THE STRONG AJ CONJECTURE FOR CABLES OF TORUS KNOTS

ANH T. TRAN

ABSTRACT. The AJ conjecture, formulated by Garoufalidis, relates the A-polynomial and the colored Jones polynomial of a knot in the 3-sphere. It has been confirmed for all torus knots, some classes of two-bridge knots and pretzel knots, and most cabled knots over torus knots. The strong AJ conjecture, formulated by Sikora, relates the A-ideal and the colored Jones polynomial of a knot. It was confirmed for all torus knots. In this paper we confirm the strong AJ conjecture for most cabled knots over torus knots.

0. Introduction

0.1. The colored Jones polynomial. For a knot K in S^3 and a positive integer n, let $J_K(n) \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ denote the n-colored Jones polynomial of K with zero-framing. The polynomial $J_K(n)$ is the quantum link invariant, as defined by Reshetikhin and Turaev [RT], associated to the Lie algebra $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$, with the color n standing for the irreducible $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ -module V_n of dimension n. Here we use the functorial normalization, i.e. the one for which the colored Jones polynomial of the unknot U is

$$J_U(n) = [n] := \frac{t^{2n} - t^{-2n}}{t^2 - t^{-2}}.$$

It is known that $J_K(1) = 1$ and $J_K(2)$ is the usual Jones polynomial [Jo]. The colored Jones polynomials of higher colors are more or less the usual Jones polynomials of parallels of the knot. The color n can be assumed to take negative integer values by setting $J_K(-n) := -J_K(n)$. In particular, one has $J_K(0) = 0$.

0.2. The recurrence ideal and recurrence polynomial. Consider a discrete function $f: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathcal{R} := \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ and define the linear operators L, M acting on such functions by

$$(Lf)(n) := f(n+1), \qquad (Mf)(n) := t^{2n}f(n).$$

It is easy to see that $LM = t^2 ML$, and that $L^{\pm 1}, M^{\pm 1}$ generate the quantum torus \mathcal{T} , a non-commutative ring with presentation

$$\mathcal{T} := \mathcal{R}\langle L^{\pm 1}, M^{\pm 1} \rangle / (LM - t^2 M L).$$

Let

$$\mathcal{A}_K := \{ P \in \mathcal{T} \mid PJ_K = 0 \},$$

which is a left-ideal of \mathcal{T} , called the recurrence ideal of K. It was proved in [GL] that for every knot K, the recurrence ideal \mathcal{A}_K is non-zero. An element in \mathcal{A}_K is called a recurrence relation for the colored Jones polynomial of K.

The ring \mathcal{T} is not a principal left-ideal domain, i.e. not every left-ideal of \mathcal{T} is generated by one element. By adding all inverses of polynomials in t, M to \mathcal{T} one gets a principal left-ideal domain $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$, c.f. [Ga2]. The ring $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ can be formally defined as follows. Let $\mathcal{R}(M)$

Key words and phrases: colored Jones polynomial, A-polynomial, AJ conjecture, torus knot.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Classification: Primary 57N10. Secondary 57M25.

be the fractional field of the polynomial ring $\mathcal{R}[M]$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ be the set of all Laurent polynomials in the variable L with coefficients in $\mathcal{R}(M)$:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{T}} := \{ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_j(M) L^j \mid a_j(M) \in \mathcal{R}(M), f_j = 0 \text{ almost everywhere} \},$$

and define the product in $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ by $a(M)L^k \cdot b(M)L^l := a(M)b(t^{2k}M)L^{k+l}$.

The left ideal extension $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_K := \tilde{\mathcal{T}}\mathcal{A}_K$ of \mathcal{A}_K in $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ is then generated by a polynomial

$$\alpha_K(t; M, L) = \sum_{j=0}^d \alpha_{K,j}(t, M) L^j,$$

where d is assumed to be minimal and all the coefficients $\alpha_{K,j}(t,M) \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1},M]$ are assumed to be co-prime. That α_K can be chosen to have integer coefficients follows from the fact that $J_K(n) \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$. The polynomial α_K is defined up to a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1},M]$. We call α_K the recurrence polynomial of K.

0.3. **The AJ conjecture.** The colored Jones polynomials are powerful invariants of knots, but little is known about their relationship with classical invariants like the fundamental group. Inspired by the theory of noncommutative A-ideals of Frohman, Gelca and Lofaro [FGL, Ge] and the theory of q-holonomicity of quantum invariants of Garoufalidis and Le [GL], Garoufalidis [Ga2] formulated the following conjecture that relates the A-polynomial and the colored Jones polynomial of a knot in the 3-pshere.

Conjecture 1. (AJ conjecture) For every knot K in S^3 , $\alpha_K \mid_{t=-1}$ is equal to the A-polynomial, up to a polynomial depending on M only.

The A-polynomial of a knot was introduced by Cooper et al. [CCGLS]; it describes the $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -character variety of the knot complement as viewed from the boundary torus. Here in the definition of the A-polynomial, we also allow the factor L-1 coming from the abelian component of the character variety of the knot group. Hence the A-polynomial in this paper is equal to L-1 times the A-polynomial defined in [CCGLS].

The AJ conjecture has been confirmed for the trefoil knot and the figure eight knot (by Garoufalidis [Ga2]), all torus knots (by Hikami [Hi], Tran [Tr]), some classes of two-bridge knots and pretzel knots including most double twist knots and $(-2, 3, 6n\pm 1)$ -pretzel knots (by Le [Le], Le and Tran [LT]), the knot 7_4 (by Garoufalidis and Koutschan [GK]), and most cabled knots over torus knots (by Ruppe and Zhang [RZ]).

0.4. **Main result.** For a finitely generated group G, let $\chi(G)$ denote the $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -character variety of G, see [CS]. For a manifold Y we use $\chi(Y)$ also to denote $\chi(\pi_1(Y))$. Suppose $G = \mathbb{Z}^2$. Every pair of generators μ, λ will define an isomorphism between $\chi(G)$ and $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2/\tau$, where $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ is the set of non-zero complex pairs (M, L) and τ is the involution $\tau(M, L) := (M^{-1}, L^{-1})$. For an algebraic set V (over \mathbb{C}), let $\mathbb{C}[V]$ denote the ring of regular functions on V. For example, $\mathbb{C}[(\mathbb{C}^*)^2/\tau] = \mathfrak{t}^{\sigma}$, the σ -invariant subspace of $\mathfrak{t} := \mathbb{C}[M^{\pm 1}, L^{\pm 1}]$, where $\sigma(M^k L^l) := M^{-k} L^{-l}$.

Let K be a knot in S^3 and $X = S^3 \setminus K$ its complement. The boundary of X is a torus whose fundamental group is free abelian of rank two. An orientation of K will define a unique pair of an oriented meridian μ and an oriented longitude λ such that the linking number between the longitude and the knot is zero. The pair provides an identification of $\chi(\partial X)$ and $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2/\tau$ which actually does not depend on the orientation of K.

The inclusion $\partial X \hookrightarrow X$ induces an algebra homomorphism

$$\theta : \mathbb{C}[\chi(\partial X)] \equiv \mathfrak{t}^{\sigma} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[\chi(X)].$$

We call the kernel \mathfrak{p} of θ the A-ideal of K; it is an ideal of \mathfrak{t}^{σ} . The A-ideal was first introduced in [FGL]; it determines the A-polynomial of K. In fact $\mathfrak{p} = (A_K \cdot \mathfrak{t})^{\sigma}$, the σ -invariant part of the ideal $A_K \cdot \mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{t}$ generated by the A-polynomial A_K .

The involution σ acts on the quantum torus \mathcal{T} also by $\sigma(M^k L^l) = M^{-k} L^{-l}$. Let \mathcal{A}_K^{σ} be the σ -invariant part of the recurrence ideal \mathcal{A}_K ; it is an ideal of \mathcal{T}^{σ} .

Sikora [Si] formulated the following conjecture that relates the A-ideal and the colored Jones polynomial of a knot in the 3-pshere.

Conjecture 2. (Strong AJ conjecture) Suppose K is a knot in S^3 . Then

$$\sqrt{\mathcal{A}_K^{\sigma}\mid_{t=-1}}=\mathfrak{p}.$$

Here $\sqrt{\mathcal{A}_K^{\sigma}|_{t=-1}}$ denotes the radical of the ideal $\mathcal{A}_K^{\sigma}|_{t=-1}$ in the ring \mathfrak{t}^{σ} .

The strong AJ conjecture was confirmed for the trefoil knot (by Sikora [Si]) and all torus knots (by Tran [Tr]). In this paper we consider the strong AJ conjecture for cabled knots over torus knots. Recall that the set of non-trivial torus knots T(p,q) in S^3 can be indexed by pairs of relatively prime integers (p,q) satisfying $|p| > q \ge 2$. Also recall that an (r,s)-cabled knot over a knot K in S^3 is the knot which can be embedded in the boundary torus of a tubular neighborhood of K in S^3 as a curve of slope r/s with respect to the meridian/longitude coordinates of K satisfying (r,s) = 1 and $s \ge 2$.

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1. The strong AJ conjecture holds true for each (r,s)-cabled knot over each (p,q)-torus knot if r is not a number between 0 and pqs.

- 0.5. **Plan of the paper.** In Section 1 we prove some properties of the colored Jones polynomial of cabled knots. In Section 2 we prove a result about the relationship between the AJ conjecture and the strong AJ conjecture, and consequently prove one part of Theorem 1. The proof of the other part of Theorem 1 is given in Sections 3–6.
- 0.6. Acknowledgment. We would like to thank X. Zhang for helpful discussions.

1. The Colored Jones Polynomial of Cabled Knots

By [Mo], the formula for the colored Jones polynomial of the (r, s)-cabled knot $K^{(r,s)}$ over a knot K is given by

(1.1)
$$J_{K(r,s)}(n) = t^{-rs(n^2-1)} \sum_{j=-\frac{n-1}{2}}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} t^{4rj(js+1)} J_K(2sj+1).$$

Lemma 1.1. One has

$$J_{K^{(r,s)}}(n+2) - t^{-4rs(n+1)} J_{K^{(r,s)}}(n)$$

$$= t^{-2rs(n+1)} \left(t^{2r(n+1)} J_K(s(n+1)+1) - t^{-2r(n+1)} J_K(s(n+1)-1) \right).$$

Proof. From Eq. (1.1) we have

$$\begin{split} J_{K^{(r,s)}}(n+2) - t^{-4rs(n+1)} J_{K^{(r,s)}}(n) \\ &= t^{-rs((n+2)^2-1)} \sum_{j=-\frac{n+1}{2}}^{\frac{n+1}{2}} t^{4rj(sj+1)} J_K(2sj+1) - t^{-4rs(n+1)} t^{-rs(n^2-1)} \sum_{j=-\frac{n-1}{2}}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} t^{4rj(js+1)} J_K(2sj+1) \\ &= t^{-rs((n+2)^2-1)} \left(t^{r(n+1)(s(n+1)+2)} J_K(s(n+1)+1) + t^{r(n+1)(s(n+1)-2)} J_K(-s(n+1)+1) \right). \end{split}$$
 The lemma follows since $J_K(-s(n+1)+1) = -J_K(s(n+1)-1)$.

Lemma 1.2. One has

$$J_{K(r,2)}(n+1) + t^{-2r(2n+1)}J_{K(r,2)}(n) = t^{-2rn}J_K(2n+1).$$

Proof. By Eq. (1.1) we have

$$J_{K^{(r,2)}}(n+1) = t^{-2r((n+1)^2 - 1)} \sum_{k = -\frac{n}{2}}^{\frac{n}{2}} t^{4rk(2k+1)} J_K(4k+1).$$

Set $k = -(j + \frac{1}{2})$. Then

$$J_{K^{(r,2)}}(n+1) = t^{-2r((n+1)^2-1)} \sum_{j=\frac{n-1}{2}}^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} t^{4rj(2j+1)} J_K(-(4j+1))$$

$$= t^{-2r((n+1)^2-1)} \left(-\sum_{j=-\frac{n-1}{2}}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} t^{4rj(2j+1)} J_K(4j+1) + t^{2rn(n+1)} J_K(2n+1) \right)$$

$$= -t^{-2r(2n+1)} J_{K^{(r,2)}}(n) + t^{-2rn} J_K(2n+1).$$

This proves Lemma 1.2.

Remark 1.3. The proofs of Lemmas 1.1 and 1.2 are similar to those of Lemmas 1.1 and 1.5 in [Tr]. See also Propositions 3 and 5 in [Hi], and Lemma 3.1 and Section 6.1 in [RZ].

2. On the strong AJ conjecture

Let ε be the map reducing t = -1.

Lemma 2.1. Suppose the AJ conjecture holds true for a knot K and the A-polynomial A_K does not have any non-trivial M-factors. Then $\sqrt{\varepsilon(A_K^{\sigma})} \subset \mathfrak{p}$.

Proof. Since the AJ conjecture holds true for K, we have $\varepsilon(\alpha_K) = f(M)A_K$ for some non-zero $f(M) \in \mathbb{C}(M)$. For every $\delta \in \mathcal{A}_K$, by [Tr, Lemma 2.5], there exist $g(t,M) \in \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1},M]$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $\delta = \frac{1}{g(t,M)} \gamma \alpha_K$ and $\varepsilon(g) \neq 0$. It follows that

$$\varepsilon(\delta) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon(g(M))} \varepsilon(\gamma) \varepsilon(\alpha_K) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon(g(M))} \varepsilon(\gamma) f(M) A_K.$$

Let $h = \frac{f(M)}{\varepsilon(g(M))} \varepsilon(\gamma) \in \mathbb{C}(M)[L^{\pm 1}]$. Then $\varepsilon(\delta) = hA_K$. Since A_K does not have any non-trivial M-factors, we must have $h \in \mathbb{C}[M^{\pm 1}, L^{\pm 1}] = \mathfrak{t}$. Hence $\varepsilon(\delta) \in A_K \cdot \mathfrak{t}$, the ideal of \mathfrak{t} generated by A_K . It follows that $\varepsilon(A_K) \subset A_K \cdot \mathfrak{t}$ and thus $\varepsilon(A_K^{\sigma}) \subset (A_K \cdot \mathfrak{t})^{\sigma} = \mathfrak{p}$. \square

Lemma 2.2. Suppose there exists $P \in \mathcal{A}_K^{\sigma}$ such that $\varepsilon(P) = M^k L^l(A_K)^{2m}$ for some integers k, l, m. Then $\mathfrak{p} \subset \sqrt{\varepsilon(\mathcal{A}_K^{\sigma})}$.

Proof. It is known that $A_K(M^{\pm 1}, L^{\pm 1}) = \eta M^a L^b A_K(M, L)$ where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\eta = \pm 1$, see [CCGLS]. Since $\sigma(P) = P$, we have

$$M^k L^l(A_K)^{2m} = M^{-k} L^{-l}(\sigma(A_K))^{2m} = M^{-k} L^{-l}(\eta M^a L^b A_K)^{2m}.$$

It follows that k = am and l = bm. Hence $\varepsilon(P) = M^{am}L^{bm}(A_K)^{2m}$.

If $u \in \mathfrak{p}$ then $u = vA_K$ for some $v \in \mathbb{C}[M^{\pm 1}, L^{\pm 1}]$. Since $u = \sigma(u)$, we have $vA_k = \sigma(v)\sigma(A_K) = \sigma(v)\eta M^a L^b A_K$ which means that $v = \eta M^a L^b \sigma(v)$. Hence $M^{-a}L^{-b}v^2 = \sigma(M^{-a}L^{-b}v^2)$. Let $w = M^{-a}L^{-b}v^2$. Then $\sigma(w) = w$. We have

$$u^{2m} = v^{2m} (A_K)^{2m} = \varepsilon ((M^{-a} L^{-b} v^2)^m P) = \varepsilon (w^m P) \in \varepsilon (\mathcal{A}_K^{\sigma}),$$

which implies that $u \in \sqrt{\varepsilon(\mathcal{A}_K^{\sigma})}$. This proves Lemma 2.2.

Let T = T(p,q) be the (p,q)-torus knot and $C = T^{(r,s)}$ be the (r,s)-cabled knot over T. By [RZ], the formula for the A-polynomial of C is given by

$$A_C(M,L) = \begin{cases} (L-1)(L^2 - M^{-2pqs^2})(L^2 - M^{-2rs}) & \text{if } s > 2 \text{ is odd and } q > 2, \\ (L-1)(L + M^{-2ps^2})(L^2 - M^{-2rs}) & \text{if } s > 2 \text{ is odd and } q > 2, \\ (L-1)(L - M^{-pqs^2})(L^2 - M^{-2rs}) & \text{if } s > 2 \text{ is even,} \\ (L-1)(L - M^{-4pq})(L + M^{-2r}) & \text{if } s = 2. \end{cases}$$

Suppose r is not a number between 0 and pqs. Ruppe and Zhang [RZ] have confirmed the AJ conjecture for the cabled knot C. Since the A-polynomial of C does not have any non-trivial M-factors, Lemma 2.1 implies that $\sqrt{\varepsilon(\mathcal{A}_C^{\sigma})} \subset \mathfrak{p}$. Hence to prove the strong AJ conjecture for C, we only need to show that

$$\mathfrak{p} \subset \sqrt{\varepsilon(\mathcal{A}_C^{\sigma})}.$$

To prove (2.1) we will apply Lemma 2.2. As in [RZ], the proof of (2.1) is divided into the following 4 cases:

- (1) s is odd and q > 2 (Section 3),
- (2) s is odd and q = 2 (Section 4),
- (3) s > 2 is even (Section 5),
- (4) s = 2 (Section 6).

Moreover, in the case s > 2 we let $G_1(n) = t^{2r(n+1)}J_T(s(n+1)+1)$ and $G_2(n) = t^{-2r(n+1)}J_T(s(n+1)-1)$. Then, by Lemma 1.1, we have

(2.2)
$$t^{2rs}M^{rs}(L^2 - t^{-4rs}M^{-2rs})J_C = G_1 - G_2.$$

The following lemma will be useful in the proof of (2.1).

Lemma 2.3. Suppose $h_1(n), \dots, h_k(n) \in \mathcal{R}[t^{\pm 2n}]$. There exists $P \in \mathcal{R}[L^{\pm 1}]$ such that $\sigma(P) = P$, $\varepsilon(P) = (L + L^{-1} - 2)^m$ for some $m \ge 1$, and $Ph_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Proof. Suppose $h(n) \in \mathcal{R}[t^{\pm 2n}]$. Write $h(n) = \sum_j \lambda_j t^{2k_j n}$, where $\lambda_j \in \mathcal{R}$ and $k_j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $t^{2k_j n}$ is annihilated by $L + L^{-1} - t^{2k_j} - t^{-2k_j}$, h(n) is annihilated by

$$P_h := \prod_j (L + L^{-1} - t^{2k_j} - t^{-2k_j}).$$

Let $P = P_{h_1} \cdots P_{h_n}$. Then P satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.3.

3. Case
$$s > 2$$
 is odd and $q > 2$

From [Tr, Lemma 1.1] we have $J_T(n+2) - t^{-4pq(n+1)}J_T(n) \in \mathcal{R}[t^{\pm 2n}]$, i.e.

(3.1)
$$(L^2 - t^{-4pq} M^{-2pq}) J_T \in \mathcal{R}[M^{\pm 1}].$$

Lemma 3.1. For all positive integers m, one has

$$(L^{2m} - t^{-4pqm^2}M^{-2pqm})J_T \in \mathcal{R}[M^{\pm 1}].$$

Proof. From (3.1) we have $(L^2M^{2pq} - t^{4pq})J_T \in \mathcal{R}[M^{\pm 1}]$. It follows that

$$((L^2M^{2pq})^m - t^{4pqm})J_T \in \mathcal{R}[M^{\pm 1}].$$

Since $(L^2M^{2pq})^m = t^{-4pqm(m-1)}L^{2m}M^{2pqm}$, we have $(L^{2m}M^{2pqm} - t^{4pqm^2})J_T \in \mathcal{R}[M^{\pm 1}]$. The lemma follows since $L^{2m}M^{2pqm} = t^{8pqm^2}M^{2pqm}L^{2m}$.

Proposition 3.2. One has

$$(L^{2}M^{2pqs^{2}} + L^{-2}M^{-2pqs^{2}} - t^{4r-4pqs} - t^{-4r+4pqs+8pqs^{2}})G_{1} \in \mathcal{R}[M^{\pm 1}],$$

$$(L^{2}M^{2pqs^{2}} + L^{-2}M^{-2pqs^{2}} - t^{-4r+4pqs} - t^{4r-4pqs+8pqs^{2}})G_{2} \in \mathcal{R}[M^{\pm 1}].$$

Proof. From Lemma 3.1 we have

$$J_T(s(n+3)+1) - t^{-4pqs(sn+2s+1)} J_T(s(n+1)+1) \in \mathcal{R}[t^{\pm 2n}].$$

It follows that $G_1(n+2) - t^{4r-4pqs(sn+2s+1)}G_1(n) \in \mathcal{R}[t^{\pm 2n}]$. Hence

$$(L^2 - t^{4r - 4pqs(2s+1)}M^{-2pqs^2})G_1 \in \mathcal{R}[M^{\pm 1}],$$

which implies that $(L^2M^{2pqs^2} - t^{4r-4pqs})G_1 \in \mathcal{R}[M^{\pm 1}]$. Hence

$$(1 - t^{-4r + 4pqs}L^{-2}M^{-2pqs^2})(L^2M^{2pqs^2} - t^{4r - 4pqs})G_1 \in \mathcal{R}[M^{\pm 1}].$$

The proof for G_2 is similar.

Let

$$P_1 := L^2 M^{2pqs^2} + L^{-2} M^{-2pqs^2} - t^{4r-4pqs} - t^{-4r+4pqs+8pqs^2},$$

$$P_2 := L^2 M^{2pqs^2} + L^{-2} M^{-2pqs^2} - t^{-4r+4pqs} - t^{4r-4pqs+8pqs^2}.$$

Note that $\sigma(P_i) = P_i$ and $P_1P_2 = P_2P_1$. By Proposition 3.2, we have $P_iG_i \in \mathcal{R}[M^{\pm 1}]$ for i = 1, 2. Let $P = P_1P_2$. Then $\sigma(P) = P$ and $PG_i \in \mathcal{R}[M^{\pm 1}]$.

By Lemma 2.3, we can choose $Q \in \mathcal{R}[L^{\pm 1}]$ such that $\sigma(Q) = Q$, $\varepsilon(Q) = (L + L^{-1} - 2)^m$ for some $m \geq 1$, and $Q(PG_i) = 0$ for all i = 1, 2.

From (2.2) we have $QPM^{rs}(L^2 - t^{-4rs}M^{-2rs})J_C = 0$. Let

$$R := M^{rs}QPM^{rs}(L^2 - t^{-4rs}M^{-2rs}) + M^{-rs}QPM^{-rs}(L^{-2} - t^{-4rs}M^{2rs}).$$

Then $\sigma(R) = R$. Since \mathcal{A}_C is invariant under σ (by [Ga1]), we have $RJ_C = 0$. Note that

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon(R) &= (L^2 M^{2rs} + L^{-2} M^{-2rs} - 2) \varepsilon(QP) \\ &= (L^2 M^{2rs} + L^{-2} M^{-2rs} - 2) (L^2 M^{2pqs^2} + L^{-2} M^{-2pqs^2} - 2)^2 (L + L^{-1} - 2)^m. \end{split}$$

Let $k := \max\{m, 2\}$ and $S := (L^2M^{2rs} + L^{-2}M^{-2rs} - 2)^{k-1}(L^2M^{2pqs^2} + L^{-2}M^{-2pqs^2} - 2)^{k-2}(L + L^{-1} - 2)^{k-m}$. We have $\sigma(S) = S$ and

$$\varepsilon(SR) = \left((L^2 M^{2rs} + L^{-2} M^{-2rs} - 2)(L^2 M^{2pqs^2} + L^{-2} M^{-2pqs^2} - 2)(L + L^{-1} - 2) \right)^k$$
$$= M^{2(r+pqs)sk} L^{-5k} \left((L-1)(L^2 - M^{-2pqs^2})(L^2 - M^{-2rs}) \right)^{2k}.$$

Since $SR \in \mathcal{A}_C^{\sigma}$ and $\varepsilon(SR) = M^{2(r+pqs)sk}L^{-5k}(A_C)^{2k}$, Lemma 2.2 implies that $\mathfrak{p} \subset \sqrt{\varepsilon(\mathcal{A}_C^{\sigma})}$. This proves Theorem 1 in the case s > 2 is odd and q > 2.

4. Case
$$s > 2$$
 is odd and $q = 2$

From [Tr, Lemma 1.5] we have $J_T(n+1) + t^{-2p(2n+1)}J_T(n) \in \mathcal{R}[t^{\pm 2n}]$, i.e.

$$(4.1) (L + t^{-2p} M^{-2p}) J_T \in \mathcal{R}[M^{\pm 1}].$$

Lemma 4.1. When q = 2, for all positive integers m, one has

$$(L^m - (-1)^m t^{-2pm^2} M^{-2pm}) J_T \in \mathcal{R}[M^{\pm 1}].$$

Proof. From (4.1) we have $(LM^{2p} + t^{2p})J_T \in \mathcal{R}[M^{\pm 1}]$. It follows that

$$((LM^{2p})^m - (-t^{2p})^m)J_T \in \mathcal{R}[M^{\pm 1}].$$

Since $(LM^{2p})^m = t^{-2pm(m-1)}L^mM^{2pm}$, we have $(L^mM^{2pm} - (-1)^mt^{2pm^2})J_T \in \mathcal{R}[M^{\pm 1}]$. The lemma follows since $L^mM^{2pm} = t^{4pm^2}M^{2pm}L^m$.

Proposition 4.2. When q = 2 and s is odd, one has

$$(LM^{2ps^2} + L^{-1}M^{-2ps^2} + t^{2r-2ps(s+2)} + t^{-2r+2ps(3s+2)})G_1 \in \mathcal{R}[M^{\pm 1}],$$

$$(LM^{2ps^2} + L^{-1}M^{-2ps^2} + t^{-2r-2ps(s-2)} + t^{2r+2ps(3s-2)})G_2 \in \mathcal{R}[M^{\pm 1}].$$

Proof. From Lemma 4.1 we have

$$J_T(s(n+2)+1) + t^{-2ps(2sn+3s+2)} J_T(s(n+1)+1) \in \mathcal{R}[t^{\pm 2n}].$$

It follows that $G_1(n+1) + t^{2r-2ps(2sn+3s+2)}G_1(n) \in \mathcal{R}[t^{\pm 2n}]$. Hence

$$(L + t^{2r - 2ps(3s+2)}M^{-2ps^2})G_1 \in \mathcal{R}[M^{\pm 1}],$$

which implies that $(LM^{2ps^2} + t^{2r-2ps(s+2)})G_1 \in \mathcal{R}[M^{\pm 1}]$. Hence

$$(1 + t^{-2r + 2ps(s+2)}L^{-1}M^{-2ps^2})(LM^{2ps^2} + t^{2r - 2ps(s+2)})G_1 \in \mathcal{R}[M^{\pm 1}].$$

The proof for G_2 is similar.

By applying Proposition 4.2, we can show that $\mathfrak{p} \subset \sqrt{\varepsilon(\mathcal{A}_C^{\sigma})}$ as in Section 3.

5. Case
$$s > 2$$
 is even

Proposition 5.1. When s is even, one has

$$(LM^{pqs^{2}} + L^{-1}M^{-pqs^{2}} - t^{2r-pqs(s+2)} - t^{-2r+pqs(3s+2)})G_{1} \in \mathcal{R}[M^{\pm 1}],$$

$$(LM^{pqs^{2}} + L^{-1}M^{-pqs^{2}} - t^{-2r-pqs(s-2)} - t^{2r+pqs(3s-2)})G_{2} \in \mathcal{R}[M^{\pm 1}].$$

Proof. From Lemma 3.1 we have

$$J_T(s(n+2)+1) - t^{-pqs(2sn+3s+2)} J_T(s(n+1)+1) \in \mathcal{R}[t^{\pm 2n}].$$

It follows that $G_1(n+1) - t^{2r-pqs(2sn+3s+2)}G_1(n) \in \mathcal{R}[t^{\pm 2n}]$. Hence

$$(L - t^{2r - pqs(3s+2)}M^{-pqs^2})G_1 \in \mathcal{R}[M^{\pm 1}],$$

which implies that $(LM^{pqs^2} - t^{2r-pqs(s+2)})G_1 \in \mathcal{R}[M^{\pm 1}]$. Hence

$$(1 - t^{-2r + 2pq(s+2)}L^{-1}M^{-2ps^2})(LM^{pqs^2} - t^{2r - pqs(s+2)})G_1 \in \mathcal{R}[M^{\pm 1}].$$

The proof for G_2 is similar.

By applying Proposition 5.1, we can show that $\mathfrak{p} \subset \sqrt{\varepsilon(\mathcal{A}_C^{\sigma})}$ as in Section 3.

6. Case
$$s=2$$

In the case s=2 we let $G(n)=J_K(2n+1)$. Then, by Lemma 1.2, we have

(6.1)
$$M^{r}(L+t^{-2r}M^{-2r})J_{C}=G.$$

Proposition 6.1. One has

$$(LM^{4pq} + L^{-1}M^{-4pq} - 1 - t^{8pq})G \in \mathcal{R}[M^{\pm 1}].$$

Proof. From [Tr, Lemma 1.1] we have

$$J_T(2n+3) - t^{-8pq(n+1)} J_T(2n+1) \in \mathcal{R}[t^{\pm 2n}].$$

i.e. $(L-t^{-8pq}M^{-4pq})G \in \mathcal{R}[M^{\pm 1}]$. It follows that $(LM^{4pq}-1)G \in \mathcal{R}[M^{\pm 1}]$. Hence

$$(1 - L^{-1}M^{-4pq})(LM^{4pq} - 1)G \in \mathcal{R}[M^{\pm 1}].$$

The proposition follows.

Let $P = LM^{4pq} + L^{-1}M^{-4pq} - 1 - t^{8pq}$. Note that $\sigma(P) = P$. By Proposition 6.1 we have $PG \in \mathcal{R}[M^{\pm 1}]$. By Lemma 2.3, we can choose $Q \in \mathcal{R}[L^{\pm 1}]$ such that $\sigma(Q) = Q$, $\varepsilon(Q) = (L + L^{-1} - 2)^m$ for some $m \ge 1$, and Q(PG) = 0. Eq. (6.1) then implies that $QPM^r(L + t^{-2r}M^{-2r})J_C = 0$. Let

$$R := M^r Q P M^r (L + t^{-2r} M^{-2r}) + M^{-r} Q P M^{-r} (L^{-1} + t^{-2r} M^{2r}).$$

Then $\sigma(R) = R$. Since \mathcal{A}_C is invariant under σ , we have $RJ_C = 0$. Note that

$$\varepsilon(R) = (LM^{2r} + L^{-1}M^{-2r} - 2)(LM^{4pq} + L^{-1}M^{-4pq} - 2)(L + L^{-1} - 2)^{m}.$$

Let $S := (LM^{2r} + L^{-1}M^{-2r} - 2)^{m-1}(LM^{4pq} + L^{-1}M^{-4pq} - 2)^{m-1}$. We have $\sigma(S) = S$ and

$$\varepsilon(SR) = ((LM^{2r} + L^{-1}M^{-2r} + 2)(LM^{4pq} + L^{-1}M^{-4pq} - 2)(L + L^{-1} - 2))^{m}$$
$$= M^{2(r+2pq)m}L^{-3m}((L-1)(L+M^{-2r})(L-M^{-4pq}))^{2m}.$$

Since $SR \in \mathcal{A}_C^{\sigma}$ and $\varepsilon(SR) = M^{2(r+2pq)m}L^{-3m}(A_C)^{2m}$, Lemma 2.2 implies that $\mathfrak{p} \subset \sqrt{\varepsilon(\mathcal{A}_C^{\sigma})}$. This proves Theorem 1 in the case s=2.

References

- [CCGLS] D. Cooper, M. Culler, H. Gillet, D. Long and P. Shalen, Plane curves associated to character varieties of 3-manifolds, Invent. Math. 118 (1994), pp. 47–84.
- [CS] M. Culler and P. Shalen, Varieties of group representations and splittings of 3-manifolds, Ann. of Math. (2) 117 (1983), no. 1, 109–146.
- [FGL] C. Frohman, R. Gelca, and W. Lofaro, *The A-polynomial from the noncommutative viewpoint*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354** (2002), no. 2, 735–747.
- [Ga1] S. Garoufalidis, Difference and differential equations for the colored Jones function, J. Knot Theory and Its Ramifications 17 (2008), no. 4, 495–510.
- [Ga2] S. Garoufalidis, On the characteristic and deformation varieties of a knot, Proceedings of the Casson Fest, Geom. Topol. Monogr., vol. 7, Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 2004, 291–309 (electronic).
- [Ge] R. Gelca, On the relation between the A-polynomial and the Jones polynomial, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 130 (2002), no. 4, 1235–1241.
- [GK] C. Koutschan and S. Garoufalidis, *Irreducibility of q-difference operators and the knot* 7₄, Algebr. Geom. Topol. (to appear).
- [GL] S. Garoufalidis and T. Le, *The colored Jones function is q-holonomic*, Geom. Topol. **9** (2005), 1253–1293 (electronic).
- [Hi] K. Hikami, Difference equation of the colored Jones polynomial for torus knot, Internat. J. Math. 15 (2004), no. 9, 959–965.
- [Jo] V. Jones, Hecke algebra representations of braid groups and link polynomials, Ann. of Math. (2) 126 (1987), 335–388.
- [Le] T. Le, The colored Jones polynomial and the A-polynomial of knots, Adv. Math. 207 (2006), no. 2, 782–804.
- [LT] T. Le and A. Tran, On the AJ conjecture for knots, preprint 2012, arXiv:1111.5258.
- [Mo] H. Morton, The coloured Jones function and Alexander polynomial for torus knots, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 117 (1995), no. 1, 129–135.
- [RT] N. Yu. Reshetikhin and V. Turaev, Ribbon graphs and their invariants derived from quantum groups, Commun. Math. Phys. 127 (1990), 1–26.
- [RZ] D. Ruppe and X. Zhang, *The AJ conjecture and cabled knots over torus knots*, preprint 2014, arXiv:1403.1858.
- [Si] A. Sikora, Quantizations of character varieties and quantum knot invariants, preprint 2008, arXiv:0807.0943.
- [Tr] A. Tran, Proof of a stronger version of the AJ conjecture for torus knots, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 13 (2013), no. 1, 609–624.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS, OH 43210, USA *E-mail address*: tran.350@osu.edu