

SPLITTING METAPLECTIC COVER GROUPS

CHUN-HUI WANG

ABSTRACT. If (G_1, G_2) is a dual reductive pair of type I in $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$, it is known that the degree 8 metaplectic cover of $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$ splits over $G_1 G_2$, with one obvious exception. In this paper we replace $G_1 G_2$ by a larger subgroup obtained via similitude groups, and show that the degree 8 metaplectic cover splits, with the same obvious exception.

1. STATEMENT OF THE RESULTS

Let F be a non-archimedean local field of residue characteristic different from 2, and let D be a division algebra over F with an involution τ such that F consists of all τ -fixed points of D . We define (W, \langle, \rangle) as a symplectic space over F of dimension $2n$ with a tensor product decomposition

$$W = W_1 \otimes_D W_2, \quad \langle, \rangle = \mathrm{Trd}_{D/F}(\langle, \rangle_1 \otimes \tau(\langle, \rangle_2))$$

where $(W_1, \langle, \rangle_1)$ is a right ϵ_1 -hermitian space over D and $(W_2, \langle, \rangle_2)$ is a left ϵ_2 -hermitian space over D with $\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 = -1$. We let $\mathrm{U}(W_i)$ be the group of isometries of $(W_i, \langle, \rangle_i)$, and $\mathrm{GU}(W_i)$ the group of similitudes of $(W_i, \langle, \rangle_i)$. In [10, p.15], it was shown that except the case $\epsilon_1 = 1, \epsilon_2 = -1$, and $W_2 =$ the quaternion algebra over F , the pair $(\mathrm{U}(W_1), \mathrm{U}(W_2))$ is the so-called irreducible dual reductive pair of type I in the sense of Howe.

Denote by μ_8 the cyclic group of the roots of unity in \mathbb{C} of order 8. To a non-trivial element $[c_{Rao}]$ of order 2 in the measurable cohomology group $H^2(\mathrm{Sp}(W), \mu_8)$ is associated a central extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \mu_8 \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Sp}(W)} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(W) \longrightarrow 1$$

of $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$ by μ_8 . Let $\overline{\mathrm{U}(W_i)}$ be the degree 8 metaplectic cover of $\mathrm{U}(W_i)$ induced by $\overline{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}$. Then the following result about the above irreducible dual reductive pair was drawn from [10, Chapitre 3, p. 51](written by Vignéras).

Theorem 1.1. *The exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow \mu_8 \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{U}(W_1)} \longrightarrow \mathrm{U}(W_1) \longrightarrow 1$ splits, except for W_1 being symplectic and W_2 being orthogonal of odd dimension.*

We remark that Kudla discussed the explicit splitting group extensions of dual reductive pairs in [7]. His results play a significant role in the study of the classical theta correspondences. In this paper we shall generalize the above result to a larger subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$. We define

$$\Gamma := \{(g_1, g_2) \mid g_1 \in \mathrm{GU}(W_1), g_2 \in \mathrm{GU}(W_2) \text{ such that } \lambda_1(g_1)\lambda_2(g_2) = 1\},$$

where λ_1, λ_2 are the similitude characters from $\mathrm{GU}(W_1), \mathrm{GU}(W_2)$ to F^\times respectively. Accordingly there exists a canonical map $\iota: \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(W)$. Now let $\overline{\Gamma}$ be the degree 8 metaplectic cover of Γ induced by $\overline{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}$. By using [10]'s approach we derive the following coherent result analogy of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem A. *The exact sequence $1 \longrightarrow \mu_8 \longrightarrow \overline{\Gamma} \longrightarrow \iota(\Gamma) \longrightarrow 0$ splits, except when the irreducible dual reductive pair is a symplectic-orthogonal type and the orthogonal vector space over F is of odd dimension.*

Remark. *The major regular part of this result is known to the specialist. However here we deal it more systematically and use much cohomology theory.*

Key words and phrases. Metaplectic group, Theta correspondence, Weil representation.
Research supported by NSFC grant # 11501420.

- (1) When $D = F$, W_1 is a symplectic vector space over F , and W_2 is an orthogonal vector space over F of even dimension, the result is compared with Robert's result [17, Proposition 3.3.].
- (2) When $D =$ the quaternion algebra over F , and W_1 is a Hermitian vector space over D of even dimension, and W_2 is a skew-Hermitian vector space over D , the result is due to Gan, see [3, Sections 2- 3].

The explicit behavior of the cohomology class $[c_{Rao}]$ in $H^2(\mathrm{Sp}(W), \mu_8)$ has been investigated by Rao [16], and by Perrin [14] (see also the comprehensive note [8] written by Kudla). To control the restriction of $[c_{Rao}]$ to Γ , we use a long exact sequence of cohomology groups obtained by inflation-restriction from the exact sequence of groups $1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{U}(W_1) \times \mathrm{U}(W_2) \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow \Gamma/(\mathrm{U}(W_1) \times \mathrm{U}(W_2)) \longrightarrow 1$. That exact sequence of cohomology is stated in section 2.4, and section 3 deduces a criterion for the splitting of $\overline{\Gamma}$. The criterion involves several conditions, which are verified in the next sections 4 to 9. The verification requires us to use results about the commutator subgroups of unitary groups over D . So in section 2 we recall the classification of the skew hermitian spaces over a p -adic division algebra \mathbb{H} (cf. [19], [21]) and the fine structure of the norm one subgroup of \mathbb{H}^\times (cf. [18]).

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Notation and conventions. We fix the following notations and assumptions for the whole paper:

- F : a non-archimedean local field of residue characteristic different from 2;
- E : a quadratic field extension of F ;
- \mathbb{H} : the unique quaternion algebra over F , up to isometry;
- $\mathrm{Nrd}, \mathrm{Trd}$: the reduced norm, resp. trace of \mathbb{H} ;
- \mathbb{H}^0 : the subspace of elements of pure quaternion of \mathbb{H} ;
- \mathfrak{D} : the ring of integers of \mathbb{H} consisting of the elements $d \in \mathbb{H}$ such that $|\mathrm{Nrd}(d)|_F \leq 1$;
- \mathfrak{P} : the maximal ideal of \mathfrak{D} ;
- $\mathrm{U}_{\mathbb{H}} = \{d \in \mathbb{H} \mid |\mathrm{Nrd}(d)|_F = 1\}$;
- $\mathrm{SL}_1(\mathbb{H}) = \{d \in \mathbb{H}^\times \mid \mathrm{Nrd}(d) = 1\}$;
- $k_{\mathbb{H}}$: the residue field of \mathbb{H} ;
- e_{-1} : an element of \mathbb{H}^\times with reduced norm -1 ;
- $\{1, \xi, \omega, \xi\omega\}$ is a fixed standard basis of \mathbb{H} such that $\xi\omega = -\omega\xi$, and $F(\xi)/F$ is an unramified extension of degree 2;
- The ring of integers of a local field K will be denoted by \mathfrak{O}_K , its unique maximal ideal by \mathfrak{p}_K , its residue field by k_K , its group of units by U_K ;
- $\mathrm{SL}_1(K) = \{d \in K^\times \mid \mathrm{N}_{K/F}(d) = 1\}$, for a finite field extension K/F ;
- (D, τ) : a division algebra over F with an involution τ such that F consists of all τ -fixed points of D ; it has one of the following forms: (1) $D = F, \tau = \mathrm{Id}$; (2) $D = E, \tau =$ the canonical conjugation; (3) $D = \mathbb{H}, \tau =$ the canonical involution;
- (H, \langle, \rangle) : a right (resp. left) ϵ -hermitian hyperbolic plane over D , defined as $\langle (d_1, d'_1), (d_2, d'_2) \rangle = \tau(d_1)d'_2 + \epsilon\tau(d'_1)d_2$, (resp. $\langle (d_1, d'_1), (d_2, d'_2) \rangle = d_1\tau(d'_2) + \epsilon d'_1\tau(d_2)$), for $d_1, d_2, d'_1, d'_2 \in D$;
- $(\mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{i}], \langle, \rangle)$: a right (resp. left) skew hermitian vector space over \mathbb{H} of dimension 1, defined as $\langle d_1, d'_1 \rangle = \tau(d_1)\mathfrak{i}d'_1$ (resp. $\langle d_1, d'_1 \rangle = d_1\mathfrak{i}\tau(d'_1)$), for $d_1, d'_1 \in \mathbb{H}$, where $0 \neq \mathfrak{i} \in \mathbb{H}^0$;
- $(W, \langle, \rangle), (W_i, \langle, \rangle_i), \epsilon_i, \mathrm{U}(W_i), \Gamma$, and $\overline{\mathrm{U}}(W_i), \overline{\Gamma}, \mu_8$, etc.: introduced above;
- Without confusion, sometimes we write a matrix in its block form, and write for instance $\mathrm{GL}_3(M_2(F))$ for $\mathrm{GL}_6(F)$.

2.2. Preliminaries on unitary groups. In this subsection, we reviewed some results of unitary groups and their commutator subgroups which are indispensable. Our main references are the books written by Scharlau [20] and Hahn-O'Meara [4]. We also do benefit from the articles [18], [21]. Let us first rephrase one result from [10, p.7] concerning about the classification of anisotropic ϵ -hermitian spaces over D .

Theorem 2.1. *Up to isometry,*

- an anisotropic quadratic vector space over F has one of the following forms:
 - (i) $F[a]$, for $a \in F^\times$ modulo $(F^\times)^2$, with the canonical form $x \mapsto ax^2, x \in F$;
 - (ii) $F_1[a]$, for any quadratic field extension F_1 of F , $a \in F^\times$ modulo $\mathrm{N}_{F_1/F}(F_1^\times)$ with the form $x \mapsto a\mathrm{N}_{F_1/F}(x), x \in F_1$;

- (iii) $\mathbb{H}^0[a]$, for $a \in F^\times$ modulo $(F^\times)^2$, with the form $x \mapsto \tau(x)a\bar{x}$, $x \in \mathbb{H}^0$;
- (iv) \mathbb{H} , with the form $x \mapsto \text{Nrd}(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{H}$.
- an anisotropic hermitian vector space over E has one of the following forms:
 - (i) $E[a]$, for $a \in F^\times$ modulo $\text{N}_{E/F}(E^\times)$, with the form $(x, y) \mapsto a\tau(x)y$, $x, y \in E$;
 - (ii) \mathbb{H} , with the form $(x, y) \mapsto \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{H}/E}(\tau(x)y)$, $x, y \in \mathbb{H}$.*
- an anisotropic right hermitian vector space over \mathbb{H} has the following form: \mathbb{H} , with the form $(x, y) \mapsto \tau(x)y$, $x, y \in \mathbb{H}$.

Suppose (V, \langle, \rangle) is an anisotropic hermitian space over E . By Hilbert's Theorem 90, we can take an element μ of E^\times such that $\bar{\mu}/\mu = -1$. Multiplication of \langle, \rangle by μ will give a skew hermitian form $\mu\langle, \rangle$ on V , so in analogy with Theorem 2.1, we have

Proposition 2.2. *Up to isometry,*

- an anisotropic skew hermitian space over E has one of the following forms:
 - (i) $E[a]$, for $a \in F^\times$ modulo $\text{N}_{E/F}(E^\times)$, with the form $(x, y) \mapsto a\mu\tau(x)y$, $x, y \in E$,
 - (ii) \mathbb{H} , with the form being given as $(x, y) \mapsto \mu\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{H}/E}(\tau(x)y)$, $x, y \in \mathbb{H}$;
 - an anisotropic right skew hermitian space over \mathbb{H} has one of the following forms:
 - (i) $\mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{i}]$, for $\mathfrak{i} = \xi, \omega, \xi\omega$,
 - (ii) $\mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{i}] \oplus \mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{j}]$, for $(\mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j}) = (\xi, e_{-1}\omega), (\xi, e_{-1}\xi\omega)$ or $(\omega, e_{-1}\xi\omega)$,
 - (iii) $\mathbb{H}[\xi] \oplus \mathbb{H}[\omega] \oplus \mathbb{H}[e_{-1}\xi\omega]$,
- where e_{-1} is an element of $F(\xi)$ with norm -1 .

Proof. The second assertion is due essentially to Tsukamoto (see [21, Theorem 3]). □

2.2.1. The hyperbolic unitary groups. Suppose that $(V = H \oplus V_1, \langle, \rangle)$ is a right ϵ -hermitian space over D of dimension n composed by a hyperbolic plane H and a subspace V_1 . For two vectors u, v in V with $\langle u, u \rangle = 0 = \langle u, v \rangle$, and any d in the coset $\frac{1}{2}\langle v, v \rangle + \mathcal{S}_D$ of D/\mathcal{S}_D , where $\mathcal{S}_D = \{s - \epsilon\tau(s) \mid s \in D\}$, we define the so-called *Eichler transformation* related to u, v, d as follows (cf. [4, p. 214]): $e_{u,v,d}(x) = x + \epsilon u\langle v, x \rangle - (v + \epsilon ud)\langle u, x \rangle$. Let $\text{EU}_V(D) \subseteq \text{U}(V)$ be the group generated by all above Eichler transformations of V .

Theorem 2.3 ([4, pp.333-335]). $[\text{EU}_V(D), \text{EU}_V(D)] = \text{EU}_V(D)$, and $\text{U}(V) = \text{U}(H) \cdot \text{EU}_V(D)$.

As a consequence we obtain:

Lemma 2.4. *There is a surjective map $\text{U}(H)/[\text{U}(H), \text{U}(H)] \rightarrow \text{U}(V)/[\text{U}(V), \text{U}(V)]$.*

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\mathfrak{A} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{a}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in D^\times, \bar{a} = \tau(a) \right\} \simeq D^\times$. Despite of the case $D = F, \epsilon = 1$, we have:*

- (1) $\text{U}(H) = \mathfrak{A} \cdot \text{EU}_H(D)$,
- (2) *The image of $\frac{\mathfrak{A}}{[\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}]}$ or $\frac{D^\times}{[D^\times, D^\times]}$ in $\frac{\text{U}(H)}{[\text{U}(H), \text{U}(H)]}$ is full.*

Proof. The first statement follows from [20, p. 263], and the second one is a consequence of Lemma 2.4. □

The main purpose of this section is to achieve the similar results as Lemma 2.4 for each ϵ -hermitian space V over D . Before attempting to investigate the problem, let us cite the following results from Carl Riehm's paper [18] involving some subgroups of \mathbb{H}^\times .

Lemma 2.6. (1) $[\mathbb{H}^\times, \mathbb{H}^\times] = \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{H})$.

(2) $[\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{H}), \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{H})] = \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{H}) \cap (1 + \mathfrak{P})$.

(3) $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{H})/[\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{H}), \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{H})]$ is isomorphic with the subgroup $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(k_{\mathbb{H}})$ consisting of elements of $k_{\mathbb{H}}$ with norm 1 in k_F .

Proof. See also [15, p.648]. □

Remark 2.7. *Suppose now that \mathbb{H} is merely a division algebra over its centre F of dimension d^2 . Then the above results also hold.*

*Actually, we use the fact that a (separable) quadratic field extension E of F can be embedded in \mathbb{H} (cf. [1, p. 326, Proposition]). The precise trace map is described in Section 2.2.2.

Proof. See [18, Section 5 and Theorem 7(iii)(2)] for the details. \square

Now let $\{1, \mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j}, \mathfrak{k}\}$ be a standard base of \mathbb{H} such that $\mathfrak{i} \cdot \mathfrak{j} = -\mathfrak{j} \cdot \mathfrak{i} = \mathfrak{k}$, and $\mathfrak{i}^2 = -\alpha, \mathfrak{j}^2 = -\beta$. Set $F_1 = F(\mathfrak{i})$, and $F_2 = F(\mathfrak{j})$.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $(V = \mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{i}], \langle, \rangle)$ be a right skew hermitian space over \mathbb{H} of dimension 1. Then $U(V) = \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(F_1)$ and $GU(V) = \langle F_1^\times, \mathfrak{j} \rangle = F_1^\times \cup F_1^\times \mathfrak{j}$.*

Proof. An element $\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \mathfrak{j}$ with $\alpha_0, \alpha_1 \in F_1$ lies in $U(V)$ if and only if $(\overline{\alpha_0} - \alpha_1 \mathfrak{j}) \mathfrak{i} (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \mathfrak{j}) = \mathfrak{i}$; this means $\alpha_1 = 0$ and $N_{F_1/F}(\alpha_0) = 1$, or $\alpha_0 = 0$ and $N_{F_1/F}(\alpha_1) \mathfrak{j}^2 = 1$. But the second case contradicts to $\mathfrak{j}^2 \notin N_{F_1/F}(F_1^\times)$, so $U(V) = \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(F_1)$. Similarly, if $g = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \mathfrak{j} \in GU(V)$, then $(\overline{\alpha_0} - \alpha_1 \mathfrak{j}) \mathfrak{i} (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \mathfrak{j}) = \lambda(g) \mathfrak{i}$ for some suitable $\lambda(g) \in F^\times$. By calculation, we see that $\alpha_0 = 0$ or $\alpha_1 = 0$, so the last result follows. \square

The following result is immediate:

Lemma 2.9. *Let $(V = \mathbb{H}, \langle, \rangle = \text{Trd})$ be a right hermitian space over \mathbb{H} of dimension 1. Then $U(V) = \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{H})$ and $GU(V) = \mathbb{H}^\times$.*

2.2.2. *The anisotropic unitary group I.* Let (V, \langle, \rangle) be an anisotropic hermitian space over E .

Lemma 2.10. *If $\dim_E(V) = 1$, then $U(V, \langle, \rangle) = \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(E)$, and $GU(V, \langle, \rangle) = E^\times$.*

Proof. Obviously. \square

Now let us begin to discuss the case when $\dim_E(V) = 2$. By Theorem 2.1, we assume $E = F(\mathfrak{i})$, with $\mathfrak{i}^2 = -\alpha \in F^\times$. By [20, p.358], we can choose an element \mathfrak{j} in \mathbb{H} , such that $\mathfrak{i}\mathfrak{j} = -\mathfrak{j}\mathfrak{i} = \mathfrak{k}$, $\mathfrak{j}^2 = -\beta \in F^\times$, and $\{1, \mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j}, \mathfrak{k}\}$ forms a standard basis of \mathbb{H} . Then there is a decomposition of E -vector space: $\mathbb{H} = E \oplus \mathfrak{j}E$. Let $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{H}/E}$ denote the canonical projection from \mathbb{H} to E defined by $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{H}/E}(e_1 + \mathfrak{j}e_2) = e_1$, for $e_1, e_2 \in E$. Now, we can define an E -hermitian form \langle, \rangle on \mathbb{H} as follows:

$$\langle e_1 + \mathfrak{j}e_2, e'_1 + \mathfrak{j}e'_2 \rangle = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{H}/E} \left(\overline{(e_1 + \mathfrak{j}e_2)} (e'_1 + \mathfrak{j}e'_2) \right) = \overline{e_1} e'_1 + \overline{e_2} \mathfrak{j} e'_2 = \overline{e_1} e'_1 + \beta \overline{e_2} e'_2.$$

If given $a, a' \in E$, we then have $\langle (e_1 + \mathfrak{j}e_2)a, (e'_1 + \mathfrak{j}e'_2)a' \rangle = \overline{a} \overline{e_1} e'_1 a' + \beta \overline{a} \overline{e_2} e'_2 a' = \overline{a} \langle e_1 + \mathfrak{j}e_2, e'_1 + \mathfrak{j}e'_2 \rangle a'$. Moreover, if $\langle e_1 + \mathfrak{j}e_2, e_1 + \mathfrak{j}e_2 \rangle = \text{Nrd}(e_1) + \beta \text{Nrd}(e_2) = 0$, then $\text{Nrd}(e_1) = \text{Nrd}(e_2) = 0$, namely $e_1 = e_2 = 0$. So $(\mathbb{H}, \langle, \rangle)$ is the unique anisotropic space over E of dimension 2, up to isometry. Under the basis $\{1, \mathfrak{j}\}$ of \mathbb{H} , we identify $U(V, \langle, \rangle)$ with the unitary matrix group

$U_V(D)$ consisting of elements $G = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} \\ \alpha_{21} & \alpha_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(E)$ such that

$$G^* \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix} G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $*$: $\text{GL}_2(E) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(E)$ is the conjugate transpose operator. By calculation, (2.1) is equivalent to,

$$\begin{aligned} N_{E/F}(\alpha_{11}) + \beta N_{E/F}(\alpha_{21}) &= 1, \\ N_{E/F}(\alpha_{12}) + \beta N_{E/F}(\alpha_{22}) &= \beta, \\ \overline{\alpha_{11}} \alpha_{12} + \beta \overline{\alpha_{21}} \alpha_{22} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Lemma 2.11. (1) *There is a canonical embedding $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{H}) \rightarrow U(V, \langle, \rangle)$; $\alpha_1 + \mathfrak{j}\alpha_2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & -\beta \overline{\alpha_2} \\ \alpha_2 & \overline{\alpha_1} \end{pmatrix}$.*

(2) *$U(V, \langle, \rangle)$ contains a subgroup $\mathfrak{U} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & u \end{pmatrix} \mid u \in \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(E) \right\}$. Moreover, $U(V, \langle, \rangle) = \{H \cdot A \mid H \in \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{H}), A \in \mathfrak{U}\}$.*

Proof. The first part of (2) follows from the above equations (2.2). By definition, an element $\alpha_1 + \mathfrak{j}\alpha_2 \in \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{H})$ belongs to $U(V, \langle, \rangle)$, and sends 1 to $\alpha_1 + \mathfrak{j}\alpha_2$, and \mathfrak{j} to $-\beta \overline{\alpha_2} + \mathfrak{j} \overline{\alpha_1}$, which gives the result (1). Moreover, $G = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} \\ \alpha_{21} & \alpha_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in U(V, \langle, \rangle)$ can be written in the following forms:

(1) $G = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} \overline{\alpha_{11}} \alpha_{22}^{-1} \\ \alpha_{21} & \overline{\alpha_{11}} \alpha_{22} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{\alpha_{11}}^{-1} \alpha_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ with $\alpha_{12} \overline{\alpha_{11}} \alpha_{22}^{-1} = -\beta \overline{\alpha_{21}}$ by equations (2.2), if $\alpha_{11} \neq 0$, and $\alpha_{22} \neq 0$;

(2) $G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\beta\overline{\alpha_{21}} \\ \alpha_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_{12}(-\beta\overline{\alpha_{21}})^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ with $N_{E/F}(\alpha_{12}(-\beta\overline{\alpha_{21}})^{-1}) = 1$ by equations (2.2), if $\alpha_{11} = \alpha_{22} = 0$ is possible.

So the other part of (2) follows. \square

Lemma 2.12. $[U(V, \langle, \rangle), U(V, \langle, \rangle)] = [\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{H}), \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{H})] \simeq \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{H}) \cap (1 + \mathfrak{P})$.

Proof. We prove the result along with the cases given in Proposition 2.2. First of all,

$$[U(V, \langle, \rangle), U(V, \langle, \rangle)] = [\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{H}), \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{H})][\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{H}), \mathfrak{U}] \subseteq \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{H}).$$

In case $\mathfrak{i} = \xi, \mathfrak{j} = \omega$ or $\xi\omega$, the commutator of two elements $G_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & -\beta\overline{\alpha_2} \\ u_1\alpha_2 & u_1\overline{\alpha_1} \end{pmatrix}, G_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha'_1 & -\beta\overline{\alpha'_2} \\ u'_1\alpha'_2 & u'_1\overline{\alpha'_1} \end{pmatrix} \in U(V, \langle, \rangle)$, modulo \mathfrak{P} , is presented by

$$[G_1, G_2] \equiv \left[\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 \\ u_1\alpha_2 & u_1\overline{\alpha_1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha'_1 & 0 \\ u'_1\alpha'_2 & u'_1\overline{\alpha'_1} \end{pmatrix} \right] \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ * & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}},$$

which means $[G_1, G_2] \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$ in $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{H})$. In case $\mathfrak{i} = \omega$ or $\xi\omega, \mathfrak{j} = \xi$, an element $G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & u_1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{U}$ has the form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$; hence the derived subgroup of $U(V, \langle, \rangle)$ degenerates to that of $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{H})$. \square

Remark 2.13. *By Proposition 2.2, arguing for the unitary groups of anisotropic skew hermitian spaces over E eventually reduces to the above cases.*

2.2.3. *The anisotropic unitary groups II.* Let $(V = \mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{i}] \oplus \mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{j}] \oplus \mathbb{H}[\mathbb{1}], \langle, \rangle)$ be a right anisotropic skew hermitian space over \mathbb{H} of dimension 3 subject to the conditions that (1) $\{1, \mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j}, \mathbb{1}\}$ is a standard basis of \mathbb{H} ; (2) $\mathfrak{i}^2 = -\alpha, \mathfrak{j}^2 = -\beta, \mathbb{1}^2 = -\mathbb{k}^2 = \alpha\beta$;[†] (3) $\mathbb{1} = \mathfrak{i}b_0 + \mathfrak{j}c_0 + \mathbb{k}d_0$ for $b_0, c_0, d_0 \in F$, so $b_0^2\alpha + c_0^2\beta + d_0^2\alpha\beta = -\alpha\beta$. The purpose of this sub-section is to review the concrete description of the group $U(V, \langle, \rangle)$ by Satake[19], and derive some consequences.

Let us begin with recalling two results in classical groups. Let M be a vector space over F of dimension 4 with basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_4\}$.

Theorem 2.14 ([2, Chapitre IV, §8]). *There is an exact sequence*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & F^\times & \longrightarrow & (F^\times \times \mathrm{GL}(M)) & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & \mathrm{GO}^+(\wedge^2 M, Q) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & & & t & \longmapsto & (t^2, t^{-1}) \end{array}$$

where $\mathrm{GO}^+(\wedge^2 M, Q) = \{g \in \mathrm{GO}(\wedge^2 M, Q) \mid \det(g) = \lambda(g)^3\}$, and $\lambda: \mathrm{GO}(\wedge^2 M, Q) \longrightarrow F^\times$ is the similitude character.

Remark 2.15. *If we choose $x_{ij} = x_i \wedge x_j$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$, to be the basis of $\wedge^2 M$, then $(Q(x_{ij}, x_{kl})) = \mathrm{diag}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right)$. Through the mapping κ , the action of $g = (a_{ij}) \in \mathrm{GL}_4(F) \simeq \mathrm{GL}(M)$ on $x_k \wedge x_l$ is given by $g \cdot (x_k \wedge x_l) = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4} a_{ik} a_{jl} x_i \wedge x_j$. Following [19], we denote $g^{(2)}$ to be the matrix in $\mathrm{GL}_6(F)$ such that $g \cdot (x_{12}, x_{34}; x_{13}, x_{24}; x_{14}, x_{23}) = (x_{12}, x_{34}; x_{13}, x_{24}; x_{14}, x_{23})g^{(2)}$.*

Set $F_1 = F(\mathfrak{i}), K = F_1(\sqrt{-\beta})$, and $\mathrm{Gal}(F_1/F) = \langle \sigma \rangle, \mathrm{Gal}(K/F) = \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$. For the above right skew hermitian space V over \mathbb{H} , we let \mathbf{U}_V (resp. \mathbf{SU}_V) be the associated unitary (resp. the special unitary) group scheme.

Lemma 2.16. $U(V, \langle, \rangle)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{SU}_V(F)$.

Proof. See [10, p. 21] or [3, Section 2.2]. \square

By turning to $V_{F_1} = V \otimes_F F_1$, indeed we obtain a right skew hermitian space over the splitting algebra $M_2(F_1)$ with the skew hermitian form $\langle, \rangle_{V_{F_1}}$ induced by the scalar extension. Moreover according to [19, Section 1], the unitary group $U(V_{F_1}, \langle, \rangle_{V_{F_1}})$ is isomorphic to an orthogonal group $O(W, (\cdot, \cdot)_W)$, where $W = F^2 \times F^2 \times F^2$, and the form is given

[†] We use the different notion from Satake's[19]: $\mathfrak{i}^2 = -\alpha, \mathfrak{j}^2 = -\beta$ instead of $\mathfrak{i}^2 = \alpha, \mathfrak{i}^2 = \beta$.

by $((w_1, w_2, w_3), (w'_1, w'_2, w'_3))_W = w_1^t Q_1 w'_1 + w_2^t Q_2 w'_2 + w_3^t Q_3 w'_3$, for $w_i, w'_i \in F^2$, $Q_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathfrak{i} \\ -\mathfrak{i} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $Q_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}$, $Q_3 = \begin{pmatrix} c_0 - d_0 \mathfrak{i} & -b_0 \mathfrak{i} \\ -b_0 \mathfrak{i} & \beta(c_0 + d_0 \mathfrak{i}) \end{pmatrix}$. By [19, p. 405], we let $P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\mathfrak{i} \end{pmatrix}$, $P_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{-\beta} \\ 1 & -\sqrt{-\beta} \end{pmatrix}$, $P_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -c_0 + d_0 \mathfrak{i} & (b_0 + \sqrt{-\beta}) \mathfrak{i} \\ 1 & \frac{(-b_0 + \sqrt{-\beta}) \mathfrak{i}}{c_0 - d_0 \mathfrak{i}} \end{pmatrix}$, and $P = \text{diag}(P_1, P_2, P_3)$. Let $I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\mathfrak{i}(b_0 + \sqrt{-\beta})}{\sqrt{-\beta}} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_4(K)$, $J = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{b_0 + \sqrt{-\beta}}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\sqrt{-\beta}}{2\mathfrak{i}} \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c_0 + d_0 \mathfrak{i} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ c_0 - d_0 \mathfrak{i} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_4(K)$.

Lemma 2.17 ([19, Section 3]). *There is an exact sequence $1 \rightarrow F_1^\times \rightarrow C^+(W, (\cdot, \cdot)_W) \xrightarrow{\kappa} \text{SO}(W, (\cdot, \cdot)_W) \rightarrow 1$, where $C^+(W, (\cdot, \cdot)_W) = \{(t, g) \mid g \in \text{GL}_4(K), t \in F_1^\times \text{ such that } t^2 \det(g) = 1 \text{ and } J^{-1}gJ = g^\tau\}$. The mapping κ is defined by $(t, g) \mapsto tP^{-1}g^{(2)}P$, where $g^{(2)}$ is given in Remark 2.15.*

Accord to [19, p.404], $U(V, \langle, \rangle)$ is isomorphic to the $\text{Gal}(F_1/F)$ -invariant part of $\text{SO}(W, (\cdot, \cdot)_W)$.

Proposition 2.18 ([19, p. 407]). *There exists an exact sequence $1 \rightarrow F^\times \rightarrow C^+(V, \langle, \rangle) \xrightarrow{\kappa} \mathbf{SU}_V(F) \rightarrow 1$, where $C^+(V, \langle, \rangle) = \{(t, g) \mid g \in \text{GL}_4(K), t \in F^\times \text{ such that } t^2 \det(g) = 1, J^{-1}gJ = g^\tau, I^{-1}gI = g^\sigma\}$.*

Let $\mathbb{D}_4 = \{0\} \cup \{g \in \text{GL}_4(K) \mid J^{-1}gJ = g^\tau, I^{-1}gI = g^\sigma\}$. Then it was shown by Satake in [19, p.407] that \mathbb{D}_4 is a division algebra over F of degree 4, and $\mathbb{D}_4 = \tilde{K} + \mathfrak{a}_1 \tilde{K} + \mathfrak{a}_2 \tilde{K} + \mathfrak{a}_3 \tilde{K}$ for $\tilde{K} = \{\tilde{\alpha} = \text{diag}(\alpha, \alpha^\tau, \alpha^\sigma, \alpha^{\sigma\tau}) \mid \alpha \in K\}$ and $\mathfrak{a}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} X_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & X_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_4(K)$, $\mathfrak{a}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Y_{12} \\ Y_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_4(K)$, $\mathfrak{a}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Z_{12} \\ Z_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_4(K)$ with $X_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(c_0 + d_0 \mathfrak{i}) \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $X_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{-\beta}(c_0 + d_0 \mathfrak{i})}{\mathfrak{i}(b_0 + \sqrt{-\beta})} \\ \frac{\mathfrak{i}}{\sqrt{-\beta}}(b_0 + \sqrt{-\beta}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $Y_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & c_0 - d_0 \mathfrak{i} \end{pmatrix}$, $Y_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{b_0 + \sqrt{-\beta}}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{b_0 - \sqrt{-\beta}}{2(c_0 - d_0 \mathfrak{i})} \end{pmatrix}$, $Z_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{\sqrt{-\beta}}{2\mathfrak{i}} \\ \frac{\sqrt{-\beta}}{2\mathfrak{i}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $Z_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ in $\text{GL}_2(K)$. For simplicity, we will identify \tilde{K} with K henceforth.

Now let $(V_1 = \mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{j}] \oplus \mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{i}], \langle, \rangle_{V_1})$ be a subspace of (V, \langle, \rangle) . We denote $D_{F(\mathfrak{i})} = K + \mathfrak{a}_1 K$ to be the quaternion algebra over $F(\mathfrak{i})$ endowed with the reduced norm $\text{Nrd}(k_1 + \mathfrak{a}_1 k_2) = k_1^{1+\tau} + (c_0 - d_0 \mathfrak{i}) k_2^{1+\tau}$ for $k_1, k_2 \in K$.

Proposition 2.19 ([19, p. 409]). *There exists an exact sequence $1 \rightarrow F^\times \rightarrow C^+(V_1, \langle, \rangle_{V_1}) \xrightarrow{\kappa_1} U(V_1, \langle, \rangle_{V_1}) \rightarrow 1$, where $C^+(V_1, \langle, \rangle_{V_1}) = \{(t, g) \in C^+(V, \langle, \rangle) \mid t \in F^\times, g \in D_{F(\mathfrak{i})} \text{ and } \text{Nrd}(g)t = 1\}$.*

2.3. In the rest of this subsection, we assume that \mathfrak{j}^2 is a uniformizer of F .

Lemma 2.20. *Under the conditions at the beginning of Section 2.2.3, the quotient group $F^\times / (F^\times)^2$ is represented by the set $\{1, -\alpha, \beta, -\alpha\beta\}$.[‡]*

Proof. By the second part of Proposition 2.2, and [9, p. 155, Proposition 2.9], $-1 \in \text{N}_{F(\mathfrak{i})/F}(F(\mathfrak{i})^\times)$, or $-1 \in \text{N}_{F(\mathfrak{k})/F}(F(\mathfrak{k})^\times)$. In the first case, $-\alpha = -1 \cdot \alpha \in \text{N}_{F_1/F}(F_1^\times)$. So $F^\times = \langle \text{N}_{F_1/F}(F_1^\times), -\alpha\beta \rangle$, and $F^\times = \text{N}_{F_1/F}(F_1^\times) \sqcup (-\alpha\beta \text{N}_{F_1/F}(F_1^\times)) = (F^\times)^2 \sqcup (-\alpha(F^\times)^2) \sqcup (-\alpha\beta(F^\times)^2) \sqcup (\beta(F^\times)^2)$. The proof of the second case is similar. \square

Lemma 2.21. *Let $Y = \{(1, 1), (-\alpha, \mathfrak{i}^{-1}), (\beta, \sqrt{-\beta}^{-1}), (-\alpha\beta, \mathfrak{i}^{-1} \sqrt{-\beta}^{-1})\}$, and let Ξ be a coset representatives of $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{D}_4) / [\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{D}_4), \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{D}_4)]$. Then the canonical mapping induced by the exact sequence in Proposition 2.18 from $T = \{\omega\zeta \mid \omega \in \Xi, \zeta \in Y\}$ to $U(V, \langle, \rangle) / [U(V, \langle, \rangle), U(V, \langle, \rangle)]$ is surjective.*

[‡]Notice that if F is a non-archimedean local field of residue characteristic 2, then the cardinality of the quotient group $F^\times / (F^\times)^2$ is much larger than 4 (cf. [9, p.162, Corollary 2.23]).

Proof. Results of Proposition 2.18 show that

$$\mathbf{U}(V, \langle, \rangle) / [\mathbf{U}(V, \langle, \rangle), \mathbf{U}(V, \langle, \rangle)] \simeq C^+(V, \langle, \rangle) / ([C^+(V, \langle, \rangle), C^+(V, \langle, \rangle)] F^\times)$$

by identifying F^\times with a subgroup of $C^+(V, \langle, \rangle)$ via the exact sequence there. Now let $N = \{(t, k) \in C^+(V, \langle, \rangle) \mid k \in K^\times, t \in F^\times \text{ such that } N_{K/F}(k)t^2 = 1\}$ be a subgroup of $C^+(V, \langle, \rangle)$. The field extension K of F contains $F(\mathfrak{i})$ and $F(\sqrt{-\beta})$, so that $N_{K/F}(K^\times) \supseteq (N_{F(\mathfrak{i})/F}(F(\mathfrak{i})^\times))^2 \cup (N_{F(\sqrt{-\beta})/F}(F(\sqrt{-\beta})^\times))^2 \supseteq (F^\times)^2$; thus every element $g \in C^+(V, \langle, \rangle)$ can be written in the form $g = (t_1, k_1 g_1)$ for $k_1 \in K^\times$, $t_1 \in F^\times$ with $N_{K/F}(k_1)t_1^2 = 1$, and $g_1 \in \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{D}_4)$. This in turn shows that $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{D}_4)$ is a normal subgroup of $C^+(V, \langle, \rangle)$, and $C^+(V, \langle, \rangle) = \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{D}_4)N$. As a consequence, one obtains

$$[C^+(V, \langle, \rangle), C^+(V, \langle, \rangle)] \simeq [\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{D}_4)N, \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{D}_4)] = [\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{D}_4), \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{D}_4)] \cdot [N, \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{D}_4)]$$

and

$$C^+(V, \langle, \rangle) / ([C^+(V, \langle, \rangle), C^+(V, \langle, \rangle)] F^\times) \simeq \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{D}_4)N / ([\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{D}_4), \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{D}_4)] F^\times [N, \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{D}_4)]).$$

By observation, the group $N/([\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(K)F^\times])$ is represented by the set Y ; this ensures the result. \square

Lemma 2.22. *Let Ξ_1 be a coset representatives of $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{D}_{F(\mathfrak{i})})/[\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{D}_{F(\mathfrak{i})}), \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{D}_{F(\mathfrak{i})})]$. Then the canonical mapping from $T_1 = \{\omega\zeta \mid \omega \in \Xi_1, \zeta = (1, 1), (-\alpha, \mathfrak{i}^{-1}), (\beta, \sqrt{-\beta}^{-1}), (-\alpha\beta, \mathfrak{i}^{-1} \sqrt{-\beta}^{-1})\}$ to $\mathbf{U}(V_1, \langle, \rangle_1)/[\mathbf{U}(V_1, \langle, \rangle_1), \mathbf{U}(V_1, \langle, \rangle_1)]$ is surjective.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 2.21. \square

2.4. Moore cohomology. For the sake of completeness we recall some aspects of spectral sequence of topological group extensions developed by Moore in [11] and [12]. Our purpose is to extend the classical five inflation-restriction exact sequence to six terms in such case, so that one can use it freely in next sections.

2.4.1. Moore cohomology. Let G be a locally profinite group, and let A be a finite abelian group on which G acts *trivially*. Let $H^*(G, A)$ be the cohomology groups as defined in [11] by Moore. Now let K be a normal closed subgroup of G . To filter $H^*(G, A)$ with $G \supseteq K \supseteq 0$, Moore introduced the following standard filtering $\{L_j\}$ on the complex $C^*(G, A)$:

- (1) $L_j = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} L_j \cap C^n(G, A)$.
- (2) $L_j \cap C^n(G, A) = 0$, if $j > n$, and $L_j \cap C^n(G, A) = 0$, if $j < 0$.
- (3) For $0 \leq j \leq n$, $L_j \cap C^n(G, A)$ is the group of all elements $f \in C^n(G, A)$ such that $f(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ depends on s_1, \dots, s_{n-j} , and the cosets $s_{n-j+1}K, \dots, s_nK$.

It is clear that $\delta(L_j) \subseteq L_j$. Let Z_r^j denote the preimage of L_{j+r} in L_j and $E_r^j = Z_r^j / (Z_{r-1}^{j+1} + \delta(Z_{r-1}^{j+1-r}))$. The group $E_r^{j,i}$ is just the image of $Z_r^j \cap C^{i+j}(G, A)$ in E_r^j . By definition, one has $E_1^{j,i} \simeq H^{i+j}(L_j/L_{j+1})$. To use the spectral sequence like [6], let $C^j(G/K, C^i(K, A))$ be the group of "normalized" j -cochains f 's on G/K with values in $C^i(K, A)$ subject to the condition that $f(\overline{s_1}, \dots, \overline{s_j})[t_1, \dots, t_i]$ defines a Borel function from $(G/K)^j \times (K)^i$ to A . On $C^j(G/K, C^*(K, A))$, one introduces the natural coboundary operators δ_K^* , and obtains the i -th cohomology group $H^i(C^j(G/K, C^*(K, A)))$. In [11, p.48, Lemma 1.1], it is shown that $E_1^{j,i}$ is isomorphic to $H^i(C^j(G/K, C^*(K, A)))$. Notice that a Borel homomorphism of local compact groups is also continuous, so we have $H^1(K, A) \simeq \text{Hom}(K, A)$, and $H^1(K, A)$ becomes a topological group when equipped with a canonical Borel structure. Along with [6] but taking the Borel structure into account, Moore proved the following results:

Theorem 2.23 ([11, p.49 and p.52, Theorem 1.1]). (1) $E_1^{j,0} \simeq C^j(G/K, A)$, $E_1^{0,i} \simeq H^i(K, A)$.
 (2) $E_1^{j,1} \simeq C^j(G/K, H^1(K, A))$, and $E_2^{j,1} \simeq H^j(G/K, H^1(K, A))$.

According to [11, pp. 52-53], the composed map $H^j(G/K, A) \simeq E_2^{j,0} \longrightarrow E_\infty^{j,0} \hookrightarrow H^j(G, A)$ is the inflation from G/K to G , $j = 1, 2$ and the composed map $H^i(G, A) \twoheadrightarrow E_\infty^{0,i} \hookrightarrow E_2^{0,i} \simeq H^i(K, A)$ is the restriction from G to H . By [6, p.130, Remark] we have the following inflation-restriction sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(G/K, A) \xrightarrow{\text{inf}_1} H^1(G, A) \xrightarrow{\text{res}_1} H^1(K, A) \xrightarrow{d_2} H^2(G/K, A) \xrightarrow{\text{inf}_2} H^2(G, A). \quad (2.3)$$

For later use, let us extend the above long exact sequence to six terms. Now let $H^2(G, A)_1$ denote the kernel of the restriction from $H^2(G, A)$ to $H^2(K, A)$, which is isomorphic to $H^2(L_1)$. Recall that the coimage of d_2 is $E_\infty^{2,0}$, which is isomorphic with

$H^2(L_2)$. Note that $H^2(L_1)/H^2(L_2)$ is isomorphic with $E_\infty^{1,1}$. Now $\delta : E_2^{1,1} \rightarrow E_2^{3,-1}$ is null, so there is an embedding $E_\infty^{1,1} \hookrightarrow E_2^{1,1} \simeq H^1(G/K, H^1(K, A))$. Hence we conclude that the following exact sequence of six terms holds:

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G/K, A) \xrightarrow{\text{inf}_1} H^1(G, A) \xrightarrow{\text{res}_1} H^1(K, A)^G \xrightarrow{d_2} H^2(G/K, A) \xrightarrow{\text{inf}_2} H^2(G, A)_1 \xrightarrow{p} H^1(G/K, H^1(K, A)). \quad (2.4)$$

Remark that the above sequence coming from spectral sequence is functorial over the pair (G, K) .

2.4.2. Explicit expression. For convenience, let us describe explicitly the map p in terms of cocycles by following [6]. Let $[c] \in H^2(G, A)_1$ such that the restriction of the cocycle c to $K \times K$ is trivial. By definition, we have

$$c(s_2, s_3) - c(s_1 s_2, s_3) + c(s_1, s_2 s_3) - c(s_1, s_2) = 0, \quad s_1, s_2, s_3 \in G \quad (2.5)$$

We choose a set of representatives $\Omega = \{s^* \in G\}$ for G/K such that the restriction of the morphism $G \rightarrow G/K$ to Ω is a Borel isomorphism, and $1_G \in \Omega$. For $s = s^* t$, $t \in K$, define $h(s) = c(s^*, t)$. Note that

$$\delta_1 h(s, t') = h(t') - h(st') + h(s) = -c(s^*, tt') + c(s^*, t), \quad t' \in K \quad (2.6)$$

Consider $c^*(s, s_1) = c(s, s_1) + \delta_1 h(s, s_1)$, for $s = s^* t$, $s_1 \in G$. Then $c^*(s, t') = (c + \delta_1 h)(s, t')$ is zero by (2.5) and (2.6). As $\delta_2 c^* = \delta_2(c + \delta_1 h) = 0$, we obtain $c^*(s^*, t) - c^*(s_1 s^*, t) + c^*(s_1, s^* t) - c^*(s_1, s^*) = 0$. Hence $c^*(s_1, s) = c^*(s_1, s^* t) = c^*(s_1, s^*)$, meaning that $c^*(s_1, s)$ depends only on s_1 and the coset sK . By replacing c with c^* , the map p is just given by $p([c^*]) : sK \mapsto (t \mapsto c^*(t, s))$, for $t \in K$, $s \in G$.

3. THE CRITERION

We keep the notations of Section 2. Throughout this section, we will let $\Lambda_\Gamma = \{\lambda_1(g_1) = \lambda_2(g_2)^{-1} \mid (g_1, g_2) \in \Gamma\}$. Then there exists a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow U(W_1) \times U(W_2) \rightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{\lambda} \Lambda_\Gamma \rightarrow 1$, where λ sends (g_1, g_2) to $\lambda_1(g_1)$. Applying the given map ι in Section 1, we obtain $0 \rightarrow \iota(U(W_1) \times U(W_2)) \rightarrow \iota(\Gamma) \rightarrow \iota(\Gamma)/\iota(U(W_1) \times U(W_2)) \rightarrow 1$. By an abuse of notation, we write $\iota(\Lambda_\Gamma)$ for $\iota(\Gamma)/\iota(U(W_1) \times U(W_2))$ in the following. By Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence (cf. Section 2.4), there exists the following long exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\iota(\Lambda_\Gamma), \mu_8) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\iota(\Gamma), \mu_8) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\iota(U(W_1) \times U(W_2)), \mu_8)^{\iota(\Gamma)} \rightarrow H^2(\iota(\Lambda_\Gamma), \mu_8) \\ \rightarrow H^2(\iota(\Gamma), \mu_8)_1 \rightarrow H^1(\iota(\Lambda_\Gamma), H^1(\iota(U(W_1) \times U(W_2)), \mu_8))$$

Now let $[c_{Rao}] \in H^2(\text{Sp}(W), \mu_8)$ be the unique nontrivial class of order 2 and $[c]$ its restriction to $\iota(\Gamma)$. By Theorem 1.1, the restriction of $[c]$ to $\iota(U(W_1) \times U(W_2))$ is trivial apart from the exceptional case mentioned there.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that Γ_1 is a closed subgroup of Γ satisfying the following four conditions:*

- (C1) $\lambda : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Lambda_\Gamma$ is surjective;
- (C2) $\text{Hom}(\iota(\Gamma_1), \mu_8) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\iota(U(W_1) \times U(W_2))_0, \mu_8)^{\iota(\Gamma_1)}$ is surjective, where $\iota(U(W_1) \times U(W_2))_0 = \iota(\Gamma_1) \cap \iota(U(W_1) \times U(W_2))$;
§
- (C3) Under the restriction map $\text{Res} : H^2(\iota(\Gamma), \mu_8) \rightarrow H^2(\iota(\Gamma_1), \mu_8)$ the image of $[c]$ is trivial;
- (C4) For each $v = 1, 2$, there exists a set Ω_v of representatives for $\iota(U(W_v))/[\iota(U(W_v)), \iota(U(W_v))]$ such that the set $[\Omega_v]^{\iota(\Gamma_1)}$ belongs to the parabolic subgroup $P(Y_v)$ for some Lagrangian vector space Y_v of W .

Then the exact sequence $1 \rightarrow \mu_8 \rightarrow \bar{\Gamma} \rightarrow \iota(\Gamma) \rightarrow 1$ splits over $\iota(\Gamma)$.

Proof. $\iota(\Gamma)$ is identified with $\iota(\Gamma_1) \cdot \iota(U(W_1) \times U(W_2))$, so $\iota(\Gamma_1)/\iota(U(W_1) \times U(W_2))_0 \simeq \iota(\Lambda_\Gamma)$. Applying the six-term exact sequence (2.4) to the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \rightarrow & \iota(U(W_1) \times U(W_2))_0 & \rightarrow & \iota(\Gamma_1) & \rightarrow & \iota(\Lambda_\Gamma) \rightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 & \rightarrow & \iota(U(W_1) \times U(W_2)) & \rightarrow & \iota(\Gamma) & \rightarrow & \iota(\Lambda_\Gamma) \rightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

§ When $D = F$ or $D = \mathbb{H}$, the kernel of ι is just $\{(1, 1), (-1, -1)\}$; when $D = E$, the kernel-ker ι belongs to the center of $U(W_1) \times U(W_2)$. If $\ker \iota$ belongs to Γ_1 , $\iota(\Gamma_1) \cap \iota(U(W_1) \times U(W_2)) = \iota(\Gamma_1 \cap (U(W_1) \times U(W_2)))$. In the general case, one can add $\ker \iota$ to Γ_1 to avoid the problem.

yields a diagram of long exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^2(\iota(\Lambda_\Gamma), \mu_8) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & \mathrm{H}^2(\iota(\Gamma_1), \mu_8)_1 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^1(\iota(\Lambda_\Gamma), \mathrm{H}^1(\iota(\mathrm{U}(W_1) \times \mathrm{U}(W_2))_0, \mu_8)) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow l & & \uparrow & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^2(\iota(\Lambda_\Gamma), \mu_8) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathrm{H}^2(\iota(\Gamma), \mu_8)_1 & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathrm{H}^1(\iota(\Lambda_\Gamma), \mathrm{H}^1(\iota(\mathrm{U}(W_1) \times \mathrm{U}(W_2)), \mu_8)) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

It is possible to divide the horizontal arrow p into p_1, p_2 , where $p_\nu : \mathrm{H}^2(\iota(\Gamma), \mu_8)_1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(\iota(\Lambda_\Gamma), \mathrm{H}^1(\iota(\mathrm{U}(W_\nu)), \mu_8))$. For each $\nu = 1, 2$, we let c_ν be a 2-cocycle constructed in [10, p. 55, Théorème], associated to Y_ν and ψ , which is a Borel function from $\mathrm{Sp}(W) \times \mathrm{Sp}(W)$ to μ_8 . Under the restriction from $\mathrm{H}^2(\iota(\Gamma), \mu_8)$ to $\mathrm{H}^2(\iota(\mathrm{U}(W_1) \times \mathrm{U}(W_2)), \mu_8)$, the image of $[c_\nu]$ is trivial, meaning that $[c_\nu]$ lies in $\mathrm{H}^2(\iota(\Gamma), \mu_8)_1$. So there is a Borel function f from $\iota(\mathrm{U}(W_1) \times \mathrm{U}(W_2))$ to μ_8 such that $c_\nu(t_1, t_2) = f_\nu(t_1 t_2) f_\nu(t_1)^{-1} f_\nu(t_2)^{-1}$, for $t_1, t_2 \in \iota(\mathrm{U}(W_1) \times \mathrm{U}(W_2))$. Note that when $t_0 \in \Omega_\nu \subseteq P(Y_\nu)$, $t \in \iota(\mathrm{U}(W_1) \times \mathrm{U}(W_2))$, we even have $f_\nu(t_0 t) = f_\nu(t_0) f_\nu(t) = f_\nu(t t_0)$. According to [11, p.42, Definition 1.2], we can choose a set of representatives $\Delta = \{s^* \in \iota(\Gamma_1)\}$ for $\iota(\Gamma)/\iota(\mathrm{U}(W_1) \times \mathrm{U}(W_2))$ subject to the conditions that the restriction of $\iota(\Gamma) \longrightarrow \iota(\Gamma)/\iota(\mathrm{U}(W_1) \times \mathrm{U}(W_2))$ to Δ is a Borel isomorphism, and Δ contains the identity element of $\iota(\Gamma)$ or $\iota(\Gamma_1)$. Now let f_ν extend to a Borel function of $\iota(\Gamma)$ by taking the trivial value outside $\iota(\mathrm{U}(W_1) \times \mathrm{U}(W_2))$. We replace c_ν with $c'_\nu = c_\nu \cdot \delta_1 f_\nu$, i.e. $c'_\nu(s_1, s_2) = c_\nu(s_1, s_2) f_\nu(s_1) f_\nu(s_2) f_\nu(s_1 s_2)^{-1}$ for $s_1, s_2 \in \iota(\Gamma)$. It is immediate that $c'_\nu(t, t') = 1$ for $t, t' \in \iota(\mathrm{U}(W_1) \times \mathrm{U}(W_2))$. Following Section 2.4.2, we define a Borel function h of $\iota(\Gamma)$ as $h(s) = c'_\nu(s^*, t)$, for $s = s^* t \in \iota(\Gamma)$ with $s^* \in \Delta$, $t \in \iota(\mathrm{U}(W_1) \times \mathrm{U}(W_2))$, and consider the cocycle $c'_\nu = c'_\nu \cdot \delta_1 h$; then the map p_ν is just given by $p_\nu([c_\nu]) : s^* \longmapsto (t \longmapsto c'_\nu(t, s^*))$, for $t \in \iota(\mathrm{U}(W_\nu)), s^* \in \Delta$. Notice that $p_\nu([c_\nu])(s^*)$ belongs to $\mathrm{Hom}(\iota(\mathrm{U}(W_\nu)), \mu_8)$, so it depends only on the values at those $t_0 \in \Omega_\nu$. Now $c'_\nu(t_0, s^*) = c'_\nu(t_0, s^*) [\delta_1 h(t_0, s^*)] = c'_\nu(t_0, s^*) c'_\nu(s^*, (s^*)^{-1} t_0 s^*)^{-1} = f_\nu(t_0) f_\nu^{s^*}(t_0)^{-1}$, a coboundary with respect to $\mathrm{H}^1(\iota(\Gamma), \mathrm{Hom}(\iota(\mathrm{U}(W_\nu)), \mu_8))$. Hence under the map p_ν , the image of $[c_\nu]$ is trivial, and $[c_1] = [c_2] = \alpha([d])$ for some $[d] \in \mathrm{H}^2(\iota(\Lambda_\Gamma), \mu_8)$. By assumption, $l([c_\nu]) = 0 = \alpha_1([d])$; as α_1 is injective, we get $[d] = 0$, and then $[c_\nu] = 0$, so the result follows. \square

Remark 3.2. *Keep the above notations. The result also holds if we replace the above (C3) and (C4) by the following condition:*
 (C3 $\frac{1}{2}$) *For each $\nu = 1, 2$, there exists a set Ω_ν of representatives for $\iota(\mathrm{U}(W_\nu))/[\iota(\mathrm{U}(W_\nu)), \iota(\mathrm{U}(W_\nu))]$ such that the set $[\Omega_1]^{l(\Gamma_1)}$ belongs to $P(Y_1)$ for some Lagrangian vector space Y_1 of W , and the restriction of $[c]$ to the new group generated by $\iota(\Gamma_1)$ and $\iota(\Omega_2)$ is trivial.*

Proof. Under the above condition, it can also be shown that $p([c])$ is trivial in $\mathrm{H}^1(\iota(\Lambda_\Gamma), \mathrm{H}^1(\iota(\mathrm{U}(W_1) \times \mathrm{U}(W_2)), \mu_8))$, and the remaining proof is the same as above. \square

In the following Sections 4—9, we shall prove Theorem A. Our main tool is the above lemma 3.1, and it reduces to find certain suitable subgroup Γ_1 of Γ satisfying the desired conditions (C1)—(C4), or the variant ones. In the rest of this paper, we shall frequently come back to these conditions without further illustration.

4. THE PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM-PART I.

In this section, we follow the notations of Section 3 and prove Theorem A (cf. Section 1) in one major type of cases, where either W_1 or W_2 is a hyperbolic space over D .

Proposition 4.1. *When W_1 or W_2 is a hyperbolic space over D , Theorem A holds.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $W_2 \simeq n_2 H$ for a hyperbolic plane H over D . Let $H = X \oplus X^*$ be a complete polarisation, so that we can define two sections $s^\pm : F^\times \longrightarrow \mathrm{GU}(W_2); a \longmapsto \underbrace{t_a^\pm \times \cdots \times t_a^\pm}_{n_2}$ for $t_a^\pm = \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \pm a \end{pmatrix} \in$

$\mathrm{GU}(H)$. Consider the subgroup $\Gamma_1 = \{(g_1, g_2) \in \mathrm{GU}(W_1) \times s^\pm(F^\times) \mid \lambda_1(g_1) = \lambda_2(g_2)^{-1}\}$ of Γ . We identify $\Lambda_\Gamma = \Lambda_{\Gamma_1}$, and fairly have $\Gamma_1 \cap (\mathrm{U}(W_1, \langle, \rangle_1) \times \mathrm{U}(W_2, \langle, \rangle_2)) = \{(1, 1), (-1, -1)\}$. It is known that Γ_1 belongs to a parabolic subgroup $P(W_1 \otimes X^*)$ of $\mathrm{Sp}(W, \langle, \rangle)$, provided the condition (C3). By Lemmas 2.4, 2.5,[¶] $P(W_1 \otimes X^*)$ contains a complete set of representatives for $\mathrm{U}(W_2, \langle, \rangle_2)/[\mathrm{U}(W_2, \langle, \rangle_2), \mathrm{U}(W_2, \langle, \rangle_2)]$, and also $\mathrm{U}(W_1, \langle, \rangle_1)$, Γ_1 , so the condition (C4) holds. By Lemma 3.1, the result follows. \square

[¶]Here, we assume that W_2 is not an orthogonal space over F .

Corollary 4.2. *If W_1 is a symplectic vector space over F and W_2 is an orthogonal vector space over F of even dimension, then the central extension $\overline{\Gamma}$ splits over Γ .*

5. THE PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM-PART II.

In this section we shall prove Theorem A in another type of cases.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $D = E$ be a quadratic field extension of F . If both W_1, W_2 are anisotropic vector spaces over E , then Theorem A holds.*

Proof. Cases I & II: $\dim_E(W_1) = 1$ or $\dim_E(W_2) = 1$. By almost symmetry, we only deal with the first case. Assume $W_1 = E(f)$, for $f = 1$ or $f \in F^\times \setminus N_{E/F}(E^\times)$. We now define an F -bilinear mapping θ from $W \simeq E(f) \otimes_E W_2$ to W_2 as $\theta : W = E(f) \otimes_E W_2 \rightarrow W_2; e \otimes w_2 \mapsto ew_2$, which further induces an isometry of symplectic spaces over F , when W_2 on the right-hand side is endowed with the symplectic form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{2,F} = \text{Tr}_{E/F}(f \overline{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}_2)$. Then the composite map $\iota : \Gamma \hookrightarrow \text{Sp}(W, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) \simeq \text{Sp}(W_2, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{2,F})$, induced by the above θ , implies that $\iota(\Gamma) = \iota(\text{U}(W_1, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1) \times \text{U}(W_2, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2))$. By Theorem 1.1, $\overline{\Gamma}$ splits over Γ .

Case III: $\dim_E(W_1) = \dim_E(W_2) = 2$. By Theorem 2.1, we assume that $W_1 \simeq \mathbb{H}$ and $W_2 \simeq \mathbb{H}$. Suppose now $E = F(\mathfrak{i})$ for some $\mathfrak{i} \in \mathbb{H}^0$, with $\mathfrak{i}^2 = -\alpha \in F^\times$. By [20, p. 358], we choose an element $\mathfrak{j} \in \mathbb{H}$, such that $\{1, \mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j}, \mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{i}\mathfrak{j}\}$ forms a standard basis of \mathbb{H} , with $\mathfrak{i}^2 = -\alpha$ and $\mathfrak{j}^2 = -\beta$. Let $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{H}/E}$ denote the canonical projection from \mathbb{H} to E defined by $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{H}/E}(e_1 + \mathfrak{j}e_2) = e_1$, for $e_i \in E$. Then the E -vector space $\mathbb{H} = E \oplus \mathfrak{j}E$, equipped with the form defined as $\langle e_1 + \mathfrak{j}e_2, e'_1 + \mathfrak{j}e'_2 \rangle_1 = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{H}/E}(\overline{(e_1 + \mathfrak{j}e_2)}(e'_1 + \mathfrak{j}e'_2)) = \overline{e_1}e'_1 + \beta \overline{e_2}e'_2$, for $e_i, e'_i \in \mathbb{H}$, will turn into a hermitian space over E . On the other hand we assume that the form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathbb{H} = E \oplus \mathfrak{j}E$ is just given by $-\mathfrak{i}\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$, i.e., if $e_1 + e_2\mathfrak{j}, e'_1 + e'_2\mathfrak{j} \in \mathbb{H}$, we then have $\langle e_1 + e_2\mathfrak{j}, e'_1 + e'_2\mathfrak{j} \rangle_2 = -\mathfrak{i}(e_1\overline{e'_1} + \beta e_2\overline{e'_2}) = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{H}/E}(-\mathfrak{i}(e_1 + e_2\mathfrak{j})(\overline{e'_1 + e'_2\mathfrak{j}}))$, for $e_1, e'_1, e_2, e'_2 \in E$. The skew hermitian form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{W,E}$ on $W = \mathbb{H} \otimes_E \mathbb{H}$ is now defined as $\langle w_1 \otimes w_2, w'_1 \otimes w'_2 \rangle_{W,E} = \langle w_1, w'_1 \rangle_1 \langle w_2, w'_2 \rangle_2 = \mathfrak{i}(\overline{a_1}b_1a'_1b'_1 + \beta \overline{a_1}b_2a'_1b'_2) + \mathfrak{i}\beta(\overline{a_2}b_1a'_2b'_1 + \beta \overline{a_2}b_2a'_2b'_2)$, for $w_1 = a_1 + \mathfrak{j}a_2, w'_1 = a'_1 + \mathfrak{j}a'_2 \in \mathbb{H}; w_2 = b_1 + b_2\mathfrak{j}, w'_2 = b'_1 + b'_2\mathfrak{j} \in \mathbb{H}$. As is easy to verify that if $\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{H}$ is endowed with the skew hermitian form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{H}, E} = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2 + \beta \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$, then the E -linear map $\theta = \theta_1 \oplus \theta_2 : W = \mathbb{H} \otimes_E \mathbb{H} \simeq (E \oplus \mathfrak{j}E) \otimes_E \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{H}; [(a_1 + \mathfrak{j}a_2) \otimes (b_1 + b_2\mathfrak{j})] \mapsto (a_1b_1 + a_1b_2\mathfrak{j}, a_2b_1 + a_2b_2\mathfrak{j})$, defines an isometry from $(\mathbb{H} \otimes_E \mathbb{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{W,E})$ to $(\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{H}, E})$. By definition, we can embed E^\times into $\text{GU}(W_1)$ resp. $\text{GU}(W_2)$ defined as $e \cdot (e_1 + \mathfrak{j}e_2) := e_1e + \mathfrak{j}e_2e$ resp. $e \cdot (e_1 + e_2\mathfrak{j}) := ee_1 + ee_2\mathfrak{j}$, for $e \in E^\times$; both multipliers of e are the same $N_{E/F}(e)$. We choose an element $e_{-1} \in \mathbb{H}^\times$, with reduced norm -1 . Now let $e_{-1}\mathfrak{j}$ act on W_1 as $[e_{-1}\mathfrak{j}, e_1 + \mathfrak{j}e_2] := e_{-1}\mathfrak{j} \cdot (e_1 + \mathfrak{j}e_2)$, and on W_2 as $[e_{-1}\mathfrak{j}, e_1 + e_2\mathfrak{j}] := (e_1 + e_2\mathfrak{j}) \cdot e_{-1}\mathfrak{j}$ with multipliers both being $\text{Nrd}(e_{-1}\mathfrak{j}) = -\beta$.

(A) If $\mathfrak{i} = \xi$, $e_{-1} \in E^\times$, we define a subgroup Γ_1 of Γ as generated by $(\mathfrak{j}, \mathfrak{j}^{-1}), (e, e^{-1})$, for all $e \in E^\times$. Then $\lambda(\Gamma_1) = \langle N_{E/F}(E^\times), \beta \rangle = F^\times$, and $\iota(\Gamma_1) \cap \iota(\text{U}(W_1, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1) \times \text{U}(W_2, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2)) = 1$. Indeed by definition, we have $\mathfrak{j} \cdot (a + \mathfrak{j}b) = -\beta b + \mathfrak{j}a$ and $(a + b\mathfrak{j}) \cdot \mathfrak{j}^{-1} = b - \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\mathfrak{j}$, so through the above θ the element $(\mathfrak{j}, \mathfrak{j}^{-1})$ acts on $\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{H}$ as $(\mathfrak{j}, \mathfrak{j}^{-1}) \cdot (a_1 + b_1\mathfrak{j}, a_2 + b_2\mathfrak{j}) = (-\beta b_2 + a_2\mathfrak{j}, b_1 - \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\mathfrak{j})$. Hence the image of Γ_1 or Γ in $\text{Sp}(W, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ sits in $\text{U}(W, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{W,E})$. By Theorem 1.1, the result holds in this case.

(B) If $\mathfrak{i} = \omega$ or $\xi\omega$, and $\mathfrak{j} = \xi$, we set $F_2 = F(\xi)$. In this case, $e_{-1} = a_{-1} + \mathfrak{j}b_{-1} \in F(\mathfrak{j})^\times$, for some $a_{-1}, b_{-1} \in F^\times$. We define a subgroup Γ_1 of Γ as generated by $(e_{-1}\mathfrak{j}, (e_{-1}\mathfrak{j})^{-1}), (e, e^{-1})$, for all $e \in E^\times$. Then $\lambda(\Gamma_1) = \langle N_{E/F}(E^\times), -\beta \rangle = F^\times$, and $\iota(\Gamma_1) \cap \iota(\text{U}(W_1, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1) \times \text{U}(W_2, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2)) = 1$. By definition, $e_{-1}\mathfrak{j} \cdot (a + \mathfrak{j}b) = -\beta e_{-1}b + e_{-1}\mathfrak{j}a$, and $(a + b\mathfrak{j}) \cdot (e_{-1}\mathfrak{j})^{-1} = (a + b\mathfrak{j}) \cdot \frac{\mathfrak{j}}{\beta} \overline{e_{-1}} = -b\overline{e_{-1}} + \frac{a\overline{e_{-1}}}{\beta}\mathfrak{j}$, so through the above θ , the element $(e_{-1}\mathfrak{j}, (e_{-1}\mathfrak{j})^{-1})$ acts on $\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{H}$ as

$$(e_{-1}\mathfrak{j}, (e_{-1}\mathfrak{j})^{-1}) \cdot [a_1 + b_1\mathfrak{j}, a_2 + b_2\mathfrak{j}] = [(b_1b_{-1} + b_2a_{-1})\beta - (a_1b_{-1} + a_2a_{-1})\mathfrak{j}, (-b_1a_{-1} + \beta b_2b_{-1}) + (\frac{a_1a_{-1}}{\beta} - a_2b_{-1})\mathfrak{j}] \cdot \overline{e_{-1}}.$$

By observation, the image of Γ_1 in $\text{Sp}(W, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ belongs to $\text{U}(W, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{W,E})$. By Theorem 1.1, the result holds in this case. This completes the proof. \square

In Sections 6—8, in view of the results of Satake(Section 2.2.3) we shall prove Theorem A in some quaternionic cases.

6. THE PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM-PART III.

In this section we shall follow the notations introduced at beginning of Section 3. Let $(W_1 = \mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{j}] \oplus \mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{l}], \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1)$ be a right anisotropic shew hermitian space over \mathbb{H} of dimension 2 such that (1) $\{1, \mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j}, \mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{i}\mathfrak{j} = -\mathfrak{j}\mathfrak{i}\}$ is a standard basis of \mathbb{H} ; (2) $\mathfrak{i}^2 = -\alpha$, $\mathfrak{j}^2 = -\beta$, $\mathfrak{l}^2 = -\mathfrak{k}^2 = \alpha\beta$; (3) $\mathfrak{l} = b_0\mathfrak{i} + c_0\mathfrak{j} + d_0\mathfrak{k}$ for some $b_0, c_0, d_0 \in F$ satisfying $b_0^2\alpha + c_0^2\beta + d_0^2\alpha\beta = -\alpha\beta$. Let $(W_2 = \mathbb{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2)$ be

a left hermitian space over \mathbb{H} of one dimension with the hermitian form defined by $\langle d, d' \rangle_2 = d \overline{d'}$, for vectors $d, d' \in \mathbb{H}$. Let $(W, \langle, \rangle) = (W_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{H}} W_2, \text{Trd}(\langle, \rangle_1 \otimes \overline{\langle, \rangle_2}))$ be as in Section 3.

Let $\{1, \xi, \omega, \xi \omega\}$ be the fixed standard basis of \mathbb{H} given in Section 2.1. If W_1 is endowed with the F -symplectic form $\langle, \rangle_{1,F} = \text{Trd}(\langle, \rangle_1)$, then one can check that the canonical mapping $\theta : W = W_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{H}} W_2 \simeq (\mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{j}] \oplus \mathbb{H}[\mathbb{1}]) \longrightarrow W_1 = \mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{j}] \oplus \mathbb{H}[\mathbb{1}]$; $w_1 \otimes d \longmapsto w_1 d$, defines an F -isometry from (W, \langle, \rangle) to $(W_1, \langle, \rangle_{1,F})$. An element $g \in \text{U}(W_2, \langle, \rangle_2)$ now acts on W_1 on the right-hand side by multiplication. In the following, we shall prove the result closely along the different cases described in Proposition 2.2.

6.1. Cases I & II. We assume $(\mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j}, \mathbb{1}) = (\omega, \xi, \mathfrak{e}_{-1} \xi \omega)$ or $(\xi \omega, \xi, \mathfrak{e}_{-1} \omega)$, in which cases we may and do assume $c_0 = 0$. Assume that \mathfrak{e}_{-1} is the Teichmüller representative of an element of $k_{F(\mathfrak{j})}$ in $\mathfrak{S}_F(\mathfrak{j})$ with order $2(q+1)$. It then follows that $\text{Nrd}(\mathfrak{e}_{-1}) = -1$. For simplicity, we choose certain b_0, d_0 at the beginning such that $(\mathfrak{e}_{-1})^{-1} = d_0 + \frac{b_0}{\beta} \mathfrak{j}$, and $\mathbb{1} = (\mathfrak{e}_{-1})^{-1} \mathfrak{k}$.

Let us fix a symplectic basis $\mathcal{A}_2 = \left\{ e_1 = -\frac{1}{2\beta}, e_2 = \frac{\mathfrak{i}}{2\alpha\beta}; e_1^* = \mathfrak{j}, e_2^* = \mathfrak{k} \right\}$ of the subspace $(\mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{j}], \langle, \rangle_{1,F})$ and a symplectic basis $\mathcal{A}_3 = \left\{ f_1 = \frac{1}{2}, f_2 = -\frac{\mathfrak{i}}{2\beta}; f_1^* = \frac{\mathbb{1}}{\alpha\beta}, f_2^* = \frac{\mathfrak{j}\mathbb{1}}{\alpha\beta} \right\}$ of the subspace $(\mathbb{H}[\mathbb{1}], \langle, \rangle_{1,F})$. Let $U_1 = \text{Span}\{e_1, e_1^*\}$, $U_2 = \text{Span}\{e_2, e_2^*\}$ be the symplectic vector subspaces of $(\mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{j}], \langle, \rangle_{1,F})$ with the symplectic basis $\mathcal{A}_2^{(1)} = \{e_1, e_1^*\}$, $\mathcal{A}_2^{(2)} = \{e_2, e_2^*\}$ respectively.

In those cases, $\text{U}(W_2, \langle, \rangle_2) \simeq \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{H})$. By Lemma 2.6, $\text{U}(W_2, \langle, \rangle_2) / [\text{U}(W_2, \langle, \rangle_2), \text{U}(W_2, \langle, \rangle_2)]$ is a cyclic group of order $(q+1)$ generated by $\mathfrak{e}_{-1}^2 = (d_0^2 - \frac{b_0^2}{\beta}) - \frac{2b_0 d_0}{\beta} \mathfrak{j}$ in $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(F(\mathfrak{j}))$. Under the above basis $\mathcal{A}_2^{(1)}$, $\mathcal{A}_2^{(2)}$, and \mathcal{A}_3 , \mathfrak{e}_{-1}^2 acts

on U_1 , U_2 and $\mathbb{H}[\mathbb{1}]$ by means of the matrices $G_1^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} d_0^2 - \frac{b_0^2}{\beta} & -4\beta b_0 d_0 \\ \frac{b_0 d_0}{\beta^2} & d_0^2 - \frac{b_0^2}{\beta} \end{bmatrix}$, $G_1^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} d_0^2 - \frac{b_0^2}{\beta} & 4\alpha\beta b_0 d_0 \\ -\frac{b_0 d_0}{\alpha\beta^2} & d_0^2 - \frac{b_0^2}{\beta} \end{bmatrix}$, and $G_2 =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d_0^2 - \frac{b_0^2}{\beta} & -\frac{2b_0 d_0}{\beta} \\ 2b_0 d_0 & d_0^2 - \frac{b_0^2}{\beta} \end{bmatrix} & & 0 \\ & & \begin{bmatrix} d_0^2 - \frac{b_0^2}{\beta} & -2b_0 d_0 \\ \frac{2b_0 d_0}{\beta} & d_0^2 - \frac{b_0^2}{\beta} \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \text{ respectively.}$$

On the other hand, according to Lemma 2.22, $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(D_{F(\mathfrak{i})}) / [\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(D_{F(\mathfrak{i})}), \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(D_{F(\mathfrak{i})})]$ together with $T_1 = \{(1, 1), (-\alpha, \mathfrak{i}^{-1}), (\beta, \sqrt{-\beta^{-1}}), (-\alpha\beta, \mathfrak{i}^{-1} \sqrt{-\beta^{-1}})\}$ have full image in $\text{U}(W_1, \langle, \rangle_1) / [\text{U}(W_1, \langle, \rangle_1), \text{U}(W_1, \langle, \rangle_1)]$. Now let us first describe the action of $\{(1, 1), (-\alpha, \mathfrak{i}^{-1}), (\beta, \sqrt{-\beta^{-1}}), (-\alpha\beta, \mathfrak{i}^{-1} \sqrt{-\beta^{-1}})\}$ on W_1 by following the procedure of Section 2.2.3. The element \mathfrak{i}^{-1} (resp. $\sqrt{-\beta^{-1}}$) corresponds to $h_1 = \text{diag}(\mathfrak{i}^{-1}, \mathfrak{i}^{-1}, -\mathfrak{i}^{-1}, -\mathfrak{i}^{-1})$ (resp. $h_2 = \text{diag}(\sqrt{-\beta^{-1}}, -\sqrt{-\beta^{-1}}, \sqrt{-\beta^{-1}}, -\sqrt{-\beta^{-1}})$) in the algebra \mathbb{D}_4 defined in Section 2.2.3. (Here, $h_1, h_2 \in \tilde{K}$.) By Remark 2.15

$$h_1^{(2)} = \text{diag}\left(\begin{pmatrix} -\alpha^{-1} & \\ & -\alpha^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{-1} & \\ & \alpha^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{-1} & \\ & \alpha^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right)$$

and

$$h_2^{(2)} = \text{diag}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \beta^{-1} & \\ & \beta^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -\beta^{-1} & \\ & -\beta^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta^{-1} & \\ & \beta^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right).$$

Therefore the elements $(-\alpha, \mathfrak{i}^{-1})$ and $(\beta, \sqrt{-\beta^{-1}})$ act on $W_1 \otimes_F F_1$ as well as $W_1 \otimes_F K$ via the matrices $-\alpha P^{-1} h_1^{(2)} P = \text{diag}(I, -I, -I)$ and $\beta P^{-1} h_2^{(2)} P = \text{diag}(I, -I, I)$ of $\text{GL}_3(M_2(F))$ respectively. Observe that the images of $(-\alpha, \mathfrak{i}^{-1})$ and $(\beta, \sqrt{-\beta^{-1}})$ in $\text{Sp}(W_1, \langle, \rangle_{1,F})$ belong to the center of $\text{Sp}(\mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{j}], \langle, \rangle_{1,F}) \times \text{Sp}(\mathbb{H}[\mathbb{1}], \langle, \rangle_{1,F})$. Next, by Lemma 2.6,

$\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(D_{F(\mathfrak{i})}) / [\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(D_{F(\mathfrak{i})}), \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(D_{F(\mathfrak{i})})]$ is a cyclic group of order $(q+1)$ generated by $g_0 = (d_0^2 - \frac{b_0^2}{\beta}) - \frac{2b_0 d_0}{\beta} \sqrt{-\beta}$ in $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(F(\sqrt{-\beta}))$.

Let $s_0 = (d_0^2 - \frac{b_0^2}{\beta})$, and $t_0 = -\frac{2b_0 d_0}{\beta}$. Then $g_0 = s_0 + t_0 \sqrt{-\beta}$ corresponds to $h = \text{diag}(s_0 + t_0 \sqrt{-\beta}, s_0 - t_0 \sqrt{-\beta}, s_0 + t_0 \sqrt{-\beta}, s_0 - t_0 \sqrt{-\beta})$ in \mathbb{D}_4 . By Remark 2.15, $h^{(2)} = \text{diag}(1, A, 1) \in \text{GL}_3(M_2(K))$ for $A = \begin{pmatrix} (s_0 + t_0 \sqrt{-\beta})^2 & 0 \\ 0 & (s_0 - t_0 \sqrt{-\beta})^2 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(K)$; it acts on

$W_1 \otimes_F F_1$ as well as $W_1 \otimes_F K$ via the matrix $P^{-1} h^{(2)} P = \text{diag}(1, B, 1)$ (lemma 2.17), for

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{-\beta} \\ 1 & -\sqrt{-\beta} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} (s_0 + t_0 \sqrt{-\beta})^2 & 0 \\ 0 & (s_0 - t_0 \sqrt{-\beta})^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{-\beta} \\ 1 & -\sqrt{-\beta} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} s_0^2 - t_0^2 \beta & -2s_0 t_0 \beta \\ 2s_0 t_0 & s_0^2 - t_0^2 \beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this way we understand g_0 well as an element of $\mathbf{SU}_V(F)$, where $V = \mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{i}] \oplus W_1$ is given at the beginning of Section 2.2.3. As shown in Section 2.2.3, there is a canonical one-to-one mapping from $U(W_1, \langle, \rangle_1)$ to $\mathbf{SU}_V(F)$. By following the procedure described by Satake in [19], g_0 acts on $W_1 = \mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{j}] \oplus \mathbb{H}[\mathbb{1}]$ as an element $(s_0^2 - t_0^2\beta + 2s_0t_0\mathfrak{j}, 1)$ in $U(\mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{j}]) \times U(\mathbb{H}[\mathbb{1}])$. Under the above bases $\mathcal{A}_2^{(1)}$, $\mathcal{A}_2^{(2)}$, and \mathcal{A}_3 , such element acts on U_1 , U_2 , and $\mathbb{H}[\mathbb{1}]$ via the matrices $H_1^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} s_0^2 - t_0^2\beta & 4\beta^2 s_0 t_0 \\ -\frac{s_0 t_0}{\beta} & s_0^2 - t_0^2\beta \end{bmatrix}$, $H_1^{(2)} =$

$$\begin{bmatrix} s_0^2 - t_0^2\beta & 4\alpha\beta^2 s_0 t_0 \\ -\frac{s_0 t_0}{\alpha\beta} & s_0^2 - t_0^2\beta \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } H_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & 0 \\ 0 & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \text{ respectively.}$$

Notations being as above, we can let $\Omega_1 = \langle g_0 \rangle \times T_1$, and $\Omega_2 = \langle e_{-1}^2 \rangle$. Note that for any two elements $g_1 \in \text{GU}(\mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{j}], \langle, \rangle_{1,F})$, $g_2 \in \text{GU}(\mathbb{H}[\mathbb{1}], \langle, \rangle_{1,F})$ with the same similitude factor, the ordered pair (g_1, g_2) can be viewed as an element in $\text{GU}(W_1, \langle, \rangle_1)$. Now let us define a subgroup Γ_1 of Γ as generated by $(e_{-1}\mathfrak{j}, \mathfrak{j}; (e_{-1}\mathfrak{j})^{-1})$, $(e_{-1}\mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1}; \mathbb{1}^{-1})$, and $(a, a; a^{-1})$ for all $a \in F^\times$.

- Lemma 6.1.** (i) $\Lambda_{\Gamma_1} = F^\times = \Lambda_\Gamma$;
(ii) $\Gamma_1 \cap (U(W_1, \langle, \rangle_1) \times U(W_2, \langle, \rangle_2)) = \{(1, 1), (-1, -1)\}$;
(iii) $\iota(\Omega_i)^{\iota(\Gamma_1)} = \iota(\Omega_i)$, for $i = 1, 2$.

Proof. Parts (i)(ii) are straightforward. For (iii), when $i = 1$, $[e_{-1}\mathfrak{j}, \mathfrak{j}](s_0^2 - t_0^2\beta + 2s_0t_0\mathfrak{j})[e_{-1}^{-1}\mathfrak{j}^{-1}, \mathfrak{j}^{-1}] = s_0^2 - t_0^2\beta + 2s_0t_0\mathfrak{j}$. Note that $e_{-1}\mathbb{1} = \mathbb{k}$, so $[\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{1}](s_0^2 - t_0^2\beta + 2s_0t_0\mathfrak{j})[\mathbb{k}^{-1}, \mathbb{1}^{-1}] = s_0^2 - t_0^2\beta - 2s_0t_0\mathfrak{j} = e_{-1}^{-4} \in \Omega_1$. The result for $i = 1$ is similar. \square

Under the bases $\mathcal{A}_2^{(1)}$, $\mathcal{A}_2^{(2)}$, \mathcal{A}_3 , $(e_{-1}\mathfrak{j}, \mathfrak{j}; (e_{-1}\mathfrak{j})^{-1})$ acts on U_1 , U_2 , $\mathbb{H}[\mathbb{1}]$ via the matrices $A_1^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $A_1^{(2)} =$

$$\begin{bmatrix} d_0^2 - \frac{b_0^2}{\beta} & -4\alpha\beta b_0 d_0 \\ \frac{b_0 d_0}{\alpha\beta^2} & d_0^2 - \frac{b_0^2}{\beta} \end{bmatrix}, A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d_0 & \frac{b_0}{\beta} \\ -b_0 & d_0 \end{bmatrix} & \\ & \begin{bmatrix} -d_0 & -b_0 \\ \frac{b_0}{\beta} & -d_0 \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \text{ respectively.}$$

Under the bases $\mathcal{A}_2^{(1)}$, $\mathcal{A}_2^{(2)}$, \mathcal{A}_3 , $(e_{-1}\mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1}; \mathbb{1}^{-1})$ acts on U_1 , U_2 , $\mathbb{H}[\mathbb{1}]$ via the matrices $B_1^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} -d_0 & -2\beta b_0 \\ -\frac{b_0}{2\beta^2} & d_0 \end{bmatrix}$, $B_1^{(2)} =$

$$\begin{bmatrix} d_0 & -2\alpha\beta b_0 \\ -\frac{b_0}{2\alpha\beta^2} & -d_0 \end{bmatrix}, B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} & \\ & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \text{ respectively.}$$

- Remark 6.2.** (1) $H_1^{(1)} = \{G_1^{(1)}\}^2$, and $H_1^{(2)} = \{G_1^{(2)}\}^{-2}$;
(2) $B_1^{(1)} G_1^{(1)} = \{G_1^{(1)}\}^{-1} B_1^{(1)}$;
(3) $G_1^{(2)} A_1^{(2)} = I$ and $B_1^{(2)} A_1^{(2)} = \{A_1^{(2)}\}^{-1} B_1^{(2)}$, where I is the identity matrix;
(4) $\{B_1^{(i)}\}^2 = -I$, for $i = 1, 2$.

Proof. (1) Notice that $s_0^2 - t_0^2\beta + 2s_0t_0\mathfrak{j} = e_{-1}^4$. The matrices $G^{(1)}$, $G^{(2)}$ correspond to the element e_{-1}^4 acting on $\mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{j}]$ on the right-hand side, and $H_1^{(1)}$, $H_1^{(2)}$ correspond to the element e_{-1}^2 acting on $\mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{j}]$ on the left-hand side, so the result follows from $\overline{e_{-1}^4} = (e_{-1})^{-4}$. Other parts (2)–(4) are straightforward. \square

Let \mathcal{S}_i be the subgroup of $\text{SL}(U_i, \langle, \rangle_{1,F})$ generated by $G_1^{(i)}$, $H_1^{(i)}$, $A_1^{(i)}$, and $\mathcal{F}_i = \mathcal{S}_i \cdot \langle B_1^{(i)} \rangle$, for $i = 1, 2$ respectively. By Remark 6.2, $\mathcal{S}_i = \langle G_1^{(i)} \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{q+1}$, and $\langle B_1^{(i)} \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}_4$. Let us fix a non-trivial character ψ of F .

Remark 6.3. Let $C_1^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} -d_0 & 2\beta b_0 \\ \frac{b_0}{2\beta^2} & d_0 \end{bmatrix}$, and $C_1^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} d_0 & 2\alpha\beta b_0 \\ \frac{b_0}{2\alpha\beta^2} & -d_0 \end{bmatrix}$. Then

- (1) $G_1^{(i)} = B_1^{(i)} C_1^{(i)}$, $\{G_1^{(i)}\}^{-1} = C_1^{(i)} B_1^{(i)}$, for $i = 1, 2$;

- (2) $B_1^{(i)} \notin \mathcal{S}_i, C_1^{(i)} \notin \mathcal{S}_i$;
 (3) $\{C_1^{(i)}\}^2 = -I$, for $i = 1, 2$.

Proof. Parts (1) and (3) are straightforward. For (2), by observation, every element $g \in \mathcal{S}_i$ has the form $\begin{bmatrix} t & * \\ * & t \end{bmatrix}$, for some $t \in F$. However the diagonal parts of $B_1^{(i)}, C_1^{(i)}$ are $\text{diag}(d_0, -d_0)$ or $\text{diag}(-d_0, d_0)$ with $d_0 \neq 0$. \square

Lemma 6.4. *The inverse image of \mathcal{T}_i in $\overline{\text{SL}(U_i, \langle, \rangle_{1,F})}$ splits.*

Proof. In case $i = 1$, we assume $B_1^{(1)} = u(x)h(t)u(-x)$, for certain $u(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $h(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & t \\ -t^{-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Then $C_1^{(1)} = u(-x)h(-t)u(x)$. Set $Y_1^* = \text{Span}\{e_1^*\}$. Let $c_{Y_1^*, \psi}$ the Leray cocycle (cf. [8, p.13]) associated to the Lagrangian subspace Y_1^* and ψ . Then using the formulas in [8, pp.18-21], we can calculate the Leray cocycle

$$c_{Y^*, \psi}(B_1^{(1)}, B_1^{(1)}) = c_{Y^*, \psi}(u(x)h(t)u(-x), u(x)h(t)u(-x)) = c_{Y^*, \psi}(h(t), h(t)) = \gamma(\psi \circ L(Y^* \cdot h(t), Y^* \cdot h(t)^2)) = 1.$$

According to Theorem 1.1, the restriction of $[c_{Y_1^*, \psi}]$ to \mathcal{S}_1 is trivial, so there exists a Borel function $f(t)$ from \mathcal{S}_1 to μ_8 such that $c_{Y_1^*, \psi}(t_1, t_2) = f(t_1 t_2) f(t_1)^{-1} f(t_2)^{-1}$, for $t_1, t_2 \in \mathcal{S}_1$.^{||} Now view $f(t)$ as a function from \mathcal{T}_1 to μ_8 , and define a new 2-cocycle $\bar{c}_{Y^*, \psi} = c_{Y^*, \psi} \cdot \delta_1(f)$. Then $\bar{c}_{Y^*, \psi}([G_1^{(1)}]^k, [G_1^{(1)}]^l) = \bar{c}_{Y^*, \psi}(\pm B_1^{(1)k}, \pm B_1^{(1)l}) = 1$, for any integers k, l . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{c}_{Y^*, \psi}(B_1^{(1)}, C_1^{(1)}) &= c_{Y^*, \psi}(B_1^{(1)}, C_1^{(1)}) = c_{Y^*, \psi}(u(x)h(t)u(-x), u(-x)h(-t)u(x)) = c_{Y^*, \psi}(h(t)u(x^2), h(-t)) \\ &= c_{Y^*, \psi}(h(-t)u(x^2), h(t)) = c_{Y^*, \psi}(u(-x)h(-t)u(x), u(x)h(t)u(-x)) = c_{Y^*, \psi}(C_1^{(1)}, B_1^{(1)}) = \bar{c}_{Y^*, \psi}(C_1^{(1)}, B_1^{(1)}) \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

Let us define a new function h from \mathcal{T}_1 to μ_8 as $h(a) = \bar{c}_{Y^*, \psi}((B_1^{(1)})^k, (G_1^{(1)})^l)$, for $a = (B_1^{(1)})^k (G_1^{(1)})^l \in \mathcal{T}_1$. Then replace the 2-cocycle $\bar{c}_{Y^*, \psi}$ by $c_{Y^*, \psi}^* = \bar{c}_{Y^*, \psi} \cdot \delta_1(h)$. Next let us apply the criterion (Lemma 3.1) to the triple $(\mathcal{S}_1, \langle B_1^{(1)} \rangle, \mathcal{T}_1)$ instead of $(U(W_1) \times U(W_2), \Gamma_1, \Gamma)$. Go back to the proof of Lemma 3.1, and it suffices to show that the image $p([c_{Y^*, \psi}^*])$ is trivial, where $p: H^2(\mathcal{T}_1, \mu_8)_1 \rightarrow H^1(\langle B_1^{(1)} \rangle, H^1(\mathcal{S}_1, \mu_8))$. Since $\{B_1^{(1)}\}^2 = -I$, and \mathcal{S}_1 is a finite cyclic group, it suffices to verify that $p([c_{Y^*, \psi}^*])(G_1^{(1)}, \pm B_1^{(1)}) = 1$. Note that $p([c_{Y^*, \psi}^*])(G_1^{(1)}, \pm B_1^{(1)}) = \bar{c}_{Y^*, \psi}(G_1^{(1)}, \pm B_1^{(1)}) h(G_1^{(1)}) h(\pm B_1^{(1)}) [h(\pm G_1^{(1)} B_1^{(1)})]^{-1} = \bar{c}_{Y^*, \psi}(G_1^{(1)}, \pm B_1^{(1)}) \bar{c}_{Y^*, \psi}(\pm B_1^{(1)}, [G_1^{(1)}]^{-1})^{-1} = 1$ by (6.1), (2.5) and Remark 6.3. The case $i = 2$ is similar. \square

According to [5, pp. 245-246], there exists the following morphism on cover groups:

$$\overline{\text{SL}(U_1, \langle, \rangle_{1,F})} \times \overline{\text{SL}(U_2, \langle, \rangle_{1,F})} \times \overline{\text{Sp}(\mathbb{H}[\mathbb{I}], \langle, \rangle_{1,F})} \rightarrow \overline{\text{Sp}(W_1, \langle, \rangle_{1,F})}.$$

Let $Y_3 = \text{Span}\{f_1^*, f_2^*\}$ be a Lagrangian subspace of $(\mathbb{H}[\mathbb{I}], \langle, \rangle_{1,F})$. Then the above elements G_2, H_2, A_2, B_2 all belong to the parabolic subgroup $P(Y_3)$ of $\text{Sp}(\mathbb{H}[\mathbb{I}], \langle, \rangle_{1,F})$. By Lemma 3.1 and Remark 3.2, we obtain:

Proposition 6.5. *In the above two cases I and II, Theorem A holds.*

6.2. Case III. In this subsection, we follow the notions of Section 2. Let $(\mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j}, \mathbb{I}) = (\xi, \omega, e_{-1}\xi\omega)$, and for simplicity we assume the beginning $b_0 = 0$. Let us fix a symplectic basis $\mathcal{B}_2 = \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\beta}, \frac{\mathfrak{i}}{2\alpha\beta}; \mathfrak{j}, \mathfrak{k} \right\}$ of $(\mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{j}], \langle, \rangle_{1,F})$ and $\mathcal{B}_3 = \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{\mathfrak{i}}{2\alpha}; \frac{1}{\alpha\beta}, \frac{\mathfrak{i}\mathbb{I}}{\alpha\beta} \right\}$ of $(\mathbb{H}[\mathbb{I}], \langle, \rangle_{1,F})$. It is known that $U(W_2, \langle, \rangle_2) / [U(W_2, \langle, \rangle_2), U(W_2, \langle, \rangle_2)] \simeq \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{H}) / [\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{H}), \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{H})]$, which is a cyclic group of order $(q+1)$; we choose a generator with inverse image $x_0 + y_0\mathfrak{i}$ in $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(F(\mathfrak{i}))$. Such element acts on $\mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{j}]$ resp. $\mathbb{H}[\mathbb{I}]$,

with respect to the basis \mathcal{B}_2 resp. \mathcal{B}_3 , via the matrices $L_2 = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & y_0 & 0 & 0 \\ -y_0\alpha & x_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_0 & y_0\alpha \\ 0 & 0 & -y_0 & x_0 \end{pmatrix}$ resp. $L_3 = L_2$. Now let $Y_v =$

$\{x + y\mathfrak{i} \mid x, y \in F\}$ be a Lagrangian subspace of $(\mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{j}], \langle, \rangle_{1,F})$ as well as $(\mathbb{H}[\mathbb{I}], \langle, \rangle_{1,F})$, and $Y = Y_v \oplus Y_v$ a Lagrangian subspace of $(W_1, \langle, \rangle_{1,F})$. So L_2 or L_3 belongs to the parabolic subgroup $P(Y)$ of $\text{Sp}(W_1, \langle, \rangle_{1,F})$. If we let $\Omega_2 = \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(F(\mathfrak{i}))$, with full image in $U(W_2, \langle, \rangle_2) / [U(W_2, \langle, \rangle_2), U(W_2, \langle, \rangle_2)]$, then the image of Ω_2 in $\text{Sp}(W_1, \langle, \rangle_{1,F})$ belongs to $P(Y)$.

^{||} See [10, p.57] for the details.

Now let us turn to the group $U(W_1, \langle, \rangle_1)$. Similarly as Cases I & II, the images of $(-\alpha, \mathfrak{i}^{-1})$ and $(\beta, \sqrt{-\beta}^{-1})$ in $\text{Sp}(W_1, \langle, \rangle_1, F)$ belong to the center of $\text{Sp}(\mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{j}], \langle, \rangle_1, F) \times \text{Sp}(\mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{l}], \langle, \rangle_1, F)$. By Remark 2.7, $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(D_{F(\mathfrak{i})})/[\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(D_{F(\mathfrak{i})}), \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(D_{F(\mathfrak{i})})]$, is isomorphic with a cyclic group of order $(q^2 + 1)$. Let us chose a generator of that group with inverse image $\alpha_1 + \mathfrak{a}_1 \alpha_2$ in $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(D_{F(\mathfrak{i})})$ so that

$$\alpha_1^2 + (c_0 - d_0 \mathfrak{i}) \alpha_2^2 = 1. \text{ Then it acts on } W_1 \otimes_F F_1 \text{ via the matrix } g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & (-c_0 + d_0 \mathfrak{i}) \alpha_2 & & & \\ \alpha_2 & \alpha_1 & & & \\ & & \alpha_1^\sigma & -\frac{(c_0 + d_0 \mathfrak{i})}{\mathfrak{i}} \alpha_2^\sigma & \\ & & \mathfrak{i} \alpha_2^\sigma & \alpha_1^\sigma & \\ & & & & \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{D}_4. \text{ By calculation}$$

(cf. Remark 2.15), we know that

$$g^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ & \text{N}_{F(\mathfrak{i})/F}(\alpha_1) & \mathfrak{i} \text{N}_{F(\mathfrak{i})/F}(\alpha_2) & -\frac{c_0 + d_0 \mathfrak{i}}{\mathfrak{i}} \alpha_1 \alpha_2^\sigma & (-c_0 + d_0 \mathfrak{i}) \alpha_2 \alpha_1^\sigma \\ & \mathfrak{i} \text{N}_{F(\mathfrak{i})/F}(\alpha_2) & \text{N}_{F(\mathfrak{i})/F}(\alpha_1) & \alpha_2 \alpha_1^\sigma & \mathfrak{i} \alpha_1 \alpha_2^\sigma \\ & \mathfrak{i} \alpha_1 \alpha_2^\sigma & (-c_0 + d_0 \mathfrak{i}) \alpha_2 \alpha_1^\sigma & \text{N}_{F(\mathfrak{i})/F}(\alpha_1) & \mathfrak{i}(-c_0 + d_0 \mathfrak{i}) \text{N}_{F(\mathfrak{i})/F}(\alpha_2) \\ & \alpha_2 \alpha_1^\sigma & -\frac{c_0 + d_0 \mathfrak{i}}{\mathfrak{i}} \alpha_1 \alpha_2^\sigma & -\frac{c_0 + d_0 \mathfrak{i}}{\mathfrak{i}} \text{N}_{F(\mathfrak{i})/F}(\alpha_2) & \text{N}_{F(\mathfrak{i})/F}(\alpha_1) \end{pmatrix}.$$

It can be directly checked that $P^{-1} g^{(2)} P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X_{22} & X_{23} \\ 0 & X_{32} & X_{33} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_3(M_2(F))$ for $X_{22} =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{N}_{F(\mathfrak{i})/F}(\alpha_1) + \mathfrak{i} \text{N}_{F(\mathfrak{i})/F}(\alpha_2) & 0 \\ 0 & \text{N}_{F(\mathfrak{i})/F}(\alpha_1) - \mathfrak{i} \text{N}_{F(\mathfrak{i})/F}(\alpha_2) \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} (-c_0 + d_0 \mathfrak{i}) \alpha_2 \alpha_1^\sigma + \alpha_1 \alpha_2^\sigma \mathfrak{i} & 0 \\ 0 & -\alpha_2 \alpha_1^\sigma \mathfrak{i} - (c_0 + d_0 \mathfrak{i}) \alpha_1 \alpha_2^\sigma \end{pmatrix},$$

$$X_{32} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 \alpha_1^\sigma - \frac{(c_0 + d_0 \mathfrak{i}) \alpha_1 \alpha_2^\sigma}{\mathfrak{i}} & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_1 \alpha_2^\sigma + \frac{(c_0 - d_0 \mathfrak{i}) \alpha_2 \alpha_1^\sigma}{\mathfrak{i}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_{33} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{N}_{F(\mathfrak{i})/F}(\alpha_1) + \mathfrak{i} \text{N}_{F(\mathfrak{i})/F}(\alpha_2) & 0 \\ 0 & \text{N}_{F(\mathfrak{i})/F}(\alpha_1) - \mathfrak{i} \text{N}_{F(\mathfrak{i})/F}(\alpha_2) \end{pmatrix}. \text{ Hence}$$

the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} X_{22} & X_{23} \\ X_{32} & X_{33} \end{pmatrix}$ corresponds to $\begin{pmatrix} \text{N}_{F(\mathfrak{i})/F}(\alpha_1) + \mathfrak{i} \text{N}_{F(\mathfrak{i})/F}(\alpha_2) & (-c_0 + d_0 \mathfrak{i}) \alpha_2 \alpha_1^\sigma + \alpha_1 \alpha_2^\sigma \mathfrak{i} \\ \alpha_2 \alpha_1^\sigma - \frac{(c_0 + d_0 \mathfrak{i}) \alpha_1 \alpha_2^\sigma}{\mathfrak{i}} & \text{N}_{F(\mathfrak{i})/F}(\alpha_1) + \mathfrak{i} \text{N}_{F(\mathfrak{i})/F}(\alpha_2) \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{H})$. Taking the set

$\Xi_1 = \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(F(\mathfrak{i})(\mathfrak{a}_1))$ as defined in Lemma 2.22, we then have

Lemma 6.6. *Recall the set T_1 in Lemma 2.22. Let Ω_1 be the image of T_1 in $U(W_1, \langle, \rangle_1)$. Then:*

- (1) *The composite map $\pm \iota(\Omega_1) \hookrightarrow \iota(U(W_1, \langle, \rangle_1)) \rightarrow \iota(U(W_1, \langle, \rangle_1, F)) / \iota([U(W_1, \langle, \rangle_1, F), U(W_1, \langle, \rangle_1, F)])$ is onto.*
- (2) *The image of Ω_1 in $\text{Sp}(W_1, \langle, \rangle_1, F)$ belongs to certain $P(Y)$.*

Proof. The first statement is immediate. Recall that $Y_v = \{x + y\mathfrak{i} \mid x, y \in F\}$ is a Lagrangian subspace of $(\mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{j}], \langle, \rangle_1, F)$ as well as $(\mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{l}], \langle, \rangle_1, F)$. From the above discussion, we know that the Lagrangian subspace $Y = Y_v \oplus Y_v$ of $(W_1, \langle, \rangle_1, F)$ is $(\alpha_1 + \mathfrak{a}_1 \alpha_2)$ -stable for $\alpha_1 + \mathfrak{a}_1 \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(F(\mathfrak{i})(\mathfrak{a}_1))$, which is the required result. \square

We assume $(e_{-1})^{-1} = d_0 - \frac{c_0}{\alpha} \mathfrak{i}$, and $\mathfrak{l} = (e_{-1})^{-1} \mathfrak{k}$. Now we let Γ_1 be a subgroup of Γ generated by the following elements: (i) $(a, a; a^{-1})$ for all $a \in F^\times$, (ii) $(\mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{i}; \mathfrak{i}^{-1} e_{-1}^{-1})$, (iii) $(e_{-1} \mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l}; \mathfrak{l}^{-1})$. Then (1) $\Lambda_{\Gamma_1} = F^\times = \Lambda_\Gamma$, (2) $\iota(\Gamma_1) \cap \iota(U(W_1, \langle, \rangle_1) \times U(W_2, \langle, \rangle_2)) = 1$.

Lemma 6.7. (1) *The condition (C4) of Section 3 holds in this case.*

- (2) *Under the restriction $\text{H}^2(\iota(\Gamma), \mu_8) \rightarrow \text{H}^2(\iota(\Gamma_1), \mu_8)$, the image of $[c]$ is trivial.*

Proof. Let $Y = \{(x_1 + y_1 \mathfrak{i}), (x_2 + y_2 \mathfrak{i}) \mid x_i, y_i \in F\}$ be a Lagrangian subspace of $(W_1, \langle, \rangle_1, F)$. Then $\iota(\Gamma_1)$, $\iota(\Omega_1)$, $\iota(\Omega_2)$ all belong to $P(Y)$. \square

Finally we achieve the main result of this subsection:

Proposition 6.8. *In Case III, Theorem A holds.*

7. THE PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM-PART IV.

Let $(W_1 = \mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{i}] \oplus \mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{j}] \oplus \mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{l}], \langle, \rangle_1)$ be a right anisotropic skew hermitian space over \mathbb{H} of dimension 3 given at the beginning of Section 6. Let $(W_2 = \mathbb{H}, \langle, \rangle_2)$ be a left hermitian space over \mathbb{H} of dimension 1. Let $\{1, \xi, \omega, \xi\omega\}$ be the fixed standard basis of

\mathbb{H} as given in Section 2.1. By Proposition 2.2, we assume that $(\mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j}, \mathfrak{l}) = (\xi, \omega, e_{-1}\xi\omega)$, and $b_0 = 0$. Let e_{-1} be the Teichmüller representative of an element of $k_{F(\mathfrak{i})}$ in $\mathfrak{D}_{F(\mathfrak{i})}$ with order $2(q+1)$.

As before we endow W_1 with the F -symplectic form $\langle, \rangle_{1,F} = \text{Trd}(\langle, \rangle_1)$ so that the canonical mapping $\theta : W = W_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{H}} W_2 \simeq (\mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{i}] \oplus \mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{j}] \oplus \mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{l}]) \otimes_{\mathbb{H}} \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{i}] \oplus \mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{j}] \oplus \mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{l}]; w_1 \otimes d \longmapsto w_1 d$, defines an isometry between (W, \langle, \rangle) and $(W_1, \langle, \rangle_{1,F})$. We fix a basis $\mathcal{B}_1 = \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\alpha}, \frac{\mathfrak{i}}{2\alpha\beta}; \mathfrak{i}, -\mathfrak{k} \right\}$ of $(\mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{i}], \langle, \rangle_{1,F})$, resp. $\mathcal{B}_2 = \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\beta}, \frac{\mathfrak{i}}{2\alpha\beta}; \mathfrak{j}, \mathfrak{k} \right\}$ of $(\mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{j}], \langle, \rangle_{1,F})$, resp. $\mathcal{B}_3 = \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{\mathfrak{i}}{2\alpha}; \frac{1}{\alpha\beta}, \frac{\mathfrak{i}\mathfrak{l}}{\alpha\beta} \right\}$ of $(\mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{l}], \langle, \rangle_{1,F})$. In this case $U(W_2, \langle, \rangle_2) \simeq \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{H})$. According to the discussion in Section 6.2, the image of $\Omega_2 = \langle e_{-1}^2 \rangle$ in $U(W_2, \langle, \rangle_2)/[U(W_2, \langle, \rangle_2), U(W_2, \langle, \rangle_2)]$ is full.

Next let us consider $U(W_1, \langle, \rangle_1)$. By Lemma 2.21, the group $U(W_1, \langle, \rangle_1)/[U(W_1, \langle, \rangle_1), U(W_1, \langle, \rangle_1)]$ is generated by the images of $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{D}_4)/[\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{D}_4), \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{D}_4)]$ and $\{(1, 1), (-\alpha, \mathfrak{i}^{-1}), (-\beta, \sqrt{-\beta}^{-1}), (\alpha\beta, \mathfrak{i}^{-1}\sqrt{-\beta}^{-1})\}$. According to the proof of Case III in Section 6.2, the images of $(-\alpha, \mathfrak{i}^{-1}), (-\beta, \sqrt{-\beta}^{-1})$ in $\text{Sp}(W_1, \langle, \rangle_{1,F})$ belong to the center of $\text{Sp}(\mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{i}], \langle, \rangle_{1,F}) \times \text{Sp}(\mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{j}], \langle, \rangle_{1,F}) \times \text{Sp}(\mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{l}], \langle, \rangle_{1,F})$. On the other hand, $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{D}_4)/[\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{D}_4), \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{D}_4)]$ is isomorphic with $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{D}_{F(\mathfrak{i})})/[\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{D}_{F(\mathfrak{i})}), \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbb{D}_{F(\mathfrak{i})})]$; taking the set Ξ_1 as defined in Lemma 2.22, we then have:

Lemma 7.1. *Recall the set T in Lemma 2.21. Let Ω_1 be the image of T in $U(W_1, \langle, \rangle_{1,F})$. Then:*

- (1) *The composite map $\pm\iota(\Omega_1) \hookrightarrow \iota(U(W_1, \langle, \rangle_1)) \rightarrow \iota(U(W_1, \langle, \rangle_{1,F}))/\iota([U(W_1, \langle, \rangle_{1,F}), U(W_1, \langle, \rangle_{1,F})])$ is onto.*
- (2) *The image of Ω_1 in $\text{Sp}(W_1, \langle, \rangle_{1,F})$ belongs to $P(Y)$, for certain Lagrangian subspace of $(W_1, \langle, \rangle_{1,F})$.*

Proof. We only sketch the proof of the second statement. Let us follow the notations in Section 2.2.3. By the arguments of

Section 6.2, an element $\alpha_1 + \mathfrak{a}_1\alpha_2 \in \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(F(\mathfrak{i})(\mathfrak{a}_1))$ acts on W_1 via the matrix with the form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X_{22} & X_{23} \\ 0 & X_{32} & X_{33} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_3(M_2(F))$,

where $\begin{pmatrix} X_{22} & X_{23} \\ X_{32} & X_{33} \end{pmatrix}$ is given in Lemma 6.6; then the result is clear. \square

Similarly as before, we assume $(e_{-1})^{-1} = d_0 - \frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha}\mathfrak{i}$, and $\mathfrak{l} = (e_{-1})^{-1}\mathfrak{k}$. Let Γ_1 be a subgroup of Γ generated by $(e_{-1}\mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{i}; \mathfrak{i}^{-1}(e_{-1})^{-1}), (e_{-1}\mathfrak{l}, e_{-1}\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l}; \mathfrak{l}^{-1})$, and $(a, a, a; a^{-1})$ for all $a \in F^\times$.

Lemma 7.2. (1) $\Lambda_{\Gamma_1} = F^\times = \Lambda_\Gamma$.

- (2) $\iota(\Gamma_1) \cap \iota(U(W_1, \langle, \rangle_1) \times U(W_2, \langle, \rangle_2)) = 1$.

Proof. Straightforward. \square

Let \mathcal{F}_2 be the subgroup of $\text{Sp}(W_1, \langle, \rangle_{1,F})$, generated by $\iota(\Gamma_1)$ and $\iota(\Omega_2)$.

Lemma 7.3. *The restriction of $[c]$ to \mathcal{F}_2 is trivial.*

Proof. By definition, \mathcal{F}_2 is a subgroup of $\text{Sp}(\mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{i}], \langle, \rangle_{1,F}) \times \text{Sp}(\mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{j}], \langle, \rangle_{1,F}) \times \text{Sp}(\mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{l}], \langle, \rangle_{1,F})$; we denote its image in the first group by $\mathcal{F}_2^{(1)}$, the second one by $\mathcal{F}_2^{(2)}$, and the third one by $\mathcal{F}_2^{(3)}$. By what we have proved in Lemma 6.4, $\overline{\mathcal{F}_2^{(1)}}$ splits. We now let $Y_* = \{x + y\mathfrak{i} \mid x, y \in F\}$ be a Lagrangian subspace of $(\mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{j}], \langle, \rangle_{1,F})$ as well as $(\mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{l}], \langle, \rangle_{1,F})$. Then $\mathcal{F}_2^{(2)}, \mathcal{F}_2^{(3)}$ both belong to $P(Y_*)$ so the lemma is proved. \square

By Lemma 3.1, Remark 3.2, we obtain

Proposition 7.4. *Under the conditions of the beginning, Theorem A holds.*

8. THE PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM-PART V.

Let (H, \langle, \rangle) be a right skew hermitian hyperbolic plane over \mathbb{H} defined as in Section 2.2. Let $(W_1 = \mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{i}] \oplus H, \langle, \rangle_1)$ be a right skew hermitian hyperbolic space over \mathbb{H} of dimension 3. Let $(W_2 = \mathbb{H}, \langle, \rangle_2)$ be a left hermitian space over \mathbb{H} of dimension 1. Let $\{1, \mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j}, \mathfrak{i}\mathfrak{j} = \mathfrak{k} = -\mathfrak{j}\mathfrak{i}\}$ be a standard basis of \mathbb{H} . We endow W_1 with the F -symplectic form $\langle, \rangle_{1,F} = \text{Trd}(\langle, \rangle_1)$. Then it can be checked that the canonical mapping $\theta : W = W_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{H}} W_2 \longrightarrow W_1 = \mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{i}] \oplus H; w_1 \otimes d \longmapsto w_1 d$, defines an isometry between

(W, \langle, \rangle) and $(\mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{i}] \oplus H, \langle, \rangle_{1,F})$. Let us fix a complete polarisation $H = X \oplus X^*$ of H . By Lemmas 2.4, 2.5, there is a surjective composite map

$$\mathfrak{b}: \frac{\mathbb{H}^\times}{[\mathbb{H}^\times, \mathbb{H}^\times]} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathrm{U}(H, \langle, \rangle_1)}{[\mathrm{U}(H, \langle, \rangle_1), \mathrm{U}(H, \langle, \rangle_1)]} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathrm{U}(W_1, \langle, \rangle_1)}{[\mathrm{U}(W_1, \langle, \rangle_1), \mathrm{U}(W_1, \langle, \rangle_1)]}.$$

Corollary 8.1. *Let $\Omega_1 = \mathbb{H}^\times$, and $Y = Y_1 \oplus X^*$, for an arbitrary Lagrangian subspace Y_1 of $(\mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{i}], \langle, \rangle_{1,F})$. Then the image of Ω_1 in $\frac{\mathrm{U}(W_1, \langle, \rangle_1)}{[\mathrm{U}(W_1, \langle, \rangle_1), \mathrm{U}(W_1, \langle, \rangle_1)]}$ is full and $\iota(\Omega_1) \subseteq P(Y)$.*

For the group $\mathrm{U}(W_2, \langle, \rangle_2)$, we can let $\Omega_2 = \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_1(F(\xi))$. Then it is clear that the image of Ω_2 in $\frac{\mathrm{U}(W_2, \langle, \rangle_2)}{[\mathrm{U}(W_2, \langle, \rangle_2), \mathrm{U}(W_2, \langle, \rangle_2)]}$ is full. Following the comprehensive discussion in Section 7, we define a subgroup Γ_1 of Γ as follows:

- (I) If $\mathfrak{i} = \xi$ and $\mathfrak{e}_{-1} \in F(\mathfrak{i})$, then let Γ_1 be generated by $(\mathfrak{e}_{-1}\mathfrak{i}, \mathrm{diag}(\mathfrak{e}_{-1}\mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{e}_{-1}\mathfrak{i}); \mathfrak{i}^{-1}\mathfrak{e}_{-1}^{-1})$, $(\mathfrak{j}, \mathrm{diag}(\mathfrak{e}_{-1}\mathfrak{j}, \mathfrak{e}_{-1}\mathfrak{j}); \mathfrak{j}^{-1}\mathfrak{e}_{-1})$, $(a, \mathrm{diag}(a, a); a^{-1})$ for all $a \in F^\times$;
- (II) If $(\mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j}) = (\mathfrak{e}\omega, \xi)$ or $(\xi\omega, \xi)$, and $\mathfrak{e}_{-1} \in F(\mathfrak{j})$, then let Γ_1 be generated by $(\mathfrak{i}, \mathrm{diag}(\mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{i}); \mathfrak{i}^{-1})$, $(\mathfrak{j}, \mathrm{diag}(\mathfrak{e}_{-1}\mathfrak{j}, \mathfrak{e}_{-1}\mathfrak{j}); \mathfrak{j}^{-1}\mathfrak{e}_{-1}^{-1})$, $(a, \mathrm{diag}(a, a); a^{-1})$ for all $a \in F^\times$.

- Lemma 8.2.**
- (1) $\Lambda_{\Gamma_1} = F^\times = \Lambda_\Gamma$;
 - (2) $\Gamma_1 \cap (\mathrm{U}(W_1, \langle, \rangle_1) \times \mathrm{U}(W_2, \langle, \rangle_2)) = \{(-1, -1), (1, 1)\}$;
 - (3) $\iota(\Omega_i)^{\iota(\Gamma_1)} = \iota(\Omega_i)$, for $i = 1, 2$.

Proof. Straightforward. □

In case (I), we let \mathcal{F}_2 be the subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(W_1, \langle, \rangle_{1,F})$, generated by $\iota(\Gamma_1)$ and $\iota(\Omega_2)$. Analogous to Lemma 7.3, it can be also shown that the restriction of $[c]$ to \mathcal{F}_2 is trivial. In case (II), we let $Z_1 = \{x_1 + y_1\mathfrak{j} \mid x_1, y_1 \in F\}$ be a Lagrangian subspace of $(\mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{i}], \langle, \rangle_{1,F})$. Then $\iota(\Gamma_1), \iota(\Omega_2) \subseteq P(Z_1 \oplus X^*)$. Finally by Lemma 3.1, Remark 3.2, we obtain

Proposition 8.3. *Under conditions at the beginning of this section, Theorem A holds.*

9. THE PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM-PART VI.

In this section we finish proving Theorem A in the general case. The whole process has already been done in Section 8. To avoid duplicating work, we only give a sketch of the necessary steps. We will use the notations introduced in Section 1. Now let $W_\nu = W_\nu^0 \oplus H_\nu$ be a Witt's decomposition with W_ν^0 being its anisotropic subspace, and $H_\nu \simeq m_\nu H$ being its hyperbolic subspace, as ν runs through 1, 2.

- Remark 9.1.**
- (1) If $W_1^0 = 0$, or $W_2^0 = 0$, we deduce the result from Section 4.
 - (2) If $m_1 = 0 = m_2$, the result has been verified in Sections 5, 6, 7.
 - (3) The case $D = F$, $\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2\} = \{\pm 1\}$ has been completely discussed in Corollary 4.2. In what follows we shall exclude this case automatically.

Suppose now $W_1^0 \neq 0$, $W_2^0 \neq 0$, and we assume that either m_1 or m_2 is nonzero. In this situation there is a morphism $i: \mathrm{Sp}(W_1^0 \otimes_D W_2^0) \times \mathrm{Sp}(W_1^0 \otimes_D H_2) \times \mathrm{Sp}(H_1 \otimes_D W_2^0) \times \mathrm{Sp}(H_1 \otimes_D H_2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(W_1 \otimes_D W_2)$, which induces a morphism on cover groups by [5, pp. 245-246], that is, $\bar{i}: \mathrm{Sp}(W_1^0 \otimes_D W_2^0) \times \mathrm{Sp}(W_1^0 \otimes_D H_2) \times \mathrm{Sp}(H_1 \otimes_D W_2^0) \times \mathrm{Sp}(H_1 \otimes_D H_2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(W_1 \otimes_D W_2)$. For these subspaces $W_1^0 \otimes_D W_2^0, W_1^0 \otimes_D H_2, H_1 \otimes_D W_2^0, H_1 \otimes_D H_2$ of $W_1 \otimes_D W_2$, we have already defined the suitable pairs

$$(\Gamma^{(0,0)}, \Gamma_1^{(0,0)}), (\Gamma^{(H_1,0)}, \Gamma_1^{(H_1,0)}), (\Gamma^{(0,H_2)}, \Gamma_1^{(0,H_2)}), (\Gamma^{(H_1,H_2)}, \Gamma_1^{(H_1,H_2)})$$

of the subgroups of $(\mathrm{GU}(W_1^0, \langle, \rangle_1) \times \mathrm{GU}(W_2^0, \langle, \rangle_2))$, \dots , $(\mathrm{GU}(H_1, \langle, \rangle_1) \times \mathrm{GU}(H_1, \langle, \rangle_2))$ respectively in Sections 4, 5, 6, 7, 8. By Proposition 4.1, we can let Γ_1 be a subgroup of Γ consisting of the elements $[(g_1^{(0)}, g_1^{(H_1)}), (g_2^{(0)}, g_2^{(H_2)})]$ such that (1) $(g_1^{(0)}, g_2^{(0)}) \in \Gamma_1^{(0,0)}$, (2) $(g_1^{(0)}, g_2^{(H_2)}) \in \Gamma_1^{(0,H_2)}$, (3) $(g_1^{(H_1)}, g_2^{(0)}) \in \Gamma_1^{(H_1,0)}$, and consequently (4) $(g_1^{(H_1)}, g_2^{(H_2)}) \in \Gamma_1^{(H_1,H_2)}$. As a consequence we obtain:

- Lemma 9.2.**
- (1) $\Lambda_\Gamma = \Lambda_{\Gamma_1}$.
 - (2) $\iota(\Gamma_1) \cap \iota(\mathrm{U}(W_1, \langle, \rangle_1) \times \mathrm{U}(W_2, \langle, \rangle_2)) = 1$.

Lemma 9.3. *Under the restriction $\mathrm{H}^2(\iota(\Gamma), \mu_8) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^2(\iota(\Gamma_1), \mu_8)$, the image of $[c]$ is trivial.*

9.1. Suppose now $m_1 m_2 \neq 0$. By Lemmas 2.4, 2.5, for each $v = 1, 2$, there exists a surjective composite map

$$\frac{D^\times}{[D^\times, D^\times]} \twoheadrightarrow \frac{U(H, \langle, \rangle_v)}{[U(H, \langle, \rangle_v), U(H, \langle, \rangle_v)]} \twoheadrightarrow \frac{U(W_v, \langle, \rangle_v)}{[U(W_v, \langle, \rangle_v), U(W_v, \langle, \rangle_v)]}.$$

Namely we choose $\Omega_v = D^\times$. Let $Y_v = Y_v^{(0)} \oplus Y_v^{(H_v)}$ be a Lagrangian subspace of $(W_1 \otimes W_2, \langle, \rangle_1 \otimes \tau(\langle, \rangle_2))$ consisting of an arbitrary Lagrangian subspace $Y_v^{(0)}$ of $W_1^0 \otimes_D W_2^0$, and $Y_v^{(H_v)}$ as defined in Proposition 4.1 in each case. By Proposition 4.1 we obtain

Lemma 9.4. (1) $\iota(\Omega_v) \subseteq P(Y_v)$.
 (2) $\iota(\Omega_v)^{\iota(\Gamma_1)} \subseteq P(Y_v)$.

By Lemma 3.1, Theorem A holds in this case.

9.2. Without loss of generality, suppose now $m_1 \neq 0$ and $m_2 = 0$. In this case, we let $\Omega_1 = D^\times$, and Y_1 be the Lagrangian subspace of $W_1 \otimes W_2$ as defined before. Nevertheless, $W_2 = W_2^0$ is an anisotropic ϵ -hermitian space over D . We follow the discussion in Sections 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and define the distinct set Ω_2 in each case. With the benefit, we obtain the same result as Lemma 8.2. We can define a new subgroup \mathcal{T}_2 of $\text{Sp}(W_1 \otimes W_2)$ as generated by $\iota(\Gamma_1)$ and $\iota(\Omega_2)$. Then similarly as Section 8, it can be shown that either $\iota(\Omega_2)^{\iota(\Gamma_1)} \subseteq P(Y)$ for certain Lagrangian subspace of $(W_1 \otimes W_2, \langle, \rangle_1 \otimes \tau(\langle, \rangle_2))$, or the restriction of $[c]$ to \mathcal{T}_2 is trivial. Without a doubt, Theorem A holds in this case.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. J. Bushnell and G. Henniart, *The local langlands conjecture for $GL(2)$* , Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 335, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- [2] J. Dieudonné, *La géométrie des groupes classiques*, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. (Neue Folge, Heft 5), vol. 5, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1955.
- [3] W.T. Gan and W. Tanton, *The local Langlands conjecture for $GS(4)$ II: The case of inner forms*, Amer. J. Math. 136 (2014), 761-805.
- [4] A. J. Hahn and O. T. O'Meara, *The classical groups and K -theory*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 291, Springer-Verlag, 1989.
- [5] M. Hanzer and G. Muić, *Parabolic induction and Jacquet functors for metaplectic groups*, J. Algebra 323 (2010), 241-260.
- [6] G. P. Hochschild and J.-P. Serre, *Cohomology of group extensions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 74 (1953), 110-134.
- [7] S. Kudla, *Splitting metaplectic covers of dual reductive pairs*, Israel J. Math. 87 (1994), 361-401.
- [8] S. Kudla, *Notes on the local theta correspondence (lectures at the European School in Group Theory)*, preprint, available at <http://www.math.utontoto.ca/~skudla/castle.pdf>, 1996.
- [9] T. Y. Lam, *Introduction to quadratic forms over fields*, Grad. Stud. Math. 67, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.
- [10] C. Moeglin, M.-F. Vignéras, and J.-L. Waldspurger, *Correspondances de Howe sur un corps p -adique*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1291, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
- [11] C. C. Moore, *Extensions and low dimensional cohomology theory of locally compact groups I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 113 (1964), 40-63.
- [12] C. C. Moore, *Group extensions of p -adic and adelic linear groups*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 35 (1968), 56-70.
- [13] S.-Y. Pan, *Splittings of the metaplectic covers of some reductive dual pairs*, Pacific J. Math. 199 (2001), 163-226.
- [14] P. Perrin, *Représentations de Schrödinger. Indice de Maslov et groupe metaplectique*, in Non Commutative Harmonic Analysis and Lie Groups, Proc. (Marseille-Luminy 1980), Lecture Notes in Math. 880, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1981.
- [15] G. Prasad and M. S. Raghunathan, *Topological central extensions of $SL_1(D)$* , Invent. Math. 92 (1988), 645-689.
- [16] R. R. Rao, *On some explicit formulas in the theory of Weil representation*, Pacific J. Math. 157 (1993), 335-371.
- [17] B. Roberts, *The theta correspondence for similitudes*, Israel J. Math. 94 (1996), 285-317.
- [18] C. Riehm, *The norm one group of a p -adic division algebra*, Amer. J. Math. 92 (1970), 499-523.
- [19] I. Satake, *Some remarks to the preceding paper of Tsukamoto*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 13 (1961), 401-409.
- [20] W. Scharlau, *Quadratic and Hermitian forms*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 270, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [21] T. Tsukamoto, *On the local theory of quaternionic anti-hermitian forms*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 13 (1961), 387-400.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, WUHAN UNIVERSITY, WUHAN, 430072, P.R. CHINA

E-mail address: cwang2014@whu.edu.cn