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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study a class of generalized intersection matrix Lie algebras $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { g i m }}\left(M_{n}\right)$, and prove that its every finite-dimensional semi-simple quotient is of type $M(n, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$. Particularly, any finite dimensional irreducible $\operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right)$ module must be an irreducible module of $M(n, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$ and any finite dimensional irreducible $M(n, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$ module must be an irreducible module of $\operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right)$.


Key Words: intersection matrix algebras; irreducible modules; quotient algebras; affine Lie algebras.

## 1. Introduction

In the early to mid-1980s, Peter Slodowy discovered that matrices like

$$
M=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
2 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & -2 & 2 & -2 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & 2
\end{array}\right]
$$

were encoding the intersection form on the second homology group of Milnor fibres for germs of holomorphic maps with an isolated singularity at the origin [S1], [S2]. These matrices were like the generalized Cartan matrices of Kac-Moody theory in that they had integer entries, 2's along the diagonal, and $m_{i, j}$ was negative if and only if $m_{j, i}$ was negative. What was new, however, was the presence of positive entries off the diagonal. Slodowy called such matrices generalized intersection matrices:

Definition 1.1. (S1]) An $n \times n$ integer-valued matrix $M=\left(m_{i, j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is called a generalized intersection matrix (gim) if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{i, i}=2, \\
& m_{i, j}<0 \text { if and only if } m_{j, i}<0, \text { and } \\
& m_{i, j}>0 \text { if and only if } m_{j, i}>0 \\
& \text { for } 1 \leq i, j \leq n \text { with } i \neq j .
\end{aligned}
$$

Slodowy used these matrices to define a class of Lie algebras that encompassed all the KacMoody Lie algebras:

[^0]Definition 1.2 (see [BrM, S1]). Given an $n \times n$ generalized intersection matrix $M=\left(m_{i, j}\right)$, define a Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$, called a generalized intersection matrix (GIM) algebra and denoted by $\operatorname{gim}(M)$, with:
generators: $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}, h_{1}, \ldots h_{n}$,
relations: (R1) for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$,

$$
\left[h_{i}, e_{j}\right]=m_{i, j} e_{j}, \quad\left[h_{i}, f_{j}\right]=-m_{i, j} f_{j}, \quad\left[e_{i}, f_{i}\right]=h_{i}
$$

(R2) for $m_{i, j} \leq 0$,

$$
\left[e_{i}, f_{j}\right]=0=\left[f_{i}, e_{j}\right], \quad\left(\operatorname{ad} e_{i}\right)^{-m_{i, j}+1} e_{j}=0=\left(\operatorname{ad} f_{i}\right)^{-m_{i, j}+1} f_{j}
$$

(R3) for $m_{i, j}>0, i \neq j$,

$$
\left[e_{i}, e_{j}\right]=0=\left[f_{i}, f_{j}\right], \quad\left(\operatorname{ad} e_{i}\right)^{m_{i, j}+1} f_{j}=0=\left(\operatorname{ad} f_{i}\right)^{m_{i, j}+1} e_{j} .
$$

If the $M$ that we begin with is a generalized Cartan matrix, then the $3 n$ generators and the first two groups of axioms, (R1) and (R2), provide a presentation of the Kac-Moody Lie algebras [GbK], [C], [K].

Slodowy and, later, Berman showed that the GIM algebras are also isomorphic to fixed point subalgebras of involutions on larger Kac- Moody algebras [S1], [ Br ]. So, in their words, the GIM algebras lie both "beyond and inside" Kac-Moody algebras.

Further progress came in the 1990s as a byproduct of the work of Berman and Moody, Benkart and Zelmanov, and Neher on the classification of root-graded Lie algebras $[\mathrm{BrM}],[\mathrm{BnZ}],[\mathrm{N}]$. Their work revealed that some families of intersection matrix (im) algebras, were universal covering algebras of well understood Lie algebras. An im algebra generally is a quotient algebra of a GIM algebra associated to the ideal generated by homogeneous vectors those have long roots (i.e., $(\alpha, \alpha)>2)$.

A handful of other researchers also began engaging these new algebras. For example, Eswara Rao, Moody, and Yokonuma used vertex operator representations to show that $i m$ algebras were nontrivial [EMY]. Analogous compact forms of $\boldsymbol{i m}$ algebras arising from conjugations over the complex field were considered in [G]. Peng found relations between $\boldsymbol{i m}$ algebras and the representations of tilted algebras via Ringel-Hall algebras [P]. Berman, Jurisich, and Tan showed that the presentation of GIM algebras could be put into a broader framework that incorporated Borcherds algebras [BrJT].

In present paper, we study the GIM algebra $\operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right)$ constructed through intersection matrix

$$
M_{n}=\left(m_{i, j}\right)_{n \times n}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
2 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 2 & -1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 2
\end{array}\right]_{n \times n}
$$

where $n \geq 3$, and we build the representation theory of finite-dimensional modules for $\operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right)$.
Generally, $\operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right)$ can be illustrated by the following diagram (also called Dynkin diagram):

where the numbered circles present the indices of $e_{i}, f_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$, the solid line between two circles $i, i+1$ means that $m_{i, i+1}=m_{i+1, i}=-1$ and the unique dotted line means that $m_{1, n}=m_{n, 1}=1$. There is no line between any other pair $(i, j)$, it means that $m_{i, j}=m_{j, i}=0$.

## 2. Construction of epimorphisms

Definition 2.1. Suppose that $\mathcal{L}$ is a non-trivial semi-simple Lie algebra and $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d} \in\{0,1\}$. If $n \geq 3$ and $\mathcal{L}$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of $\mathbf{a}$ copies of $l_{2 n}$, $\mathbf{c}$ copies of $s p_{2 n}$ and $\mathbf{d}$ copies of so $_{2 n}$, then we say that $\mathcal{L}$ is of $M(n, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$ type.

Let $\mathcal{L}=\oplus_{k=1}^{K} \mathcal{L}_{k}$ be of type $M(n, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$, in this section we construct an epimorphism from $\operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right)$ to $\mathcal{L}$.

For convenience, we fix a Chevalley generators $\left\{e_{\alpha_{i}}, f_{\alpha_{i}} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ for simple Lie algebra of type $C_{n}$ or $D_{n}$, and $\left\{e_{\alpha_{i}}, f_{\alpha_{i}} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 2 n-1\right\}$ for $A_{2 n-1}$. The associative Dynkin diagrams are


Lemma 2.2. Let $a \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}, a \neq \pm 1$. If $\left\{e_{\alpha_{i}}, f_{\alpha_{i}} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 2 n-1\right\}$ is the Chevalley generators of $A_{2 n-1}$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{\alpha_{2 n}} & =a\left[f_{\alpha_{2 n-1}}, \cdots\left[f_{\alpha_{2}}, f_{\alpha_{1}}\right] \cdots\right] \\
f_{\alpha_{2 n}} & =a^{-1}\left[\cdots\left[e_{\alpha_{1}}, e_{\alpha_{2}}\right] \cdots, e_{\alpha_{2 n-1}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
e_{i} \mapsto e_{\alpha_{i}}-f_{\alpha_{n+i}}, \quad f_{i} \mapsto f_{\alpha_{i}}-e_{\alpha_{n+i}}
$$

defines a Lie algebra homomorphism from $\operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right)$ to $A_{2 n-1}$, where $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{i} & =e_{\alpha_{i}}-f_{\alpha_{n+i}} \\
Y_{i} & =f_{\alpha_{i}}-e_{\alpha_{n+i}} \\
H_{i} & =\left[X_{i}, Y_{i}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.
Note that the subalgebra generated by $e_{\alpha_{i}}, f_{\alpha_{i}}(1 \leq i \leq 2 n-1, i \neq n)$ is isomorphic to $s l_{n} \oplus s l_{n}$. So the map

$$
e_{i} \mapsto e_{\alpha_{i}}-f_{\alpha_{n+i}}, \quad f_{i} \mapsto f_{\alpha_{i}}-e_{\alpha_{n+i}}
$$

restricted to $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ induces a diagonal injective map $\Phi_{1} \oplus \Phi_{2}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{1}: \quad e_{i} \mapsto e_{\alpha_{i}}, \quad f_{i} \mapsto f_{\alpha_{i}}, \\
& \Phi_{2}: \quad e_{i} \mapsto-f_{\alpha_{n+i}}, \quad f_{i} \mapsto-e_{\alpha_{n+i}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Particularly, $\Phi_{1}$ can be viewed as an inclusion and $\Phi_{2}$ can be viewed the composition of Chevalley involution and inclusion.

It is sufficient to check the relations involving elements $X_{n}, Y_{n}, H_{n}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{i} & =\left[e_{\alpha_{i}}-f_{\alpha_{n+i}}, f_{\alpha_{i}}-e_{\alpha_{n+i}}\right]=h_{\alpha_{i}}-h_{\alpha_{n+i}}, \forall 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\
H_{n} & =\left[e_{\alpha_{n}}-f_{\alpha_{2 n}}, f_{\alpha_{n}}-e_{\alpha_{2 n}}\right]=h_{\alpha_{n}}+\left(h_{\alpha_{1}}+\cdots+h_{\alpha_{2 n-1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h_{\alpha_{j}}=\left[e_{\alpha_{j}}, f_{\alpha_{j}}\right]$ for all $1 \leq j \leq 2 n-1$. (For the computation of $H_{n}$, see Remark 1.) Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[H_{n}, X_{n}\right] } & =\left[h_{\alpha_{n}}+\left(h_{\alpha_{1}}+\cdots+h_{\alpha_{2 n-1}}\right), e_{\alpha_{n}}-f_{\alpha_{2 n}}\right] \\
& =\alpha_{n}\left(h_{\alpha_{n-1}}+2 h_{\alpha_{n}}+h_{\alpha_{n+1}}\right) e_{\alpha_{n}}-\left(\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{2 n-1}\right)\left(h_{\alpha_{n}}+\left(h_{\alpha_{1}}+\cdots+h_{\alpha_{2 n-1}}\right)\right) f_{\alpha_{2 n}} \\
& =\alpha_{n}\left(h_{\alpha_{n}}\right) e_{\alpha_{n}}-\left(\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{2 n-1}\right)\left(h_{\alpha_{1}}+h_{\alpha_{2 n-1}}\right) f_{\alpha_{2 n}} \\
& =2 X_{n} \\
{\left[H_{n}, Y_{n}\right] } & =-\alpha_{n}\left(h_{\alpha_{n}}\right) f_{\alpha_{n}}+\left(\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{2 n-1}\right)\left(h_{\alpha_{1}}+h_{\alpha_{2 n-1}}\right) e_{\alpha_{2 n}} \\
& =-2 Y_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[H_{n}, X_{1}\right] } & =\alpha_{1}\left(h_{\alpha_{n}}+\left(h_{\alpha_{1}}+\cdots+h_{\alpha_{2 n-1}}\right)\right) e_{\alpha_{1}}-\left(-\alpha_{n+1}\right)\left(h_{\alpha_{n}}+\left(h_{\alpha_{1}}+\cdots+h_{\alpha_{2 n-1}}\right)\right) f_{\alpha_{n+1}} \\
& =\alpha_{1}\left(h_{\alpha_{1}}+h_{\alpha_{2}}\right) e_{\alpha_{1}}+\alpha_{n+1}\left(2 h_{\alpha_{n}}+h_{\alpha_{n+1}}+h_{\alpha_{n+2}}\right) f_{\alpha_{n+1}} \\
& =X_{1}, \\
{\left[H_{n}, Y_{1}\right] } & =-\alpha_{1}\left(h_{\alpha_{1}}+h_{\alpha_{2}}\right) f_{\alpha_{1}}-\alpha_{n+1}\left(2 h_{\alpha_{n}}+h_{\alpha_{n+1}}+h_{\alpha_{n+2}}\right) e_{\alpha_{n+1}} \\
& =-Y_{1}, \\
{\left[H_{n}, X_{n-1}\right] } & =\alpha_{n-1}\left(h_{\alpha_{n}}+\left(h_{\alpha_{1}}+\cdots+h_{\alpha_{2 n-1}}\right)\right) e_{\alpha_{n-1}}-\left(-\alpha_{2 n-1}\right)\left(h_{\alpha_{n}}+\left(h_{\alpha_{1}}+\cdots+h_{\alpha_{2 n-1}}\right)\right) f_{\alpha_{2 n-1}} \\
& =\alpha_{n-1}\left(h_{\alpha_{n-2}}+h_{\alpha_{n-1}}+2 h_{\alpha_{n}}\right) e_{\alpha_{n-1}}+\alpha_{2 n-1}\left(h_{\alpha_{2 n-2}}+h_{\alpha_{2 n-1}}\right) f_{\alpha_{2 n-1}} \\
& =-X_{n-1}, \\
{\left[H_{n}, Y_{n-1}\right] } & =-\alpha_{n-1}\left(h_{\alpha_{n-2}}+h_{\alpha_{n-1}}+2 h_{\alpha_{n}}\right) f_{\alpha_{n-1}}-\alpha_{2 n-1}\left(h_{\alpha_{2 n-2}}+h_{\alpha_{2 n-1}}\right) e_{\alpha_{2 n-1}} \\
& =Y_{n-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similar argument implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[H_{1}, X_{n}\right]=X_{n},\left[H_{1}, Y_{n}\right]=-Y_{n},\left[H_{n-1}, X_{n}\right]=-X_{n},\left[H_{n-1}, Y_{n}\right]=Y_{n}} \\
& {\left[X_{1}, X_{n}\right]=\left[Y_{1}, Y_{n}\right]=\left[Y_{n-1}, X_{n}\right]=\left[X_{n-1}, Y_{n}\right]=0} \\
& {\left[X_{i},\left[X_{i}, Y_{j}\right]\right]=\left[Y_{i},\left[Y_{i}, X_{j}\right]\right]=0, \text { for }\{i, j\}=\{1, n\}} \\
& {\left[X_{i},\left[X_{i}, X_{j}\right]\right]=\left[Y_{i},\left[Y_{i}, Y_{j}\right]\right]=0, \text { for }\{i, j\}=\{n-1, n\}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, the following relation is clear:

$$
\left[X_{n}, X_{i}\right]=\left[X_{n}, Y_{i}\right]=\left[Y_{n}, X_{i}\right]=\left[Y_{n}, Y_{i}\right]=0
$$

for all $2 \leq i \leq n-2$.

Remark 1. Suppose that $\alpha, \beta, \alpha+\beta \in \Delta^{+}$in $A_{2 n-1}$ and $\left[e_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}\right]=\alpha^{\vee},\left[e_{\beta}, f_{\beta}\right]=\beta^{\vee}$. Then it holds that $\left[\left[e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta}\right],\left[f_{\beta}, f_{\alpha}\right]\right]=\alpha^{\vee}+\beta^{\vee}$. Repeatedly using this formula, we infer that

$$
\left[f_{\alpha_{2 n}}, e_{\alpha_{2 n}}\right]=h_{\alpha_{1}}+\cdots+h_{\alpha_{2 n-1}}
$$

Together with that $\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{2 n-1} \pm \alpha_{n}$ is not a root, we obtain the computation of $H_{n}$.
One can also understand it in an easy way: Let $A_{2 n-1}$ be the matrix Lie algebra sl $2_{2 n}$ and $e_{\alpha_{i}}=E_{i, i+1}, f_{\alpha_{i}}=E_{i, i+1}$ for $i<2 n$. Then $e_{\alpha_{2 n}}=a E_{2 n, 1}, f_{\alpha_{2 n}}=a^{-1} E_{1,2 n}$, which implies that

$$
\left[f_{\alpha_{2 n}}, e_{\alpha_{2 n}}\right]=E_{1,1}-E_{2 n, 2 n}=\sum_{i=1}^{2 n-1}\left(E_{i, i}-E_{i+1, i+1}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{2 n-1} h_{\alpha_{i}}
$$

Lemma 2.3. If $\left\{e_{\alpha_{i}}, f_{\alpha_{i}} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ is the Chevalley generators of $C_{n}$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
E & =\left[f_{\alpha_{n-1}}, \cdots\left[f_{\alpha_{1}}, f_{\alpha_{n}}\right] \cdots\right] \\
F & =\left[\cdots\left[e_{\alpha_{n}}, e_{\alpha_{1}}\right] \cdots, e_{\alpha_{n-1}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{i} \mapsto e_{\alpha_{i}}, & f_{i} \mapsto f_{\alpha_{i}}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\
e_{n} & \mapsto E,
\end{aligned} \quad f_{n} \mapsto F,
$$

defines a Lie algebra homomorphism from $\operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right)$ to $C_{n}$.

Proof. It is sufficient to check the relation involving elements $E, F$. Actually, $F$ (respectively $E$ ) is a root vector of highest short root (respectively lowest short root). Then

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[F, e_{\alpha_{i}}\right]=\left[E, f_{\alpha_{i}}\right]=0} & \forall 2 \leq i \leq n-1, \\
{\left[F, f_{\alpha_{i}}\right]=\left[E, e_{\alpha_{i}}\right]=0} & \forall 1 \leq i \leq n-2
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[F,\left[F, e_{\alpha_{1}}\right]\right]=\left[e_{\alpha_{1}},\left[e_{\alpha_{1}}, F\right]\right] } & =0, \quad\left[E,\left[E, f_{\alpha_{1}}\right]\right]=\left[f_{\alpha_{1}},\left[f_{\alpha_{1}}, E\right]\right]=0 \\
{\left[F,\left[F, f_{\alpha_{n-1}}\right]\right]=\left[f_{\alpha_{n-1}},\left[f_{\alpha_{n-1}}, F\right]\right] } & =0, \quad\left[E,\left[E, e_{\alpha_{n-1}}\right]\right]=\left[e_{\alpha_{n-1}},\left[e_{\alpha_{n-1}}, E\right]\right]=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, $H:=[E, F]=-\left(2 h_{\alpha_{n}}+h_{\alpha_{1}}+\cdots+h_{\alpha_{n-1}}\right)$, where $h_{\alpha_{i}}=\left[e_{\alpha_{i}}, f_{\alpha_{i}}\right]$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[H, E] } & =\left(-\alpha_{1}-\cdots-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(-\left(2 h_{\alpha_{n}}+h_{\alpha_{1}}+\cdots+h_{\alpha_{n-1}}\right)\right) E=2 E \\
{[H, F] } & =\left(\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}\right)\left(-\left(2 h_{\alpha_{n}}+h_{\alpha_{1}}+\cdots+h_{\alpha_{n-1}}\right)\right) F=-2 F
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[H, e_{\alpha_{1}}\right]=e_{\alpha_{1}}, } & {\left[H, f_{\alpha_{1}}\right]=-f_{\alpha_{1}} } \\
{\left[H, e_{\alpha_{n-1}}\right]=-e_{\alpha_{n-1}}, } & {\left[H, f_{\alpha_{n-1}}\right]=f_{\alpha_{n-1}} } \\
{\left[h_{\alpha_{1}}, E\right]=E, } & {\left[h_{\alpha_{n-1}}, E\right]=-E } \\
{\left[h_{\alpha_{1}}, F\right]=-F, } & {\left[h_{\alpha_{n-1}}, F\right]=F }
\end{aligned}
$$

The above calculation implies our statement and the proof is completed.

Lemma 2.4. If $\left\{e_{\alpha_{i}}, f_{\alpha_{i}} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ is the Chevalley generators of $D_{n}$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
E & =\left[f_{\alpha_{n-1}}, \cdots\left[f_{\alpha_{2}}, f_{\alpha_{n}}\right] \cdots\right] \\
F & =\left[\cdots\left[e_{\alpha_{n}}, e_{\alpha_{2}}\right] \cdots, e_{\alpha_{n-1}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{i} \mapsto e_{\alpha_{i}}, & f_{i} \mapsto f_{\alpha_{i}}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\
e_{n} \mapsto E, & f_{n} \mapsto F,
\end{aligned}
$$

defines a Lie algebra homomorphism from $\operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right)$ to $D_{n}$.

Proof. It is sufficient to check the relation involving elements $E, F$. Actually, $F$ (respectively $E$ ) is a root vector of highest root (respectively lowest root) of subalgebra generated by $e_{\alpha_{i}}, f_{\alpha_{i}}(2 \leq i \leq n)$. Then

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[F, e_{\alpha_{i}}\right]=\left[E, f_{\alpha_{i}}\right]=0} & \forall 2 \leq i \leq n-1, \\
{\left[F, f_{\alpha_{i}}\right]=\left[E, e_{\alpha_{i}}\right]=0} & \forall 2 \leq i \leq n-2,
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\left[F,\left[F, f_{\alpha_{n-1}}\right]\right]=\left[f_{\alpha_{n-1}},\left[f_{\alpha_{n-1}}, F\right]\right]=0, \quad\left[E,\left[E, e_{\alpha_{n-1}}\right]\right]=\left[e_{\alpha_{n-1}},\left[e_{\alpha_{n-1}}, E\right]\right]=0
$$

Notice that $\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}$ is a root, and neither of $-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}, 2 \alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}$ and $\alpha_{1}+2\left(\alpha_{2}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}\right)$ is a root, hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[F,\left[F, e_{\alpha_{1}}\right]\right]=\left[e_{\alpha_{1}},\left[e_{\alpha_{1}}, F\right]\right]=0, } & {\left[E,\left[E, f_{\alpha_{1}}\right]\right]=\left[f_{\alpha_{1}},\left[f_{\alpha_{1}}, E\right]\right]=0 } \\
{\left[F, f_{\alpha_{1}}\right]=0, } & {\left[E, e_{\alpha_{1}}\right]=0 . }
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, $H:=[E, F]=-\left(h_{\alpha_{2}}+\cdots+h_{\alpha_{n}}\right)$, where $h_{\alpha_{i}}=\left[e_{\alpha_{i}}, f_{\alpha_{i}}\right]$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[H, E] } & =\left(-\alpha_{2}-\cdots-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(-\left(h_{\alpha_{2}}+\cdots+h_{\alpha_{n}}\right)\right) E=2 E \\
{[H, F] } & =\left(\alpha_{2}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}\right)\left(-\left(h_{\alpha_{2}}+\cdots+h_{\alpha_{n}}\right)\right) F=-2 F
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[H, e_{\alpha_{1}}\right]=e_{\alpha_{1}}, } & {\left[H, f_{\alpha_{1}}\right]=-f_{\alpha_{1}} } \\
{\left[H, e_{\alpha_{n-1}}\right]=-e_{\alpha_{n-1}}, } & {\left[H, f_{\alpha_{n-1}}\right]=f_{\alpha_{n-1}} } \\
{\left[h_{\alpha_{1}}, E\right]=E, } & {\left[h_{\alpha_{n-1}}, E\right]=-E } \\
{\left[h_{\alpha_{1}}, F\right]=-F, } & {\left[h_{\alpha_{n-1}}, F\right]=F }
\end{aligned}
$$

The above calculation implies our statement and the proof is completed.

Next we begin to explicitly construct an epimorphism from $\operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right)$ to $\mathcal{L}$. The construction is divided into four distinguish cases.
Case 1. $\mathcal{L}_{k} \cong s l_{2 n}$ for all $1 \leq k \leq K$.
Let $\left\{e_{\alpha_{i}}^{[k]}, f_{\alpha_{i}}^{[k]} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 2 n-1\right\}$ be the analogue of Chevalley generators of $A_{2 n-1}$ in $\mathcal{L}_{k}$ for all $k$. Choose a $K$-tuple $\underline{a}:=\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{K}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{C}^{\times}\right)^{K}$ such that $a_{k} \neq \pm 1$ and $a_{k} \neq a_{j}^{ \pm 1}$ for all $k \neq j$.

Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{\alpha_{2 n}}^{[k]} & =a_{k}\left[f_{\alpha_{2 n-1}}^{[k]}, \cdots\left[f_{\alpha_{2}}^{[k]}, f_{\alpha_{1}}^{[k]}\right] \cdots\right], \\
f_{\alpha_{2 n}}^{[k]} & =a_{k}^{-1}\left[\cdots\left[e_{\alpha_{1}}^{[k]}, e_{\alpha_{2}}^{[k]}\right] \cdots, e_{\alpha_{2 n-1}}^{[k]}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $1 \leq k \leq K$, where $e_{\alpha_{2 n}}^{[k]}$ and $f_{\alpha_{2 n}}^{[k]}$ are root vectors of lowest root and highest root, respectively.
Case 2. $\mathcal{L}_{1} \cong s p_{2 n}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{k} \cong s l_{2 n}$ for all $2 \leq k \leq K$.
Let $\left\{e_{\alpha_{i}}^{[1]}, f_{\alpha_{i}}^{[1]} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ be the analogue of Chevalley generators of $C_{n}$ in $\mathcal{L}_{1}$. and $\left\{e_{\alpha_{i}}^{[k]}, f_{\alpha_{i}}^{[k]} \mid 1 \leq\right.$ $i \leq 2 n-1\}$ be the analogue of Chevalley generators of $A_{2 n-1}$ in $\mathcal{L}_{k}$ for $k \geq 2$. Choose a $K$-tuple $\underline{a}:=\left(1, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{K}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{C}^{\times}\right)^{K}$ such that $a_{k} \neq \pm 1$ and $a_{k} \neq a_{j}^{ \pm 1}$ for all $k \neq j$. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{\alpha_{2 n}}^{[k]} & =a_{k}\left[f_{\alpha_{2 n-1}}^{[k]}, \cdots\left[f_{\alpha_{2}}^{[k]}, f_{\alpha_{1}}^{[k]}\right] \cdots\right], \\
f_{\alpha_{2 n}}^{[k]} & =a_{k}^{-1}\left[\cdots\left[e_{\alpha_{1}}^{[k]}, e_{\alpha_{2}}^{[k]}\right] \cdots, e_{\alpha_{2 n-1}}^{[k]}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $2 \leq k \leq K$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{\alpha_{2 n}}^{[1]}= & f_{\alpha_{n}}^{[1]}-\left[\cdots\left[\left[e_{\alpha_{n}}^{[1]}, e_{\alpha_{1}}^{[1]}\right], e_{\alpha_{2}}^{[1]}\right] \cdots, e_{\alpha_{n-1}}^{[1]}\right] \\
f_{\alpha_{2 n}}^{[1]}= & e_{\alpha_{n}}^{[1]}-\left[f_{\alpha_{n-1}}^{[1]}, \cdots\left[f_{\alpha_{2}}^{[1]},\left[f_{\alpha_{1}}^{[1]}, f_{\alpha_{n}}^{[1]}\right]\right] \cdots\right], \\
& e_{\alpha_{n+1}}^{[1]}=\cdots=e_{\alpha_{2 n-1}}^{[1]}=0 \\
& f_{\alpha_{n+1}}^{[1]}=\cdots=f_{\alpha_{2 n-1}}^{[1]}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f_{\alpha_{n}}^{[1]}-e_{\alpha_{2 n}}^{[1]}$ and $e_{\alpha_{n}}^{[1]}-f_{\alpha_{2 n}}^{[1]}$ are root vectors of highest short root and lowest short root, respectively.
Case 3. $\mathcal{L}_{1} \cong s o_{2 n}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{k} \cong s l_{2 n}$ for all $2 \leq k \leq K$.
Let $\left\{e_{\alpha_{i}}^{[1]}, f_{\alpha_{i}}^{[1]} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ be the analogue of Chevalley generators of $C_{n}$ in $\mathcal{L}_{1}$. and $\left\{e_{\alpha_{i}}^{[k]}, f_{\alpha_{i}}^{[k]} \mid 1 \leq\right.$ $i \leq 2 n-1\}$ be the analogue of Chevalley generators of $A_{2 n-1}$ in $\mathcal{L}_{k}$ for $k \geq 2$. Choose a $K$-tuple $\underline{a}:=\left(-1, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{K}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{C}^{\times}\right)^{K}$ such that $a_{k} \neq \pm 1$ and $a_{k} \neq a_{j}^{ \pm 1}$ for all $k \neq j$. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{\alpha_{2 n}}^{[k]} & =a_{k}\left[f_{\alpha_{2 n-1}}^{[k]}, \cdots\left[f_{\alpha_{2}}^{[k]}, f_{\alpha_{1}}^{[k]}\right] \cdots\right] \\
f_{\alpha_{2 n}}^{[k]} & =a_{k}^{-1}\left[\cdots\left[e_{\alpha_{1}}^{[k]}, e_{\alpha_{2}}^{[k]}\right] \cdots, e_{\alpha_{2 n-1}}^{[k]}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $2 \leq k \leq K$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{\alpha_{2 n}}^{[1]}= & f_{\alpha_{n}}^{[1]}-\left[\cdots\left[e_{\alpha_{n}}^{[1]}, e_{\alpha_{2}}^{[1]}\right] \cdots, e_{\alpha_{n-1}}^{[1]}\right] \\
f_{\alpha_{2 n}}^{[1]}= & e_{\alpha_{n}}^{[1]}-\left[f_{\alpha_{n-1}}^{[1]}, \cdots\left[f_{\alpha_{2}}^{[1]}, f_{\alpha_{n}}^{[1]}\right] \cdots\right], \\
& e_{\alpha_{n+1}}^{[1]}=\cdots=e_{\alpha_{2 n-1}}^{[1]}=0 \\
& f_{\alpha_{n+1}}^{[1]}=\cdots=f_{\alpha_{2 n-1}}^{[1]}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 4. $\mathcal{L}_{1} \cong s o_{2 n}, \mathcal{L}_{2} \cong s p_{2 n}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{k} \cong s l_{2 n}$ for all $3 \leq k \leq K$.
Let $\left\{e_{\alpha_{i}}^{[1]}, f_{\alpha_{i}}^{[1]} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ be the analogue of Chevalley generators of $D_{n}$ in $\mathcal{L}_{1},\left\{e_{\alpha_{i}}^{[2]}, f_{\alpha_{i}}^{[2]} \mid 1 \leq\right.$ $i \leq n\}$ be the analogue of Chevalley generators of $C_{n}$ in $\mathcal{L}_{2}$, and $\left\{e_{\alpha_{i}}^{[k]}, f_{\alpha_{i}}^{[k]} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 2 n-1\right\}$ be the analogue of Chevalley generators of $A_{2 n-1}$ in $\mathcal{L}_{k}$ for $k \geq 3$. Choose a $K$-tuple $\underline{a}:=$ $\left(-1,1, a_{3}, \cdots, a_{K}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{C}^{\times}\right)^{K}$ such that $a_{k} \neq \pm 1$ and $a_{k} \neq a_{j}^{ \pm 1}$ for all $k \neq j$. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{\alpha_{2 n}}^{[k]} & =a_{k}\left[f_{\alpha_{2 n-1}}^{[k]}, \cdots\left[f_{\alpha_{2}}^{[k]}, f_{\alpha_{1}}^{[k]}\right] \cdots\right] \\
f_{\alpha_{2 n}}^{[k]} & =a_{k}^{-1}\left[\cdots\left[e_{\alpha_{1}}^{[k]}, e_{\alpha_{2}}^{[k]}\right] \cdots, e_{\alpha_{2 n-1}}^{[k]}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $3 \leq k \leq K$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{\alpha_{2 n}}^{[1]}= f_{\alpha_{n}}^{[1]}-\left[\cdots\left[e_{\alpha_{n}}^{[1]}, e_{\alpha_{2}}^{[1]}\right] \cdots, e_{\alpha_{n-1}}^{[1]}\right], \\
& f_{\alpha_{2 n}}^{[1]}= e_{\alpha_{n}}^{[1]}-\left[f_{\alpha_{n-1}}^{[1]}, \cdots\left[f_{\alpha_{2}}^{[1]}, f_{\alpha_{n}}^{[1]}\right] \cdots\right], \\
& e_{\alpha_{n+1}}^{[1]}=\cdots=e_{\alpha_{2 n-1}}^{[1]}=0, \\
& f_{\alpha_{n+1}}^{[1]}=\cdots=f_{\alpha_{2 n-1}}^{[1]}=0, \\
& e_{\alpha_{2 n}}^{[2]}=\left.f_{\alpha_{n}}^{[2]}-\left[\cdots\left[e_{\alpha_{n}}^{[2]}, e_{\alpha_{1}}^{[2]}\right], e_{\alpha_{2}}^{[2]}\right] \cdots, e_{\alpha_{n-1}}^{[2]}\right], \\
& f_{\alpha_{2 n}}^{[2]}= e_{\alpha_{n}}^{[2]}-\left[f_{\alpha_{n-1}}^{[2]}, \cdots\left[f_{\alpha_{2}}^{[2]},\left[f_{\alpha_{1}}^{[2]}, f_{\alpha_{n}}^{[2]}\right] \cdots\right],\right. \\
& e_{\alpha_{n+1}}^{[2]}=\cdots=e_{\alpha_{2 n-1}}^{[2]}=0, \\
& f_{\alpha_{n+1}}^{[2]}=\cdots=f_{\alpha_{2 n-1}}^{[2]}=0 . \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

In all above four cases, we define a homomorphism from $\operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ via

$$
\Psi_{\underline{a}}: \quad e_{i} \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^{K}\left(e_{\alpha_{i}}^{[k]}-f_{\alpha_{n+i}}^{[k]}\right), f_{i} \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^{K}\left(f_{\alpha_{i}}^{[k]}-e_{\alpha_{n+i}}^{[k]}\right),
$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.
Proposition 2.5. $\Psi_{\underline{a}}$ is a Lie algebra epimorphism.
Proof. Let $P_{k}$ be the projection from $\mathcal{L}$ to $\mathcal{L}_{k}$. By Lemmas 2.2[2.4] we infer that $P_{k} \circ \Psi_{\underline{a}}$ is a homomorphism for all $1 \leq k \leq K$, then $\Psi_{\underline{a}}=\oplus_{k=1}^{K} P_{k} \circ \Psi_{\underline{a}}$ is a homomorphism of Lie algebras. The detailed proof for that $\Psi_{\underline{a}}$ is an epimorphism, is very similar to the proof for Lemmas 5.1-5.4 in Section 5.

## 3. Main results

Our main result can be stated by the following three theorems.
Theorem 3.1. If $\mathcal{L}$ is of type $M(n, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$, then there exists an ideal $I$ of $\operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right)$, such that

$$
\operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right) / I \cong \mathcal{L} .
$$

Theorem 3.2. If there exists an ideal I of $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { g i m }}\left(M_{n}\right)$, such that $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { g i m }}\left(M_{n}\right) / I$ is finite-dimensional and semi-simple, then $\operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right) / I$ is of type $M(n, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$.

The above two theorems will be proved in below sections.
Theorem 3.3. (1) If $V$ is an irreducible finite-dimensional module of an $M(n, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$ type Lie algebra, then $V$ is an irreducible $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { g i m }}\left(M_{n}\right)$-module.
(2) If $V$ is an irreducible $\operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right)$-module with finite dimension, then $V$ is an irreducible module of some $M(n, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$ type Lie algebra.

Proof. (1) Suppose that $V$ is an irreducible finite-dimensional module of $M(n, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$ type Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$, and that $\zeta: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(V)$ is the representation map. By Proposition 2.5, $V$ is an irreducible $\operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right)$-module with representation $\operatorname{map} \zeta \circ \Psi_{\underline{a}}$.
(2) Suppose that $V$ is non-trivial and the representation is define by $\kappa: \operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(V)$ and the image of $\kappa$ has Levi decomposition

$$
\operatorname{Im}(\kappa)=S \dot{+} H \dot{+} W
$$

where $S$ is semi-simple, $H$ is diagonal and $W$ is nilpotent. Undoubtedly, it holds that $[S, H]=0$.
Because $V$ is non-trivial and there exists a positive integer $k$ such that $W^{k}$ trivially acts on $V$, we infer that $W \cdot V$ is a proper submodule and we may assume that $W=0$.

Suppose that h is a Cartan subalgebra of $S$. Then $V$ has a basis such that both $\kappa(\mathrm{h})$ and $\kappa(H)$ are diagonal, then any $S$-module must be an $H$-module. So $V$ is irreducible as $\operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right)$-module if and only if $V$ is irreducible as $S$-module. By Theorem $3.2, S$ has to be isomorphic to some $M(n, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$ type Lie algebra, and thus the statement holds.

## 4. Evaluation REPRESENTATIONS OF $A_{2 n-1}^{(1)}$

Let g be the simple Lie algebra $s l_{2 n}(\mathbb{C})$. Then the affine Lie algebra of type $A_{2 n-1}^{(1)}$ has a realization:

$$
\hat{\mathrm{g}}=\mathrm{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}\left[t, t^{-1}\right] \oplus \mathbb{C} c
$$

with bracket:

$$
[c, \hat{\mathrm{~g}}]=0, \quad\left[x \otimes t^{m}, y \otimes t^{k}\right]=[x, y] \otimes t^{m+k}+m \delta_{m,-k}(x, y) c
$$

where $x, y \in \mathrm{~g}, m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $($,$) is a non-degenerate invariant form on \mathrm{g}$, which is a scalar of the Killing form.

The following is the Dynkin diagram of g :

$$
{ }^{1} \circ-{ }^{2} \circ-\circ-\circ^{2 n-1} \circ-\quad-\circ
$$

Let $\Pi=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{2 n-1}\right\}$ be the associated prime root system, and $\dot{\Delta}$ be its root system. Set $\left\{E_{\alpha}, H_{i} \mid \alpha \in \dot{\Delta}, 1 \leq i \leq 2 n-1\right\}$ be the Chevalley basis of $g$. Then elements $E_{ \pm \alpha_{i}} \otimes 1(1 \leq i \leq 2 n-1)$ and $E_{ \pm \alpha^{b}} \otimes t^{\mp}$ are Chevalley generators of $\hat{\mathrm{g}}$, where $\alpha^{b}=\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{2 n-1}$.

Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{e}_{1}=E_{\alpha_{1}} \otimes 1-E_{-\alpha_{n+1}} \otimes 1, \quad \hat{f}_{1}=E_{-\alpha_{1}} \otimes 1-E_{\alpha_{n+1}} \otimes 1, \quad \hat{h}_{1}=H_{1} \otimes 1-H_{n+1} \otimes 1, \\
& \hat{e}_{2}=E_{\alpha_{2}} \otimes 1-E_{-\alpha_{n+2}} \otimes 1, \quad \hat{f}_{2}=E_{-\alpha_{2}} \otimes 1-E_{\alpha_{n+2}} \otimes 1, \quad \hat{h}_{2}=H_{2} \otimes 1-H_{n+2} \otimes 1, \\
& \ldots \\
& \hat{e}_{n}=E_{\alpha_{n}} \otimes 1+E_{\alpha^{b}} \otimes t^{-1}, \quad \hat{f}_{n}=E_{-\alpha_{n}} \otimes 1+E_{-\alpha^{b}} \otimes t, \quad \hat{h}_{n}=H_{n} \otimes 1+\left(H_{1}+\cdots+H_{2 n-1}\right) \otimes 1-c,
\end{aligned}
$$

and let $\hat{\mathrm{g}}_{f p}$ be the subalgebra generated by $\left\{\hat{e}_{i}, \hat{f}_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} . \hat{\mathrm{g}}_{f p}$ is called a fixed point subalgebra of $\hat{g}$.

Proposition 4.1. There exists an algebra homomorphism $\phi: \mathcal{U}\left(\operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right)\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}\left(\hat{g}_{f p}\right)$ via the action on generators:

$$
\phi\left(e_{i}\right)=\hat{e}_{i}, \quad \phi\left(f_{i}\right)=\hat{f}_{i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n
$$

More over, it induces a Lie algebra homomorphism (also denoted by $\phi$ ) from $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { g i m }}\left(M_{n}\right)$ to $\hat{g}_{f p}$.

Proof. This is a consequence of the following equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\hat{e}_{i}, \hat{f}_{i}\right]=\hat{h}_{i}, } & i=1, \cdots, n \\
{\left[\hat{h}_{i}, \hat{e}_{j}\right]=m_{i, j} \hat{e}_{j},\left[\hat{h}_{i}, \hat{f}_{j}\right]=-m_{i, j} \hat{f}_{j}, } & 1 \leq i, j \leq n \\
{\left[\hat{e}_{i}, \hat{f}_{j},\right]=0, } & i \neq j,\{i, j\} \neq\{1, n\} \\
{\left[\hat{e}_{i},\left[\hat{e}_{i}, \hat{e}_{j}\right]\right]=0,\left[\hat{f}_{i},\left[\hat{f}_{i}, \hat{f}_{j}\right]\right]=0, } & |i-j|=1 \\
{\left[\hat{e}_{i}, \hat{e}_{j}\right]=0,\left[\hat{f}_{i}, \hat{f}_{j}\right]=0, } & |i-j|>1 \\
{\left[\hat{e}_{i},\left[\hat{e}_{i}, \hat{f}_{j},\right]\right]=\left[\hat{f}_{i},\left[\hat{f}_{i}, \hat{e}_{j},\right]\right]=0, } & \{i, j\}=\{1, n\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 2. In fact, the map $\phi$ is an isomorphism (see $[\overline{\mathrm{Br}}$ ). More over, if we set

$$
\hat{e}_{n}=E_{\alpha_{n}} \otimes 1-E_{\alpha^{b}} \otimes t^{-1}, \quad \hat{f}_{n}=E_{-\alpha_{n}} \otimes 1-E_{-\alpha^{b}} \otimes t
$$

then Proposition 4.1 still holds. However, these two maps are equivalent under the automorphism of $\hat{s l}_{2 n}$ induced by $x \otimes t^{m} \mapsto x \otimes(-t)^{m}$.

Let $a \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, an irreducible evaluation $\hat{\mathrm{g}}$-module $V_{\lambda, a}$ is an irreducible highest weight g-module with highest weight $\lambda$, and the action is defined by:

$$
\left(x \otimes t^{m}\right) \cdot v=a^{m} x \cdot v, \quad c \cdot v=0
$$

for all $x \in \mathrm{~g}, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $v \in V_{\lambda, a}$.
For any $V_{\lambda, a}$, the map $\phi$ induces a representation for $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { g i m }}\left(M_{n}\right)$. Particularly, for any dominate weight $\lambda, V_{\lambda, a}$ is finite-dimensional.

Let $V_{\lambda, a}$ be the $2 n$-dimensional irreducible module, i.e., the natural representation of g . Particularly, we may assume that $\mathrm{g}=s l_{2 n}$. The following proposition is an important tool of the proof for our main results.

Proposition 4.2. The following map induces a representation for $\operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{a}: & \operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right) \rightarrow s l_{2 n} \\
& \psi_{a}\left(e_{1}\right)=E_{1,2}-E_{n+2, n+1}, \quad \psi_{a}\left(f_{1}\right)=E_{2,1}-E_{n+1, n+2} \\
& \psi_{a}\left(e_{2}\right)=E_{2,3}-E_{n+3, n+2}, \quad \psi_{a}\left(f_{2}\right)=E_{3,2}-E_{n+2, n+3} \\
& \cdots \\
& \psi_{a}\left(e_{n-1}\right)=E_{n-1, n}-E_{2 n, 2 n-1}, \quad \psi_{a}\left(f_{n-1}\right)=E_{n, n-1}-E_{2 n-1,2 n} \\
& \psi_{a}\left(e_{n}\right)=E_{n, n+1}+a^{-1} E_{1,2 n}, \quad \psi_{a}\left(f_{n}\right)=E_{n+1, n}+a E_{2 n, 1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Particularly, if $a \neq \pm 1$, the image of $\psi_{a}$ is $s l_{2 n}$, if $a=1$, the image of $\psi_{a}$ is $s p_{2 n}$, and if $a=-1$, then the image of $\psi_{a}$ is $\mathrm{so}_{2 n}$.

Remark 3. In case of assuming that

$$
\hat{e}_{n}=E_{\alpha_{n}} \otimes 1-E_{\alpha^{b}} \otimes t^{-1}, \quad \hat{f}_{n}=E_{-\alpha_{n}} \otimes 1-E_{-\alpha^{b}} \otimes t
$$

Proposition 4.2 still holds after exchanging the positions of results when $a=1$ and $a=-1$, respectively.

## Proof. Proof for Proposition 4.2,

Set $x_{i}=\psi_{a}\left(e_{i}\right), y_{i}=\psi_{a}\left(f_{i}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $h_{i}=E_{i, i}-E_{i+1, i+1}-E_{i+n, i+n}+E_{i+1+n, i+1+n}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Then it is easy to know that the elements $x_{i}, y_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n-1)$ generate the Lie algebra

$$
S=\left\{\left.X=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & -A^{t}
\end{array}\right] \right\rvert\, A \in s l_{n}\right\}
$$

which is isomorphic to $s l_{n}$.
More over,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right] }=E_{n-1, n+1}+a^{-1} E_{1,2 n-1}, \\
& {\left[x_{n-2},\left[x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right]\right] }=E_{n-2, n+1}+a^{-1} E_{1,2 n-2}, \\
& {\left[x_{n-3},\left[x_{n-2},\left[x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right]\right]\right] }=E_{n-3, n+1}+a^{-1} E_{1,2 n-3}, \\
& \cdots \cdots \cdots \\
& {\left[x_{2} \cdots,\left[x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right] \cdots\right] }=E_{2, n+1}+a^{-1} E_{1, n+2}, \\
& {\left[x_{1},\left[x_{2} \cdots,\left[x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right] \cdots\right]\right] }=\left(1+a^{-1}\right) E_{1, n+1}, \\
& {\left[y_{n}, y_{n-1}\right] }=E_{n+1, n-1}+a E_{2 n-1,1}, \\
& {\left[\left[y_{n}, y_{n-1}\right], y_{n-2}\right] }=E_{n+1, n-2}+a E_{2 n-2,1}, \\
& {\left[\left[\left[y_{n}, y_{n-1}\right], y_{n-2}\right], y_{n-3}\right] }=E_{n+1, n-3}+a E_{2 n-3,1}, \\
& \cdots \cdots \cdots \\
& {\left[\left[\cdots\left[y_{n}, y_{n-1}\right], \cdots y_{2}\right]\right.}=E_{n+1,2}+a E_{n+2,1}, \\
& {\left.\left[\cdots\left[y_{n}, y_{n-1}\right], \cdots y_{2}\right], y_{1}\right] }=(1+a) E_{n+1,1},
\end{aligned}
$$

then we divide the proof into three cases according to the value of $a$.
(1) Case 1. $a \neq \pm 1$.

By equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[x_{1},\left[x_{2} \cdots,\left[x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right] \cdots\right]\right] } & =\left(1+a^{-1}\right) E_{1, n+1} \\
{\left[\left[\cdots\left[y_{n}, y_{n-1}\right], \cdots y_{2}\right], y_{1}\right] } & =(1+a) E_{n+1,1}
\end{aligned}
$$

we have $E_{1, n+1}, E_{n+1,1} \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{a}\right)$, and hence, for every $1 \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 E_{i+1, n+i+1}=\left[y_{i},\left[y_{i}, E_{i, n+i}\right]\right], \\
& 2 E_{n+i+1, i+1}=\left[x_{i},\left[x_{i}, E_{n+i, i}\right]\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

thus it holds that

$$
E_{i, n+i}, E_{n+i, i} \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{a}\right), \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n
$$

Set

$$
\mathbf{x}_{k}=E_{n+k, k} \quad \mathbf{y}_{k}=E_{k, n+k}
$$

then

$$
\left[x_{n}, \mathbf{x}_{n}\right]=E_{2 n, n+1}-a^{-1} E_{1, n},\left[y_{n}, \mathbf{y}_{n}\right]=E_{n+1,2 n}-a E_{n, 1}
$$

this implies that $E_{2 n, n+1}, E_{n+1,2 n} \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{a}\right)$, and hence, $E_{1, n}, E_{n, 1} \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{a}\right)$.

Further, the iteration formula

$$
\left[y_{i}, E_{i, n}\right]=E_{i+1, n}, \quad\left[E_{n, i}, x_{i}\right]=E_{n, i+1}
$$

implies that $E_{n, i}, E_{i, n} \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{a}\right)$ for all $i \leq n$, and thus $E_{n+i, 2 n}, E_{2 n, n+i} \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{a}\right)$ for all $i \leq n$.
We also have iteration formula

$$
\begin{array}{r}
{\left[E_{i, n}, y_{n-1}\right]=E_{i, n-1},\left[x_{n-1}, E_{n, i}\right]=E_{n-1, i},} \\
{\left[E_{i, k+1}, y_{k}\right]=E_{i, k},\left[x_{k}, E_{k+1, i}\right]=E_{k, i}, \quad \text { if } i<k,}
\end{array}
$$

and this implies that $E_{i, j}, E_{j, i} \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{a}\right)$ for all $i<j \leq n$. Finally, we infer that $E_{i, j}, E_{i+n, j+n} \in$ $\operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{a}\right)$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $i \neq j$. Notice that

$$
\left[\left[E_{2, n}, E_{n, 2}\right], x_{n}\right]=-E_{n, n+1}, \quad\left[\left[E_{2, n}, E_{n, 2}\right], y_{n}\right]=E_{n+1, n}
$$

so we have $E_{i, i+1}, E_{i+1, i} \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{a}\right)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 2 n-1$, this is a set of Chevalley generators of $s l_{2 n}$, and then $\operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{a}\right)=s l_{2 n}$.
(2) Case 2. $\quad a=1$.

It is easy to check that

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
{\left[\mathbf{x}_{1}, y_{i}\right]=\left[\mathbf{y}_{1}, x_{i}\right]=0} & i=1, \cdots, n-1, \\
{\left[\mathbf{x}_{1}, x_{i}\right]=\left[\mathbf{y}_{1}, y_{i}\right]=0} & i=2, \cdots, n-1, \\
{\left[\left[\mathbf{x}_{1}, x_{1}\right], x_{1}\right]=2 E_{n+2,2}=2 \mathbf{x}_{2},} & {\left[\left[\mathbf{y}_{1}, y_{1}\right], y_{1}\right]=2 E_{2, n+2}=2 \mathbf{y}_{2},} \\
{\left[\mathbf{x}_{2}, x_{1}\right]=\left[\mathbf{y}_{2}, y_{1}\right]=0,} & {\left[\mathbf{x}_{1},\left[\mathbf{x}_{1}, x_{1}\right]\right]=\left[\mathbf{y}_{1},\left[\mathbf{y}_{1}, y_{1}\right]\right]=0,}
\end{array}
$$

then the Lie algebra generated by $S$ and $\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{1}$ is isomorphic to $s p_{2 n}$. In particular, $\left\{x_{i}, y_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{1} \mid 1 \leq\right.$ $i \leq n-1\}$ is a set of Chevalley generators. The associated Dynkin diagram is

$$
{ }^{n} \circ \Longrightarrow{ }^{1} \circ \square^{2} \circ \widetilde{-}^{3} \circ-\quad-\circ-\circ^{n-1}
$$

Notice that $x_{i}, y_{i} \in s p_{2 n}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have

$$
\left\langle S, \mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{1}\right\rangle \subset \operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{a}\right)=\left\langle x_{i}, y_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\rangle \subset s p_{2 n}
$$

the dimension relation $\operatorname{dim}\left\langle S, \mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{1}\right\rangle=\operatorname{dim} s p_{2 n}$ implies that $\operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{a}\right)=s p_{2 n}$.
(3) Case 3. $a=-1$. Then $x_{n}, y_{n}$ have the form $\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & B \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$ and $\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & 0 \\ B & 0\end{array}\right]$, respectively, and where $B=-B^{t} \in g l_{n}$ is anti-symmetric.

Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\sharp} & =\left[\cdots\left[y_{n}, y_{n-1}\right], \cdots y_{2}\right]=E_{n+1,2}-E_{n+2,1}, \\
y^{\sharp} & =\left[x_{2}, \cdots\left[x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right] \cdots\right]=E_{2, n+1}-E_{1, n+2},
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[\mathbf{x}^{\sharp}, y_{i}\right]=\left[\mathbf{y}^{\sharp}, x_{i}\right]=0,} & i=1, \cdots, n-1, \\
{\left[\mathbf{x}^{\sharp}, x_{i}\right]=\left[\mathbf{y}^{\sharp}, y_{i}\right]=0,} & i=1,3, \cdots, n-1,
\end{array}
$$

and the set $\left\{\mathbf{x}^{\sharp}, \mathbf{y}^{\sharp}, x_{2}, y_{2}\right\}$ generates a simple Lie algebra of type $A_{2}$.
Then the Lie algebra generated by $S$ and $\mathbf{x}^{\sharp}, \mathbf{y}^{\sharp}$ is isomorphic to $s o_{2 n}$. In particular, $\left\{x_{i}, y_{i}, \mathbf{x}^{\sharp}, \mathbf{y}^{\sharp} \mid 1 \leq\right.$ $i \leq n-1\}$ is a set of Chevalley generators. Notice that $x_{i}, y_{i} \in s o_{2 n}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have

$$
\left\langle S, \mathbf{x}^{\sharp}, \mathbf{y}^{\sharp}\right\rangle \subset \operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{a}\right)=\left\langle x_{i}, y_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\rangle \subset s o_{2 n},
$$

the dimension relation $\operatorname{dim}\left\langle S, \mathbf{x}^{\sharp}, \mathbf{y}^{\sharp}\right\rangle=\operatorname{dimso} o_{2 n}$ implies that $\operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{a}\right)=s o_{2 n}$.

## 5. Proof for Theorem 3.1

Let $\mathcal{A}=\oplus_{k=1}^{K} \mathrm{~g}_{i}=\mathrm{g}^{\oplus n}$ be the direct sum of $K$ copies of $\mathrm{g}=s l_{2 n}$. Fix a $K$-tuple $\underline{a}=$ $\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{K}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{C}^{\times}\right)^{K}$ such that $a_{k} \neq a_{j}^{ \pm 1}$ for all $k \neq j$. Define the map

$$
\psi_{\underline{a}}=\bigoplus_{k=1}^{K} \psi_{a_{k}}: \quad \operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}
$$

where $\psi_{a_{k}}: \operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{g}_{k}$ is an analogue of the evaluation map defined in Proposition 4.2.
Theorem 3.1 can be proved by the following Lemmas 5.155.4.
Lemma 5.1. If $a_{k} \neq \pm 1$ for every $k$, then $\psi_{\underline{a}}$ is an epimorphism.
Proof. For convenience, we let $\psi(x)^{[k]}$ denote the image of $x$ under $\psi_{a_{k}}$. By the definition of $\psi$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{a_{k}}\left(e_{1}\right)=\left(E_{1,2}-E_{n+2, n+1}\right)^{[k]}, \quad \psi_{a_{k}}\left(f_{1}\right)=\left(E_{2,1}-E_{n+1, n+2}\right)^{[k]} \\
& \psi_{a_{k}}\left(e_{2}\right)=\left(E_{2,3}-E_{n+3, n+2}\right)^{[k]}, \quad \psi_{a_{k}}\left(f_{2}\right)=\left(E_{3,2}-E_{n+2, n+3}\right)^{[k]} \\
& \cdots \\
& \psi_{a_{k}}\left(e_{n-1}\right)=\left(E_{n-1, n}-E_{2 n, 2 n-1}\right)^{[k]}, \quad \psi_{a_{k}}\left(f_{n-1}\right)=\left(E_{n, n-1}-E_{2 n-1,2 n}\right)^{[k]} \\
& \psi_{a_{k}}\left(e_{n}\right)=\left(E_{n, n+1}+a_{k}^{-1} E_{1,2 n}\right)^{[k]}, \quad \psi_{a_{k}}\left(f_{n}\right)=\left(E_{n+1, n}+a_{k} E_{2 n, 1}\right)^{[k]}
\end{aligned}
$$

Set

$$
x_{i}^{[k]}=\psi_{a_{k}}\left(e_{i}\right), \quad y_{i}^{[k]}=\psi_{a_{k}}\left(f_{i}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[x_{n-1}^{[k]}, x_{n}^{[k]}\right] } & =\left(E_{n-1, n+1}+a_{k}^{-1} E_{1,2 n-1}\right)^{[k]}, \\
{\left[x_{n-2}^{[k]},\left[x_{n-1}^{[k]}, x_{n}^{[k]}\right]\right] } & =\left(E_{n-2, n+1}+a_{k}^{-1} E_{1,2 n-2}\right)^{[k]}, \\
\cdots & \cdots \cdots \\
{\left[x_{1}^{[k]},\left[x_{2}^{[k]} \cdots,\left[x_{n-1}^{[k]}, x_{n}^{[k]}\right] \cdots\right]\right] } & =\left(1+a_{k}^{-1}\right)\left(E_{1, n+1}\right)^{[k]}, \\
{\left[y_{n}^{[k]}, y_{n-1}^{[k]}\right] } & =\left(E_{n+1, n-1}+a_{k} E_{2 n-1,1}\right)^{[k]}, \\
{\left.\left[\left[y_{n}^{[k]}, y_{n-1}^{[k]}\right], y_{n-2}^{[k]}\right]\right] } & =\left(E_{n+1, n-2}+a_{k} E_{2 n-2,1}\right)^{[k]} \\
\cdots & \cdots \cdots \\
{\left[\left[\cdots\left[y_{n}^{[k]}, y_{n-1}^{[k]}\right], \cdots y_{2}^{[k]}\right], y_{1}^{[k]}\right] } & =\left(1+a_{k}\right)\left(E_{n+1,1}\right)^{[k]},
\end{aligned}
$$

we infer that

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{1, n+1} & :=\left[\sum_{k=1}^{K}\left(1+a_{k}^{-1}\right)\left(E_{1,1+n}\right)^{[k]}, \sum_{k=1}^{K}\left(1+a_{k}\right)\left(E_{n+1,1}\right)^{[k]}\right] \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{K}\left[\left(1+a_{k}^{-1}\right)\left(E_{1,1+n}\right)^{[k]},\left(1+a_{k}\right)\left(E_{n+1,1}\right)^{[k]}\right] \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{K}\left(2+a_{k}+a_{k}^{-1}\right)\left(E_{1,1}-E_{n+1, n+1}\right)^{[k]}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider its action on $\psi_{\underline{a}}\left(e_{1}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{K} x_{1}^{[k]}$ and $\psi_{\underline{a}}\left(f_{1}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{K} y_{1}^{[k]}$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\operatorname{ad} H_{1, n}\right)\left(\psi_{\underline{a}}\left(e_{1}\right)\right) & =\sum_{k=1}^{K}\left(2+a_{k}+a_{k}^{-1}\right) x_{1}^{[k]}, \\
\left(-\operatorname{ad} H_{1, n}\right)\left(\psi_{\underline{a}}\left(f_{1}\right)\right) & =\sum_{k=1}^{K}\left(2+a_{k}+a_{k}^{-1}\right) y_{1}^{[k]}, \\
\left(\operatorname{ad} H_{1, n}\right)^{2}\left(\psi_{\underline{a}}\left(e_{1}\right)\right) & =\sum_{k=1}^{K}\left(2+a_{k}+a_{k}^{-1}\right)^{2} x_{1}^{[k]}, \\
\left(-\operatorname{ad} H_{1, n}\right)^{2}\left(\psi_{\underline{a}}\left(f_{1}\right)\right) & =\sum_{k=1}^{K}\left(2+a_{k}+a_{k}^{-1}\right)^{2} y_{1}^{[k]}, \\
\cdots & \cdots{ }^{[k} \\
\left(\operatorname{ad} H_{1, n}\right)^{K}\left(\psi_{\underline{a}}\left(e_{1}\right)\right) & =\sum_{k=1}^{K}\left(2+a_{k}+a_{k}^{-1}\right)^{K} x_{1}^{[k]}, \\
\left(-\operatorname{ad} H_{1, n}\right)^{K}\left(\psi_{\underline{a}}\left(f_{1}\right)\right) & =\sum_{k=1}^{K}\left(2+a_{k}+a_{k}^{-1}\right)^{K} y_{1}^{[k]} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $2+a_{k}+a_{k}^{-1}=2+a_{j}+a_{j}^{-1}$, then $\left(a_{k} a_{j}-1\right)\left(a_{k}-a_{j}\right)=0$. By our assumption, every $2+a_{k}+a_{k}^{-1}$ is non-zero and $2+a_{k}+a_{k}^{-1} \neq 2+a_{j}+a_{j}^{-1}$ for all $k \neq j$. By the invertibility of Vandermonde matrix, we infer that

$$
x_{1}^{[1]}, y_{1}^{[1]}, x_{1}^{[2]}, y_{1}^{[2]} \cdots, x_{1}^{[K]}, y_{1}^{[K]} \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{\underline{a}}\right)
$$

Let $H_{1,2}^{[k]}=\left[x_{1}^{[k]}, y_{1}^{[k]}\right]=\left(E_{1,1}-E_{2,2}\right)^{[k]}$, and consider its action on $\psi_{\underline{a}}\left(e_{2}\right), \psi_{\underline{a}}\left(f_{2}\right)$, we infer that

$$
x_{2}^{[1]}, y_{2}^{[1]}, x_{2}^{[2]}, y_{2}^{[2]} \cdots, x_{2}^{[K]}, y_{2}^{[K]} \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{\underline{a}}\right) .
$$

Repeat this process, similar argument implies that

$$
x_{i}^{[k]}, y_{i}^{[k]} \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{\underline{a}}\right)
$$

for every $k$. By Proposition 4.2, we can infer that $\mathrm{g}_{k} \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{\underline{a}}\right)$ for all $k$, and thus the map $\psi_{\underline{a}}$ is surjective.

Lemma 5.2. If $a_{1}=1$ and $a_{k} \neq-1$ for every $k \geq 2$, then $\operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{\underline{a}}\right)$ is a direct sum of one copy of $s p_{2 n}$ and $K-1$ copies of $s l_{2 n}$.

Proof. We may repeat the proof for Lemma 5.1 until we obtain the result

$$
x_{i}^{[k]}, y_{i}^{[k]} \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{a}\right), \forall 1 \leq k \leq K, 1 \leq i \leq n
$$

then $\operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{\underline{a}}\right)=\bigoplus_{k=1}^{K} \operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{a_{k}}\right)$, by Proposition 4.2, $\operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{a_{1}}\right)$ is isomorphic to $s p_{2 n}$, and $\operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{a_{k}}\right)$ is isomorphic to $s l_{2 n}$ for $k \geq 2$.

Lemma 5.3. If $a_{1}=-1, a_{2}=1$, then $\operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{\underline{a}}\right)$ is a direct sum of one copy of so ${ }_{2 n}$, one copy of $s p_{2 n}$ and $K-2$ copies of $s l_{2 n}$.

Proof. We may repeat the proof for Lemma 5.1 until we obtain $H_{1, n+1}$. Notice that, in this case

$$
H_{1, n+1}:=\sum_{k=2}^{K}\left(2+a_{k}+a_{k}^{-1}\right)\left(E_{1,1}-E_{n+1, n+1}\right)^{[k]}
$$

Then go on with the process in Lemma 5.2, we get that

$$
x_{i}^{[k]}, y_{i}^{[k]} \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{\underline{a}}\right), \quad \forall 2 \leq k \leq K, 1 \leq i \leq n
$$

then

$$
x_{i}^{[1]}=\psi_{\underline{a}}\left(e_{i}\right)-\left(\sum_{k=2}^{K} x_{i}^{[k]}\right) \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{\underline{a}}\right), \quad y_{i}^{[1]}=\psi_{\underline{a}}\left(f_{i}\right)-\left(\sum_{k=2}^{K} y_{i}^{[k]}\right) \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{\underline{a}}\right),
$$

it also holds that $\operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{\underline{a}}\right)=\bigoplus_{k=1}^{K} \operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{a_{k}}\right)$. By Proposition 4.2, $\operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{a_{1}}\right)$ is isomorphic to $s o_{2 n}$, $\operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{a_{2}}\right)$ is isomorphic to $s p_{2 n}$, and $\operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{a_{k}}\right)$ is isomorphic to $s l_{2 n}$ for $k \geq 3$.

Lemma 5.4. If $a_{1}=-1$ and $a_{k} \neq 1$ for $k \geq 2$, then $\operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{\underline{a}}\right)$ is a direct sum of one copy of so $o_{2 n}$ and $K-1$ copies of $s l_{2 n}$.

Proof. The proof is very similar to that for Lemma 5.3.

## 6. Proof for Theorem 3.2

If $G(t)$ is a polynomial with non-zero constant term, we may define the quotient algebra

$$
\hat{\mathrm{g}} /\left\langle\mathrm{g} \otimes G(t) \mathbb{C}\left[t, t^{-1}\right]\right\rangle,
$$

and denoted by $\hat{\mathrm{g}} / G(t)$. Note that, we may regard the central element $c$ as 0 in the quotient algebra $\hat{\mathrm{g}} / G(t)$ for all non-trivial polynomial $G(t)$.

Lemma 6.1. If $G(t) \mid F(t)$, then $\hat{g} / G(t)$ is a quotient of $\hat{g} / F(t)$. Particularly,

$$
\hat{g} / G(t) \cong \frac{\hat{g} / F(t)}{g \otimes G(t) \mathbb{C}\left[t, t^{-1}\right] / F(t)}
$$

Proof. This result follows from the homomorphism fundamental theorem.
Lemma 6.2. If $(x-a)^{r} \mid G(t)$ for some $r>1$, then $\hat{g} / G(t)$ has a nilpotent ideal

$$
I=g \otimes \frac{G(t)}{(t-a)} \mathbb{C}\left[t, t^{-1}\right]
$$

Proof. Obviously, for any $x \in \hat{\mathrm{~g}}$ and $y \in I$, we have $[x, y] \in I$. Suppose that $r^{*} \geq r$ is the multiple of prime factor $t-a$, then for any $u \otimes f(t), v \otimes g(t) \in I$, we have $(t-a)^{2} \mid G(t)$, and

$$
G(t)\left|\frac{G(t)^{2}}{(t-a)^{2}}, \quad \frac{G(t)^{2}}{(t-a)^{2}}\right| f(t) g(t)
$$

and thus $[I, I]=0$.

If $G(t)$ has degree $K$, then it is well-known that $\hat{\mathrm{g}} / G(t)$ is the direct sum of $K$ copies of g if $G(t)$ has $K$ many different factors $t-a_{i}$, where $a_{i} \neq 0(1 \leq i \leq K)$.

Let $G(t)=\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(t-a_{i}\right)$, then there exists $c_{i} \neq 0$, such that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i} \frac{G(t)}{t-a_{i}}=1
$$

then

$$
\hat{\mathrm{g}} / G(t)=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} \hat{\mathrm{~g}} \otimes \frac{G(t)}{t-a_{i}} / G(t) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\hat{\mathrm{~g}}}{t-a_{i}}
$$

Let $\phi$ be as defined in Section 4. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\hat{e}_{1}, \cdots\left[\hat{e}_{n-1}, \hat{e}_{n}\right] \cdots\right] } & =E_{\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}} \otimes\left(1+t^{-1}\right), \\
{\left[\cdots\left[\hat{f}_{n-1}, \hat{f}_{n-2}\right], \cdots \hat{f}_{1}\right] } & =E_{-\alpha_{1}-\cdots-\alpha_{n-1}} \otimes 1-E_{\alpha_{n+1}+\cdots+\alpha_{2 n-1}} \otimes 1, \\
{\left[\left[\cdots\left[\hat{f}_{n-1}, \hat{f}_{n-2}\right], \cdots \hat{f}_{1}\right], E_{\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}} \otimes\left(1+t^{-1}\right)\right] } & =\left(E_{\alpha_{n}}-E_{\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{2 n-1}}\right) \otimes\left(1+t^{-1}\right), \\
{\left[\left(E_{\alpha_{n}}-E_{\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{2 n-1}}\right) \otimes\left(1+t^{-1}\right), \hat{f}_{n}\right] } & =H_{n} \otimes\left(1+t^{-1}\right)+\left(H_{1}+\cdots H_{2 n-1}\right) \otimes(1+t)-c,
\end{aligned}
$$

this implies that

$$
\Xi:=H_{n} \otimes t^{-1}+\left(H_{1}+\cdots+H_{2 n-1}\right) \otimes t \in \phi\left(\operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right)\right),
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{ad} \Xi\right)^{m}\left(\hat{e}_{n}\right), \hat{f}_{n}\right] } & =H_{n} \otimes t^{-m}+\left(H_{1}+\cdots+H_{2 n-1}\right) \otimes t^{m} \\
{\left[(\operatorname{ad} \Xi)^{m}\left(\hat{e}_{1}\right), \hat{f}_{1}\right] } & =H_{1} \otimes t^{m}-H_{n+1} \otimes t^{-m}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $m>0$. Similarly, we infer that

$$
H_{i} \otimes t^{-m}-H_{n+i} \otimes t^{m} \in \phi\left(\operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right)\right)
$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$, where $H_{2 n}=-H_{1}-\cdots-H_{2 n-1}$.
Moreover,

$$
\left(H_{i} \otimes t^{-m}-H_{n+i} \otimes t^{m}\right) \pm\left(H_{i} \otimes t^{m}-H_{n+i} \otimes t^{-m}\right) \quad=\left(H_{i} \mp H_{n+i}\right) \otimes\left(t^{m} \pm t^{-m}\right)
$$

Suppose that $I$ is an ideal of $\operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right)$ such that the quotient algebra $\operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right) / I$ is finitedimensional and semi-simple. Since $\phi$ is an isomorphism, we have that $\phi(I)$ is an ideal of $\hat{\mathrm{g}}_{f p}=$ $\phi\left(\operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right)\right) \cong \operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right)$ and $\hat{\mathrm{g}}_{f p} / \phi(I) \cong \operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right) / I$. Note that every polynomial in variable $t+t^{-1}$ is a linear combination of $t^{m}+t^{-m}(m \geq 0)$. Then there must hold that

$$
\left(H_{i}-H_{n+i}\right) \otimes \theta(t) \in \phi(I), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n
$$

where $\theta(t)=\eta\left(t+t^{-1}\right)$ for some polynomial $\eta$. Otherwise, the elements

$$
\left\{H \otimes\left(t^{j}+t^{-j}\right) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right\}
$$

are linearly independent in $\hat{\mathrm{g}}_{f p} / \phi(I)$ for some $H \in \operatorname{span}\left\{H_{i}-H_{n+i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$, which contradicts to the assumption of the finite-dimension.

Actually, $\hat{h}_{i}=H_{i}-H_{n+i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $\mathrm{h}_{f p}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\hat{h}_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$. Then $\hat{\mathrm{g}}_{f p}$ has a root decomposition

$$
\hat{\mathrm{g}}_{f p}=\left(\hat{\mathrm{g}}_{f p}\right)_{0}+\sum_{\alpha}\left(\hat{\mathrm{g}}_{f p}\right)_{\alpha},
$$

where $\left(\hat{\mathrm{g}}_{f p}\right)_{0}=\left\{x \in \hat{\mathrm{~g}}_{f p} \mid\left[\mathrm{h}_{f p}, x\right]=0\right\}$ and $\left(\hat{\mathrm{g}}_{f p}\right)_{\alpha}=\left\{x \in \hat{\mathrm{~g}}_{f p} \mid[h, x]=\alpha(h) x, \forall h \in \mathrm{~h}_{f p}\right\}$.
By Berman's result ( $\underline{\mathrm{Br}}$, Proposition 1.12), it holds that $\hat{\mathrm{g}}=\hat{\mathrm{g}}_{f p} \oplus M$, where $M$ is a $\hat{\mathrm{g}}_{f p^{-}}$ module and $[M, M] \subseteq \hat{\mathrm{g}}_{f p}$. If $x=\sum_{j=1}^{d} x_{j} \otimes p_{j}(t) \theta(t) \in\left(\hat{\mathrm{g}}_{f p}\right)_{\alpha}, \alpha \neq 0$, then there exists $H \in \mathrm{~h}_{f p}$ such that $\alpha(H) \neq 0$ and

$$
x=\frac{1}{\alpha(H)} \sum_{j=1}^{d}\left[H \otimes \theta(t), x_{j} \otimes p_{j}(t)\right] \in \phi(I)
$$

Otherwise, we infer that $\sum_{j=1}^{d} x_{j} \otimes p_{j}(t) \notin \hat{\mathrm{g}}_{f p}$ and thus $x \notin \hat{\mathrm{~g}}_{f p}$, which is a contradiction.

Moreover, $\left(\hat{\mathrm{g}}_{f p}\right)_{0} \subseteq \mathrm{~h} \otimes \mathbb{C}\left[t, t^{-1}\right] \oplus \mathbb{C} c$ and

$$
\left(\mathrm{h} \otimes \mathbb{C}\left[t+t^{-1}\right] \oplus \mathbb{C} c\right) \bigcap \hat{\mathrm{g}}_{f p}=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{C}\left(\left(H_{i}-H_{n+i}\right) \otimes\left(t^{m}+t^{-m}\right)-\delta_{i, n} c\right)
$$

then we have the following result:
Lemma 6.3. We let $J$ be the ideal of $\hat{g}$ generated by $\left\{H_{i} \otimes \theta(t) \mid 1 \leq i \leq 2 n-1\right\}$, then

$$
\phi\left(\operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right)\right) \bigcap J \subseteq \phi(I)
$$

and naturally there exists an epimorphism

$$
\frac{\phi\left(\operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right)\right)}{\phi\left(\operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right)\right) \bigcap J} \rightarrow \operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right) / I
$$

For convenience, we let $\theta^{*}(t)=t^{r} \eta\left(t+t^{-1}\right)$, where $r=\operatorname{deg} \eta$, then $\theta^{*}$ is a polynomial with $\theta^{*}(0) \neq 0$ and it holds that

$$
\hat{\mathrm{g}} / J=\hat{\mathrm{g}} / \theta^{*}(t)
$$

Moreover, $\theta^{*}(t)$ and $\eta\left(t+t^{-1}\right)$ have same roots.
Suppose that $\hat{\mathrm{g}} / \theta^{*}(t)$ has a Levi decomposition

$$
\hat{\mathrm{g}} / \theta^{*}(t)=S+U
$$

where $S$ is semi-simple and $U$ is the solvable radical. Let $\mathbf{p}: S+U \rightarrow(S+U) / U$ be the canonical map. If $\mathbf{i}: \operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right) / I \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{g}} / \theta^{*}(t) \subseteq S$ is the injective map, then $\mathbf{p} \circ \mathbf{i}$ is injective. Hence we may assume that

$$
\theta^{*}(t)=\prod_{i=1}^{K}\left(t-a_{i}\right)
$$

where $a_{i} \neq a_{j}$ if $i \neq j$.
Out of questions, $\operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right) / I$ is a subalgebra of $\hat{\mathrm{g}} / \theta^{*}(t)$ through isomorphism $\phi$.
We may assume that $c_{i}, d_{i} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$such that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{K} c_{i} \frac{\theta^{*}(t)}{t-a_{i}}=1, \quad \frac{\theta^{*}(t)}{t-a_{i}} \equiv d_{i}\left(\bmod t-a_{i}\right)
$$

we also assume that $\hat{\mathrm{g}} / \theta^{*}(t)=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{K} \mathrm{~g}_{i}$, and $\psi^{*}$ is the canonical map from $\hat{\mathrm{g}}$ to $\hat{\mathrm{g}} / \theta^{*}(t)$.
Let

$$
\psi_{a_{i}}: \hat{\mathrm{g}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathrm{~g}}_{i}=\frac{\mathrm{g} \otimes \theta^{*}(t) /\left(t-a_{i}\right) \mathbb{C}\left[t, t^{-1}\right]}{\left(t-a_{i}\right)} \cong \hat{\mathrm{g}} /\left(t-a_{i}\right)
$$

be the evaluation map, where $\psi_{a_{i}}\left(x \otimes t^{m} \frac{\theta^{*}(t)}{\left(t-a_{i}\right)}\right)=d_{i} a_{i}^{m} x^{[i]}$.
Lemma 6.4. For any $x \otimes t^{m}$, we have

$$
\psi^{\boldsymbol{\omega}}\left(x \otimes t^{m}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{K} c_{i} d_{i} \psi_{a_{i}}\left(x \otimes t^{m}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{K} c_{i} d_{i} a_{i}^{m} x^{[i]}
$$

Particularly, $c_{i} d_{i}=1$ for any $1 \leq i \leq K$, then $\psi^{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}=\psi_{\underline{a}}$, where $\underline{a}=\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{K}\right)$.

Proof. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{K} c_{i} \frac{\theta^{*}(t)}{t-a_{i}}=1$, evaluate $t=a_{i}$ in both sides, we have $c_{i} d_{i}=1$. For any $x \otimes t^{m}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi^{\boldsymbol{\omega}}\left(x \otimes t^{m}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{K} c_{i} x \otimes t^{m} \frac{\theta^{*}(t)}{t-a_{i}} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{K} c_{i} d_{i} a_{i}^{m} x^{[i]}=\sum_{i=1}^{K} a_{i}^{m} x^{[i]}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 6.5. Let $a \neq 0, \pm 1$, then associated to the map

$$
\psi_{a} \oplus \psi_{a^{-1}}: \operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right) / I \rightarrow \hat{g} /(t-a) \oplus \hat{g} /\left(t-a^{-1}\right)
$$

we have that

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{a} \oplus \psi_{a^{-1}}\right) \cong \operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{a}\right)
$$

Proof. As we know that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma: \quad & \mathrm{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}\left[t, t^{-1}\right] \rightarrow \mathrm{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}\left[t, t^{-1}\right] \\
& x \otimes t^{m} \mapsto x \otimes t^{-m}
\end{aligned}
$$

is an isomorphism. Moreover, $\psi_{a} \circ \sigma=\psi_{a^{-1}}$, hence we have that

$$
\psi_{a} \oplus \psi_{a^{-1}}=\psi_{a} \circ(1 \oplus \sigma)
$$

notice that $1 \oplus \sigma$ is diagonally injective, then the image of $\psi_{a}+\psi_{a^{-1}}$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{a}\right)$.

Proof for Theorem 2.3: Undoubtedly, since $\eta$ is a polynomial in variable $t+t^{-1}$ and $\theta^{*}(0) \neq 0$, we have that $(t-a) \mid \theta^{*}(t)$ if and only if $\left(t-a^{-1}\right) \mid \theta^{*}(t)$. By Lemma 6.4 $\psi^{\boldsymbol{*}}=\psi_{\underline{a}}$. Here, $a_{k} \in \underline{a}$ if and only if $a_{k}^{-1} \in \underline{a}$. However, the approach of proof for Lemma 5.1 still play a major role in this case. Follow the process of the proof for Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.3, we can successfully reach that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{i}^{[k]}, y_{i}^{[k]} \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{\underline{a}}\right), & & a_{k}= \pm 1,1 \leq i \leq n \\
x_{i}^{[k]}+x_{i}^{[j]}, y_{i}^{[k]}+y_{i}^{[j]} \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{\underline{a}}\right), & & a_{k} \neq \pm 1, a_{k} a_{j}=1,1 \leq i \leq n .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Proposition 4.2 and Lemma 6.5, the subalgebra generated by $x_{i}^{[k]}, y_{i}^{[k]}\left(a_{k}= \pm 1,1 \leq i \leq n\right)$ is one of $s o_{2 n}, s p_{2 n}$, and the subalgebra generated by $x_{i}^{[k]}+x_{i}^{[j]}, y_{i}^{[k]}+y_{i}^{[j]}\left(a_{k} a_{j}=1,1 \leq i \leq n\right)$ is $s l_{2 n}$. Since $t-1$ or $t+1$ appears in $\theta(t)$ at most one time, each of $s o_{2 n}$ and $s p_{2 n}$ appears at most one time. Then $\operatorname{gim}\left(M_{n}\right) / I$ is of type $M(n, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$.

The proof is completed.
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