

Coherent states and quantum numbers for twist-deformed oscillator model

Marcin Daszkiewicz

Institute of Theoretical Physics
University of Wrocław, pl. Maxa Born'a 9, 50 – 206 Wrocław, Poland
e – mail : marcin@ift.uni.wroc.pl

Cezary J. Walczyk

Department of Physics
University of Białystok, ul. Lipowa 41, 15 – 424 Białystok, Poland
e – mail : c.walczyk@alpha.uwb.edu.pl

Abstract

The coherent states for twist-deformed oscillator model provided in article [1] are constructed. Besides, it is demonstrated that the energy spectrum of considered model is labeled by two quantum numbers - by so-called main and azimuthal quantum numbers respectively.

The suggestion to use noncommutative coordinates goes back to Heisenberg and was firstly formalized by Snyder in [2]. Recently, there were also found formal arguments based mainly on Quantum Gravity [3], [4] and String Theory models [5], [6], indicating that space-time at Planck scale should be noncommutative, i.e. it should have a quantum nature. Consequently, there appeared a lot of papers dealing with noncommutative classical and quantum mechanics (see e.g. [7], [8]) as well as with field theoretical models (see e.g. [9], [10]), in which the quantum space-time is employed.

In accordance with the Hopf-algebraic classification of all deformations of relativistic [11] and nonrelativistic [12] symmetries, one can distinguish three basic types of space-time noncommutativity:

1) The canonical (soft) deformation

$$[x_\mu, x_\nu] = i\theta_{\mu\nu}, \quad (1)$$

with constant and antisymmetric tensor $\theta_{\mu\nu}$. The explicit form of corresponding Poincare Hopf algebra has been provided in [13], [14], while its nonrelativistic limit has been proposed in [15].

2) The Lie-algebraic case

$$[x_\mu, x_\nu] = i\theta_{\mu\nu}^\rho x_\rho, \quad (2)$$

with particularly chosen constant coefficients $\theta_{\mu\nu}^\rho$. Particular kind of such space-time modification has been obtained as representations of κ -Poincare [16], [17] and κ -Galilei [18] Hopf algebras. Besides, the Lie-algebraic twist deformations of relativistic and nonrelativistic symmetries have been provided in [19], [20] and [15], respectively.

3) The quadratic deformation

$$[x_\mu, x_\nu] = i\theta_{\mu\nu}^{\rho\tau} x_\rho x_\tau, \quad (3)$$

with constant coefficients $\theta_{\mu\nu}^{\rho\tau}$. Its Hopf-algebraic realization was proposed in [21], [22] and [20] in the case of relativistic symmetry, and in [23] for its nonrelativistic counterpart.

Besides, it has been demonstrated in [24], that in the case of so-called N-enlarged Newton-Hooke Hopf algebras $\mathcal{U}_0^{(N)}(NH_\pm)$, the twist deformation provides the new space-time noncommutativity of the form^{1,2}

$$4) \quad [t, x_i] = 0, \quad [x_i, x_j] = if_{\kappa\pm}(t) \theta_{ij}(x), \quad (4)$$

with time-dependent functions

$$f_{\kappa+}(t) = \kappa f\left(\sinh\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right), \cosh\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right)\right), \quad f_{\kappa-}(t) = \kappa f\left(\sin\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right), \cos\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right)\right),$$

¹ $x_0 = ct$.

² The discussed space-times have been defined as the quantum representation spaces, so-called Hopf modules (see e.g. [13], [14]), for quantum N-enlarged Newton-Hooke Hopf algebras.

$\theta_{ij}(x) \sim \theta_{ij} = \text{const}$ or $\theta_{ij}(x) \sim \theta_{ij}^k x_k$ and τ as well as κ denoting the cosmological constant and deformation parameter respectively. It should be also noted that different relations between all mentioned above quantum spaces (**1**), (**2**), (**3**) and (**4**)) have been summarized in article [24].

Let us now turn to the quantum oscillator model defined on the twist-deformed phase space [1]³

$$[t, \bar{x}_i] = 0 \quad , \quad [\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2] = i f_\kappa(t) \quad , \quad [\bar{x}_i, \bar{p}_j] = i \hbar \delta_{ij} \quad , \quad [\bar{p}_i, \bar{p}_j] = 0 \quad . \quad (5)$$

It's dynamic is given by the following Hamiltonian function with constant mass m and frequency ω

$$\bar{H}(\bar{p}, \bar{x}) = \frac{1}{2m} (\bar{p}_1^2 + \bar{p}_2^2) + \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2 (\bar{x}_1^2 + \bar{x}_2^2) \quad , \quad (6)$$

In order to analyze the above system we represent the noncommutative variables (\bar{x}_i, \bar{p}_i) on classical phase space (x_i, p_i) as follows (see e.g. [25], [26])

$$\bar{x}_1 = \hat{x}_1 - \frac{f_\kappa(t)}{2\hbar} \hat{p}_2 \quad , \quad \bar{x}_2 = \hat{x}_2 + \frac{f_\kappa(t)}{2\hbar} \hat{p}_1 \quad , \quad (7)$$

where

$$[\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j] = 0 = [\hat{p}_i, \hat{p}_j] \quad , \quad [\hat{x}_i, \hat{p}_j] = i \hbar \delta_{ij} \quad . \quad (8)$$

Then, the Hamiltonian (6) takes the form⁴

$$H_f(t) = \frac{(\hat{p}_1^2 + \hat{p}_2^2)}{2M_f(t)} + \frac{1}{2} M_f(t) \Omega_f^2(t) (\hat{x}_1^2 + \hat{x}_2^2) - \frac{f_\kappa(t)}{2\hbar} m \omega^2 \hat{L} \quad , \quad (9)$$

with symbol

$$\hat{L} = \hat{x}_1 \hat{p}_2 - \hat{x}_2 \hat{p}_1 \quad (10)$$

denoting angular momentum of particle. Besides, the coefficients $M_f(t)$ and $\Omega_f(t)$ present in the above formula denote the time-dependent functions given by

$$M_f(t) = \frac{m}{1 + \frac{m^2 \omega^2 f_\kappa^2(t)}{4\hbar^2}} \quad , \quad \Omega_f(t) = \omega \sqrt{1 + \frac{m^2 \omega^2 f_\kappa^2(t)}{4\hbar^2}} \quad , \quad (11)$$

respectively, such that

$$M_f(t) \Omega_f^2(t) = m \omega^2 = \text{const.} \quad . \quad (12)$$

Further, we introduce a set of time-dependent creation ($a_A^\dagger(t)$) and annihilation ($a_A(t)$) operators

$$\hat{a}_\pm(t) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\hbar}} \left[\frac{(\hat{p}_1 \pm i \hat{p}_2)}{\sqrt{M_f(t) \Omega_f(t)}} - i \sqrt{M_f(t) \Omega_f(t)} (\hat{x}_1 \pm i \hat{x}_2) \right] \quad , \quad (13)$$

³See type **4**) of quantum space-time.

⁴It should be noted that for $f_\kappa(t) = \theta$ we get the canonically deformed oscillator model provided in [26].

satisfying the standard commutation relations

$$[\hat{a}_A, \hat{a}_B] = 0 \quad , \quad [\hat{a}_A^\dagger, \hat{a}_B^\dagger] = 0 \quad , \quad [\hat{a}_A, \hat{a}_B^\dagger] = \delta_{AB} \quad ; \quad A, B = \pm . \quad (14)$$

Then, one can easily check that in terms of the operators (13) the Hamiltonian function (9) looks as follows

$$\hat{H}_f(t) = \Omega_+(t) \left(\hat{N}_+(t) + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \Omega_-(t) \left(\hat{N}_-(t) + \frac{1}{2} \right) , \quad (15)$$

with

$$\Omega_\pm(t) = \Omega_f(t) \mp \frac{f_\kappa(t)m\omega^2}{2\hbar} , \quad (16)$$

and number operators in \pm direction given by

$$\hat{N}_\pm(t) = \hat{a}_\pm^\dagger(t) \hat{a}_\pm(t) , \quad (17)$$

respectively. Moreover, we see that the energy eigenvectors can be generated in a standard way as follows

$$|n_+, n_-, t\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_+!}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_-!}} \left(\hat{a}_+^\dagger(t) \right)^{n_+} \left(\hat{a}_-^\dagger(t) \right)^{n_-} |0\rangle . \quad (18)$$

while the corresponding (parameterized by n_+ and n_-) eigenvalues are

$$E_{n_+, n_-}(t) = \Omega_+(t) \left(n_+ + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \Omega_-(t) \left(n_- + \frac{1}{2} \right) , \quad n_+, n_- = 0, 1, 2, \dots . \quad (19)$$

Besides, using operator representation (13) one finds

$$(\Delta \hat{x}_i)_{|n_+, n_-, t\rangle}^2 (\Delta \hat{p}_i)_{|n_+, n_-, t\rangle}^2 = \frac{\hbar^2}{4} (1 + n_+ + n_-)^2 , \quad (20)$$

where symbol $(\Delta \hat{a})_{|\varphi\rangle}$ denotes the uncertainty of observable \hat{a} in quantum state $|\varphi\rangle$. The above result means that momentum-position uncertainty relations for eigenstates (18) become saturated only for $n_+ = n_- = 0$, i.e. only for vacuum vector $|0\rangle$. Apart from that it is easy to see that the momentum operator (10) can be written as follows

$$\hat{L} = \hbar \left(\hat{a}_-^\dagger(t) \hat{a}_-(t) - \hat{a}_+^\dagger(t) \hat{a}_+(t) \right) . \quad (21)$$

while it's action on quantum states (18) is given by

$$\hat{L}|n_+, n_-, t\rangle = \hbar(n_- - n_+)|n_+, n_-, t\rangle . \quad (22)$$

Consequently, the energy spectrum (19) can be written in terms of eigenvalues (22) as follows

$$E_{n_+, n_-}(t) = \hbar\Omega_f(t)(n_+ + n_- + 1) + \frac{f_\kappa(t)M_f(t)\Omega_f^2(t)}{2}(n_- - n_+) . \quad (23)$$

Let us now solve two problems. First of them concerns the construction of so-called coherent states for considered model, i.e. the quantum vectors which saturate the momentum-position Heisenberg uncertainty relations. The second problem applies to the proper interpretation of quantum numbers $n = n_+ + n_-$ and $l = n_- - n_+$ labeling the energy spectrum (23).

Hence, let us consider the quantum states of the form

$$|c_+, c_-, t\rangle = \sum_{n_+, n_-} \frac{c_+^{n_+} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|c_+|^2}}{\sqrt{n_+!}} \frac{c_-^{n_-} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|c_-|^2}}{\sqrt{n_-!}} |n_+, n_-, t\rangle, \quad (24)$$

which play the role of the eigenfunctions for annihilation operators (13)

$$\hat{a}_\pm(t)|c_+, c_-, t\rangle = c_\pm |c_+, c_-, t\rangle. \quad (25)$$

By direct calculation one may check that

$$(\Delta p_i)_{|c_+, c_-, t\rangle}^2 = \frac{\hbar M_f(t) \Omega_f(t)}{2}, \quad (\Delta x_i)_{|c_+, c_-, t\rangle}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\hbar}{M_f(t) \Omega_f(t)}, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (26)$$

what leads to the saturated momentum-position Heisenberg uncertainty relations

$$(\Delta p_i)_{|c_+, c_-, t\rangle}^2 (\Delta x_i)_{|c_+, c_-, t\rangle}^2 = \frac{\hbar^2}{4}, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (27)$$

Consequently, we see that the vectors (24) are (in fact) nothing else than the coherent states for twist-deformed oscillator model, satisfying

$$\langle \hat{H}_f \rangle_{|c_+, c_-, t\rangle} = E_{|0,0,t\rangle}(t) + \frac{\Omega_f(t)}{\hbar} (\Delta L)_{|c_+, c_-, t\rangle}^2 + \frac{M_f(t) \Omega_f^2(t) f_\kappa(t)}{2\hbar} \langle L \rangle_{|c_+, c_-, t\rangle}, \quad (28)$$

with

$$\langle L \rangle_{|c_+, c_-, t\rangle} = \hbar(|c_-|^2 - |c_+|^2), \quad (29)$$

$$(\Delta L)_{|c_+, c_-, t\rangle}^2 = \hbar^2(|c_-|^2 + |c_+|^2). \quad (30)$$

In the case of second problem one should to solve the eigenvalue equation for Hamiltonian (9) written in terms of polar coordinates

$$\hat{H}_f(t) \psi(r, \varphi, t) = E(t) \psi(r, \varphi, t), \quad (31)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_f(t) = & -\frac{\hbar^2}{2M_f(t)} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \frac{\hat{L}^2}{r^2} \right) + \\ & + \frac{M_f(t) \Omega_f^2(t)}{2} r^2 - \frac{f_\kappa(t) M_f(t) \Omega_f^2(t)}{2\hbar} \hat{L}, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

and

$$\hat{L} = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \quad , \quad [\hat{H}, \hat{L}] = 0 \quad . \quad (33)$$

To this aim, it is convenient to take the corresponding eigenfunctions in the form

$$\psi(r, \varphi, t) = \phi(\varphi) R(r, t) \quad , \quad (34)$$

with it's azimuthal part $\phi(\varphi)$ satisfying

$$\hat{L}\phi_l(\varphi) = \hbar l \phi(\varphi) \quad , \quad \phi_l(\varphi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{il\varphi} \quad , \quad l = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots \quad . \quad (35)$$

Then, the proper equation for radial function $R(r, t)$ looks as follows

$$\left(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{l^2}{\rho^2} + \frac{\rho^2}{4} - \mathcal{E}_l(t) \right) R_l(\rho(t)) = 0 \quad , \quad (36)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_l(t) = \frac{E(t) - \frac{f_\kappa(t) M_f(t) \Omega_f^2(t)}{2} l}{\hbar \Omega_f(t)} \quad ,$$

where $\rho(t) = r \sqrt{2M_f(t)\Omega_f(t)/\hbar}$ plays the role of dimensionless variable. It's physical solution can be written as

$$R_l^{(n)}(\rho(t)) = w_l^{(n)}(\rho(t)) e^{-\rho^2(t)/4} \quad , \quad (37)$$

with $w_l^{(n)}(\rho(t))$ denoting the polynomial of degree n . Then, the equation (36) reduces to the following one

$$-\frac{\partial^2 w_l^{(n)}(\rho(t))}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{\rho^2 - 1}{\rho} \frac{\partial w_l^{(n)}(\rho(t))}{\partial \rho} + \quad (38)$$

$$+ \frac{l^2}{\rho^2} w_l^{(n)}(\rho(t)) - (\mathcal{E}_l(t) - 1) w_l^{(n)}(\rho(t)) = 0 \quad ,$$

for which the solution (this time) is given by⁵

$$w_l^{(n)}(\rho(t)) = a_l^{(n)} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{(n-|l|)/2} \left[\prod_{s=1}^k \frac{n+2-(2s+|l|)}{l^2-(2s+|l|)^2} \right] \rho^{2k}(t) \right) \rho^{|l|}(t) \quad , \quad (39)$$

only when

$$\mathcal{E}_l(t) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_l^{(n)}(t) = n+1, \quad l \in \{-n, -n+2, \dots, n-2, n\}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad , \quad (40)$$

or (equivalently)

$$E_l^{(n)}(t) = \hbar \Omega_f(t) (n+1) + \frac{f_\kappa(t) M_f(t) \Omega_f^2(t)}{2} l \quad . \quad (41)$$

Consequently, after substitution $n = n_+ + n_-$ and $l = n_- - n_+$ into eigenvalues (41) we get (in fact) the energy spectrum (23) labeled by n_+ and n_- parameters. For this reason as well as due to the formulas (35), (37) and (41) the quantities n and l may be called the "main" and "azimutal" quantum numbers respectively.

⁵The symbol $a_l^{(n)}$ denotes the normalization factor.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank J. Lukierski for valuable discussions.

This paper has been financially supported by Polish NCN grant No 2011/01/B/ST2/03354.

References

- [1] M. Daszkiewicz, C.J. Walczyk, Acta Phys. Pol. B 40, 293 (2009); arXiv: 0812.1264 [hep-th]
- [2] H.S. Snyder, Phys. Rev. 72, 68 (1947)
- [3] S. Doplicher, K. Fredenhagen, J.E. Roberts, Phys. Lett. B 331, 39 (1994); Comm. Math. Phys. 172, 187 (1995); hep-th/0303037
- [4] A. Kempf and G. Mangano, Phys. Rev. D 55, 7909 (1997); hep-th/9612084
- [5] A. Connes, M.R. Douglas, A. Schwarz, JHEP 9802, 003 (1998)
- [6] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, JHEP 9909, 032 (1999); hep-th/9908142
- [7] A. Deriglazov, JHEP 0303, 021 (2003); S. Ghosh, Phys. Lett. B 648, 262 (2007)
- [8] V.P. Nair, A.P. Polychronakos, Phys. Lett. B 505, 267 (2001); M. Chaichian, M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari, A. Tureanu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 2716 (2001)
- [9] P. Kosinski, J. Lukierski, P. Maslanka, Phys. Rev. D 62, 025004 (2000)
- [10] M. Chaichian, P. Prešnajder and A. Tureanu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 151602 (2005); G. Fiore, J. Wess, Phys. Rev. D 75, 105022 (2007)
- [11] S. Zakrzewski, Comm. Math. Phys. 185, 285 (1997); q-alg/9602001
- [12] Y. Brihaye, E. Kowalczyk, P. Maslanka, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 16, 321 (2001); math/0006167
- [13] R. Oeckl, J. Math. Phys. 40, 3588 (1999)
- [14] M. Chaichian, P.P. Kulish, K. Nashijima, A. Tureanu, Phys. Lett. B 604, 98 (2004)
- [15] M. Daszkiewicz, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 23, 7 (2008), IFT-UWR-LV-420; arXiv: 0801.1206 [hep-th]
- [16] J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki, H. Ruegg and V.N. Tolstoy, Phys. Lett. B 264, 331 (1991)
- [17] J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki and H. Ruegg, Phys. Lett. B 293, 344 (1992)
- [18] S. Giller, P. Kosinski, M. Majewski, P. Maslanka and J. Kunz, Phys. Lett. B 286, 57 (1992)

- [19] J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki, H. Ruegg and V.N. Tolstoy, J. Phys. A 27, 2389 (1994)
- [20] J. Lukierski and M. Woronowicz, Phys. Lett. B 633, 116 (2006); hep-th/0508083
- [21] O. Ogievetsky, W.B. Schmidke, J. Wess, B. Zumino, Comm. Math. Phys. 150, 495 (1992)
- [22] P. Aschieri, L. Castellani, A.M. Scarfone, Eur. Phys. J. C 7, 159 (1999); q-alg/9709032
- [23] M. Daszkiewicz, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 23, 1757 (2008), IFT-UWR-LV-724; arXiv: 0807.0133 [hep-th]
- [24] M. Daszkiewicz, Mod. Phys. Lett. A27 (2012) 1250083; arXiv: 1205.0319 [hep-th]
- [25] J. Lukierski, P. Stichel, W.J. Zakrzewski, Ann. Phys. 260, 224 (1997); hep-th/9612017
- [26] A. Kijanka, P. Kosinski, Phys. Rev. D 70, 127702 (2004); hep-th/0407246