An Importance Sampling Scheme on Dual Factor Graphs. I. Models in a Strong External Field

Mehdi Molkaraie
mehdi.molkaraie@alumni.ethz.ch

arXiv:1401.4912v5 [stat.CO] 5 Feb 2015

***Abstract*—We propose an importance sampling scheme to estimate the partition function of the two-dimensional ferromagnetic Ising model and the two-dimensional ferromagnetic q-state Potts model, both in the presence of an external magnetic field. The proposed scheme operates in the dual Forney factor graph and is capable of efficiently computing an estimate of the partition function under a wide range of model parameters. In particular, we consider models that are in a strong external magnetic field.**

I. Introduction

In [1], the authors showed that for two-dimensional (2D) Ising models, at low temperature Monte Carlo methods mix much faster on the dual Forney factor graph than on the original factor graph. Monte Carlo methods on the dual factor graph were also proposed in [1] to estimate of the partition function of 2D Ising models (with constant or with spatially varying couplings) in the absence of an external magnetic field.

In the absence of an external field, the exact value of the partition function of 2D Ising models with constant coupling was first calculated by Onsager [2], [3, Chapter 7]. However, the 2D Ising model in an arbitrary non-zero external field and the three-dimensional (3D) Ising model have remained unsolved [4], [5].

In general, quantities of interest in statistical physics, e.g., the partition function and the mean magnetization of 2D models, can be estimated using Markov chain Monte Carlo methods [6]–[9]. At low temperatures, however, Monte Carlo methods usually suffer from critical slowing down. It is well known that at a certain critical temperature, the 2D ferromagnetic Ising model undergoes a phase transition; below this temperature, variables (spins) have long-range dependencies and Monte Carlo methods (based on single spin-flips) do not mix rapidly [8].

We propose an importance sampling algorithm [6], [7] which can be used to compute the partition function of models with pairwise interactions. In this paper, we are mainly concerned with computing the partition function of finite-size 2D ferromagnetic Ising models and q-state Potts models [10], when the models are under the influence of an external field. In our numerical experiments, we will also consider 3D ferromagnetic Ising models. The importance sampling scheme operates on the dual of the Forney factor graphs representing the models. Our numerical results show that the scheme performs well in a wide range of model parameters.

It must be emphasized that, unlike well-known algorithms, e.g., Gibbs sampling [11] and the Swendsen-Wang algorithm [12], the proposed scheme does not suggest a method to draw samples according to the Boltzmann distribution on factor graphs, as sampling is done in the dual domain.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we review the Ising model and graphical model representations in terms of Forney factor graphs. Dual Forney factor graphs and the normal factor graph duality theorem are discussed in Section III. The importance sampling algorithm on the dual Forney factor graph is described in Section IV. In Section V, we briefly discuss generalizations to the q-state Potts model. Numerical experiments are reported in Section VI.

II. The Ising Model in an External Magnetic Field

Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_N$ be random variables arranged on the sites of a 2D lattice, as illustrated in Fig. 1, where interaction is restricted to adjacent (nearest-neighbor) variables. Suppose each random variable takes values in a finite alphabet $\mathcal{X}$. Let x_i represent a possible realization of X_i, let $\mathbf{x}$ stand for a configuration $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N)$, and let $\mathbf{X}$ stand for $(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_N)$.

We start with the 2D Ising model, generalizations to the q-state Potts model are deferred to Section V. In a 2D Ising model, $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1\}$ and the Hamiltonian is defined as [13]

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{Ising}}(\mathbf{x}) \triangleq - \sum_{(k,\,\ell)\,\in\,\mathcal{B}} J_{k,\ell} \cdot \big([x_k = x_\ell] - [x_k \neq x_\ell]\big) - \sum_{m=1}^{N} H_m \cdot \big([x_m = 1] - [x_m = 0]\big) \quad (1)$$

where the set $\mathcal{B}$ contains all the unordered pairs (bonds) (k, ℓ) with non-zero interactions and $[\cdot]$ denotes the Iverson bracket [14], which evaluates to 1 if the condition in the bracket is satisfied and to 0 otherwise.

The real coupling parameter $J_{k,\ell}$ controls the strength of the interaction between adjacent variables (x_k, x_ℓ). The real parameter H_m corresponds to the presence of an external magnetic field and controls the strength of the interaction between X_m and the field.

In this paper, we concentrate on ferromagnetic models, characterized by $J_{k,\ell} > 0$, for each $(k, \ell) \in \mathcal{B}$. The external field is assumed to be consistent. If $H_m > 0$, variable X_m tends to have value 1, while X_m tends to have value 0 if $H_m < 0$.

In thermal equilibrium, the probability that the model is in configuration $\mathbf{x}$ is given by the Boltzmann distribution

$$p_{\text{B}}(\mathbf{x}) \triangleq \frac{e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x})}}{Z} \quad (2)$$




Fig. 1. Forney factor graph of the 2D Ising model in an external field, where the unlabeled normal-size boxes represent factors as in (4), the small boxes represent factors as in (5), and the boxes containing $=$ symbols are equality constraints.




Fig. 2. Dual Forney factor graph of the 2D Ising model in an external field, where the small boxes represent factors as in (10), the unlabeled normal-size boxes represent factors as in (11), and the boxes containing $+$ symbols represent XOR factors as in (9).

Here, the normalization constant Z is the partition function $Z = \sum_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{X}^N} e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x})}$ and $\beta \triangleq \frac{1}{k_\mathrm{B}T}$, where T denotes the temperature and k_B is Boltzmann's constant [13], [15].

The Helmholtz free energy is defined as

$$F_\mathrm{H} \triangleq -\frac{1}{\beta}\ln Z \tag{3}$$

In the rest of this paper, we will assume $\beta = 1$. With this assumption, e.g., large values of J and $|H|$ correspond to models at low temperature and in a strong external field.

For each adjacent pair (x_k, x_ℓ), let

$$\kappa_{k,\ell}(x_k, x_\ell) = e^{J_{k,\ell}\cdot\left([x_k=x_\ell]-[x_k\neq x_\ell]\right)} \tag{4}$$

and for each x_m

$$\tau_m(x_m) = e^{H_m\cdot\left([x_m=1]-[x_m=0]\right)} \tag{5}$$

We can then define $f : \mathcal{X}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ as

$$f(\mathbf{x}) \triangleq \prod_{(k,\,\ell)\,\in\,\mathcal{B}} \kappa_{k,\ell}(x_k, x_\ell) \prod_{m=1}^{N} \tau_m(x_m) \tag{6}$$

The corresponding Forney factor graph (normal graph) for the factorization in (6) is shown in Fig. 1, where the boxes labeled "=" are equality constraints [16].

From (6), the partition function (2) can be expressed as

$$Z = \sum_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{X}^N} f(\mathbf{x}) \tag{7}$$

At high temperatures (i.e., small J), the Boltzmann distribution (2) approaches the uniform distribution. To estimate Z in this case, Monte Carlo methods in the original factor graph, as in Fig. 1, generally perform well. In this paper, we propose an importance sampling scheme to compute an estimate of the partition function, where the only requirement to obtain fast convergence is having a strong external magnetic field (i.e., large $|H|$). The scheme operates in the dual of the Forney factor graph representing the factorization in (6).

III. The Dual Model

Starting from a Forney factor graph, as in Fig. 1, we can obtain its dual by replacing each variable x with its dual variable $\tilde{x}$, each factor $\kappa_{k,\ell}$ with its 2D Discrete Fourier transform (DFT)[1], each factor τ_m with its one-dimensional (1D) DFT, and each equality constraint with an XOR factor, see [17]–[20].

In the dual domain, random variables $\tilde{X}$ also take their values in $\mathcal{X}$. The partition function is denoted by Z_d and the number of edges by E. For the models that we consider in this paper, the normal factor graph duality theorem states that[2]

$$Z_\mathrm{d} = |\mathcal{X}^E|Z \tag{8}$$

see [19, Theorem 2] and [18] especially for the normal factor graph duality theorem in the context of linear codes.

For variables $\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \ldots, \tilde{x}_k$, in the dual Forney factor graph of the Ising model, XOR factors are defined as

$$g(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \ldots, \tilde{x}_k) \triangleq [\tilde{x}_1 \oplus \tilde{x}_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus \tilde{x}_k = 0] \tag{9}$$

where $\oplus$ denotes addition in GF(2).

The 1D DFT of factors as in (5) will have the following form

$$\lambda_m(\tilde{x}_m) = \begin{cases} 2\cosh H_m, & \text{if } \tilde{x}_m = 0 \\ -2\sinh H_m, & \text{if } \tilde{x}_m = 1 \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

[1]Here, $\gamma(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2)$, the 2D DFT of $\kappa(x_1, x_2)$, is defined as

$$\gamma(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2) \triangleq \sum_{x_1\in\mathcal{X}} \sum_{x_2\in\mathcal{X}} \kappa(x_1, x_2) e^{-i2\pi(x_1\tilde{x}_1 + x_2\tilde{x}_2)/|\mathcal{X}|}$$

where i is the unit imaginary number [21].

[2]To be more precise, in our models there are no variables involved with only one factor. In Forney factor graphs such variables are represented by half-edges [17]. For the general form of the normal factor graph duality theorem see [18], [19].




Fig. 3. Modified dual Forney factor graph of the 2D Ising model in an external field, where the small boxes represent factors as in (10), the unlabeled normal-size boxes represent factors as in (12), and boxes containing $+$ symbols represent XOR factors as in (9).




Fig. 4. Modified Forney factor graph of the 2D Ising model in an external field, where the small boxes represent factors as in (13), the unlabeled normal-size boxes represent factors as in (14), and boxes containing $+$ symbols represent XOR factors as in (9).

and each factor (4) is replaced by its 2D DFT, which has the following form

$$\gamma_{k,\ell}(\tilde{x}_k, \tilde{x}_\ell) = \begin{cases} 4\cosh J_{k,\ell}, & \text{if } \tilde{x}_k = \tilde{x}_\ell = 0 \\ 4\sinh J_{k,\ell}, & \text{if } \tilde{x}_k = \tilde{x}_\ell = 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

The corresponding dual Forney factor graph with factors as in (10) and (11) is shown in Fig. 2.

All the factors in (11) are diagonal, therefore it is possible to simplify the dual factor graph in Fig. 2, to construct the modified dual factor graph depicted in Fig. 3, with factors attached to each equality constraint as

$$\gamma_k(\tilde{x}_k) = \begin{cases} 4\cosh J_k, & \text{if } \tilde{x}_k = 0 \\ 4\sinh J_k, & \text{if } \tilde{x}_k = 1 \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

Here, J_k is the coupling parameter associated with each bond (the bond strength). The corresponding modified dual Forney factor graph with factors as in (10) and (12) is shown in Fig. 3; see [1] for more details.

In this paper, we concentrate on ferromagnetic models, therefore all the factors as in (12) are positive. Since in a 2D Ising model, the value of Z is invariant under the change of sign of the external magnetic field [3], without loss of generality, we assume $H_m < 0$. With this assumption, all the factors as in (10) will also be positive[3].

As a side remark, we point out that by looking at the dual of the modified dual Forney factor graph in Fig. 3 we can obtain the modified Forney factor graph of a 2D Ising model in an external field, with factors attached to each equality constraint as

$$\tau_m(x_m) = \begin{cases} e^{-H_m}, & \text{if } x_m = 0 \\ e^{H_m}, & \text{if } x_m = 1 \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

and with factors attached to each XOR factor as

$$\kappa_k(x_k) = \begin{cases} e^{J_k}, & \text{if } x_k = 0 \\ e^{-J_k}, & \text{if } x_k = 1 \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

The corresponding modified Forney factor graph is shown in Fig. 4.

In Section IV, we use the dual Forney factor graph representation of the Ising model to propose an importance sampling scheme to compute an estimate of Z, as in (7).

IV. An Importance Sampling Scheme on Dual Factor Graphs

We describe our importance sampling scheme on the modified dual Forney factor graph of the 2D Ising model in an external field shown in Fig. 3. The scheme can be described analogously for the 2D q-state Potts model, see Section V.

Let us partition the set of random variables $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$, into $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_A$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_B$, with the restriction that the random variables in $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_B$ are linear combinations (involving the XOR factors) of the random variables in $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_A$.

In our framework, we let $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_B$ be the set of all the variables represented by the edges connected to the small unlabeled boxes in Figs. 3 and 5, which are the variables involved in factors as in (10). With this choice, $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_A$ will contain all the variables involved in factors as in (12), which are associated with each bond in the modified dual Forney factor graph and are marked by thick edges in Fig. 5. As will be discussed, this choice of partitioning is appropriate for models in a strong external field. A valid configuration $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = (\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_B)$ in the

[3]The factors in the dual domain can in general be negative or complex-valued. Here, we require all factors to be positive because we need to define a probability mass function on the dual factor graph, which is then used in the importance sampling scheme of Section IV. Applying Monte Carlo methods to factor graphs with negative and complex factors is discussed in [22].

dual factor graph can be created by assigning values to $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_A$, followed by updating $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_B$ as linear combinations of $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_A$.

Let us define

$$\Gamma(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A) \triangleq \prod_{\tilde{x}_k \in \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A} \gamma_k(\tilde{x}_k) \tag{15}$$

$$\Lambda(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_B) \triangleq \prod_{\tilde{x}_m \in \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_B} \lambda_m(\tilde{x}_m) \tag{16}$$

We use the following probability mass function as the auxiliary distribution in our importance sampling scheme

$$q(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A) \triangleq \frac{\Gamma(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A)}{Z_q}, \qquad \forall \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A \in \mathcal{X}^{|\mathcal{B}|} \tag{17}$$

The auxiliary distribution (17) has two key properties. First, its partition function Z_q is analytically available as

$$Z_q = \sum_{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A} \Gamma(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A) \tag{18}$$

$$= \prod_{k \in \mathcal{B}} 4(\cosh J_k + \sinh J_k) \tag{19}$$

$$= 4^{|\mathcal{B}|} \exp\Big(\sum_{k \in \mathcal{B}} J_k \Big) \tag{20}$$

where $|\mathcal{B}|$ is the cardinality of $\mathcal{B}$, which is equal to the number of bonds (the number of interacting pairs) in the lattice (cf. Section II). The value of Z_q is thus a function of the sum of all the coupling parameters.

Second, it is straightforward to draw *independent* samples $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A^{(1)}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A^{(2)}, \ldots, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A^{(\ell)}, \ldots$, according to $q(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A)$. To draw $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A^{(\ell)}$, we use the following algorithm

draw $u_1^{(\ell)}, u_2^{(\ell)}, \ldots, u_{|\mathcal{B}|}^{(\ell)} \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{U}[0,1]$
for $k = 1$ **to** $|\mathcal{B}|$
  if $u_k^{(\ell)} < \frac{1}{2}(1 + e^{-2J_k})$
    $\tilde{x}_{A,k}^{(\ell)} = 0$
  else
    $\tilde{x}_{A,k}^{(\ell)} = 1$
  end if
end for

The quantity $\frac{1}{2}(1+e^{-2J_k})$ is equal to $\gamma_k(0)/\big(\gamma_k(0)+\gamma_k(1)\big)$.

Random variables in $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_B$ are linear combinations of those in $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_A$, therefore after drawing $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A^{(\ell)}$, updating $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_B^{(\ell)}$ can be done in a straightforward manner. The samples are then used in the following importance sampling algorithm to estimate Z_{d}/Z_q.

draw $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A^{(1)}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A^{(2)}, \ldots, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A^{(L)}$ *according to* $q(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A)$
update $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_B^{(1)}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_B^{(2)}, \ldots, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_B^{(L)}$
compute

$$\hat{r}_{\mathrm{IS}} = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \Lambda(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_B^{(\ell)}) \tag{21}$$

It follows that, $\hat{r}_{\mathrm{IS}}$ is an unbiased and consistent estimator of Z_{d}/Z_q.

Indeed

$$\mathrm{E}_q[\,\hat{r}_{\mathrm{IS}}\,] = \frac{Z_{\mathrm{d}}}{Z_q} \tag{22}$$




Fig. 5. A partitioning of variables on the modified dual Forney factor graph of the 2D Ising model in an external field, where the thick edges (bonds) represent variables in $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_A$ and edges connected to the unlabeled small boxes represent variables in $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_B$. Here, variables in $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_B$ are linear combinations (involving XOR factors) of the variables in $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_A$.

Since Z_q is analytically available (20), the proposed importance sampling scheme can yield an estimate of Z_{d}, which can then be used to estimate the partition function (7), using the normal factor graph duality theorem (cf. Section III).

The accuracy of $\hat{r}_{\mathrm{IS}}$ in (21) depends on the fluctuations of $\Lambda(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_B)$. If $\Lambda(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_B)$ varies smoothly, $\hat{r}_{\mathrm{IS}}$ will have a small variance. With our choice of partitioning in (15) and (16), we expect to observe a small variance if the Ising model is in a strong (negative) external magnetic field, see Appendix I.

The choice of partitioning on the dual graph is arbitrary, as long as $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_B$ can be computed as linear combinations of $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_A$. Our choice of partitioning is suitable for models in a strong external magnetic field. Depending on the values of the model parameters and their spatial distributions, different choices of partitioning will yield schemes with different dynamics.

If the model is not in a very strong external field, we can consider applying annealed importance sampling [24], [9]; see Appendix II. For models in a weak external field, the efficiency of the importance sampling algorithm on the dual factor graph should be compared to the efficiency of Monte Carlo methods applied directly to the original factor graph, as in Figs. 1 and 4.

We can design a uniform sampling scheme by drawing each $x_{A,k}^{(\ell)}$ uniformly and independently from $\mathcal{X}$, and by applying

$$\hat{r}_{\mathrm{Unif}} = \frac{|\mathcal{X}|^{|\mathcal{B}|}}{L} \sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \Gamma(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A^{(\ell)}) \Lambda(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_B^{(\ell)}) \tag{23}$$

It is easy to verify that, $\mathrm{E}[\,\hat{r}_{\mathrm{Unif}}\,] = Z_{\mathrm{d}}$.

It must be emphasized that, the cost of generating $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A^{(\ell)}$ using the importance sampling scheme (i.e., drawing an independent sample according to (17)) is virtually the same as the cost of generating a sample with uniform sampling (i.e., drawing a sample uniformly and independently in the state space).

The efficiency of the uniform sampling algorithm and the importance sampling scheme will be close if the model is at very low temperature, i.e., J_k is very large. However, for a wider range of model parameters, importance sampling outperforms uniform sampling, as will be illustrated in our numerical experiments in Section VI; see Appendix I. Applying uniform sampling and Gibbs sampling in the dual domain to 2D Ising models in the absence of an external field are discussed in [1].

V. The q-State Potts Model in an External Magnetic Field

In a 2D q-state Potts model, $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1, \ldots, q-1\}$, where q is an integer greater than or equal to 2. The energy of a configuration $\mathbf{x}$ is given by the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{Potts}}(\mathbf{x}) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} -\sum_{(k,\,\ell)\,\in\,\mathcal{B}} J_{k,\ell}[x_k = x_\ell] - \sum_{m=1}^{N} H_m[x_m = 0] \quad (24)$$

Here, $J_{k,\ell}$ controls the strength of the interaction between adjacent variables (x_k, x_ℓ) and H_m corresponds to the presence of an external magnetic field[4]. For $q = 2$, the Potts model is equivalent to the Ising model.

Similar to the 2D Ising model in Section II, for each adjacent pair (x_k, x_ℓ), we let

$$\kappa_{k,\ell}(x_k, x_\ell) = e^{J_{k,\ell}[x_k = x_\ell]} \quad (25)$$

and for each x_m

$$\tau_m(x_m) = e^{H_m[x_m = 0]} \quad (26)$$

The Forney factor graph of a 2D q-state Potts model is similar to the factor graph in Fig. 1, where the unlabeled normal-size boxes represent factors as in (25), and the small boxes represent factors as in (26).

In the dual Forney graph, the XOR factors are as in (9), where $\oplus$ denotes addition in GF(q). The 1D DFT of factors as in (26) are

$$\lambda_m(\tilde{x}_m) = \begin{cases} e^{H_m} + q - 1, & \text{if } \tilde{x}_m = 0 \\ e^{H_m} - 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

and the 2D DFT of (25) will have the following form

$$\gamma_k(\tilde{x}_k, \tilde{x}_\ell) = \begin{cases} q(e^{J_{k,\ell}} + q - 1), & \text{if } \tilde{x}_k = \tilde{x}_\ell = 0 \\ q(e^{J_{k,\ell}} - 1), & \text{if } \tilde{x}_k \oplus \tilde{x}_\ell = 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

where $\oplus$ denotes addition in GF(q).

The corresponding dual Forney factor graph is shown in Fig. 2, where the small boxes represent factors as in (27) and the unlabeled normal-size boxes represent factors as in (28).

By adding extra XOR factors on each bond, we can obtain the modified dual Forney factor graph of the q-state Potts model with factors attached to each equality constraint as

[4]Our definition of the Hamiltonian (24) is based on the assumption that H_m applies only if $x_m = 0$. The Hamiltonian of the Potts model can be defined in other ways, e.g., the external field can apply when $x_m = 1$ or when x_m is in more than one sate.




Fig. 6. The modified dual Forney factor graph of the 2D Potts model in an external field. The small boxes represent factors as in (27), the unlabeled normal-size boxes represent factors as in (29), and boxes containing + symbols represent XOR factors as in (9), where $\oplus$ denotes addition in GF(q).

$$\gamma_k(\tilde{x}_k) = \begin{cases} q(e^{J_k} + q - 1), & \text{if } \tilde{x}_k = 0 \\ q(e^{J_k} - 1), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

Here, J_k is the coupling parameter associated with each bond. Fig. 6 shows the corresponding modified dual Forney factor graph with factors as in (27) and (29)[5].

We consider ferromagnetic Potts models in a positive external field, characterized by $J_k > 0$ and $H_m > 0$, respectively. Therefore, all the factors in (27) and (29) will be positive.

The importance sampling scheme can be generalized to the q-state Potts model with little effort. We are not going to repeat the complete scheme here. We only point out that following the set-up of Section IV, we have

$$Z_q = q^{2|\mathcal{B}|} \exp\Big(\sum_{k\in\mathcal{B}} J_k\Big) \quad (30)$$

To draw $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A^{(\ell)}$ according to $q(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A)$, we apply

draw $u_1^{(\ell)}, u_2^{(\ell)}, \ldots, u_{|\mathcal{B}|}^{(\ell)} \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{U}[0,1]$
for $k = 1$ **to** $|\mathcal{B}|$
  if $u_k^{(\ell)} < \dfrac{1 + (q-1)e^{-J_k}}{q}$
    $\tilde{x}_{A,k}^{(\ell)} = 0$
  else
    draw $\tilde{x}_{A,k}^{(\ell)}$ *randomly from* $\{1, 2, \ldots, q-1\}$
  end if
end for

The estimator in (21) is expected to have a small variance if the Potts model is in a strong (positive) external magnetic field, see (27).

[5]These extra XOR factors (sign inverters in [17], [18]) are not required in Fig. 3, as variables are binary, and $\oplus$ denotes addition in GF(2).




Fig. 7. Estimated free energy per site vs. the number of samples for a 30×30 Ising model, with $J \sim \mathcal{U}[1.3, 1.5]$ and $H \sim \mathcal{U}[-1.25, -1.0]$ (strong field). The plot shows five different sample paths obtained from importance sampling (solid lines) and five different sample paths obtained from uniform sampling (dashed lines) in the dual factor graph.




Fig. 8. Everything as in Fig. 7, but with $J \sim \mathcal{U}[0.75, 1.5]$.




Fig. 9. Estimated free energy per site vs. the number of samples for a 30×30 Ising model, with $J \sim \mathcal{U}[0.25, 1.5]$ and $H \sim \mathcal{U}[-1.25, -1.0]$. The plot shows ten different sample paths obtained from importance sampling in the dual factor graph.




Fig. 10. Everything as in Fig. 9, but with $H \sim \mathcal{U}[-1.5, -1.25]$.

If the Potts model is at very low temperature, one might instead use the following algorithm based on uniform sampling

draw $u_1^{(\ell)}, u_2^{(\ell)}, \ldots, u_{|\mathcal{B}|}^{(\ell)} \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{U}[0,1]$
for $k = 1$ **to** $|\mathcal{B}|$
  $\tilde{x}_{A,k}^{(\ell)} = \lfloor\, q \cdot u_k^{(\ell)} \,\rfloor$
end for

Here, $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ denotes the floor function [14].

The importance sampling and uniform sampling algorithms become equivalent when $J_k \to \infty$ for $k \in \mathcal{B}$.

For known analytical results regarding the Potts model see [3, Chapter 12] and [23].

VI. Numerical Experiments

We apply the importance sampling and the uniform sampling schemes of Section IV to estimate the free energy (3) per site, i.e., $\frac{1}{N} \ln Z$, of the 2D and 3D ferromagnetic Ising model and the 2D ferromagnetic Potts model.

In Section VI-A, we consider 2D ferromagnetic Ising models in an external field with spatially varying model parameters. We recall from Section II that the value of Z is invariant under the change of sign of the external field; we set $H_m < 0$ to make all the factors as in (10) positive. In Section VI-B, we consider 3D Ising models defined on a cubic lattice. 2D ferromagnetic Potts models with spatially varying couplings in a positive external magnetic field, $H_m > 0$, are considered in Section VI-C.

All simulation results show $\frac{1}{N} \ln Z$ vs. the number of samples for "one instance" of the models with periodic boundary conditions, where to create periodic boundary conditions we need to add extra edges (with appropriate factors) to connect the sites on opposite sides of the boundary. In this case $|\mathcal{B}| = 2N$. For different realizations of the Ising model, we will report the histogram of the estimated free energy per site.




Fig. 11. Histogram for 1000 realizations of the estimated free energy per site for a 30×30 Ising model, with $J \sim \mathcal{U}[0.25, 1.5]$ and $H \sim \mathcal{U}[-1.5, -1.25]$.




Fig. 12. Estimated free energy per site vs. the number of samples for a $10 \times 10 \times 10$ ferromagnetic Ising model in an external field with periodic boundary conditions, with $J \sim \mathcal{U}[1.0, 2.0]$ and $H = -1.5$. The plot shows five different sample paths obtained from importance sampling (solid lines) and five different sample paths obtained from uniform sampling (dashed lines) on the dual factor graph.




Fig. 13. Estimated free energy per site vs. the number of samples for a 30×30 ferromagnetic 3-state Potts model in an external field with periodic boundary conditions, with $J \sim \mathcal{U}[0.25, 2.5]$ and $H \sim \mathcal{U}[2.25, 2.5]$ (strong field). The plot shows ten different sample paths obtained from importance sampling on the dual factor graph.

A. 2D Ising model

We consider 2D Ising models of size $N = 30 \times 30$ in all the experiments.

In our first two experiments we set $H_m \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{U}[-1.25, -1.0]$. The coupling parameters are set to $J_k \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{U}[1.3, 1.5]$ in the first experiment and to $J_k \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{U}[0.75, 1.5]$ in the second experiment. Simulation results for one instance of the model obtained from importance sampling (solid lines) and uniform sampling (dashed lines) in the dual factor graph are shown in Figs. 7 and 8. The estimated free energy per site is about 3.926 and 3.381, respectively.

For very large coupling parameters (corresponding to models at very low temperature), convergence of uniform sampling is comparable to the convergence of the importance sampling algorithm, see Fig. 7. However, in Fig 8 we observe that uniform sampling has issues with slow convergence for a wider range of coupling parameters, while the proposed importance sampling scheme performs well in all the ranges.

In our second two experiments we set $J_k \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{U}[0.25, 1.5]$. In the third experiment, we set $H_m \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{U}[-1.25, -1.0]$. Fig. 9 shows simulation results for one instance of the model obtained from importance sampling, where the estimated free energy per site is about 2.886. We set $H_m \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{U}[-1.5, -1.25]$ in the last experiment. For one instance of the model, the estimated $\frac{1}{N} \ln Z$ from Fig. 10 is about 3.1362. We observe that convergence of the importance sampling algorithm improves as $|H|$ becomes larger; see Appendix I.

Fig. 11 shows the histogram of the estimated free energy per site for 1000 realizations of a 30×30 Ising model, with $J \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{U}[0.25, 1.5]$ and $H \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{U}[-1.5, -1.25]$. Using the **R** package, the fitted normal distribution to Fig. 11 has mean equal to 3.136272 and the standard deviation equal to 7.401838×10^{-6}.

B. 3D Ising model

The method can be applied to ferromagnetic 3D Ising models in an external field. In a model of size $N = 10 \times 10 \times 10$, we set $J_{k,\ell} \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{U}[1.0, 2.0]$ and $H = -1.5$. For one instance of the Ising model, simulation results for one instance of the model obtained from importance sampling (solid lines) and uniform sampling (dashed lines) on the dual factor graph are shown in Fig. 12, where the estimated free energy per site, i.e., $\frac{1}{N} \ln Z$, is about 5.451.

C. 2D Potts model

We consider a 2D 3-state Potts model of size $N = 30 \times 30$ in an external field, with $J_{k,\ell} \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{U}[0.25, 2.5]$ and $H_m \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{U}[2.25, 2.5]$. For one instance of the model, Fig. 13 shows simulation results obtained from importance sampling on the dual factor for one instance of the model. The estimated free

energy per site is about 5.147.

VII. Conclusion

An importance sampling scheme on the dual Forney factor graph was proposed to estimate the partition function of 2D and 3D ferromagnetic Ising and 2D ferromagnetic q-state Potts models, when the models are in the presence of an external magnetic field. We described a method to partition the variables on the dual graph and introduced an auxiliary importance sampling distribution accordingly. The method can efficiently compute an estimate of the partition function under a wide range of model parameters, in particular (with our choice of partitioning), when the models are in a strong external field. Depending on the values of the model parameters and their spatial distributions, different choices of partitioning yield schemes with different convergence properties.

Appendix I

For simplicity, we assume that the coupling parameter and the external field are constant, denoted by J and H, respectively. It is numerically advantageous to replace each factor as in (10) in the dual factor graph by

$$\lambda(\tilde{x}_m) = (\tanh|H|)^{\tilde{x}_m} \tag{31}$$

and each factor as in (12) by

$$\gamma(\tilde{x}_k) = (\tanh J)^{\tilde{x}_k} \tag{32}$$

The required scale factor S to recover Z_{d} can be easily computed by multiplying all the local scale factors as

$$S = (4\cosh J)^{|\mathcal{B}|}(2\cosh H)^N \tag{33}$$

Note that, $\lim_{t\to\infty}\tanh t = 1$, therefore in a strong external magnetic field (i.e., large $|H|$) and at low temperature (i.e., large J), $\tanh|H|$ and $\tanh J$ both tend to constant, which gives reasons for the fast convergence of uniform sampling in this case. In our importance sampling scheme, independent samples are drawn according to $q(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A)$ in (17), thus the only requirement to achieve fast convergence is having a strong external field.

Indeed, in the dual domain, convergence of the importance sampling algorithm improves as $|H|$ becomes larger, and convergence of uniform sampling improves as J and $|H|$ both become larger, which is in sharp contrast with Monte Carlo methods in the original domain.

We analyze the variance of the importance sampling algorithm for estimating the partition function of "finite-size" 2D models more rigorously. Let $p_{\mathrm{d}}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A)$ denote the global probability mass function in the dual Forney factor graph. Notice that $p_{\mathrm{d}}(\cdot)$ and $q(\cdot)$ in (17) are both defined in the same configuration space $\mathcal{X}^{|\mathcal{B}|}$.

We can therefore write $p_{\mathrm{d}}(\cdot)$ as a function of $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A$, as

$$p_{\mathrm{d}}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A) = \frac{\Gamma(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A)\Lambda(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_B)}{Z_{\mathrm{d}}}, \qquad \forall\,\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A \in \mathcal{X}^{|\mathcal{B}|} \tag{34}$$

$$= \frac{Z_q}{Z_{\mathrm{d}}} q(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A)\Lambda(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_B), \qquad \forall\,\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A \in \mathcal{X}^{|\mathcal{B}|} \tag{35}$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_B$ is a linear combination of $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A$.

The variance of $\hat{Z}_{\mathrm{IS}}$ in (21) can be computed as

$$\mathrm{Var}[\,\hat{Z}_{\mathrm{IS}}\,] = \mathrm{E}\left[\hat{Z}_{\mathrm{IS}}^2\right] - \left(\mathrm{E}\left[\hat{Z}_{\mathrm{IS}}\right]\right)^2 \tag{36}$$

$$= \frac{1}{L}\left(Z_q^2 \cdot \mathrm{E}_q[\,\Lambda^2(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_B)\,] - Z_{\mathrm{d}}^2\right) \tag{37}$$

To remind ourselves that $\hat{Z}_{\mathrm{IS}}$ is a function of L, we write it as $\hat{Z}_{\mathrm{IS}}(L)$. We then rewrite (37) as

$$\frac{L}{Z_{\mathrm{d}}^2}\,\mathrm{Var}[\,\hat{Z}_{\mathrm{IS}}(L)\,] = \left(\frac{Z_q}{Z_{\mathrm{d}}}\right)^2 \mathrm{E}_q[\,\Lambda^2(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_B)\,] - 1 \tag{38}$$

$$= \sum_{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A} \frac{p_{\mathrm{d}}^2(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A)}{q(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A)} - 1 \tag{39}$$

$$= \chi^2\big(p_{\mathrm{d}}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A), q(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A)\big) \tag{40}$$

where $\chi^2(\cdot,\cdot)$ denotes the chi-squared divergence, which is always non-negative, with equality to zero if and only if its two arguments are equal [25, Chapter 4].

In the limit $|H| \to \infty$, we have (see also (31))

$$\lim_{|H|\to\infty} p_{\mathrm{d}}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A) = q(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A), \qquad \forall\,\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A \in \mathcal{X}^{|\mathcal{B}|} \tag{41}$$

Hence

$$\lim_{|H|\to\infty} \chi^2\big(p_{\mathrm{d}}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A), q(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A)\big) = 0 \tag{42}$$

We conclude that Z_{d} can be estimated efficiently via the proposed importance sampling estimator when the model is in a strong external field.

Appendix II
Annealed Importance Sampling in the Dual Forney Factor Graph

We briefly explain how to employ annealed importance sampling in the dual factor graph to estimate the partition function of the 2D Ising model, when the model is not in a very strong external field.

For simplicity, we assume that the coupling parameter and the external field are both constant. The partition function is thus denoted by $Z_{\mathrm{d}}(J,|H|)$. We express $Z_{\mathrm{d}}(J,|H|)$ using a sequence of intermediate partition functions by varying $|H|$ in V levels as

$$Z_{\mathrm{d}}(J,|H|) = Z_{\mathrm{d}}(J,|H|^{\alpha_V}) \prod_{v=0}^{V-1} \frac{Z_{\mathrm{d}}(J,|H|^{\alpha_v})}{Z_{\mathrm{d}}(J,|H|^{\alpha_{v+1}})} \tag{43}$$

Here, unlike typical annealing strategies in the original domain, $(\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_V)$ is an increasing sequence with $1 = \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_V$.

If α_V is large enough, $Z_{\mathrm{d}}(J,|H|^{\alpha_V})$ can be estimated efficiently via the proposed importance sampling scheme. As for the intermediate steps, a sampling technique that leaves the target distribution invariant (e.g., Metropolis algorithms or Gibbs sampling), is required at each level. These intermediate target probability distributions correspond to the intermediate partition functions.

Here, we explain how Gibbs sampling can be applied to draw samples in the dual Forney graph of the 2D Ising model.




Fig. 14. In Gibbs sampling in the dual Forney factor graph of a 2D Ising model, changing $\tilde{x}_{A,k}$ involves changing $\tilde{x}_{B,m}$ and $\tilde{x}_{B,n}$ at the same time.

Gibbs sampling is performed on $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_A$ (i.e., the variables on the bonds). Each iteration ℓ, consists of visiting the bonds sequentially, from $k = 1$ to $|\mathcal{B}|$, in the dual Forney factor graph, and updating $\tilde{x}_{A,k}^{(\ell)}$ using Gibbs sampling.

In updating $\tilde{x}_{A,k}^{(\ell)}$, the value of $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A \backslash \tilde{x}_{A,k}$ is irrelevant. Therefore, changing the value of $\tilde{x}_{A,k}$ will only involve a simultaneous change in the values of $\tilde{x}_{B,m}$ and $\tilde{x}_{B,n}$. These variables (edges) are marked blue in Fig. 14. At the end of the ℓ-th iteration (i.e., when $k = |\mathcal{B}|$), the Gibbs sampling algorithm will generate $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_A^{(\ell)}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_B^{(\ell)}$. The algorithm is iterated for a predetermined number of times at each level; the last generated sample is usually used as the initial state of the Gibbs sampler at the next level.

The number of levels V should be sufficiently large to ensure that intermediate target distributions are close enough and estimating $Z_{\mathrm{d}}(J, |H|^{\alpha_V})$ is feasible; see [24].

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Hans-Andrea Loeliger, Pascal Vontobel, and Justin Dauwels for their comments that greatly improved the presentation of this paper.

References

[1] M. Molkaraie and H.-A. Loeliger, "Partition function of the Ising model via factor graph duality," *Proc. 2013 IEEE Int. Symp. on Information Theory,* Istanbul, Turkey, July 7–12, 2013, pp. 2304–2308.

[2] L. Onsager, "Crystal statistics. I. A two-dimensional model with an order-disorder transition," *Phys. Rev.,* vol. 65, pp. 117–149, Feb. 1944.

[3] R. J. Baxter, *Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Mechanics.* Dover Publications, 2007.

[4] D. J. A. Welsh, "The computational complexity of some classical problems from statistical physics," *Disorder in physical systems,* vol. 307, 1990.

[5] B. A. Cipra, "The Ising model is NP-complete," *SIAM News,* vol. 33, no. 6, July 2000.

[6] J. M. Hammersley and D. C. Handscomb, *Monte Carlo Methods.* Methuen & Co., London, 1964.

[7] R. M. Neal, *Probabilistic Inference Using Markov Chain Monte Carlo Methods.* Techn. Report CRG-TR-93-1, Dept. Computer Science, Univ. of Toronto, Sept. 1993.

[8] K. Binder and D. W. Heermann, *Monte Carlo Simulation in Statistical Physics.* Springer, 2010.

[9] M. Molkaraie and H.-A. Loeliger, "Monte Carlo algorithms for the partition function and information rates of two-dimensional channels," *IEEE Trans. Information Theory*, vol. 59, pp. 495–503, Jan. 2013.

[10] R. B. Potts, "Some generalized order-disorder transformations," *Proc. the Cambridge Philosophical Society,* vol. 48, pp. 106–109, 1952.

[11] S. Geman and D. Geman, "Stochastic relaxation, Gibbs distribution, and Bayesian restoration of images," *IEEE Trans. Pattern Analys. and Machine Intell.,* vol. 6, 1984, pp. 721–741.

[12] R. H. Swendsen and J. S. Wang, "Nonuniversal critical dynamics in Monte Carlo simulations," *Phys. Rev.,* vol. 58, pp. 86–88, Jan. 1987.

[13] J. M. Yeomans, *Statistical Mechanics of Phase Transitions.* Oxford University Press, 1992.

[14] D. E. Knuth, "Two notes on notation," *American Mathematical Monthly,* vol. 99, pp. 403–422, May 1992.

[15] B. A. Cipra, "An introduction to the Ising model," *American Mathematical Monthly,* vol. 94, pp. 937–959, Dec. 1987.

[16] H.-A. Loeliger, "An introduction to factor graphs," *IEEE Signal Proc. Mag.,* vol. 29, pp. 28–41, Jan. 2004.

[17] G. D. Forney, Jr., "Codes on graphs: normal realization," *IEEE Trans. Information Theory,* vol. 47, pp. 520–548, Feb. 2001.

[18] G. D. Forney, Jr., "Codes on graphs: duality and MacWilliams identities," *IEEE Trans. Information Theory,* vol. 57, pp. 1382–1397, Feb. 2011.

[19] A. Al-Bashabsheh and Y. Mao, "Normal factor graphs and holographic transformations," *IEEE Trans. Information Theory*, vol. 57, pp. 752–763, Feb. 2011.

[20] G. D. Forney, Jr. and P. O. Vontobel, "Partition functions of normal factor graphs," *2011 Information Theory and Applications Workshop*, La Jolla, USA, Feb. 6–11, 2011.

[21] R. N. Bracewell, *The Fourier Transform and its Applications.* McGraw-Hill, 1999.

[22] M. Molkaraie and H.-A. Loeliger, "Extending Monte Carlo methods to factor graphs with negative and complex factors," *Proc. 2012 IEEE Information Theory Workshop,* Lausanne, Switzerland, Sept. 3–7, 2012, pp. 367–371.

[23] L. A. Goldberg and M. Jerrum, "Approximating the partition function of the ferromagnetic Potts model," *Journal of the ACM*, vol. 59, 2012.

[24] R. M. Neal, "Annealed importance sampling," *Statistics and Computing*, vol. 11, pp. 125–139, 2001.

[25] I. Csiszár and P. C. Shields, *Information Theory and Statistics: A Tutorial.* now Publishers Inc., 2004.