

Factoring Differential Operators in n Variables

Mark Giesbrecht
David R. Cheriton School of
Computer Science
University of Waterloo
200 University Avenue West
Waterloo, Ontario, Canada
mwg@uwaterloo.ca

Albert Heinle
David R. Cheriton School of
Computer Science
University of Waterloo
200 University Avenue West
Waterloo, Ontario, Canada
aheinle@uwaterloo.ca

Viktor Levandovskyy
Lehrstuhl D für Mathematik,
RWTH Aachen University
Templergraben 64
Aachen, Germany
viktor.levandovskyy@math.rwth-
aachen.de

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we present a new algorithm and an experimental implementation for factoring elements in the polynomial n th Weyl algebra, the polynomial n th shift algebra, and \mathbb{Z}^n -graded polynomials in the n th q -Weyl algebra.

The most unexpected result is that this noncommutative problem of factoring partial differential operators can be approached effectively by reducing it to the problem of solving systems of polynomial equations over a commutative ring. In the case where a given polynomial is \mathbb{Z}^n -graded, we can reduce the problem completely to factoring an element in a commutative multivariate polynomial ring.

The implementation in SINGULAR is effective on a broad range of polynomials and increases the ability of computer algebra systems to address this important problem. We compare the performance and output of our algorithm with other implementations in commodity computer algebra systems on nontrivial examples.

Categories and Subject Descriptors

G.4 [Mathematical Software]: Algorithm design and analysis; I.1.2 [Symbolic and Algebraic Manipulation]: Algorithms—Factorization

General Terms

Algorithms, Design, Theory

Keywords

Factorization, linear partial differential operator, non-commutative algebra, Singular, algebra of operators, Weyl algebra

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we present a new method and an implementation for factoring elements in the n th polynomial Weyl algebra A_n and the n th polynomial shift algebra. An adaptations of these ideas can also be applied to classes of polynomials in the n th q -Weyl algebra, which is also outlined here.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, to publish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee.

Copyright 20XX ACM X-XXXXX-XX-X/XX/XX ...\$15.00.

There are numerous important applications for this method, notably since one can view those rings as operator algebras. For example, given an element $L \in A_n$ and viewing L as a differential operator, one can derive properties of its solution spaces. Especially concerning the problem of finding the solution to the differential equation associated with L , the preconditioning step of factoring L can help to reduce the complexity of that problem in advance.

The new technique heavily uses the nontrivial \mathbb{Z}^n -grading on A_n and, to the best of our knowledge, has no analogues in the literature on factorizations for $n \geq 2$. However, for $n = 1$ it is the same grading that lies behind the Kashiwara-Malgrange V -filtration ([16] and [21]), which is a very important tool in the D -module theory. Van Hoeij also made use of this technique in [30] to factorize elements in the first Weyl algebra with power series coefficients. Notably, for $n \geq 2$, the \mathbb{Z}^n -grading we propose is very different from the mentioned \mathbb{Z} -grading. Among others, a recent result from [4] states that the Gel'fand-Kirillov dimension [11] of the 0th graded part of \mathbb{Z} -grading of A_n is in fact $2n - 1$. The Gel'fand-Kirillov dimension of the whole ring A_n is, for comparison, $2n$. The 0 th graded part of the \mathbb{Z}^n -grading we propose has Gel'fand-Kirillov dimension n .

DEFINITION 1. *Let A be an algebra over a field \mathbb{K} and $f \in A \setminus \mathbb{K}$ be a polynomial. A **nontrivial factorization** of f is a tuple (c, f_1, \dots, f_m) , where $c \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$, $f_1, \dots, f_m \in A \setminus \{1\}$ are monic polynomials and $f = c \cdot f_1 \cdots f_m$.*

In general, we identify two problems in noncommutative factorization for a given polynomial f : (i) finding one factorization of f , and (ii) finding all possible factorizations of f . Item (ii) is interesting since factorizations in noncommutative rings are not unique in the classical sense (i.e., up to multiplication by a unit), and regarding the problem of solving the associated differential equation one factorization might be more useful than another. We show how to approach both problems here.

A number of papers and implementations have been published in the field of factorization in operator algebras over the past few decades. Most of them concentrated on linear differential operators with rational coefficients. Tsarev has studied the form, number and properties of the factors of a differential operator in [26] and [27], which extends the papers [19] and [20]. A very general approach to noncommutative algebras and their properties, including factorization, is also done in the book by Bueso et al. in [8]. The authors provide several algorithms and introduce various points of views when dealing with noncommutative polynomial algebras.

In his dissertation van Hoeij [28] developed an algorithm

to factorize a univariate differential operator. Several papers following that dissertation extend these techniques [29, 30, 31], and this algorithm is implemented in the `DETools` package of MAPLE [23] as the standard algorithm for factorization of these operators.

In the REDUCE-base computer algebra system ALLTYPES, Schwarz and Grigoriev [25] have implemented the algorithm for factoring differential operators they introduced in [12]. As far as we know, this implementation is solely accessible as a web service. Beals and Kartashova [6] consider the problem of factoring polynomials in the second Weyl algebra, where they are able to deduce parametric factors.

For special classes of polynomials in operator algebras, Foupouagnigni et al. [10] show some unexpected results about factorizations of fourth-order differential equations satisfied by certain Laguerre-Hahn orthogonal polynomials.

From a more algebraic point of view, and dealing only with strictly polynomial noncommutative algebras, Melenk and Apel [22] developed a package for the computer algebra system REDUCE. That package provides tools to deal with noncommutative polynomial algebras and also contains a factorization algorithm for the supported algebras. It is, moreover, the only tool besides our implementation in SINGULAR [9] that is capable of factoring in operator algebras with more than one variable. Unfortunately, there are no further publications about how the implementation works besides the available code.

The above mentioned algorithms and implementations are very well written and they are able to factorize a large number of polynomials. Nonetheless, as pointed out in [13, 14], there exists a large class of polynomials, even in the first Weyl algebra, that seem to form the worst case for those algorithms. This class is namely the graded (or homogeneous) polynomials in the sense of the \mathbb{Z}^n -graded structure on the n th Weyl algebra. Using our techniques, we are able to obtain a factorization very quickly utilizing commutative factorization and some combinatorics. Those techniques are discussed for the first (q -)Weyl algebra in detail in [14].

Factorization of a general non-graded polynomial is much more involved. The main idea lies in inspecting the highest and the lowest graded summands of the polynomial to factorize. Any factorization corresponds respectively to the highest or the lowest graded summands of the factors. Since the graded factorization appears to be easy, we are able to factorize those summands and obtain finitely many candidates for highest and lowest summands of the factors. Obtaining the rest of the graded summands is the subject consider in this paper.

An implementation dealing with the first Weyl algebra, the first shift algebra, and graded polynomials in the first q -Weyl algebra, was created by Heinle and Levandovskyy within the computer algebra system SINGULAR [9]. For the latter algebra, the implementation in SINGULAR is the only one available that deals with q -Weyl algebras, to the knowledge of the authors. The code has been distributed since version 3-1-3 of SINGULAR inside the library `ncfactor.lib`, and received a major update in version 3-1-6.

The new approach described in this paper will soon be available in an upcoming release of SINGULAR. There are new functions for factoring polynomials in the n th polynomial Weyl algebra, homogeneous polynomials in the n th polynomial q -Weyl algebra and the n th polynomial shift algebra.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. The rest of this section is dedicated to providing basic notions, definitions and results that are needed to describe our approach. Most of the results are well-known, but have not been used for factorization until now.

Section 2 contains a methodology to deal with the factorization problem for graded polynomials, while in Section 3 we utilize this methodology to factorize arbitrary polynomials in the n th Weyl algebra. In Section 5 we evaluate our experimental implementation on several examples in Section 4 and compare the results to other commodity computer algebra systems.

1.1 Basic Notions and Definitions

By \mathbb{K} we always denote a field of characteristic zero (though some of the statements also hold for some finite fields). For notational convenience we write \underline{n} for $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\underline{\theta}$ for $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ throughout.

DEFINITION 2. *The n th q -Weyl algebra Q_n is defined as*

$$Q_n := \mathbb{K} \langle x_1, \dots, x_n, \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n \mid \text{for } (i, j) \in \underline{n} \times \underline{n} :$$

$$\partial_i x_j = \begin{cases} x_j \partial_i, & \text{if } i \neq j \\ q_i x_j \partial_i + 1, & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}, \partial_i \partial_j - \partial_j \partial_i = x_i x_j - x_j x_i = 0 \rangle,$$

where q_1, \dots, q_n are units in \mathbb{K} . For the special case where $q_1 = \dots = q_n = 1$ we have the n th **Weyl algebra**, which is denoted by A_n . For notational convenience, we write $\underline{X}^e \underline{D}^w := x_1^{e_1} \dots x_n^{e_n} \partial_1^{w_1} \dots \partial_n^{w_n}$ for every monomial, where $e, w \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$.

DEFINITION 3. *The n th shift algebra S_n is defined as*

$$S_n := \mathbb{K} \langle x_1, \dots, x_n, s_1, \dots, s_n \mid \text{for } (i, j) \in \underline{n} \times \underline{n} :$$

$$s_i x_j = \begin{cases} x_j s_i, & \text{if } i \neq j \\ (x_j + 1) s_i, & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}, s_i s_j - s_j s_i = x_i x_j - x_j x_i = 0 \rangle.$$

For notational convenience, we write as above $\underline{X}^e \underline{S}^w := x_1^{e_1} \dots x_n^{e_n} s_1^{w_1} \dots s_n^{w_n}$, where $e, w \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$.

REMARK 1. *Throughout this paper we view \mathbb{Z}^n , equipped with the coordinate-wise addition, as an ordered monoid with respect to a total ordering $<$, compatible with addition and satisfying the following property: for any $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, such that $z_2 < z_1$, the set $\{w \in \mathbb{Z}^n, z_2 < w < z_1\}$ is finite.*

The n th (q -)Weyl algebra possesses a nontrivial \mathbb{Z}^n -grading using the weight vector $[-w, w]$ for a $\underline{0} \neq w \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ on the elements $x_1, \dots, x_n, \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$. For simplicity, we choose $w := [1, \dots, 1]$. In what follows, \deg denotes the degree induced by this weight vector, that is $\deg(\underline{X}^a \underline{D}^b) := [b_1 - a_1, \dots, b_n - a_n]$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$. Note, that a \mathbb{Z} -grading, arising from V -filtration [16, 21] prescribes to $\underline{X}^a \underline{D}^b$ the grade $\sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We call a polynomial **homogeneous** or **graded**, if every summand is weighted homogeneous with respect to the weight vector $[-w, w]$ as above.

EXAMPLE 1. *In the second Weyl algebra A_2 one has*

$$\deg(x_1 x_2 \partial_1 \partial_2) = \deg((\partial_1 x_1 + 1) x_2 \partial_2) = [0, 0].$$

The polynomial $x_1 \partial_1^2 x_2 + x_1^4 \partial_1^3 x_2 + \partial_1 x_2$ is homogeneous of degree $[1, -1]$. The monomials $x_1 \partial_2$, resp. $x_2 \partial_1$, have degrees $[-1, 1]$, resp. $[1, -1]$, hence their sum is not homogeneous.

Note that the so-called **Euler operators** $\theta_i := x_i \partial_i$, $i \in \underline{n}$ have degree $\underline{0}$ for all i , and thus play an important role, as we shall soon see.

First, we study some commutation rules the Euler operator θ_i has with x_i and ∂_i . For Q_n , in order to abbreviate the size of our formulae, we introduce the so-called q -bracket.

DEFINITION 4. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$, the q -bracket of n is defined to be $[n]_q := \frac{1-q^n}{1-q} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} q^i$.

LEMMA 1 (COMPARE WITH [24]). In A_n , the following commutation rules hold for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in \underline{n}$:

$$\theta_i x_i^m = x_i^m (\theta_i + m), \quad \theta_i \partial_i^m = \partial_i^m (\theta_i - m).$$

More generally, in Q_n , the following commutation rules hold for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in \underline{n}$:

$$\theta_i x_i^m = x_i^m (q_i^m \theta_i + [m]_{q_i}), \quad \theta_i \partial_i^m = \frac{\partial_i^m}{q_i} \left(\frac{\theta_i - 1}{q_i^{m-1}} - \frac{q_i^{-m+2} - q_i}{1 - q_i} \right).$$

The commutation rules described in Lemma 1 can, of course, be extended to arbitrary polynomials in the θ_i , $i \in \underline{n}$.

COROLLARY 1. Consider $f(\underline{\theta}) \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{\theta}]$. Then, in A_n we have $f(\underline{\theta}) \underline{X}^e = \underline{X}^e f(\theta_1 + e_1, \dots, \theta_n + e_n)$, and $f(\underline{\theta}) \underline{D}^e = \underline{D}^e f(\theta_1 - e_1, \dots, \theta_n - e_n)$. Analogous identities with the respective commutation rules as given in Lemma 1 hold for Q_n .

2. FACTORING GRADED POLYNOMIALS

For graded polynomials, the main idea of our factorization technique lies in the reduction to a commutative univariate polynomial subring of A_n , respectively Q_n , namely $\mathbb{K}[\underline{\theta}]$. Actually, it appears that this subring is quite large in the sense of reducibility of its elements in A_n (resp. Q_n).

Due to the commutativity of x_i with ∂_j , for $i \neq j$, we can write $\underline{X}^a \underline{D}^b = x_1^{a_1} \dots x_n^{a_n} \cdot \partial_1^{b_1} \dots \partial_n^{b_n} = x_1^{a_1} \cdot \partial_1^{b_1} \dots x_n^{a_n} \cdot \partial_n^{b_n}$ for any $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$. By definition, a monomial $\underline{X}^a \underline{D}^b$ has degree $\underline{0} := [0, \dots, 0]$ if and only if $a = b$. The following lemma shows, how we can rewrite every homogeneous polynomial of degree $\underline{0}$ in A_n (resp. Q_n) as a polynomial in $\mathbb{K}[\underline{\theta}]$.

LEMMA 2 (COMPARE WITH [24], LEMMA 1.3.1). In A_n , we have the identity $x_i^m \partial_i^m = \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (\theta_i - j)$, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in \underline{n}$. In Q_n , one can rewrite $x_i^m \partial_i^m$ as element in $\mathbb{K}[\underline{\theta}]$ and it is equal to $\frac{1}{q_i^{m-1}} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (\theta_i - [j]_{q_i})$, where T_j denotes the j th triangular number, i.e., $T_j := j(j+1)/2$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

COROLLARY 2. The $\underline{0}$ th graded part of Q_n , respectively A_n , is $\mathbb{K}[\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n]$.

Recall, that the z th graded part for $z \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ of Q_n , resp. A_n , is defined to be the \mathbb{K} -vector space:

$$Q_n^{(z)} := \mathbb{K}\{\underline{X}^{n_1} \underline{D}^{n_2} : n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, n_2 - n_1 = z\},$$

i.e., the degree of a monomial is determined by the difference of its powers in the x_i and the ∂_i . Moreover, since in a grading $Q_n^{(z_1)} \cdot Q_n^{(z_2)} \subseteq Q_n^{(z_1+z_2)}$ holds for all $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $Q_n^{(z)}$ is naturally a $Q_n^{(\underline{0})}$ -module.

PROPOSITION 1. For $z \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{\underline{0}\}$, the z th graded part $Q_n^{(z)}$, resp. $A_n^{(z)}$, is a cyclic $\mathbb{K}[\underline{\theta}]$ -bimodule, generated by the element $\underline{X}^{e(z)} \underline{D}^{w(z)}$, exponent vectors of which read for $i \in \underline{n}$ as follows:

$$e_i(z) := \begin{cases} -z_i, & \text{if } z_i < 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad w_i(z) := \begin{cases} z_i, & \text{if } z_i > 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

PROOF. A polynomial $p \in Q_n^{(z)}$ resp. $p \in A_n^{(z)}$ is homogeneous of degree $z \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ if and only if every monomial of p is of the form $\underline{X}^{\bar{k}+e(z)} \underline{D}^{\bar{k}+w(z)}$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\bar{k} := [k, \dots, k]$. By doing a rewriting, similar to the above, we obtain $\underline{X}^{\bar{k}+e(z)} \underline{D}^{\bar{k}+w(z)} = \underline{X}^{e(z)} \underline{X}^{\bar{k}} \underline{D}^{\bar{k}} \underline{D}^{w(z)} = \underline{X}^{e(z)} f_k(\underline{\theta}) \underline{D}^{w(z)}$, where $f_k(\underline{\theta})$ is computed utilizing Lemma 2. Moreover, by Corollary 1, we conclude that $\underline{X}^{e(z)} f_k(\underline{\theta}) \underline{D}^{w(z)} = f_k(\theta_1 - e_1(z), \dots, \theta_n - e_n(z)) \underline{X}^{e(z)} \underline{D}^{w(z)}$ or, equivalently, $\underline{X}^{e(z)} \underline{D}^{w(z)} f_k(\theta_1 + w_1(z), \dots, \theta_n + w_n(z))$, showing the cyclic bimodule property. \square

Therefore, the factorization of a homogeneous polynomial of degree zero can be done by rewriting the polynomial as an element in $\mathbb{K}[\underline{\theta}]$ and applying a commutative factorization on the polynomial, a much-better-understood problem which is also well implemented in every computer algebra system.

Of course, this would not be a complete factorization, as there are still elements irreducible in $\mathbb{K}[\underline{\theta}]$ which are reducible in Q_n , resp. A_n . An obvious example are the θ_i themselves. Fortunately, there are only $2n$ monic polynomials irreducible in $\mathbb{K}[\underline{\theta}]$ that are reducible in A_n , resp. Q_n , and these are of quite a special form. This extends the proof for A_1 and Q_1 presented in [14].

LEMMA 3. Let $i \in \underline{n}$. The polynomials θ_i and $\theta_i + \frac{1}{q_i}$ are the only irreducible monic elements in $\mathbb{K}[\underline{\theta}]$ that are reducible in Q_n . Respectively, θ_i and $\theta_i + 1$ are the only irreducible monic polynomials in $\mathbb{K}[\underline{\theta}]$ that are reducible in A_n .

PROOF. We only consider the proof for A_n , as the proof for Q_n is done in an analogous way. Let $f \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{\theta}]$ be a monic polynomial. Assume that it is irreducible in $\mathbb{K}[\underline{\theta}]$, but reducible in A_n . Let φ, ψ be elements in A_n with $\varphi\psi = f$. Then φ and ψ are homogeneous and $\varphi \in A_n^{(-z)}$, $\psi \in A_n^{(z)}$ for a $z \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Let $[e, w] := [e(z), w(z)]$ be as in Proposition 1. Note, that then $w(-z) = e(z) = e$ and $e(-z) = w(z) = w$ holds. That is, $A_n^{(z)} = \mathbb{K}[\underline{\theta}] \underline{X}^e \underline{D}^w$ whereas $A_n^{(-z)} = \mathbb{K}[\underline{\theta}] \underline{X}^w \underline{D}^e$. Then for $\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\psi} \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{\theta}]$, we have $\varphi = \tilde{\varphi}(\underline{\theta}) \underline{X}^e \underline{D}^w$ and $\psi = \tilde{\psi}(\underline{\theta}) \underline{X}^w \underline{D}^e$. Using Corollary 1, we obtain $f = \tilde{\varphi}(\underline{\theta}) \underline{X}^e \underline{D}^w \tilde{\psi}(\underline{\theta}) \underline{X}^w \underline{D}^e = \tilde{\varphi}(\underline{\theta}) \underline{X}^e \underline{D}^w \underline{X}^w \underline{D}^e \tilde{\psi}(\underline{\theta} + w - e)$, where, by Lemma 2, $\underline{X}^e \underline{D}^w \underline{X}^w \underline{D}^e = g(\underline{\theta}) \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{\theta}]$. Since vectors e and w have disjoint support and $e+w = [|z_1|, \dots, |z_n|]$, g is irreducible by Lemma 2 only if there is at most one nonzero z_i . If $z = \underline{0}$, then $e = w = 0$, hence $g = 1$ and $\varphi, \psi \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{\theta}]$. Since f has been assumed to be monic irreducible in $\mathbb{K}[\underline{\theta}]$, one φ and ψ give us a trivial factorization.

Now, suppose that there exists exactly one i such that $z_i > 0$. Then $e(z) = 0$ and $w(z) = z$ is zero on all but i th place. By the irreducibility assumption on $f \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{\theta}]$ we must have $\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\psi} \in \mathbb{K}$; since f is monic, we must also have $\tilde{\varphi} = \tilde{\psi}^{-1}$. By Lemma 2 we obtain $z_i = 1$. As a result, the only possible f in this case is $f = \theta_i + 1$. For analogous reasons for the case when $z_i < 0$, we conclude, that the only possible f in that case is $f = \theta_i$. \square

The result in Lemma 3 provides us with an easy way to factor a homogeneous polynomial $p \in A_n$, resp. $p \in Q_n$, of degree $\underline{0}$. Obtaining one possible factorization into irreducible polynomials can be done using the following steps:

1. Rewrite p as an element in $\mathbb{K}[\underline{\theta}]$;
2. Factorize this resulting element in $\mathbb{K}[\underline{\theta}]$ with commutative methods;
3. If there is θ_i or $\theta_i + 1$ for $i \in \underline{n}$ among the factors, rewrite it as $x_i \cdot \partial_i$ resp. $\partial_i \cdot x_i$.

As mentioned earlier, the factorization of a polynomial in a noncommutative ring is unique up to a weak similarity [8]. This notion is much more involved than the similarity up to multiplication by units or up to interchanging factors, as in the commutative case. Indeed, several different nontrivial factorizations can occur. Fortunately, in the case of the polynomial first (q -)Weyl algebra, there are only finitely many different nontrivial factorizations possible due to [27]. In order to obtain all these different factorizations, one can apply the commutation rules for x_i and ∂_i with θ_i for $i \in \underline{n}$. That these are all possible factorizations up to multiplication by units can be seen using an analogue approach as in the proof of Lemma 3. Consider the following example.

EXAMPLE 2. Let $p := x_1^2 x_2 \partial_1^2 \partial_2 + 2x_1 x_2 \partial_1 \partial_2 + x_1 \partial_1 + 1 \in A_2$. The polynomial p is homogeneous of degree $\underline{0}$, and hence belongs to $\mathbb{K}[\underline{\theta}]$ as $\theta_1(\theta_1 - 1)\theta_2 + 2\theta_1\theta_2 + \theta_1 + 1$. This polynomial factorizes in $\mathbb{K}[\underline{\theta}]$ into $(\theta_1\theta_2 + 1)(\theta_1 + 1)$. Since $\theta_1 + 1$ factorizes as $\partial_1 \cdot x_1$, we obtain the following possible different nontrivial factorizations:

$(\theta_1\theta_2 + 1) \cdot \partial_1 \cdot x_1 = \partial_1 \cdot ((\theta_1 - 1)\theta_2 + 1) \cdot x_1 = \partial_1 \cdot x_1 \cdot (\theta_1\theta_2 + 1)$. Note that $x_1\partial_1 + 1$ is not irreducible, since it factorizes nontrivially as $\partial_1 \cdot x_1$.

Now we consider the factorization of homogeneous polynomials of arbitrary degree $z \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Fortunately, the hard work is already done in Proposition 1. Indeed, one factorization of a homogeneous polynomial $p \in Q_n^{(z)}$, resp. $p \in A_n^{(z)}$, of degree $z \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ can be obtained using the following steps.

1. Write $p(\underline{X}, \underline{D})$ as $\tilde{p}(\underline{\theta}) \underline{X}^e \underline{D}^w$, where $\tilde{p} \in A_n^{(\underline{0})} = \mathbb{K}[\underline{\theta}]$ and e, w are constructed according to Proposition 1.
2. Factorize \tilde{p} using the technique described for polynomials of degree $\underline{0}$. Append to such a factorization the natural expansion of the monomial $\underline{X}^e \underline{D}^w$ into the product of occurring single variables.

This leads to one nontrivial factorization. A characterization of how to obtain all factorizations is given provided by the following lemma.

LEMMA 4. Let $z \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $p \in A_n^{(z)}$, resp. $p \in Q_n^{(z)}$, is monic. Suppose, that one factorization has been constructed as above and has the form $Q(\underline{\theta}) \cdot T(\underline{\theta}) \cdot \underline{X}^e \underline{D}^w$, where $T(\underline{\theta}) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i \partial_i)^{t_i} (\partial_j x_j)^{s_j}$ is a product of irreducible factors in $\mathbb{K}[\underline{\theta}]$, which are reducible in A_n , resp. Q_n , and $Q(\underline{\theta})$ is the product of irreducible factors in both $\mathbb{K}[\underline{\theta}]$ and A_n , resp. Q_n . Let $p_1 \cdots p_m$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be another nontrivial factorization of p . Then this factorization can be derived from $Q(\underline{\theta}) \cdot T(\underline{\theta}) \cdot \underline{X}^e \underline{D}^w$ by using two operations, namely (i) “swapping”, that is interchanging two adjacent factors according to the commutation rules and (ii) “rewriting” of occurring θ_i resp. $\theta_i + 1$ ($\theta_i + \frac{1}{q}$ in the q -Weyl case) by $x_i \cdot \partial_i$ resp. $\partial_i \cdot x_i$.

PROOF. Since p is homogeneous, all p_i for $i \in \underline{m}$ are homogeneous, thus each of them can be written in the form $p_i = \tilde{p}_i(\underline{\theta}) \cdot \underline{X}^{e^{(i)}} \underline{D}^{w^{(i)}}$, where $e^{(i)}, w^{(i)} \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$. With respect to the commutation rules as stated in Corollary 1, we can swap the $\tilde{p}_i(\underline{\theta})$ to the left for any $2 \leq i \leq m$. Note that it is possible for them to be transformed to the form θ_j resp. $\theta_j + 1$ ($\theta_j + \frac{1}{q}$ in the q -Weyl case), $j \in \underline{n}$, after performing these swapping steps. I.e., we have commuting factors, both belonging to $Q(\underline{\theta})$, as well as to $T(\underline{\theta})$ at the left. Our resulting product is thus $\tilde{Q}(\underline{\theta}) \tilde{T}(\underline{\theta}) \prod_{j=1}^m \underline{X}^{e^{(j)}} \underline{D}^{w^{(j)}}$, where the factors in $\tilde{Q}(\underline{\theta})$, resp. $\tilde{T}(\underline{\theta})$, contain a subset of the factors of $Q(\underline{\theta})$ resp. $T(\underline{\theta})$. By our assumption of p having degree z , we are able to swap $\underline{X}^e \underline{D}^w$ to the right in

$F := \prod_{j=1}^m \underline{X}^{e^{(j)}} \underline{D}^{w^{(j)}}$, i.e., $F = \tilde{F} \underline{X}^e \underline{D}^w$ for $\tilde{F} \in A_n^{(\underline{0})}$. This step may involve combining some x_j and ∂_j to θ_j resp. $\theta_j + 1$, $j \in \underline{n}$. Afterwards, this is also done to the remaining factors in \tilde{F} that are not yet polynomials in $\mathbb{K}[\underline{\theta}]$ using the swapping operation. These polynomials are the remaining factors that belong to $Q(\underline{\theta})$, resp. $T(\underline{\theta})$, and can be swapped commutatively to their respective positions. Since reverse engineering of those steps is possible, we can derive the factorization $p_1 \cdots p_m$ from $Q(\underline{\theta}) \cdot T(\underline{\theta}) \cdot \underline{X}^e \underline{D}^w$ as claimed. \square

Summarizing, we are now able to effectively factor graded polynomials in the n th Weyl and q -Weyl algebra. All different factorizations are obtainable using our technique.

REMARK 2. A reader might ask what are the merits of our “graded-driven” approach as opposed to a somewhat more direct approach to factorization using leading monomials. Since, for monomials $m, m' \in A_n$, one has $\text{lexp}(m \cdot m') = \text{lexp}(m) + \text{lexp}(m')$, indeed $h = p \cdot q$ implies $\text{lexp}(p) + \text{lexp}(q) = \text{lexp}(h)$. Thus by considering, say, degree lexicographic ordering on A_n , the set $C_h := \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}^n \times \mathbb{N}^n : a, b \neq \underline{0}, a + b = \text{lexp}(h)\}$ contains all possible pairs of leading monomials of p and q . Then, since with respect to the chosen ordering, for any monomials there are only finitely many smaller monomials, one can make an ansatz with unknown coefficients for p and q . Each $(a, b) \in C_h$ leads to a system of nonlinear polynomial equations in finitely many variables.

We compare this “leading monomial” approach with our “graded-driven” one. At first, the factorization of a \mathbb{Z}^n -graded polynomial, which is very easy to accomplish with our approach, requires solving of several systems within the leading monomial approach. Second, the number of all elements in the set C_h above is significantly bigger than the number of factorizations of the highest graded part of a polynomial, say $\tilde{p}(\underline{\theta}) \underline{X}^e \underline{D}^w$: suppose that $\tilde{p}(\underline{\theta})$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{K}[\underline{\theta}]$. Then factorization with the “graded-driven” approach are obtained via moving x , resp. ∂ , past $\tilde{p}(\underline{\theta})$ to the left. Thus the number of such factorizations is much smaller than the number of ways of writing the exponent vector of $\text{lm}(\tilde{p}(\underline{\theta}) \underline{X}^e \underline{D}^w) = \underline{\theta}^\alpha \underline{X}^e \underline{D}^w$ as a sum of two exponent vectors.

In the next section, we show how the developed technique helps us to tackle the factorization problem for arbitrary polynomials in A_n .

3. FACTORING ARBITRARY POLYNOMIALS

3.1 Preliminaries

The techniques described in this section solve the factorization problem in A_n . A generalization for Q_n is more involved and the subject of ongoing research.

We begin by fixing some notation used throughout this section. From now on, let “ $<$ ” be an ordering on \mathbb{Z}^n satisfying the conditions of Remark 1. Let $h \in A_n$ be the polynomial we want to factorize. As we are deducing information from the graded summands of h , let furthermore $M := \{z^{(1)}, \dots, z^{(m)}\}$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z^{(1)} > \dots > z^{(m)}$, be a finite subset of \mathbb{Z}^n containing the degrees of those graded summands. Hence, h can be written in the form $h = \sum_{z \in M} h_z \in A_n$, where $h_z \in A_n^{(z)}$ for $z \in M$. Let us assume that h possesses a nontrivial factorization of at least two factors, which are not necessary irreducible. Moreover,

we assume that $m > 1$, which means that h is not graded, since we have dealt with graded polynomials in A_n already. Let us denote the factors by

$$h = \sum_{z \in M} h_z := \underbrace{(p_{\eta_1} + \dots + p_{\eta_k})}_{:=p} \underbrace{(q_{\mu_1} + \dots + q_{\mu_l})}_{:=q}, \quad (1)$$

where $\eta_1 > \eta_2 > \dots > \eta_k$ and $\mu_1 > \mu_2 > \dots > \mu_l \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $p_{\eta_i} \in A_n^{(\eta_i)}$ for all $i \in \underline{k}$, $q_{\mu_j} \in A_n^{(\mu_j)}$ for all $j \in \underline{l}$. We assume that p and q are not graded, since we could easily obtain those factors by simply comparing all factorizations of the graded summands in h . In general, while trying to find a factorization of h , we assume that the values of k and l are not known to us beforehand. We will soon see how we can obtain them. One can easily see that $h_{z^{(1)}} = p_{\eta_1} q_{\mu_1}$ and $h_{z^{(m)}} = p_{\eta_k} q_{\mu_l}$, as the degree-wise biggest summand of h can only be combined by multiplication of the highest summands of p and q ; analogously this holds for the degree-wise lowest summand.

A finite set of candidates for $p_{\eta_1}, q_{\mu_1}, p_{\eta_k}$ and q_{μ_l} can be obtained by factoring $h_{z^{(1)}}$ and $h_{z^{(m)}}$ using the technique described in the previous section. Since the set of candidates is finite, we can assume that the correct representatives for $p_{\eta_1}, q_{\mu_1}, p_{\eta_k}$ and q_{μ_l} are known to us. In practice, we would apply our method to all candidates and would succeed in at least one case to factorize the polynomial due to our assumption of h being reducible.

One may ask now how many valid degrees could occur in summands of such factors p and q , i.e., what are the values of l and k . Theoretically, there exist choices for η_1 and η_k (resp. μ_1 and μ_l) where there are infinitely many $z \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $\eta_1 > z > \eta_k$ (resp. $\mu_1 > z > \mu_l$). Fortunately, only finitely many are valid degrees that can appear in a factorization, as the next lemma shows.

LEMMA 5. *For fixed $h, p_{\eta_1}, q_{\mu_1}, p_{\eta_k}$ and $q_{\mu_l} \in A_n$ fulfilling the assumptions stated above, there are only finitely many possible η_i resp. $\mu_j \in \mathbb{Z}^n, i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, that can appear as degrees for graded summands in p and q .*

PROOF. For every variable $v \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n, \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n\} \subset A_n$, there exists a $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ that represents the maximal degree of v that occurs among the monomials in h . The number j can be seen as a lower bound of the associated position of v in η_i , resp. μ_i , if v is one of the x s, or as an upper bound if v is one of the ∂ s. If the degree of one of the homogeneous summands of p or q would go higher, resp. lower, than this degree-bound, v would appear in h in a higher degree than j , which contradicts our choice of j . \square

EXAMPLE 3. *Let us consider*

$$h = \underbrace{x_2 \partial_1 \partial_2 + \partial_1}_{\text{degree: } [1,0]} + \underbrace{x_1 x_2 \partial_1^2}_{\text{degree: } [1,-1]} + \underbrace{4 \partial_2}_{\text{degree: } [0,1]} + \underbrace{4 x_1 \partial_1}_{\text{degree: } [0,0]} \in A_2.$$

One possible factorization of $x_2 \partial_1 \partial_2 + \partial_1$ is $\partial_2 \cdot x_2 \partial_1 = p_{\eta_1} \cdot q_{\mu_1}$ and, on the other end, one possible factorization of $4 x_1 \partial_1$ is $x_1 \partial_1 \cdot 4 =: p_{\eta_k} \cdot q_{\mu_l}$. Concerning p , there are no elements in \mathbb{Z}^n that can occur between $\deg(p_{\eta_1}) = [0, 1]$ and $\deg(p_{\eta_k}) = [0, 0]$; therefore we can set $k = 2$. For q , the only degree that can occur between $\deg(q_{\mu_1}) = [1, -1]$ and $\deg(q_{\mu_l}) = [0, 0]$ is $[0, 1]$, as every variable except ∂_1 appears with maximal degree 1 in h . We have $l = 3$ in this case.

3.2 Reduction to a Commutative System

In the previous subsection we saw that, given $h \in A_n$ that possesses a factorization as in (1), we are able to obtain the elements $p_{\eta_1}, q_{\mu_1}, p_{\eta_k}$ and q_{μ_l} . Moreover, we can

compute the numbers k and l of homogeneous summands in the factors. Now our goal is to find values for the unknown homogeneous summands, i.e. $p_{\eta_2}, \dots, p_{\eta_{k-1}}, q_{\mu_2}, \dots, q_{\mu_{l-1}}$. Our goal is to reduce this to a commutative problem to the greatest extent we can.

For this, we use Proposition 1 and define for all $i \in \underline{k}$ the polynomial $\tilde{p}_{\eta_i} \in A_n^{(0)}$ by $\tilde{p}_{\eta_i} \underline{X}^e \underline{D}^w = p_{\eta_i}$. In the same way we define \tilde{q}_{μ_j} for all $j \in \underline{l}$ and \tilde{h}_z for $z \in M$. The latter are known to us since \tilde{h}_z can easily be obtained from the input polynomial h . We can refer to the $\tilde{h}_z, \tilde{p}_{\eta_i}, \tilde{q}_{\mu_j}$ as elements in the commutative ring $\mathbb{K}[\underline{\theta}]$ using Lemma 2.

The next fact about the degree of the remaining unknowns can be easily proven and is useful for our further steps.

LEMMA 6. *The degree of the \tilde{p}_{η_i} and the \tilde{q}_{μ_j} , $(i, j) \in \underline{k} \times \underline{l}$, in $\theta_t, t \in \underline{n}$, is bounded by $\min\{\deg_{x_t}(h), \deg_{\partial_t}(h)\}$, where $\deg_v(f)$ denotes the degree of $f \in A_n$ in the variable v .*

There are certain equations that the \tilde{p}_{η_i} and the \tilde{q}_{μ_j} must fulfil in order for p and q to be factors of h .

DEFINITION 5. *For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ we define $\gamma_{\alpha, \beta} = \prod_{\kappa=1}^n \tilde{\gamma}_{\alpha_{\kappa}, \beta_{\kappa}}^{(\kappa)}$; in the latter expression we define for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\kappa \in \underline{n}$*

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{a,b}^{(\kappa)} := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a, b \geq 0 \vee a, b \leq 0, \\ \prod_{\tau=0}^{|a|-1} (\theta_{\kappa} - \tau), & \text{if } a < 0, b > 0, |a| \leq |b|, \\ \prod_{\tau=0}^{|b|-1} (\theta_{\kappa} - \tau - |a| + |b|), & \text{if } a < 0, b > 0, |a| > |b|, \\ \prod_{\tau=1}^a (\theta_{\kappa} + \tau), & \text{if } a > 0, b < 0, |a| \leq |b|, \\ \prod_{\tau=1}^{|b|} (\theta_{\kappa} + \tau + |a| - |b|), & \text{if } a > 0, b < 0, |a| > |b|. \end{cases}$$

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that, with the notation as above, we have $h = pq$ and $\tilde{p}_{\eta_1}, \tilde{q}_{\mu_1}, \tilde{p}_{\eta_k}, \tilde{h}_{z^{(1)}}, \dots, \tilde{h}_{z^{(m)}}$ are known. Define $\tilde{h}_z := 0$ for $z^{(1)} > z > z^{(m)}$ and $z \notin M$. Then the remaining unknown $\tilde{p}_{\eta_2}, \dots, \tilde{p}_{\eta_{k-1}}, \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}, \dots, \tilde{q}_{\mu_{l-1}}$ are solutions of the following finite set of equations:*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{\substack{\lambda, \varrho \in \underline{k} \times \underline{l} \\ \eta_{\lambda} + \mu_{\varrho} = z}} \tilde{p}_{\eta_{\lambda}}(\underline{\theta}) \tilde{q}_{\mu_{\varrho}}(\theta_1 + (\eta_{\lambda})_1, \dots, \theta_n + (\eta_{\lambda})_n) \gamma_{\eta_{\lambda}, \mu_{\varrho}} = \tilde{h}_z \\ |z \in \mathbb{Z}^n, z^{(1)} \geq z \geq z^{(m)} \end{array} \right\}. \quad (2)$$

Moreover, a factorization of h in A_1 corresponds to \tilde{q}_{μ_i} and \tilde{p}_{η_j} for $(i, j) \in \underline{k} \times \underline{l}$ being polynomial solutions with bounds as stated in Lemma 6.

PROOF. We only sketch this technical proof. Inspecting the product in (2), we split it into its graded summands. By repeated application of Lemma 1, we arrive at the described set of equations via coefficient comparison. The degree bound has been established in Lemma 6 above. \square

COROLLARY 3. *The problem of factorizing a polynomial in the n th Weyl algebra can be solved via finding polynomial univariate solutions of degree at most $2 \cdot \sum_{i=0}^n |\deg(h)_i|$ for a system of difference equations with polynomial coefficients, involving linear and quadratically nonlinear inhomogeneous equations.*

As this part of the method is rather technical, let us illustrate it via an example.

EXAMPLE 4. *Let*

$$p := \underbrace{\theta_1 \partial_2}_{=p_{[0,1]}} + \underbrace{(\theta_1 + 3)\theta_2}_{=p_{[0,0]}} + \underbrace{x_2}_{=p_{[0,-1]}} ,$$

$$q := \underbrace{(\theta_1 + 4)x_1 \partial_2}_{=q_{[-1,1]}} + \underbrace{x_1}_{=q_{[-1,0]}} + \underbrace{(\theta_1 + 1)x_1 x_2}_{=q_{[-1,-1]}} \in A_2 \text{ and}$$

$$h := pq = \theta_1(\theta_1 + 4)x_1\partial_2^2 \quad (3)$$

$$+ (\theta_1(\theta_1 - 1)\theta_2 + 8\theta_1\theta_2 + \theta_1 + 12\theta_2)x_1\partial_2 \quad (4)$$

$$+ (\theta_1(\theta_1 - 1)\theta_2 + \theta_1^2 - \theta_1 + 4\theta_1\theta_2 + 2\theta_1 + 7\theta_2)x_1 \quad (5)$$

$$+ (\theta_1(\theta_1 - 1)\theta_2 + 5\theta_1\theta_2 + 3\theta_2 + 1)x_1x_2 \quad (6)$$

$$+ (\theta_1 + 1)x_1x_2^2. \quad (7)$$

We have written every coefficient in terms of the θ_i already for better readability.

By assumption, the only information we have about p and q are the values of $p_{[0,1]} =: p_{\eta_1}$, $p_{[0,-1]} =: p_{\eta_3}$, $q_{[-1,1]} =: q_{\mu_1}$ and $q_{[-1,-1]} =: q_{\mu_1}$. Thus we have, using the above notation, $\tilde{p}_{\eta_1} = \theta_1$, $\tilde{p}_{\eta_k} = 1$, $\tilde{q}_{\mu_1} = (\theta_1 + 4)$ and $\tilde{q}_{\mu_i} = (\theta_1 + 1)$. We set $k := l := 3$, and it remains to solve for $\tilde{q}_{[-1,0]}$ and $\tilde{p}_{[0,0]}$.

In h , every variable appears in degree 2, except from x_1 , which appears in degree 3. That means that the degree bounds for θ_1 and θ_2 in \tilde{q}_{μ_i} can be set to be two.

The product of $(p_{\eta_1} + p_{\eta_2} + p_{\eta_3})(q_{\mu_1} + q_{\mu_2} + q_{\mu_3})$ with known values inserted is

$$\theta_1(\theta_1 + 4)x_1\partial_2^2 \quad (8)$$

$$+ (\theta_1\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}(\theta_1, \theta_2 + 1) + \tilde{p}_{\eta_2}(\theta_1 + 4))x_1\partial_2 \quad (9)$$

$$+ (\theta_1(\theta_1 + 1)(\theta_2 + 1) + (\theta_1 + 4)\theta_2 + \tilde{p}_{\eta_2}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2})x_1 \quad (10)$$

$$+ (\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}(\theta_1, \theta_2 - 1) + \tilde{p}_{\eta_2}(\theta_1 + 1))x_1x_2 \quad (11)$$

$$+ (\theta_1 + 1)x_1x_2^2. \quad (12)$$

The coefficients in $\mathbb{K}[\theta]$ in the terms (8)-(12) have to coincide with the respective coefficients in the terms (3)-(7) for the factorization to be correct. The equations with respect to those coefficients are exactly the ones given in (2).

3.3 Determining the Rest of the Graded Parts

There are many ways of dealing with finding solutions for the system as described by the set (2). The first way would be to solve the appearing partial difference equations and derive polynomial solutions. To the best of our knowledge, there is no general algorithm for finding polynomial solutions of a system of nonlinear difference equations ([1], [2] and [3]). However, by Theorem 1 and Lemma 6, we are looking for bounded solutions, where explicit bounds are given. This problem is clearly algorithmically solvable.

Here, we present one of the possible approaches to solve the commutative system of equations, which we also chose for the implementation. We give an outline of the basic ideas here. A detailed description and discussion will become subject of a journal version of this paper.

We begin by studying the equations as given in Theorem 1.

LEMMA 7. Let us sort the equations as given in the set stated in (2) by the degree of the graded part they represent, from highest to lowest. Let moreover $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ be the number of those equations, and κ be the number of all unknowns. We define χ_i for $i \in \underline{\nu}$ to be the number of \tilde{p}_{η_κ} and \tilde{q}_{μ_i} , $(\kappa, \iota) \in \underline{l} \times \underline{k}$, appearing in equations $1, \dots, i$. Then we have, for $i \leq \lceil \kappa/2 \rceil$, $\chi_i = 2 \cdot (i - 1)$. The same holds if we sort the equations from lowest to highest.

PROOF. The proof of this statement can be obtained using induction on i . We outline the main idea here. For $i = 1$, we have the known equation $\tilde{h}_{z(1)} = p_{\eta_1}q_{\mu_1} = \tilde{p}_{\eta_1}\tilde{q}_{\mu_1}(\theta_1 + (\eta_1)_1, \dots, \theta_n + (\eta_1)_n)\gamma_{\eta_1, \mu_1}$, i.e. $\chi_1 = 0$. For the next equation, as we regard the directly next lower homogeneous summand, only the directly next lower unknowns \tilde{p}_{η_2} and \tilde{q}_{μ_2} appear, multiplied by \tilde{q}_{μ_1} resp. \tilde{p}_{η_1} . Hence, we get $\chi_2 = 2$. This process can be iterated until $\chi_{\lceil \kappa/2 \rceil} = \kappa$. An analogous argument can be used when the equations are sorted from lowest to highest. \square

Using Lemma 7, we can reduce the unknowns we need to solve for to the \tilde{q}_{μ_i} . Sorting the equations in the set (2) from highest to lowest, we can rearrange them by putting the \tilde{p}_{η_i} on the left hand side and backwards substituting the appearing \tilde{p}_{η_j} on the respective right hand side by the formulae in the former equations. The same can be done when sorting the equations from lowest to highest, which lead to a second – different – set of equations for the \tilde{p}_{η_i} . The remaining step is then to concatenate the two respective descriptions for the \tilde{p}_{η_i} and then solve the resulting nonlinear system of equations in the coefficients of the \tilde{q}_{μ_j} using e.g. Gröbner bases [7]. We depict this process in the next example.

EXAMPLE 5. Let us consider $h = pq$ from Example 4, using all notations that were introduced there.

We assume that the given form of \tilde{p}_{η_2} is $\tilde{p}_{\eta_2} = \tilde{p}_{\eta_2}^{(0)} + \tilde{p}_{\eta_2}^{(1)}\theta_1 + \tilde{p}_{\eta_2}^{(2)}\theta_1^2 + \tilde{p}_{\eta_2}^{(3)}\theta_2 + \tilde{p}_{\eta_2}^{(4)}\theta_1\theta_2 + \tilde{p}_{\eta_2}^{(5)}\theta_1^2\theta_2 + \tilde{p}_{\eta_2}^{(6)}\theta_2^2 + \tilde{p}_{\eta_2}^{(7)}\theta_1\theta_2^2 + \tilde{p}_{\eta_2}^{(8)}\theta_1^2\theta_2^2$, and that \tilde{q}_{μ_2} has an analogous shape with coefficients $q_{\mu_2}^{(i)}$, where $\tilde{p}_{\eta_2}^{(i)}, \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{K}$ for $i \in \underline{8} \cup \{0\}$.

We use our knowledge of the form of h and the product of pq with unknowns as depicted (8)-(12). Therefore, starting from the top and starting from the bottom, we obtain two expressions of \tilde{p}_{η_2} , namely

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{p}_{\eta_2} &= \frac{\theta_1(\theta_1 - 1)\theta_2 + 8\theta_1\theta_2 + \theta_1 + 12\theta_2 - \theta_1\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}(\theta_1, \theta_2 + 1)}{\theta_1 + 4} \\ &= \frac{\theta_1(\theta_1 - 1)\theta_2 + 5\theta_1\theta_2 + 3\theta_2 + 1 - \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}(\theta_1, \theta_2 - 1)}{\theta_1 + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, \tilde{q}_{μ_2} has to fulfil the equation

$$\begin{aligned} &(\theta_1(\theta_1 - 1)\theta_2 + 8\theta_1\theta_2 + \theta_1 + 12\theta_2 - \theta_1\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}(\theta_1, \theta_2 + 1))(\theta_1 + 1) \\ &= (\theta_1(\theta_1 - 1)\theta_2 + 5\theta_1\theta_2 + 3\theta_2 + 1 - \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}(\theta_1, \theta_2 - 1))(\theta_1 + 4). \end{aligned}$$

Note here, that we could consider more equations that \tilde{q}_{μ_2} must fulfill, but we refrained from it in this example for the sake of brevity.

Using coefficient comparison, one can form from this equation a nonlinear system of equations with the $\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(i)}$, $i \in \underline{8} \cup \{0\}$, as indeterminates. The reduced Gröbner basis of this system is $\{\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(0)} - 1, \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(1)}, \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)}, \dots, \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)}\}$, which tells us, that $\tilde{q}_{\mu_2} = 1$ and hence, $\tilde{p}_{\eta_2} = (\theta_1 + 3)\theta_2$. Thus, we have exactly recovered both p and q in the factorization of h . The concrete original system is stated in Appendix A.

This approach of course raises the question, if those systems of equations that we construct are over- resp. under-determined. In the latter case, we might end up with some ambiguity regarding the solutions of the systems. The next lemma will show that our construction in fact leads to an overdetermined system.

LEMMA 8. Let ν denote amount of the vectors in \mathbb{N}_0^n , that are in each component t smaller or equal to $\min\{\deg_{x_t}(h), \deg_{\partial_t}(h)\}$. After the reduction of the unknowns to the \tilde{q}_{μ_i} for $i \in \{2, \dots, l-1\}$, the amount of equations satisfied by the \tilde{q}_{μ_i} will be between $2 \cdot (l-1) \cdot \nu$ and $(l-1)^2 \cdot \nu$, and the amount of variables that we have to solve for is $(l-2) \cdot \nu$.

PROOF. The number $(l-2) \cdot \nu$ is obvious for the number of unknowns, as we have for every polynomial \tilde{q}_{μ_i} for $i \in \{2, \dots, l-1\}$ exactly ν unknown coefficients.

In order to obtain expressions for our unknowns, we are considering two times $l-1$ equations of the set in (2), namely $l-1$ equations starting from the bottom and $l-1$ equations starting from the top. Note here, that we also consider the equation for \tilde{q}_{μ_i} when starting from the top, and the equation for q_{μ_1} when starting from the bottom, as we obtain

more equations fulfilled by the unknown variables in this way, where part of it is known to us. In the backwards substitution phase, we obtain different products of the polynomials \tilde{q}_{μ_i} . The amount of terms in the θ_j for $j \in \underline{n}$ of those products is greater or equal to $2 \cdot \nu$ and at most $(l-1) \cdot \nu$. This leads to the claimed bounds. \square

3.4 Application to Weyl Algebras with Rational Coefficients

In practice, one is often interested in differential equations over the field of rational functions in the indeterminates x_i . We refer to the corresponding operator algebras as the **rational Weyl algebras**. We have the same commutation rules there, but with extension to the case where x_i appears in the denominator. These algebras can be recognized as Ore localization of polynomial Weyl algebras with respect to the multiplicatively closed Ore set $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \setminus \{0\}$.

Unlike in the polynomial Weyl algebra, an infinite number of nontrivial factorizations of an element is possible. The easiest example is the polynomial $\partial_1^2 \in A_1$, having nontrivial factorizations $(\partial_1 + \frac{1}{x_1+c})(\partial_1 - \frac{1}{x_1+c})$ for all $c \in \mathbb{K}$; the only polynomial factorization is $\partial \cdot \partial$. Thus, at first glance, the factorization problem in both the rational and the polynomial Weyl algebras seems to be distinct in general. But there are still many things in common.

Consider the more general case of localization of Ore algebras. In what follows, we denote by $S \subset R$ the **denominator set** of an arbitrary localization of a Noetherian integral domain R . For properties that S has to fulfil and calculation rules of elements in $S^{-1}R$ please consider [8], Chapter 8. Let us clarify the connection between factorizations in $S^{-1}R$ and factorizations in R .

THEOREM 2. *Let h be an element in $S^{-1}R \setminus \{0\}$. Suppose, that $h = h_1 \cdots h_m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $h_i \in S^{-1}R$ for $i \in \underline{m}$. Then there exists $q \in S$ and $\tilde{h}_1, \dots, \tilde{h}_m \in R$, such that $qh = \tilde{h}_1 \cdots \tilde{h}_m$.*

Thus, by clearing denominators in an irreducible element in $S^{-1}R$ one obtains an irreducible element in R . The other direction does not hold in general. However, one can use our algorithms in a pre-processing step of finding factorization over $S^{-1}R$. In particular, a reducible element of R is necessarily reducible over $S^{-1}R$.

The theorem says that we can lift any factorization from the ring $S^{-1}R$ to a factorization in R by a left multiplication with an element of S . This means that in our case, where $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \setminus \{0\}$, it suffices to multiply a polynomial h by a suitable element in $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ in order to obtain a representative of a rational factorization. Finding this element is subject of future research. As we already have shown in [14], a polynomial factorization of an element in A_n is often more readable than the factorization produced by rational factorization methods. Thus a pre-computation that finds such a premultiplier so that we can just perform polynomial factorization would be a beneficial ansatz in the rational factorization.

EXAMPLE 6. *Consider the polynomial $h := \partial_1^3 - x_1\partial_1 - 2 \in A_1$. h is irreducible in A_1 , but in the first rational Weyl algebra, we obtain a factorization given by $(\partial_1 + \frac{1}{x_1})(\partial_1^2 - \frac{1}{x_1}\partial_1 - x_1)$. If we multiply h by x_1 from the left, our factorization method reveals two different factorizations. The first one is $x_1 \cdot h$ itself, and the second one is given by $\partial_1 \cdot (x_1\partial_1^2 - x_1^2 - \partial_1)$, which represents the rational factorization in the sense of Theorem 2.*

3.5 Application to Shift Algebras (with Rational Coefficients)

With the help of the Lemma 1 one can see that \mathcal{S}_n is a sub-algebra of the n th Weyl algebra A_n via the following homomorphism of \mathbb{K} -algebras: $\iota : \mathcal{S}_n \rightarrow A_n$, $x_i \mapsto \theta_i$, $s_j \mapsto \partial_j$.

One can easily prove that ι is, in fact, a monomorphism. This observation leads to the following result, which tells us that we do not have to consider the algebra \mathcal{S}_n separately when dealing with factorization of its elements.

COROLLARY 4. *The factorization problem for a polynomial $p \in \mathcal{S}_n$ can be obtained from the solution of a factorization problem of $\iota(p) \in A_n$ by refining.*

Theorem 2 also applies to the rational shift algebra. Thus, the approach to lift factorizations in the shift algebras with rational coefficients can also be applied here. The remaining research is also here to find suitable elements in $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ for pre-multiplication.

4. IMPLEMENTATION AND TIMINGS

We have implemented the described method for A_n in the computer algebra system SINGULAR. Our goal was to test the performance of our approach and the versatility of the results in practice and compare it to given implementations. Our implementation is in a complete but experimental stage, and we see potential for optimization in several areas.

The implementation extends the library `ncfactor.lib`, which contains the functionality to factorize polynomials in the first Weyl algebra, the first shift algebra and graded polynomials in the first q -Weyl algebra. The actual library is distributed with SINGULAR since version 3-1-3.

In the following examples, we consider different polynomials and present the resulting factorizations and timings. Our function to factorize polynomials in the n th Weyl algebra is written to solve problem (ii) as given in the introduction, i.e. finding all possible factorizations of a given polynomial. All computations were done using SINGULAR version 3-1-6. We compare our performance and our outputs to REDUCE version 3.8. There, we use the function `nc_factorize_all` in the library NCPOLY. The calculations were run on a computer with a 4-core Intel CPU (Intel®Core™i7-3520M CPU with 2.90GHz, 2 physical cores, 2 hardware threads, 32K L1[i,d], 256K L2, 4MB L3 cache) and 16GB RAM.

In order to make the tests reproducible, we used the SDEVAL [15] framework, created for the SYMBOLIC DATA project [5], for our benchmarking. The functions of SYMBOLIC DATA as well as the data are free to use. In such a way our comparison is easily reproducible by any other person.

Our set of examples is given by

$$h_1 := (\partial_1 + 1)^2(\partial_1 + x_1\partial_2) \in A_2,$$

$$h_2 := (\theta_1\partial_2 + (\theta_1 + 3)\theta_2 + x_2) \cdot$$

$$((\theta_1 + 4)x_1\partial_2 + x_1 + (\theta_1 + 1)x_1x_2) \in A_2,$$

$$h_3 := x_1x_2^2x_3^3\partial_1\partial_2^2 + x_2x_3^3\partial_2 \in A_3,$$

$$h_4 := (x_1^2\partial_1 + x_1x_2\partial_2)(\partial_1\partial_2 + \partial_1^2\partial_2^2x_1x_2) \in A_2.$$

The polynomial h_1 can be found in [18], the polynomial h_2 is the polynomial from Example 5 and the last two polynomials are graded polynomials.

Our implementation in SINGULAR managed to factor all the polynomials that are listed above. For h_1 , it took 2.83s to find two distinct factorizations. Besides the given one above, we have $h_1 = (x_1\partial_1\partial_2 + \partial_1^2 + x_1\partial_2 + \partial_1 + 2\partial_2)(\partial_1 + 1)$. In order to factorize h_2 , SINGULAR took 23.48s to find three fac-

torizations. For the graded polynomials h_3 and h_4 , our implementation finished its computations as expected quickly (0.46s and 0.32s) and returned 60 distinct factorizations for each h_3 and h_4 .

REDUCE only terminated for h_1 (within two hours). For h_1 it returned 3 different factorizations (within 0.1s), and one of the factorizations contained a reducible factor. For h_2, h_3 and h_4 , we cancelled the process after two hours.

Factoring \mathbb{Z} -graded polynomials in the first Weyl algebra was already timed and compared with several implementations on various examples in [14]. The comparison there also included the functionality in the computer algebra system MAPLE for factoring polynomials in the first Weyl algebra with rational coefficients.

The next example shows the performance of our implementation for the first Weyl algebra.

EXAMPLE 7. *This example is taken from [17], page 200. We consider $h := (x_1^4 - 1)x_1\partial_1^2 + (1 + 7x_1^4)\partial_1 + 8x_1^3$. Our implementation takes 0.75 seconds to find 12 distinct factorizations in the algebra A_1 . MAPLE 17, using DFactor from the DETools package, takes the same amount of time and reveals one factorization in the first Weyl algebra with rational coefficients. REDUCE outputs 60 factorizations in A_1 after 3.27s. However, these factorizations contain factorizations with reducible factors. After factoring such cases and removing duplicates from the list, the number of different factorizations reduced to 12.*

5. CONCLUSIONS

An approach to factoring polynomials in the operator algebras A_n , Q_n and \mathcal{S}_n based on nontrivial \mathbb{Z}^n -gradings has been presented, and an experimental implementation has been evaluated. We have shown that the set of polynomials that we can factorize using our technique in a feasible amount of time has been greatly extended. Especially for \mathbb{Z}^n -graded polynomials, we have shown that the problem of finding all nontrivial factorizations in A_n resp. Q_n can be reduced to commutative factorization in multivariate rings and some basic combinatorics. Thus, the performance of the factorization algorithm regarding graded polynomials is dominated by the performance of the commutative factorization algorithm that is available.

Our future work consists of implementing the remaining functionalities into `ncfactor.lib`. Furthermore, it would be interesting to extend our technique to deal with the factorization problem in A_n to polynomials in Q_n . Additionally, there exist many other operator algebras, and it would be interesting to investigate to what extent we can use the described methodology there.

Applying our techniques for the factorization problem in the case of algebras with coefficients in rational functions is also interesting, albeit more involved. Amongst other problems, in that case infinitely many different factorizations can occur. One has to find representatives of parametrized factorizations, and use these to obtain a factorization in the polynomial sense. This approach could be beneficial, and it has been developed in [14].

6. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank to Dima Grigoriev for discussions on the subject, and to Mark van Hoeij for his expert opinion. We are grateful to Wolfram Koepf and Martin Lee for providing us with interesting examples and to Michael

Singer, Shaoshi Chen and Daniel Rettstadt for sharing with us interesting points of view on our research problems.

We would like to express our gratitude to the German Academic Exchange Service DAAD for funding our project in the context of the German-Canadian PPP program.

7. REFERENCES

- [1] S. Abramov. Problems of computer algebra involved in the search for polynomial solutions of linear differential and difference equations. *Mosc. Univ. Comput. Math. Cybern.*, 1989(3):63–68, 1989.
- [2] S. Abramov and M. Petkovšek. On polynomial solutions of linear partial differential and (q -)difference equations. In *Proc. CASC 2012*. Berlin: Springer, 2012.
- [3] S. A. Abramov, M. Bronstein, and M. Petkovšek. On polynomial solutions of linear operator equations. In *Proc. ISSAC 1995*. New York, NY: ACM Press, 1995.
- [4] W. D. Andres. *Noncommutative Computer Algebra with Applications in Algebraic Analysis*. PhD thesis, RWTH Aachen University, 2013.
- [5] O. Bachmann and H.-G. Gräbe. The SYMBOLICDATA project. In *Reports on Computer Algebra*, volume 27. 2000.
- [6] R. Beals and E. A. Kartashova. Constructively factoring linear partial differential operators in two variables. *Theor. Math. Phys.*, 145(2):1511–1524, 2005.
- [7] B. Buchberger. *Introduction to Groebner bases*. Berlin: Springer, 1997.
- [8] J. Bueso, J. Gómez-Torrecillas, and A. Verschoren. *Algorithmic methods in non-commutative algebra. Applications to quantum groups*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2003.
- [9] W. Decker, G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister, and H. Schönemann. SINGULAR 3-1-6 — A computer algebra system for polynomial computations. 2012. <http://www.singular.uni-kl.de>.
- [10] M. Foupouagnigni, W. Koepf, and A. Ronveaux. Factorization of fourth-order differential equations for perturbed classical orthogonal polynomials. *J. Comp. Appl. Math.*, 162(2):299–326, 2004.
- [11] I. M. Gel'fand and A. A. Kirillov. Sur les corps Liés aux algèbres enveloppantes des algèbres de Lie. *Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS*, 31:5–19, 1966.
- [12] D. Grigoriev and F. Schwarz. Factoring and solving linear partial differential equations. *Computing*, 73(2):179–197, 2004.
- [13] A. Heinle and V. Levandovskyy. Factorization of polynomials in \mathbb{Z} -graded skew polynomial rings. *ACM Commun. Comput. Algebra*, 44(3/4):113–114, 2011.
- [14] A. Heinle and V. Levandovskyy. Factorization of \mathbb{Z} -homogeneous polynomials in the first (q -)Weyl algebra. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1302.5674*, 2013.
- [15] A. Heinle, V. Levandovskyy, and A. Nareike. SYMBOLICDATA: SDEVAL — benchmarking for everyone. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1310.5551*, 2013.
- [16] M. Kashiwara. Vanishing cycle sheaves and holonomic systems of differential equations. In *Algebraic Geometry*. Springer, 1983.
- [17] W. Koepf. *Hypergeometric summation. An algorithmic approach to summation and special function identities*. Wiesbaden: Vieweg, 1998.
- [18] E. Landau. Ein Satz über die Zerlegung homogener linearer Differentialausdrücke in irreduzible Factoren. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 124:115–120, 1902.
- [19] A. Loewy. Über reduzible lineare homogene Differentialgleichungen. *Math. Ann.*, 56:549–584, 1903.
- [20] A. Loewy. Über vollständig reduzible lineare homogene Differentialgleichungen. *Math. Ann.*, 62:89–117, 1906.
- [21] B. Malgrange. Polynômes de Bernstein-Sato et cohomologie évanescence. *Astérisque*, 101-102:243–267, 1983.
- [22] H. Melenk and J. Apel. *REDUCE package NCPOLY*:

Computation in non-commutative polynomial ideals.
Konrad-Zuse-Zentrum Berlin (ZIB), 1994.

- [23] M. B. Monagan, K. O. Geddes, K. M. Heal, G. Labahn, S. M. Vorkoetter, J. McCarron, and P. DeMarco. *Maple Introductory Programming Guide*. Maplesoft, 2008.
- [24] M. Saito, B. Sturmfels, and N. Takayama. *Gröbner deformations of hypergeometric differential equations*. Berlin: Springer, 2000.
- [25] F. Schwarz. Alltypes in the web. *ACM Commun. Comput. Algebra*, 42(3):185–187, Feb. 2009.
- [26] S. Tsarev. Problems that appear during factorization of ordinary linear differential operators. *Program. Comput. Softw.*, 20(1):27–29, 1994.
- [27] S. Tsarev. An algorithm for complete enumeration of all factorizations of a linear ordinary differential operator. In *Proc. ISSAC 1996*. New York, NY: ACM Press, 1996.
- [28] M. van Hoeij. *Factorization of linear differential operators*. Nijmegen, 1996.
- [29] M. van Hoeij. Factorization of differential operators with rational functions coefficients. *J. Symb. Comput.*, 24(5):537–561, 1997.
- [30] M. van Hoeij. Formal solutions and factorization of differential operators with power series coefficients. *J. Symb. Comput.*, 24(1):1–30, 1997.
- [31] M. van Hoeij and Q. Yuan. Finding all Bessel type solutions for linear differential equations with rational function coefficients. In *Proc. ISSAC 2010*, pages 37–44, 2010.

APPENDIX

A. COMMUTATIVE POLYNOMIAL SYSTEM OF EQUATIONS

The commutative polynomial system of equations that is formed in Example 5 is given as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \{-\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)}, -\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(7)} - 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)}, -\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(6)} - \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(7)} - \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)}, -\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)}, -\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(4)} - 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)} \\
& - 4\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)}, -\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(3)} - \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(4)} - \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)} - 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(7)}, -\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)} + 4\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)}, -\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(1)} - 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)} \\
& - 4\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)} + 4\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(7)} - 8\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)}, -\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(0)} - \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(1)} - \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)} - 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(4)} + 4\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(6)} \\
& - 4\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(7)} + 4\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)} + 1, -4\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)} + 4\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(4)} - 8\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)}, -2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(1)} + 4\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(3)} \\
& - 4\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(4)} + 4\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)}, 4\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)}, 4\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(1)} - 8\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)}, 4\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(0)} - 4\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(1)} + 4\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)} - 4, \\
& (\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)})^2, 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(7)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)} + 2(\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)})^2, 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(6)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)} + (\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(7)})^2 + 3\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(7)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)} \\
& + (\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)})^2, 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(6)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(7)} + 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(6)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)} + (\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(7)})^2 + \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(7)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)}, (\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(6)})^2 \\
& + \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(6)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(7)} + \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(6)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)}, 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)}, 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(4)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)} + 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(7)} + 4\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)} \\
& - \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)}, 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(3)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)} + 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(4)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(7)} + 3\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(4)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)} + 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(6)} + 3\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(7)} \\
& + 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)} - \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(7)}, 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(3)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(7)} + 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(3)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)} + 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(4)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(6)} + 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(4)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(7)} \\
& + \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(4)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)} + 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(6)} + \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(7)} - \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(6)}, 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(3)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(6)} + \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(3)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(7)} \\
& + \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(3)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)} + \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(4)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(6)} + \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(6)}, 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)} + (\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)})^2, 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(1)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)} \\
& + 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(7)} + 4\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)} + 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(4)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)} + 2(\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)})^2 - \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)} - 7\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)}, \\
& 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(0)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)} + 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(1)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(7)} + 3\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(1)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)} + 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(6)} + 3\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(7)} \\
& + 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)} + 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(3)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)} + (\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(4)})^2 + 3\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(4)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)} - \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(4)} + (\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)})^2 \\
& - 7\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(7)} - \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)}, 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(0)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(7)} + 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(0)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)} + 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(1)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(6)} + 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(1)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(7)} \\
& + \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(1)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)} + 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(6)} + \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(7)} + 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(3)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(4)} + 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(3)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)} - \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(3)} \\
& + (\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(4)})^2 + \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(4)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)} - 7\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(6)} - \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(7)}, 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(0)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(6)} + \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(0)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(7)} + \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(0)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)} \\
& + \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(1)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(6)} + \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(6)} + (\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(3)})^2 + \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(3)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(4)} + \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(3)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)} - \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(6)}, \\
& 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)}, 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(1)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)} + 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(4)} + 4\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)} - \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)} - 7\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)} - 12\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(8)}, \\
& 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(0)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)} + 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(1)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(4)} + 3\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(1)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)} - \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(1)} + 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(3)} + 3\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(4)} \\
& + 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)} - 7\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(4)} - \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)} - 12\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(7)}, 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(0)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(4)} + 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(0)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)} - \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(0)} \\
& + 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(1)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(3)} + 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(1)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(4)} + \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(1)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)} + 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(3)} + \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(4)} - 7\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(3)} \\
& - \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(4)} - 12\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(6)} + 1, 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(0)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(3)} + \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(0)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(4)} + \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(0)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)} + \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(1)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(3)} \\
& + \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(3)} - \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(3)}, (\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)})^2, 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(1)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)} + 2(\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)})^2 - 7\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)} - 12\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(5)}, \\
& 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(0)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)} + (\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(1)})^2 + 3\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(1)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)} - 7\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(1)} + (\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)})^2 - \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)} - 12\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(4)}, \\
& 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(0)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(1)} + 2\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(0)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)} - 7\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(0)} + (\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(1)})^2 + \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(1)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)} - \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(1)} - 12\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(3)} \\
& + 7, (\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(0)})^2 + \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(0)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(1)} + \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(0)}\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(2)} - \tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(0)}, -12\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(1)}, -12\tilde{q}_{\mu_2}^{(0)} + 12\}.
\end{aligned}$$