time explaining these. The methods  
30 we have chosen to focus on reflect our own preferences to some extent, but they also represent popular  
31 and growing classes of methods that find ever more use in biomolecular simulations and beyond.

32 We divide our review into three main sections. In the first, we discuss enhanced sampling  
33 approaches that rely on *collective variable biasing*. These include the historically important methods  
34 of thermodynamic integration and umbrella sampling, and we pay particular attention to the more recent  
35 approaches of the adaptive-biasing force algorithm, temperature-acceleration, and metadynamics. In  
36 the second section, we discuss approaches based on *tempering*, which is dominated by a discussion  
37 of the parallel tempering/replica exchange approaches. In the third section, we briefly present some  
38 relatively new methods derived from either collective-variable-based or tempering-based approaches, or  
39 their combinations.

## 40 2. Approaches Based on Collective-Variable Biasing

2.1. *Background: Collective Variables and Free Energy* For our purposes, the term “collective variable” or CV refers to any multidimensional function  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  of  $3N$ -dimensional atomic configuration  $\boldsymbol{x} \equiv (x_i | i = 1 \dots 3N)$ . The functions  $\theta_1(\boldsymbol{x}), \theta_2(\boldsymbol{x}), \dots, \theta_M(\boldsymbol{x})$  map configuration  $\boldsymbol{x}$  onto an  $M$ -dimensional CV space  $\boldsymbol{z} \equiv (z_j | j = 1 \dots M)$ , where usually  $M \ll 3N$ . At equilibrium, the probability of observing the system at CV-point  $\boldsymbol{z}$  is the weight of all configurations  $\boldsymbol{x}$  which map to  $\boldsymbol{z}$ :

$$P(\boldsymbol{z}) = \langle \delta[\boldsymbol{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{z}] \rangle, \quad (1)$$

The Dirac delta function picks out only those configurations for which the CV  $\boldsymbol{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x})$  is  $\boldsymbol{z}$ , and  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  denotes averaging its argument over the equilibrium probability distribution of  $\boldsymbol{x}$ . The probability can be expressed as a *free energy*:

$$F(\boldsymbol{z}) = -k_B T \ln \langle \delta[\boldsymbol{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{z}] \rangle. \quad (2)$$

41 Here,  $k_B$  is Boltzmann’s constant and  $T$  is temperature.

42 Local minima in  $F$  are metastable equilibrium states.  $F$  also measures the energetic cost of a  
 43 maximally efficient (i.e., reversible) transition from one region of CV space to another. If, for example,  
 44 we choose a CV space such that two well-separated regions define two important allosteric states of a  
 45 given protein, we could perform a free-energy calculation to estimate the change in free energy required  
 46 to realize the conformational transition. Indeed, the promise of being able to observe with atomic detail  
 47 the transition states along some pathway connecting two distinct states of a biomacromolecule is strong  
 48 motivation for exploring these transitions with CV’s.

49 Given the limitations of standard MD, how does one “discover” such states in a proposed CV space?  
 50 A perfectly ergodic (infinitely long) MD trajectory would visit these minima much more frequently  
 51 than it would the intervening spaces, allowing one to tally how often each point in CV space is visited;  
 52 normalizing this histogram into a probability  $P(\boldsymbol{z})$  would be the most straightforward way to compute  
 53  $F$  via Eq. 2. In all too many actual cases, MD trajectories remain close to only one minimum (the one  
 54 closest to the initial state of the simulation) and only very rarely, if ever, visit others. In the CV sense,  
 55 we therefore speak of standard MD simulations failing to overcome *barriers* in free energy. “Enhanced  
 56 sampling” in this context refers then to methods by which free-energy barriers in a chosen CV space  
 57 are surmounted to allow as broad as possible an extent of CV space to be explored and statistically  
 58 characterized with limited computational resources.

59 In this section, we focus on methods of enhanced sampling of CV’s based on MD simulations that are  
 60 directly biased on those CV’s; that is, we focus on methods in which an investigator must identify the  
 61 CV’s of interest as an input to the calculation. We have chosen to limit discussion to two broad classes  
 62 of biasing: those whose objective is direct computation of the gradient of the free energy ( $\partial F / \partial \boldsymbol{z}$ ) at  
 63 local points throughout CV space, and those in which non-Boltzmann sampling with bias potentials is  
 64 used to force exploration of otherwise hard-to-visit regions of CV space. The canonical methods in these  
 65 two classes are *thermodynamic integration* and *umbrella sampling*, respectively, and a discussion of

66 these two methods sets the stage for discussion of three relatively modern variants: the Adaptive-Biasing  
67 Force Algorithm [6], Temperature-Accelerated MD [7] and Metadynamics [8].

## 68 2.2. Gradient Methods: Blue-Moon Sampling, Adaptive-Biasing Force Algorithm, and Temperature- 69 Accelerated MD

### 70 2.2.1. Overview: Thermodynamic Integration

71 Naively, one way to have an MD system visit a hard-to-reach point  $z$  in CV space is simply to create  
72 a realization of the configuration  $\boldsymbol{x}$  at that point (i.e., such that  $\boldsymbol{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x}) = z$ ). This is an inverse problem,  
73 since the number of degrees of freedom in  $\boldsymbol{x}$  is usually much larger than in  $z$ . One way to perform this  
74 inversion is by introducing external forces that guide the configuration to the desired point from some  
75 easy-to-create initial state; both targeted MD [9] and steered MD [10] are ways to do this. Of course,  
76 one would like MD to explore CV space in the vicinity of  $z$ , so after creating the configuration  $\boldsymbol{x}$ , one  
77 would just let it run. Unfortunately, this would likely result in the system drifting away from  $z$  rather  
78 quickly, and there would be no way from such calculations to estimate the likelihood of observing an  
79 unbiased long MD simulation visit  $z$ . But there is information in the fact that the system drifts away; if  
80 one knows *on average* which direction and how strongly the system would like to move if initialized at  
81  $z$ , this would be a measure of negative gradient of the free energy,  $-(\partial F/\partial z)$ , or the “mean force”. We  
82 have then a glimpse of a three-step method to compute  $F$  (i.e., the statistics of CV’s) over a meaningfully  
83 broad extent of CV space:

- 84 1. visit a select number of local points in that space, and at each one,
- 85 2. compute the mean force, then
3. use numerical integration to reconstruct  $F$  from these local mean forces; formally expressed as

$$F(z) - F(z_0) = \int_{z_0}^z \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial z} \right) dz \quad (3)$$

86 Inspired by Kirkwood’s original suggestion involving switching parameters [11], such an approach is  
87 generally referred to as “thermodynamic integration” or TI. TI allows us to reconstruct the statistical  
88 weights of any point in CV space by accumulating information on the gradients of free energy at selected  
89 points.

### 90 2.2.2. Blue-Moon Sampling

The discussion so far leaves open the correct way to compute the local free-energy gradients. A  
gradient is a local quantity, so a natural choice is to compute it from an MD simulation localized at a  
point in CV space by a constraint. Consider a long MD simulation with a holonomic constraint fixing the  
system at the point  $z$ . Uniform samples from this constrained trajectory  $\boldsymbol{x}(t)$  then represent *an* ensemble  
at fixed  $z$  over which the averaging needed to convert gradients in potential energy to gradients in free  
energy could be done. However, this constrained ensemble has the undesired property that the velocities

$\dot{\theta}(\mathbf{x})$  are zero. This is a bit problematic because virtually none of the samples plucked from a long unconstrained MD simulation (as is implied by Eq. 1), would have  $\dot{\theta} = 0$ , and  $\dot{\theta} = 0$  acts as a set of  $M$  unphysical constraints on the system velocities  $\dot{\mathbf{x}}$ , since  $\dot{\theta}_j = \sum_i (\partial\theta_j/\partial x_i)\dot{x}_i$ . Probably the best-known example of a method to correct for this bias is the so-called “blue-moon” sampling method [12–15] or the constrained ensemble method [16,17]. The essence of the method is a decomposition of free energy gradients into components along the CV gradients and thermal components orthogonal to them:

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial z_j} = \langle \mathbf{b}_j(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla V(\mathbf{x}) - k_B T \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}_j(\mathbf{x}) \rangle_{\theta(\mathbf{x})=z} \quad (4)$$

where  $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\theta(\mathbf{x})=z}$  denotes averaging across samples drawn uniformly from the MD simulation constrained at  $\theta(\mathbf{x}) = z$ , and the  $\mathbf{b}_j(\mathbf{x})$  is the vector field orthogonal to the gradients of every component  $k$  of  $\theta$  for  $k \neq j$ :

$$\mathbf{b}_j(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla \theta_k(\mathbf{x}) = \delta_{jk} \quad (5)$$

91 where  $\delta_{jk}$  is the Kroenecker delta. (For brevity, we have omitted the consideration of holonomic  
 92 constraints other than that on the CV; the reader is referred to the paper by Ciccotti et al. for details [15].)  
 93 The vector fields  $\mathbf{b}_j$  for each  $\theta_j$  can be constructed by orthogonalization. The first term in the angle  
 94 brackets in Eq. 4 implements the chain rule one needs to account for how energy  $V$  changes with  $z$   
 95 through all the ways  $z$  can change with  $\mathbf{x}$ . The second term corrects for the thermal bias imposed by the  
 96 constraint.

97 Although nowhere near exhaustive, below is a listing of common types of problems to which blue-  
 98 moon sampling has been applied with some representative examples:

- 99 1. sampling conformations of small flexible molecules and peptides [18–20]
- 100 2. environmental effects on covalent bond formation/breaking (usually in combination with *ab initio*  
 101 MD) [21–27]
- 102 3. solvation and non-covalent binding of small molecules in solvent [28–32]
- 103 4. protein dimerization [33,34]

### 104 2.2.3. The Adaptive Biasing Force Algorithm

105 The blue-moon approach requires multiple independent constrained MD simulations to cover the  
 106 region of CV space in which one wants internal statistics. The care taken in choosing these quadrature  
 107 points can often dictate the accuracy of the resulting free energy reconstruction. It is therefore sometimes  
 108 advantageous to consider ways to avoid having to choose such points ahead of time, and adaptive  
 109 methods attempt to address this problem. One example is the adaptive-biasing force (ABF) algorithm  
 110 of Darve et al. [6,35] The essence of ABF is two-fold: (1) recognition that external bias forces of the  
 111 form  $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\theta_j (\partial F/\partial z_j)$  for  $j = 1 \dots M$  exactly oppose mean forces and should lead to more uniform  
 112 sampling of CV space, and (2) that these bias forces can be converged upon adaptively during a single  
 113 unconstrained MD simulation.

The first of those two ideas is motivated by the fact that “forces” that keep normal MD simulations effectively confined to free energy minima are mean forces on the collective variables projected onto

the atomic coordinates, and balancing those forces against their exact opposite should allow for thermal motion to take the system out of those minima. The second idea is a bit more subtle; after all, in a running MD simulation with no CV constraints, the constrained ensemble expression for the mean force (Eq. 4) does not directly apply, because a constrained ensemble is not what is being sampled. However, Darve et al. showed how to relate these ensembles so that the samples generated in the MD simulation could be used to build mean forces [35]. Further, they showed using a clever choice of the fields of Eq. 4 an equivalence between (i) the spatial gradients needed to compute forces, and (ii) time-derivatives of the CV's [6]:

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial z_i} = -k_B T \left\langle \frac{d}{dt} \left( M_\theta \frac{d\theta_i}{dt} \right) \right\rangle_{\theta=z} \quad (6)$$

where  $M_\theta$  is the transformed mass matrix given by

$$M_\theta^{-1} = J_\theta M^{-1} J_\theta \quad (7)$$

114 where  $J_\theta$  is the  $M \times 3N$  matrix with elements  $\partial\theta_i/\partial x_j$  ( $i = 1 \dots M, j = 1 \dots 3N$ ), and  $M$  is the diagonal  
 115 matrix of atomic masses. Eq. 7 is the result of a particular choice for the fields  $\mathbf{b}_j(\mathbf{x})$ . This reformulation  
 116 of the instantaneous mean forces computed on-the-fly makes ABF exceptionally easy to implement in  
 117 most modern MD packages. Darve et al. present a clear demonstration of the ABF algorithm in a  
 118 pseudocode [6] that attests to this fact.

119 ABF has found rather wide application in CV-based free energy calculations in recent years. Below is  
 120 a representative sample of some types of problems subjected to ABF calculations in the recent literature:

- 121 1. Peptide backbone angle sampling [36,37];
- 122 2. Nucleoside [38], protein [39] and fullerene [40,41] insertion into a lipid bilayer;
- 123 3. Interactions of small molecules with polymers in water [42,43];
- 124 4. Molecule/ion transport through protein complexes [44–47] and DNA superstructures [48];
- 125 5. Calculation of octanol-water partition coefficients [49,50];
- 126 6. Large-scale protein conformational changes [51];
- 127 7. Protein-nanotube [52] and nanotube-nanotube [53] association.

#### 128 2.2.4. Temperature-Accelerated Molecular Dynamics

Both blue-moon sampling and ABF are based on statistics in the constrained ensemble. However, estimation of mean forces need not only use this ensemble. One can instead relax the constraint and work with a “mollified” version of the free energy:

$$F_\kappa(\mathbf{z}) = -k_B T \ln \langle \delta_\kappa[\boldsymbol{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{z}] \rangle \quad (8)$$

where  $\delta_\kappa$  refers to the Gaussian (or “mollified delta function”):

$$\delta_\kappa = \sqrt{\frac{\beta\kappa}{2\pi}} \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \beta\kappa |\boldsymbol{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{z}|^2 \right], \quad (9)$$

129 where  $\beta$  is just shorthand for  $1/k_B T$ . Since  $\lim_{\beta\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \delta_\kappa = \delta$ , we know that  $\lim_{\beta\kappa \rightarrow \infty} F_\kappa = F$ . One way  
 130 to view this Gaussian is that it “smooths out” the true free energy to a tunable degree; the factor  $1/\sqrt{\beta\kappa}$   
 131 is a length-scale in CV space below which details are smeared.

Because the Gaussian has continuous gradients, it can be used directly in an MD simulation. Suppose we have a CV space  $\theta(\mathbf{x})$ , and we extend our MD system to include variables  $\mathbf{z}$  such that the combined set  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$  obeys the following extended potential:

$$U(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = V(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{j=1}^M \frac{1}{2} \kappa |\theta_j(\mathbf{x}) - z_j|^2 \quad (10)$$

where  $V(\mathbf{x})$  is the interatomic potential, and  $\kappa$  is a constant. Clearly, if we fix  $\mathbf{z}$ , then the resulting free energy is to within an additive constant the mollified free energy of Eq. 8. (The additive constant is related to the prefactor of the mollified delta function and has nothing to do with the number of CV’s.) Further, we can directly express the gradient of this mollified free energy with respect to  $\mathbf{z}$ : [54]

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{z}} F_\kappa = - \langle \kappa [\theta(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{z}] \rangle \quad (11)$$

132 This suggests that, instead of using constrained ensemble MD to accumulate mean forces, we could  
 133 work in the *restrained* ensemble and get very good approximations to the mean force. By “restrained”,  
 134 we refer to the fact that the term giving rise to the mollified delta function in the configurational integral  
 135 is essentially a harmonic restraining potential with a “spring constant”  $\kappa$ . In this restrained-ensemble  
 136 approach, no velocities are held fixed, and the larger we choose  $\kappa$  the more closely we can approximate  
 137 the true free energy. Notice however that large values of  $\kappa$  could lead to numerical instabilities in  
 138 integrating equations of motion, and a balance should be found. (In practice, we have found that for  
 139 CV’s with dimensions of length, values of  $\kappa$  less than about 1,000 kcal/mol/Å<sup>2</sup> can be stably handled,  
 140 and values of around 100 kcal/mol/Å<sup>2</sup> are typically adequate.)

Temperature-accelerated MD (TAMD) [7] takes advantage of the restrained-ensemble approach to directly evolve the variables  $\mathbf{z}$  in such a way to accelerate the sampling of CV space. First, consider how the atomic variables  $\mathbf{x}$  evolve under the extended potential (assuming Langevin dynamics):

$$m_i \ddot{x}_i = - \frac{\partial V(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_i} - \kappa \sum_{j=1}^m [\theta_j(\mathbf{x}) - z_j] \frac{\partial \theta_j(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_i} - \gamma m_i \dot{x}_i + \eta_i(t; \beta) \quad (12)$$

Here,  $m_i$  is the mass of  $x_i$ ,  $\gamma$  is the friction coefficient for the Langevin thermostat, and  $\eta$  is the thermostat white noise satisfying the fluctuation-dissipation theorem at physical temperature  $\beta^{-1}$ :

$$\langle \eta_i(t; \beta) \eta_j(t'; \beta) \rangle = \beta^{-1} \gamma m_i \delta_{ij} \delta(t - t') \quad (13)$$

Key to TAMD is that the  $\mathbf{z}$  are treated as slow variables that evolve according to their own equations of motion, which here we take as diffusive (though other choices are possible [7]):

$$\bar{\gamma} \bar{m}_j \dot{z}_j = \kappa [\theta_j(\mathbf{x}) - z_j] + \xi_j(t; \bar{\beta}). \quad (14)$$

141 Here,  $\bar{\gamma}$  is a fictitious friction,  $\bar{m}_j$  is a mass, and the first term on the right-hand side represents the  
 142 instantaneous force on variable  $z_j$ , and the second term represents thermal noise at the fictitious thermal  
 143 energy  $\bar{\beta}^{-1} \neq \beta^{-1}$ .

The advantage of TAMD is that if (1)  $\bar{\gamma}$  is chosen sufficiently large so as to guarantee that the slow variables indeed evolve slowly relative to the fundamental variables, and (2)  $\kappa$  is sufficiently large such that  $\theta(\mathbf{x}(t)) \approx \mathbf{z}(t)$  at any given time, then the force acting on  $\mathbf{z}$  is approximately equal to minus the gradient of the free energy (Eq. 11) [7]. This is because the MD integration repeatedly samples  $\kappa [\theta(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{z}]$  for an essentially fixed (but actually very slowly moving)  $\mathbf{z}$ , so  $\mathbf{z}$  evolution effectively feels these samples as a mean force. In other words, the dynamics of  $\mathbf{z}(t)$  is effectively

$$\bar{\gamma} \bar{m}_j \dot{z}_j = -\frac{\partial F(\mathbf{z})}{\partial z_j} + \xi_j(t; \bar{\beta}). \quad (15)$$

144 This shows that the  $\mathbf{z}$ -dynamics describes an equilibrium constant-temperature ensemble at *fictitious*  
 145 temperature  $\bar{\beta}^{-1}$  acted on by the “potential”  $F(\mathbf{z})$ , which is the free energy evaluated at the *physical*  
 146 temperature  $\beta^{-1}$ . That is, under TAMD,  $\mathbf{z}$  conforms to a probability distribution of the form  
 147  $\exp[-\bar{\beta}F(\mathbf{z}; \beta)]$ , whereas under normal MD it would conform to  $\exp[-\beta F(\mathbf{z}; \beta)]$ . The all-atom MD  
 148 simulation (at  $\beta$ ) simply serves to approximate the *local gradients* of  $F(\mathbf{z})$ . Sampling is enhanced  
 149 by taking  $\bar{\beta}^{-1} > \beta^{-1}$ , which has the effect of attenuating the ruggedness of  $F$ . TAMD therefore  
 150 can accelerate a trajectory  $\mathbf{z}(t)$  through CV space by increasing the likelihood of visiting points with  
 151 relatively low physical Boltzmann factors. This borrows directly from the main idea of adiabatic  
 152 free-energy dynamics [55] (AFED), in that one deliberately makes some variables hot (to overcome  
 153 barriers) but slow (to keep them adiabatically separated from all other variables). In TAMD, however,  
 154 the use of the mollified free energy means no cumbersome variable transformations are required. (The  
 155 authors of AFED refer to TAMD as “driven”-AFED, or d-AFED [56].) It is also worth mentioning in this  
 156 review that TAMD borrows heavily from an early version of metadynamics [57], which was formulated  
 157 as a way to evolve the auxiliary variables  $\mathbf{z}$  on a mollified free energy. However, unlike metadynamics  
 158 (which we discuss below in Sec. 2.3.3. ), there is no history-dependent bias in TAMD.

159 Unlike TI, ABF, and the methods of umbrella sampling and metadynamics discussed in the next  
 160 section, TAMD is not a method for direct calculation of the free energy. Rather, it is a way to overcome  
 161 free energy barriers in a chosen CV space quickly without visiting irrelevant regions of CV space.  
 162 (However, we discuss briefly a method in Sec. 4.2.2. in which TAMD gradients are used in a spirit  
 163 similar to ABF to reconstruct a free energy.) That is, we consider TAMD a way to efficiently explore  
 164 relevant regions CV space that are practically inaccessible to standard MD simulation. It is also worth  
 165 pointing out that, unlike ABF, TAMD does not operate by opposing the natural gradients in free energy,  
 166 but rather by using them to guide accelerated sampling. ABF can only use forces in locations in CV  
 167 space the trajectory has visited, which means nothing opposes the trajectory going to regions of very  
 168 high free energy. However, under TAMD, an acceleration of  $\bar{\beta}^{-1} = 6$  kcal/mol on the CV’s will greatly  
 169 accelerate transitions over barriers of 6-12 kcal/mol, but will still not (in theory) accelerate excursions  
 170 to regions requiring climbs of hundreds of kcal/mol. TAMD and ABF have in common the ability to  
 171 handle rather high-dimensional CV’s.

172 Although it was presented theoretically in 2006 [7], TAMD was not applied directly to large-scale  
 173 MD until much later [58]. Since then, there has been growing interest in using TAMD in a variety of  
 174 applications requiring enhanced sampling:

- 175 1. TAMD-enhanced flexible fitting of all-atom protein and RNA models into low-resolution electron  
 176 microscopy density maps [59,60];



- 177 2. Large-scale (interdomain) protein conformational sampling [58,61,62];  
 178 3. Loop conformational sampling in proteins [63];  
 179 4. Mapping of diffusion pathways for small molecules in globular proteins [64,65];  
 180 5. Vacancy diffusion [66];  
 181 6. Conformational sampling and packing in dense polymer systems [67].

182 Finally, we mention briefly that TAMD can be used as a quick way to generate trajectories from  
 183 which samples can be drawn for subsequent mean-force estimation for later reconstruction of a  
 184 multidimensional free energy; this is the essence of the single-sweep method [68], which is an efficient  
 185 means of computing multidimensional free energies. Rather than using straight numerical TI, single  
 186 sweep posits the free energy as a basis function expansion and uses standard optimization methods to  
 187 find the expansion coefficients that best reproduce the measured mean forces. Single-sweep has been  
 188 used to map diffusion pathways of CO and H<sub>2</sub>O in myoglobin [64,65].

### 189 2.3. Bias Potential Methods: Umbrella Sampling and Metadynamics

#### 190 2.3.1. Overview: Non-Boltzmann Sampling

191 In the previous section, we considered methods that achieve enhanced sampling by using mean forces:  
 192 in TI, these are integrated to reconstruct a free energy; in ABF, these are built on-the-fly to drive uniform  
 193 CV sampling; and in TAMD, these are used on-the-fly to guide accelerated evolution of CV's. In this  
 194 section, we consider methods that achieve enhanced sampling by means of controlled bias potentials. As  
 195 a class, we refer to these as *non-Boltzmann sampling* methods.

Non-Boltzmann sampling is generally a way to derive statistics on a system whose energetics differ from the energetics used to perform the sampling. Imagine we have an MD system with bare interatomic potential  $V(\mathbf{x})$ , and we add a bias  $\Delta V(\mathbf{x})$  to arrive at a biased total potential:

$$V_b(\mathbf{x}) = V(\mathbf{x}) + \Delta V(\mathbf{x}) \quad (16)$$

The statistics on the CV's on this biased potential are then given as

$$\begin{aligned} P_b(\mathbf{z}) &= \frac{\int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta V_0(\mathbf{x})} e^{-\beta \Delta V(\mathbf{x})} \delta[\boldsymbol{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{z}]}{\int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta V_0(\mathbf{x})} e^{-\beta \Delta V(\mathbf{x})}} \\ &= \frac{\int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta V_0(\mathbf{x})} e^{-\beta \Delta V} \delta[\boldsymbol{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{z}]}{\int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta V_0(\mathbf{x})}} \frac{\int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta V_0(\mathbf{x})}}{\int d\mathbf{x} e^{-\beta V_0(\mathbf{x})} e^{-\beta \Delta V(\mathbf{x})}} \\ &= \frac{\langle e^{-\beta \Delta V(\mathbf{x})} \delta[\boldsymbol{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{z}] \rangle}{\langle e^{-\beta \Delta V(\mathbf{x})} \rangle} \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  denotes ensemble averaging on the unbiased potential  $V(\mathbf{x})$ . Further, if we take the bias potential  $\Delta V$  to be explicitly a function only of the CV's  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ , then it becomes invariant in the averaging of the numerator thanks to the delta function, and we have

$$P_b(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{e^{-\beta\Delta V(\mathbf{z})} \langle \delta[\boldsymbol{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{z}] \rangle}{\langle e^{-\beta\Delta V[\boldsymbol{\theta}(\mathbf{x})]} \rangle} \quad (18)$$

Finally, since the unbiased statistics are  $P(\mathbf{z}) = \langle \delta[\boldsymbol{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{z}] \rangle$ , we arrive at

$$P(\mathbf{z}) = P_b(\mathbf{z}) e^{\beta\Delta V(\mathbf{z})} \langle e^{-\beta\Delta V[\boldsymbol{\theta}(\mathbf{x})]} \rangle \quad (19)$$

Taking samples from an ergodic MD simulation on the biased potential  $V_b$ , Eq. 19 provides the recipe for reconstructing the statistics the CV's *would* present were they generated using the *unbiased* potential  $V$ . However, the probability  $P(\mathbf{z})$  is implicit in this equation, because

$$\langle e^{-\beta\Delta V} \rangle = \int d\mathbf{z} P(\mathbf{z}) e^{-\beta\Delta V[\boldsymbol{\theta}(\mathbf{x})]} \quad (20)$$

196 This is not really a problem, since we can treat  $\langle e^{-\beta\Delta V} \rangle$  as a constant we can get from normalizing  
197  $P_b(\mathbf{z}) e^{\beta\Delta V(\mathbf{z})}$ .

How does one choose  $\Delta V$  so as to enhance the sampling of CV space? Evidently, from the standpoint of non-Boltzmann sampling, the closer the bias potential is to the negative free energy  $-F(\mathbf{z})$ , the more uniform the sampling of CV space will be. To wit: if  $\Delta V[\boldsymbol{\theta}(\mathbf{x})] = -F[\boldsymbol{\theta}(\mathbf{x})]$ , then  $e^{\beta\Delta V(\mathbf{z})} = e^{-\beta F(\mathbf{z})} = P(\mathbf{z})$ , and Eq. 19 can be inverted for  $P_b$  to yield

$$P_b(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{\langle e^{\beta F(\mathbf{z})} \rangle} = \frac{1}{\int d\mathbf{z} P(\mathbf{z}) e^{\beta F(\mathbf{z})}} = \frac{1}{\int d\mathbf{z} e^{-\beta F} e^{\beta F}} = \frac{1}{\int d\mathbf{z}} \quad (21)$$

198 So we see that taking the bias potential to be the negative free energy makes all states  $\mathbf{z}$  in CV space  
199 equiprobable. This is indeed the limit to which ABF strives by applying negative mean forces, for  
200 example [6].

201 We usually do not know the free energy ahead of time; if we did, we would already know the statistics  
202 of CV space and no enhanced sampling would be necessary. Moreover, perfectly uniform sampling of  
203 the entire CV space is usually far from necessary, since most CV spaces have many irrelevant regions  
204 that should be ignored. And in reference to the mean-force methods of the last section, uniform sampling  
205 is likely not necessary to achieve accurate mean force values; how good an estimate of  $\nabla F$  is at some  
206 point  $\mathbf{z}_0$  should not depend on how well we sampled at some other point  $\mathbf{z}_1$ . Yet achieving uniform  
207 sampling is an idealization since, if we do, this means we know the free energy. We now consider two  
208 other biasing methods that aim for this ideal, either in relatively small regions of CV space using fixed  
209 biases, or over broader extents using adaptive biases.

### 210 2.3.2. Umbrella Sampling

Umbrella sampling is the standard way of using non-Boltzmann sampling to overcome free energy barriers. In its debut [69], umbrella sampling used a function  $w(\mathbf{x})$  that weights hard-to-sample configurations, equivalent to adding a bias potential of the form

$$\Delta V(\mathbf{x}) = -k_B T \ln w(\mathbf{x}). \quad (22)$$

211  $w$  is found by trial-and-error such that configurations that are easy to sample on the unbiased potential  
 212 are still easy to sample; that is,  $w$  acts like an “umbrella” covering both the easy- and hard-to-sample  
 213 regions of configuration space. Nearly always,  $w$  is an explicit function of the CV’s,  $w(\mathbf{x}) = W[\boldsymbol{\theta}(\mathbf{x})]$ .

214 Coming up with the umbrella potential that would enable exploration of CV space with a single  
 215 umbrella sampling simulation that takes the system far from its initial point is not straightforward. Akin  
 216 to TI, it is therefore advantageous to combine results from several independent trajectories, each with  
 217 its own umbrella potential that localizes it to a small volume of CV space that overlaps with nearby  
 218 volumes. The most popular way to combine the statistics of such a set of independent umbrella sampling  
 219 runs is the weighted-histogram analysis method (WHAM) [70].

To compute statistics of CV space using WHAM, one first chooses the points in CV space that define the little local neighborhoods, or “windows” to be sampled and chooses the bias potential used to localize the sampling. Not knowing how the free energy changes in CV space makes the first task somewhat challenging, since more densely packed windows are preferred in regions where the free energy changes rapidly; however, since the calculations are independent, more can be added later if needed. A convenient choice for the bias potential is a simple harmonic spring that tethers the trajectory to a reference point  $\mathbf{z}_i$  in CV space:

$$\Delta V_i(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\kappa |\boldsymbol{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{z}_i|^2 \quad (23)$$

220 which means the dynamics of the atomic variables  $\mathbf{x}$  are identical to Eq. 12 at fixed  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{z}_i$ . The points  
 221  $\{\mathbf{z}_i\}$  and the value of  $\kappa$  (which may be point-dependent) must be chosen such that  $\boldsymbol{\theta}[\mathbf{x}(t)]$  from any one  
 222 window’s trajectory makes excursions into the window of each of its nearest neighbors in CV space.

Each window-restrained trajectory is directly histogrammed to yield apparent (i.e., biased) statistics on  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ ; let us call the biased probability in the  $i$ th window  $P_{b,i}(\mathbf{z})$ . Eq. 19 again gives the recipe to reconstruct the unbiased statistics  $P_i(\mathbf{z})$  for  $\mathbf{z}$  in the window of  $\mathbf{z}_i$ :

$$P_i(\mathbf{z}) = P_{b,i}(\mathbf{z}) e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta\kappa|\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{z}_i|^2} \left\langle e^{-\beta\frac{1}{2}\kappa|\boldsymbol{\theta}(\mathbf{x})-\mathbf{z}_i|^2} \right\rangle \quad (24)$$

223 We could use Eq. 24 directly assuming the biased MD trajectory is ergodic, but we know that regions far  
 224 from the reference point will be explored very rarely and thus their free energy would be estimated with  
 225 large uncertainty. This means that, although we can use sampling to compute  $P_{b,i}$  knowing it effectively  
 226 vanishes outside the neighborhood of  $\mathbf{z}_i$ , we cannot use sampling to compute  $\left\langle e^{-\beta\frac{1}{2}\kappa|\boldsymbol{\theta}(\mathbf{x})-\mathbf{z}_i|^2} \right\rangle$ .

227 WHAM solves this problem by renormalizing the probabilities in each window into a single  
 228 composite probability. Where there is overlap among windows, WHAM renormalizes such that the  
 229 statistical variance of the probability is minimal. That is, it treats the factor  $\left\langle e^{-\beta\frac{1}{2}\kappa|\boldsymbol{\theta}(\mathbf{x})-\mathbf{z}_i|^2} \right\rangle$  as an  
 230 undetermined constant  $C_i$  for each window, and solves for specific values such that the composite  
 231 unbiased probability  $P(\mathbf{z})$  is continuous across all overlap regions with minimal statistical error. An  
 232 alternative to WHAM, termed “umbrella integration”, solves the problem of renormalization across  
 233 windows by constructing the composite mean force [71,72].

234 The literature on umbrella sampling is vast (by simulation standards), so we present here a very  
 235 condensed listing of some of its more recent application areas with representative citations:

- 236 1. Small molecule conformational sampling [73–76];
- 237 2. Protein-folding [77–79] and large-scale protein conformational sampling [80–83];

- 238 3. Protein-protein/peptide-peptide interactions [84–92];
- 239 4. DNA conformational changes [93] and DNA-DNA interactions [94–96];
- 240 5. Binding and association free-energies [97–107];
- 241 6. Adsorption on and permeation through lipid bilayers [108–117];
- 242 7. Adsorption onto inorganic surfaces/interfaces [118,119];
- 243 8. Water ionization [120,121];
- 244 9. Phase transitions [122,123];
- 245 10. Enzymatic mechanisms [124–132];
- 246 11. Molecule/ion transport through protein complexes [133–140] and other macromolecules [141,
- 247 142].

### 248 2.3.3. Metadynamics

249 As already mentioned, one of the difficulties of the umbrella sampling method is the choice and  
 250 construction of the bias potential. As we already saw with the relationship among TI, ABF, and TAMd,  
 251 an adaptive method for building a bias potential in a running MD simulation may be advantageous.  
 252 Metadynamics [8,143] represents just such a method.

253 Metadynamics is rooted in the original idea of “local elevation” [144], in which a supplemental bias  
 254 potential is progressively grown in the dihedral space of a molecule to prevent it from remaining in  
 255 one region of configuration space. However, at variance with metadynamics, local elevation does not  
 256 provide any means to reconstruct the unbiased free-energy landscape and as such it is mostly aimed at  
 257 fast generation of plausible conformers.

In metadynamics, configurational variables  $\mathbf{x}$  evolve in response to a biased total potential:

$$V(\mathbf{x}) = V_0(\mathbf{x}) + \Delta V(\mathbf{x}, t) \quad (25)$$

where  $V_0$  is the bare interatomic potential and  $\Delta V(\mathbf{x}, t)$  is a time-dependent bias potential. The key element of metadynamics is that the bias is built as a sum of Gaussian functions centered on the points in CV space already visited:

$$\Delta V[\boldsymbol{\theta}(\mathbf{x}), t] = w \sum_{\substack{t' = \tau_G, 2\tau_G, \dots \\ t' < t}} \exp\left(-\frac{|\boldsymbol{\theta}[\mathbf{x}(t)] - \boldsymbol{\theta}[\mathbf{x}(t')]|^2}{2\delta\boldsymbol{\theta}^2}\right) \quad (26)$$

258 Here,  $w$  is the height of each Gaussian,  $\tau_G$  is the size of the time interval between successive Gaussian  
 259 depositions, and  $\delta\boldsymbol{\theta}$  is the Gaussian width. It has been first empirically [145] then analytically [146]  
 260 demonstrated that in the limit in which the CV evolve according to a Langevin dynamics, the bias indeed  
 261 converges to the negative of the free energy, thus providing an optimal bias to enhance transition events.  
 262 Multiple simulations can also be used to allow for a quicker filling of the free-energy landscape [147].

The difference between the metadynamics estimate of the free energy and the true free energy can be shown to be related to the diffusion coefficient of the collective variables and to the rate at which the bias is grown. A possible way to decrease this error as a simulation progresses is to decrease the growth rate of the bias. Well-tempered metadynamics [148] used an optimized schedule to decrease the deposition rate of bias by modulating the Gaussian height:

$$w = \omega_0 \tau_G e^{-\frac{\Delta V(\theta, t)}{k_B \Delta T}} \quad (27)$$

263 Here,  $\omega_0$  is the initial “deposition rate”, measured Gaussian height per unit time, and  $\Delta T$  is a parameter  
264 that controls the degree to which the biased trajectory makes excursions away from free-energy minima.  
265 It is possible to show that using well-tempered metadynamics the bias does not converge to the negative  
266 of the free-energy but to a fraction of it, thus resulting in sampling the CVs at an effectively higher  
267 temperature  $T + \Delta T$ , where normal metadynamics is recovered for  $\Delta T \rightarrow \infty$ . We notice that other  
268 deposition schedules can be used aimed, e.g., at maximizing the number of round-trips in the CV space  
269 [149]. Importantly, it is possible to recover equilibrium Boltzmann statistics of *unbiased* collective  
270 variables from samples drawn throughout a well-tempered metadynamics trajectory [150]; it does not  
271 seem clear that one can do this from an ABF trajectory. Finally, it is possible to tune the shape of the  
272 Gaussians on the fly using schemes based on the geometric compression of the phase space or on the  
273 variance of the CVs [151].

274 In the well-tempered ensemble, the parameter  $\Delta T$  can be used to tune the size of the explored  
275 region, in a fashion similar to the fictitious temperature in TAMD. So both TAMD and well-tempered  
276 metadynamics can be used to explore *relevant* regions of CV space while surmounting *relevant* free  
277 energy barriers. However, there are important distinctions between the two methods. First, the main  
278 source of error in TAMD rests with how well mean-forces are approximated, and adiabatic separation,  
279 realizable only when the auxiliary variables  $z$  never move, is the only way to guarantee they are perfectly  
280 accurate. In practical application, TAMD never achieves perfect adiabatic separation. In contrast,  
281 because the deposition rate of decreases as a well-tempered trajectory progresses, errors related to poor  
282 adiabatic separation are progressively damped. Second, as already mentioned, TAMD alone cannot  
283 report the free energy, but it also is therefore not practically limited by the dimensionality of CV space;  
284 multicomponent gradients are just as accurately calculated in TAMD as are single-component gradients.  
285 Metadynamics, as a histogram-filling method, must exhaustively sample a finite region around any point  
286 to know the free energy and its gradients are correct, which can sometimes limit its utility.

287 Metadynamics is a powerful method whose popularity continues to grow. In either its original  
288 formulation or in more recent variants, metadynamics has been employed successfully in several fields,  
289 some of which we point out below with some representative examples:

- 290 1. Chemical reactions [57,152];
- 291 2. Peptide backbone angle sampling [153–155];
- 292 3. Protein folding [156–159];
- 293 4. Protein aggregation [160];

- 294 5. Molecular docking [161–163];
- 295 6. Conformational rearrangement of proteins [164];
- 296 7. Crystal structure prediction [165];
- 297 8. Nucleation and crystal growth [166,167];
- 298 9. and proton diffusion [168].

## 299 2.4. Some Comments on Collective Variables

### 300 2.4.1. The Physical Fidelity of CV-Spaces

301 Given a potential  $V(\boldsymbol{x})$ , any multidimensional CV  $\boldsymbol{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x})$  has a mathematically determined free energy  
302  $F(\boldsymbol{z})$ , and in principle the free-energy methods we describe here (and others) can use and/or compute  
303 it. However, this does not guarantee that  $F$  is meaningful, and a poor choice for  $\boldsymbol{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x})$  can render the  
304 results of even the most sophisticated free-energy methods useless for understanding the nature of actual  
305 metastable states and the transitions among them. This puts two major requirements on any CV space:

- 306 1. Metastable states and transition states must be unambiguously identified as *energetically* separate  
307 regions in CV space.
- 308 2. The CV space must not contain hidden barriers.

309 The first of these may seem obvious: CV's are chosen to provide a low-dimensional description of  
310 some important process, say a conformational change or a chemical reaction or a binding event, and  
311 one can't describe a process without being able to discriminate states. However, it is not always easy  
312 to find CV's that do this. Even given representative configurations of two distinct metastable states,  
313 standard MD from these two different initial configurations may sample partially overlapping regions of  
314 CV space, making ambiguous the assignation of an arbitrary configuration to a state. It may be in this  
315 case that the two representative configurations actually belong to the same state, or that if there are two  
316 states, that no matter what CV space is overlaid, the barrier separating them is so small that, on MD  
317 timescales, they can be considered rapidly exchanging substates of some larger state.

318 But a third possibility exists: the two MD simulations mentioned above may in fact represent very  
319 different states. The overlap might just be an artifact of neglecting to include one or more CV's that  
320 are truly necessary to distinguish those states. If there is a significant free energy barrier along this  
321 neglected variable, an MD simulation will not cross it, yet may still sample regions in CV space also  
322 sampled by an MD simulation launched from the other side of this hidden barrier. And it is even worse:  
323 if TI or umbrella sampling is used along a pathway in CV space that neglects an important variable, the  
324 free-energy barriers along that pathway might be totally meaningless.

325 Hidden barriers can be a significant problem in CV-based free-energy calculations. Generally  
326 speaking, one only learns of a hidden barrier after postulating its existence and testing it with a  
327 new calculation. Detecting them is not straightforward and often involves a good deal of CV space

328 exploration. Methods such as TAMD and well-tempered metadynamics offer this capability, but  
329 much more work could be done in the automated detection of hidden barriers and the “right” CV’s  
330 (e.g., [169–171]).

331 An obvious way of reducing the likelihood of hidden barriers is to use increase the dimensionality of  
332 CV space. TAMD is well-suited to this because it is a gradient method, but standard metadynamics,  
333 because it is a histogram-filling method, is not. A recent variant of metadynamics termed  
334 “reconnaissance metadynamics” [172] does have the capability of handling high-dimensional CV spaces.  
335 In reconnaissance metadynamics, bias potential kernels are deposited at the CV space points identified  
336 as centers of clusters detected and measured by an on-the-fly clusterization scheme. These kernels are  
337 hyperspherically symmetric but grow as cluster sizes grow and are able to push a system out of a CV  
338 space basin to discover other basins. As such, reconnaissance metadynamics is an automated way of  
339 identifying free-energy minima in high-dimensional CV spaces. It has been applied the identification  
340 of configurations of small clusters of molecules [173] and identification of protein-ligand binding  
341 poses [162].

#### 342 2.4.2. Some Common and Emerging Types of CV’s

343 There are very few “best practices” codified for choosing CV’s for any given system. Most CV’s  
344 are developed ad hoc based on the processes that investigators would like to study, for instance,  
345 center-of-mass distance between two molecules for studying binding/unbinding, or torsion angles  
346 for studying conformational changes, or number of contacts for studying order-disorder transitions.  
347 Cartesian coordinates of centers of mass of groups of atoms are also often used as CV’s, as are functions  
348 of these coordinates.

349 The potential energy  $V(\boldsymbol{x})$  is also an example of a 1-D CV, and there have been several examples of  
350 using it in CV-based enhanced sampling methods, such as umbrella sampling [174], metadynamics [175]  
351 well-tempered metadynamics [176]. In a recent work based on steered MD, it has been shown that also  
352 relevant reductions of the potential energy (e.g. the electrostatic interaction free-energy) can be used as  
353 effective CV’s [177]. The basic rationale for enhanced sampling of  $V$  is that states with higher potential  
354 energy often correspond to transition states, and one need make no assumptions about precise physical  
355 mechanisms. Key to its successful use as a CV, as it is for any CV, is a proper accounting for its entropy;  
356 i.e., the classical density-of-states.

357 Coarse-graining of particle positions onto Eulerian fields was used early on in enhanced sam-  
358 pling [178]; here, the value of the field at any Cartesian point is a CV, and the entire field represents  
359 a very high-dimensional CV. This idea has been put to use recently in the “indirect umbrella sampling”  
360 method of Patel et al. [179] for computing free energies of solvation, and string method (Sec. 4.2.1. )  
361 calculations of lipid bilayer fusion [180]. In a similar vein, there have been recent attempts at variables  
362 designed to count the recurrency of groups of atoms positioned according to given templates, such as  
363  $\alpha$ -helices paired  $\beta$ -strands in proteins [181].

We finally mention the possibility of building collective variables based on set of frames which might  
be available from experimental data or generated by means of previous MD simulations. Some of these  
variables are based on the idea of computing the distances between the present configuration and a set  
of precomputed snapshots. These distances, here indicated with  $d_i$ , where  $i$  is the index of the snapshot,

are then combined to obtain a coarse representation of the present configuration, which is then used as a CV. As an example, one might combine the distances as

$$s = \frac{\sum_i e^{-\lambda d_i}}{\sum_i e^{-\lambda d_i}} \quad (28)$$

If the parameter  $\lambda$  is properly chosen, this function returns a continuous interpolation between the indexes of the snapshots which are closer to the present conformation. If the snapshots are disposed along a putative path connecting two experimental structures, this CV can be used as a path CV to monitor and bias the progression along the path [182]. A nice feature of path CVs is that it is straightforward to also monitor the distance from the putative path. The standard way to do it is by looking at the distance from the closest reference snapshot, which can be approximately computed with the following continuous function:

$$z = -\lambda^{-1} \log \sum_i e^{-\lambda d_i} \quad (29)$$

364 This approach, modified to use internal coordinates, was used recently by Zinovjev et al. to study  
365 the aqueous phase reaction of pyruvate to salicylate, and in the CO bond-breaking/proton transfer in  
366 PchB [183].

A generalization to multidimensional paths (i.e. sheets) can be obtained by assigning a generic vector  $v_i$  to each of the precomputed snapshot and computing its average [184]:

$$s = \frac{\sum_i e^{-\lambda d_i} v_i}{\sum_i e^{-\lambda d_i}} \quad (30)$$



### 367 3. Tempering Approaches

368 “Tempering” refers to a class of methods based on increasing the temperature of an MD system to  
 369 overcome barriers. Tempering relies on the fact that according to the Arrhenius law the rate at which  
 370 activated (barrier-crossing) events happen is strongly dependent on the temperature. Thus, an annealing  
 371 procedure where the system is first heated and then cooled allows one to produce quickly samples which  
 372 are largely uncorrelated. The root of all these ideas indeed lies in the simulated annealing procedure  
 373 [185], a well-known method successfully used in many optimization problems.

#### 374 3.1. Simulated tempering

375 Simulated annealing is a form of Markov-chain Monte Carlo sampling where the temperature is  
 376 artificially modified during the simulation. In particular, sampling is initially done at a temperature  
 377 high enough that the simulation can easily overcome high free-energy barriers. Then, the temperature is  
 378 decreased as the simulation proceeds, thus smoothly bringing the simulation to a local energy minimum.  
 379 In simulated annealing, a critical parameter is the cooling speed. Indeed, the probability to reach the  
 380 global minimum grows as this speed is decreased.

The search for the global minimum can be interpreted in the same way as sampling an energy landscape at zero temperature. One could thus imagine to use simulated annealing to generate conformations at, e.g., room temperature by slowly cooling conformations starting at high temperature. However, the resulting ensemble will strongly depend on the cooling speed, thus possibly providing a biased result. A better approach consists of the so-called simulated tempering methods [186]. Here, a discrete list of temperatures  $T_i$ , with  $i \in 1 \dots N$  are chosen *a priori*, typically spanning a range going from the physical temperature of interest to a temperature which is high enough to overcome all relevant free energy barriers. (Note that we do not have to stipulate a CV-space in which those barriers live.) Then, the index  $i$ , which indicates at which temperature the system should be simulated, is evolved with time. Two kind of moves are possible: (a) normal evolution of the system at fixed temperature, which can be done with a usual Markov Chain Monte Carlo or molecular dynamics and (b) change of the index  $i$  at fixed atomic coordinates. It is easy to show that the latter can be performed as a Monte Carlo step with acceptance equal to

$$\alpha = \min \left( 1, \frac{Z_j}{Z_i} e^{-\frac{U(x)}{k_B T_j} + \frac{U(x)}{k_B T_i}} \right) \quad (31)$$

381 where  $i$  and  $j$  are the indexes corresponding to the present temperature and the new one. The weights  
 382  $Z_i$  should be chosen so as to sample equivalently all the value of  $i$ . It must be noticed that also within  
 383 molecular dynamics simulations only the potential energy usually appears in the acceptance. This is due  
 384 to the fact that the velocities are typically scaled by a factor  $\sqrt{\frac{T_j}{T_i}}$  upon acceptance. This scaling leads to  
 385 a cancellation of the contribution to the acceptance coming from the kinetic energy. Ultimately, this is  
 386 related to the fact that the ensemble of velocities is analytically known *a priori*, such that it is possible  
 387 to adapt the velocities to the new temperature instantaneously.

388 Estimating these weights  $Z_i$  is nontrivial and typically requires a preliminary step. Moreover, if this  
 389 estimate is poor the system could spend no time at the physical temperature, thus spoiling the result.  
 390 Iterative algorithms for adjusting these weights have been proposed (see e.g. [187]). We also observe

391 that since the temperature sets the typical value of the potential energy, an effect much similar to that of  
 392 simulated tempering with adaptive weights can be obtained by performing a metadynamics simulation  
 393 using the potential energy as a CV (Sec. 2.4.2. ).

3.2. *Parallel tempering* A smart way to alleviate the issue of finding the correct weights is that of  
 simulating several replicas at the same time [188,189]. Rather than changing the temperature of a single  
 system, the defining move proposal in parallel tempering consists of a coordinate swap between two  
*T*-replicas with acceptance probability

$$\alpha = \min \left( 1, e^{\left( \frac{1}{k_B T_j} - \frac{1}{k_B T_i} \right) [U(\mathbf{x}_i) - U(\mathbf{x}_j)]} \right) \quad (32)$$

394 This method is the root of a class of techniques collectively known as “replica exchange” methods, and  
 395 the latter name is often used as a synonym of parallel tempering. Notably, within this framework  
 396 it is not necessary to precompute a set of weights. Indeed, the equal time spent by each replica at  
 397 each temperature is enforced by the constraint that only pairwise swaps are allowed. Moreover, parallel  
 398 tempering has an additional advantage: since the replicas are weakly coupled and only interact when  
 399 exchanges are attempted, they can be simulated on different computers without the need of a very fast  
 400 interconnection (provided, of course, that a single replica is small enough to run on a single node).

401 The calculation of the acceptance is very cheap as it is based on the potential energy which is often  
 402 computed alongside force evaluation. Thus, one could in theory exploit also a large number of virtual,  
 403 rejected exchanges so as to enhance statistical sampling [190,191]. Since efficiency of parallel tempering  
 404 simulation can deteriorate if the stride between subsequent exchanges is too large [192,193], a typical  
 405 recipe is to choose this stride as small as possible, with the only limitation of avoiding extra costs due to  
 406 replica synchronization. One can push this idea further and implement asynchronous versions of parallel  
 407 tempering, where overhead related to exchanges is minimized [193,194]. One should be however aware  
 408 that, especially at high exchange rate, artifacts coming from e.g. the use of wrong thermostating schemes  
 409 could spoil the results [195,196].

410 Parallel tempering is popular in simulations of protein conformational sampling [197,198], protein  
 411 folding [189,199–203] and aggregation [204,205], due at least in part to the fact that one need not choose  
 412 CV’s to use it, and CV’s for describing these processes are not always straightforward to determine.

### 413 3.3. *Generalized replica exchange*

The difference between the replicas is not restricted to be a change in temperature. Any control  
 parameter can be changed, and even the expression of the Hamiltonian can be modified [206]. In the  
 most general case every replica is simulated at a different temperature (and or pressure) and a different  
 Hamiltonian, and the acceptance reads

$$\alpha = \min \left( 1, \frac{e^{-\left( \frac{U_i(\mathbf{x}_j)}{k_B T_i} + \frac{U_j(\mathbf{x}_i)}{k_B T_j} \right)}}{e^{-\left( \frac{U_i(\mathbf{x}_i)}{k_B T_i} + \frac{U_j(\mathbf{x}_j)}{k_B T_j} \right)}} \right) \quad (33)$$

414 Several recipes for choosing the modified Hamiltonian have been proposed in the literature [207–219].  
415 Among these, a notable idea is that of solute tempering [208,217] which is used for the simulation  
416 of solvated biomolecules. Here, only the Hamiltonian of the solute is modified. More precisely, one  
417 could notice that a scaling of the Hamiltonian by a factor  $\lambda$  is completely equivalent to a scaling of the  
418 temperature by a factor  $\lambda^{-1}$ . Hamiltonian scaling however can take advantage of the fact that the total  
419 energy of the system is an extensive property. Thus, one can limit the scaling to the portion of the system  
420 which is considered to be interesting and which has the relevant bottlenecks. With solute tempering, the  
421 solute energy is scaled whereas the solvent energy is left unchanged. This is equivalent to keeping the  
422 solute at a high effective temperature and the solvent at the physical temperature. Since in the simulation  
423 of solvated molecules most of the atoms belong to the solvent, this turns in a much smaller modification  
424 to the explored ensemble when compared with parallel tempering. In spite of this, the effect on the solute  
425 resemble much that of increasing the physical temperature.

426 A sometimes-overlooked subtlety in solute tempering is the choice for the treatment of solvent-solute  
427 interactions. Indeed, whereas solute-solute interactions are scaled with a factor  $\lambda < 1$  and  
428 solvent-solvent interactions are not scaled, any intermediate choice (scaling factor between  $\lambda$  and 1)  
429 could intuitively make sense for solvent-solute coupling. In the original formulation, the authors used a  
430 factor  $(1 + \lambda)/2$  for the solute-solvent interaction. This choice however was later shown to be suboptimal  
431 [217,220], and refined to be  $\sqrt{\lambda}$ . This latter choice appears to be more physically sound, since it allows  
432 one to just simulate the biased replicas with a modified force-field. Indeed, if one scales the charges of  
433 the solute by a factor  $\sqrt{\lambda}$ , electrostatic interactions are changed by a factor  $\lambda$  for solute-solute coupling  
434 and  $\sqrt{\lambda}$  for solute-solvent coupling. The same is true for Lennard-Jones terms, albeit in this case it  
435 depends on the specific combination rules used. Notably, the same rules for scaling were used in a  
436 previous work [209]. As a final remark, we point out that solute tempering can be also used in a serial  
437 manner *a là* simulated tempering, in a simulated solute tempering scheme [221].

### 438 3.4. General comments

439 In general, the advantage of these tempering methods over straightforward sampling can be  
440 rationalized as follows. A simulation is evolved so as to sample a modified ensemble by e.g. raising  
441 temperature or artificially modifying the Hamiltonian. The change in the ensemble could be drastic, so  
442 that trying to extract canonical averages by reweighting from such a simulation would be pointless. For  
443 this reason, a ladder of intermediate ensembles is built, interpolating between the physical one (i.e. room  
444 temperature, physical Hamiltonian) and the modified one. Then, transitions between consecutive steps  
445 in this ladder (or, in parallel schemes, coordinate swaps) are performed using a Monte Carlo scheme.  
446 Assuming that the dynamics of the most modified ensemble is ergodic, independent samples will be  
447 generated every time a new simulation reaches the highest step of the ladder. Thus, efficiency of these  
448 methods is often based on the evaluation of the round trip time required for a replica to traverse the entire  
449 ladder.

450 Tempering methods are thus relying on the ergodicity of the most modified ensemble. This  
451 assumption is not always correct. A very simple example is parallel tempering used to accelerate the  
452 sampling over an entropic barrier. Since the height of an entropic barrier grows with the temperature,

453 in this conditions the barrier in the most modified ensembles are unaffected [222]. Moreover, since a  
454 lot of time is spent in sampling states in non-physical situations (e.g. high temperature), the overall  
455 computational efficiency could even be lower than that of straightforward sampling. Real applications  
456 are often in an intermediate situation, and usefulness of parallel tempering should be evaluated case by  
457 case.

458 The number of intermediate steps in the ladder can be shown to grow with the square root of the  
459 specific heat of the system in the case of parallel tempering simulations. No general relationship can be  
460 drawn in the case of Hamiltonian replica exchange, but one can expect approximately that the number  
461 of replicas should be proportional to the square root of the number of degrees of freedom affected by  
462 the modification of the Hamiltonian. Thus, Hamiltonian replica exchange methods could be much more  
463 effective than simple parallel tempering as they allow the effort to be focused and the number of replicas  
464 to be minimized.

465 Parallel tempering has the advantage that all the replicas can be analyzed to obtain meaningful results,  
466 e.g., to predict the melting curve of a molecule. This procedure should be used with caution, especially  
467 with empirically parametrized potentials, which are often tuned to be realistic only at room temperature.  
468 On the other hand, Hamiltonian replica exchange often relies on unphysically modified ensembles which  
469 have no interest but for the fact that they increase ergodicity.

470 As a final note, we observe that data obtained at different temperature (or with modified Hamiltonians)  
471 could be combined to enhance statistics at the physical temperature [223]. However, the effectiveness  
472 of this data recycling is limited by the fact that high temperature replicas visit very rarely low energy  
473 conformations, thus decreasing the amount of additional information that can be extracted.

## 474 **4. Combinations and Advanced Approaches**

### 475 *4.1. Combination of tempering methods and biased sampling*

476 The algorithms presented in Section 3 and based on tempering are typically considered to be simpler  
477 to apply when compared with those discussed in Section 2 and based on biasing the sampling of selected  
478 collective variables. Indeed, by avoiding the problem of choosing collective variables which properly  
479 describe the reaction path, most of the burden of setting up a simulation is removed. However, this comes  
480 at a price: considering the computational cost, tempering methods are extremely expensive. This cost is  
481 related to the fact that they are able to accelerate all degrees of freedom to the same extent, without an *a*  
482 *priori* knowledge of the sampling bottlenecks. In this sense, Hamiltonian replica exchange methods are  
483 in an intermediate situation, since they are typically less expensive than parallel tempering but allow to  
484 embed part of the knowledge of the system in the simulation set up.

485 Because of the conceptual difference between tempering methods and CV-based methods, these  
486 approaches can be easily and efficiently combined. As an example, the combination of metadynamics  
487 and parallel tempering can be used to take advantage of the known bottlenecks with biased collective  
488 variables at the same time accelerating the overall sampling with parallel tempering [156]. In that work,  
489 the free energy landscape for the folding of a small hairpin was computed by biasing a small number  
490 of selected CVs (gyration radius and the number of hydrogen bonds). These CVs alone are not enough  
491 to describe folding, as can be easily shown by performing a metadynamics simulation using these CVs.  
492 However, the combination with parallel tempering allowed acceleration of all the degrees of freedom  
493 blindly and reversible folding of the hairpin. This combined approach also improves the results when  
494 compared with parallel tempering alone, since it accelerates exploration of phase-space. Moreover, since  
495 parallel tempering samples the unbiased canonical distribution, it is very difficult to use it to compute  
496 free-energy differences which are larger than a few  $k_B T$ . The metadynamics bias can be used to disfavor,  
497 e.g., the folded state so as to better estimate the free-energy difference between the folded and unfolded  
498 states.

499 It is also possible to combine metadynamics with the solute tempering method so as to decrease the  
500 number of required replicas and the computational cost [224]. As an alternative to solute tempering,  
501 metadynamics in the well-tempered ensemble can be effectively used to enhance the acceptance  
502 in parallel tempering simulations and to decrease the number of necessary replicas [176]. This  
503 combination of parallel tempering with well-tempered ensemble can be pushed further and combined  
504 with metadynamics on a few selected degrees of freedom [225]. As a final note, bias exchange molecular  
505 dynamics [226] combines metadynamics and replica exchange in a completely different spirit: every  
506 replica is run using a different CV, thus allowing many CVs to be tried at the same time. This technique  
507 has been successfully applied to several problems. For a recent review, we refer the reader to Ref. [227].

### 508 *4.2. Some methods based on TAMM*

## 509 4.2.1. String method in collective variables

The string method is generally an approach to find pathways of minimal energy connecting two points in phase space [228]. When working in CV's, the string method is used to find minimal free-energy paths (MFEP's) [229]. String method calculations involve multiple replicas, each representing a point  $z_s$  in CV space at position  $s$  along a discretized string connecting two points of interest (reactant and product states, say). The forces on each replica's  $z_s$  are computed and their  $z_s$ 's updated, as in TAMD, with the addition of forces that act to keep the  $z$ 's equidistant along the string (so-called reparameterization forces):

$$\bar{\gamma}\dot{z}_j(s, t) = \sum_k \left[ \tilde{M}_{jk}(\mathbf{x}(s, t)) \kappa[\theta_k(\mathbf{x}(s, t)) - z_k(s, t)] \right] + \eta_z(t) + \lambda(s, t) \frac{\partial z_j}{\partial s} \quad (34)$$

510 Here,  $\tilde{M}_{jk}$  is the metric tensor mapping distances on the manifold of atomic coordinates to the manifold  
 511 of CV space,  $\eta$  is thermal noise and  $\lambda(s, t) \frac{\partial z_j}{\partial s}$  represents the reparameterization force tangent to the  
 512 string that is sufficient to maintain equidistant images along the string. String method has been used to  
 513 study activation of the insulin-receptor kinase [63], docking of insulin to its receptor [230], myosin [231],  
 514 In these examples, the update of the string coordinates is done at a lower frequency than the atomic  
 515 variables in each image.

516 In contrast, in the on-the-fly variant of string method in CV's, the friction on the  $z_s$ 's is set  
 517 high enough to make the effective averaging of the forces approach the true mean forces, and the  
 518  $z$  updates occur in lockstep with the  $x$  updates of the MD system [232]. Just as in TAMD, the  
 519 atomic variables obey an equation of motion like Eq. 12 tethering them to the  $z_s$ . Stober and Abrams  
 520 recently demonstrated an implementation of on-the-fly string method to study the thermodynamics of  
 521 the normal-to-amyloidogenic transition of  $\beta 2$ -microglobulin [233]. Unique in this approach was the  
 522 construction of a single composite MD system containing 27 individual  $\beta 2$  molecules restrained to  
 523 points on  $3 \times 3 \times 3$  grid inside a single large solvent box. Zinovjev et al. used a combination  
 524 of the on-the-fly string method and of path-collective variables (see Equations 28 and 29) in a  
 525 quantum-mechanics/molecular-mechanics approach to study a methyltransferase reaction [234].

## 526 4.2.2. On-the-fly free energy parameterization

Because TAMD provides mean-force estimates as it is exploring CV space, it stands to reason that those mean forces could be used to compute a free energy. In contrast, in the single-sweep method [68], the TAMD forces are only used in the CV space exploration phase, not the free-energy calculation itself. Recently, Abrams and Vanden-Eijnden proposed a method for using TAMD directly to *parameterize* a free energy; that is, to determine the best set of some parameters  $\lambda$  on which a free energy of known functional form depends [235]:

$$F(\mathbf{z}) = F(\mathbf{z}; \lambda^*) \quad (35)$$

The approach, termed “on-the-fly free energy parameterization”, uses forces from a running TAMD simulation to progressively optimize  $\lambda$  using a time-averaged gradient error:

$$E(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2t} \int_0^t |\nabla_z F[\mathbf{z}(s), \lambda(t)] + \kappa[\theta(\mathbf{x}(s)) - \mathbf{z}(s)]|^2 ds, \quad (36)$$

If constructed so that  $F$  is linear in  $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_M)$ , minimization of  $E$  can be expressed as a simple linear algebra problem

$$\sum_j A_{ij} \lambda_j = b_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, M \quad (37)$$

527 and the running TAMD simulation provides progressively better estimates of  $A$  and  $b$  until the  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$   
528 converge. In the cited work, it was shown that this method is an efficient way to derive potentials of  
529 mean force between particles in coarse-grained molecular simulations as basis-function expansions. It  
530 is currently being investigated as a means to parameterize free energies associated with conformational  
531 changes of proteins.

532 Chen, Cuendet, and Tuckermann developed a very similar approach that in addition to parameterizing  
533 a free energy using d-AFED-computed gradients uses a metadynamics-like bias on the potential [236].  
534 These authors demonstrated efficient reconstruction of the four-dimensional free-energy of vacuum  
535 alanine dipeptide with this approach.

## 536 5. Concluding Remarks

537 In this review, we have summarized some of the current and emerging enhanced sampling methods  
538 that sit atop MD simulation. These have been broadly classified as methods that use collective variable  
539 biasing and methods that use tempering. CV biasing is a much more prevalent approach than tempering,  
540 due partially to the fact that it is perceived to be cheaper, since tempering simulations are really only  
541 useful for enhanced sampling of configuration space when run in parallel. CV-biasing also reflects the  
542 desire to rein in the complexity of all-atom simulations by projecting configurations into a much lower  
543 dimensional space. (Parallel tempering can be thought of as increasing the dimensionality of the system  
544 by a factor equal to the number of simulated replicas.) But the drawback of all CV-biasing approaches is  
545 the risk that the chosen CV space does not provide the most faithful representation of the true spectrum  
546 of metastable subensembles and the barriers that separate them. Guaranteeing that sampling of CV  
547 space is not stymied by hidden barriers must be of paramount concern in the continued evolution of  
548 such methods. For this reason, methods that specifically allow broad exploration of CV space, like  
549 TAMD (which can handle large numbers of CV's) and well-tempered metadynamics will continue to be  
550 valuable. So too will parallel tempering because its broad sampling of configuration space can be used to  
551 inform the choice of better CV's. Accelerating development of combined CV-tempering methods bodes  
552 well for enhanced sampling generally.

553 Although some of these methods involve time-varying forces (ABF, TAMD, and metadynamics),  
554 all methods we've discussed have the underlying rationale of the equilibrium ensemble. TI uses the  
555 constrained ensemble, ABF and metadynamics ideally converge to an ensemble in which a bias erases  
556 free-energy variations, and TAMD samples an attenuated/mollified equilibrium ensemble. There is an  
557 entirely separate class of methods that inherently rely on *non-equilibrium* thermodynamics. We have  
558 not discussed at all the several free-energy methods based on non-equilibrium MD simulations; we refer  
559 interested readers to the article by Christoph Dellago in this issue.

560 Finally, we have also not really touched on any of the practical issues of implementing and  
561 using these methods in conjunction with modern MD packages (e.g., NAMD [237], LAMMPS [238],  
562 Gromacs [239], Amber [240], and CHARMM [241], to name a few). At least two packages (NAMD  
563 and CHARMM) have native support for collective variable biasing, and NAMD in particular offers both  
564 native ABF and a TcL-based interface which has been used to implement TAMD [58]. The native  
565 collective variable module for NAMD has been recently ported to LAMMPS [242]. Gromacs offers  
566 native support for parallel tempering. Generally speaking, however, modifying MD codes to handle  
567 CV-biasing and multiple replicas is not straightforward, since one would like access to the data structures  
568 that store coordinates and forces. A major help in this regard is the PLUMED package [243,244], which  
569 patches a variety of MD codes to enable users to use many of the techniques discussed here.

## 570 6. Abbreviations

- 571 ● **ABF**: adaptive-biasing force
- 572 ● **AFED**: adiabatic free-energy dynamics
- 573 ● **CV**: collective-variable



- 574 • **MD**: molecular dynamics
- 575 • **MFEP**: minimum free-energy path
- 576 • **TAMD**: temperature-accelerated molecular dynamics
- 577 • **TI**: thermodynamic integration
- 578 • **WHAM**: weighted-histogram analysis method

## Acknowledgments

CFA would like to acknowledge support of NSF (DMR-1207389) and NIH (1R01GM100472). GB would like to acknowledge the European Research Council (Starting Grant S-RNA-S, no. 306662) for financial support. Both authors would like to acknowledge NSF support of a recent Pan-American Advanced Studies Institute Workshop “Molecular-based Multiscale Modeling and Simulation” (OISE-1124480; PI: W. J. Pfaednter, U. Washington) held in Montevideo, Uruguay, Sept. 12-15, 2012, where the authors met and began discussions that influenced the content of this review.

## References

1. Kollman, P. Free-energy calculations - applications to chemical and biochemical phenomena. *Chem. Rev.* **1993**, *93*, 2395–2417.
2. Trzesniak, D.; Kunz, A.P.E.; van Gunsteren, W.F. A comparison of methods to compute the potential of mean force. *ChemPhysChem* **2007**, *8*, 162–169.
3. Vanden-Eijnden, E. Some Recent Techniques for Free Energy Calculations. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2009**, *30*, 1737–1747.
4. Dellago, C.; Bolhuis, P.G. Transition path sampling and other advanced simulation techniques for rare events. In *Advanced computer simulation approaches for soft matter sciences III*; Springer, 2009; pp. 167–233.
5. Christ, C.D.; Mark, A.E.; van Gunsteren, W.F. Basic Ingredients of Free Energy Calculations: A Review. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2010**, *31*, 1569–1582.
6. Darve, E.; Rodriguez-Gomez, D.; Pohorille, A. Adaptive biasing force method for scalar and vector free energy calculations. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, *128*.
7. Maragliano, L.; Vanden-Eijnden, E. A temperature-accelerated method for sampling free energy and determining reaction pathways in rare events simulations. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2006**, *426*, 168–175.
8. Laio, A.; Parrinello, M. Escaping free-energy minima. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2002**, *99*, 12562–12566.
9. Schlitter, J.; Engels, M.; Kruger, P.; Jacoby, E.; Wollmer, A. Targeted molecular-dynamics simulation of conformational change - application to the T[–]T transition in insulin. *Mol. Sim.* **1993**, *10*, 291–&.
10. Grubmüller, H.; Heymann, B.; Tavan, P. Ligand binding: Molecular mechanics calculation of the streptavidin biotin rupture force. *Science* **1996**, *271*, 997–999.
11. Kirkwood, J.G. Statistical Mechanics of Fluid Mixtures. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1935**, *3*, 300–313.
12. Carter, E.; Ciccotti, G.; Hynes, J.T.; Kapral, R. Constrained reaction coordinate dynamics for the simulation of rare events. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1989**, *156*, 472 – 477.
13. Sprik, M.; Ciccotti, G. Free energy from constrained molecular dynamics. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1998**, *109*, 7737–7744.
14. Ciccotti, G.; Ferrario, M. Blue moon approach to rare events. *Mol. Sim.* **2004**, *30*, 787–793.
15. Ciccotti, G.; Kapral, R.; Vanden-Eijnden, E. Blue moon sampling, vectorial reaction coordinates, and unbiased constrained dynamics. *ChemPhysChem* **2005**, *6*, 1809–1814.

- 618 16. den Otter, W.; Briels, W. The calculation of free-energy differences by constrained molecular-  
619 dynamics simulations. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1998**, *109*, 4139–4146.
- 620 17. Schlitter, J.; Klähn, M. A new concise expression for the free energy of a reaction coordinate. *J.*  
621 *Chem. Phys.* **2003**, *118*, 2057–2060.
- 622 18. Depaepe, J.; Ryckaert, J.; Paci, E.; Ciccotti, G. Sampling of molecular-conformations by  
623 molecular-dynamics techniques. *Mol. Phys.* **1993**, *79*, 515–522.
- 624 19. Zhao, X.; Rignall, T.R.; McCabe, C.; Adney, W.S.; Himmel, M.E. Molecular simulation evidence  
625 for processive motion of *Trichoderma reesei* Cel7A during cellulose depolymerization. *Chem.*  
626 *Phys. Lett.* **2008**, *460*, 284–288.
- 627 20. Kim, H.; Goddard, III, W.A.; Jang, S.S.; Dichtel, W.R.; Heath, J.R.; Stoddart, J.F. Free Energy  
628 Barrier for Molecular Motions in Bistable [2]Rotaxane Molecular Electronic Devices. *J. Phys.*  
629 *Chem. A* **2009**, *113*, 2136–2143.
- 630 21. Hytha, M.; Stich, I.; Gale, J.; Terakura, K.; Payne, M. Thermodynamics of catalytic formation of  
631 dimethyl ether from methanol in acidic zeolites. *Chem.-A Eur. J.* **2001**, *7*, 2521–2527.
- 632 22. Fois, E.; Gamba, A.; Spano, E. Competition between water and hydrogen peroxide at Ti center  
633 in Titanium zeolites. An ab initio study. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2004**, *108*, 9557–9560.
- 634 23. Ivanov, I.; Klein, M. Dynamical flexibility and proton transfer in the arginase active site probed  
635 by ab initio molecular dynamics. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 4010–4020.
- 636 24. Stubbs, J.; Marx, D. Aspects of glycosidic bond formation in aqueous solution: Chemical  
637 bonding and the role of water. *Chem.-A Eur. J.* **2005**, *11*, 2651–2659.
- 638 25. Trinh, T.T.; Jansen, A.P.J.; van Santen, R.A.; Meijer, E.J. The role of water in silicate  
639 oligomerization reaction. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2009**, *11*, 5092–5099.
- 640 26. Liu, P.; Cai, W.; Chipot, C.; Shao, X. Thermodynamic Insights into the Dynamic Switching of a  
641 Cyclodextrin in a Bistable Molecular Shuttle. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2010**, *1*, 1776–1780.
- 642 27. Bucko, T.; Hafner, J. Entropy effects in hydrocarbon conversion reactions: free-energy  
643 integrations and transition-path sampling. *J. Phys.-Cond. Mat.* **2010**, *22*.
- 644 28. Paci, E.; Marchi, M. Membrane crossing by a polar molecule - a molecular-dynamics simulation.  
645 *Mol. Sim.* **1994**, *14*, 1–10.
- 646 29. Sa, R.; Zhu, W.; Shen, J.; Gong, Z.; Cheng, J.; Chen, K.; Jiang, H. How does ammonium  
647 dynamically interact with benzene in aqueous media? A first principle study using the Car-  
648 Parrinello molecular dynamics method. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2006**, *110*, 5094–5098.
- 649 30. Mugnai, M.; Cardini, G.; Schettino, V.; Nielsen, C.J. Ab initio molecular dynamics study of  
650 aqueous formaldehyde and methanediol. *Mol. Phys.* **2007**, *105*, 2203–2210.
- 651 31. Chunsriviro, S.; Trout, B.L. Free energy of binding of a small molecule to an amorphous polymer  
652 in a solvent. *Langmuir* **2011**, *27*, 6910–6919.
- 653 32. Sato, M.; Yamataka, H.; Komeiji, Y.; Mochizuki, Y. FMO-MD Simulations on the Hydration of  
654 Formaldehyde in Water Solution with Constraint Dynamics. *Chem.-A Eur. J.* **2012**, *18*, 9714–  
655 9721.
- 656 33. Sergi, A.; Ciccotti, G.; Falconi, M.; Desideri, A.; Ferrario, M. Effective binding force calculation  
657 in a dimeric protein by molecular dynamics simulation. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2002**, *116*, 6329–6338.

- 658 34. Maragliano, L.; Ferrario, M.; Ciccotti, G. Effective binding force calculation in dimeric proteins.  
659 *Mol. Sim.* **2004**, *30*, 807–816.
- 660 35. Darve, E.; Pohorille, A. Calculating free energies using average force. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2001**,  
661 *115*, 9169–9183.
- 662 36. Fogolari, F.; Corazza, A.; Varini, N.; Rotter, M.; Gumral, D.; Codutti, L.; Rennella, E.;  
663 Viglino, P.; Bellotti, V.; Esposito, G. Molecular dynamics simulation of beta(2)-microglobulin in  
664 denaturing and stabilizing conditions. *Proteins* **2011**, *79*, 986–1001.
- 665 37. Faller, C.E.; Reilly, K.A.; Hills, Jr., R.D.; Guvench, O. Peptide Backbone Sampling Convergence  
666 with the Adaptive Biasing Force Algorithm. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2013**, *117*, 518–526.
- 667 38. Wei, C.; Pohorille, A. Permeation of Nucleosides through Lipid Bilayers. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2011**,  
668 *115*, 3681–3688.
- 669 39. Vivcharuk, V.; Kaznessis, Y.N. Thermodynamic Analysis of Protegrin-1 Insertion and  
670 Permeation through a Lipid Bilayer. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2011**, *115*, 14704–14712.
- 671 40. Kraszewski, S.; Tarek, M.; Ramseyer, C. Uptake and Translocation Mechanisms of Cationic  
672 Amino Derivatives Functionalized on Pristine C-60 by Lipid Membranes: A Molecular Dynamics  
673 Simulation Study. *ACS Nano* **2011**, *5*, 8571–8578.
- 674 41. Kraszewski, S.; Bianco, A.; Tarek, M.; Ramseyer, C. Insertion of Short Amino-Functionalized  
675 Single-Walled Carbon Nanotubes into Phospholipid Bilayer Occurs by Passive Diffusion. *PLOS*  
676 *ONE* **2012**, *7*.
- 677 42. Liu, X.; Lu, X.; Meijer, E.J.; Wang, R.; Zhou, H. Acid dissociation mechanisms of Si(OH)(4)  
678 and Al(H<sub>2</sub>O)(6)(3+) in aqueous solution. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **2010**, *74*, 510–516.
- 679 43. Caballero, J.; Poblete, H.; Navarro, C.; Alzate-Morales, J.H. Association of nicotinic acid with  
680 a poly(amidoamine) dendrimer studied by molecular dynamics simulations. *J. Molec. Graph.*  
681 *Mod.* **2013**, *39*, 71–78.
- 682 44. Wilson, M.A.; Wei, C.; Bjelkmar, P.; Wallace, B.A.; Pohorille, A. Molecular Dynamics  
683 Simulation of the Antiamoebin Ion Channel: Linking Structure and Conductance. *Biophys.*  
684 *J.* **2011**, *100*, 2394–2402.
- 685 45. Cheng, M.H.; Coalson, R.D. Molecular Dynamics Investigation of Cl<sup>-</sup> and Water Transport  
686 through a Eukaryotic CLC Transporter. *Biophys. J.* **2012**, *102*, 1363–1371.
- 687 46. Wang, S.; Orabi, E.A.; Baday, S.; Berneche, S.; Lamoureux, G. Ammonium Transporters  
688 Achieve Charge Transfer by Fragmenting Their Substrate. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**,  
689 *134*, 10419–10427.
- 690 47. Tillman, T.; Cheng, M.H.; Chen, Q.; Tang, P.; Xu, Y. Reversal of ion-charge selectivity renders  
691 the pentameric ligand-gated ion channel GLIC insensitive to anaesthetics. *Biochem. J.* **2013**,  
692 *449*, 61–68.
- 693 48. Akhshi, P.; Acton, G.; Wu, G. Molecular Dynamics Simulations to Provide New Insights into  
694 the Asymmetrical Ammonium Ion Movement Inside of the [d(G(3)T(4)G(4))] (2) G-Quadruplex  
695 DNA Structure. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2012**, *116*, 9363–9370.
- 696 49. Kamath, G.; Bhatnagar, N.; Baker, G.A.; Baker, S.N.; Potoff, J.J. Computational prediction of  
697 ionic liquid 1-octanol/water partition coefficients. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2012**, *14*, 4339–  
698 4342.

- 699 50. Bhatnagar, N.; Kamath, G.; Chelst, I.; Potoff, J.J. Direct calculation of 1-octanol-water partition  
700 coefficients from adaptive biasing force molecular dynamics simulations. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2012**,  
701 *137*.
- 702 51. Wereszczynski, J.; McCammon, J.A. Nucleotide-dependent mechanism of Get3 as elucidated  
703 from free energy calculations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2012**, *109*, 7759–7764.
- 704 52. Jana, A.K.; Sengupta, N. Adsorption Mechanism and Collapse Propensities of the Full-Length,  
705 Monomeric A beta(1-42) on the Surface of a Single-Walled Carbon Nanotube: A Molecular  
706 Dynamics Simulation Study. *Biophys. J.* **2012**, *102*, 1889–1896.
- 707 53. Uddin, N.M.; Capaldi, F.; Farouk, B. Molecular Dynamics Simulations of Carbon Nanotube  
708 Interactions in Water/Surfactant Systems. *J. Eng. Mater.-T. ASME* **2010**, *132*.
- 709 54. Kaestner, J. Umbrella integration in two or more reaction coordinates. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2009**,  
710 *131*, 034109.
- 711 55. Rosso, L.; Tuckerman, M. An adiabatic molecular dynamics method for the calculation of free  
712 energy profiles. *Mol. Sim.* **2002**, *28*, 91–112.
- 713 56. Abrams, J.B.; Tuckerman, M.E. Efficient and Direct Generation of Multidimensional Free  
714 Energy Surfaces via Adiabatic Dynamics without Coordinate Transformations. *J. Phys. Chem.*  
715 *B* **2008**, *112*, 15742–15757.
- 716 57. Iannuzzi, M.; Laio, A.; Parrinello, M. Efficient exploration of reactive potential energy surfaces  
717 using Car-Parrinello molecular dynamics. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2003**, *90*.
- 718 58. Abrams, C.; Vanden-Eijnden, E. Large-scale conformational sampling of proteins using  
719 temperature-accelerated molecular dynamics. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2010**,  
720 *107*, 4961–4966.
- 721 59. Vashisth, H.; Skiniotis, G.; Brooks, III, C.L. Using Enhanced Sampling and Structural Restraints  
722 to Refine Atomic Structures into Low-Resolution Electron Microscopy Maps. *Structure* **2012**,  
723 *20*, 1453–1462.
- 724 60. Vashisth, H.; Skiniotis, G.; Brooks, III, C.L. Enhanced Sampling and Overfitting Analyses in  
725 Structural Refinement of Nucleic Acids into Electron Microscopy Maps. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2013**,  
726 *117*, 3738–3746.
- 727 61. Vashisth, H.; Brooks, III, C.L. Conformational Sampling of Maltose-Transporter Components  
728 in Cartesian Collective Variables Is Governed by the Low-Frequency Normal Modes. *J. Phys.*  
729 *Chem. Lett.* **2012**, *3*, 3379–3384.
- 730 62. Hu, Y.; Hong, W.; Shi, Y.; Liu, H. Temperature-Accelerated Sampling and Amplified Collective  
731 Motion with Adiabatic Reweighting to Obtain Canonical Distributions and Ensemble Averages.  
732 *J. Chem. Theor. Comput.* **2012**, *8*, 3777–3792.
- 733 63. Vashisth, H.; Abrams, C.F. DFG-flip in the insulin receptor kinase is facilitated by a helical  
734 intermediate state of the activation loop. *Biophys. J.* **2012**, *102*, 1979–1987.
- 735 64. Maragliano, L.; Cottone, G.; Ciccotti, G.; Vanden-Eijnden, E. Mapping the Network of Pathways  
736 of CO Diffusion in Myoglobin. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 1010–1017.
- 737 65. Lapelosa, M.; Abrams, C.F. A Computational Study of Water and CO Migration Sites and  
738 Channels Inside Myoglobin. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2013**, *9*, 1265–1271.

- 739 66. Geslin, P.A.; Ciccotti, G.; Meloni, S. An observable for vacancy characterization and diffusion  
740 in crystals. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *138*.
- 741 67. Lucid, J.; Meloni, S.; MacKernan, D.; Spohr, E.; Ciccotti, G. Probing the Structures  
742 of Hydrated Nafion in Different Morphologies Using Temperature-Accelerated Molecular  
743 Dynamics Simulations. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2013**, *117*, 774–782.
- 744 68. Maragliano, L.; Vanden-Eijnden, E. Single-sweep methods for free energy calculations. *J. Chem.*  
745 *Phys.* **2008**, *128*.
- 746 69. Torrie, G.M.; Valleau, J.P. Nonphysical sampling distributions in Monte Carlo free-energy  
747 estimation: Umbrella sampling. *J. Comput. Phys.* **1977**, *23*, 187–199.
- 748 70. Kumar, S.; Rosenberg, J.M.; Bouzida, D.; Swendsen, R.H.; Kollman, P.A. The weighted  
749 histogram analysis method for free-energy calculations on biomolecules. I. The method. *J.*  
750 *Comput. Chem.* **1992**, *13*, 1011–1021.
- 751 71. Kaestner, J.; Thiel, W. Bridging the gap between thermodynamic integration and umbrella  
752 sampling provides a novel analysis method: “umbrella integration”. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2005**,  
753 *123*, 144104.
- 754 72. Kaestner, J. Umbrella sampling. *Wires. Comput. Mol. Sci.* **2011**, *1*, 932–942.
- 755 73. Schaefer, M.; Bartels, C.; Karplus, M. Solution conformations and thermodynamics of structured  
756 peptides: Molecular dynamics simulation with an implicit solvation model. *J. Mol. Biol.* **1998**,  
757 *284*, 835–848.
- 758 74. Banavali, N.; MacKerell, A. Free energy and structural pathways of base flipping in a DNA  
759 GCGC containing sequence. *J. Mol. Biol.* **2002**, *319*, 141–160.
- 760 75. Cruz, V.; Ramos, J.; Martinez-Salazar, J. Water-Mediated Conformations of the Alanine  
761 Dipeptide as Revealed by Distributed Umbrella Sampling Simulations, Quantum Mechanics  
762 Based Calculations, and Experimental Data. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2011**, *115*, 4880–4886.
- 763 76. Islam, S.M.; Richards, M.R.; Taha, H.A.; Byrns, S.C.; Lowary, T.L.; Roy, P.N. Conformational  
764 Analysis of Oligoarabinofuranosides: Overcoming Torsional Barriers with Umbrella Sampling.  
765 *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2011**, *7*, 2989–3000.
- 766 77. Young, W.; Brooks, C. A microscopic view of helix propagation: N and C-terminal helix growth  
767 in alanine helices. *J. Mol. Biol.* **1996**, *259*, 560–572.
- 768 78. Sheinerman, F.; Brooks, C. Calculations on folding of segment B1 of streptococcal protein G. *J.*  
769 *Mol. Biol.* **1998**, *278*, 439–456.
- 770 79. Bursulaya, B.; Brooks, C. Folding free energy surface of a three-stranded beta-sheet protein. *J.*  
771 *Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 9947–9951.
- 772 80. Rick, S.; Erickson, J.; Burt, S. Reaction path and free energy calculations of the transition  
773 between alternate conformations of HIV-1 protease. *Proteins* **1998**, *32*, 7–16.
- 774 81. Allen, T.; Andersen, O.; Roux, B. Structure of gramicidin A in a lipid bilayer environment  
775 determined using molecular dynamics simulations and solid-state NMR data. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*  
776 **2003**, *125*, 9868–9877.
- 777 82. Shams, H.; Golji, J.; Mofrad, M.R.K. A Molecular Trajectory of alpha-Actinin Activation.  
778 *Biophys. J.* **2012**, *103*, 2050–2059.

- 779 83. Yildirim, I.; Park, H.; Disney, M.D.; Schatz, G.C. A Dynamic Structural Model of Expanded  
780 RNA CAG Repeats: A Refined X-ray Structure and Computational Investigations Using  
781 Molecular Dynamics and Umbrella Sampling Simulations. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**,  
782 *135*, 3528–3538.
- 783 84. Masunov, A.; Lazaridis, T. Potentials of mean force between ionizable amino acid side chains in  
784 water. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 1722–1730.
- 785 85. Tarus, B.; Straub, J.; Thirumalai, D. Probing the initial stage of aggregation of the A beta(10-35)-  
786 protein: Assessing the propensity for peptide dimerization. *J. Mol. Biol.* **2005**, *345*, 1141–1156.
- 787 86. Makowski, M.; Liwo, A.; Sobolewski, E.; Scheraga, H.A. Simple Physics-Based Analytical  
788 Formulas for the Potentials of Mean Force of the Interaction of Amino-Acid Side Chains in  
789 Water. V. Like-Charged Side Chains. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2011**, *115*, 6119–6129.
- 790 87. Casalini, T.; Salvalaglio, M.; Perale, G.; Masi, M.; Cavallotti, C. Diffusion and Aggregation of  
791 Sodium Fluorescein in Aqueous Solutions. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2011**, *115*, 12896–12904.
- 792 88. Wanasundara, S.N.; Krishnamurthy, V.; Chung, S.H. Free Energy Calculations of Gramicidin  
793 Dimer Dissociation. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2011**, *115*, 13765–13770.
- 794 89. Zhang, B.W.; Brunetti, L.; Brooks, III, C.L. Probing pH-Dependent Dissociation of HdeA  
795 Dimers. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 19393–19398.
- 796 90. Periole, X.; Knepp, A.M.; Sakmar, T.P.; Marrink, S.J.; Huber, T. Structural Determinants of the  
797 Supramolecular Organization of G Protein-Coupled Receptors in Bilayers. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*  
798 **2012**, *134*, 10959–10965.
- 799 91. Vijayaraj, R.; Van Damme, S.; Bultinck, P.; Subramanian, V. Molecular Dynamics and Umbrella  
800 Sampling Study of Stabilizing Factors in Cyclic Peptide-Based Nanotubes. *J. Phys. Chem. B*  
801 **2012**, *116*, 9922–9933.
- 802 92. Mahdavi, S.; Kuyucak, S. Why the Drosophila Shaker K<sup>+</sup> Channel Is Not a Good Model for  
803 Ligand Binding to Voltage-Gated Kv1 Channels. *Biochemistry* **2013**, *52*, 1631–1640.
- 804 93. Banavali, N.; Roux, B. Free energy landscape of A-DNA to B-DNA conversion in aqueous  
805 solution. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 6866–6876.
- 806 94. Giudice, E.; Varnai, P.; Lavery, R. Base pair opening within B-DNA: free energy pathways for  
807 GC and AT pairs from umbrella sampling simulations. *Nucl. Acids Res.* **2003**, *31*, 1434–1443.
- 808 95. Matek, C.; Ouldridge, T.E.; Levy, A.; Doye, J.P.K.; Louis, A.A. DNA Cruciform Arms Nucleate  
809 through a Correlated but Asynchronous Cooperative Mechanism. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2012**,  
810 *116*, 11616–11625.
- 811 96. Bagai, S.; Sun, C.; Tang, T. Potential of Mean Force of Polyethylenimine-Mediated DNA  
812 Attraction. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2013**, *117*, 49–56.
- 813 97. Czaplewski, C.; Rodziewicz-Motowidlo, S.; Liwo, A.; Ripoll, D.; Wawak, R.; Scheraga, H.  
814 Molecular simulation study of cooperativity in hydrophobic association. *Protein Sci.* **2000**,  
815 *9*, 1235–1245.
- 816 98. Lee, M.; Olson, M. Calculation of absolute protein-ligand binding affinity using path and  
817 endpoint approaches. *Biophys. J.* **2006**, *90*, 864–877.
- 818 99. Peri, S.; Karim, M.N.; Khare, R. Potential of mean force for separation of the repeating units in  
819 cellulose and hemicellulose. *Carbohydr. Res.* **2011**, *346*, 867–871.

- 820 100. St-Pierre, J.F.; Karttunen, M.; Mousseau, N.; Rog, T.; Bunker, A. Use of Umbrella Sampling  
821 to Calculate the Entrance/Exit Pathway for Z-Pro-Prolinal Inhibitor in Prolyl Oligopeptidase. *J.*  
822 *Chem. Theory Comput.* **2011**, *7*, 1583–1594.
- 823 101. Rashid, M.H.; Kuyucak, S. Affinity and Selectivity of ShK Toxin for the Kv1 Potassium Channels  
824 from Free Energy Simulations. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2012**, *116*, 4812–4822.
- 825 102. Chen, R.; Chung, S.H. Conserved Functional Surface of Antimammalian Scorpion beta-Toxins.  
826 *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2012**, *116*, 4796–4800.
- 827 103. Wilhelm, M.; Mukherjee, A.; Bouvier, B.; Zakrzewska, K.; Hynes, J.T.; Lavery, R. Multistep  
828 Drug Intercalation: Molecular Dynamics and Free Energy Studies of the Binding of Daunomycin  
829 to DNA. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 8588–8596.
- 830 104. Louet, M.; Martinez, J.; Floquet, N. GDP Release Preferentially Occurs on the Phosphate Side  
831 in Heterotrimeric G-proteins. *PLOS Comput. Biol.* **2012**, *8*.
- 832 105. Zhang, H.; Tan, T.; Feng, W.; van der Spoel, D. Molecular Recognition in Different  
833 Environments: beta-Cyclodextrin Dimer Formation in Organic Solvents. *J. Phys. Chem. B*  
834 **2012**, *116*, 12684–12693.
- 835 106. Kessler, J.; Jakubek, M.; Dolensky, B.; Bour, P. Binding energies of five molecular pincers  
836 calculated by explicit and implicit solvent models. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2012**, *33*, 2310–2317.
- 837 107. Mascarenhas, N.M.; Kaestner, J. How maltose influences structural changes to bind to maltose-  
838 binding protein: Results from umbrella sampling simulation. *Proteins* **2013**, *81*, 185–198.
- 839 108. MacCallum, J.; Tieleman, D. Computer simulation of the distribution of hexane in a lipid bilayer:  
840 Spatially resolved free energy, entropy, and enthalpy profiles. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 125–  
841 130.
- 842 109. Tieleman, D.P.; Marrink, S.J. Lipids out of equilibrium: Energetics of desorption and pore  
843 mediated flip-flop. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 12462–12467.
- 844 110. Kyrychenko, A.; Sevriukov, I.Y.; Syzova, Z.A.; Ladokhin, A.S.; Doroshenko, A.O. Partitioning  
845 of 2,6-Bis(1H-Benzimidazol-2-yl)pyridine fluorophore into a phospholipid bilayer: Complemen-  
846 tary use of fluorescence quenching studies and molecular dynamics simulations. *Biophys. Chem.*  
847 **2011**, *154*, 8–17.
- 848 111. Lemkul, J.A.; Bevan, D.R. Characterization of Interactions between PilA from *Pseudomonas*  
849 *aeruginosa* Strain K and a Model Membrane. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2011**, *115*, 8004–8008.
- 850 112. Palonciová, M.; Berka, K.; Otyepka, M. Convergence of Free Energy Profile of Coumarin in  
851 Lipid Bilayer. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2012**, *8*, 1200–1211.
- 852 113. Samanta, S.; Hezaveh, S.; Milano, G.; Roccatano, D. Diffusion of 1,2-Dimethoxyethane and  
853 1,2-Dimethoxypropane through Phosphatidylcholine Bilayers: A Molecular Dynamics Study. *J.*  
854 *Phys. Chem. B* **2012**, *116*, 5141–5151.
- 855 114. Grafmueller, A.; Lipowsky, R.; Knecht, V. Effect of tension and curvature on the chemical  
856 potential of lipids in lipid aggregates. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *15*, 876–881.
- 857 115. Cerezo, J.; Zuniga, J.; Bastida, A.; Requena, A.; Ceron-Carrasco, J.P. Conformational changes  
858 of beta-carotene and zeaxanthin immersed in a model membrane through atomistic molecular  
859 dynamics simulations. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *15*, 6527–6538.



- 860 116. Tian, J.; Sethi, A.; Swanson, B.I.; Goldstein, B.; Gnanakaran, S. Taste of Sugar at the Membrane:  
861 Thermodynamics and Kinetics of the Interaction of a Disaccharide with Lipid Bilayers. *Biophys.*  
862 *J.* **2013**, *104*, 622–632.
- 863 117. Karlsson, B.C.G.; Olsson, G.D.; Friedman, R.; Rosengren, A.M.; Henschel, H.; Nicholls, I.A.  
864 How Warfarin's Structural Diversity Influences Its Phospholipid Bilayer Membrane Permeation.  
865 *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2013**, *117*, 2384–2395.
- 866 118. Euston, S.R.; Bellstedt, U.; Schillbach, K.; Hughes, P.S. The adsorption and competitive  
867 adsorption of bile salts and whey protein at the oil-water interface. *Soft Matter* **2011**,  
868 *7*, 8942–8951.
- 869 119. Doudou, S.; Vaughan, D.J.; Livens, F.R.; Burton, N.A. Atomistic Simulations of Calcium  
870 Uranyl(VI) Carbonate Adsorption on Calcite and Stepped-Calcite Surfaces. *Environ. Sci. Tech.*  
871 **2012**, *46*, 7587–7594.
- 872 120. Pomes, R.; Roux, B. Free energy profiles for H<sup>+</sup> conduction along hydrogen-bonded chains of  
873 water molecules. *Biophys. J.* **1998**, *75*, 33–40.
- 874 121. Jagoda-Cwiklik, B.; Cwiklik, L.; Jungwirth, P. Behavior of the Eigen Form of Hydronium at the  
875 Air/Water Interface. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2011**, *115*, 5881–5886.
- 876 122. Calvo, F.; Mottet, C. Order-disorder transition in Co-Pt nanoparticles: Coexistence, transition  
877 states, and finite-size effects. *Phys. Rev. B* **2011**, *84*.
- 878 123. Sharma, S.; Debenedetti, P.G. Free Energy Barriers to Evaporation of Water in Hydrophobic  
879 Confinement. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2012**, *116*, 13282–13289.
- 880 124. Ridder, L.; Rietjens, I.; Vervoort, J.; Mulholland, A. Quantum mechanical/molecular mechanical  
881 free energy Simulations of the glutathione S-transferase (M1-1) reaction with phenanthrene 9,10-  
882 oxide. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 9926–9936.
- 883 125. Kaestner, J.; Senn, H.; Thiel, S.; Otte, N.; Thiel, W. QM/MM free-energy perturbation compared  
884 to thermodynamic integration and umbrella sampling: Application to an enzymatic reaction. *J.*  
885 *Chem. Theory Comput.* **2006**, *2*, 452–461.
- 886 126. Wang, S.; Hu, P.; Zhang, Y. Ab initio quantum mechanical/molecular mechanical molecular  
887 dynamics simulation of enzyme catalysis: The case of histone lysine methyltransferase SET7/9.  
888 *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2007**, *111*, 3758–3764.
- 889 127. Ke, Z.; Guo, H.; Xie, D.; Wang, S.; Zhang, Y. Ab Initio QM/MM Free-Energy Studies of  
890 Arginine Deiminase Catalysis: The Protonation State of the Cys Nucleophile. *J. Phys. Chem. B*  
891 **2011**, *115*, 3725–3733.
- 892 128. Yan, S.; Li, T.; Yao, L. Mutational Effects on the Catalytic Mechanism of Cellobiohydrolase I  
893 from *Trichoderma reesei*. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2011**, *115*, 4982–4989.
- 894 129. Mujika, J.I.; Lopez, X.; Mulholland, A.J. Mechanism of C-terminal intein cleavage in protein  
895 splicing from QM/MM molecular dynamics simulations. *Org. Biomolec. Chem.* **2012**, *10*, 1207–  
896 1218.
- 897 130. Lonsdale, R.; Hoyle, S.; Grey, D.T.; Ridder, L.; Mulholland, A.J. Determinants of Reactivity  
898 and Selectivity in Soluble Epoxide Hydrolase from Quantum Mechanics/Molecular Mechanics  
899 Modeling. *Biochemistry* **2012**, *51*, 1774–1786.

- 900 131. Rooklin, D.W.; Lu, M.; Zhang, Y. Revelation of a Catalytic Calcium-Binding Site Elucidates  
901 Unusual Metal Dependence of a Human Apyrase. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 15595–15603.
- 902 132. Lior-Hoffmann, L.; Wang, L.; Wang, S.; Geacintov, N.E.; Broyde, S.; Zhang, Y. Preferred  
903 WMSA catalytic mechanism of the nucleotidyl transfer reaction in human DNA polymerase  
904 kappa elucidates error-free bypass of a bulky DNA lesion. *Nucl. Acids Res.* **2012**, *40*, 9193–9205.
- 905 133. Crouzy, S.; Berneche, S.; Roux, B. Extracellular blockade of K<sup>+</sup> channels by TEA: Results from  
906 molecular dynamics simulations of the KcsA channel. *J. Gen. Physiol.* **2001**, *118*, 207–217.
- 907 134. Allen, T.; Bastug, T.; Kuyucak, S.; Chung, S. Gramicidin A channel as a test ground for molecular  
908 dynamics force fields. *Biophys. J.* **2003**, *84*, 2159–2168.
- 909 135. Hub, J.S.; De Groot, B.L. Mechanism of selectivity in aquaporins and aquaglyceroporins. *Proc.*  
910 *Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2008**, *105*, 1198–1203.
- 911 136. Xin, L.; Su, H.; Nielsen, C.H.; Tang, C.; Torres, J.; Mu, Y. Water permeation dynamics of AqpZ:  
912 A tale of two states. *BBA-Biomembranes* **2011**, *1808*, 1581–1586.
- 913 137. Furini, S.; Domene, C. Selectivity and Permeation of Alkali Metal Ions in K<sup>+</sup>-channels. *J. Mol.*  
914 *Biol.* **2011**, *409*, 867–878.
- 915 138. Kim, I.; Allen, T.W. On the selective ion binding hypothesis for potassium channels. *Proc. Natl.*  
916 *Acad. Sci. USA* **2011**, *108*, 17963–17968.
- 917 139. Domene, C.; Furini, S. Molecular Dynamics Simulations of the TrkH Membrane Protein.  
918 *Biochemistry* **2012**, *51*, 1559–1565.
- 919 140. Zhu, F.; Hummer, G. Theory and Simulation of Ion Conduction in the Pentameric GLIC Channel.  
920 *J. Chem. Theor. Comput.* **2012**, *8*, 3759–3768.
- 921 141. Zhongjin, H.; Jian, Z. Steered Molecular Dynamics Simulations of Ions Traversing Through  
922 Carbon Nanotubes. *Acta Chim. Sin.* **2011**, *69*, 2901–2907.
- 923 142. Nalaparaju, A.; Jiang, J. Ion Exchange in Metal-Organic Framework for Water Purification:  
924 Insight from Molecular Simulation. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2012**, *116*, 6925–6931.
- 925 143. Barducci, A.; Bonomi, M.; Parrinello, M. Metadynamics. *Wires. Comput. Mol. Sci.* **2011**,  
926 *1*, 826–843.
- 927 144. Huber, T.; Torda, A.; van Gunsteren, W.F. Local elevation: A method for improving the searching  
928 properties of molecular dynamics simulation. *J. Comput.-Aided Mol. Des.* **1994**, *8*, 695–708.
- 929 145. Laio, A.; Rodriguez-Forteza, A.; Gervasio, F.L.; Ceccarelli, M.; Parrinello, M. Assessing the  
930 accuracy of metadynamics. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2005**, *109*, 6714–6721.
- 931 146. Bussi, G.; Laio, A.; Parrinello, M. Equilibrium free energies from nonequilibrium metadynamics.  
932 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2006**, *96*, 090601.
- 933 147. Raiteri, P.; Laio, A.; Gervasio, F.L.; Micheletti, C.; Parrinello, M. Efficient reconstruction of  
934 complex free energy landscapes by multiple walkers metadynamics. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2006**,  
935 *110*, 3533–3539.
- 936 148. Barducci, A.; Bussi, G.; Parrinello, M. Well-tempered metadynamics: A smoothly converging  
937 and tunable free-energy method. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2008**, *100*, 020603.
- 938 149. Singh, S.; Chiu, C.c.; de Pablo, J.J. Flux Tempered Metadynamics. *J. Stat. Phys.* **2011**,  
939 *145*, 932–945.

- 940 150. Bonomi, M.; Barducci, A.; Parrinello, M. Reconstructing the Equilibrium Boltzmann  
941 Distribution from Well-Tempered Metadynamics. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2009**, *30*, 1615–1621.
- 942 151. Branduardi, D.; Bussi, G.; Parrinello, M. Metadynamics with adaptive Gaussians. *J. Chem.*  
943 *Theory Comput.* **2012**, *8*, 2247–2254.
- 944 152. McGrath, M.J.; Kuo, I.F.W.; Hayashi, S.; Takada, S. ATP hydrolysis mechanism in kinesin  
945 studied by combined quantum-mechanical/molecular-mechanical metadynamics simulations. *J.*  
946 *Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**.
- 947 153. Mantz, Y.A.; Branduardi, D.; Bussi, G.; Parrinello, M. Ensemble of Transition State Structures  
948 for the Cis- Trans Isomerization of N-Methylacetamide. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2009**, *113*, 12521–  
949 12529.
- 950 154. Leone, V.; Lattanzi, G.; Molteni, C.; Carloni, P. Mechanism of action of cyclophilin a explored  
951 by metadynamics simulations. *PLOS Comput. Biol.* **2009**, *5*, e1000309.
- 952 155. Melis, C.; Bussi, G.; Lummis, S.C.; Molteni, C. Trans- cis Switching Mechanisms in Proline  
953 Analogues and Their Relevance for the Gating of the 5-HT3 Receptor. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2009**,  
954 *113*, 12148–12153.
- 955 156. Bussi, G.; Gervasio, F.L.; Laio, A.; Parrinello, M. Free-energy landscape for  $\beta$  hairpin folding  
956 from combined parallel tempering and metadynamics. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 13435–  
957 13441.
- 958 157. Gangupomu, V.; Abrams, C. All-atom models of the membrane-spanning domain of HIV-1 gp41  
959 from metadynamics. *Biophys. J.* **2010**, *99*, 3438–3444.
- 960 158. Berteotti, A.; Barducci, A.; Parrinello, M. Effect of Urea on the  $\beta$ -Hairpin Conformational  
961 Ensemble and Protein Denaturation Mechanism. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 17200–17206.
- 962 159. Granata, D.; Camilloni, C.; Vendruscolo, M.; Laio, A. Characterization of the free-energy  
963 landscapes of proteins by NMR-guided metadynamics. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2013**,  
964 *110*, 6817–6822.
- 965 160. Baftizadeh, F.; Biarnes, X.; Pietrucci, F.; Affinito, F.; Laio, A. Multidimensional View of  
966 Amyloid Fibril Nucleation in Atomistic Detail. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 3886–3894.
- 967 161. Gervasio, F.L.; Laio, A.; Parrinello, M. Flexible docking in solution using metadynamics. *J. Am.*  
968 *Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 2600–2607.
- 969 162. Soederhjelm, P.; Tribello, G.A.; Parrinello, M. Locating binding poses in protein-ligand systems  
970 using reconnaissance metadynamics. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2012**, *109*, 5170–5175.
- 971 163. Limongelli, V.; Bonomi, M.; Parrinello, M. Funnel metadynamics as accurate binding free-  
972 energy method. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2013**, *110*, 6358–6363.
- 973 164. Sutto, L.; Gervasio, F.L. Effects of oncogenic mutations on the conformational free-energy  
974 landscape of EGFR kinase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2013** (published ahead of print).  
975 DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1221953110.
- 976 165. Martonak, R.; Donadio, D.; Oganov, A.R.; Parrinello, M. Crystal structure transformations in  
977 SiO<sub>2</sub> from classical and ab initio metadynamics. *Nature Mat.* **2006**, *5*, 623–626.
- 978 166. Trudu, F.; Donadio, D.; Parrinello, M. Freezing of a Lennard-Jones fluid: from nucleation to  
979 spinodal regime. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2006**, *97*, 105701.

- 980 167. Stack, A.G.; Raiteri, P.; Gale, J.D. Accurate rates of the complex mechanisms for growth and  
981 dissolution of minerals using a combination of rare-event theories. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**,  
982 *134*, 11–14.
- 983 168. Zhang, C.; Knyazev, D.G.; Vereshaga, Y.A.; Ippoliti, E.; Nguyen, T.H.; Carloni, P.; Pohl, P.  
984 Water at hydrophobic interfaces delays proton surface-to-bulk transfer and provides a pathway  
985 for lateral proton diffusion. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2012**, *109*, 9744–9749.
- 986 169. Das, P.; Moll, M.; Stamati, H.; Kavraki, L.E.; Clementi, C. Low-dimensional, free-energy  
987 landscapes of protein-folding reactions by nonlinear dimensionality reduction. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*  
988 *Sci. USA* **2006**, *103*, 9885–9890.
- 989 170. Perilla, J.R.; Woolf, T.B. Towards the prediction of order parameters from molecular dynamics  
990 simulations in proteins. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2012**, *136*.
- 991 171. Ceriotti, M.; Tribello, G.A.; Parrinello, M. Simplifying the representation of complex free-energy  
992 landscapes using sketch-map. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2011**, *108*, 13023–13028.
- 993 172. Tribello, G.A.; Ceriotti, M.; Parrinello, M. A self-learning algorithm for biased molecular  
994 dynamics. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2010**, *107*, 17509–17514.
- 995 173. Tribello, G.A.; Cuny, J.; Eshet, H.; Parrinello, M. Exploring the free energy surfaces of clusters  
996 using reconnaissance metadynamics. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *135*.
- 997 174. Bartels, C.; Karplus, M. Probability distributions for complex systems: adaptive umbrella  
998 sampling of the potential energy. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **1998**, *102*, 865–880.
- 999 175. Micheletti, C.; Laio, A.; Parrinello, M. Reconstructing the density of states by history-dependent  
1000 metadynamics. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2004**, *92*, 170601.
- 1001 176. Bonomi, M.; Parrinello, M. Enhanced sampling in the well-tempered ensemble. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*  
1002 **2010**, *104*, 190601.
- 1003 177. Do, T.N.; Carloni, P.; Varani, G.; Bussi, G. RNA/Peptide Binding Driven by Electrostatic Insight  
1004 from Bidirectional Pulling Simulations. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2013**, *9*, 1720–1730.
- 1005 178. Roitberg, A.; Elber, R. Modeling side-chains in peptides and proteins - application of the locally  
1006 enhanced sampling and the simulated annealing methods to find minimum energy conformations.  
1007 *J. Chem. Phys.* **1991**, *95*, 9277–9287.
- 1008 179. Patel, A.J.; Varilly, P.; Chandler, D.; Garde, S. Quantifying Density Fluctuations in Volumes of  
1009 All Shapes and Sizes Using Indirect Umbrella Sampling. *J. Stat. Phys.* **2011**, *145*, 265–275.
- 1010 180. Mueller, M.; Smirnova, Y.G.; Marelli, G.; Fuhrmans, M.; Shi, A.C. Transition Path from Two  
1011 Apposed Membranes to a Stalk Obtained by a Combination of Particle Simulations and String  
1012 Method. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2012**, *108*.
- 1013 181. Pietrucci, F.; Laio, A. A collective variable for the efficient exploration of protein beta-sheet  
1014 structures: application to sh3 and gb1. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2009**, *5*, 2197–2201.
- 1015 182. Branduardi, D.; Gervasio, F.L.; Parrinello, M. From A to B in free energy space. *J. Chem. Phys.*  
1016 **2007**, *126*, 054103.
- 1017 183. Zinovjev, K.; Martí, S.; Tuñón, I. A Collective Coordinate to Obtain Free Energy Profiles for  
1018 Complex Reactions in Condensed Phases. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2012**, *8*, 1795–1801.
- 1019 184. Spiwok, V.; Králová, B. Metadynamics in the conformational space nonlinearly dimensionally  
1020 reduced by Isomap. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *135*, 224504–224504.

- 1021 185. Kirkpatrick, S.; Jr., D.G.; Vecchi, M.P. Optimization by simulated annealing. *Science* **1983**,  
1022 220, 671–680.
- 1023 186. Marinari, E.; Parisi, G. Simulated tempering: a new Monte Carlo scheme. *Europhys. Lett.* **1992**,  
1024 19, 451.
- 1025 187. Park, S.; Pande, V.S. Choosing weights for simulated tempering. *Phys. Rev. E* **2007**, 76, 016703.
- 1026 188. Hansmann, U.H. Parallel tempering algorithm for conformational studies of biological  
1027 molecules. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1997**, 281, 140–150.
- 1028 189. Sugita, Y.; Okamoto, Y. Replica-exchange molecular dynamics method for protein folding.  
1029 *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1999**, 314, 141–151.
- 1030 190. Frenkel, D. Speed-up of Monte Carlo simulations by sampling of rejected states. *Proc. Natl.*  
1031 *Acad. Sci. USA* **2004**, 101, 17571–17575.
- 1032 191. Coluzza, I.; Frenkel, D. Virtual-Move Parallel Tempering. *ChemPhysChem* **2005**, 6, 1779–1783.
- 1033 192. Sindhikara, D.; Meng, Y.; Roitberg, A.E. Exchange frequency in replica exchange molecular  
1034 dynamics. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, 128, 024103.
- 1035 193. Bussi, G. A simple asynchronous replica-exchange implementation. *Nuovo Cimento C*  
1036 *Geophysics Space Physics C* **2009**, 32, 61–65.
- 1037 194. Gallicchio, E.; Levy, R.M.; Parashar, M. Asynchronous replica exchange for molecular  
1038 simulations. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2008**, 29, 788–794.
- 1039 195. Rosta, E.; Buchete, N.V.; Hummer, G. Thermostat artifacts in replica exchange molecular  
1040 dynamics simulations. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2009**, 5, 1393–1399.
- 1041 196. Sindhikara, D.J.; Emerson, D.J.; Roitberg, A.E. Exchange often and properly in replica exchange  
1042 molecular dynamics. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2010**, 6, 2804–2808.
- 1043 197. Vreede, J.; Crielaard, W.; Hellingwerf, K.; Bolhuis, P. Predicting the signaling state of  
1044 photoactive yellow protein. *Biophys. J.* **2005**, 88, 3525–3535.
- 1045 198. Zhang, T.; Mu, Y. Initial Binding of Ions to the Interhelical Loops of Divalent Ion Transporter  
1046 CorA: Replica Exchange Molecular Dynamics Simulation Study. *PLOS ONE* **2012**, 7, e43872.
- 1047 199. Zhou, R. Trp-cage: Folding free energy landscape in explicit water. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*  
1048 **2003**, 100, 13280–13285.
- 1049 200. Garcia, A.; Onuchic, J. Folding a protein in a computer: An atomic description of the  
1050 folding/unfolding of protein A. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2003**, 100, 13898–13903.
- 1051 201. Im, W.; Feig, M.; Brooks, C. An implicit membrane generalized born theory for the study of  
1052 structure, stability, and interactions of membrane proteins. *Biophys. J.* **2003**, 85, 2900–2918.
- 1053 202. Mei, Y.; Wei, C.; Yip, Y.M.; Ho, C.Y.; Zhang, J.Z.H.; Zhang, D. Folding and thermodynamic  
1054 studies of Trp-cage based on polarized force field. *Theo. Chem. Accts.* **2012**, 131.
- 1055 203. Berhanu, W.M.; Jiang, P.; Hansmann, U.H.E. Folding and association of a homotetrameric  
1056 protein complex in an all-atom Go model. *Phys. Rev. E* **2013**, 87.
- 1057 204. Kokubo, H.; Okamoto, Y. Self-assembly of transmembrane helices of bacteriorhodopsin by a  
1058 replica-exchange Monte Carlo simulation. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2004**, 392, 168–175.
- 1059 205. Oshaben, K.M.; Salari, R.; McCaslin, D.R.; Chong, L.T.; Horne, W.S. The Native  
1060 GCN4 Leucine-Zipper Domain Does Not Uniquely Specify a Dimeric Oligomerization State.  
1061 *Biochemistry* **2012**, 51, 9581–9591.

- 1062 206. Sugita, Y.; Okamoto, Y. Replica-exchange multicanonical algorithm and multicanonical replica-  
1063 exchange method for simulating systems with rough energy landscape. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2000**,  
1064 *329*, 261–270.
- 1065 207. Fukunishi, H.; Watanabe, O.; Takada, S. On the Hamiltonian replica exchange method for  
1066 efficient sampling of biomolecular systems: Application to protein structure prediction. *J. Chem.*  
1067 *Phys.* **2002**, *116*, 9058.
- 1068 208. Liu, P.; Kim, B.; Friesner, R.A.; Berne, B. Replica exchange with solute tempering: A method  
1069 for sampling biological systems in explicit water. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2005**, *102*, 13749–  
1070 13754.
- 1071 209. Affentranger, R.; Tavernelli, I.; Di Iorio, E.E. A novel Hamiltonian replica exchange MD protocol  
1072 to enhance protein conformational space sampling. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2006**, *2*, 217–228.
- 1073 210. Fajer, M.; Hamelberg, D.; McCammon, J.A. Replica-exchange accelerated molecular dynamics  
1074 (REXAMD) applied to thermodynamic integration. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2008**, *4*, 1565–  
1075 1569.
- 1076 211. Xu, C.; Wang, J.; Liu, H. A Hamiltonian Replica Exchange Approach and Its Application to the  
1077 Study of Side-Chain Type and Neighbor Effects on Peptide Backbone Conformations. *J. Chem.*  
1078 *Theory Comput.* **2008**, *4*, 1348–1359.
- 1079 212. Zacharias, M. Combining elastic network analysis and molecular dynamics simulations by  
1080 hamiltonian replica exchange. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2008**, *4*, 477–487.
- 1081 213. Vreede, J.; Wolf, M.G.; de Leeuw, S.W.; Bolhuis, P.G. Reordering hydrogen bonds using  
1082 Hamiltonian replica exchange enhances sampling of conformational changes in biomolecular  
1083 systems. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2009**, *113*, 6484–6494.
- 1084 214. Itoh, S.G.; Okumura, H.; Okamoto, Y. Replica-exchange method in van der Waals radius space:  
1085 Overcoming steric restrictions for biomolecules. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2010**, *132*, 134105.
- 1086 215. Meng, Y.; Roitberg, A.E. Constant pH replica exchange molecular dynamics in biomolecules  
1087 using a discrete protonation model. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2010**, *6*, 1401–1412.
- 1088 216. Terakawa, T.; Kameda, T.; Takada, S. On easy implementation of a variant of the replica exchange  
1089 with solute tempering in GROMACS. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2011**, *32*, 1228–1234.
- 1090 217. Wang, L.; Friesner, R.A.; Berne, B. Replica exchange with solute scaling: A more efficient  
1091 version of replica exchange with solute tempering (REST2). *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2011**, *115*, 9431–  
1092 9438.
- 1093 218. Zhang, C.; Ma, J. Folding helical proteins in explicit solvent using dihedral-biased tempering.  
1094 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2012**, *109*, 8139–8144.
- 1095 219. Bussi, G. Hamiltonian replica-exchange in GROMACS: a flexible implementation. *Mol. Phys.*  
1096 **2013**. DOI:10.1080/00268976.2013.824126.
- 1097 220. Huang, X.; Hagen, M.; Kim, B.; Friesner, R.A.; Zhou, R.; Berne, B. Replica exchange with  
1098 solute tempering: efficiency in large scale systems. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2007**, *111*, 5405–5410.
- 1099 221. Denschlag, R.; Lingenheil, M.; Tavan, P.; Mathias, G. Simulated solute tempering. *J. Chem.*  
1100 *Theory Comput.* **2009**, *5*, 2847–2857.
- 1101 222. Zuckerman, D.M.; Lyman, E. A second look at canonical sampling of biomolecules using replica  
1102 exchange simulation. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2006**, *2*, 1200–1202.

- 1103 223. Chodera, J.D.; Swope, W.C.; Pitera, J.W.; Seok, C.; Dill, K.A. Use of the weighted histogram  
1104 analysis method for the analysis of simulated and parallel tempering simulations. *J. Chem.*  
1105 *Theory Comput.* **2007**, *3*, 26–41.
- 1106 224. Camilloni, C.; Provasi, D.; Tiana, G.; Broglia, R.A. Exploring the protein G helix free-energy  
1107 surface by solute tempering metadynamics. *Proteins* **2008**, *71*, 1647–1654.
- 1108 225. Deighan, M.; Bonomi, M.; Pfaendtner, J. Efficient Simulation of Explicitly Solvated Proteins in  
1109 the Well-Tempered Ensemble. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2012**, *8*, 2189–2192.
- 1110 226. Piana, S.; Laio, A. A bias-exchange approach to protein folding. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2007**,  
1111 *111*, 4553–4559.
- 1112 227. Baftizadeh, F.; Cossio, P.; Pietrucci, F.; Laio, A. Protein Folding and Ligand-Enzyme Binding  
1113 from Bias-Exchange Metadynamics Simulations. *Curr. Phys. Chem.* **2012**, *2*, 79–91.
- 1114 228. E, W.; Ren, W.; Vanden-Eijnden, E. String method for the study of rare events. *Phys. Rev. B.*  
1115 **2002**, *66*, 052301.
- 1116 229. Maragliano, L.; Fischer, A.; Vanden-Eijnden, E.; Ciccotti, G. String method in collective  
1117 variables: Minimum free energy paths and isocommittor surfaces. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2006**,  
1118 *125*, 024106.
- 1119 230. Vashisth, H.; Abrams, C.F. All-atom structural models of insulin binding to the insulin receptor  
1120 in the presence of a tandem hormone-binding element. *Proteins* **2013**, *81*, 1017–1030.
- 1121 231. Ovchinnikov, V.; Karplus, M.; Vanden-Eijnden, E. Free energy of conformational transition paths  
1122 in biomolecules: The string method and its application to myosin VI. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *134*.
- 1123 232. Maragliano, L.; Vanden-Eijnden, E. On-the-fly String Method for Minimum Free Energy Paths  
1124 Calculation. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2007**, *446*, 182–190.
- 1125 233. Stober, S.T.; Abrams, C.F. Energetics and mechanism of the normal-to-amyloidogenic  
1126 isomerization of b2-microglobulin: On-the-fly string method calculations. *J. Phys. Chem. B*  
1127 **2012**, *116*, 93719375. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp304805v>.
- 1128 234. Zinovjev, K.; Ruiz-Pernia, J.; Tuñón, I. Toward an Automatic Determination of Enzymatic  
1129 Reaction Mechanisms and Their Activation Free Energies. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2013**,  
1130 *9*, 3740–3749.
- 1131 235. Abrams, C.F.; Vanden-Eijnden, E. On-the-fly free energy parameterization via temperature  
1132 accelerated molecular dynamics. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2012**, *547*, 114–119.
- 1133 236. Chen, M.; Cuendet, M.A.; Tuckerman, M.E. Heating and flooding: A unified approach for rapid  
1134 generation of free energy surfaces. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2012**, *137*.
- 1135 237. Phillips, J.C.; Braun, R.; Wang, W.; Gumbart, J.; Tajkhorshid, E.; Villa, E.; Chipot, C.; Skeel,  
1136 R.D.; Kalé, L.; Schulten, K. Scalable molecular dynamics with NAMD. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2005**,  
1137 *26*, 1781–1802.
- 1138 238. Plimpton, S. Fast parallel algorithms for short-range molecular-dynamics. *J. Comput. Phys.*  
1139 **1995**, *117*, 1–19.
- 1140 239. Hess, B.; Kutzner, C.; van der Spoel, D.; Lindahl, E. GROMACS 4: Algorithms for highly  
1141 efficient, load-balanced, and scalable molecular simulation. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2008**,  
1142 *4*, 435–447.

- 1143 240. Case, D.; Cheatham, T.; Darden, T.; Gohlke, H.; Luo, R.; Merz, K.; Onufriev, A.; Simmerling,  
1144 C.; Wang, B.; Woods, R. The Amber biomolecular simulation programs. *J. Comput. Chem.*  
1145 **2005**, *26*, 1668–1688.
- 1146 241. Brooks, B.; Bruccoleri, R.; Olafson, B.; States, D.; Swaminathan, S.; Karplus, M. CHARMM  
1147 - A program for macromolecular energy, minimization, and dynamics calculations. *J. Comput.*  
1148 *Chem.* **1983**, *4*, 187–217.
- 1149 242. Fiorin, G.; Klein, M.L.; Hénin, J. Using collective variables to drive molecular dynamics  
1150 simulations. *Mol. Phys.* **2013**. DOI:10.1080/00268976.2013.813594.
- 1151 243. Bonomi, M.; Branduardi, D.; Bussi, G.; Camilloni, C.; Provasi, D.; Raiteri, P.; Donadio, D.;  
1152 Marinelli, F.; Pietrucci, F.; Broglia, R.A.; Parrinello, M. PLUMED: A portable plugin for free-  
1153 energy calculations with molecular dynamics. *Comput. Phys. Comm.* **2009**, *180*, 1961–1972.
- 1154 244. Tribello, G.; Bonomi, M.; Branduardi, D.; Camilloni, C.; Bussi, G. PLUMED 2: New feathers  
1155 for an old bird. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **2013**. DOI:10.1016/j.cpc.2013.09.018.

1156 © August 22, 2018 by the authors; submitted to *Entropy* for possible open access  
1157 publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license  
1158 <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/>.