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Abstract. Let $q$ be a power of an odd prime $p$ and $\mathbb{F}_q$ the finite field with $q$ elements. For $n \geq 3$, we prove the mod 2 cohomology of the finite Chevalley group $\text{Spin}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is isomorphic to that of the classifying space of the loop group of the spin group $\text{Spin}(n)$.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we reduce the computation of the mod 2 cohomology of certain homotopy fixed point sets associated with the spin group $\text{Spin}(n)$ to the computation of Gysin sequences. As an application of such reduction, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. Suppose that $n \geq 3$. Let $q$ be a power of an odd prime $p$. Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be the finite group with $q$ elements. As a graded $\mathbb{Z}/2$-module, the mod 2 cohomology of the finite Chevalley group $\text{Spin}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is isomorphic to that of the free loop space of the classifying space of the spin group $\text{Spin}(n)$.

We denote by $\mathcal{L}X$ the free loop space of $X$, that is, the space of continuous maps from the circle $S^1$ to $X$, so that $\mathcal{L}X = \text{Map}(S^1, X)$. For a topological group $G$, we have the loop group $\mathcal{L}G$. First, we recall the relation between the cohomology of the classifying space $BG$ and that of homotopy fixed point sets. We recall the following proposition, that is Proposition 2.4 of Atiyah and Bott [1].

Proposition 1.2 (Atiyah-Bott). Let $G$ be a connected Lie group. Let $P$ be a principal $G$-bundle over a manifold $M$ and denote the gauge group by $\mathcal{G}(P)$. Then, $BG \simeq \text{Map}_p(M, BG)$, where $\text{Map}_p(M, BG)$ is the subset of $\text{Map}(M, BG)$ whose pull-back of the universal $G$-bundle is isomorphic to $P$.

Considering the case that $P$ is the trivial bundle over $S^1$, it is easy to see that $\text{Map}_p(S^1, BG) = \text{Map}(S^1, BG) = \mathcal{L}BG$ and $\mathcal{G}(P) = \Gamma(\text{Ad}P) = \mathcal{L}G$. Thus, we have $BLG \simeq \mathcal{L}BG$ for a connected Lie group $G$. We recall the definition of the homotopy fixed point set of a map $f : X \to X$, which we denote by $\mathcal{L}_fX$. The homotopy fixed point set $\mathcal{L}_fX$ of a map $f : X \to X$ is defined by the following
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pull-back diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{L}_f X & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}(I, X) \\
\pi & \downarrow & \phi \\
X & \xrightarrow{1 \times f} & X \times X,
\end{array}
\]

where \(\text{Map}(I, X)\) is the space of continuous maps from the unit interval \(I = [0, 1]\) to \(X\), \(\phi(\lambda) = (\lambda(0), \lambda(1))\) and, by abuse of notation, we denote the composition of the map \(1 \times f\) and the diagonal map of \(X\) by \(1 \times f\). The space \(\mathcal{L}_f X\) is a subspace of \(\text{Map}(I, X)\) and the projection \(\pi\) is the restriction of the evaluation at 0, \(\text{Map}(I, X) \to X\), to the subspace \(\mathcal{L}_f X\), that is, \(\pi(\lambda) = \lambda(0)\). It is clear that the free loop space \(\mathcal{L}X\) is the homotopy fixed point set of the identity map of \(X\). Thus, the computation of the cohomology of the classifying space of the loop group \(G\) is nothing but that of the free loop space of \(BG\), hence it is that of the homotopy fixed point set of the identity map of \(BG\).

Next, we recall the relation between the mod \(\ell\) cohomology of finite Chevalley groups and that of homotopy fixed point sets, where \(\ell\) is a prime number. Let \(p\) be a prime number district from \(\ell\), \(q\) a power of \(p\) and \(\mathbb{F}_q\) the finite field with \(q\) elements. We write \(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p\) for the algebraic closure of \(\mathbb{F}_q\). The finite Chevalley group \(G(\mathbb{F}_q)\) is obtained as the fixed point set of the Frobenius map

\[\phi^q : G(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \to G(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p),\]

induced by the Frobenius map \(\phi^q : \mathbb{F}_p \to \mathbb{F}_p\) sending \(x\) to \(x^q\), where \(G(\mathbb{F}_p)\) is the geometric points of a reductive group scheme over \(\mathbb{F}_p\) obtained by taking a Chevalley basis for the complexification of a compact connected Lie group \(G\) and, by abuse of notation, we denote by the same symbol \(G\) the above reductive group scheme over \(\mathbb{F}_p\) obtained from a compact connected Lie group \(G\). Quillen computes the mod \(\ell\) cohomology of the finite general linear group \(GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)\) in [9] by computing that of a homotopy fixed point set. Friedlander expands Quillen’s theory and shows that, in general, the mod \(\ell\) cohomology of the finite Chevalley group \(G(\mathbb{F}_p)\) associated with a compact connected Lie group \(G\) is that of the homotopy fixed point set of the Frobenius map

\[\phi^q : \text{holim}(\mathbb{Z}/\ell)_\infty(BG)_{et} \to \text{holim}(\mathbb{Z}/\ell)_\infty(BG)_{et},\]

where the Frobenius map \(\phi^q\) is induced by the Frobenius map \(\phi^q : \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \to \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p\) above, \((\mathbb{Z}/\ell)_\infty X\) is the Bousfield-Kan \(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\)-completion of a simplicial set \(X\) and \(|X|\) is the geometric realization of a simplicial set \(X\). For details of Quillen and Friedlander’s theory, we refer the reader to Friedlander [2]. In particular, by Proposition 8.8 and Theorem 12.2 in Friedlander [2], we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.3** (Friedlander). The following holds:

1. \(|\text{holim}(\mathbb{Z}/\ell)_\infty(BG)_{et}|\) is homotopy equivalent to \(|(\mathbb{Z}/\ell)_\infty \text{Sing}_\bullet(BG)|\) where \(\text{Sing}_\bullet(BG)\) is the simplicial set obtained from the classifying space of the connected Lie group \(G\) by applying the singular functor and
2. \(H^*(BG(\mathbb{F}_q); \mathbb{Z}/\ell) = H^*(\mathcal{L}_{\phi^q} \text{holim}(\mathbb{Z}/\ell)_\infty(BG)_{et}; \mathbb{Z}/\ell)\).
Thus, to prove Theorem 1.1 it suffices to compute the mod 2 cohomology of homotopy fixed point sets of self-maps of a space $BS\text{Spin}_n$, defined by

$$BS\text{Spin}_n = \left\{ \text{holim}(\mathbb{Z}/2)_\infty(B\text{Spin}(n))_{et} \right\},$$

where we fix an odd prime number $p$ and $\text{Spin}(n)$ in the right-hand side is a reductive group scheme over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ associated with the spin group $\text{Spin}(n)$. Suppose that $q$ is a power of $p$. Then, we have the Frobenius map $\phi^q : B\text{Spin}_n \to B\text{Spin}_n$. Theorem 1.1 follows from the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.4.** Let $q$ be a power of $p$, $\phi^q : B\text{Spin}_n \to B\text{Spin}_n$ the Frobenius map. As a graded $\mathbb{Z}/2$-module, the mod 2 cohomology of $L\phi^q B\text{Spin}_n$ is isomorphic to that of $LBS\text{Spin}_n$.

Despite the fact that the loop group $LG$ and finite Chevalley groups $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$ are different form each other either as topological spaces or as groups, Tezuka conjectures the following in 11.

**Conjecture 1.5** (Tezuka). Let $\ell$ be a prime number such that $\ell$ (reps., 4) divides $q - 1$ if $\ell$ is odd (reps., even). Then, we have a ring isomorphism

$$H^*(LBG; \mathbb{Z}/\ell) \cong H^*(BG(\mathbb{F}_q); \mathbb{Z}/\ell).$$

Our main theorem, Theorem 1.7, is a weak form of Tezuka’s conjecture for $\ell = 2$, $G = \text{Spin}(n)$, $n \geq 3$ in the sense that the isomorphism in Theorem 1.1 is not a ring isomorphism but an isomorphism of graded $\mathbb{Z}/2$-modules only. In the course of our proof of Theorem 1.4, we prove Theorem 1.7 below. It is a strong form of Tezuka’s conjecture for $\ell = 2$, $G = \text{SO}(n)$ in the sense that we have an isomorphism of algebras over the mod 2 Steenrod algebra instead of a ring isomorphism.

To state Theorem 1.7, we need to set up notations and definitions. Throughout the rest of this paper, since we deal with the mod 2 cohomology only, we denote the mod 2 cohomology of a space $X$ by $H^*(X)$. Also, we assume that $q$ is a power of an odd prime $p$. We denote by $\mathbb{F}_q$ the finite filed with $q$ elements and by $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ its algebraic closure. For the sake of notational simplicity, let

$$BSO_n = \left\{ \text{holim}(\mathbb{Z}/2)_\infty(B\text{SO}(n))_{et} \right\},$$

where $\text{SO}(n)$ in the right-hand side is a reductive group scheme associated with the special orthogonal group $\text{SO}(n)$.

Let $A_{n-1}$ be a subgroup of the connected Lie group $\text{SO}(n)$ consisting of diagonal matrices whose diagonal entries are $\pm 1$. Denote by $S_n$ the Weyl group of $A_{n-1}$, that is, the quotient of the normalizer of $A_{n-1}$ by the centralizer of $A_{n-1}$. For details of Weyl groups, we refer the reader to Chapter 3, §4 in the book of Mimura and Toda 51, in particular, p.135, Corollary 4.19. The Weyl group of $A_{n-1}$ is isomorphic to the symmetric group on $n$ letters. So, we denote it by $S_n$. One may consider $A_{n-1}$ as a subgroup of $SO_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ where $\mathbb{F}_p$ is the prime field of characteristic $p \neq 2$. We may also consider the Weyl group as a sub-quotient of $SO_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$. We need the following proposition to state Theorem 1.7.

**Proposition 1.6.** There exists the classifying space $BA_{n-1}$ of $A_{n-1}$ with an action of the Weyl group $S_n$ on $BA_{n-1}$ and a map

$$\alpha_n : BA_{n-1} \to BSO_n.$$
satisfying the following equalities in the category of topological spaces and continuous maps:

1. \( \phi^g \circ \alpha_n = \alpha_n \)
2. \( \alpha_n \circ g = \alpha_n \) for each \( g \in S_n \).

We will prove this proposition in §2. We emphasize that the equalities in the above proposition do not mean up to homotopy but they are equalities in the category of topological spaces.

Restricting the evaluation at 0, \( \text{Map}(I, BSO_n) \to BSO_n \), to subspaces \( \mathcal{L}BSO_n \), \( \mathcal{L}_{\phi^g}BSO_n \), we obtain projections

\[
\pi_n : \mathcal{L}BSO_n \to BSO_n, \quad \pi_n,q : \mathcal{L}_{\phi^g}BSO_n \to BSO_n.
\]

Let us define a space \( \tilde{BA}_{n-1} \) and maps \( \tilde{\alpha}_n : \tilde{BA}_{n-1} \to \mathcal{L}BSO_n, \tilde{\alpha}_{n,q} : \tilde{BA}_{n-1} \to \mathcal{L}_{\phi^g}BSO_n \) by the following pull-back diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{L}BSO_n & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}_n} & \tilde{BA}_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}_{n,q}} & \mathcal{L}_{\phi^g}BSO_n \\
\pi_n & \downarrow & \pi_0 & \downarrow & \pi_{n,q} \\
BSO_n & \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} & BA_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} & BSO_n.
\end{array}
\]

Since \( \phi^g \circ \alpha_n = \alpha_n \), the space \( \tilde{BA}_{n-1} \) and the map \( \pi_0 \) above are well-defined. Moreover, the Weyl group \( S_n \) acts on \( BA_{n-1} \). Recall that \( \alpha_n \circ g = \alpha_n \) for all \( g \) in \( S_n \). For \( (x, \lambda) \in \tilde{BA}_{n-1} \), consider \( (gx, \lambda) \). Since \( \alpha_n(gx) = \alpha_n(x) = \lambda(0) = \lambda(1) \), \( (gx, \lambda) \) is also an element in \( \tilde{BA}_{n-1} \). Thus, we have a map \( g : \tilde{BA}_{n-1} \to \tilde{BA}_{n-1} \) sending \( (x, \lambda) \) to \( (gx, \lambda) \) and an action of \( S_n \) on \( \tilde{BA}_{n-1} \). We also have \( \tilde{\alpha}_{n,q} \circ g = \tilde{\alpha}_{n,q} \) and \( \tilde{\alpha}_n \circ g = \tilde{\alpha}_n \). Therefore, the images of the induced homomorphisms \( \tilde{\alpha}_{n,q} \), \( \tilde{\alpha}_n \) are in \( H^*(\tilde{BA}_{n-1})^S_n \), which is the ring of invariants of \( H^*(\tilde{BA}_{n-1}) \) with respect to the action of \( S_n \) that is,

\[
H^*(\tilde{BA}_{n-1})^S_n = \{ x \in H^*(\tilde{BA}_{n-1}) \mid g^*x = x \quad \text{for all} \quad g \in S_n \}.
\]

Then, our version of the strong form of Tezuka’s conjecture for \( \ell = 2, G = BSO(n) \) is as follows:

**Theorem 1.7.** The induced homomorphisms

\[
H^*(\mathcal{L}BSO_n) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}_n^*} H^*(\tilde{BA}_{n-1})^S_n \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}_{n,q}^*} H^*(\mathcal{L}_{\phi^g}BSO_n)
\]

are isomorphisms.

It follows immediately from Theorem 1.2 that \( H^*(\mathcal{L}_{\phi^g}BSO_n) \) is isomorphic to \( H^*(\mathcal{L}BSO_n) \) as an algebra over the mod 2 Steenrod algebra. Daisuke Kishimoto informed the author that, in [5], Shizuo Kaji proves that the mod 2 cohomology of \( \mathcal{L}BG \) is isomorphic to that of \( BG(\mathbb{F}_2) \) as an algebra over the mod 2 Steenrod algebra for \( G = \text{Spin}(n) \) for \( n = 7, 8, 9 \) and for \( G = G_2, F_4 \) by explicit computation using twisted cohomology suspension in [4]. It is the strong form of Tezuka’s conjecture above for these Lie groups.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In §2, we prove Proposition 1.6. In §3, we recall the cohomology of the free loop space of a space whose mod 2 cohomology is a polynomial algebra. In §4, we prove Theorems 1.4 and 1.7 assuming
Proposition 1.4 In §4, we prove Proposition 1.4 to complete the proof of Theorems 1.4 and 1.7. In §6, we end this paper by stating a strong form of Tezuka’s conjecture for $G = \text{Spin}(n)$.
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2. Proof of Proposition 1.6

In this section, we prove Proposition 1.6. We do this in a general setting where we deal with a finite subgroup $H$ of a finite Chevalley group $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Let $G$ be a reductive group scheme over $\mathbb{F}_p$. We denote by the $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points $\text{Hom}(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_q), G)$ by $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$. It is a finite group and the action of the Frobenius map $\phi^q$ is indeed trivial on $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$. We consider a finite subgroup $D$ of $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$. As in [3], we consider a group scheme $D \times \text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ which is just a disjoint union of geometric points $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ parametrized by $D$. We denote it by $D_{\mathbb{F}_p}$. We consider the simplicial schemes $BD_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ and $B(*, D_{\mathbb{F}_p}, D_{\mathbb{F}_p})$ whose $n$-th scheme is the $n$-fold product, $(n + 1)$-fold product of $D_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ and structure maps are given in the usual manner, respectively. Let $\pi$ be the connected component functor from simplicial schemes to simplicial sets. Let $BD$ be the geometric realization of the simplicial set $\pi(BD_{\mathbb{F}_p})$ and let $ED$ be that of the simplicial set $\pi(B(*, D_{\mathbb{F}_p}, D_{\mathbb{F}_p}))$. It is clear that $BD = ED/D$ and the Frobenius map $\phi^q$ acts trivially on $BD$.

We need to consider the action of Weyl group $S_n$ on $BA_{n-1}$. However, there exists an ambiguity on the Weyl group action on classifying spaces in the category of topological spaces. It is due to the ambiguity of the definition of the classifying space. For a group $H$, some define the classifying space by considering a contractible space with free $H$ action. One may define the classifying space of $H$ to be the quotient space of such space. One might define that of $H$ as a geometric realization of certain simplicial set obtained from $H$. These different constructions give spaces with the same homotopy type. However, at the level of topological spaces, they might end up with different topological spaces. Since we need maps and diagrams in the category of topological space not in their homotopy category, we clarify the situation here. Let $H$ be a subgroup of $D$ and $W$ a subgroup of the Weyl group $N_D(H)/C_D(H)$ of $H$ in $D$. Then, we have actions of $W$ on $BH = EH/H$ and on $ED/H$. On the one hand, the action of $W$ is induced by the action of $W$ on $H$. Since $W$ acts also on the simplicial scheme $BH_{\mathbb{F}_p}$, it acts on the geometric realization $BH$ of $\pi(BH_{\mathbb{F}_p})$. On the other hand, the action of $W$ on $ED/H$ is given as follows: For a point $xH$ in $BH = EH/H$, we may define the action of $w \in W$ on $ED/H$ by $(xH)w = (xw)H$. Consider maps $\alpha_1 : BH \to BD$ and $\alpha_2 : ED/H \to ED/D = BD$. Although we have a natural inclusion $i : BH \to ED/H$, $w \circ i$ is not equal to $i \circ w$. In fact, $(w \circ i)(EH) \not\subseteq i(EH)$. It is clear from the definition that $\alpha_2 \circ w = \alpha_2$. We use $ED/H$ and $\alpha_2$ in the proof of Proposition 1.6 instead of $BH$ and $\alpha_1$. 

In §1, we consider a group scheme $BD_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ and let $ED$ be the connected component functor from simplicial schemes to simplicial sets. Let $BD$ be the geometric realization of the simplicial set $\pi(BD_{\mathbb{F}_p})$ and let $ED$ be that of the simplicial set $\pi(B(*, D_{\mathbb{F}_p}, D_{\mathbb{F}_p}))$. It is clear that $BD = ED/D$ and the Frobenius map $\phi^q$ acts trivially on $BD$. We consider the simplicial schemes $BD_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ and $B(*, D_{\mathbb{F}_p}, D_{\mathbb{F}_p})$ whose $n$-th scheme is the $n$-fold product, $(n + 1)$-fold product of $D_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ and structure maps are given in the usual manner, respectively. Let $\pi$ be the connected component functor from simplicial schemes to simplicial sets. Let $BD$ be the geometric realization of the simplicial set $\pi(BD_{\mathbb{F}_p})$ and let $ED$ be that of the simplicial set $\pi(B(*, D_{\mathbb{F}_p}, D_{\mathbb{F}_p}))$. It is clear that $BD = ED/D$ and the Frobenius map $\phi^q$ acts trivially on $BD$.

We need to consider the action of Weyl group $S_n$ on $BA_{n-1}$. However, there exists an ambiguity on the Weyl group action on classifying spaces in the category of topological spaces. It is due to the ambiguity of the definition of the classifying space. For a group $H$, some define the classifying space by considering a contractible space with free $H$ action. One may define the classifying space of $H$ to be the quotient space of such space. One might define that of $H$ as a geometric realization of certain simplicial set obtained from $H$. These different constructions give spaces with the same homotopy type. However, at the level of topological spaces, they might end up with different topological spaces. Since we need maps and diagrams in the category of topological space not in their homotopy category, we clarify the situation here. Let $H$ be a subgroup of $D$ and $W$ a subgroup of the Weyl group $N_D(H)/C_D(H)$ of $H$ in $D$. Then, we have actions of $W$ on $BH = EH/H$ and on $ED/H$. On the one hand, the action of $W$ is induced by the action of $W$ on $H$. Since $W$ acts also on the simplicial scheme $BH_{\mathbb{F}_p}$, it acts on the geometric realization $BH$ of $\pi(BH_{\mathbb{F}_p})$. On the other hand, the action of $W$ on $ED/H$ is given as follows: For a point $xH$ in $BH = EH/H$, we may define the action of $w \in W$ on $ED/H$ by $(xH)w = (xw)H$. Consider maps $\alpha_1 : BH \to BD$ and $\alpha_2 : ED/H \to ED/D = BD$. Although we have a natural inclusion $i : BH \to ED/H$, $w \circ i$ is not equal to $i \circ w$. In fact, $(w \circ i)(EH) \not\subseteq i(EH)$. It is clear from the definition that $\alpha_2 \circ w = \alpha_2$. We use $ED/H$ and $\alpha_2$ in the proof of Proposition 1.6 instead of $BH$ and $\alpha_1$.
We consider the group scheme $G(\mathbb{F}_q)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$. There exists the evaluation map

$$G(\mathbb{F}_q)_{\mathbb{F}_p} = \text{Hom}(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_q), G) \times \text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_p) \to G.$$ 

The geometric realization of the connected components of the simplicial scheme $BG(\mathbb{F}_q)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is the nothing but the classifying space $BG(\mathbb{F}_q)$ of the finite group $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Now, we recall part of the definition of the rigid hypercoverings and etale homotopy type in Friedlander’s book [2] to obtain a map

$$BG(\mathbb{F}_q) = \left| \pi(BG(\mathbb{F}_q)_{\mathbb{F}_p}) \right| \to \text{holim}(\mathbb{Z}/\ell)_{\infty}(BG)_{et}.$$ 

The etale homotopy type $(BG)_{et}$ is a functor from the rigid hypercoverings $\pi \circ \Delta : \text{HRR}(BG) \to (\text{simplicial sets})$ where $\text{HRR}(BG)$ is a category of certain bisimplicial sets, $\Delta$ the diagonal functor and $\pi$ connected components functor. By definition, $Y_{\bullet} \in \text{HRR}(BG)$ has geometric points $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_p) \to Y_{mn}$ corresponding to geometric points in $BG$. Since $G(\mathbb{F}_q)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is a disjoint union of geometric points, so is $BG(\mathbb{F}_q)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$. Therefore, there exists a unique map of simplicial schemes $BG(\mathbb{F}_q)_{\mathbb{F}_p} \to \Delta(Y_{\bullet})$. By taking the connected components, we have a unique map of simplicial sets

$$\pi(BG(\mathbb{F}_q)_{\mathbb{F}_p}) \to \text{holim}(BG)_{et}.$$ 

Since the Bousfield-Kan $\mathbb{Z}/\ell$-completion is a functor from simplicial sets to simplicial sets, we also have a map

$$\pi(BG(\mathbb{F}_q)_{\mathbb{F}_p}) \to \text{holim}(BG)_{et}.$$ 

We denote its geometric realization by

$$\alpha_{\beta} : BG(\mathbb{F}_q) \to \left| \text{holim}(\mathbb{Z}/\ell)_{\infty}(BG)_{et} \right|.$$ 

It is clear that there holds $\phi^\beta \circ \alpha_{\beta} = \alpha_{\beta}$. Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and $W$ a subgroup of $N_{G(\mathbb{F}_q)}(H)/C_{G(\mathbb{F}_q)}(H)$. Let us consider the classifying space $EG(\mathbb{F}_q)/H$ and the obvious map

$$\alpha_2 : EG(\mathbb{F}_q)/H \to EG(\mathbb{F}_q)/G(\mathbb{F}_q) = BG(\mathbb{F}_q).$$ 

Let

$$\alpha_0 : EG(\mathbb{F}_q)/H \to \left| \text{holim}(\mathbb{Z}/\ell)_{\infty}(BG)_{et} \right|$$

be the composition $\alpha_{\beta} \circ \alpha_2$. Then, summing up the above arguments, we have the following proposition:

**Proposition 2.1.** With the notations above, there holds $\alpha_0 \circ w = \alpha_0$ for each $w \in W$ and $\phi^\beta \circ \alpha_0 = \alpha_0$ in the category of topological spaces and continuous maps.

Let $SO(n)$ be the reductive group scheme over $\mathbb{F}_p$ obtained from the complexification of the special orthogonal group $SO(n)$. Putting $G = SO(n)$, $H = A_{n-1}$, $BA_{n-1} = EG(\mathbb{F}_q)/A_{n-1}$, Proposition 1.6 immediately follows from Proposition 2.1.
3. Cohomology of free loop spaces

In this section, we recall results on the mod 2 cohomology of the free loop space $\mathcal{L}BSO_n$. Let $X$ be a space with the homotopy type of a connected CW complex and it has a base point $*$. If the mod 2 cohomology of the space $X$ is a polynomial algebra, the mod 2 cohomology of the free loop space $\mathcal{L}X$ is well-known. We refer the reader to Kono and Kishimoto [4], Kuribayashi, Mimura and Nishimoto [7]. In particular, Proposition 3 in [4] and Theorem 1.3 in [7].

There exists an obvious fibre sequence
\[
\Omega X \xrightarrow{\cdot \sigma} \mathcal{L}X \xrightarrow{\pi} X,
\]
where $\Omega X$ is the based loop space $\pi^{-1}(*)$. Also, there exists the evaluation map $e : S^1 \times \mathcal{L}X \to X$. Using this evaluation map, we define $\sigma : H^*(X) \to H^{*+1}(\mathcal{L}X)$ by
\[
e^*(x) = 1 \otimes x + u_1 \otimes \sigma(x),
\]
where $u_1$ is the generator of $H^1(S^1) = \mathbb{Z}/2$. Then, it is easy to see that $\sigma$ is a derivation in the sense that
\[
\sigma(x + y) = \sigma(x) + \sigma(y),
\]
\[
\sigma(x \cdot y) = \pi^*(x) \cdot \sigma(y) + \sigma(x) \cdot \sigma^*(y),
\]
for $x, y \in H^*(X)$. It is also clear from the definition that it commutes with the action of Steenrod squares. We denote $\text{Sq}^\deg x \cdot 1_x$ by $\phi(x)$. Let us consider the Leray-Serre spectral sequence associated with the fibre sequence above. Denote it by $E^r_\phi(\mathcal{L}X)$. Then, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose that the mod 2 cohomology of $X$ is a polynomial algebra, that is, $H^*(X) = \mathbb{Z}/2[y_1, \ldots, y_n]$. Then, the Leray-Serre spectral sequence $E^r_\cdot(\mathcal{L}X)$ collapses,
\[
H^*(\Omega X) = \Delta(i^*(\sigma(y_1)), \ldots, i^*(\sigma(y_n)))
\]
and
\[
H^*(\mathcal{L}X) = H^*(X) \otimes \Delta(\sigma(y_1), \ldots, \sigma(y_n)),
\]
where $\Delta$ is a simple system of generators and $\sigma(y_k)^2 = \sigma(\phi(y_k))$ in $H^*(\mathcal{L}X)$.

Theorem 3.1 is applicable to $BSO(n)$ for all $n$ and $BSpin(n)$ for $n < 10$. However, the mod 2 cohomology of $BSpin(n)$ for $n \geq 10$ is no longer a polynomial algebra. The mod 2 cohomology of $BSpin_{10}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is computed by Kleinerman in [9]. Kuribayashi, Mimura and Nishimoto compute the mod 2 cohomology of the free loop space of $BSpin(10)$ and they confirm a weak form of Tezuka’s conjecture for $\ell = 2$, $G = \text{Spin}(10)$ in [7]. It is the first step towards the computation of the mod 2 cohomology of the free loop space of $BG$ with the mod 2 cohomology not isomorphic to a polynomial algebra. However, it seems to be difficult to compute the mod 2 cohomology of $\mathcal{L}BSpin(n)$ for $n > 10$. The proof of Theorem 1.4 in this paper does not depend on the explicit computation of the mod 2 cohomology of $\mathcal{L}BSpin(n)$ even in the case $n \leq 10$.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.4

First, we set up definitions and notations. By abuse of notation, as for $BSO_n$ in §1, we denote by
\[
\pi_n : \mathcal{L}BSpin_n \to BSpin_n, \quad \pi_{n,q} : \mathcal{L}BSpin_{nq} \to BSpin_n,
\]
the projections obtained from the evaluation at 0, Map(I, BSpin\(_n\)) \rightarrow BSpin\(_n\), by restricting it to subspaces \(\mathcal{L}BSpin\(_n\)\) and \(\mathcal{L}\phi^n BSpin\(_n\)\). There exists a map

\[ p_n : BSpin\(_n\) \rightarrow BSO\(_n\) \]

induced by the obvious projection Spin\((n)\) \rightarrow SO\((n)\) such that \(\phi^n \circ p_n = p_n \circ \phi^n\) and we have the following commutative diagrams:

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{L}BSpin\(_n\) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}p_n} & \mathcal{L}BSO\(_n\) \\
\pi_n & & \pi_n \\
BSpin\(_n\) & \xrightarrow{p_n} & BSO\(_n\),
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{L}\phi^n BSpin\(_n\) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}\phi^n p_n} & \mathcal{L}\phi^n BSO\(_n\) \\
\pi_{n,q} & & \pi_{n,q} \\
BSpin\(_n\) & \xrightarrow{p_{n,q}} & BSO\(_n\).\end{array}\]

Let us define spaces \(F\(_n\), F\(_{n,q}\)\) and maps \(\xi_n, \tilde{p}_n, \xi_{n,q}, \tilde{p}_{n,q}\) by the following pull-back diagrams:

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
F\(_n\) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}_n} & \mathcal{L}BSO\(_n\) \\
\xi_n & & \pi_n \\
BSpin\(_n\) & \xrightarrow{p_n} & BSO\(_n\).
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
F\(_{n,q}\) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}_{n,q}} & \mathcal{L}\phi^n BSO\(_n\) \\
\xi_{n,q} & & \pi_{n,q} \\
BSpin\(_n\) & \xrightarrow{p_{n,q}} & BSO\(_n\).\end{array}\]

By definition, the projections

\[ \pi_n : \mathcal{L}BSpin\(_n\) \rightarrow BSpin\(_n\), \quad \pi_{n,q} : \mathcal{L}\phi^n BSpin\(_n\) \rightarrow BSpin\(_n\) \]

factors through \(F\(_n\), F\(_{n,q}\)\). Let

\[ \eta_n : \mathcal{L}BSpin\(_n\) \rightarrow F\(_n\), \quad \eta_{n,q} : \mathcal{L}\phi^n BSpin\(_n\) \rightarrow F\(_{n,q}\) \]

be maps such that \(\pi_n = \xi_n \circ \eta_n, \pi_{n,q} = \xi_{n,q} \circ \eta_{n,q}\), respectively.

Next, we compute the mod 2 cohomology of \(F\(_n\), F\(_{n,q}\)\) using the results on the mod 2 cohomology of \(\mathcal{L}BSO\(_n\)\).

**Proposition 4.1.** There exists an isomorphism of algebras over the mod 2 Steenrod algebra

\[ \beta_n : H^*(BSpin\(_n\)) \otimes_{H^*(BSO\(_n\))} H^*(\mathcal{L}BSO\(_n\)) \rightarrow H^*(F\(_n\)). \]

*Proof.* Consider the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence associated with the fibre square

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
F\(_n\) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}_n} & \mathcal{L}BSO\(_n\) \\
\xi_n & & \pi_n \\
BSpin\(_n\) & \xrightarrow{p_n} & BSO\(_n\).
\end{array}\]

Since \(BSO\(_n\)\) is simply-connected, the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence

\[ \text{Tor}^*_H(H^*(BSpin\(_n\)), H^*(\mathcal{L}BSO\(_n\))) \rightarrow H^*(F\(_n\)) \]

converges. By Theorem [3.1] \(H^*(\mathcal{L}BSO\(_n\))\) is a free \(H^*(BSO\(_n\))\)-module. Hence,

\[ \text{Tor}^*_H(H^*(BSpin\(_n\)), H^*(\mathcal{L}BSO\(_n\))) = 0 \]

for $i \neq 0$. Therefore, the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence collapses at the $E_2$-level and we have no extension problem and so we obtain

$$H^*(F_n) = \text{Tor}^{0,*}_{H^*(\text{BSO}_n)}(H^*(\text{BSpin}_n), H^*(\text{LBSpin}_n))$$

$$= H^*(\text{BSpin}_n) \otimes H^*(\text{BSO}_n) H^*(\text{LBSpin}_n). \quad \square$$

Let $E_r^{*,*}(\text{LBSpin}_n), E_r^{*,*}(\tilde{\text{BA}_{n-1}}), E_r^{*,*}(\mathcal{L}_\phi \text{BSO}_n)$ be Leray-Serre spectral sequences converging to $H^*(\text{LBSpin}_n), H^*(\tilde{\text{BA}_{n-1}}), H^*(\mathcal{L}_\phi \text{BSO}_n)$ associated with vertical fibrations in the following diagram defined in §1:

![Diagram](image)

The fibre of vertical fibrations is the based loop space $\Omega \text{BSO}_n$. We denote the inclusion of it into $\text{LBSpin}_n, \tilde{\text{BA}_{n-1}}, \mathcal{L}_\phi \text{BSO}_n$ by

$$i_n : \Omega \text{BSO}_n \to \text{LBSpin}_n, \quad i_0 : \Omega \text{BSO}_n \to \tilde{\text{BA}_{n-1}}, \quad i_{n,q} : \Omega \text{BSO}_n \to \mathcal{L}_\phi \text{BSO}_n,$$

respectively.

**Proposition 4.2.** Each of the Leray-Serre special sequences above collapses at the $E_2$-level and the induced homomorphisms

$$E_r^{*,*}(\text{LBSpin}_n) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}_n} E_r^{*,*}(\tilde{\text{BA}_{n-1}}) \xleftarrow{\tilde{\alpha}_{n,q}} E_r^{*,*}(\mathcal{L}_\phi \text{BSO}_n)$$

are monomorphisms for all $r \geq 2$.

**Proof.** The Leray-Serre spectral sequence $E_r^{*,*}(\text{LBSpin}_n)$ collapses at the $E_2$-level by Theorem 3.1.

Next, we show that the Leray-Serre spectral sequence $E_r^{*,*}(\tilde{\text{BA}_{n-1}})$ collapses at the $E_2$-level. Since the induced homomorphism

$$i_n^* = (\tilde{\alpha}_n \circ i_0)^* : H^*(\text{LBSpin}_n) \to H^*(\Omega \text{BSO}_n)$$

is an epimorphism, it is also an epimorphism. Hence, by the Leray-Hirsh theorem, the Leray-Serre spectral sequence $E_r^{*,*}(\tilde{\text{BA}_{n-1}})$ collapses at the $E_2$-level. Since the induced homomorphism

$$\tilde{\alpha}_n^* : E_2^{*,*}(\text{LBSpin}_n) \to E_2^{*,*}(\tilde{\text{BA}_{n-1}})$$

is equivalent to

$$\alpha_n^* \otimes 1 : H^*(\text{BSO}_n) \otimes H^*(\Omega \text{BSO}_n) \to H^*(\text{BA}_{n-1}) \otimes H^*(\Omega \text{BSO}_n),$$

it is a monomorphism. Since both spectral sequence collapse at the $E_2$-level, the induced homomorphism

$$\tilde{\alpha}_n^* : E_r^{*,*}(\text{LBSpin}_n) \to E_r^{*,*}(\tilde{\text{BA}_{n-1}})$$

is also a monomorphism for all $r \geq 2$.

Finally, we deal with the Leray-Serre spectral sequence $E_r^{*,*}(\mathcal{L}_\phi \text{BSO}_n)$. The induced homomorphism $\tilde{\alpha}_{n,q}^* : E_2^{*,*}(\mathcal{L}_\phi \text{BSO}_n) \to E_2^{*,*}(\tilde{\text{BA}_{n-1}})$ is a monomorphism. By induction on $r$, we show that $E_r^{*,*}(\mathcal{L}_\phi \text{BSO}_n) = E_r^{*,*}(\mathcal{L}_\phi \text{BSO}_n)$ for all $r \geq 2$. Suppose that we have $E_r^{*,*}(\mathcal{L}_\phi \text{BSO}_n) = E_r^{*,*}(\mathcal{L}_\phi \text{BSO}_n)$ for some $r$. Then, it is clear that the induced homomorphism $\tilde{\alpha}_{n,q}^* : E_r^{*,*}(\mathcal{L}_\phi \text{BSO}_n) \to E_r^{*,*}(\tilde{\text{BA}_{n-1}})$
is a monomorphism. Since \( d_r : E^{r,*}_r(\tilde{B}A_{n-1}) \to E^{r,*}_{r+1}(\tilde{B}A_{n-1}) \) is zero, \( \hat{\alpha}_{n,q} \circ d_r = d_r \circ \hat{\alpha}_{n,q} = 0 \). Hence, we have \( d_r = 0 \) for \( E^{r,*}_r(\mathcal{L}_{\varrho^*}BSO_n) \). So, we have
\[
E^{r,*}_{r+1}(\mathcal{L}_{\varrho^*}BSO_n) = E^{r,*}_r(\mathcal{L}_{\varrho^*}BSO_n) = E^{r,*}_2(\mathcal{L}_{\varrho^*}BSO_n).
\]
Therefore, the Leray-Serre spectral sequence \( E^{r,*}_r(\mathcal{L}_{\varrho^*}BSO_n) \) collapses at the \( E_2 \)-level and the induced homomorphism \( \hat{\alpha}_{n,q} : E^{r,*}_r(\mathcal{L}_{\varrho^*}BSO_n) \to E^{r,*}_r(\tilde{B}A_{n-1}) \) is a monomorphism for all \( r \geq 2 \). □

To finish the computation of the mod 2 cohomology of \( F_n, F_{n,q} \), we prove the counter part of Proposition 4.4.

**Proposition 4.3.** There exists an isomorphism of algebras over the mod 2 Steenrod algebra
\[
\beta_{n,q} : H^*(BSpin_n) \otimes H^*(BSO_n) H^*(\mathcal{L}_{\varrho^*}BSO_n) \to H^*(F_{n,q}).
\]

**Proof.** By Proposition 4.2, the Leray-Serre spectral sequence \( E^{r,*}_r(\mathcal{L}_{\varrho^*}BSO_n) \) collapses at the \( E_2 \)-level. So, \( H^*(\mathcal{L}_{\varrho^*}BSO_n) \) is a free \( H^*(BSO_n) \)-module. Therefore, as in Proposition 4.1, the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence associated with the fibre square
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
F_{n,q} & \xrightarrow{p_{n,q}} & \mathcal{L}_{\varrho^*}BSO_n \\
\downarrow{\xi_{n,q}} & & \downarrow{\pi_{n,q}} \\
BSpin_n & \xrightarrow{p_n} & BSO_n
\end{array}
\]
gives us the desired isomorphism. □

With Proposition 4.2 we have \( \hat{\alpha}_{n}^*(H^*(\mathcal{L}BSO_n)) \) and \( \hat{\alpha}_{n,q}^*(H^*(\mathcal{L}_{\varrho}BSO_n)) \) have the same dimension as vector spaces. But we do not know whether these are the same subspace yet. Next, we show that \( \hat{\alpha}_{n}^*(H^*(\mathcal{L}BSO_n)) = \hat{\alpha}_{n,q}^*(H^*(\mathcal{L}_{\varrho}BSO_n)) \) and prove Theorem 1.7. The key of our proof of Theorem 1.7 is the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.4.** The induced homomorphism
\[
\alpha_n^* : H^*(BSO_n) \to H^*(BA_{n-1})^{S_n}
\]
is an isomorphism for \( n \geq 3 \).

The induced homomorphism \( \alpha_n^* \) is a monomorphism and the image of \( \alpha_n^* \) is generated by the Stiefel-Whitney classes \( w_2, \ldots, w_n \). So, Proposition 4.4 is equivalent to say that \( H^*(BA_{n-1})^{S_n} = \mathbb{Z}/2[w_2, \ldots, w_n] \) for \( n \geq 3 \). It is not difficult to prove Proposition 4.4 and it might be a folklore but the author could not find a reference for Proposition 4.4. So, for completeness, we give a proof of this proposition in the next section. Note that, however,
\[
H^*(BSO_2) = \mathbb{Z}/2[w_2] \not\cong H^*(BA_1)^{S_2} = H^*(BA_1),
\]

hence we cannot prove Theorem 1.7 for the case \( n = 2 \).

**Proof of Theorem 1.7 modulo Proposition 4.4.** By Proposition 4.2 the induced homomorphisms in Theorem 1.7 are monomorphisms. Since \( \dim H^*(\mathcal{L}BSO_n) = \dim H^*(\mathcal{L}_{\varrho}BSO_n) \) for all \( i \), to complete the proof of Theorem 1.7 it suffices to show that \( \dim H^*(\tilde{B}A_{n-1})^{S_n} \leq \dim H^*(\mathcal{L}BSO_n) \) for all \( i \). As we stated in §1, before the statement of Theorem 1.7 the Weyl group action of \( S_n \) on \( A_{n-1} \) induces
its action on the mod 2 cohomology $H^*(\tilde{BA}_{n-1})$. It also induces its action on the Lennar-Serre spectral sequence $E_1^n = H^\ast(\tilde{BA}_{n-1})$. Since the image of $\tilde{\alpha}_n^\ast$ is invariant under the action of the Weyl group $S_n$, it acts on $E_0^n = H^\ast(\tilde{BA}_{n-1}) = H^\ast(\Omega BSO_n)$ trivially. Hence, we have

$$E_\infty^n = (H^\ast(\tilde{BA}_{n-1}) \otimes H^\ast(\Omega BSO_n))^S_n = \oplus H^\ast(\tilde{BA}_{n-1})^S_n \otimes H^\ast(\Omega BSO_n).$$

By Proposition 4.4, the above homomorphism $\tilde{\alpha}_n^\ast$ induces the isomorphism $E_\infty^n(\Omega BSO_n) \rightarrow E_\infty^n(\tilde{BA}_{n-1})^S_n$.

In general, for a finite group $W$ and for a finite dimensional filtered $\mathbb{Z}/2W$-module $M$, we have an inequality $\dim M^W \leq \dim(\text{gr}(M))^W$, where $\text{gr}(M)$ is the associated graded module. Therefore, we obtain

$$\dim H^\ast(\tilde{BA}_{n-1})^S_n \leq \dim \text{gr}(H^\ast(\tilde{BA}_{n-1}))^S_n \leq \dim H^\ast(\Omega BSO_n).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4 assuming Proposition 4.4.

Finally, we consider the Gysin sequences associated with $\eta_{n,q}$, $\eta_n$ to prove Theorem 1.4. The following proposition is immediate from the fact that $BSO_n$ and $BSpin_n$ are simply-connected and that $H^1(\Omega BSO_n) = \mathbb{Z}/2$. But it plays an important role in our proof of Theorem 1.4, so we emphasize it here.

**Proposition 4.5.** As vector spaces,

$$H^1(F_{n,q}) = H^1(\mathcal{L}_{\phi^t} BSO_n) = H^1(\tilde{BA}_{n-1})^S_n = H^1(\Omega BSO_n) = H^1(F_n) = \mathbb{Z}/2$$

and the following is a chain of isomorphisms:

$$H^1(F_{n,q}) \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}_{n,q}} H^1(\mathcal{L}_{\phi^t} BSO_n) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}_{n,q}} H^1(\tilde{BA}_{n-1})^S_n \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}_n} H^1(\Omega BSO_n) \xrightarrow{\bar{\eta}_n} H^1(F_n).$$

Let us consider the commutative diagram below:

$$\Omega BSpin_n \xrightarrow{\Omega p_n} \mathcal{L}_{\phi^t} BSpin_n \xrightarrow{\pi_{n,q}} BSpin_n,$$

$$\Omega BSO_n \xrightarrow{j_{n,q}} F_{n,q} \xrightarrow{\xi_{n,q}} BSpin_n,$$

where horizontal sequences are fibre sequences and $j_{n,q}$ is the inclusion of the fibre $\Omega BSO_n$ into $F_{n,q}$, so that $i_{n,q} = \bar{p}_{n,q} \circ j_{n,q}$. The homotopy fibre of $\eta_{n,q}$ and $\Omega p_n : \Omega BSpin_n \rightarrow \Omega BSO_n$ is homotopy equivalent to $S^0$. So, we have the Gysin sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^\ast(\mathcal{L}_{\phi^t} BSpin_n) \xrightarrow{\eta_{n,q}^\ast} H^\ast(F_{n,q}) \xrightarrow{\gamma_{n,q}} H^{\ast+1}(F_{n,q}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

The homomorphism $\gamma_{n,q}$ is the multiplication by the Euler class $e_{n,q} \in H^1(F_{n,q})$. Comparing the Gysin sequence associated with $\Omega p_n$, we have $j_{n,q}^\ast(e_{n,q}) \neq 0$. Since
Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $\gamma_n$ be generators of $H^1(F_{n,q})$. Similarly, considering the Gysin sequence associated with $\eta_n : LBSpin_n \to F_n$, say 

$$\cdots \to H^*(LBSpin_n) \xrightarrow{\eta_n^*} H^*(F_n) \xrightarrow{\gamma_n} H^{*+1}(F_n) \to \cdots$$

the homomorphism $\gamma_n$ is also the multiplication by the Euler class $e_n \in H^1(F_n)$ and the Euler class $e_n \in H^1(F_n)$ is also the generator of $H^1(F_n) = \mathbb{Z}/2$.

**Proof of Theorem 1.4** Let $e_0$ be the generator of $H^1(\tilde{BA}_{n-1})S^n$. Also, let $v_{n,q}, v_n$ be generators of $H^1(L\phi_3, BSO_n)$, $H^1(LBSO_n)$, respectively. Then, we have 

$$e_{n,q} = \tilde{\beta}_{n,q}(v_{n,q}) \quad \tilde{\alpha}_{n,q}(v_{n,q}) = e_0 = \tilde{\alpha}_n(v_n) \quad \tilde{\beta}_n(v_n) = e_n$$

Let us write 

$$H^*(LBSO_n)(\tilde{\alpha}_{n,q}^*)^{-1} \to H^*(\tilde{BA}_{n-1})S^n(\tilde{\alpha}_{n,q}^*)^{-1} \to H^*(L\phi_3, BSO_n)$$

for the inverses of the isomorphisms in Theorem 1.7. We consider the composition of isomorphisms $\beta_n, \beta_{n,q}$ in Propositions 1.1, 1.3 with $1 \otimes (\tilde{\alpha}_{n,q}^*)^{-1}, 1 \otimes (\tilde{\alpha}_n^*)^{-1}$, respectively. It is clear that $\beta_{n,q} \circ (1 \otimes (\tilde{\alpha}_{n,q}^*)^{-1}), \beta_n \circ (1 \otimes (\tilde{\alpha}_n^*)^{-1})$ are algebra homomorphisms. It is also clear that 

$$(\beta_{n,q} \circ (1 \otimes (\tilde{\alpha}_{n,q}^*)^{-1}))(1 \otimes e_0) = e_{n,q}$$

and 

$$(\beta_n \circ (1 \otimes (\tilde{\alpha}_n^*)^{-1}))(1 \otimes e_0) = e_n.$$ 

Therefore, the following diagram commutes:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^*(F_{n,q}) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_n} & H^{*+1}(F_{n,q}) = \Sigma(H^*(F_{n,q})) \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\cong & & \cong \\
\beta_{n,q}(1 \otimes (\tilde{\alpha}_{n,q}^*)^{-1}) & & \Sigma(\beta_{n,q}(1 \otimes (\tilde{\alpha}_{n,q}^*)^{-1})) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H^*(BSpin_n) \otimes H^*(BSO_n) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma(\gamma_n)} & H^*(\tilde{BA}_{n-1})S^n \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H^*(F_n) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_n} & H^{*+1}(F_n) = \Sigma(H^*(F_n)),
\end{array}
$$

where horizontal homomorphisms are the multiplication by $e_{n,q}, 1 \otimes e_0, e_n$ and $\Sigma^n$ is the degree shift functor such that $(\Sigma^n M)^i = M^{i+n}$. Thus, we obtain isomorphisms
of graded $\mathbb{Z}/2$-modules

$$H^*(\mathcal{L}_{\phi^V} {\mathbb{B}Spin}_n) = \ker\gamma_{n,q} \oplus \Sigma^{-1}\text{Coker}\gamma_{n,q}$$

$$= \ker\gamma_n \oplus \Sigma^{-1}\text{Coker}\gamma_n$$

$$= H^*(\mathcal{L} {\mathbb{B}Spin}_n).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4. □

5. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 4.4

In order to prove Proposition 1.4, we recall the invariant theory of finite groups. We refer the reader to Smith’s book [10], for instance. Let $K$ be a ground field. Let $W$ be a finite group acting on $K$-vector space $V$. We denote by $V^*$ the dual of $V$ with respect to a basis $v_1, \ldots, v_k$. We denote its dual basis $x_1, \ldots, x_k$. Then the finite group $W$ acts on a polynomial algebra $K[V] = K[x_1, \ldots, x_k]$. The following proposition is Proposition 5.5.5 in Smith’s book [10].

**Proposition 5.1.** Suppose that $W$ acts on $V$ faithfully. Suppose that $\dim V = k$. Let $R$ be a subalgebra generated by homogeneous polynomials $f_1, \ldots, f_k$ and $R \subset K[V]^W$. If the inclusion $R \to K[V]$ is finite, and if $\deg f_1 \cdots \deg f_k = |W|$, then $R$ is a polynomial algebra and

$$R = K[V]^W.$$ 

Now, let $K = \mathbb{Z}/2$ and consider the action of $S_n$ on $A_{n-1}$ and $H^1(BA_{n-1})$. The group $A_{n-1}$ is the diagonal matrices in $SO(n)$ whose diagonal entries are $\pm 1$. Let $A_n$ be the subgroup of $O(n)$ consisting of diagonal matrices whose diagonal entries are $\pm 1$. $S_n$ acts on $A_n$ by permutation of diagonal entries. Then, $H^*(BA_n) = \mathbb{Z}/2[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and $H^*(BA_n)^{S_n} = \mathbb{Z}/2[w_1, \ldots, w_n]$, where $w_i$ is the $i$-th symmetric function of $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ and there exists an obvious $S_n$-equivariant epimorphism

$$H^*(BA_n) \to H^*(BA_{n-1})$$

induced by the inclusion of $A_{n-1}$ into $A_n$. Moreover, we have

$$H^*(BA_{n-1}) = \mathbb{Z}/2[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/(x_1 + \cdots + x_n).$$

See Corollary 4.19, p.135, in the book of Mimura and Toda [8]. It is clear that $H^*(BA_n)^{S_n} \to H^*(BA_n)$ is finite. Therefore, the composition

$$H^*(BA_n)^{S_n} \to H^*(BA_n) \to H^*(BA_{n-1})$$

is also finite. Since $w_1$ maps to 0, the inclusion of the subalgebra of $H^*(BA_{n-1})$ generated by $w_2, \ldots, w_n$ into $H^*(BA_{n-1})$ is also finite. It is also clear that $\deg w_2 \times \cdots \times \deg w_n = n! = |S_n|$. Therefore, if the action of $S_n$ is faithful on $H^1(BA_{n-1})$, by Proposition 5.1 above, we have

$$H^*(BA_{n-1})^{S_n} = \mathbb{Z}/2[w_2, \ldots, w_n].$$

So, it remains to see that the action of $S_n$ on $H^1(BA_{n-1})$ is faithful, or equivalently that its action on $H^1(BA_{n-1})$ is faithful.

**Proposition 5.2.** For $n \geq 3$, $S_n$ acts on $H^1(BA_{n-1})$ faithfully.

**Proof.** Let $S_{n-1}$ be the subgroup consisting of permutations of $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}$. Let $\sigma(x_i) = x_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n-2$ and $\sigma(x_{n-1}) = x_1 = x_1 + \cdots + x_{n-1}$. It is clear that $\sigma^n(x_i) = x_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$. Thus, $\sigma^n = 1$. Moreover, $\sigma^j(x_{n-j}) = x_1 + \cdots + x_{n-1}$, hence $\sigma^j \notin S_{n-1}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$. In order to prove that the
action of $\zeta_n$ on $H^1(\bar{BA}_{n-1})$ is faithful, it suffices to show that $\sigma$ and $\zeta_{n-1}$ generate a subgroup of $\text{GL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ whose order is greater than or equal to $n!$. Let $\tau \in S_{n-1}$.

Therefore, $S_{n-1} \cap S_{n-1} \sigma^j = \emptyset$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. Hence, $S_{n-1} \sigma^1 \cap S_{n-1} \sigma^k = \emptyset$ for $0 \leq i < k \leq n-1$. So, $\sigma$ and $\zeta_{n-1}$ generate a subgroup of order greater than or equal to $S_n$. Hence, the action of $\zeta_n$ on $H^1(\bar{BA}_{n-1})$ is faithful. 

6. CONJECTURE

We end this paper with the following conjecture similar to Theorem [14] for $B\text{Spin}_n$. Consider the subgroup

$$A_{n-1} = p_n^{-1}(A_{n-1})$$

in $\text{Spin}(n)$, where $p_n : \text{Spin}(n) \to \text{SO}(n)$ is the obvious projection and $A_{n-1}$ is the elementary abelian 2-subgroup consisting of diagonal matrices whose non-zero entries are $\pm 1$ as in §1. Let $\alpha_n : B\bar{A}_{n-1} \to L\text{Spin}_n$ be the map induced by the inclusion of $A_{n-1}$ into $\text{Spin}(n)$. We define maps

$$\tilde{\alpha}_n : \bar{B}\bar{A}_{n-1} \to L\text{Spin}_n, \quad \tilde{\alpha}_{n,q} : \bar{B}\bar{A}_{n-1} \to Lq^*\text{Spin}_n$$

by the following pull-back diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{LSpin}_n & \xleftarrow{\tilde{\alpha}_n} & \bar{B}\bar{A}_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}_{n,q}} & Lq^*\text{Spin}_n \\
\downarrow{\pi_n} & & \downarrow{\pi_0} & & \downarrow{\pi_{n,q}} \\
B\text{Spin}_n & \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} & B\bar{A}_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} & B\text{Spin}_n.
\end{array}
$$

Conjecture 6.1. Let $q$ be a power of an odd prime $p$ and $\mathbb{F}_q$ the finite field of $q$ elements. For $n \geq 3$, the induced homomorphisms

$$H^*\left(L\text{Spin}_n\right) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}_n^*} H^*\left(B\bar{A}_{n-1}\right) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}_{n,q}^*} H^*\left(Lq^*\text{Spin}_n\right)$$

are monomorphisms and that the image of $\tilde{\alpha}_{n,q}^*$ is the same to that of $\tilde{\alpha}_n^*$. If it holds, this conjecture implies that the mod 2 cohomology of the free loop space of the classifying space $B\text{Spin}(n)$ is isomorphic to the mod 2 cohomology of the finite Chevalley group $\text{Spin}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ not only as a ring but also as an algebra over the mod 2 Steenrod algebra, that is, a strong form of Tezuka's conjecture.
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