1D area law with ground-state degeneracy

Yichen Huang
Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley
Berkeley, CA 94720, USA
yichenhuang@berkeley.edu

September 4, 2022

Abstract

An area law is proved for 1D spin systems with ground-state degeneracy. In particular, consider a chain of d-dimensional spins governed by a Hamiltonian with nearest-neighbor interaction. Suppose the ground states are n-fold (exactly) degenerate with n = O(1), and are separated from excited states by an energy gap ϵ . Then for any given cut there exists a ground state such that the entanglement entropy across the cut is upper bounded by $\tilde{O}((\log^3 d)/\epsilon)$.

1 Introduction

It is conjectured that the entanglement of a region scales as its boundary (area law) in the ground state of a gapped quantum system. Hastings rigorously proves the area law in 1D (one-dimensional) spin systems by giving the upper bound $\exp(O((\log d)/\epsilon))$ on entanglement entropy [2], where d is the dimension of each local spin and ϵ is the energy gap. The bound is recently improved to $\tilde{O}((\log^3 d)/\epsilon^{3/2})^1$ [1], where $\tilde{O}(x) = O(x \text{ polylog}(x))$ hides a polylogarithmic factor.

The aforementioned proofs of the 1D area law assume a unique (nondegenerate) ground state. This paper proves an area law for 1D spin systems with ground-state degeneracy. Suppose the ground states are n-fold (exactly) degenerate with n = O(1). Then for any given cut there exists a ground state such that the entanglement entropy across the cut is upper bounded by $\tilde{O}((\log^3 d)/\epsilon)$, which even slightly improves the best known result (see above) for nondegenerate systems (n = 1).

One major technical contribution of this paper is a stronger version (Theorem 1) of the robustness theorem (Theorem 6.1 in [1]), and then the improved bound follows. All complications due to degeneracy need to be taken care of. To simplify the presentation, assume n = 2 (generalization to n = O(1) is straightforward).

2 Approximate ground-state projector

This section generalizes the notion of approximate ground-state projector (AGSP) to nearly degenerate systems. Let H be the Hamiltonian of a 1D spin system. Let $0 \le \epsilon_0 \le \epsilon_1 \le \epsilon_2$ be the energies of the ground state $|\psi_0\rangle$, the first excited state $|\psi_1\rangle$, and the second excited state of H, respectively. Suppose H is nearly 2-fold degenerate in the sense that $\epsilon_0 \approx \epsilon_1$, and define $\epsilon = \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_0$ to be the energy gap. Let G be the 2-dimensional space spanned by $|\psi_{0,1}\rangle$. Fix a cut, and $R(|\psi\rangle)$ denotes the Schmidt rank of a state $|\psi\rangle$ across the cut.

¹The bound claimed in [1] is $\tilde{O}((\log^3 d)/\epsilon)$. In my opinion, the proof in [1] of this claim is incomplete. See Appendix for details.

A linear operator K is a (δ, Δ, D) -AGSP if (i) for any normalized state $|\psi\rangle \in G$,

$$K|\psi\rangle \in G, \ 1 - \delta \le ||K|\psi\rangle||^2 \le 1;$$
 (1)

(ii) for any normalized state $|\psi\rangle \perp G$,

$$K|\psi\rangle \perp G, \ \|K|\psi\rangle\|^2 \le \Delta;$$
 (2)

(iii) for any state $|\psi\rangle$,

$$R(K|\psi\rangle) \le DR(|\psi\rangle).$$
 (3)

Lemma 1. Let

$$\mu_{\psi}^2 = |\langle \psi_0 | \psi \rangle|^2 + |\langle \psi_1 | \psi \rangle|^2. \tag{4}$$

A (δ, Δ, D) -AGSP with $D\Delta \leq 1/10$ implies the existence of a normalized product state $|\psi\rangle$ across the cut such that

$$\mu_{\psi}^2 \ge (9/10 - \delta)/D.$$
 (5)

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 2.2 in [1]. Let K be such an AGSP and $|\psi\rangle$ be the optimal normalized product state in the sense that $|\mu_{\psi}| \geq |\mu_{\psi''}|$ for any normalized product state $|\psi''\rangle$. The optimal state $|\psi\rangle$ exists as the set of all normalized product states is compact. The states $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\phi\rangle = K|\psi\rangle$ can be decomposed as

$$|\psi\rangle = \mu_{\psi}|\psi'\rangle + \sqrt{1 - \mu_{\psi}^2}|\psi^{\perp}\rangle, \ |\phi\rangle = \mu'|\phi'\rangle + \mu^{\perp}|\phi^{\perp}\rangle, \tag{6}$$

where $|\psi'\rangle, |\phi'\rangle \in G, |\psi^{\perp}\rangle, |\phi^{\perp}\rangle \in G^{\perp}$ are normalized states. The definition of K implies

$$(1 - \delta)\mu_{\psi}^2 \le |\mu'|^2 \le \mu_{\psi}^2, \ |\mu^{\perp}|^2 \le \Delta, \ R(|\phi\rangle) \le D.$$
 (7)

Let $|\Phi\rangle = |\phi\rangle/||\phi\rangle||$. Its Schmidt decomposition is given by $|\Phi\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{D} \lambda_i |L_i\rangle |R_i\rangle$ with $\sum_i \lambda_i^2 = 1$. Then the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality implies

$$|\mu'|/\||\phi\rangle\| = |\langle \phi'|\Phi\rangle| \le \sum_{i=1}^{D} \lambda_i |\langle \phi'|L_i\rangle|R_i\rangle| \le \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{D} \langle \phi'|L_i\rangle|R_i\rangle|^2}.$$
 (8)

There exists an index i such that

$$\mu_{\psi}^{2} \geq \mu_{|L_{i}\rangle|R_{i}\rangle}^{2} \geq |\langle \phi'|L_{i}\rangle|R_{i}\rangle|^{2} \geq |\mu'|^{2}D^{-1}||\phi\rangle||^{-2} = |\mu'|^{2}D^{-1}(|\mu'|^{2} + |\mu^{\perp}|^{2})^{-1}$$

$$\geq (1 - \delta)\mu_{\psi}^{2}D^{-1}(\mu_{\psi}^{2} + \Delta)^{-1} \Rightarrow 1 \geq (1 - \delta)D^{-1}(\mu_{\psi}^{2} + \Delta)^{-1} \geq (1 - \delta)(D\mu_{\psi}^{2} + 1/10)^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_{\psi}^{2} \geq (9/10 - \delta)/D. \tag{9}$$

Let u be an upper bound on ||H|| (the maximum eigenvalue of H).

Lemma 2. There exists a polynomial C_{ℓ} of degree ℓ such that (i) $C_{\ell}(\epsilon_0) = 1$; (ii) $1 - \delta \leq C_{\ell}(\epsilon_1)^2 \leq 1$, where

$$\delta = 4\ell^2(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_0)/(u - \epsilon_2). \tag{10}$$

(iii) $|C_{\ell}(x)|^2 \leq \Delta$ for $\epsilon_2 \leq x \leq u$, where

$$\Delta = 4\exp(-4\ell\sqrt{\epsilon/u});\tag{11}$$

Proof. The Chebyshev polynomial $T_{\ell}(x)$ is defined as

$$T_{\ell}(\cos \theta) = \cos(\ell \theta). \tag{12}$$

Clearly $|T_{\ell}(x)| \leq 1$ for $|x| \leq 1$. For $x \geq 1$, the definition reduces to $T_{\ell}(x) = \cosh(\ell t)$, where $t = \operatorname{arccosh} x \geq 0$. Then,

$$T_{\ell}(x) \ge \exp(\ell t)/2 \ge \exp(2\ell \tanh(t/2))/2 \ge \exp(2\ell \sqrt{(x-1)/(x+1)})/2.$$
 (13)

Moreover,

$$T'_{\ell}(x)/T_{\ell}(x) = \ell \tanh(\ell t)(\mathrm{d}t/\mathrm{d}x) = \ell \tanh(\ell t)/\sinh t \le \ell(\ell t)/t = \ell^2. \tag{14}$$

Define

$$C_{\ell}(x) = T_{\ell}(f(x))/T_{\ell}(f(\epsilon_0)), \tag{15}$$

where $f(x) = (u + \epsilon_2 - 2x)/(u - \epsilon_2)$. Clearly $|C_{\ell}(x)| \leq 1/T_{\ell}(f(\epsilon_0))$ for $\epsilon_2 \leq x \leq u$, and

$$T_{\ell}(f(\epsilon_0)) \ge \exp(2\ell\sqrt{(f(\epsilon_0) - 1)/(f(\epsilon_0) + 1)})/2 = \exp(2\ell\sqrt{\epsilon/(u - \epsilon_0)})/2 \ge \exp(2\ell\sqrt{\epsilon/u})/2. \quad (16)$$

Furthermore, there exists $\epsilon_0 \leq \xi \leq \epsilon_1$ such that

$$C_{\ell}(\epsilon_1) = C_{\ell}(\epsilon_0) - (\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_0)C_{\ell}'(\xi) \ge 1 - (\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_0)C_{\ell}'(\xi)/C_{\ell}(\xi) \ge 1 - 2\ell^2(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_0)/(u - \epsilon_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow C_{\ell}(\epsilon_1)^2 \ge 1 - 4\ell^2(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_0)/(u - \epsilon_2),$$
(17)

as $C_{\ell}(x)$ is a decreasing function of x for $x < \epsilon_2$.

Lemma 3. For any normalized state $|\psi\rangle$ satisfying $\langle \psi|H|\psi\rangle \leq \epsilon_0 + b$, there exists a normalized state $|\phi\rangle \in G$ such that

$$\||\psi\rangle - |\phi\rangle\|^2 \le 2b/\epsilon. \tag{18}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of the Markov lemma (Lemma 6.4 in [1]). The state $|\psi\rangle$ can be decomposed as

$$|\psi\rangle = a|\phi\rangle + \sqrt{1 - a^2}|\phi^{\perp}\rangle,\tag{19}$$

where $|\phi\rangle \in G, |\phi^{\perp}\rangle \perp G$ are normalized states, and $a \geq 0$. Then,

$$\epsilon_0 + (1 - a^2)\epsilon = a^2 \epsilon_0 + (1 - a^2)\epsilon_2 \le \langle \psi | H | \psi \rangle \le \epsilon_0 + b \Rightarrow 1 - a^2 \le b/\epsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow ||\psi\rangle - |\phi\rangle||^2 = 2 - 2a \le 2(1 - a^2) \le 2b/\epsilon.$$
 (20)

3 Perturbation theory

Suppose the original Hamiltonian is $H' = \sum_{i=1}^{n} H'_i$, where $0 \le H'_i \le 1$ acts on the spins i and i+1. Define a new Hamiltonian

$$H = H_L + H_1 + H_2 + \dots + H_s + H_R \tag{21}$$

as (i)

$$H_L = \sum_{i=1}^{m} H_i' - c, \ H_R = \sum_{i=m+s+1}^{n} H_i' - c', \tag{22}$$

where c, c' are the ground state energies of $\sum_{i=1}^{m} H'_i, \sum_{i=m+s+1}^{n} H'_i$, respectively; (ii) $H_i = H'_{m+i}$ for i = 1, s; (iii)

$$H_i = H'_{m+i} - c''/(s-2) \tag{23}$$

for $2 \le i \le s - 1$, where c'' is the ground state energy of $\sum_{i=2}^{s-1} H'_i$.

Clearly, (a) $H_{L,R} \ge 0$, and the ground state energies of $H_{L,R}$ are 0; (b) $0 \le H_i \le 1$ for i = 1, s; (c) $0 \le \sum_{i=2}^{s-1} H_i \le s - 2$, and the ground state energy of $\sum_{i=2}^{s-1} H_i$ is 0; (c) the ground states (2-fold exactly degenerate) and the energy gaps of H and H' are the same as H - H' is a multiple of identity.

Let $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2 \leq \cdots$ be the lowest eigenenergies of H, and define $\epsilon = \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_0$ to be the energy gap of H. Let G be the 2-dimensional ground state space of H. Let $P_t^{L,R}$ be the projection onto the space spanned by the eigenstates of $H_{L,R}$ with energies at most t. Define

$$H_{L,R}^{\leq t} = P_t^{L,R} H_{L,R} P_t^{L,R} + t(1 - P_t^{L,R}).$$
(24)

Let $\epsilon_0' \le \epsilon_1' \le \epsilon_2' \le \cdots$ be the lowest eigenenergies of

$$H^{(t)} = H_L^{\le t} + H_1 + H_2 + \dots + H_s + H_R^{\le t}, \tag{25}$$

and define $\epsilon' = \epsilon_2' - \epsilon_0'$ to be the energy gap of $H^{(t)}$. Let $|\phi_0^{(t)}\rangle, |\phi_1^{(t)}\rangle, |\phi_2^{(t)}\rangle$ be the ground state, the first excited state, and the second excited state of $H^{(t)}$. Clearly $H^{(t)} \leq H$ and $H^{(t)} \leq 2t + s$.

Lemma 4.

$$\epsilon_0' \le \epsilon_0 \le 2, \ \epsilon_2' \le \epsilon_2 \le 20.$$
 (26)

Proof. Let $|\psi_L\rangle, |\psi_M\rangle, |\psi_R\rangle, |\phi_R\rangle$ be the ground states of $H_L, \sum_{i=2}^{s-1} H_i, H_R, \sum_{i=4}^{s} H_i + H_R$, respectively. Let $|\Psi\rangle \in G$ be a ground state of H, and

$$|\psi_{LMR}\rangle = |\psi_L\rangle|\psi_M\rangle|\psi_R\rangle, \ |\psi_{LR}^{0,1,2}\rangle = |\psi_L\rangle|\phi_M^{0,1,2}\rangle|\phi_R\rangle, \tag{27}$$

where

$$|\phi_M^0\rangle = |00\rangle, \ |\phi_M^1\rangle = |01\rangle, \ |\phi_M^2\rangle = |10\rangle$$
 (28)

are pairwise orthogonal states of the spins m+2 and m+3. Then,

$$\epsilon_{0} \leq \langle \psi_{LMR} | H | \psi_{LMR} \rangle$$

$$= \langle \psi_{L} | H_{L} | \psi_{L} \rangle + \langle \psi_{M} | \sum_{i=2}^{s-1} H_{i} | \psi_{M} \rangle + \langle \psi_{R} | H_{R} | \psi_{R} \rangle + \langle \psi_{LMR} | A | \psi_{LMR} \rangle \leq ||A|| \leq 2,$$

$$\langle \psi_{LR}^{0,1,2} | H | \psi_{LR}^{0,1,2} \rangle = \langle \psi_{L} | H_{L} | \psi_{L} \rangle + \langle \psi_{LR}^{0,1,2} | H_{1} + H_{2} + H_{3} | \psi_{LR}^{0,1,2} \rangle + \langle \phi_{R} | \sum_{i=4}^{s} H_{i} + H_{R} | \phi_{R} \rangle$$

$$\leq \langle \Psi | H_{L} | \Psi \rangle + \langle \Psi | H_{1} + H_{2} + H_{3} | \Psi \rangle + 3 + \langle \Psi | \sum_{i=4}^{s} H_{i} + H_{R} | \Psi \rangle \leq \langle \Psi | H | \Psi \rangle + 3 = \epsilon_{0} + 3(29)$$

Lemma 3 implies that $|\psi_{LR}^{0,1,2}\rangle$ are close to the 2-dimensional space G (this is impossible because they are pairwise orthogonal) unless $\epsilon_2 \leq 20$.

Let

$$A = H_1 + H_s. (30)$$

Clearly the operators $H_{L,R}$, H - A, $H^{(t)} - A$ have a common complete set of eigenstates. Let P_t be the projection onto the space spanned by the eigenstates of H - A with energies at most t. Then,

$$H_{L,R}P_t = H_{\overline{L},R}^{\leq t}P_t \Rightarrow H^{(t)}P_t = HP_t. \tag{31}$$

Let $|\phi^{(r)}\rangle$ be an eigenstate of $H^{(r)}$ with energy $\epsilon^{(r)}$.

Lemma 5.

$$\|(1 - P_t)|\phi^{(r)}\rangle\|^2 \le |\langle\phi^{(r)}|(1 - P_t)AP_t|\phi^{(r)}\rangle|/(\min\{r, t\} - \epsilon^{(r)}). \tag{32}$$

Proof.

$$\epsilon^{(r)} = \langle \phi^{(r)} | H^{(r)} | \phi^{(r)} \rangle = \langle \phi^{(r)} | P_t H^{(r)} | \phi^{(r)} \rangle + \langle \phi^{(r)} | (1 - P_t) H^{(r)} (1 - P_t) | \phi^{(r)} \rangle
+ \langle \phi^{(r)} | (1 - P_t) H^{(r)} P_t | \phi^{(r)} \rangle \ge \epsilon^{(r)} \langle \phi^{(r)} | P_t | \phi^{(r)} \rangle + \langle \phi^{(r)} | (1 - P_t) (H^{(r)} - A) (1 - P_t) | \phi^{(r)} \rangle
+ \langle \phi^{(r)} | (1 - P_t) A P_t | \phi^{(r)} \rangle + \langle \phi^{(r)} | (1 - P_t) (H^{(r)} - A) P_t | \phi^{(r)} \rangle
\ge \epsilon^{(r)} || P_t | \phi^{(r)} \rangle ||^2 + \min\{r, t\} || (1 - P_t) | \phi^{(r)} \rangle ||^2 + \langle \phi^{(r)} | (1 - P_t) A P_t | \phi^{(r)} \rangle
\ge \epsilon^{(r)} (1 - || (1 - P_t) | \phi^{(r)} \rangle ||^2) + \min\{r, t\} || (1 - P_t) | \phi^{(r)} \rangle ||^2 - |\langle \phi^{(r)} | (1 - P_t) A P_t | \phi^{(r)} \rangle ||. \tag{33}$$

The proof is completed by rearrangement.

Let r, t > 100 and $\epsilon^{(r)} < 30$.

Lemma 6.

$$\|(1-P_t)|\phi^{(r)}\rangle\| \le 2^{-\Omega(t)}.$$
 (34)

Proof. The proof is similar to that of the truncation lemma (Lemma 6.7 in [1]). In particular, it is an induction on t by keeping r fixed. Following the notations of [1], I just point out the difference (the remainder of the two proofs is identical). Lemma 5 implies

$$\|(1 - P_t)|\phi^{(r)}\rangle\|^2 \le |\langle\phi^{(r)}|(1 - P_t)AP_t|\phi^{(r)}\rangle|/(\min\{r, t\} - \epsilon^{(r)}),\tag{35}$$

where $t = s + n_0 d$. Clearly the denominator on the right-hand side is larger than 50.

Let

$$|\phi^{(t),t}\rangle = P_t |\phi^{(t)}\rangle / ||P_t|\phi^{(t)}\rangle||. \tag{36}$$

Lemma 7.

$$\langle \phi^{(t),t} | H | \phi^{(t),t} \rangle \le \epsilon^{(t)} + 2^{-\Omega(t)}. \tag{37}$$

Proof.

$$\epsilon^{(t)} = \langle \phi^{(t)} | H^{(t)} | \phi^{(t)} \rangle \ge \langle \phi^{(t)} | P_t H^{(t)} P_t | \phi^{(t)} \rangle + \langle \phi^{(t)} | P_t H^{(t)} (1 - P_t) | \phi^{(t)} \rangle
+ \langle \phi^{(t)} | (1 - P_t) H^{(t)} P_t | \phi^{(t)} \rangle = \langle \phi^{(t)} | P_t H P_t | \phi^{(t)} \rangle + \langle \phi^{(t)} | P_t A (1 - P_t) | \phi^{(t)} \rangle
+ \langle \phi^{(t)} | (1 - P_t) A P_t | \phi^{(t)} \rangle \ge \langle \phi^{(t)} | P_t H P_t | \phi^{(t)} \rangle - 2 ||A P_t | \phi^{(t)} \rangle || \cdot ||(1 - P_t) | \phi^{(t)} \rangle ||
\ge \langle \phi^{(t)} | P_t H P_t | \phi^{(t)} \rangle - 2^{-\Omega(t)} \Rightarrow \langle \phi^{(t), t} | H | \phi^{(t), t} \rangle \le (\epsilon^{(t)} + 2^{-\Omega(t)}) / ||P_t | \phi^{(t)} \rangle ||^2
= (\epsilon^{(t)} + 2^{-\Omega(t)}) / (1 - 2^{-\Omega(t)}) = \epsilon^{(t)} + 2^{-\Omega(t)}.$$
(38)

Theorem 1. Let $t \ge c \log(1/\epsilon)$ for sufficiently large c = O(1). (a)

$$|\epsilon_{0,1}' - \epsilon_0| \le 2^{-\Omega(t)}; \tag{39}$$

(b) there exist $|\psi_{0,1}^0\rangle \in G$ such that

$$\||\psi_{0,1}^0\rangle - |\phi_{0,1}^{(t)}\rangle\|^2 \le 2^{-\Omega(t)};$$
 (40)

(c) $\epsilon' \ge \epsilon/10$.

Proof. Lemma 7 implies

$$\epsilon'_{0,1} \le \epsilon_0 \le \langle \phi_{0,1}^{(t),t} | H | \phi_{0,1}^{(t),t} \rangle \le \epsilon'_{0,1} + 2^{-\Omega(t)}$$
 (41)

$$\langle \phi_2^{(t),t} | H | \phi_2^{(t),t} \rangle \le \epsilon_2' + 2^{-\Omega(t)} = \epsilon_0' + \epsilon' + 2^{-\Omega(t)} \le \epsilon_0 + \epsilon' + 2^{-\Omega(t)}.$$
 (42)

(a) follows from (41). Lemma 3 implies the existence of $|\psi_{0,1,2}^0\rangle \in G$ such that

$$\||\phi_{0,1}^{(t),t}\rangle - |\psi_{0,1}^{0}\rangle\|^{2} \le 2^{-\Omega(t)}/\epsilon = 2^{-\Omega(t)-\log(1/\epsilon)}, \ \||\phi_{2}^{(t),t}\rangle - |\psi_{2}^{0}\rangle\|^{2} \le \epsilon'/\epsilon + 2^{-\Omega(t)}/\epsilon. \tag{43}$$

Lemma 6 implies

$$\||\phi_{0,1}^{(t)}\rangle - |\phi_{0,1}^{(t),t}\rangle\|^2 \le 2^{-\Omega(t)}.$$
(44)

(b) follows from (43), (44) as $t \ge c \log(1/\epsilon)$. Moreover, as $|\phi_{0,1,2}^{(t)}\rangle$ are pairwise orthogonal, $|\psi_{0,1,2}^0\rangle$ are approximately pairwise orthogonal (this is impossible because they are in the 2-dimensional space G) unless $\epsilon' \ge \epsilon/10$.

4 Area law

Lemma 8 ([1]). Let $K = C_{\ell}(H)$, where C_{ℓ} is an arbitrary polynomial of degree ℓ . For any state $|\psi\rangle$,

$$R(K|\psi\rangle) \le (d\ell)^{O(\max\{\ell/s,\sqrt{\ell}\})} R(|\psi\rangle). \tag{45}$$

To construct an AGSP for $H^{(t_0)}$, let $\ell = s^2$ and $u = 2t_0 + s$. Lemmas 2,8 imply

$$D\Delta \le 4(ds)^{O(s)} \exp(-4s^2 \sqrt{\epsilon/u}) \le 4(ds)^{O(s)} \exp(-4s^2 \sqrt{\epsilon/(2t_0 + s)}). \tag{46}$$

A little algebra shows that the condition $D\Delta < 1/100$ for $t_0 = O(\log(1/\epsilon))$ can be satisfied with $s = \tilde{O}((\log^2 d)/\epsilon)$ so that $\log D = \tilde{O}((\log^3 d)/\epsilon)$. Let $t_0 = O(\log(1/\epsilon) + \log\log d)$ so that

$$\delta \le O(\ell^2 2^{-\Omega(t_0)} / (2t_0 + s)) \le \tilde{O}(2^{-\Omega(t_0)} \log^6 d / \epsilon^3) < 1/10.$$
(47)

Let $t_i = ic + t_0$ with c = O(1) so that $\Omega(t_i) \ge i + 4$ for the Ω in Theorem 1.

Lemma 9. There exists a convergent sequence of states $|\psi_0\rangle, |\psi_1\rangle, \dots$ satisfying: (i)

$$|\langle \phi_0^{(t_i)} | \psi_i \rangle|^2 + |\langle \phi_1^{(t_i)} | \psi_i \rangle|^2 \ge 1 - 2^{-i-4}; \tag{48}$$

(ii) Letting $\ell_j = O(\sqrt{(t_j + s)/\epsilon}),$

$$\log R(|\psi_0\rangle) = \tilde{O}((\log^3 d)/\epsilon), \ \log R(|\psi_i\rangle) = \log R(|\psi_0\rangle) + \sum_{j=1}^i \tilde{O}(\ell_j \log d); \tag{49}$$

(iii) Letting $|\psi_{\infty}\rangle = \lim_{i \to +\infty} |\psi_i\rangle \in G$,

$$|\langle \psi_i | \psi_\infty \rangle| \ge 1 - O(2^{-i}). \tag{50}$$

Proof. Theorem 1 implies the existence of $|\psi_{0,1}^{(t_i)}\rangle \in G$ such that

$$\||\psi_{0,1}^{(t_i)}\rangle - |\phi_{0,1}^{(t_i)}\rangle\|^2 \le 2^{-(i+4)}.$$
 (51)

for all i. The AGSP $K = C_{\ell}(H^{(t_0)})$ constructed above implies the existence of a normalized product state $|\psi\rangle$ such that

$$|\langle \phi_0^{(t_0)} | \psi \rangle|^2 + |\langle \phi_1^{(t_0)} | \psi \rangle|^2 \ge (9/10 - \delta)/D.$$
 (52)

The condition $D\Delta < 1/100$ implies that $|\psi_0\rangle = K|\psi\rangle/|K|\psi\rangle|$ satisfies

$$|\langle \phi_0^{(t_0)} | \psi_0 \rangle|^2 + |\langle \phi_1^{(t_0)} | \psi_0 \rangle|^2 \ge 15/16, \ \log R(|\psi_0\rangle) = \tilde{O}((\log^3 d)/\epsilon). \tag{53}$$

Then $|\psi_i\rangle$ and (i) can be defined and proved by induction. (51) is a quantitative statement that the space spanned by $|\phi_{0,1}^{(t_i)}\rangle$ is close to G. It implies that the spaces spanned by $|\phi_{0,1}^{(t_i)}\rangle$ and spanned by $|\phi_{0,1}^{(t_{i-1})}\rangle$ are also close. Thus the induction hypothesis implies

$$|\langle \phi_0^{(t_{i-1})} | \psi_{i-1} \rangle|^2 + |\langle \phi_1^{(t_{i-1})} | \psi_{i-1} \rangle|^2 \ge 1 - 2^{-i-3} \Rightarrow |\langle \phi_0^{(t_i)} | \psi_{i-1} \rangle|^2 + |\langle \phi_1^{(t_i)} | \psi_{i-1} \rangle|^2 \ge 1 - 2^{-i}. \quad (54)$$

Let $\ell_i = O(\sqrt{(t_i + s)/\epsilon})$. Lemmas 2,8 imply the existence of a $(\delta, 1/100, D)$ -AGSP K for $H^{(t_i)}$ with $\log D = \tilde{O}(\ell_i \log d)$ and

$$\delta \le O(\ell^2 2^{-\Omega(t_i)}/(t_i+s)) = O(2^{-\Omega(t_0)}/\epsilon)2^{-i} \le 2^{-i}/10.$$
 (55)

Let $|\psi_i\rangle = K|\psi_{i-1}\rangle/||K|\psi_{i-1}\rangle||$. Clearly,

$$|\langle \phi_0^{(t_i)} | \psi_i \rangle|^2 + |\langle \phi_1^{(t_i)} | \psi_i \rangle|^2 \ge 1 - 2^{-i-4},$$
 (56)

and (ii) follows. (54) and $\delta = O(2^{-i})$ imply

$$\||\psi_i\rangle - |\psi_{i-1}\rangle\|^2 = O(2^{-i}).$$
 (57)

Thus the sequence $|\psi_0\rangle, |\psi_1\rangle, \dots$ is convergent, and

$$|\langle \psi_i | \psi_\infty \rangle| \ge 1 - |||\psi_i\rangle - |\psi_\infty\rangle||^2/2 \ge 1 - O(2^{-i}).$$
 (58)

Theorem 2. For any given cut there exists a ground state such that the entanglement entropy across the cut is upper bounded by $\tilde{O}((\log^3 d)/\epsilon)$.

Proof. Let λ_i be the Schmidt coefficients of $|\psi_{\infty}\rangle$ across the cut. Then

$$1 - p_i = \sum_{i=1}^{R(|\psi_i\rangle)} \lambda_i^2 \ge |\langle \psi_i | \psi_\infty \rangle|^2 \ge 1 - O(2^{-i}).$$
 (59)

The entanglement entropy of $|\psi_{\infty}\rangle$ is upper bounded by

$$\sum_{i} p_{i} \log(R(|\psi_{i}\rangle) - R(|\psi_{i-1}\rangle)) - \sum_{i} p_{i} \log p_{i} \leq \sum_{i} p_{i} \log R(|\psi_{i}\rangle) + O(1)$$

$$= \sum_{i} p_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{i} \tilde{O}(\ell_{j} \log d) + \sum_{i} p_{i} \log R(|\psi_{0}\rangle) = \sum_{i} p_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{i} \tilde{O}(\sqrt{(t_{j} + s)/\epsilon} \log d)$$

$$+O(\log R(|\psi_{0}\rangle)) = \tilde{O}(\sqrt{(t_{0} + s)/\epsilon} \log d) + O(\log R(|\psi_{0}\rangle)) = \tilde{O}((\log^{3} d)/\epsilon). \tag{60}$$

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by ARO via the DARPA OLE program.

References

- [1] I. Arad, A. Kitaev, Z. Landau, and U. Vazirani. An area law and sub-exponential algorithm for 1D systems. arXiv:1301.1162v1, 2013.
- [2] M. B. Hastings. An area law for one-dimensional quantum systems. *J. Stat. Mech.*, 2007(08):P08024, 2007.

Appendix: Details on footnote 1

For nondegenerate systems (n=1), the upper bound claimed in [1] on entanglement entropy is $\tilde{O}((\log^3 d)/\epsilon)$. In my opinion, the proof in [1] of this claim is incomplete. In particular, in Lemma 6.3 t_0 should be at least $O(\epsilon_0/\epsilon^2+1/\epsilon)$ in order that the robustness theorem (Theorem 6.1) applies to $H^{(t_0)}$ (the robustness theorem does not guarantee that $H^{(t_0)}$ is gapped for $t_0=O(1)$). Then $s=\tilde{O}((\log^2 d)/\epsilon)$ (and $\ell=s^2$) does not give an AGSP for $H^{(t_0)}$ with $D\Delta \leq 1/2$, but $s=\tilde{O}((\log^2 d)/\epsilon^{3/2})$ does. A straightforward calculation shows that the upper bound $\tilde{O}((\log^3 d)/\epsilon^{3/2})$ follows from the proof in [1].

However, I have shown that the claim in [1] is correct (Theorem 2 also holds for n=1). This is because Theorem 1 (as a stronger version of the robustness theorem) only requires $t \ge c \log(1/\epsilon)$ for c = O(1).