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#### Abstract

Corrections are brought to an article of Friesen on continued fractions of a given period.


Friesen has proven [1] that, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, there are infinitely many squarefree integers $N$, where the continued fraction expansion of $\sqrt{N}$ has period equal to $k$. This was demonstrated in a Corollary following a Theorem stating that
Theorem 1. Let $\lfloor\sqrt{N}\rfloor$ denote the greatest integer $\leq \sqrt{N}$. Then the equation

$$
\sqrt{N}=\left[|\sqrt{N}| ; \overline{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k-2}=a_{2}, a_{k-1}=a_{1}, a_{k}=2[\sqrt{N}]}\right]
$$

has, for any symmetric set of positive integers $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k-1}\right\}$, infinitely many squarefree solutions $N$ whenever either $Q_{k-2}$ or $\left(Q_{k-2}^{2}-(-1)^{k}\right) / Q_{k-1}$ is even. If both quantities are odd, then there are no solutions $N$ even if the squarefree condition is dropped.
where $Q_{k}$ are convergents of the of the continued fraction of $\sqrt{N}$. This theorem is demonstrated using three Lemmas.

Unfortunately, this paper contains two mistakes, that we want to correct here.
First, in the demonstration of the Lemma 1, (see [1], p. 12, line 19), the expression of $N$ should be $N=N(b)=\alpha b^{2}+\beta b+\gamma\left(\right.$ instead of $\alpha b^{2}+\beta b^{2}+\gamma$, which would not make sense). However this does not change the final result of this Lemma 1.

Second, Friesen proved the Corollary with a sufficient condition that for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\dot{+}}$, a symmetric set of positive integers $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k-1}\right\}$ exists such that $Q_{k-1}$ is odd. The case $k=1$ is direct as it yields $N(b)=b^{2}+1$ giving an infinity of squarefree $N$.

However, for the case $k>1$, the demonstration (see [1], p. 13, lines 19-25) is wrong as it contradicts the final statement made in the conclusion (see [1] p. 13, lines 35-36).

I have attempted to correct his demonstration herebelow.
"Assume $k>1$. If $k \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ [instead of $k \neq 0(\bmod 3)]$ then set $a_{1}=a_{k-1}=$ 2 and $a_{i}=1$ for $i=2, \ldots, k-2$. If $k \neq 0(\bmod 3)[$ instead of $k \equiv 0(\bmod 3)] \operatorname{set} a_{i}=1$ for $i=1, \ldots, k-1$. In both cases we have the recursion formula for $Q_{n}$ giving us copies of the Fibonacci sequence $(\ldots)$. In the first instance [i.e. $k \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ ] we have $Q_{n}=F_{n+2}$ for $n=2, \ldots, k-2[$ instead of $i=1, \ldots, k-2]$ and $Q_{k-1}=$ $2 Q_{k-2}+Q_{k-3}=2 F_{k}+F_{k-1}\left[\right.$ instead of $\left.2 F_{k}+F_{k-1}+F_{k+2}\right]$. If $k \neq 0(\bmod 3)$ we have $Q_{n}=F_{n+1}$ for $n=1, \ldots, k-1$, hence $Q_{k-1}=F_{k}$. But, as $F_{k}$ is even only

[^0]when $k \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$, we see that $Q_{k-1}$ is odd in either situation. Therefore, either $Q_{k-2}$ or $\left(Q_{k-2}^{2}-(-1)^{k}\right) / Q_{k-1}$ is even and we have satisfied the conditions of the Theorem, thus proving the Corollary."

With these corrections, these statements agree with those of the conclusion namely "By setting $a_{i}=1$ for $i=1, \ldots, k-1$ if $k \neq 0(\bmod 3)$ (and $a_{1}=a_{k-1}=2$, $a_{i}=1$ for $i=2, \ldots, k-2$ if $\left.k \equiv 0(\bmod 3)\right)$ it was shown that the conditions of the Theorem are met."

Despite these mistakes, the final result still stands.
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