

Area law in one dimension: Degenerate ground states and Renyi entanglement entropy

Yichen Huang (黄溢辰)*

Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720, USA
yichenhuang@berkeley.edu

May 10, 2019

Abstract

An area law is proved for the Renyi entanglement entropy of possibly degenerate ground states in one-dimensional gapped quantum systems. Suppose in a chain of n spins the ground states of a local Hamiltonian with energy gap ϵ are constant-fold degenerate. Then, the Renyi entanglement entropy $R_\alpha(0 < \alpha < 1)$ of any ground state across any cut is upper bounded by $\tilde{O}(\alpha^{-3}/\epsilon)$, and any ground state can be well approximated by a matrix product state of subpolynomial bond dimension $2^{\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-1/4} \log^{3/4} n)}$.

1 Introduction

The area law states that for a large class of “physical” quantum many-body states the entanglement of a region scales as its boundary (area) [6]. This is in sharp contrast to the volume law for generic states [11]: the entanglement of a region scales as the number of sites in (i.e., the volume of) the region. In one dimension (1D), the area law is of particular interest for it characterizes the classical simulability of quantum systems. Specifically, bounded (or even logarithmic divergence of) Renyi entanglement entropy across all cuts implies efficient matrix product state (MPS) representations [18], which underlie the (heuristic) density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) algorithm [19, 20]. Since MPS can be efficiently contracted, the 1D local Hamiltonian problem with the restriction that the ground state satisfies area laws is in NP. Furthermore, a structural result (Lemma 8 and see also [10, 1]) from the proof of the area law for the ground state of 1D gapped Hamiltonians is an essential ingredient of the (provably) polynomial-time algorithm [14] for computing such states, establishing that the 1D gapped local Hamiltonian problem is in P. The area law is now a central topic in the emerging field of Hamiltonian complexity [15].

We start with the definition of entanglement entropy.

Definition 1 (Entanglement entropy). The Renyi entanglement entropy $R_\alpha(0 < \alpha < 1)$ of a bipartite (pure) quantum state ρ_{AB} is defined as

$$R_\alpha(\rho_A) = (1 - \alpha)^{-1} \log \text{tr} \rho_A^\alpha, \quad (1)$$

where $\rho_A = \text{tr}_B \rho_{AB}$ is the reduced density matrix. The von Neumann entanglement entropy is defined as

$$S(\rho_A) = -\text{tr}(\rho_A \log \rho_A) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} R_\alpha(\rho_A). \quad (2)$$

*Supported by DARPA OLE.

Table 1: Relations between various conditions in 1D: unique ground state of a gapped local Hamiltonian (Gap), exponential decay of correlations (Exp), area law for Renyi entanglement entropy $R_\alpha, \forall \alpha$ (AL- R_α), area law for von Neumann entanglement entropy (AL- S), efficient matrix product state representation (MPS). A check (cross) mark means that the item in the row implies (does not imply) the item in the column. The asterisk marks one contribution of the present paper. It is an open problem whether exponential decay of correlations implies area laws for Renyi entanglement entropy $R_\alpha, \forall \alpha$: Indeed, Theorem 4 in [4] (or Theorem 1 in [5]) may lead to divergence of R_α if α is small.

	Exp	AL- R_α	AL- S	MPS
Gap	✓[9]	✓*	✓[10, 1]	✓[10, 1]
Exp	✓	?	✓[4, 5]	✓[4, 5]
AL- R_α	X	✓	✓	✓[18]
AL- S	X	X	✓	X[16]

Here are three arguments why Renyi entanglement entropy is more suitable than von Neumann entanglement entropy for formulating area laws, although the latter is the most popular entanglement measure (for pure states) in quantum information and condensed matter theory.

1 (conceptual, classical simulability). In 1D, (unlike bounded Renyi entanglement entropy) bounded von Neumann entanglement entropy across all cuts does not necessarily imply efficient MPS representations; see [16] for a counterexample. Although slightly outside the scope of the present paper, related results are summarized in Table 1 (see also [8]).

2 (conceptual, quantum computation). Quantum states with little von Neumann entanglement entropy across all cuts support universal quantum computation, while an analogous statement for Renyi entanglement entropy is expected to be false [17].

3 (technical). An area law for Renyi entanglement entropy implies that for von Neumann entanglement entropy (Table 1), as R_α is a monotonically decreasing function of α .

Hastings first proved an area law for the ground state of 1D Hamiltonians with energy gap ϵ : The von Neumann entanglement entropy across any cut is upper bounded by $2^{O(\epsilon^{-1})}$ [10], where the local dimension of each spin (denoted by “ d ” in qudits) is assumed to be an absolute constant. The Renyi entanglement entropy R_α for $\alpha_0 < \alpha < 1$ was also discussed, where α_0 is ϵ -dependent and $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \alpha_0 = 1$. The bound on the von Neumann entanglement entropy was recently improved to $\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-3/2})$ [1] (see Section 5 for an explanation of this result), where $\tilde{O}(x) := O(x \text{ poly log } x)$ hides a polylogarithmic factor. These proofs of area laws assume a unique (nondegenerate) ground state.

Ground-state degeneracy is an important physical phenomenon often associated with symmetry breaking (e.g., the transverse field Ising chain) and/or topological order (e.g., the Haldane/AKLT chain with open boundary conditions). Since not all degenerate ground states of 1D gapped Hamiltonians have exponential decay of correlations, it may not be intuitively obvious to what extent they satisfy area laws.

In the present paper, an area law is proved for the Renyi entanglement entropy of possibly degenerate ground states in 1D gapped systems. Since in this context the standard bra-ket notation may be cumbersome, quantum states and their inner products are simply denoted by $\psi, \phi \dots$ and $\langle \psi, \phi \rangle$, respectively, cf. $\| |\psi\rangle - |\phi\rangle \|^2$ versus $\| \psi - \phi \|^2$. Suppose in a chain of n spins the ground states are constant-fold degenerate.

Theorem 1. (a) *The Renyi entanglement entropy $R_\alpha(0 < \alpha < 1)$ of any ground state across any cut is upper bounded by $\tilde{O}(\alpha^{-3}/\epsilon)$;*

(b) *Any ground state ψ can be approximated by an MPS ϕ of subpolynomial bond dimension*

$2^{\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-1/4} \log^{3/4} n)}$ such that $|\langle \psi, \phi \rangle| > 1 - 1/\text{poly}(n)$.

Remark. The proof of Theorem 1 assumes constant-fold exact ground-state degeneracy and open boundary conditions (with one cut). It should be clear that a minor modification of the proof leads to the same results in the presence of an exponentially small $2^{-\Omega(n)}$ splitting of the ground-state degeneracy (as is typically observed in physical systems) and works for periodic boundary conditions (with two cuts). However, it is an open problem to what extent degenerate ground states satisfy area laws if the degeneracy grows with the system size. Theorem 1(b) is a theoretical justification of the practical success of DMRG as a (heuristic) variational algorithm over MPS to compute the ground-state space in 1D gapped systems with ground-state degeneracy, and paves the way for a (provably) polynomial-time algorithm to compute the ground-state space. As an important immediate corollary of Theorem 1(a), the von Neumann entanglement entropy of a unique ground state is upper bounded by $\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-1})$, which even improves the result of [1] and may possibly be tight up to a polylogarithmic factor. An example with the von Neumann entanglement entropy $S = \tilde{\Omega}(\epsilon^{-1/4})$ was constructed in [7]; see also [13] for a translationally invariant construction with $S = \Omega(\epsilon^{-1/12})$.

We loosely follow the approach in [1] with additional technical ingredients. Approximate ground-space projection (AGSP) [3] is a tool for bounding the decay of Schmidt coefficients: An “efficient” family of AGSP imply an area law. Section 2 is devoted to perturbation theory, which is necessary to improve the efficiency of AGSP. As a technical contribution, the analysis in Section 6 of [1] is improved (and simplified), resulting in a tightened upper bound $\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-1})$ (versus $\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-3/2})$ given in [1]) on the (von Neumann) entanglement entropy. Although the perturbation theory is developed in 1D, generalizations to higher dimensions may be straightforward but are not presented in the present paper. In Section 3, a family of AGSP are constructed in 1D systems with nearly degenerate ground states. Although the ground-state degeneracy of the original Hamiltonian is assumed to be exact, perturbations may lead to an exponentially small splitting of the degeneracy. Then, “fine tuning” using Lagrange interpolation polynomials appears necessary to repair this splitting at the level of AGSP. In Section 4, an area law is derived from AGSP for any ground state by constructing a sequence of approximations to a set of basis vectors of the ground-state space (it requires new ideas to keep track of such a set of basis vectors). The construction is more efficient than the approach (Corollary 2.4 and Section 6.2) in [1], resulting in an area law for the Renyi entanglement entropy. Finally, efficient MPS representations follow from the decay of the Schmidt coefficients.

2 Perturbation theory

Assume without loss of generality that the original 1D Hamiltonian is $H' = \sum_{i=-n}^n H'_i$, where $0 \leq H'_i \leq 1$ acts on the spins i and $i + 1$. Consider the middle cut. Let $\epsilon_0(\cdot)$ denote the ground-state energy of a Hamiltonian. Define

$$H = H_L + H_{-s} + H_{1-s} + \cdots + H_{s-1} + H_s + H_R \quad (3)$$

as

- (i) $H_L = H'_L - \epsilon_0(H'_L)$ and $H_R = H'_R - \epsilon_0(H'_R)$, where $H'_L := \sum_{i=-n}^{-s-1} H'_i$ and $H'_R := \sum_{i=s+1}^n H'_i$;
- (ii) $H_i = H'_i$ for $i = \pm s$;
- (iii) $H_i = H'_i - \epsilon_0(H'_M)/(2s - 1)$ for $1 - s \leq i \leq s - 1$, where $H'_M := \sum_{i=1-s}^{s-1} H'_i$.

Hence,

- (a) $H_L \geq 0$, $H_R \geq 0$, and $\epsilon_0(H_L) = \epsilon_0(H_R) = 0$;
- (b) $0 \leq H_i \leq 1$ for $i = \pm s$;

(c) $0 \leq \sum_{i=1-s}^{s-1} H_i \leq 2s - 1$ and $\epsilon_0(\sum_{i=1-s}^{s-1} H_i) = 0$;

(d) $H = H' - \epsilon_0(H'_L) - \epsilon_0(H'_M) - \epsilon_0(H'_R)$ so that the (degenerate) ground states and the energy gap are preserved.

Suppose the ground states of H are f -fold degenerate, where $f = O(1)$ is assumed to be an absolute constant. Let $0 \leq \epsilon_0 = \epsilon_1 = \dots = \epsilon_{f-1} < \epsilon_f \leq \epsilon_{f+1} \leq \dots$ be the lowest energy levels of H with the energy gap $\epsilon := \epsilon_f - \epsilon_0$. Define

$$H_L^{\leq t} = H_L P_L^{\leq t} + t(1 - P_L^{\leq t}), \quad (4)$$

where $P_L^{\leq t}$ is the projection onto the subspace spanned by the eigenstates of H_L with eigenvalues at most t . $H_R^{\leq t}$ is defined analogously. Let

$$H^{(t)} := H_L^{\leq t} + H_{-s} + H_{1-s} + \dots + H_{s-1} + H_s + H_R^{\leq t} \leq 2t + 2s + 1 \quad (5)$$

be the truncated Hamiltonian with the lowest energy levels $0 \leq \epsilon'_0 \leq \epsilon'_1 \leq \dots$ and the corresponding (orthonormal) eigenstates $\phi_0^{(t)}, \phi_1^{(t)}, \dots$. Note that all states are normalized unless otherwise stated. Define $\epsilon' = \epsilon'_f - \epsilon'_0$ as the energy gap of $H^{(t)}$. Let $B := H_{-s} + H_s$ be the sum of boundary terms, and P_t be the projection onto the subspace spanned by the eigenstates of $H - B$ with eigenvalues at most t so that

$$H_L P_t = H_L^{\leq t} P_t, H_R P_t = H_R^{\leq t} P_t \Rightarrow H P_t = H^{(t)} P_t. \quad (6)$$

Lemma 1. $0 \leq \epsilon'_0 \leq \epsilon_0 \leq 2$ and $\epsilon'_f \leq \epsilon_f \leq [\log_2 f] + 4 = O(1)$.

Proof. Let $\psi_0, \psi_L, \psi_M, \psi_R$ be the ground states of $H, H_L, \sum_{i=1-s}^{s-1} H_i, H_R$, respectively.

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_0 &\leq \langle \psi_L \psi_M \psi_R, H \psi_L \psi_M \psi_R \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi_L, H_L \psi_L \rangle + \left\langle \psi_M, \sum_{i=1-s}^{s-1} H_i \psi_M \right\rangle + \langle \psi_R, H_R \psi_R \rangle + \langle \psi_L \psi_M \psi_R, B \psi_L \psi_M \psi_R \rangle \leq \|B\| \leq 2 \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Let $f' = [\log_2 f] + 1$ and ϕ_R be the ground state of $\sum_{i=f'-s+1}^s H_i + H_R$. For any state ϕ_M of the spins $1-s, 2-s, \dots, f'-s$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \psi_L \phi_M \phi_R, H \psi_L \phi_M \phi_R \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi_L, H_L \psi_L \rangle + \left\langle \psi_L \phi_M \phi_R, \sum_{i=-s}^{f'-s} H_i \psi_L \phi_M \phi_R \right\rangle + \left\langle \phi_R, \left(\sum_{i=f'+1-s}^s H_i + H_R \right) \phi_R \right\rangle \\ &\leq \langle \psi, H_L \psi \rangle + \left\langle \psi, \sum_{i=-s}^{f'-s} H_i \psi \right\rangle + f' + 1 + \left\langle \psi, \left(\sum_{i=f'+1-s}^s H_i + H_R \right) \psi \right\rangle \\ &\leq \langle \psi, H \psi \rangle + f' + 1 = \epsilon_0 + f' + 1 \leq f' + 3 \Rightarrow \epsilon_f \leq f' + 3 = [\log_2 f] + 4. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

□

Let $\phi^{(r)}$ be an eigenstate of $H^{(r)}$ with eigenvalue $\epsilon^{(r)}$.

Lemma 2. For $r, t > \epsilon^{(r)}$,

$$\|(1 - P_t)\phi^{(r)}\|^2 \leq |\langle \phi^{(r)}, (1 - P_t) B P_t \phi^{(r)} \rangle| / (\min\{r, t\} - \epsilon^{(r)}). \quad (9)$$

Proof. It follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon^{(r)} &= \langle \phi^{(r)}, H^{(r)} \phi^{(r)} \rangle \\
&= \langle \phi^{(r)}, (1 - P_t) H^{(r)} (1 - P_t) \phi^{(r)} \rangle + \langle \phi^{(r)}, P_t H^{(r)} \phi^{(r)} \rangle + \langle \phi^{(r)}, (1 - P_t) H^{(r)} P_t \phi^{(r)} \rangle \\
&\geq \langle \phi^{(r)}, (1 - P_t) (H^{(r)} - B) (1 - P_t) \phi^{(r)} \rangle + \epsilon^{(r)} \|P_t \phi^{(r)}\|^2 \\
&\quad + \langle \phi^{(r)}, (1 - P_t) (H^{(r)} - B) P_t \phi^{(r)} \rangle + \langle \phi^{(r)}, (1 - P_t) B P_t \phi^{(r)} \rangle \\
&\geq \min\{r, t\} \|(1 - P_t) \phi^{(r)}\|^2 + \epsilon^{(r)} (1 - \|(1 - P_t) \phi^{(r)}\|^2) - |\langle \phi^{(r)}, (1 - P_t) B P_t \phi^{(r)} \rangle|. \tag{10}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Suppose $\epsilon^{(r)} = O(1)$ and $r \geq \epsilon^{(r)} + 100 = O(1)$.

Lemma 3.

$$\|(1 - P_t) \phi^{(r)}\| \leq 2^{-\Omega(t)}. \tag{11}$$

Proof. Let $t_0 = \epsilon^{(r)} + 100 = O(1)$. We show that there exists $c = O(1)$ such that

$$\|(1 - P_{t_i}) \phi^{(r)}\| \leq 2^{-i} \tag{12}$$

for $t_i = t_0 + ci$. The proof is an induction on i with fixed r . Clearly, (12) holds for $i = 0$. Suppose (12) holds for $i = 0, 1, \dots, j-1$. Let $P_{t_{-1}} = 0$ for notational convenience. Lemma 2 implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(1 - P_{t_j}) \phi^{(r)}\|^2 &\leq |\langle \phi^{(r)}, (1 - P_{t_j}) B P_{t_j} \phi^{(r)} \rangle| / (\min\{r, t_j\} - \epsilon^{(r)}) \\
&\leq \left| \left\langle \phi^{(r)}, (1 - P_{t_j}) B \sum_{i=0}^j (P_{t_i} - P_{t_{i-1}}) \phi^{(r)} \right\rangle \right| / 100 \\
&\leq \|(1 - P_{t_j}) \phi^{(r)}\| \sum_{i=0}^j \|(1 - P_{t_j}) B (P_{t_i} - P_{t_{i-1}})\| \|(P_{t_i} - P_{t_{i-1}}) \phi^{(r)}\| / 100 \\
&\Rightarrow \|(1 - P_{t_j}) \phi^{(r)}\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^j \|(1 - P_{t_j}) B P_{t_i}\| \|(1 - P_{t_{i-1}}) \phi^{(r)}\| / 100 \leq \sum_{i=0}^j e^{(t_i - t_j)/8} 2^{-i} / 10, \tag{13}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the induction hypothesis (12) and the inequality $\|(1 - P_{t_j}) B P_{t_i}\| \leq 4e^{(t_i - t_j)/8}$ (Lemma 6.6(2) in [1]). Hence (12) holds for $i = j$ by setting $c = 16 \ln 2$. □

Let $\Phi^{(t)} := P_t \phi^{(t)} / \|P_t \phi^{(t)}\|$.

Lemma 4.

$$\langle \Phi^{(t)}, H \Phi^{(t)} \rangle \leq \epsilon^{(t)} + 2^{-\Omega(t)}. \tag{14}$$

Proof. (6) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon^{(t)} &= \langle \phi^{(t)}, H^{(t)} \phi^{(t)} \rangle \\
&\geq \langle \phi^{(t)}, P_t H^{(t)} P_t \phi^{(t)} \rangle + \langle \phi^{(t)}, P_t H^{(t)} (1 - P_t) \phi^{(t)} \rangle + \langle \phi^{(t)}, (1 - P_t) H^{(t)} P_t \phi^{(t)} \rangle \\
&= \langle \phi^{(t)}, P_t H P_t \phi^{(t)} \rangle + \langle \phi^{(t)}, P_t B (1 - P_t) \phi^{(t)} \rangle + \langle \phi^{(t)}, (1 - P_t) B P_t \phi^{(t)} \rangle \\
&\geq \langle \phi^{(t)}, P_t H P_t \phi^{(t)} \rangle - 2 \|B P_t \phi^{(t)}\| \cdot \|(1 - P_t) \phi^{(t)}\| \geq \langle \phi^{(t)}, P_t H P_t \phi^{(t)} \rangle - 2^{-\Omega(t)} \\
&\Rightarrow \langle \Phi^{(t)}, H \Phi^{(t)} \rangle \leq (\epsilon^{(t)} + 2^{-\Omega(t)}) / \|P_t \phi^{(t)}\|^2 = (\epsilon^{(t)} + 2^{-\Omega(t)}) / (1 - 2^{-\Omega(t)}) = \epsilon^{(t)} + 2^{-\Omega(t)} \tag{15}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark. Suppose $r \geq t$. A very minor modification of the proof implies

$$\langle \Phi^{(r),t}, H\Phi^{(r),t} \rangle \leq \epsilon^{(r)} + 2^{-\Omega(t)} \text{ for } \Phi^{(r),t} := P_t \phi^{(r)} / \|P_t \phi^{(r)}\|. \quad (16)$$

Since the proofs of Lemmas 1, 2, 3, 4 do not require an energy gap, these lemmas also hold in gapless systems. Let G be the ground-state space of H .

Lemma 5. *For any state ψ with $\langle \psi, H\psi \rangle \leq \epsilon_0 + \epsilon$, there exists a state $\psi_g \in G$ such that*

$$\|\psi - \psi_g\|^2 \leq 2\epsilon/\epsilon. \quad (17)$$

Proof. The state ψ can be decomposed as

$$\psi = c_g \psi_g + c_e \psi_e, \quad c_g, c_e \geq 0, \quad c_g^2 + c_e^2 = 1, \quad (18)$$

where $\psi_g \in G$ and $\psi_e \perp G$. Then,

$$c_g^2 \epsilon_0 + c_e^2 \epsilon_f \leq \langle \psi, H\psi \rangle \leq \epsilon_0 + \epsilon \Rightarrow c_e^2 \leq \epsilon/\epsilon \Rightarrow \|\psi - \psi_g\|^2 = 2 - 2c_g \leq 2\epsilon/\epsilon. \quad (19)$$

□

Theorem 2. *For $t \geq O(\log \epsilon^{-1})$,*

- (a) $0 \leq \epsilon_0 - \epsilon'_{f-1} \leq \epsilon_0 - \epsilon'_{f-2} \leq \dots \leq \epsilon_0 - \epsilon'_0 \leq 2^{-\Omega(t)}$;
- (b) *there exists $\psi_i^{(t)} \in G$ such that $\|\psi_i^{(t)} - \phi_i^{(t)}\|^2 \leq 2^{-\Omega(t)}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, f-1$;*
- (c) $\epsilon' \geq \epsilon/10$.

Proof. Lemma 4 implies

$$\epsilon'_0 \leq \epsilon'_1 \leq \dots \leq \epsilon'_{f-1} \leq \epsilon_0 \leq \langle \Phi_0^{(t)}, H\Phi_0^{(t)} \rangle \leq \epsilon'_0 + 2^{-\Omega(t)}, \quad (20)$$

$$\langle \Phi_f^{(t)}, H\Phi_f^{(t)} \rangle \leq \epsilon'_f + 2^{-\Omega(t)} = \epsilon'_0 + \epsilon' + 2^{-\Omega(t)} \leq \epsilon_0 + \epsilon' + 2^{-\Omega(t)}. \quad (21)$$

(a) follows from (20). Using Lemma 5, there exists $\psi_0^{(t)}, \psi_1^{(t)}, \dots, \psi_f^{(t)} \in G$ such that

$$\|\Phi_i^{(t)} - \psi_i^{(t)}\|^2 \leq 2^{-\Omega(t)}/\epsilon = 2^{-\Omega(t) + \log \epsilon^{-1}} \quad (22)$$

for $i = 0, 1, \dots, f-1$ and

$$\|\Phi_f^{(t)} - \psi_f^{(t)}\|^2 \leq \epsilon'/\epsilon + 2^{-\Omega(t)}/\epsilon. \quad (23)$$

Lemma 3 implies

$$\|\phi_i^{(t)} - \Phi_i^{(t)}\|^2 \leq 2^{-\Omega(t)}. \quad (24)$$

(b) follows from (22), (24) as $t \geq O(\log \epsilon^{-1})$. (c) follows from (22), (23), (24), because $\phi_0^{(t)}, \phi_1^{(t)}, \dots, \phi_f^{(t)}$ are pairwise orthogonal while $\psi_0^{(t)}, \psi_1^{(t)}, \dots, \psi_f^{(t)}$ are linearly dependent. □

3 Approximate ground-space projection

Recall that $H^{(t)}$ is the truncated Hamiltonian with the lowest energy levels $0 \leq \epsilon'_0 \leq \epsilon'_1 \leq \dots$ and the corresponding (orthonormal) eigenstates $\phi_0^{(t)}, \phi_1^{(t)}, \dots$. Theorem 2 implies that the lowest f energy levels are nearly degenerate: $\epsilon'_0 \approx \epsilon'_{f-1}$, and $\epsilon' = \epsilon'_f - \epsilon'_0$ is the energy gap. Let $G' := \text{span}\{\phi_i^{(t)} | i = 0, 1, \dots, f-1\}$ be the ground-state space of $H^{(t)}$. Let $R(\psi)$ denote the Schmidt rank of a state ψ across the middle cut.

Definition 2 (Approximate ground-space projection (AGSP) [3]). A linear operator A is a (Δ, D) -AGSP if

- (i) $A\psi = \psi$ for $\forall \psi \in G'$;
- (ii) $A\psi \perp G'$ and $\|A\psi\|^2 \leq \Delta$ for $\forall \psi \perp G'$;
- (iii) $R(A\psi) \leq DR(\psi)$ for $\forall \psi$.

Let $\epsilon'_\infty := 2s + 2t + 1$ be an upper bound on the maximum eigenvalue of $H^{(t)}$.

Lemma 6. *Suppose $l^2(\epsilon'_{f-1} - \epsilon'_0)/(\epsilon'_\infty - \epsilon'_f) \leq 1/10$. Then there exists a polynomial C_l of degree fl such that*

- (i) $C_l(\epsilon'_0) = C(\epsilon'_1) = \dots = C(\epsilon'_{f-1}) = 1$;
- (ii) $C_l^2(x) \leq 2^{2f+4} e^{-4l\sqrt{\epsilon'/\epsilon'_\infty}}$ for $\epsilon'_f \leq x \leq \epsilon'_\infty$.

Proof. The Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind of degree l is defined as

$$T_l(x) = \cos(l \arccos x) = \cosh(l y), \quad y := \operatorname{arccosh} x. \quad (25)$$

By definition, $|T_l(x)| \leq 1$ for $|x| \leq 1$. For $x \geq 1$, $T_l(x)$ is monotonically increasing function of x , and

$$T_l(x) \geq e^{ly}/2 \geq e^{2l \tanh(y/2)}/2 = e^{2l\sqrt{(x-1)/(x+1)}}/2, \quad \frac{T'_l(x)}{T_l(x)} = \frac{l \tanh(ly)}{\sinh y} \leq \frac{l(ly)}{y} = l^2. \quad (26)$$

Let $g(x) := (\epsilon'_\infty + \epsilon'_f - 2x)/(\epsilon'_\infty - \epsilon'_f)$ such that $g(\epsilon'_\infty) = -1$ and $g(\epsilon'_f) = 1$. Define $S_l(x) = T_l(g(x))$ as a polynomial of degree l . Clearly, $|S_l(x)| \leq 1$ for $\epsilon'_f \leq x \leq \epsilon'_\infty$ and

$$S_l(\epsilon'_0) = T_l(g(\epsilon'_0)) \geq e^{2l\sqrt{(g(\epsilon'_0)-1)/(g(\epsilon'_0)+1)}}/2 \geq e^{2l\sqrt{\epsilon'/\epsilon'_\infty}}/2. \quad (27)$$

There exists $\epsilon'_0 \leq \xi \leq \epsilon'_{f-1}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} S_l(\epsilon'_{f-1}) &= S_l(\epsilon'_0) + (\epsilon'_{f-1} - \epsilon'_0)S'_l(\xi) \geq S_l(\epsilon'_0)(1 + (\epsilon'_{f-1} - \epsilon'_0)T'_l(g(\xi))g'(\xi)/T_l(g(\xi))) \\ &\Rightarrow S_l(\epsilon'_{f-1})/S_l(\epsilon'_0) \geq 1 - 2l^2(\epsilon'_{f-1} - \epsilon'_0)/(\epsilon'_\infty - \epsilon'_f) \geq 4/5. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Assume without loss of generality that $\epsilon'_0, \epsilon'_1, \dots, \epsilon'_{f-1}$ are pairwise distinct. Let $L(x) = \sum_{i=1}^f a_i x^i$ be the Lagrange interpolation polynomial of degree f such that $L(0) = 0$ and $L(S_l(\epsilon'_0)) = L(S_l(\epsilon'_1)) = \dots = L(S_l(\epsilon'_{f-1})) = S_l(\epsilon'_0)$. For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, f-1$, there exists $S_l(\epsilon'_{i-1}) > \xi_i > S_l(\epsilon'_i)$ such that $L'(\xi_i) = 0$. Then,

$$L'(x) = a_1 \prod_{i=1}^{f-1} (1 - x/\xi_i). \quad (29)$$

Clearly, $a_1 > 0$ and $L'(x) > 0$ for $x < S_l(\epsilon'_{f-1})$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} S_l(\epsilon'_0) &= L(S_l(\epsilon'_{f-1})) = \int_0^{S_l(\epsilon'_{f-1})} L'(x) dx \geq a_1 \int_0^{S_l(\epsilon'_{f-1})} (1 - x/S_l(\epsilon'_{f-1}))^{f-1} dx \\ &= a_1 S_l(\epsilon'_{f-1})/f \Rightarrow a_1 \leq 5f/4. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

For $|x| \leq 1$,

$$\xi_1 > \xi_2 > \dots > \xi_{f-1} > S_l(\epsilon'_f) = 1 \Rightarrow |L'(x)| \leq a_1(1 + |x|)^{f-1} \Rightarrow |L(x)| \leq 2^{f+1}. \quad (31)$$

Finally, $C_l(x) := L(S_l(x))/S_l(\epsilon'_0)$ is a polynomial of degree fl . \square

Lemma 7 (Lemma 4.2 in [1]). *For any polynomial p_l of degree $l \leq s^2$ and any t, ψ ,*

$$R(p_l(H^{(t)})\psi) \leq l^{O(\sqrt{l})} R(\psi). \quad (32)$$

Let $l = s^2/f$ and $t = \Omega(s)$. The assumption

$$1/10 \geq l^2(\epsilon'_{f-1} - \epsilon'_0)/(\epsilon'_\infty - \epsilon'_f) = O(s^4 2^{-\Omega(t)}/(s+t)) = O(s^3 2^{-\Omega(s)}) \quad (33)$$

is satisfied with sufficiently large $s > O(1)$. Lemmas 6, 7 imply a (Δ, D) -AGSP $A = C_l(H^{(t)})$ for $H^{(t)}$ with

$$\Delta = 2^{2f+4} e^{-4l\sqrt{\epsilon'/\epsilon'_\infty}} = 2^{-\Omega(s^2\sqrt{\epsilon'/t})}, \quad D = (s^2)^{O(\sqrt{s^2})} = s^{O(s)}. \quad (34)$$

In particular, the condition

$$1/100 \geq \Delta D^2 = 2^{-\Omega(s^2\sqrt{\epsilon'/t})} s^{O(s)} \Rightarrow 1/100 \geq \Delta D \quad (35)$$

can be satisfied by fixing $t = t_0 = \Theta(s_0)$ and $s = s_0 = \tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-1})$ so that $\Delta = 2^{-\tilde{\Omega}(\epsilon^{-1})}$ and $D = 2^{\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-1})}$.

4 Area law

Hereafter $f = 2$ is assumed for ease of presentation. It should be clear that a very minor modification of the proof works for any $f = O(1)$. Suppose $s = s_0$ and $t = t_0$ as given above so that A is a (Δ, D) -AGSP for $H^{(t_0)}$ with $\Delta D^2 \leq 1/100$. Recall that $\phi_0^{(t_0)}, \phi_1^{(t_0)}$ are the lowest two eigenstates and $G' = \text{span}\{\phi_0^{(t_0)}, \phi_1^{(t_0)}\}$ is the ground-state space of $H^{(t_0)}$.

Lemma 8. *There exist $\varphi_0, \varphi_1 \in G'$ and ψ_0, ψ'_0 such that (i) $\varphi_0 \perp \varphi_1$; (ii) $|\langle \varphi_0, \psi_0 \rangle|^2 \geq 24/25$; (iii) $R(\psi_0) = 2^{\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-1})}$; (iv) $|\langle \varphi_1, \psi'_0 \rangle|^2 \geq 24/25$; (v) $R(\psi'_0) = 2^{\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-1})}$.*

Proof. Let P' be the projection onto G' . Consider

$$\max_{R(\psi)=1} \|P'\psi\|^2. \quad (36)$$

As the set $\{\psi | R(\psi) = 1\}$ of product states is compact, the optimal state exists and is still denoted by ψ . This state and $\phi := A\psi$ can be decomposed as

$$\psi = c_g \psi_g + c_e \psi_e, \quad \phi = c'_g \phi_g + c'_e \phi_e, \quad (37)$$

where $\psi_g, \phi_g \in G'$ and $\psi_e, \phi_e \perp G'$. The definition of AGSP implies

$$c_g = c'_g, \quad \psi_g = \phi_g, \quad |c'_e|^2 \leq \Delta, \quad R(\phi) \leq D. \quad (38)$$

The Schmidt decomposition of the unnormalized state ϕ implies

$$\phi = \sum_{i=1}^{R(\phi)} \lambda_i L_i \otimes R_i \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{R(\phi)} \lambda_i^2 = \|\phi\|^2 = |c'_g|^2 + |c'_e|^2 \leq |c_g|^2 + \Delta. \quad (39)$$

Since $|c_g|^2$ is the optimal value in (36),

$$\begin{aligned} |c_g| &= |\langle \psi_g, \phi \rangle| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{R(\phi)} \lambda_i |\langle \psi_g, L_i \otimes R_i \rangle| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{R(\phi)} \lambda_i \|P' L_i \otimes R_i\| \leq |c_g| \sum_{i=1}^{R(\phi)} \lambda_i \Rightarrow 1 \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{R(\phi)} \lambda_i \right)^2 \\ &\leq R(\phi) \sum_{i=1}^{R(\phi)} \lambda_i^2 \leq D(|c_g|^2 + \Delta) \leq D|c_g|^2 + 1/100 \Rightarrow |c_g|^2 \geq 99D^{-1}/100 \geq 99\Delta. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Applying the AGSP twice, the state $\psi_0 := A^2\psi/\|A^2\psi\|$ satisfies

$$\|P'\psi_0\|^2 \geq 1 - \Delta/50, \quad R(\psi_0) = D^2 = 2^{\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-1})}. \quad (41)$$

Define $\varphi_0 = P'\psi_0/\|P'\psi_0\| \in G'$ and $\varphi_1 \in G'$ such that $\varphi_0 \perp \varphi_1$. Clearly,

$$|\langle \varphi_0, \psi_0 \rangle|^2 \geq 1 - \Delta/50, \quad \langle \varphi_1, \psi_0 \rangle = 0, \quad |\langle \varphi_e, \psi_0 \rangle|^2 \leq \Delta/50 \text{ for } \forall \varphi_e \perp G'. \quad (42)$$

Consider

$$\max_{R(\psi')=1} |\langle \varphi_1, \psi' \rangle|^2. \quad (43)$$

As the set $\{\psi' | R(\psi') = 1\}$ of product states is compact, the optimal state exists and is still denoted by ψ' . This state and $\phi' := A\psi' - \langle \psi_0, \psi' \rangle \psi_0$ can be decomposed as

$$\psi' = c_0\varphi_0 + c_1\varphi_1 + c_e\varphi_e, \quad \phi' = c_1\varphi_1 + c_r\varphi_r, \quad (44)$$

where $\varphi_e \perp G'$ and $\varphi_r \perp \varphi_1$. Specifically,

$$\begin{aligned} c_r\varphi_r &= c_0(A\varphi_0 - \langle \psi_0, \varphi_0 \rangle \psi_0) - c_1\langle \psi_0, \varphi_1 \rangle \psi_0 + c_e(A\varphi_e - \langle \psi_0, \varphi_e \rangle \psi_0) \\ &\Rightarrow |c_r| \leq 0.2|c_0|\sqrt{\Delta} + 1.2|c_e|\sqrt{\Delta} \leq 1.4\sqrt{\Delta} \text{ and } R(\phi') \leq D + R(\psi_0) \leq D + D^2 \leq 2D^2. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

The Schmidt decomposition of the unnormalized state ϕ' implies

$$\phi' = \sum_{i=1}^{R(\phi')} \lambda'_i L'_i \otimes R'_i \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{R(\phi')} \lambda'_i{}^2 = \|\phi'\|^2 = |c_1|^2 + |c_r|^2 \leq |c_1|^2 + 2\Delta. \quad (46)$$

Since ψ' is the optimal state,

$$\begin{aligned} |c_1| &= |\langle \varphi_1, \phi' \rangle| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{R(\phi')} \lambda'_i |\langle \varphi_1, L'_i \otimes R'_i \rangle| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{R(\phi')} \lambda'_i |\langle \varphi_1, \psi' \rangle| = |c_1| \sum_{i=1}^{R(\phi')} \lambda'_i \Rightarrow 1 \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{R(\phi')} \lambda'_i \right)^2 \\ &\leq R(\phi') \sum_{i=1}^{R(\phi')} \lambda'_i{}^2 \leq 2D^2(|c_1|^2 + 2\Delta) \leq 2D^2|c_1|^2 + 1/25 \Rightarrow |c_1|^2 \geq 12D^{-2}/25 \geq 48\Delta. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Hence $\psi'_0 = \phi'/\|\phi'\|$ is a state with $R(\psi'_0) = R(\phi') \leq 2D^2 = 2^{\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-1})}$ and $|\langle \varphi_1, \psi'_0 \rangle|^2 \geq 24/25$. \square

Recall that G is the ground-state space of H .

Lemma 9. *For any $\Psi \in G$, there is a sequence of approximations $\{\Psi_i\}$ such that*

- (a) $|\langle \Psi_i, \Psi \rangle| \geq 1 - 2^{-\Omega(i)}$;
- (b) $R_i := R(\Psi_i) = 2^{\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-1} + \epsilon^{-1/4} i^{3/4})}$.

Proof. Let $t_i = t_0 + i$. Theorem 2(b) is a quantitative statement that G and $\text{span}\{\phi_0^{(t_i)}, \phi_1^{(t_i)}\}$ are exponentially close. In particular, setting t_0 to be a sufficiently large constant implies that G' and $\text{span}\{\phi_0^{(t_i)}, \phi_1^{(t_i)}\}$ are close up to a small constant. Hence Lemma 8(ii) implies

$$|\langle \phi_0^{(t_i)}, \psi_0 \rangle|^2 + |\langle \phi_1^{(t_i)}, \psi_0 \rangle|^2 \geq 9/10. \quad (48)$$

Let $l_i = s_i^2/2 = \Theta(\sqrt{t_i^3/\epsilon}) = O(t_i^2)$ such that the assumption

$$1/10 \geq l_i^2(\epsilon'_1 - \epsilon'_0)/(\epsilon'_\infty - \epsilon'_2) = O(s_i^3 2^{-\Omega(s_i)}) \quad (49)$$

is satisfied with sufficiently large $s_i > O(1)$. Lemmas 6, 7 imply a (Δ_i, D_i) -AGSP $A_i = C_{t_i}(H^{(t_i)})$ for $H^{(t_i)}$ with

$$\Delta_i = 2^{-\Omega(s_i^2 \sqrt{\epsilon/t_i})} = 2^{-\Omega(t_i)}, \quad D_i = s_i^{O(s_i)} = 2^{\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-1/4} t_i^{3/4})}. \quad (50)$$

Hence the sequence of operators $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{+\infty}$ converges exponentially due to Theorem 2(b). Clearly, $A_\infty := \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} A_i$ is just the projection onto G . Let $\psi_i := A_i \psi_0 / \|A_i \psi_0\|$ with $\psi_\infty \in G$ such that

$$R(\psi_i) \leq R(\psi_0) D_i \leq 2^{\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-1} + \epsilon^{-1/4} t_i^{3/4})}, \quad |\langle \psi_i, \psi_\infty \rangle| \geq 1 - 2^{-\Omega(t_i)}. \quad (51)$$

Similarly, Let $\psi'_i := A_i \psi'_0 / \|A_i \psi'_0\|$ with $\psi'_\infty \in G$ such that

$$R(\psi'_i) \leq 2^{\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-1} + \epsilon^{-1/4} t_i^{3/4})}, \quad |\langle \psi'_i, \psi'_\infty \rangle| \geq 1 - 2^{-\Omega(t_i)}. \quad (52)$$

(48) with $i = +\infty$ is a quantitative statement that ψ_0 is close to G , and hence ψ_0 and ψ_∞ are close up to a small constant. Since ψ_0 and φ_0 are close up to a small constant, ψ_∞ and φ_0 are also close. The same arguments imply that ψ'_∞ and φ_1 are close. Hence, ψ_∞ and ψ'_∞ are almost orthogonal. Any state $\Psi \in G$ can be decomposed as

$$\Psi = c\psi_\infty + c'\psi'_\infty, \quad |c| = O(1), \quad |c'| = O(1). \quad (53)$$

Then, $\{\Psi_i := c\psi_i + c'\psi'_i\}_{i=0}^{+\infty}$ is a sequence of approximations to Ψ with (b) $R(\Psi_i) = 2^{\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-1} + \epsilon^{-1/4} t_i^{3/4})}$. (a) also follows immediately. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. (a) Let Λ_i be the Schmidt coefficients of Ψ across the middle cut. Then,

$$1 - p_i := \sum_{j=1}^{R_i} \Lambda_j^2 \geq |\langle \Psi_i, \Psi \rangle|^2 \geq 1 - 2^{-\Omega(i)}. \quad (54)$$

The Renyi entanglement entropy of Ψ is upper bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\log(R_0^{1-\alpha} + \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} p_i^\alpha (R_{i+1} - R_i)^{1-\alpha})}{1-\alpha} &\leq \frac{\log(2^{(1-\alpha)\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-1})} + \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} 2^{(1-\alpha)\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-1} + \epsilon^{-1/4} i^{3/4}) - \alpha\Omega(i)})}{1-\alpha} \\ &= \tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-1}) + \frac{\log(O(1) + 2^{(1-\alpha)\tilde{O}((1-\alpha)^3 \alpha^{-3}/\epsilon)})}{1-\alpha} = \tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-1} + (1-\alpha)^3 \alpha^{-3}/\epsilon) = \tilde{O}(\alpha^{-3} \epsilon^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

(b) Finally we sketch the proof that Ψ is well approximated by an MPS of small bond dimension. We first express it exactly as an MPS of possibly exponential (in n) bond dimension and then truncate the MPS cut by cut. It is shown in [18] the error accumulates at most additively: If an inverse polynomial overall error $1/p(n) = 1/\text{poly}(n)$ is allowed, it suffices that the error of truncating each cut is $1/(np(n)) = 1/\text{poly}(n)$. We require that

$$1/\text{poly}(n) = p_i \Rightarrow i = O(\log n), \quad (56)$$

and hence the bond dimension is $2^{\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-1/4} \log^{3/4} n)}$. \square

5 Notes

For nondegenerate systems ($f = 1$), the upper bound claimed in [1] on the von Neumann entanglement entropy is $\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-1})$. However, the proof in [1] of this claim appears incomplete. Specifically, in Lemma 6.3 in [1] t_0 should be at least $O(\epsilon_0/\epsilon^2 + \epsilon^{-1})$ in order that the robustness theorem (Theorem

6.1 in [1]) applies to $H^{(t_0)}$, i.e., the robustness theorem does not guarantee that $H^{(t_0)}$ is gapped if $t_0 = O(1)$. Then $s = \tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-1})$ (and $l = s^2$) does not give an AGSP for $H^{(t_0)}$ with $\Delta D \leq 1/2$, but $s = \tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-3/2})$ does. A straightforward calculation shows that the upper bound $\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-3/2})$ on the von Neumann entanglement entropy follows from the proof in [1]. Nevertheless, in the present paper I have shown that the claim in [1] is correct, because Theorem 2 (as a stronger version of the robustness theorem) only requires $t \geq O(\log \epsilon^{-1})$.

After the appearance of the present paper on arXiv [12], Section 2 (perturbation theory) was extended to higher dimensions [2]. In particular, Theorems 4.2, 4.6 in [2] are generalizations of Lemmas 3, 4, respectively.

References

- [1] I. Arad, A. Kitaev, Z. Landau, and U. Vazirani. An area law and sub-exponential algorithm for 1D systems. *arXiv:1301.1162v1*, Jan. 2013.
- [2] I. Arad, T. Kuwahara, and Z. Landau. Connecting global and local energy distributions in quantum spin models on a lattice. *arXiv:1406.3898*, Jun. 2014.
- [3] I. Arad, Z. Landau, and U. Vazirani. Improved one-dimensional area law for frustration-free systems. *Physical Review B*, 85(19):195145, May 2012.
- [4] F. G. S. L. Brandao and M. Horodecki. An area law for entanglement from exponential decay of correlations. *Nature Physics*, 9(11):721–726, Nov. 2013.
- [5] F. G. S. L. Brandao and M. Horodecki. Exponential decay of correlations implies area law. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 333(2):761–798, Jan. 2015.
- [6] J. Eisert, M. Cramer, and M. B. Plenio. Colloquium: Area laws for the entanglement entropy. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 82(1):277–306, Feb. 2010.
- [7] D. Gottesman and M. B. Hastings. Entanglement versus gap for one-dimensional spin systems. *New Journal of Physics*, 12(2):025002, Feb. 2010.
- [8] A. Harrow. Application of quantum information theory in quantum Hamiltonian complexity. Jan. 2014. <http://simons.berkeley.edu/talks/aram-harrow-2014-01-16>.
- [9] M. B. Hastings. Lieb-Schultz-Mattis in higher dimensions. *Physical Review B*, 69(10):104431, Mar. 2004.
- [10] M. B. Hastings. An area law for one-dimensional quantum systems. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, 2007(08):P08024, Aug. 2007.
- [11] P. Hayden, D. W. Leung, and A. Winter. Aspects of generic entanglement. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 265(1):95–117, Jul. 2006.
- [12] Y. Huang. Area law in one dimension: Degenerate ground states and Renyi entanglement entropy. *arXiv:1403.0327*, Mar. 2014.
- [13] S. Irani. Ground state entanglement in one-dimensional translationally invariant quantum systems. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 51(2):022101, Feb. 2010.

- [14] Z. Landau, U. Vazirani, and T. Vidick. An efficient algorithm for finding the ground state of 1D gapped local hamiltonians. In *Proceedings of the 5th Conference on Innovations in Theoretical Computer Science*, pages 301–302, Jan. 2014.
- [15] T. J. Osborne. Hamiltonian complexity. *Reports on Progress in Physics*, 75(2):022001, Feb. 2012.
- [16] N. Schuch, M. M. Wolf, F. Verstraete, and J. I. Cirac. Entropy scaling and simulability by matrix product states. *Physical Review Letters*, 100(3):030504, Jan. 2008.
- [17] M. Van den Nest. Universal quantum computation with little entanglement. *Physical Review Letters*, 110(6):060504, Feb. 2013.
- [18] F. Verstraete and J. I. Cirac. Matrix product states represent ground states faithfully. *Physical Review B*, 73(9):094423, Mar. 2006.
- [19] S. R. White. Density matrix formulation for quantum renormalization groups. *Physical Review Letters*, 69(19):2863–2866, Nov. 1992.
- [20] S. R. White. Density-matrix algorithms for quantum renormalization groups. *Physical Review B*, 48(14):10345–10356, Oct. 1993.