

CANONICAL BASES IN TENSOR PRODUCTS REVISITED

HUANCHEN BAO AND WEIQIANG WANG

ABSTRACT. We construct canonical bases in tensor products of several lowest and highest weight integrable modules, generalizing Lusztig's work.

1. INTRODUCTION

Lusztig [Lu92] constructed the canonical basis in a tensor product of a lowest weight and a highest weight integrable module (denoted by ${}_{\text{lw}}M \otimes {}^{\text{hw}}M$), but not on ${}^{\text{hw}}M \otimes {}^{\text{hw}}M$ over a general quantum group \mathbf{U} . In [Lu93], he generalized this construction by defining the notion of based modules, but was only able to fully develop this theory in finite type; as a consequence, he constructed the canonical bases for tensor products of several (finite-dimensional) modules for \mathbf{U} of finite type. These canonical bases have important applications to category \mathcal{O} , categorification, and quantum topology.

The goal of this paper is to provide a very simple *algebraic* construction of canonical bases in tensor products (2.5) of several lowest weight integrable modules followed by highest weight integrable modules over \mathbf{U} of *Kac-Moody type* — this settles a basic problem, open since Lusztig's work more than two decades ago. We do so by extending the essential parts of [Lu93, Chapter 27] on based modules to Kac-Moody setting.

Zheng [Z08] and Webster [W13] have categorified tensor products of highest weight integrable modules over \mathbf{U} of Kac-Moody type. Moreover Webster [W12] has further categorified the more general tensor products of the form (2.5), building on works of Khovanov, Lauda, Rouquier, Vasserot, and Varagnolo [KL09, R08, VV11]. The unavailability of an algebraic construction of tensor product canonical bases in full generality has been puzzling and hence our work helps to fill a gap in the program of categorification. In general it is a difficult and deep problem to decide whether the *can \oplus nical basis* (which is defined to be the basis of projective indecomposables) coincides with the canonical basis. In the setting of ${}^{\text{hw}}M \otimes \dots \otimes {}^{\text{hw}}M$ over \mathbf{U} of symmetric type, the classes of projective indecomposable modules in Webster's category do coincide with the canonical basis constructed in this paper (see Theorem 2.12; Webster [W12] proved this for finite *ADE* types). A *can \oplus nical basis* comes with positivity but is extremely difficult to compute, while an (algebraic) canonical basis is computable by the Gram-Schmidt algorithm.

The quasi- \mathcal{R} -matrix Θ , a variant of Drinfeld's universal \mathcal{R} -matrix [Dr86], was introduced by Lusztig to define a bar involution on tensor product modules. The key step in our approach is a simple proof that Θ preserves the $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ -forms of modules such as ${}_{\text{lw}}M \otimes M$ or $M \otimes {}^{\text{hw}}M$ for any based module M . Our argument bypasses the integrality

issue of the quasi- \mathcal{R} -matrix Θ (which was only known in finite type [Lu93, 24.1.6]) and simultaneously gets around the cyclicity of ${}_{\text{lw}}M \otimes {}^{\text{hw}}M$ used in [Lu93, 23.3.6]. In this way, we show that ${}_{\text{lw}}M \otimes M$ and $M \otimes {}^{\text{hw}}M$ are based modules, and this leads to the canonical bases of the tensor product modules (2.5) inductively.

2. CANONICAL BASES IN TENSOR PRODUCTS

We will follow the notation and convention of the book [Lu93] unless otherwise specified. Throughout we shall assume that the root system is Y -regular.

2.1. Approximate cyclicity. For $\lambda \in X$, let $M(\lambda)$ be the Verma module and $L(\lambda)$ be the highest weight simple module of highest weight λ of a quantum group \mathbf{U} . We identify the underlying vector space for $M(\lambda)$ as \mathbf{f} [Lu93, 1.2] with highest weight vector identified with $1 \in \mathbf{f}$. Let \mathbf{B} be the canonical basis of \mathbf{f} . We identify \mathbf{f} with \mathbf{U}^- via the isomorphism $\mathbf{f} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}^-$, $b \mapsto b^-$, and denote by \mathbf{B}^- the canonical basis in \mathbf{U}^- .

A based \mathbf{U} -module in this paper is a \mathbf{U} -module which satisfies Conditions (a)-(d) in [Lu93, 27.1.2] and is integrable (the integrability here replaces the finite-dimensionality condition in *loc. cit.*). A basic example of based modules is $L(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in X^+$ with its canonical basis of Lusztig and Kashiwara [Lu90, Ka91, Lu93]. Let (M, B) and (M', B') be two based \mathbf{U} -modules whose associated bar involutions will both be denoted by $\bar{\cdot}$. The new (anti-linear) bar map Ψ on $M \otimes M'$ given by $\Psi(m \otimes m') = \Theta(\bar{m} \otimes \bar{m}')$ makes sense, if $\Theta : M \otimes M' \rightarrow M \otimes M'$ is well defined (that is, $\Theta(m \otimes m')$ is always a finite sum for all $m \in M, m' \in M'$).

Remark 2.1. Since Θ lies in a completion of $\mathbf{U}^- \otimes \mathbf{U}^+$ by [Lu93, Theorem 4.1.2], the map $\Theta : M \otimes M' \rightarrow M \otimes M'$ is well defined if the following condition is satisfied:

(\star) $xm \otimes x'm' = 0, \forall m \in M, m' \in M', \forall x \in \mathbf{U}_\nu^-, x' \in \mathbf{U}_\nu^+$ with ν sufficiently large.

In particular, the condition (\star) is satisfied when $M_1 = {}^\omega L(\lambda)$ or $M_2 = L(\lambda)$, for $\lambda \in X^+$.

Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$. We have the \mathcal{A} -submodules ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{f}$ and ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{U}^-$ in \mathbf{f} and \mathbf{U}^- generated by \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{B}^- , respectively [Lu93]. For a based module (M, B) , we let ${}_{\mathcal{A}}M$ be the \mathcal{A} -submodule of M generated by B . Recall [Lu93, Chapter 23] $\dot{\mathbf{U}}$ is the modified quantum group containing various idempotents $\mathbf{1}_\lambda$ for $\lambda \in X$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $(M, B(M))$ be a based \mathbf{U} -module and let $\lambda \in X$.*

- (1) *For $b \in B(M)$, the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -linear map $\pi_b : \mathbf{U}^- \mathbf{1}_{|b|+\lambda} \rightarrow M \otimes M(\lambda)$, $u \mapsto u(b \otimes 1)$, restricts to an \mathcal{A} -linear map $\pi_b : {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{U}^- \mathbf{1}_{|b|+\lambda} \rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{A}}M \otimes {}_{\mathcal{A}}M(\lambda)$.*
- (2) *We have $\sum_{b \in B(M)} \pi_b({}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{U}^- \mathbf{1}_{|b|+\lambda}) = {}_{\mathcal{A}}M \otimes {}_{\mathcal{A}}M(\lambda)$.*

Proof. Let $b' \in \mathbf{B}$. Using the comultiplication Δ in [Lu93, 3.1.4], we can write

$$(2.1) \quad \Delta(b'^-) = 1 \otimes b'^- + \sum c_{b_1, b_2} b_1^- \otimes b_2^- \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{U}^- {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{U}^0 \otimes {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{U}^-,$$

where the sum is taken over $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbf{B}$ such that $\text{tr } |b_1| \leq \text{tr } |b'|, \text{tr } |b_2| < \text{tr } |b'|$, and $c_{b_1, b_2} \in \mathcal{A}$; see [Lu93, 1.1-1.2] for notations. Then

$$(2.2) \quad \pi_b(b'^- \mathbf{1}_{|b|+\lambda}) = b'^- \mathbf{1}_{|b|+\lambda} (b \otimes 1) = b \otimes b' + \sum c_{b_1, b_2} b_1^- b \otimes b_2.$$

Part (1) follows.

Note that ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{f}$ has an increasing filtration

$$\mathcal{A} = {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{f}_{\leq 0} \subseteq {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{f}_{\leq 1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{f}_{\leq N} \subseteq \cdots$$

where ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{f}_{\leq N}$ denotes the \mathcal{A} -span of $\{\theta_{i_1}^{(a_1)} \cdots \theta_{i_n}^{(a_n)} | a_1 + \cdots + a_n \leq N, i_1, \dots, i_n \in I\}$. This induces an increasing filtration on ${}_{\mathcal{A}}M(\lambda)$.

Set $Z := \sum_{b \in B(M)} \pi_b({}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{U}^{-1}|_{b|+\lambda})$. We have by (1) that $Z \subseteq {}_{\mathcal{A}}M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} {}_{\mathcal{A}}M(\lambda)$. To prove (2), it suffices to prove by induction on N that ${}_{\mathcal{A}}M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} {}_{\mathcal{A}}M(\lambda)_{\leq N} \subseteq Z$, with the base case $N = 0$ covered by definition.

Let $b' \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{f}_{\leq N}$, for $N \geq 1$. Recalling notations from (2.1), we have $\sum c_{b_1, b_2} b_1^- b \otimes b_2 \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} {}_{\mathcal{A}}M(\lambda)_{\leq N-1}$, which lies in Z by the inductive assumption. Since the left-hand side of (2.2) lies in Z by Part (1), we have by (2.2) that $b \otimes b' \in Z$. Letting $b' \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{f}_{\leq N}$ and $b \in B(M)$ vary, we conclude that ${}_{\mathcal{A}}M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} {}_{\mathcal{A}}M(\lambda)_{\leq N} \subseteq Z$. Part (2) is proved. \square

For $\lambda \in X^+$, we denote by η_λ the image of 1 under the projection $p_\lambda : M(\lambda) \rightarrow L(\lambda)$. Note that p_λ restricts to $p_\lambda : {}_{\mathcal{A}}M(\lambda) \rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{A}}L(\lambda)$. The next lemma follows from Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\lambda \in X^+$, and let $(M, B(M))$ be a based \mathbf{U} -module.*

- (1) *For $b \in B(M)$, the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -linear map $\pi_b : \mathbf{U}^{-1}|_{b|+\lambda} \rightarrow M \otimes L(\lambda)$, $u \mapsto u(b \otimes \eta_\lambda)$, restricts to an \mathcal{A} -linear map $\pi_b : {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{U}^{-1}|_{b|+\lambda} \rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{A}}M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} {}_{\mathcal{A}}L(\lambda)$.*
- (2) *We have $\sum_{b \in B(M)} \pi_b({}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{U}^{-1}|_{b|+\lambda}) = {}_{\mathcal{A}}M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} {}_{\mathcal{A}}L(\lambda)$.*

The above lemmas provide us a key tool to approximate and get around the cyclicity of the tensor product of a lowest weight integrable module and a highest weight integrable module in [Lu93, 23.3.6, 23.3.8].

2.2. Quasi- \mathcal{R} -matrix and \mathcal{A} -forms. The quasi- \mathcal{R} -matrix Θ induces a well-defined $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -linear map

$$\Theta : M \otimes L(\lambda) \rightarrow M \otimes L(\lambda),$$

for $\lambda \in X^+$ and any weight module M ; cf. [Lu93, 24.1.1]. The following is a generalization of [Lu93, Proposition 24.1.4, Corollary 24.1.5], where Lusztig deals with the tensor product of a lowest weight module and a highest weight module.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\lambda \in X^+$ and let $(M, B(M))$ be a based \mathbf{U} -module. Then the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -linear map $\Theta : M \otimes L(\lambda) \rightarrow M \otimes L(\lambda)$ preserves the \mathcal{A} -submodule ${}_{\mathcal{A}}M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} {}_{\mathcal{A}}L(\lambda)$.*

Proof. As usual, we write $^-$ for $^- \otimes ^-$ on $M \otimes L(\lambda)$, which clearly preserves the \mathcal{A} -lattice ${}_{\mathcal{A}}M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} {}_{\mathcal{A}}L(\lambda)$. Let $x \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} {}_{\mathcal{A}}L(\lambda)$. Then $x = \overline{x'}$ for some $x' \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} {}_{\mathcal{A}}L(\lambda)$. By Lemma 2.2, we can write $x' = \sum_i \pi_{b_i}(u'_i)$ (a finite sum), for some $b_i \in B(M)$ and $u'_i \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{U}^{-1}|_{b_i|+\lambda}$. Since ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{U}^{-1}|_{b_i|+\lambda}$ is preserved by the bar involution on $\dot{\mathbf{U}}$, we have $u'_i = \bar{u}_i$ for some $u_i \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{U}^{-1}|_{b_i|+\lambda}$. Hence

$$(2.3) \quad x = \overline{x'} = \sum_i \overline{\bar{u}_i(b_i \otimes \eta_\lambda)}.$$

We now recall a general property of the quasi- \mathcal{R} -matrix Θ [Lu93, Lemma 24.1.2]:

$$u\Theta(m \otimes m') = \Theta(\overline{\bar{u}(\bar{m} \otimes \bar{m}')}),$$

for $u \in \dot{\mathbf{U}}, m \in M, m' \in L(\lambda)$. Taking $m = b_i = \bar{b}_i$ and $m' = \eta_\lambda = \overline{\eta_\lambda}$, we have

$$(2.4) \quad u(b_i \otimes \eta_\lambda) = \Theta(\overline{u(b_i \otimes \eta_\lambda)}), \quad \forall u \in \dot{\mathbf{U}},$$

since $\Theta(b_i \otimes \eta_\lambda) = b_i \otimes \eta_\lambda$ (which follows from that Θ lies in a completion of $\mathbf{U}^- \otimes \mathbf{U}^+$ [Lu93, 4.1.2]). By (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$\Theta(x) = \sum_i \Theta(\overline{u_i(b_i \otimes \eta_\lambda)}) = \sum_i u_i(b_i \otimes \eta_\lambda) = \sum_i \pi_{b_i}(u_i),$$

where the latter lies in ${}_A M \otimes_{{}_A A} L(\lambda)$ by Lemma 2.2. The proposition is proved. \square

Remark 2.5. The same argument as above shows that $\Theta : M \otimes M(\lambda) \rightarrow M \otimes M(\lambda)$ preserves the \mathcal{A} -submodule ${}_A M \otimes_{{}_A A} M(\lambda)$, for each $\lambda \in X$.

Recall from [Lu93, 3.1.3] the automorphism ω of the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -algebra \mathbf{U} . By twisting, any \mathbf{U} -module M gives rise to another \mathbf{U} -module ${}^\omega M$ with the same underlying vector space as M . In particular, ${}^\omega M(\lambda)$ is the lowest weight Verma module and ${}^\omega L(\lambda)$ is the lowest weight simple module. The following dual statement to Proposition 2.4 can be proved in a similar way by first establishing dual versions of Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $\lambda \in X^+$ and let M be a based \mathbf{U} -module. Then the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -linear map $\Theta : {}^\omega L(\lambda) \otimes M \rightarrow {}^\omega L(\lambda) \otimes M$ preserves the \mathcal{A} -submodule ${}^\omega {}_A L(\lambda) \otimes_{{}_A A} M$.*

2.3. Tensor product canonical bases. Note that [Lu93, 27.3.1] remains valid in Kac-Moody setting, conditional on that $\Theta : M \otimes M' \rightarrow M \otimes M'$ is well defined (cf. Remark 2.1) and that it preserves the \mathcal{A} -submodule ${}_A M \otimes_{{}_A A} M'$, for two based modules $(M, B), (M', B')$. Recall that $((B, B'), <)$ is naturally a partially ordered set [Lu93, 27.3.1]. A highest (respectively, lowest) weight integrable module with its canonical basis is a based module [Lu90, Ka91, Lu93]. We have the following generalization of [Lu93, Theorem 27.3.2] in the Kac-Moody setting.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $(M, B), (M', B')$ be two based modules, with either $M = {}^\omega L(\lambda)$ or $M' = L(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in X^+$. Let \mathcal{L} be the $\mathbb{Z}[q^{-1}]$ -submodule of $M \otimes M'$ generated by $B \otimes B'$.*

- (1) *For any $(b, b') \in B \times B'$, there is a unique element $b \diamond b' \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $\Psi(b \diamond b') = b \diamond b'$ and $b \diamond b' - b \otimes b' \in q^{-1}\mathcal{L}$.*
- (2) *The element $b \diamond b'$ is equal to $b \otimes b'$ plus a $q^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[q^{-1}]$ -linear combination of elements $b_2 \otimes b'_2$ with $(b_2, b'_2) \in B \times B'$ with $(b_2, b'_2) < (b, b')$.*
- (3) *The elements $b \diamond b'$ with $(b, b') \in B \times B'$ form a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -basis of $M \otimes M'$, an \mathcal{A} -basis of $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{-1}]} \mathcal{L}$, and a $\mathbb{Z}[q^{-1}]$ -basis of \mathcal{L} .*

Proof. By Remark 2.1, the map $\Theta : M \otimes M' \rightarrow M \otimes M'$ is well defined. By Propositions 2.4 and 2.6, the map Θ preserves the \mathcal{A} -submodule ${}_A M \otimes_{{}_A A} M'$. Now the standard proof for [Lu93, Theorem 27.3.2] goes through. \square

Remark 2.8. One can show that no variant of the quasi- \mathcal{R} -matrix exists in a completion of $\mathbf{U}^- \otimes \mathbf{U}^+$ (instead of $\mathbf{U}^+ \otimes \mathbf{U}^-$ as in [Lu93]) which intertwines Δ and $\overline{\Delta}$. Hence we cannot define a bar involution on $L(\lambda) \otimes {}^\omega L(\mu)$ (or more general tensor products of highest and lowest weight integrable modules in arbitrary order) via a quasi- \mathcal{R} -matrix.

If one uses the opposite coproduct from the one in [Lu93, 3.1.4] then there is a version of quasi- \mathcal{R} -matrix in a completion of $\mathbf{U}^- \otimes \mathbf{U}^+$ which goes with it. This gives rise to

canonical bases of modules of the form $M \otimes {}^\omega L(\lambda)$ and $L(\lambda) \otimes M$ (with the \mathbf{U} -module structure given by the opposite coproduct), for any based module M . But this is just a reformulation of the constructions in this paper.

Let $(M, B), (M', B'), (M'', B'')$ be based modules. We shall assume that the maps Θ on $M \otimes M', (M \otimes M') \otimes M'', M' \otimes M'',$ and $M \otimes (M' \otimes M'')$ are all well defined (cf. Remark 2.1) and that they preserve the corresponding \mathcal{A} -submodules. Then in the same way as in [Lu93, 27.3.6], one shows that $(M \otimes M') \otimes M''$ and $M \otimes (M' \otimes M'')$ are based modules and that the associativity $(M \otimes M') \otimes M'' \cong M \otimes (M' \otimes M'')$ (as based modules) holds, which allows us to write $M \otimes M' \otimes M''$. This readily implies the analogous associativity result for more than three tensor factors.

Let r, ℓ be integers with $0 \leq r \leq \ell$. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell \in X^+$ and $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell; r)$. We shall consider the tensor product \mathbf{U} -module

$$(2.5) \quad \mathbb{T}^\lambda = {}^\omega L(\lambda_1) \otimes \dots \otimes {}^\omega L(\lambda_r) \otimes L(\lambda_{r+1}) \otimes \dots \otimes L(\lambda_\ell).$$

Let \mathbf{B}_i denote the canonical basis of ${}^\omega L(\lambda_i)$ for each $1 \leq i \leq r$ and of $L(\lambda_i)$ for $r < i \leq \ell$. Let \mathcal{L}^λ be the $\mathbb{Z}[q^{-1}]$ -submodule of \mathbb{T}^λ generated by $\mathbf{B}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{B}_\ell$. By Remark 2.1 and applying Theorem 2.7 inductively, we have established the following generalization of Lusztig's result from finite type to Kac-Moody type.

Theorem 2.9. (1) *For any $(b_1, \dots, b_\ell) \in \mathbf{B}_1 \times \dots \times \mathbf{B}_\ell$, there is a unique element $b_1 \diamond \dots \diamond b_\ell \in \mathcal{L}^\lambda$ such that*

$$\Psi(b_1 \diamond \dots \diamond b_\ell) = b_1 \diamond \dots \diamond b_\ell \quad \text{and} \quad b_1 \diamond \dots \diamond b_\ell - b_1 \otimes \dots \otimes b_\ell \in q^{-1} \mathcal{L}^\lambda.$$

(2) *We have $b_1 \diamond \dots \diamond b_\ell = b_1 \otimes \dots \otimes b_\ell + \sum_{b'_1, \dots, b'_\ell} c_{b'_1, \dots, b'_\ell}^{b_1, \dots, b_\ell} b'_1 \otimes \dots \otimes b'_\ell$, with $(b'_1, \dots, b'_\ell) \neq (b_1, \dots, b_\ell)$ and $c_{b'_1, \dots, b'_\ell}^{b_1, \dots, b_\ell} \in q^{-1} \mathbb{Z}[q^{-1}]$.*

(3) *The elements $b_1 \diamond \dots \diamond b_\ell$ with $(b_1, \dots, b_\ell) \in \mathbf{B}_1 \times \dots \times \mathbf{B}_\ell$ form a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -basis of \mathbb{T}^λ in (2.5), an \mathcal{A} -basis of $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^{-1}]} \mathcal{L}^\lambda$, and a $\mathbb{Z}[q^{-1}]$ -basis of \mathcal{L}^λ .*

(4) *The natural homomorphism $\mathcal{L}^\lambda \cap \Psi(\mathcal{L}^\lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^\lambda / q^{-1} \mathcal{L}^\lambda$ is an isomorphism.*

Following Lusztig, we call the basis in this theorem the *canonical basis* of the tensor product module \mathbb{T}^λ . When $\ell = 2$ and $r = 1$, $\mathbb{T}^\lambda = {}^\omega L(\lambda_1) \otimes L(\lambda_2)$, and the theorem reduces to [Lu93, Theorem 24.3.3]. Otherwise, the theorem is new for \mathbf{U} of infinite type with $\ell \geq 2$.

Remark 2.10. Let \mathcal{O} be the BGG category of \mathbf{U} -modules, and let \mathcal{O}^{int} be the full subcategory of \mathcal{O} of integrable \mathbf{U} -modules of finite-dimensional weight spaces. We consider the category $\mathcal{O}_b^{\text{int}}$ of based modules (M, B) with $M \in \mathcal{O}^{\text{int}}$; a basic example is $L(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in X^+$ with its canonical basis. The properties of based modules in [Lu93, 27.1.1-27.1.8; 27.2.1-27.2.2] remain valid in $\mathcal{O}_b^{\text{int}}$, where the argument in 27.1.8 “by induction on $\dim M$ ” can be easily modified to be “by induction on $\dim M[\geq \lambda]^{hi}$ (where $M[\geq \lambda]^{hi}$ denotes the space of highest weight vectors of weight $\geq \lambda$)”. The counterparts of [Lu93, 27.3.1-27.3.2, 27.3.6] have already been addressed above, and [Lu93, 27.3.5] remains valid.

One noteworthy consequence of Remark 2.10 (cf. [Lu93, 27.1.7]) is the following (which has applications in particular for \mathbf{U} of affine type).

Proposition 2.11. *Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell \in X^+$. Let η_i (and η , respectively) denote the highest weight vector of $L(\lambda_i)$ for each i (and of $L(\sum_{i=1}^\ell \lambda_i)$, respectively). Then the (unique) homomorphism of \mathbf{U} -modules*

$$\chi : L\left(\sum_{i=1}^\ell \lambda_i\right) \longrightarrow L(\lambda_1) \otimes \dots \otimes L(\lambda_\ell), \quad \chi(\eta) = \eta_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \eta_\ell$$

sends each canonical basis element to a canonical basis element.

2.4. Relation to categorification. Building on the remarkable works of Zheng, Khovanov, Lauda, Rouquier and his own earlier work [Z08, KL09, R08, W13], Webster [W12] categorified tensor products of lowest and highest weight integrable modules *exactly* of the form (2.5) (in particular the tensor products are not in arbitrary order as stated in the earlier versions of [W12]; also compare with our Remark 2.8). Denote by $K_q^0(\mathcal{X}^\lambda)$ the Grothendieck group of Webster's category \mathcal{X}^λ [W12, Definition 5.2]. The basis consisting of the classes of principal indecomposable modules in $K_q^0(\mathcal{X}^\lambda)$ (called an *orthodox basis* in [W12]) is called a *canonical basis* here (and read as positively canonical or canonical plus basis).

Note that for \mathbf{U} of infinite type it is still an open question whether the projective indecomposable modules in Webster's category provide a categorification of the canonical basis of ${}^\omega L(\lambda) \otimes L(\lambda')$, for $\lambda, \lambda' \in X^+$, even though the latter has been constructed in [Lu93]. Nevertheless, in the case of tensor products of highest weight integrable modules, combining [W12, Propositions 7.6, 7.7, Theorem 8.8] (where the hard work was done based on earlier works of Vasserot, Varagnolo and Rouquier [VV11, R12]) with our Theorem 2.9 provides the following theorem (which was known [W12] in finite ADE type). Note that we get the strongest result out of combining the categorification and algebraic approaches in (3) below.

Theorem 2.12 (UVA). *Assume that \mathbf{U} is of symmetric type (i.e., the generalized Cartan matrix is symmetric). Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell \in X^+$ and $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell; 0)$.*

- (1) *Under Webster's identification $K_q^0(\mathcal{X}^\lambda) \cong \mathbb{T}^\lambda$, the canonical basis in $K_q^0(\mathcal{X}^\lambda)$ coincides with the canonical basis of \mathbb{T}^λ in Theorem 2.9.*
- (2) *The matrix coefficients for the action of any canonical basis element of $\dot{\mathbf{U}}$ on \mathbb{T}^λ , with respect to the canonical basis of \mathbb{T}^λ , always lie in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[q, q^{-1}]$.*
- (3) *The coefficients in Theorem 2.9(2) satisfy that $c_{b'_1, \dots, b'_\ell}^{b_1, \dots, b_\ell} \in q^{-1}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[q^{-1}]$.*

Remark 2.13. A counterpart of Theorem 2.12(1)(2) is also valid in the framework of Zheng [Z08, Theorems 3.3.5, 3.3.6], who established this already for finite ADE type.

We conjecture that the statements in Theorem 2.12 hold also for $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell; r)$, where $1 < r < \ell$, when \mathbf{U} is of symmetric and infinite type.

Remark 2.14. Recall that Θ admits an integral expansion with respect to the canonical basis in finite type [Lu93, Corollary 24.1.6]. It is natural to ask if such an integral expansion property of Θ holds in Kac-Moody setting in light of the integrality results in Propositions 2.4 and 2.6. However, as we learned from M. Kashiwara, such an integral expansion of Θ with respect to the canonical/global crystal basis no longer holds in affine type $A_1^{(1)}$.

Acknowledgement. The second author is partially supported by the NSF grants DMS-1101268 and DMS-1405131. We thank M. Kashiwara for Remark 2.14. We also thank the referee for helpful suggestions in improving the exposition.

REFERENCES

- [Dr86] V. Drinfeld, *Quantum groups*, Proc. Int. Congr. Math. Berkeley 1986, vol. **1**, Amer. Math. Soc. 1988, 798–820.
- [Ka91] M. Kashiwara, *On crystal bases of the Q -analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), 456–516.
- [KL09] M. Khovanov and A. Lauda, *A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups I*, Represent. Theory **13** (2009), 309–347.
- [Lu90] G. Lusztig, *Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), 447–498.
- [Lu92] G. Lusztig, *Canonical bases in tensor products*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **89** (1992), 8177–8179.
- [Lu93] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to Quantum Groups*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Reprint of the 1993 Edition, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2010.
- [R08] R. Rouquier, *2-Kac-Moody algebras*, arxiv:0812.5023.
- [R12] R. Rouquier, *Quiver Hecke algebras and 2-Lie algebras*, Algebra Colloq. **19** (2012), 359–410.
- [VV11] M. Varagnolo and E. Vasserot, *Canonical bases and KLR-algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **659** (2011), 67–100.
- [W12] B. Webster, *Canonical bases and higher representation theory*, arXiv:1209.0051v4, Compositio Math. (to appear).
- [W13] B. Webster, *Knot invariants and higher representation theory*, arXiv:1309.3796.
- [Z08] H. Zheng, *Categorification of integrable representations of quantum groups*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) **30** (2014), 899–932, arXiv:0803.3668.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA, CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA 22904
E-mail address: hb4tb@virginia.edu (Bao), ww9c@virginia.edu (Wang)