

Some properties of generalized reduced Verma modules over \mathbb{Z} -graded modular Lie superalgebras

KELI ZHENG^{1,2} YONGZHENG ZHANG^{2*}

¹*Department of Mathematics, Northeast Forestry University
Harbin 150040, P.R. China*

²*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Northeast Normal University
Changchun 130024, P.R. China.*

Abstract

This paper is primarily concerned with generalized reduced Verma modules over \mathbb{Z} -graded modular Lie superalgebras. Some properties of the generalized reduced Verma modules and the coinduced modules are obtained. Moreover, the invariant forms on the generalized reduced Verma modules are considered. In particular, we prove that the generalized reduced Verma module is isomorphic to the mixed product for modules of \mathbb{Z} -graded modular Lie superalgebras of Cartan type.

Keywords: Modular Lie superalgebra, generalized reduced Verma module, coinduced module, invariant form, mixed product

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 17B50, 17B10, 17B70

1 Introduction

As is well known, the representation theory plays an important role in the research of Lie algebras and Lie superalgebras (see [1–4] for examples). The question about the structure of submodules of a Verma module arose in the original paper of Verma [5]. As a natural generalization of Verma modules, the generalized Verma modules are modules induced, starting from arbitrary simple modules (not necessarily finite-dimensional), from a parabolic subalgebra and a complex semisimple Lie algebra (see [6–9]). One of the main questions about generalized Verma modules is their structure, i.e., reducibility, submodules, equivalence, etc. The theory of generalized Verma modules is rather similar to that of Verma modules. Some results of Verma modules (see [10, 11]) were extended to certain class of generalized Verma modules in [12–16] (see also references therein). But only rather particular classes of generalized Verma modules were covered and the problem of how to say something in a general case remains open.

The generalized reduced Verma module over modular Lie algebras was constructed in [17]. Some properties of generalized reduced Verma module over modular Lie algebras were

*Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: zhengk1561@nenu.edu.cn (K. Zheng), zhyz@nenu.edu.cn (Y. Zhang).

obtained (see [17–19]). Since generalized reduced Verma modules are closely related to mixed products of modules, the structure of mixed products seems to be important and interesting. In [20–22], Shen classified the \mathbb{Z} -graded irreducible representation of the \mathbb{Z} -graded Lie algebras of Cartan type. His approach rests on the notion of the mixed product. In [19] the graded modules of graded Cartan type Lie algebras which possess nondegenerate invariant form were determined by Chiu. In the case of modular Lie superalgebras of Cartan type, \mathbb{Z} -graded modules of the \mathbb{Z} -graded Lie superalgebras $W(n)$, $S(n)$ and $H(n)$, mixed products of modules of infinite-dimensional Lie superalgebras and \mathbb{Z} -graded modules of finite-dimensional Hamiltonian Lie superalgebras were obtained in [23–26], respectively.

The aim of this paper is to partially generalize some beautiful results about generalized reduced Verma modules over modular Lie algebras in [17–19]. In Section 2, we review some necessary notions. In Section 3, some relations between generalized reduced Verma modules and coinduced modules are given. In Section 4, the invariant forms on generalized reduced Verma modules are considered. In Section 5, we prove that generalized reduced Verma modules are isomorphic to mixed products for modules of \mathbb{Z} -graded modular Lie superalgebras of Cartan type.

All Lie superalgebras and modules treated in the present paper are assumed to be finite dimensional. In [4, 28] the reader could find all notations and notions of Lie superalgebras and modular representations which are not precisely defined in this paper.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper we will assume that \mathbb{F} is a field of prime characteristic and $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}\}$ is the residue class ring mod 2. Let $L = L_{\bar{0}} \oplus L_{\bar{1}}$ be a Lie superalgebra over \mathbb{F} . Then \mathbb{F} has a trivial structure of a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded L -module: $\mathbb{F}_{\bar{0}} = \mathbb{F}$, $\mathbb{F}_{\bar{1}} = 0$. Furthermore, we always assumed that the representation of L in \mathbb{F} is equal to zero.

In addition to the standard notation \mathbb{Z} , we write \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{N}_0 for the set of positive integers and the set of nonnegative integers, respectively. Denote by \mathbb{N}_0^k the k -tuples with nonnegative integers as entries. For any Lie superalgebra L over \mathbb{F} , let $U(L)$ denote the universal enveloping algebra of L . If $L = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} L_i$ is a \mathbb{Z} -graded Lie superalgebra over \mathbb{F} , we customarily put $L^+ = \bigoplus_{i > 0} L_i$ and $L^- = \bigoplus_{i < 0} L_i$. Then $L = L^+ \oplus L_0 \oplus L^-$ and $U(L) = U(L^+)U(L_0)U(L^-)$.

Without being mentioned explicitly, if $d(x)$ ($zd(x)$) occurs in some expression in this paper, we always regard x as a \mathbb{Z}_2 -homogeneous (\mathbb{Z} -homogeneous) element and $d(x)$ ($zd(x)$) as the \mathbb{Z}_2 -degree (\mathbb{Z} -degree) of x .

Definition 2.1 ([27]). Let V and W be L -modules and suppose that f is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -homogeneous element of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(V, W)$. The mapping f is called a *homomorphism* of L -modules if $(x \cdot f)(v) = (-1)^{d(x)d(f)}f(x \cdot v)$ for all $x \in L$ and $v \in V$. The mapping f is said to be an *isomorphism* of L -modules if f is an homomorphism and if, furthermore, f is a bijection.

Let V be an L -module. The vector space $V^* := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(V, \mathbb{F})$ obtains the structure of an L -module by means of $(x \cdot f)(v) = -(-1)^{d(x)d(f)}f(x \cdot v)$, where $x \in L$, $v \in V$, $f \in V^*$. Clearly, $d(x \cdot f) = d(x) + d(f)$.

We consider the subalgebra $K := L_0 \oplus L^+$ of a \mathbb{Z} -graded Lie superalgebra $L = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} L_i$. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$ be a basis of $L^- \cap L_{\bar{0}}$ and $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_l\}$ be a basis of $L^- \cap L_{\bar{1}}$. As $L^- \cap L_{\bar{0}}$ operates on L by nilpotent transformation, there exist $m_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ such that

$$z_i := e_i^{p^{m_i}} \in U(L^-) \cap Z(U(L)), \quad 1 \leq i \leq k,$$

where $Z(U(L))$ is the center of $U(L)$. In particular, $\{z_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ are homogeneous elements relative to the \mathbb{Z} -gradation inherited by $U(L_0)$. An application of P-B-W Theorem (see [1]) reveals that the subalgebra $\theta(L, K)$ of $U(L)$, which is generated by K and $\{z_1, \dots, z_k\}$, is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}[z_1, \dots, z_k] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} U(K)$, where $\mathbb{F}[z_1, \dots, z_k]$ is a polynomial ring in k indeterminates. Then an easy computation shows that $\theta(L, K)$ is a \mathbb{Z} -graded subalgebra of $U(L)$.

Given $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \in \mathbb{N}_0^k$, we put $|\alpha| := \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i$, $e^\alpha := e_1^{\alpha_1} e_2^{\alpha_2} \dots e_k^{\alpha_k}$ and $\pi := (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k) = (p^{m_1} - 1, \dots, p^{m_k} - 1)$. Set

$$\mathbb{B}_s := \{\langle i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s \rangle \mid 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_s \leq l\}$$

and $\mathbb{B} := \bigcup_{s=0}^l \mathbb{B}_s$, where $\mathbb{B}_0 := \emptyset$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$. For $u = \langle i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s \rangle \in \mathbb{B}_s$, set $|u| := s$, $|\emptyset| := 0$, $\xi^\emptyset := 1$, $\xi^u := \xi_{i_1} \xi_{i_2} \dots \xi_{i_s}$ and $\xi^E := \xi_1 \xi_2 \dots \xi_l$, u is also used to stand for the index set $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s\}$. It is easy to show that $U(L)$ is a \mathbb{Z} -graded $\theta(L, K)$ -module with the basis

$$\{e^\alpha \xi^u \mid 0 \leq \alpha \leq \pi, u \in \mathbb{B}\}.$$

Any K -module V obtains the structure of a $\theta(L, K)$ -module by letting $\mathbb{F}[z_1, \dots, z_k]$ act via its canonical supplementation which sends z_i to 0. Henceforth, K -module will be regarded as $\theta(L, K)$ -module in this fashion. Let ρ be the natural representation of K in L/K . Then there exists a unique homomorphism $\sigma : U(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ of \mathbb{F} -superalgebra such that $\sigma(x) = \text{str}(\rho(x))$, where x is an arbitrary element of K and $\text{str}(\rho(x))$ is the supertrace of $\rho(x)$ (see [3, 4]). We introduce a twisted action on K -module V by setting

$$x \circ v = x \cdot v + \sigma(x)v, \quad x \in K, \quad v \in V.$$

Note that $\sigma(x) = 0$ for $x \in K_{\bar{1}}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} [x, y] \circ v &= [x, y] \cdot v + \sigma([x, y])v \\ &= x \cdot (y \cdot v) - (-1)^{d(x)d(y)} y \cdot (x \cdot v) + \sigma(x)\sigma(y)v - (-1)^{d(x)d(y)} \sigma(y)\sigma(x)v \\ &= x \cdot (y \cdot v) + \sigma(y)x \cdot v + \sigma(x)y \cdot v + \sigma(x)\sigma(y)v \\ &\quad - (-1)^{d(x)d(y)} y \cdot (x \cdot v) - (-1)^{d(x)d(y)} \sigma(y)x \cdot v \\ &\quad - (-1)^{d(x)d(y)} \sigma(x)y \cdot v - (-1)^{d(x)d(y)} \sigma(y)\sigma(x)v \\ &= x \cdot (y \circ v) + \sigma(y)(x \circ v) - (-1)^{d(x)d(y)} y \cdot (x \circ v) - (-1)^{d(x)d(y)} \sigma(y)(x \circ v) \\ &= x \circ (y \circ v) - (-1)^{d(x)d(y)} y \circ (x \circ v), \end{aligned}$$

i.e., V is a new K -module by the twisted action. The new K -module will be denoted by V_σ . If V is an L_0 -module, then we can extend the operations on V to K by letting L^+ act trivially and regard it as a K -module.

3 Generalized reduced Verma modules and coinduced modules

Let L be a \mathbb{Z} -graded Lie superalgebra over \mathbb{F} and V be a K -module. Following [17], we give the definition as follow.

Definition 3.1. The induced module $\text{Ind}_K(V) := U(L) \otimes_{\theta(L, K)} V$ is called a *generalized reduced Verma module*. The coinduced module $\text{Hom}_{\theta(L, K)}(U(L), V)$ will be denoted by $\text{Coind}_K(V)$.

It is clear from the above construction that the modules $\text{Ind}_K(V)$ and $\text{Coind}_K(V)$ are annihilated by z_i .

Consider $\text{Coind}_K(V)$ with $U(L)$ -action given via

$$(y \cdot f)(x) := (-1)^{d(y)(d(f)+d(x))} f(xy), \quad x, y \in U(L).$$

For $v \in V$, $0 \leq \beta \leq \pi$ and $u, t \in \mathbb{B}$, let $\chi_v^{(\beta, t)}$ be the element of $\text{Coind}_K(V)$ which sends $e^\alpha \xi^u$ onto $(-1)^{d(\chi_v^{(\beta, t)})d(\xi^u)} \delta(\alpha, \beta) \delta(u, t) v$, where $\delta(i, j)$ is Kronecker delta, defined by $\delta(i, j) = 1$ if $i = j$ and $\delta(i, j) = 0$ otherwise. It obviously suffices to verify that

$$\chi_v^{(\beta, t)}(e^\beta \xi^t \vartheta) = (-1)^{d(\vartheta)(d(\chi_v^{(\beta, t)})+d(\xi^t))+d(\chi_v^{(\beta, t)})d(\xi^t)} \vartheta \circ v \quad (3.1)$$

and $d(\chi_v^{(\beta, t)}) = d(\xi^t) + d(v)$, for all $\vartheta \in \theta(L, K)$, for all $v \in V_\sigma$.

Lemma 3.1. *There is a natural isomorphism of functors*

$$\Phi : \text{Ind}_K(V_\sigma) \rightarrow \text{Coind}_K(V)$$

such that $\Phi(y \otimes v) = (-1)^{d(y)d(\Phi)} y \cdot \chi_v^{(\pi, E)}$, where $y \in U(L)$ and $v \in V_\sigma$.

Proof. Suppose that the bilinear mapping $\psi : U(L) \times V_\sigma \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(U(L), V)$ is defined by $\psi(y, v) = (-1)^{d(y)d(\psi)} y \cdot \chi_v^{(\pi, E)}$. Let $\vartheta \in \theta(L, K)$ and $u' \in U(L)$. Then the equation (3.1) and $d(\chi_v^{(\pi, E)}) = d(\psi) + d(v)$ imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(y\vartheta, v)(u') &= (-1)^{(d(y)+d(\vartheta))d(\psi)} y\vartheta \cdot \chi_v^{(\pi, E)}(u') \\ &= (-1)^{(d(y)+d(\vartheta))(d(v)+d(u'))} \chi_v^{(\pi, E)}(u'y\vartheta) \\ &= (-1)^{d(y)(d(v)+d(\vartheta)+d(u'))+d(\vartheta)d(\psi)+(d(\psi)+d(v))(d(u')+d(y))} \vartheta \circ v \\ &= (-1)^{d(y)(d(v)+d(\vartheta)+d(u'))+(d(\vartheta)+d(\psi)+d(v))(d(u')+d(y))} \vartheta \circ v \\ &= (-1)^{d(y)(d(v)+d(\vartheta)+d(u'))} \chi_{\vartheta \circ v}^{(\pi, E)}(u'y) \\ &= (-1)^{d(y)d(\psi)} y \cdot \chi_{\vartheta \circ v}^{(\pi, E)}(u') \\ &= \psi(y, \vartheta \circ v)(u'). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, ψ is $\theta(L, K)$ -balanced, and induces a mapping

$$\Phi : U(L) \otimes_{\theta(L, K)} V_\sigma \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(U(L), V).$$

The verification of the inclusion $\text{im} \psi \subseteq \text{Hom}_{\theta(L, K)}(U(L), V)$ is routine.

For any $x, y \in U(L)$ and $v \in V_\sigma$, we have

$$(x \cdot \Phi)(y \otimes v) = (-1)^{d(y)d(\Phi)} ((xy) \cdot \chi_v^{(\pi, E)}) = (-1)^{d(x)d(\Phi)} \Phi(x \cdot (y \otimes v)).$$

Hence Φ is a homomorphism of $U(L)$ -modules.

For any $f \in \text{Coind}_K(V)$, there exists $e^\alpha \xi^u \in U(L)$ such that

$$f = \sum_{\alpha, u} (-1)^{d(f)d(\xi^u)} \chi_{f(e^\alpha \xi^u)}^{(\alpha, u)},$$

where $0 \leq \alpha \leq \pi$ and $u \in \mathbb{B}$. Then $\Phi(\sum_{\alpha, u} (-1)^{d(f)d(\xi^u)} y \otimes f(e^\alpha \xi^u)) = f$, i.e., Φ is a surjection.

Suppose that $0 = y \cdot X_v^{(\pi, E)} \in \text{Coind}_K(V)$ and $y = e^\alpha \xi^u \in U(L)$, then there exists $u' = e^\beta \xi^t \in U(L)$ such that $\alpha + \beta = \pi$ and $u + t = E$. It follows that

$$0 = y \cdot \chi_v^{(\pi, E)}(u') = (-1)^{d(y)(d(u') + d(\chi_v^{(\pi, E)})) + d(\chi_v^{(\pi, E)})(d(u') + d(y))} v.$$

Therefore, $y \otimes v = 0$, i.e., Φ is an injection.

Now we show that Φ is a natural homomorphism. Suppose that W is a K -module and $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ is a homomorphism of K -module. Clearly, φ is also a homomorphism between V_σ and W_σ . We claim that the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ind}_K(V_\sigma) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \text{Coind}_K(V) \\ \text{id} \otimes \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi^* \\ \text{Ind}_K(W_\sigma) & \xrightarrow{\Phi'} & \text{Coind}_K(W) \end{array}$$

Note that φ^* and $\text{id} \otimes \varphi$ are homomorphisms of $U(L)$ -modules, the assertion follows from the ensuing calculation:

$$\varphi^* \circ \Phi(1 \otimes v)(u') = \chi_{\varphi(v)}^{(\pi, E)}(u') = (\Phi' \circ (\text{id} \otimes \varphi))(1 \otimes v)(u'), \quad u' \in U(L).$$

In conclusion, the proof is completed. \square

Remark 3.2. (1) If the above result is applied to the module $V_{-\sigma}$, then we obtain natural isomorphisms $\text{Ind}_K(V) \cong \text{Coind}_K(V_{-\sigma})$.

(2) Suppose that K acts nilpotently on L/K or $(\rho(K))^{(1)} = \rho(K)$. Then $\sigma = 0$ and every K -module V gives an isomorphism $\text{Ind}_K(V) \cong \text{Coind}_K(V)$.

Following [21], we refer to a \mathbb{Z} -graded L -module V as positively graded if $V = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} V_i$ and $L_j \cdot V_i \subseteq V_{i+j}$. A positively graded module V is said to be transitive if $V_0 = \{v \in V \mid x \cdot v = 0, \text{ for all } x \in L^-\}$.

Proposition 3.3. Let $P = \text{Coind}_K(V)$ be an L -module and

$$P_i := \{f \in P \mid f(U(L)_j) = 0, j \neq -i\}.$$

Then

- (1) P is a positively graded L -module.
- (2) P_0 is isomorphism to V as an L_0 -module.
- (3) P is transitively graded.

Proof. (1) Let f be an element of P_i and suppose that $y \in U(L)_q$, where $i, q \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $x \in U(L)_j$ for $j \neq -i - q$, then $xy \in U(L)_{j+q}$, where $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that

$$(y \cdot f)(x) = (-1)^{d(y)(d(f) + d(x))} f(xy) = 0.$$

Consequently, $(y \cdot f)$ belongs to P_{i+q} .

Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be the basis of $U(L)$ over $\theta(L, K)$ and induced by $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$ and $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_l\}$. In accordance with the basis of $U(L)$, we may assume that $x_r = e^\alpha \xi^u \in$

$U(L)_{i(r)}$, where $i(r) \leq 0$ and $1 \leq r \leq n$. Any element of $U(L)_q$ is a sum of elements $x = \sum_{r=1}^n h_r x_r$, $h_r \in \theta(L, K)_{q-i(r)}$. Given $r \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, we have $\chi_v^{(\alpha, u)}(x) = (-1)^{(d(x)+d(v))d(x)} h_r v$. If $q \neq i(r)$, then $\chi_v^{(\alpha, u)}(x) = 0$. It follows that $\chi_v^{(\alpha, u)}$ is an element of $P_{-i(r)}$. For every $f \in P$, we have $f = \sum_{\alpha, u} (-1)^{d(f)d(\xi^u)} \chi_{f(e^\alpha \xi^u)}^{(\alpha, u)}$. Consequently, $P = \bigoplus_{r=1}^n P_{-i(r)}$ and P is a positively graded module.

- (2) We proceed by showing that $\mu : P_0 \rightarrow V$; $\mu(f) = f(1)$ is an isomorphism of L_0 -modules. If $x \in L_0$, then

$$\mu(x \cdot f) = (x \cdot f)(1) = (-1)^{d(x)d(f)} f(x) = x \cdot f(1) = x \cdot \mu(f),$$

i.e., μ is a homomorphism of L_0 -modules.

Since $1 := e^\alpha \xi^u \in U(L)_0$ is contained in $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, $(-1)^{(d(\xi^u)+d(v))d(\xi^u)} \chi_v^{(\alpha, u)}$ is a pre-image of $v \in V$ under μ .

Suppose that $f \in \ker \mu$. Owing to the P-B-W theorem, for every element $x \in U(L)_0$, we may assume that $x = \sum_{i+j=0} a_i b_j$, where $a_i \in U(K)_i$ and $b_j \in U(L^-)_j$. Since $a_i = 0$ for $i < 0$ and $a_i \in U(L_0)U(L^+)$ for $i > 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \sum_{i+j=0} (-1)^{d(a_i)d(f)} a_i f(b_j) = (-1)^{d(a_0)d(f)} a_0 f(b_0) \\ &= (-1)^{(d(a_0)+d(b_0))d(f)} a_0 b_0 f(1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

As a result $f = 0$ on $U(L_0)$ and thereby on all of $U(L)$. Therefore, μ is an isomorphism of L_0 -modules.

- (3) Suppose that f is an element of P such that $x \cdot f = 0$ for every $x \in L^-$. Then each \mathbb{Z} -homogeneous constituent of f enjoys the same property. Thus we may assume $f \in P_q$, where $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose that $q > 0$ and y is an element of $U(L)_{-q}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $y = \sum_{i+j=-q} a_i b_j$, where $a_i \in U(K)_i$ and $b_j \in U(L^-)_j$. As $a_i \cdot V = 0$ for $i > 0$, we have

$$f(y) = \sum_{i+j=-q} (-1)^{d(a_i)d(f)} a_i f(b_j) = (-1)^{d(a_0)d(f)} a_0 f(b_{-q}).$$

Then it follows that $f(y) = (-1)^{(d(a_0)+d(b_{-q}))d(f)} a_0 b_{-q} f(1)$. Since b_{-q} belongs to $U(L^-)$, we obtain $b_{-q} \cdot f = 0$. Thus $f(y) = 0$. Similarly, if $q < 0$, then $f(y)$ also equals to zero. Therefore, $f \in P_0$.

Conversely, if $f \in P_0$, then $f(U(L)_i) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$. For any $x \in L^-$, we have

$$(x \cdot f)(y) = (-1)^{d(x)(d(f)+d(y))} f(yx) = (-1)^{d(x)d(y)} y \cdot f(x) = 0, \quad y \in U(L)^+$$

and

$$(x \cdot f)(y) = (-1)^{d(x)(d(f)+d(y))} f(yx) = 0, \quad y \in U(L)^- \oplus U(L)_0.$$

Therefore, $x \cdot f = 0$ for all $x \in L^-$.

□

For $x_1, \dots, x_n \in L$, set

$$(x_1 \cdots x_n)^T := (-1)^{n+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^n d(x_i)d(x_j)} x_n \cdots x_1.$$

It is easy to verify that $x_i^T = -x_i$ and $d(x_i^T) = d(x_i)$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then the principal anti-automorphism of $U(L)$ is defined by $x \mapsto x^T$, for all $x \in U(L)$.

In the following proposition, the property of adjoint isomorphism will be investigated.

Proposition 3.4. *There is a natural isomorphism:*

$$\Psi : (\text{Ind}_K(V))^* \rightarrow \text{Coind}_K(V^*),$$

namely, for $\varphi \in (\text{Ind}_K(V))^*$, $x \in U(L)$ and $v \in V$,

$$\Psi : \varphi \mapsto \Psi(\varphi), \text{ where } \Psi(\varphi)(x) : v \mapsto \varphi(x^T \otimes v).$$

Proof. Firstly, we prove that Ψ is a homomorphism of $U(L)$ -modules. Let φ_1 and φ_2 are elements of $(\text{Ind}_K(V))^*$. Then the definition of $\varphi_1 + \varphi_2$ shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2)(x)(v) &= (\varphi_1 + \varphi_2)(x^T \otimes v) \\ &= (\varphi_1)(x^T \otimes v) + (\varphi_2)(x^T \otimes v) \\ &= \Psi(\varphi_1)(x)(v) + \Psi(\varphi_2)(x)(v) \\ &= (\Psi(\varphi_1) + \Psi(\varphi_2))(x)(v), \end{aligned}$$

where $x \in U(L)$ and $v \in V$. Therefore, $\Psi(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2) = \Psi(\varphi_1) + \Psi(\varphi_2)$. For any $x, y \in U(L)$, $v \in V$ and $\varphi \in (\text{Ind}_K(V))^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y \cdot \Psi(\varphi)(x)(v) &= (-1)^{d(y)(d(\Psi)+d(\varphi)+d(x))} \Psi(\varphi)(xy)(v) \\ &= (-1)^{d(y)(d(\Psi)+d(\varphi)+d(x))} \varphi((xy)^T \otimes v) \\ &= (-1)^{d(y)(d(\Psi)+d(\varphi))} \varphi(yx \otimes v) \\ &= (-1)^{d(y)d(\Psi)} y \cdot \varphi(x^T \otimes v) \\ &= (-1)^{d(y)d(\Psi)} \Psi(y \cdot \varphi)(x)(v). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $y \cdot \Psi(\varphi) = (-1)^{d(y)d(\Psi)} \Psi(y \cdot \varphi)$.

Next Ψ is injective. In fact, if $\Psi(\varphi)(x)(v) = 0$, then $0 = \Psi(\varphi)(x)(v) = \varphi(x^T \otimes v)$ for all $x \in U(L)$ and $v \in V$. Thus $\varphi = 0$ because it vanishes on every generator of $\text{Ind}_K(V)$.

Now we show that Ψ is surjective. Let $f \in \text{Coind}_K(V^*)$. Define $\varphi(x \otimes v) := f(x^T)(v)$ for $x \in U(L)$ and $v \in V$. Then $\Psi(\varphi) = f$.

It is easy to check that Ψ is a natural homomorphism. In conclusion, the proof is completed. \square

Remark 3.5. *Proposition 3.4 is called adjoint isomorphism in homological algebra (see [29]).*

Theorem 3.6. $\text{Ind}_K(V_\sigma) \cong (\text{Ind}_K(V_\sigma))^*$ if and only if $V \cong (V_\sigma)^*$.

Proof. If $\text{Ind}_K(V_\sigma) \cong (\text{Ind}_K(V_\sigma))^*$, by Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 3.4, then

$$\text{Coind}_K(V) \cong \text{Coind}_K((V_\sigma)^*).$$

It follows from Proposition 3.3 that $V \cong (V_\sigma)^*$. The sufficiency is obvious. \square

4 Invariant forms on generalized reduced Verma modules

The results of this section generalize Chiu's results in [19] and determine generalized reduced Verma modules over modular Lie superalgebras which possess a nondegenerate super-symmetric or skew super-symmetric invariant bilinear form. Let L be a Lie superalgebra

over \mathbb{F} and V be an L -module. A bilinear form $\lambda : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is called super-symmetric (skew super-symmetric) if $\lambda(v, w) = (-1)^{d(v)d(w)}\lambda(w, v)$ ($\lambda(v, w) = -(-1)^{d(v)d(w)}\lambda(w, v)$), for all $v, w \in V$. A super-symmetric (or skew super-symmetric) bilinear form $\lambda : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is called invariant on L if $\lambda(x \cdot v, w) = -(-1)^{d(v)d(x)}\lambda(v, x \cdot w)$, for all $x \in L$ and $v, w \in V$. The subspace $\text{rad}(\lambda) := \{v \in V \mid \lambda(v, w) = 0, \text{ for all } w \in V\}$ is called the radical of λ . The form λ is nondegenerate if $\text{rad}(\lambda) = 0$.

Proposition 4.1. *There exists a nondegenerate super-symmetric (skew super-symmetric) invariant bilinear form λ on V if and only if there exists an isomorphism of L -modules $\phi : V \rightarrow V^*$ such that $\phi(v)(w) = (-1)^{d(v)d(w)}\phi(w)(v)$ ($\phi(v)(w) = -(-1)^{d(v)d(w)}\phi(w)(v)$), for all $v, w \in V$.*

Proof. Let λ be a nondegenerate super-symmetric (skew super-symmetric) invariant bilinear form on V . Define $\phi : V \rightarrow V^*$ such that $\phi(v)(w) := \lambda(v, w)$, for all $v, w \in V$. Obviously, ϕ is a linear mapping such that $\ker \phi = \text{rad}(\lambda) = 0$ and $\phi(v)(w) = (-1)^{d(v)d(w)}\phi(w)(v)$ ($\phi(v)(w) = -(-1)^{d(v)d(w)}\phi(w)(v)$). Hence ϕ is injective. Since $\dim V = \dim V^*$, ϕ is bijective. For $x \in L$ and $v, w \in V$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x \cdot v)(w) &= \lambda(x \cdot v, w) = -(-1)^{d(x)d(v)}\lambda(v, x \cdot w) \\ &= -(-1)^{d(x)d(v)}\phi(v)(x \cdot w) = (-1)^{d(x)d(v)}(x \cdot \phi(v))(w). \end{aligned}$$

Thus ϕ is the desired isomorphism of L -modules.

Conversely, let ϕ be an isomorphism of L -modules such that $\phi(v)(w) = (-1)^{d(v)d(w)}\phi(w)(v)$ ($\phi(v)(w) = -(-1)^{d(v)d(w)}\phi(w)(v)$), for all $v, w \in V$. Put $\lambda(v, w) := \phi(v)(w)$. Thus λ be a super-symmetric (skew super-symmetric) bilinear form on V . Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(x \cdot v, w) &= \phi(x \cdot v)(w) = (-1)^{d(x)d(\phi)}(x \cdot \phi(v))(w) \\ &= -(-1)^{d(x)d(v)}\phi(v)(x \cdot w) = -(-1)^{d(x)d(v)}\lambda(v, x \cdot w), \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in L$ and $v, w \in V$. Hence λ is invariant. As $\text{rad}(\lambda) = \ker \phi = 0$, λ is nondegenerate. \square

Proposition 4.2. *Let V be an irreducible L -module. If V is isomorphic to V^* as L -module, then there exists a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form λ on V which is either super-symmetric or skew super-symmetric.*

Proof. By the proof of Proposition 4.1, there exists a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form β on V . Let

$$\lambda(v, w) = \beta(v, w) + (-1)^{d(v)d(w)}\beta(w, v), \quad v, w \in V.$$

Clearly, λ is a super-symmetric bilinear form on V . Since V is an irreducible L -module, this implies that $\text{rad}(\lambda) = \ker \phi = 0$ or $\text{rad}(\lambda) = \ker \phi = V$. Therefore, λ is either nondegenerate or 0. It follows that either β or λ is desired form. \square

Theorem 4.3. *Let L be a \mathbb{Z} -graded Lie superalgebra over \mathbb{F} and V be an L_0 -module. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) *There exists a nondegenerate super-symmetric or skew super-symmetric invariant bilinear form on $\text{Ind}_K(V_\sigma)$.*
- (2) *There exists an isomorphism of L_0 -modules $\zeta : V \rightarrow (V_\sigma)^*$ such that $\zeta(v)(w) = (-1)^{d(v)d(w)}\zeta(w)(v)$ or $\zeta(v)(w) = -(-1)^{d(v)d(w)}\zeta(w)(v)$, $v, w \in V$.*

Proof. Suppose that there exists a nondegenerate super-symmetric or skew super-symmetric invariant bilinear form on $\text{Ind}_K(V_\sigma)$. By Proposition 4.1, there exists an isomorphism of L -modules $\phi : \text{Ind}_K(V_\sigma) \rightarrow (\text{Ind}_K(V_\sigma))^*$ such that

$$\phi(x_1 \otimes v_1)(x_2 \otimes v_2) = (-1)^{(d(x_1)+d(v_1))(d(x_2)+d(v_2))} \phi(x_2 \otimes v_2)(x_1 \otimes v_1) \quad (4.1)$$

or

$$\phi(x_1 \otimes v_1)(x_2 \otimes v_2) = -(-1)^{(d(x_1)+d(v_1))(d(x_2)+d(v_2))} \phi(x_2 \otimes v_2)(x_1 \otimes v_1), \quad (4.2)$$

where $x_1, x_2 \in U(L)$ and $v_1, v_2 \in V$. Theorem 3.6 shows that there exists an isomorphism of L_0 -modules $\zeta : V \rightarrow (V_\sigma)^*$.

Let $x_1 = e^\alpha \xi^u \in U(L^-)$ and $x_2 = e^\beta \xi^t \in U(L^-)$, where $0 \leq \alpha \leq \pi$, $0 \leq \beta \leq \pi$ and $u, t \in \mathbb{B}$. By the proof of Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 3.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x_1 \otimes v_1)(x_2 \otimes v_2) &= (-1)^{d(x_1)d(x_2)+d(x_1)d(v_1)} \chi_{\zeta(v_1)}^{(\pi, E)}(x_2^T x_1)(v_2) \\ &= (-1)^{d(x_1)d(x_2)+d(x_1)d(v_1)+(d(\zeta)+d(v_1)+d(\xi^E))(d(x_1)+d(x_2))} \delta(\pi, \alpha + \beta) \delta(E, u + t) \zeta(v_1)(v_2) \\ &= (-1)^{d(x_1)d(x_2)+d(x_2)d(v_1)+(d(\zeta)+d(\xi^E))(d(x_1)+d(x_2))} \zeta(v_1)(v_2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

According to (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3),

$$\zeta(v_1)(v_2) = (-1)^{d(v_1)d(v_2)} \zeta(v_2)(v_1) \text{ or } \zeta(v_1)(v_2) = -(-1)^{d(v_1)d(v_2)} \zeta(v_2)(v_1),$$

for all $v_1, v_2 \in V$.

Conversely, it also follows from Lemma 3.1, Proposition 3.4, Theorem 3.6 and Proposition 4.1. \square

Remark 4.4. *Following the notations in the proof of Theorem 4.3, we have the following results:*

- (1) *If $d(x_1)$ and $d(x_2)$ need not all $\bar{1}$, then there exists a nondegenerate super-symmetric (skew super-symmetric) invariant bilinear form on $\text{Ind}_K(V_\sigma)$ if and only if there exists an isomorphism of L_0 -modules $\zeta : V \rightarrow (V_\sigma)^*$ such that*

$$\zeta(v_1)(v_2) = (-1)^{d(v_1)d(v_2)} \zeta(v_2)(v_1) \quad (\zeta(v_1)(v_2) = -(-1)^{d(v_1)d(v_2)} \zeta(v_2)(v_1)),$$

for all $v_1, v_2 \in V$.

- (2) *If $d(x_1) = d(x_2) = \bar{1}$, then there exists a nondegenerate super-symmetric (skew super-symmetric) invariant bilinear form on $\text{Ind}_K(V_\sigma)$ if and only if there exists an isomorphism of L_0 -modules $\zeta : V \rightarrow (V_\sigma)^*$ such that $\zeta(v_1)(v_2) = -(-1)^{d(v_1)d(v_2)} \zeta(v_2)(v_1)$ ($\zeta(v_1)(v_2) = (-1)^{d(v_1)d(v_2)} \zeta(v_2)(v_1)$), for all $v_1, v_2 \in V$.*

5 Generalized reduced Verma modules and mixed products of modules

In this section, the relation between generalized reduced Verma modules and mixed products of modules over \mathbb{Z} -graded modular Lie superalgebras of Cartan type will be discussed.

Proposition 5.1. *Let L be a \mathbb{Z} -graded Lie superalgebra over \mathbb{F} and $V = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} V_i$ be a positively and transitively graded L -module such that $z_i \cdot V = 0$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then the linear mapping $\psi : V \rightarrow \text{Coind}_K(V_0)$ defined by $\psi(v)(x) = (-1)^{d(x)d(v)} \text{pr}_0(x \cdot v)$, for all $x \in U(L)$ and $v \in V$, is an injective homomorphism of L -modules, where $\text{pr}_0 : V \rightarrow V_0$ denotes the canonical projection. In particular, $\psi(V_0) = \text{Coind}_K(V_0)_0$ and $zd(\psi) = 0$.*

Proof. Note that pr_0 is a homomorphism of $\theta(L, K)$ -modules. In fact, for any $h_j \in \theta(L, K)_j$ and $v_i \in V_i$, we have $\text{pr}_0(h_j \cdot v_i) = (-1)^{d(h_j)d(\text{pr}_0)} h_j \cdot \text{pr}_0(v_i)$, where $i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Since the mapping $U(L) \rightarrow V$ defined by $x \mapsto (-1)^{d(x)d(v)} x \cdot v$ also satisfies this property, ψ is well-defined. Moreover, for an arbitrary element $l \in L$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(l \cdot v)(x) &= (-1)^{d(x)(d(l)+d(v))} \text{pr}_0(x \cdot (l \cdot v)) \\ &= (-1)^{d(l)(d(x)+d(v))} \psi(v)(x \cdot l) = (-1)^{d(l)d(\psi)} (l \cdot \psi(v))(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, ψ is a homomorphism of L -modules. To prove ψ is injective, we assume that $\ker \psi \neq 0$. Evidently, $zd(\psi) = 0$ and thereby $\ker \psi$ is a \mathbb{Z} -homogeneous subspace of V . Then $\ker \psi \neq 0$ leads to the existence of a minimal $i \geq 0$ such that $\ker \psi \cap V_i \neq 0$. Let $v_i \in \ker \psi \cap V_i$ and $l \in L_{-j}$ ($j > 0$). It follows that $x \cdot v_i = \text{pr}_0(x \cdot v_i) = (-1)^{d(x)d(v_i)} \psi(v_i)(x) = 0$ for every $x \in U(L)_{-i}$. If $q \neq j - i$, then

$$\psi(l \cdot v_i)(x) = (-1)^{d(x)(d(l)+d(v_i))} \text{pr}_0(x \cdot (l \cdot v_i)) = 0,$$

where $x \in U(L)_q$. If $q = j - i$, then $xl \in U(L)_{-i}$ and $(xl) \cdot v_i = 0$. Consequently, $l \cdot v_i$ belongs to the trivial subspace $\ker \psi \cap V_{i-j}$. Since V is transitive, $v_i \in V_0$ and $i = 0$. As a result, $x \cdot v_0 = 0$ for all $x \in U(L)_0$. It follows from $1 \in U(L)_0$ that $v_0 = 0$. This conclusion confutes the assumption $\ker \psi \neq 0$ and thereby ψ is an injective homomorphism of L -modules.

Let $\mu : \text{Coind}_K(V_0)_0 \rightarrow V_0$ such that $\mu(f) = f(1)$. Let x be an element of $U(L)_j$. If $j \neq 0$, then $\text{pr}_0(x \cdot f(1)) = 0$ and $f(x) = 0$. In the case of $j = 0$, the P-B-W theorem provides a presentation $x = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i \geq 0} a_{ij} b_{ij}$, where $a_{ij} \in U(K)_i$ and $b_{ij} \in U(L^-)_{-i}$. Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x) - (-1)^{d(x)d(f)} \text{pr}_0(x \cdot f(1)) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i \geq 0} ((-1)^{d(a_{ij})d(f)} a_{ij} f(b_{ij}) - (-1)^{d(x)d(f)} a_{ij} \text{pr}_0(b_{ij} f(1))) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n ((-1)^{d(a_{0j})d(f)} a_{0j} f(b_{0j}) - (-1)^{d(x)d(f)} a_{0j} \text{pr}_0(b_{0j} f(1))) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{d(x)d(f)} (a_{0j} b_{0j} f(1) - a_{0j} b_{0j} f(1)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

For an arbitrary element $x \in U(L)$, $f(x) = (-1)^{d(x)d(f)} \text{pr}_0(x \cdot f(1))$. Consequently, $\psi \circ \mu = \text{id}_{\text{Coind}_K(V_0)_0}$ and $\psi(V_0) = \text{Coind}_K(V_0)_0$. \square

For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \in \mathbb{N}_0^k$, we put $|\alpha| := \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i$. Let $\mathcal{O}(k, \underline{m})$ denote the divided power algebra over \mathbb{F} with a \mathbb{F} -basis $\{x^{(\alpha)} \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{A}(k, \underline{m})\}$, where

$$\mathbb{A}(k, \underline{m}) := \{\alpha := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \in \mathbb{N}_0^k \mid 0 \leq \alpha_i \leq p^{m_i} - 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}.$$

Let $\Lambda(l)$ be the exterior superalgebra over \mathbb{F} in l variables $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_l$. Denote by $\mathcal{O}(k, l, \underline{m})$ the tensor product $\mathcal{O}(k, \underline{m}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \Lambda(l)$.

Put $Y_0 := \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ and $Y_1 := \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$. If $u \in \mathbb{B}_s$, $j \in \{u\}$, then we suppose that $u - \langle j \rangle \in \mathbb{B}_{s-1}$ such that $\{u - \langle j \rangle\} = \{u\} \setminus \{j\}$. Let $u(j) = |\{l \in \{u\} \mid l < j\}|$. If $j \in Y_1 \setminus \{u\}$, then we put $u(j) = 0$ and $\xi^{u - \langle j \rangle} = 0$. Clearly, $\{x^{(\alpha)} \xi^u \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{A}(k, \underline{m}), u \in \mathbb{B}\}$ constitutes an \mathbb{F} -basis of $\mathcal{O}(k, l, \underline{m})$ and $zd(x^{(\alpha)} \xi^u) = |\alpha| + |u| \geq 0$.

Let $D_1, \dots, D_k, d_1, \dots, d_l$ be the linear transformations of $\mathcal{O}(k, l, \underline{m})$ and $\varepsilon_i := (\delta_{i1}, \dots, \delta_{ik})$ such that

$$D_i(x^{(\alpha)} \xi^u) = x^{(\alpha - \varepsilon_i)} \xi^u, \quad i \in Y_0, \quad d_j(x^{(\alpha)} \xi^u) = (-1)^{u(j)} x^{(\alpha)} \xi^{u - \langle j \rangle}, \quad j \in Y_1,$$

where δ_{ij} is Kronecker delta, defined by $\delta_{ij} = 1$ if $i = j$ and $\delta_{ij} = 0$ otherwise.

Modular Lie superalgebras of Cartan type $L(k, l, \underline{m})$ ($L = W, S, H, K$) are subalgebras of the derivation superalgebras of $\mathcal{O}(k, l, \underline{m})$. For the precise definitions please refer to [28]. If $L = W, S, H$, then $\{D_1, \dots, D_k\}$ is the canonical basis of $L(k, l, \underline{m})^- \cap L(k, l, \underline{m})_{\bar{0}}$ and $\{d_1, \dots, d_l\}$ is the canonical basis of $L(k, l, \underline{m})^- \cap L(k, l, \underline{m})_{\bar{1}}$. The definition of the product in $L(k, l, \underline{m})$ (see [28]) entails the vanishing $\text{ad} D_i^{p^{m_i}}$ on $L(k, l, \underline{m})$ and we therefore define $z_i := D_i^{p^{m_i}}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $L(k, l, \underline{m})$ ($L = W, S, H$) denote a \mathbb{Z} -graded Lie superalgebra of Cartan type. If V is an $L(k, l, \underline{m})_0$ -module, then $\text{Ind}_K(V_\sigma)$ is isomorphic to the mixed product $\mathcal{O}(k, l, \underline{m}) \otimes V$.*

Proof. Since $(\mathcal{O}(k, l, \underline{m}) \otimes V)_k := \langle a \otimes v \mid a \in \mathcal{O}(k, l, \underline{m})_k, v \in V \rangle$, the mixed product is a positively graded module. According to the definition of the mixed product (see [23]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_i(x^{(\alpha)} \xi^u \otimes v) &= x^{(\alpha - \varepsilon_i)} \xi^u \otimes v, \quad i \in Y_0, \\ d_j(x^{(\alpha)} \xi^u \otimes v) &= (-1)^{u(j)} x^{(\alpha)} \xi^{u - \langle j \rangle} \otimes v, \quad j \in Y_1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{A}(k, \underline{m})$, $u \in \mathbb{B}$ and $v \in V$. The first equality shows that $z_i(\mathcal{O}(k, l, \underline{m}) \otimes V) = 0$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. The above equalities also ensure the transitivity of $\mathcal{O}(k, l, \underline{m}) \otimes V$. Proposition 5.1 furnishes an embedding from $\mathcal{O}(k, l, \underline{m}) \otimes V$ into $\text{Coind}_K(V)$. Since

$$\dim(\text{Coind}_K(V)) = \dim(\mathcal{O}(k, l, \underline{m}) \otimes V) = 2^l p^{m_1 + \dots + m_k} \dim V,$$

the mapping is bijective. Then Lemma 3.1 gives an isomorphism between $\text{Ind}_K(V_\sigma)$ and $\mathcal{O}(k, l, \underline{m}) \otimes V$. \square

Remark 5.3. *Let notations be as in Theorem 4.3 and 5.2. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) *There exists a nondegenerate super-symmetric or skew super-symmetric invariant bilinear form on the mixed product $\mathcal{O}(k, l, \underline{m}) \otimes V$.*
- (2) *There exists an isomorphism of $L(k, l, \underline{m})_0$ -modules $\zeta : V \rightarrow (V_\sigma)^*$ such that $\zeta(v)(w) = (-1)^{d(v)d(w)} \zeta(w)(v)$ or $\zeta(v)(w) = -(-1)^{d(v)d(w)} \zeta(w)(v)$, for all $v, w \in V$.*

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the NNSF of China (Grant No.11171055), Natural Science Foundation of Jilin province (No. 20130101068) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No.12SSXT139). The authors thank professors Liangyun Chen, Baolin Guan, Li Ren for their helpful comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] L. E. Ross, Representations of graded Lie algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 120 (1965) 17-23.
- [2] H. Strade, R. Farnsteiner, Modular Lie algebras and their representations, *Monogr. Textbooks Pure Appl. Math.* Vol. 116, Dekker, Inc, 1988.
- [3] V. G. Kac, Lie superalgebras, *Adv. Math.* 26 (1977) 8-96.
- [4] M. Scheunert, Theory of Lie superalgebras, Springer-Verlag. Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, 1979.
- [5] D. N. Verma, Structure of representation of complex semisimple Lie algebras, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 74 (1986), 160-166.
- [6] O. Khomenko, V. Mazorchuk, Generalized Verma modules induced from $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ and associated Verma modules, *J. Algebra.* 242(2) (2001), 561-576.
- [7] V. Mazorchuk, On the structure of an α -stratified generalized Verma module over Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$, *Manuscripta Math.* 88(1) (1995), 59-72.
- [8] B. Xin, Y. Z. Wu, Generalized Verma modules over Lie algebras of Weyl type, *Algebra Colloq.* 16(1) (2009), 131-142.
- [9] Y. S. Cheng, Y. C. Su, Generalized Verma modules over some Block algebras, *Front. Math. China.* 3(1) (2008), 37-47.
- [10] I. N. Bernstein, I. M. Gelfand, S. I. Gelfand, The structure of representations generated by vectors of highest weight, *Funkt. Anal. i Prilozhen.* 5 (1971), 1-9.
- [11] J. Dixmier, *Algebres Enveloppantes*, Gauthier-Villars. Paris. 1974.
- [12] A. Rocha-Caridi, Splitting criteria for g -modules induced from a parabolic and a Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand resolution of a finite-dimensional irreducible g -module, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 262 (1980). 335-366.
- [13] V. Futorny, V. Mazorchuk, Structure of α -stratified modules for finite-dimensional Lie algebras, *J. Algebra.* 183 (1996), 456-482.
- [14] D. Milčić, W. Soergel, The composition series of modules induced from Whittaker modules, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 72 (1997), 503-520.
- [15] A. Khomenko, V. Mazorchuk, On the determinant of Shapovalov form for generalized Verma modules, *J. Algebra.* 215 (1999), 318-329.
- [16] V. Mazorchuk, S. Ovsienko, Submodule structure of generalized Verma modules induced from generic Gelfand-Zetlin modules, *Alg. Repr. Theory.* 1 (1998), 3-26.
- [17] R. Farnsteiner, Extension functors of modular Lie algebras, *Math. Ann.* 288(4) (1990), 713-730.
- [18] R. Farnsteiner, H. Strade, Shapiro's lemma and its consequences in the cohomology theory of modular Lie algebras, *Math. Z.* 206(1) (1991), 153-168.

- [19] S. Chiu, The invariant forms on the graded modules of the graded Cartan type Lie algebras, *Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B.* 13(1) (1992), 16-24.
- [20] G. Y. Shen, Graded modules of graded Lie algebras of Cartan type I: Mixed products of modules, *Sci. Sinica Ser. A.* 29(6) (1986), 570-581.
- [21] G. Y. Shen, Graded modules of graded Lie algebras of Cartan type II: Positive and negative graded modules, *Sci. Sinica Ser. A.* 29(10) (1986), 1009-1019.
- [22] G. Y. Shen, Graded modules of graded Lie algebras of Cartan type III: Irreducible modules, *Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B.* 9(4) (1988), 404-417.
- [23] Y. Z. Zhang, Graded modules of the Cartan-type \mathbb{Z} -graded Lie superalgebras $W(n)$ and $S(n)$, *Chin. Sci. Bull.* 40(20) (1995), 1829-1832. (in Chinese).
- [24] Y. Z. Zhang, \mathbb{Z} -graded module of Lie superalgebra $H(n)$ of Cartan type, *Chin. Sci. Bull.* 41(10) (1996), 813-817.
- [25] Y. Z. Zhang, Mixed products of modules of infinite-dimensional Lie superalgebras of Cartan type, *Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. A* 18(6) (1997), 725-732. (in Chinese).
- [26] Y. Z. Zhang, H. C. Fu, Finite-dimensional Hamiltonian Lie superalgebra, *Comm. Algebra.* 30(6) (2002), 2651-2673.
- [27] Y. Wang, Y. Z. Zhang, Derivation algebra $\text{Der}(H)$ and central extensions of Lie superalgebras, *Comm. Algebra.* 32 (2004), 4117-4131.
- [28] Y. Z. Zhang, Finite-dimensional Lie superalgebras of Cartan-type over fields of prime characteristic, *Chin. Sci. Bull.* 42 (1997) 720-724.
- [29] J. Rotman, An introduction to homological algebra, Academic Press. New York, 1979.