

MASSIVE HYBRID STARS WITH STRANGENESS

T. TAKATSUKA* and T. HATSUDA

Theoretical Research Division, Nishina Center, RIKEN, Wako 351-0198, Japan

**E-mail: takatsuka@iwate-u.ac.jp*

K. MASUDA

Department of Physics, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

How massive the hybrid stars could be is discussed by a “3-window model” proposed from a new strategy to construct the equation of state with hadron-quark transition. It is found that hybrid stars have a strong potentiality to generate a large mass compatible with two-solar-mass neutron star observations.

1. Introduction

It seems a recent consensus that hyperons (Y) are sure to participate in neutron star (NS) cores, increasing the population with the increase of baryon density (ρ).¹ The Y-mixing, as a manifestation of strangeness degrees of freedom, plays a dramatic role in NS properties, that is, it causes an extreme softening of the EOS²⁻⁸, leading to the problem that the maximum mass (M_{max}) of NSs cannot exceed even the $1.44 M_{\odot}$ observed for PSR1913+16. This conflict between the theory and the observation becomes more serious by a very recent finding of $2M_{\odot}$ -NSs^{9,10}. In a pure hadronic framework, it has been pointed out that the introduction of a “universal 3-body force” acting on all the baryons BBB (i.e., not only on NNN but also on NNY, NYN and YYY) is a promising candidate to solve the problem.¹¹

The aim of this paper is to discuss another solution for the problem by extending the framework from pure hadron to hadron (H) plus quark (Q) degrees of freedom. We address how the hybrid stars with H-Q transition core could be massive, by a new approach not restricted to the conventional Gibbs or Maxwell condition. Our new strategy is to divide the equation of state (EOS) into 3 density regions, i.e., pure H-EOS for $\rho \leq \rho_H$, HQ-EOS for $\rho_H \leq \rho \leq \rho_Q$ and pure Q-EOS for $\rho \geq \rho_Q$, characterized as “3-window

model”.¹² The motivation comes from the considerations: (i) Pure hadronic EOS gets uncertain with increasing ρ because of finite size hadrons composed of quarks and gluons. (ii) Pure quark matter EOS becomes unreliable with decreasing ρ due to the deconfined-confined transition. (iii) Therefore, to discuss the H-Q transition by extrapolating the pure H-EOS from a lower density side and the pure Q-EOS from a higher density side is not necessarily justified. Our basic idea is to supplement the very poorly known HQ-EOS by sandwiching it in between the relatively certain H-EOS and Q-EOS, and construct the HQ-EOS by a phenomenological interpolation.




Fig. 1. Schematic illustration of “3-window model”. A very poorly known HQ-EOS is interpolated by sandwiching it in between a H-EOS and a Q-EOS relatively known.

2. Approach

According to the “3-window model”, we construct the EOS with H-Q transition. In our preceding works^{13, 14} we have tried this line of approach from a view of a smooth crossover for the H-Q transition region and found that the hybrid stars satisfy $M_{max} \geq 2M_{\odot}$. There the pressure $P(\rho)$ was interpolated as $P(\rho) = P_H(\rho)f_-(\rho) + P_Q(\rho)f_+(\rho)$ by a ρ -dependent weight function $f_{\pm}(\rho) = (1 \pm \tanh [(\rho - \bar{\rho})/\Gamma])$ with parameters $\bar{\rho}$ and Γ , in an analogy to very hot QCD transition. Due to $f_{\pm}(\rho)$, however, the interpolated HQ-EOS approaches only asymptotically to H-EOS with decreasing ρ (Q-EOS with increasing ρ). But such a way of interpolation is not unique. As a complementary work, here we try more general interpolation and make exact matching at discrete boundaries (ρ_H and ρ_Q).

As in the preceding work,¹⁴ we take the H-EOS with Y (denoted by TNI2) from a G-matrix effective interaction approach. The TNI2 H-EOS satisfies the saturation property of symmetric nuclear matter and has an incompressibility $\kappa = 250\text{MeV}$ consistent with experiments. We use the 3-flavor Q-EOS from the NJL model including a repulsive effect from vector interaction with the strength $g_v = (0 - 1.5)G_s$ (G_s being the strength of scalar interaction). As an interpolation function, we take $P_{HQ}(x) = ax^m + bx^n + c$ with $x \equiv \rho/\rho_0$ ($\rho_0 = 0.17/\text{fm}^3$ being the nuclear density). Then, the energy density ϵ is obtained from $P = \rho^2 \partial(\epsilon/\rho)/\partial\rho$ as $\epsilon_{HQ}(x) = (a/(m-1))x^m + (b/(n-1))x^n + dx - c$. Four coefficients $\{a, b, c, d\}$ are determined for a given set $\{m, n\}$ and $\{x_H \equiv \rho_H/\rho_0, x_Q \equiv \rho_Q/\rho_0\}$ by a matching of P and ϵ at phase boundaries. By running the set of $\{m, n\}$ and $\{x_H, x_Q\}$, the solution is searched under the conditions; (i) $P(x) > 0$ and $\partial P/\partial x \geq 0$ (thermodynamic stability), (ii) $v_s/c = (\partial P/\partial \epsilon)^{1/2} \leq 1$ (sound velocity less than light velocity), (iii) $x_H > 1$ (no experimental evidence for quark degrees of freedom at $\rho \leq \rho_0$).

3. Some Results and Remarks

Some examples for numerical results are shown in Table 1. We note the following points: (i) Within the present interpolation function, we have several hybrid stars with $M_{max} \simeq (2 - 3)M_\odot$. It can be as massive as $3M_\odot$ -NSs. (ii) The dependence of M_{max} on $\{m, n\}$ and $\{x_H, x_Q\}$ is rather small: For a fixed $\{m=0.2, n=-2.6, x_H = 1.5\}$ and $g_v = 0.5G_s$, M_{max} changes slightly, $(2.61 \rightarrow 2.48)M_\odot$ according to $x_Q = (5.5 \rightarrow 8.0)$. For a fixed $\{x_H = 1.5, x_Q = 0.7\}$ and $g_v = 0.5G_s$, the functional dependence of M_{max} is also small as $M_{max}=(2.53, 2.62, 2.61)M_\odot$ for $(m, n)=(0.2, -2.6)$, $(2.6, -0.2)$, $(1.2, -1.2)$. (iii) The g_v -dependence of M_{max} is remarkable as

Table 1. Some results for NS models

CASE	x_H	x_S	H-EOS	Q-EOS	m	n	M_{max}/M_\odot	R/k_m	ρ_c/ρ_0
1	1.5	5.5	TNI2	$g_v = 0.5G_s$	0.2	-2.6	2.61	13.38	3.99
2	1.5	6.0	TNI2	$g_v = 0.5G_s$	0.2	-2.6	2.59	13.27	3.90
3	1.5	7.0	TNI2	$g_v = 0.5G_s$	0.2	-2.6	2.53	12.08	4.52
4	1.5	8.0	TNI2	$g_v = 0.5G_s$	0.2	-2.6	2.48	12.56	4.35
5	1.5	7.0	TNI2	$g_v = 1.5G_s$	0.2	-2.6	3.08	13.73	3.34
6	1.5	7.0	TNI2	$g_v = 1.0G_s$	0.2	-2.6	2.86	13.28	3.94
7	1.5	7.0	TNI2	$g_v = 0$	0.2	-2.6	1.99	12.30	4.85
8	1.5	7.0	TNI2	$g_v = 0.5G_s$	2.6	-0.2	2.62	13.44	4.05
9	1.5	7.0	TNI2	$g_v = 0.5G_s$	1.2	-1.2	2.61	13.44	3.73

$M_{max}=(1.99, 2.53, 2.86, 3.08)M_{\odot}$ according to $g_v=(0.0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5)G_s$ (CASE 7, 3, 6, 5). (iv) Since $x_Q > \rho_c$ (the central density), our hybrid stars do not have pure Q-matter core but H-Q transient core. In the calculations we have found that the x_H as lower as (1.5-2.5) is necessary for the solution to exist. This may suggest a picture that the Q-degrees of freedom begins to work at rather low density as has been discussed from a view of quark percolation in nuclear medium.¹⁵

To summarize, our hybrid stars from the “3-window model” can generate the M_{max} compatible with $2M_{\odot}$ -NS observations, as far as the Q-degrees of freedom sets on from a rather low density ($\sim 1.5\rho_0$) and the Q-EOS is stiff enough. The present work supports the results in our preceding papers. Finally, we want to stress that the quark degrees of freedom in NS cores has a potentiality enough to account for the existence of $2M_{\odot}$ -NS.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) No.22340052 and by RIKEN 2012 Strategic Program for R&D.

References

1. T. Takatsuka, *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **No.156**, 84 (2004) and references therein.
2. S. Nishizaki, Y. Yamamoto and T. Takatsuka, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **105**, 607 (2001).
3. S. Nishizaki, Y. Yamamoto and T. Takatsuka, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **108**, 703 (2002).
4. M. Baldo, G. F. Burgio and H. - J. Schulze, *Phys. Rev.* **C61**, 055801 (2000).
5. I. Vidaña, A. Polls, A. Ramos, L. Engvik and M. Hjorth-Jensen, *Phys. Rev.* **C62**, 035801 (2000).
6. Z. H. Li and H. - J. Schulze, *Phys. Rev.* **C78**, 028801 (2008).
7. K. Tsubakihara, H. Maekawa, H. Matsumiya and A. Ohnishi, *Phys. Rev.* **C81**, 065206 (2010).
8. H. Dapo, B. - J. Schaefer and J. Wambach, *Phys. Rev.* **C81**, 035803 (2010).
9. P. B. Demorest, et al., *Nature* **467**, 1081 (2010).
10. J. Antoniadis, et al., *Science* **340**, 6131 (2013).
11. T. Takatsuka, S. Nishizaki and R. Tamagaki, *Proc. Int. Symp. “FM50”* (AIP conference proceedings 1011) 209 (2008).
12. T. Takatsuka, T. Hatsuda and K. Masuda, *Proc. Int. Symp. “OMEG11”* (AIP conference proceedings 1484) 406 (2012).
13. K. Masuda, T. Hatsuda and T. Takatsuka, *Astrophys. J.* **794**, 12 (2013).
14. K. Masuda, T. Hatsuda and T. Takatsuka, *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* 073D01 (2013).
15. G. Baym, *Physica* **96A**, 131 (1979).