

α -RuCl₃: a Spin-Orbit Assisted Mott Insulator on a Honeycomb Lattice

K. W. Plumb,¹ J. P. Clancy,¹ L. Sandilands,¹ V. Vijay Shankar,¹
Y.F. Hu,² K. S. Burch,^{1,3} Hae-Young Kee,^{1,4} and Young-June Kim^{1,*}

¹*Department of Physics and Center for Quantum Materials,
University of Toronto, 60 St. George St., Toronto, Ontario, M5S 1A7, Canada*

²*Canadian Light Source, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, S7N 0X4, Canada*

³*Department of Physics, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, Massachusetts 02467, USA*

⁴*Canadian Institute for Advanced Research, Toronto, Ontario, M5G 1Z8, Canada*

(Dated: April 15, 2024)

We examine the role of spin-orbit coupling in the electronic structure of α -RuCl₃, in which Ru ions in 4d⁵ configuration form a honeycomb lattice. The measured optical spectra exhibit a clear optical gap and excitations within the t_{2g} orbitals. The spectra can be described very well with first-principles electronic structure calculations obtained by taking into account both spin orbit coupling and electron correlations. Furthermore, our X-ray absorption spectroscopy measurements at the Ru L-edges exhibit distinct spectral features associated with the presence of substantial spin-orbit coupling, as well as an anomalously large branching ratio. We propose that α -RuCl₃ is a spin-orbit assisted Mott insulator, and the bond-dependent Kitaev interaction may be relevant for this compound.

PACS numbers: 75.10.Jm, 71.20.Be, 71.70.Ej, 78.70.Dm

Novel electronic ground states can often result from the interplay of many competing energy scales. In magnetic materials containing 4d and 5d transition metals, the combination of electronic correlations and spin-orbit coupling (SOC) can give rise to exotic topological phases [1–11]. When the transition metal ion is subject to an octahedral crystal field environment, SOC mixes the wave functions of the triply-degenerate t_{2g} electronic states and the low energy magnetic degrees of freedom are described by spin-orbital mixed Kramers doublets, termed J_{eff} states [6, 7]. One of many interesting consequences of J_{eff} states in real materials is the presence of an unusual bond-dependent exchange interaction, termed the Kitaev interaction. This bond-dependent interaction is a crucial ingredient for realizing a quantum spin liquid phase on a honeycomb lattice [1, 7, 12]. Thus far, large efforts have been directed towards studying the 5d A₂IrO₃ (A=Na or Li) compounds where IrO₆ octahedra share edges to form a honeycomb network [13–19]. The edge-sharing geometry suppresses isotropic Heisenberg interactions while Kitaev interactions are believed to be substantial [6, 7]. However, due to monoclinic and trigonal distortions, the applicability of the localized spin-orbital state picture to these compounds is still controversial [20, 21]. In light of this complication it would be extremely useful to search for a system which is free from these distortions in which to study spin-orbit driven physics.

The 4d counterpart of iridate physics can be found in Ru³⁺ (4d⁵) compounds. Even though the absolute value of SOC in 4d systems is smaller than that of 5d elements, the spin-orbital mixed state may still be realized as long as the t_{2g} levels remain degenerate in the absence of SOC [22]. α -RuCl₃ is an insulating transition metal halide with honeycomb layers composed of nearly ideal




FIG. 1: (Color online) (a) The crystal structure of α -RuCl₃, exhibiting lamellar nature of the unit cell. (b) Individual honeycomb layers are formed by edge-sharing RuCl₆ octahedra (Ru in blue, Cl in grey). (c) Detailed view of RuCl₆ octahedra showing bond angles.

edge-sharing RuCl₆ octahedra. While transport measurements have implicated α -RuCl₃ to be a conventional semiconductor [23], subsequent spectroscopic investigations suggest that it may be a Mott insulator [24]. Owing to the near ideal edge-sharing honeycomb geometry and the insulating behaviour, α -RuCl₃ is potentially an excellent candidate material in which to realize Kitaev physics. However, the microscopic origin of such an insulating state in α -RuCl₃ remains poorly understood and a systematic investigation of the role of SOC in this material has not been conducted until now.

In this letter, we show that the insulating state in α -

RuCl_3 arises from the combined effects of electronic correlations and strong SOC. In order to probe the detailed electronic structure of $\alpha\text{-RuCl}_3$, we have performed optical spectroscopy measurements. The origins of the optical gap in $\alpha\text{-RuCl}_3$ are elucidated by our band structure calculations. We find that while strong electronic correlations are necessary to describe this material SOC plays a crucial role in generating the insulating gap. Furthermore, we have performed X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) measurements which directly indicate substantial SOC of 4d electrons. Therefore, both SOC and electron correlation is required to capture the physics of $\alpha\text{-RuCl}_3$. Taken as a whole, our results indicate that $\alpha\text{-RuCl}_3$ is best described as a spin-orbit assisted Mott insulator and strong SOC effects must be considered to understand this material.

The crystal structure of $\alpha\text{-RuCl}_3$ is shown in Fig. 1. Edge sharing RuCl_6 octahedra form a honeycomb network in the a-b plane and the weakly coupled honeycomb layers are stacked along the c-direction to form a CrCl_3 type structure $P3_112$ (#151) [25]. As shown in Fig. 1(c), the Cl-Ru-Cl angles are all within 1 degree of 90° and the Ru-Cl bond lengths are within 0.3% of one another. Thus, the RuCl_6 octahedron in this compound is very close to ideal. In fact, the absence of appreciable electric quadrupole interactions from the ^{99}Ru Mössbauer spectroscopy study was interpreted to result from the highly symmetric octahedral configuration of the ligand Cl ions [26]. This structural detail is quite important since such an ideal octahedral environment will leave the t_{2g} states degenerate in the absence of SOC. In contrast, Na_2IrO_3 has an O-Ir-O bond angle of about 85° [16, 17]. Another important structural difference between Na_2IrO_3 and $\alpha\text{-RuCl}_3$ is the lack of intervening Na atoms between the honeycomb layers in the latter compound, such that $\alpha\text{-RuCl}_3$ is closer to an ideal two-dimensional system.

Single crystal samples of $\alpha\text{-RuCl}_3$ were prepared by vacuum sublimation from commercial RuCl_3 powder. The dielectric function $\hat{\epsilon}(\omega) = \epsilon_1(\omega) + i\epsilon_2(\omega)$ of RuCl_3 was measured from 0.1 to 6 eV. For the range 0.9 to 6 eV, $\hat{\epsilon}(\omega)$ was determined using a Woolam VASE ellipsometer. From 0.1 to 1.2 eV, we measured the transmittance through a thin RuCl_3 sample and extracted $\hat{\epsilon}(\omega)$ using a standard model for the transmittance of a plate sample [27]. X-ray absorption spectroscopy measurements were performed using the Soft X-ray Microcharacterization Beamline (SXRMB) at the Canadian Light Source (CLS). Measurements were carried out at the $\text{Ru } L_3$ ($2p_{3/2}$) and L_2 ($2p_{1/2}$) absorption edges, which occur at energies of 2838 and 2967 eV respectively. More details of the experimental procedure are contained in the supplementary material.

Physical properties of $\alpha\text{-RuCl}_3$ have been extensively investigated. Fletcher *et al.* reported that the magnetic susceptibility of $\alpha\text{-RuCl}_3$ shows a sharp cusp around 13-15 K, which was attributed to antiferromagnetic order-




FIG. 2: Imaginary component of the dielectric function $\epsilon_2(\omega)$ of RuCl_3 measured at 295 K. The spectrum displays three types of excitations: Transitions between t_{2g} states in region I from 0 to 1 eV, $t_{2g} \rightarrow e_g$ transitions in region II from 1 to 4 eV, and charge transfer excitations in region III from 4 to 6 eV. The peak locations and amplitudes, as well as the optical gap size, are in good agreement with the LDA+SOC+U band structure. Inset: $(\epsilon_2\omega^2)^2$ vs. photon energy in region I; the linear onset indicates a direct optical gap of 220 meV.

ing. The Curie-Weiss fit yields effective local moment of about $2.2 \mu_B$, and ferromagnetic Curie-Weiss temperature of 23-40 K [26, 28]. The effective magnetic moment is much larger than the spin only value of $1.73 \mu_B$ for the low spin ($S=1/2$) state of Ru^{3+} , indicating a significant orbital contribution to total moment. Based on these observations, it was suggested that the nearest neighbor interaction within the honeycomb plane is ferromagnetic and that these planes are weakly coupled with an antiferromagnetic interaction. However, powder neutron diffraction failed to observe magnetic Bragg peaks of (003) type, which are expected from the predicted simple magnetic structure [28]. Several spectroscopic and transport investigations have been carried out to study the electronic structure of $\alpha\text{-RuCl}_3$ [23, 24, 29, 30], but the role of SOC was not explored in detail in these earlier studies and the microscopic origin of the insulating state in $\alpha\text{-RuCl}_3$ is still not clear.

In order to better understand the insulating behavior of $\alpha\text{-RuCl}_3$, we have conducted optical spectroscopy measurements. In Fig. 2 we show the measured imaginary component of the dielectric function, $\epsilon_2(\omega)$, which reflects the absorptive component and is often used for direct comparison with band structure calculations [31]. The spectrum can be divided into three parts: I) A series of weak transitions in the range 0.1 to 1 eV, II) three stronger features located near 1.2, 2 and 3.2 eV, III) and an intense band centered near 5 eV, in agreement with previous reports [23, 29]. We assign the weak features in




FIG. 3: (Color online) (a) LDA + U + SOC band structure and density of states (DOS) of α -RuCl₃ along in plane high symmetry points of the BZ ($k_z=0$) with $U=1.5$ eV and $J_H=0.3$ eV. Top panel is obtained with SOC and the bottom panel is without the SOC. The optical transitions discussed are denoted with the arrows and labels using the same notation as in Fig. 2.

region I) to d-d excitations within the t_{2g} manifold. The low energy feature denoted α is replotted in the inset of Fig. 2. Based on a linear onset in the quantity $\epsilon_2(\omega^2)^2$, we identify an optical gap of roughly 220 meV at 295 K [31]. The small but observable spectral weight of features in region I suggests that SOC is non-negligible because transitions between the heavy d-states are parity-forbidden in the absence of SOC. In region II, $t_{2g} \rightarrow e_g$ excitations dominate the optical response, leading to the three peaks observed between 1 and 4 eV in $\epsilon_2(\omega)$. Features labelled β and γ in the optical data correspond to the minimum and maximum excitations between t_{2g} and e_g respectively, these transitions are labelled with green and blue arrows in the calculated density of states shown in Fig. 3. The substantial spectral weight of these features is consistent with transitions between t_{2g} and e_g levels. Finally, we assign the strong peak near 5 eV in region III (feature δ) to charge-transfer excitations ($5d^5 \rightarrow 5d^6\bar{L}$), where \bar{L} denotes a Cl ligand hole.

The role of electronic correlations and SOC in generating the optical spectra can be understood from our

electronic structure calculations. The band structure and total density of states (DOS) for α -RuCl₃ were obtained by performing first principles ab-initio calculations including SOC and are plotted in Fig. 3. Details of the calculation can be found in the supplementary material. In Fig. 3 (a), we show the band structure and DOS obtained with Hubbard $U = 1.5$ eV and Hund's coupling $J_H=0.3$ eV in the presence of SOC. The strength of electron correlation $U = 1.5$ eV was determined by comparing the direct charge gap of 308 meV (labeled α in the DOS panel in Fig. 3) with the measured optical gap of about 220 meV. The Hund's coupling was chosen to be about 20% of U , which is typical for 3d or 4d transition metal compounds. On the other hand, Fig. 3 (b) presents the case with the same U and J_H strengths as in Fig. 3(a), but in the absence of the SOC. For both cases, one can see clearly the t_{2g} and e_g crystal field splitting due to the octahedral environment. However, the key difference is that Fig. 3(a) shows an insulating phase with an unambiguous charge gap, while the band structure is metallic when the SOC is absent as shown in Fig. 3(b). To obtain an insulating state without SOC, a Hubbard U value greater than 2 eV is required, this in turn produces a much larger value for the charge gap which is constrained by our optical data. Therefore, a reasonable description of the insulating phase in α -RuCl₃ is only possible through the combination of SOC and electron correlation. Our optical spectroscopy measurements and electronic structure calculations thus identifies α -RuCl₃ as a spin-orbit assisted Mott insulator.

We have independently confirmed the importance of SOC in the electronic structure of α -RuCl₃ through XAS measurements. The X-ray absorption spectra obtained at both Ru L₂ and L₃ edges are shown in Fig. 4. Two peaks are observed for the L₃ edge data shown in Fig. 4(a), corresponding to exciting $2p_{3/2}$ core electron into empty t_{2g} and e_g states. The intensity ratio between these two features is related to the fact that there is only one empty t_{2g} state available for the transition compared to four empty e_g states. A quantitative description of the intensity and the peak splitting requires ligand field multiplet calculations and is beyond the scope of this letter. Here we instead focus on the different lineshapes observed near the Ru L₂ edge compared to that of the L₃ edge. In particular, the lower energy shoulder corresponding to the transition to the t_{2g} state is absent for the L₂ edge data. This lineshape difference between the L₃ and L₂ edge absorption arises from the SOC in the 4d electronic states. At the L₂ ($2p_{1/2}$) edge, the atomic dipole transition $2p_{1/2} \rightarrow 4d_{3/2}$ is allowed, while the J selection rule forbids the $2p_{1/2} \rightarrow 4d_{5/2}$ transition. This is different from the L₃ edge case, in which both $2p_{3/2} \rightarrow 4d_{3/2}$ and $2p_{3/2} \rightarrow 4d_{5/2}$ transitions are dipole allowed. The absence of the L₂ peak indicates that the empty t_{2g} state takes on $J = 5/2$ character; a result of significant SOC effects. The fact that the lineshape depends crucially on




FIG. 4: (Color online) (a) X-ray absorption near edge spectra of RuCl₃ measured at the Ru L₃ edge. The black solid line is the experimental data, and the red solid line is a fit function that includes two Lorentzian peaks associated with t_{2g} and e_g states and an arctan function describing the edge jump. (b) Same spectra showing the energy range of the Ru L₂ edge. The scale is exactly half of the one shown in (a), emphasizing the departure from statistical branching ratio of 2. (c) Comparison of the branching ratio with various Ru standard compounds, ranging from Ru²⁺ (RuCl₂), Ru³⁺ (RuI₃), to Ru⁴⁺ (RuO₂). Note that RuCl₃ (hydrate) has a structure different from α -RuCl₃ studied here.

the 4d SOC was first noted by Sham *et al.* in their study of Ru(NH₃)₆Cl₆ [32], and later confirmed quantitatively in the multiplet calculation carried out by de Groot *et al.* [33].

Another quantity often used to illustrate the strength of SOC is the so-called branching ratio, defined as the main peak (‘white line’) intensity ratio between the L₃ and L₂ absorption features. Typically, this value is about

two. However, when the d-electron SOC is significant, anomalously larger values have been observed; for example, many iridate compounds show large branching ratios [34]. If we take both peaks in the L₃ edge data into account, the branching ratio of α -RuCl₃ is also quite large: 3.0 ± 0.5 . In Fig. 4 (c), the observed branching ratios for several Ru containing compounds are compared. Clearly α -RuCl₃ exhibits an anomalously large value. Thus, both the lineshape and the branching ratio indicate that the spin-orbit coupling in α -RuCl₃ is substantial.

The perceived similarities of both the crystal and electronic structure between Na₂IrO₃ and α -RuCl₃ naturally raises questions regarding the relevance of the Kitaev model to α -RuCl₃. As mentioned earlier, Na₂IrO₃ is under intense scrutiny due to the possibility of realizing Kitaev spin liquid phase [1, 5, 7, 10, 11, 13–19, 35–37]. However, the trigonal distortion present in Na₂IrO₃ brings the atomic basis of the spin-orbit coupled $J_{\text{eff}}=1/2$ states into question [20, 21]. Furthermore, Na atoms may promote non-negligible further neighbor exchange terms additional to the nearest neighbor terms [37, 38]. In contrast, α -RuCl₃ is free from such complexity as it is close to the ideal two-dimensional honeycomb lattice. Even though the atomic SOC is weaker, the ratio of the SOC and the electronic bandwidth is only slightly smaller than in Na₂IrO₃ because both are reduced in α -RuCl₃ compared to Iridates. Indeed we find the bandwidth of α -RuCl₃ to be about half of that in Na₂IrO₃, while the SOC is smaller by a factor of ~ 3 . More detailed electronic structure calculations have found that the bands near the Fermi level in α -RuCl₃ are mostly composed of $J_{\text{eff}}=1/2$ except in the region near the Γ point [39]; this situation is similar to perovskite iridates [40, 41]. Another important difference between Na₂IrO₃ and α -RuCl₃ is the large size of Cl anions which expands the lattice; the Ru-Ru distance is about 10% larger than the Ir-Ir distance in Na₂IrO₃. As a result, the direct hopping between the Ru t_{2g} orbitals will be suppressed, and indirect hopping through Cl, which gives rise to a Kitaev interaction, is the most dominant hopping process in α -RuCl₃. Then a microscopic spin model relevant for α -RuCl₃ should be composed of both the nearest neighbor Heisenberg and bond-dependent exchange terms denoted by Kitaev K and Γ -terms [42–44].

In conclusion, we have carried out combined optical spectroscopy, electronic structure calculations, and X-ray absorption spectroscopy investigation of the role of spin-orbit coupling in α -RuCl₃. We find that both spin-orbit coupling and electron correlations are necessary to produce an electronic structure consistent with the observed optical gap of about 220 meV. In addition, the calculated electronic structure agrees with measured higher energy optical transition. Our X-ray absorption spectra clearly illustrate that the SOC of the 4d electron system in this compound is significant. Thus SOC plays an essential role in the microscopic magnetic Hamiltonian,

and α -RuCl₃ is likely to exhibit unconventional magnetic ordering arising from bond-dependent exchange interactions which could be investigated in future studies.

Research at the University of Toronto was supported by the the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC), Canada Foundation for Innovation (CFI), Onatrio Ministry of Research and Innovation, and Canada Research Chair program. Computations were performed on the gpc supercomputer at the SciNet HPC Consortium [45]. SciNet is funded by: CFI under the auspices of Compute Canada; the Government of Ontario; Ontario Research Fund - Research Excellence; and the University of Toronto. The Canadian Light Source is funded by CFI, NSERC, the National Research Council Canada, the Canadian Institutes of Health Research, the Government of Saskatchewan, Western Economic Diversification Canada, and the University of Saskatchewan.

* Electronic address: yjkim@physics.utoronto.ca

- [1] A. Kitaev, *Annals of Physics* **321**, 2 (2006).
- [2] X. Wan, A. M. Turner, A. Vishwanath, and S. Y. Savrasov, *Phys. Rev. B* **83** (2011).
- [3] Y. Okamoto, M. Nohara, H. Aruga-Katori, and H. Takagi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 137207 (2007).
- [4] M. J. Lawler, A. Paramakanti, Y. B. Kim, and L. Balents, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 197202 (2008).
- [5] A. Shitade, H. Katsura, J. Kuneš, X.-L. Qi, S.-C. Zhang, and N. Nagaosa, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 256403 (2009).
- [6] G. Jackeli and G. Khaliullin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 017205 (2009).
- [7] J. Chaloupka, G. Jackeli, and G. Khaliullin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 027204 (2010).
- [8] D. Pesin and L. Balents, *Nat. Phys.* **6**, 376 (2010).
- [9] W. Witczak-Krempa and Y. B. Kim, *Phys. Rev. B* **85** (2012).
- [10] J. Reuther, R. Thomale, and S. Trebst, *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 100406 (2011).
- [11] F. Trouselet, G. Khaliullin, and P. Horsch, *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 054409 (2011).
- [12] M. K. Crawford, M. A. Subramanian, R. L. Harlow, J. A. Fernandez-Baca, Z. R. Wang, and D. C. Johnston, *Phys. Rev. B* **49**, 9198 (1994).
- [13] Y. Singh and P. Gegenwart, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 064412 (2010).
- [14] X. Liu, T. Berlijn, W.-G. Yin, W. Ku, A. Tsvelik, Y.-J. Kim, H. Gretarsson, Y. Singh, P. Gegenwart, and J. P. Hill, *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 220403 (2011).
- [15] Y. Singh, S. Manni, J. Reuther, T. Berlijn, R. Thomale, W. Ku, S. Trebst, and P. Gegenwart, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 127203 (2012).
- [16] S. K. Choi, R. Coldea, A. N. Kolmogorov, T. Lancaster, I. I. Mazin, S. J. Blundell, P. G. Radaelli, Y. Singh, P. Gegenwart, K. R. Choi, et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 127204 (2012).
- [17] F. Ye, S. Chi, H. Cao, B. C. Chakoumakos, J. A. Fernandez-Baca, R. Custelcean, T. F. Qi, O. B. Korneta, and G. Cao, *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 180403 (2012).
- [18] R. Comin, G. Levy, B. Ludbrook, Z.-H. Zhu, C. N. Veenstra, J. A. Rosen, Y. Singh, P. Gegenwart, D. Stricker, J. N. Hancock, et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 266406 (2012).
- [19] H. Gretarsson, J. P. Clancy, X. Liu, J. P. Hill, E. Bozin, Y. Singh, S. Manni, P. Gegenwart, J. Kim, A. H. Said, et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 076402 (2013).
- [20] I. I. Mazin, H. O. Jeschke, K. Foyevtsova, R. Valentí, and D. I. Khomskii, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 197201 (2012).
- [21] K. Foyevtsova, H. O. Jeschke, I. I. Mazin, D. I. Khomskii, and R. Valenti, e-print arXiv:1301.4267v1 (2013).
- [22] G. Chen, R. Pereira, and L. Balents, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 174440 (2010).
- [23] L. Binotto, I. Pollini, and G. Spinolo, *Phys. Stat. Sol. (B)* **44**, 245 (1971).
- [24] I. Pollini, *Phys. Rev. B* **53**, 12769 (1996).
- [25] E. V. Stroganov and K. V. Ovchinnikov, *Ser. Fiz. i Khim.* **12**, 152 (1957).
- [26] Y. Kobayashi, T. Okada, K. Asai, M. Katada, H. Sano, and F. Ambe, *Inor. Chem.* **31**, 4570 (1992).
- [27] A. Kuzmenko, *Reffit: Software to fit optical spectra* (<http://optics.unige.ch/alexey/refit.html>, 2014).
- [28] J. M. Fletcher, W. E. Gardner, A. C. Fox, and G. Topping, *J. Chem. Soc. (A)* p. 1038 (1967).
- [29] G. Guizzetti, E. Reguzzoni, and I. Pollini, *Phys. Lett.* **70A**, 34 (1979).
- [30] S. Rojas and G. Spinolo, *Solid State Commun.* **48**, 349 (1983).
- [31] P. YU and M. Cardona, *Fundamentals of Semiconductors: Physics and Materials Properties*, Graduate Texts in Physics (Springer, 2010).
- [32] T. K. Sham, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **105**, 2269 (1983).
- [33] F. M. F. de Groot, Z. W. Hu, M. F. Lopez, G. Kaindl, F. Guillot, and M. Tronc, *J. Chem. Phys.* **101**, 6570 (1994).
- [34] J. P. Clancy, N. Chen, C. Y. Kim, W. F. Chen, K. W. Plumb, B. C. Jeon, T. W. Noh, and Y.-J. Kim, *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 195131 (2012).
- [35] S. Bhattacharjee, S.-S. Lee, and Y. B. Kim, *New J. Phys.* **14**, 073015 (2012).
- [36] X. Liu, V. M. Katukuri, L. Hozoi, W.-G. Yin, M. P. M. Dean, M. H. Upton, J. Kim, D. Casa, A. Said, T. Gog, et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 157401 (2012).
- [37] J. Chaloupka, G. Jackeli, and G. Khaliullin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 097204 (2013).
- [38] I. Kimchi and Y.-Z. You, *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 180407 (2011).
- [39] V. Shankar V. et al., Private Communication (2014).
- [40] J.-M. Carter and H.-Y. Kee, *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 014433 (2013).
- [41] J.-M. Carter, V. Shankar V., and H.-Y. Kee, *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 035111 (2013).
- [42] J. G. Rau, E. K.-H. Lee, and H.-Y. Kee, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 077204 (2014).
- [43] Y. Yamaji, Y. Nomura, M. Kurita, R. Arita, and M. Imada, e-print arXiv:1402.1030 (2014).
- [44] V. M. Katukuri, S. Nishimoto, V. Yushankhai, A. Stoyanova, H. Kandpal, S. Choi, R. Coldea, I. Rousochatzakis, L. Hozoi, and J. van den Brink, *New Journal of Physics* **16**, 013056 (2014).
- [45] C. Loken, D. Gruner, L. Groer, R. Peltier, N. Bunn, M. Craig, T. Henriques, J. Dempsey, C.-H. Yu, J. Chen, et al., in *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (IOP Publishing, 2010), vol. 256, p. 012026.