

Characterization and Compensation of Network-Level Anomalies in Mixed-Signal Neuromorphic Modeling Platforms

Mihai A. Petrovici · Bernhard Vogginger · Paul Müller · Oliver Breitwieser · Mikael Lundqvist · Lyle Muller · Matthias Ehrlich · Alain Destexhe · Anders Lansner · René Schüffny · Johannes Schemmel · Karlheinz Meier

October 10, 2014

Abstract

Advancing the size and complexity of neural network models leads to an ever increasing demand for computational resources for their simulation. Neuromorphic devices offer a number of advantages over conventional computing architectures, such as high emulation speed or low power consumption, but this usually comes at the price of reduced configurability and precision. In this article, we investigate the consequences of several such factors that are common to neuromorphic devices, more specifically limited hardware resources, limited parameter configurability and parameter variations due to fixed-pattern noise and trial-to-trial variability. Our final aim is to provide an array of methods for coping with such inevitable distortion mechanisms. As a platform for testing our proposed strategies, we use an executable system specification (ESS) of the Brain-ScaleS neuromorphic system, which has been designed as a universal emulation back-end for neuroscientific modeling. We address the most essential limitations of this device in detail and study their effects on three

prototypical benchmark network models within a well-defined, systematic workflow. For each network model, we start by defining quantifiable functionality measures by which we then assess the effects of typical hardware-specific distortion mechanisms, both in idealized software simulations and on the ESS. For those effects that cause unacceptable deviations from the original network dynamics, we suggest generic compensation mechanisms and demonstrate their effectiveness. Both the suggested workflow and the investigated compensation mechanisms are largely back-end independent and do not require additional hardware configurability beyond the one required to emulate the benchmark networks in the first place. We hereby provide a generic methodological environment for configurable neuromorphic devices that are targeted at emulating large-scale, functional neural networks.

1 Introduction

1.1 Modeling and computational neuroscience

The limited availability of detailed biological data has always posed a major challenge to the advance of neuroscientific understanding. The formulation of theories about information processing in the brain has therefore been predominantly model-driven, with much freedom of choice in model architecture and parameters. As more powerful mathematical and computational tools became available, increasingly detailed and complex cortical models have been proposed. However, because of the manifest nonlinearity and sheer complexity of interactions that take place in the nervous system, analytically treatable ensemble-based models can only partly cover the vast range of activity patterns and behav-

M. A. Petrovici · P. Müller · O. Breitwieser · J. Schemmel · K. Meier

Kirchhoff Institute for Physics
Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Germany
Tel.: +49 6221 549847
E-mail: mpedro@kip.uni-heidelberg.de

M. Ehrlich · R. Schüffny · B. Vogginger
Institute of Circuits and Systems, Technische Universität Dresden, Germany

M. Lundqvist · A. Lansner
Computational Biology, KTH Stockholm, Sweden

A. Destexhe · L. Muller
Unité de Neuroscience, Information et Complexité, CNRS, Gif sur Yvette, France

ioral phenomena that are characteristic for biological nervous systems [Laing and Lord \(2009\)](#). The high level of model complexity often required for computational proficiency and biological plausibility has led to a rapid development of the field of computational neuroscience, which focuses on the simulation of network models as a powerful complement to the search for analytic solutions [Brette et al \(2007\)](#).

The feasibility of the computational approach has been facilitated by the development of the hardware devices used to run neural network simulations. The brisk pace at which available processing speed has been increasing over the past few decades, as allegorized by Moore's Law, as well as the advancement of computer architectures in general, closely correlate to the size and complexity of simulated models. Today, network models with tens of thousands of neurons are routinely simulated on desktop machines, with supercomputers allowing several orders of magnitude more [Djurfeldt et al \(2008\)](#); [Helias et al \(2012\)](#). However, as many authors have pointed out (see e.g. [Morrison et al \(2005\)](#), [Brette et al \(2007\)](#)), the inherently massively parallel structure of biological neural networks becomes progressively difficult to map to conventional architectures based on digital general-purpose CPUs, as network size and complexity increase.

Conventional simulation becomes especially restrictive when considering long time scales, such as are required for modeling long-term network dynamics or when performing statistics-intensive experiments. Additionally, power consumption can quickly become prohibitive at these scales [Bergman et al \(2008\)](#); [Hasler and Marr \(2013\)](#).

1.2 Neuromorphic Hardware

The above issues can, however, be eluded by reconsidering the fundamental design principles of conventional computer systems. The core idea of the so-called neuromorphic approach is to implement features (such as connectivity) or components (neurons, synapses) of neural networks directly *in silico*: instead of calculating the dynamics of neural networks, neuromorphic devices contain physical representations of the networks themselves, behaving, by design, according to the same dynamic laws. An immediate advantage of this approach is its inherent parallelism (emulated network components evolve in parallel, without needing to wait for clock signals or synchronization), which is particularly advantageous in terms of scalability. First proposed by Mead in the 1980s [Mead and Mahowald \(1988\)](#); [Mead \(1989, 1990\)](#), the neuromorphic approach has since delivered a multitude of successful applications [Renaud](#)

[et al \(2007\)](#); [Indiveri et al \(2009, 2011\)](#); [McDonnell et al \(2014\)](#).

By far the largest number of neuromorphic systems developed thus far are highly application-specific, such as visual processing systems [Serrano-Gotarredona et al \(2006\)](#); [Merolla and Boahen \(2006\)](#); [Netter and Franceschini \(2002\)](#); [Delbrück and Liu \(2004\)](#) or robotic motor control devices [Lewis et al \(2000\)](#). Several groups have focused on more biological aspects, such as the neuromorphic implementation of biologically-inspired self-organization and learning [Häfliger \(2007\)](#); [Mitra et al \(2009\)](#), detailed replication of Hodgkin-Huxley neurons [Zou et al \(2006\)](#) or hybrid systems interfacing analog neural networks with living neural tissue [Bontorin et al \(2007\)](#).

These devices, however, being rather specialized, can not match the flexibility of traditional software simulations. Adding configurability comes at a high price in terms of hardware resources, due to various hardware-specific limitations, such as physical size and essentially two-dimensional structure. So far there have only been few attempts at realizing highly configurable hardware emulators [Indiveri et al \(2006\)](#); [Vogelstein et al \(2007\)](#); [Rocke et al \(2008\)](#); [Schemmel et al \(2010\)](#); [Furber et al \(2012\)](#). This approach alone, however, does not completely resolve the computational bottleneck of software simulators, as scaling neuromorphic neural networks up in size becomes non-trivial when considering bandwidth limitations between multiple interconnected hardware devices [Costas-Santos et al \(2007\)](#); [Berge and Häfliger \(2007\)](#); [Indiveri \(2008\)](#); [Fieres et al \(2008\)](#); [Serrano-Gotarredona et al \(2009\)](#).

1.3 The BrainScaleS hardware system

A very efficient way of interconnecting multiple VLSI (Very Large Scale Integration) modules is offered by so-called wafer-scale integration. This implies the realization of both the modules in question and their communication infrastructure on the same silicon wafer, the latter being done in a separate, post-processing step. The BrainScaleS wafer-scale hardware [Schemmel et al \(2010\)](#) uses this process to achieve a high communication bandwidth between individual neuromorphic cores on a wafer, thereby allowing a highly flexible connection topology of the emulated network. Together with the large available parameter space for neurons and synapses, this creates a neuromorphic architecture that is comparable in flexibility with standard simulation software. At the same time, it provides a powerful alternative to software simulators by avoiding the abovementioned computational bottleneck, in particular owing to the fact that the emulation duration does not scale

with the size of the emulated network, since individual network components operate, inherently, in parallel. An additional benefit which is inherent to this specific VLSI implementation is the high acceleration with respect to biological real-time, which is facilitated by the high on-wafer bandwidth. This allows investigating the evolution of network dynamics over long periods of time which would otherwise be strongly prohibitive for software simulations.

1.4 Hardware-Induced Distortions: A Systematic Investigation

Along with the many advantages it offers, the neuromorphic approach also comes with limitations of its own. These have various causes that lie both in the hardware itself and the control software. We will later identify these causes, which we henceforth refer to as *distortion mechanisms*. The neural network emulated by the hardware device can therefore differ significantly from the original model, be it in terms of pulse transmission, connectivity between populations or individual neuron or synapse parameters. We refer to all the changes in network dynamics (i.e., deviations from the original behavior defined by software simulations) caused by hardware-specific effects as *hardware-induced distortions*.

Due to the complexity of state-of-the-art neuromorphic platforms and their control software, as well as the vast landscape of emulable neural network models, a thorough and systematic approach is essential for providing reliable information about causal mechanisms and functional effects of hardware-induced distortions in model dynamics and for ultimately designing effective compensation methods. In this article, we design and perform such a systematic analysis and compensation for several hardware-specific distortion mechanisms.

First and foremost, we identify and quantify the most important sources of model distortions. We then proceed to investigate their effect on network functionality. In order to cover a wide range of possible network dynamics, we have chosen three very different cortical network models to serve as benchmarks. In particular, these models implement several prototypical cortical paradigms of computation, relying on winner-take-all structures (attractor networks), precise spike timing correlations (synfire chains) or balanced activity (self-sustained asynchronous irregular states).

For every emulated model, we define a set of functionality criteria, based on specific aspects of the network dynamics. This set should be complex enough to

capture the characteristic network behavior, from a microscopic (e.g., membrane potentials) to a mesoscopic level (e.g., firing rates) and, where suitable, computational performance at a specific task. Most importantly, these criteria need to be precisely quantified, in order to facilitate an accurate comparison between software simulations and hardware emulations or between different simulation/emulation back-ends in general. The chosen functionality criteria should also be measured, if applicable, for various relevant realizations (i.e. for different network sizes, numbers of functional units etc.) of the considered network.

Because multiple distortion mechanisms occur simultaneously in hardware emulations, it is often difficult, if not impossible, to understand the relationship between the observed effects (i.e., modifications in the network dynamics) and their potential underlying causes. Therefore, we investigate the effects of individual distortion mechanisms by implementing them, separately, in software simulations. As before, we perform these analyses over a wide range of network realizations, since - as we will show later - these may strongly influence the effects of the examined mechanisms.

After having established the relationship between structural distortions caused by hardware-specific factors and their consequences for network dynamics, we demonstrate various compensation techniques in order to restore the original network behavior.

In the final stage, for each of the studied models, we simulate an implementation on the hardware backend by running an appropriately configured executable system specification, which includes the full panoply of hardware-specific distortion mechanisms. Using the proposed compensation techniques, we then attempt to deal with all these effects simultaneously. The results from these experiments are then compared to results from software simulations, thus allowing a comprehensive assertion of the effectivity of our proposed compensation techniques, as well as of the capabilities and limitations of the neuromorphic emulation device.

1.5 Article Structure

In Sec. 2, we describe our testbench neuromorphic modeling platform with its most relevant components, as well as the essential layers of the operation workflow. We continue by explaining the causes of various network-level distortions that are expected to be common for similar mixed-signal neuromorphic devices. In the same section, we also introduce the executable system specification of the hardware, which we later use for experimental investigations.

Sec. 3 contains the description of the three benchmark models. We start the section on each of the models with a short summary of all the relevant findings. We then describe its architecture and characteristic aspects of its dynamics which we later use as quality controls. We continue by discussing the effects of individual hardware-specific distortion mechanisms as observed in software simulations, propose various compensation strategies and investigate their efficacy in restoring the functionality of the network model in question. Subsequently, we apply these methods to large-scale neuromorphic emulations and examine the results.

Finally, we summarize and discuss our findings in Sec. 4.

2 Neuromorphic testbench and investigated distortion mechanisms

In this section we introduce the BrainScaleS neuromorphic wafer-scale hardware system and its executable system specification, henceforth called the ESS, as the testbench for our studies. The system's hardware and software components are only described on an abstract level, while highlighting the mechanisms responsible for distortions of the emulated networks. Finally, we identify the three most relevant causes of distortion as being synapse loss, synaptic weight noise and non-configurable axonal delays.

2.1 The BrainScaleS wafer-scale hardware

Fig. 1 shows a 3D-rendered image of the BrainScaleS wafer-scale hardware system: the 8 inch silicon wafer contains 196 608 neurons and 44 million plastic synapses implemented in mixed-signal VLSI circuitry. Due to the high integration of the circuits, the capacitances and thus the intrinsic time constants are small, so that neural dynamics take place approximately 10 000 faster than biological real time. The principal building block of the wafer is the so-called HICANN (High Input Count Analog Neural Network) chip [Schemmel et al \(2010, 2008\)](#). During chip fabrication one is limited to a maximum area that can be simultaneously exposed during photolithography, a reticle, thus usually such a wafer is cut into individual chips after production. For the BrainScaleS system, however, the wafer is left intact, and additional wiring is applied onto the wafer's surface in a post-processing step. This process establishes connections between all 384 HICANN blocks that allow a very high bandwidth for on-wafer pulse-event communication [Schemmel et al \(2008\)](#). The neuromorphic




Fig. 1: **The BrainScaleS wafer-scale hardware system:** (A) Wafer comprising HICANN building blocks and on-wafer communication infrastructure covered by an aluminium plate, (B) digital inter-wafer and wafer-host communication modules. Also visible: mechanical and electrical support.

wafer is accompanied by a stack of digital communication modules for the connection of the wafer to the host PC and to other wafers (Fig. 2 and Sec. 2.1.2).

2.1.1 HICANN building block

On the HICANN chip (lower left of Fig. 2), one can recognize two symmetric blocks which hold the analog core modules. The upper block is depicted in detail in Fig. 3: Most of the area is occupied by the synapse array with 224 rows and 256 columns. All synapses in a column are connected to one of the 256 neuron circuits located at the center of the chip. For each two adjacent synapse rows, there is one *synapse driver* that forms the input for pre-synaptic pulses to the synapse array. Synapse drivers are evenly distributed to the left and right side of one synapse array (56 per side). A grid of horizontal and vertical buses enables the routing of spikes from neuron circuits to synapse drivers.




Fig. 2: **Architecture of the BrainScaleS wafer-scale hardware system.** Left: The HICANN building block has two symmetric halves with synapse arrays and neuron circuits. Neural activity is transported horizontally (blue) and vertically (red) via asynchronous buses that span over the entire wafer. Exemplary spike paths are shown in yellow on the HICANN: The incoming spike packet is routed to the synapse drivers. In the event that a neuron spikes, it emits a spike packet back into the routing network. Right: Off-wafer connectivity is established by a hierarchical packed-based network via DNCs and FPGAs. It interfaces the on-wafer routing buses on the HICANN building blocks. Several wafer modules can be interconnected using routing functionality between the FPGAs.

Up to 64 neuron circuits can be interconnected to form neurons with up to 14336 synapses. The neurons emulate the dynamics of the Adaptive-Exponential Integrate-and-Fire model (AdEx) [Brette and Gerstner \(2005\)](#) in analog circuitry, defined by equations for the membrane voltage V , the adaption current w and a reset condition that applies when a spike is triggered:

$$C_m \frac{dV}{dt} = -g_L(V - E_L) + g_L \Delta_T \exp\left(\frac{V - E_T}{\Delta_T}\right) - w + I^{\text{syn}} \quad (1)$$

$$\tau_w \frac{dw}{dt} = a(V - E_L) - w \quad (2)$$

$$\text{if } V \geq E^{\text{spike}} : \quad \begin{cases} V \rightarrow E^r \\ w \rightarrow w + b \end{cases}, \quad (3)$$

where C_m , g_L and E_L denote the membrane capacitance, leak conductance and leak potential, respectively, E_T and Δ_T represent the spike initiation thresh-

old and the threshold slope factor and τ_w and a represent the adaptation time constant and coupling parameter. When V reaches a certain threshold value E^{spike} , a spike is emitted and the membrane potential is reset to E^r . At the same time, the adaptation variable is increased by a fixed amount b , thereby allowing for spike-frequency adaptation. An absolute refractory mechanism is supported by clamping V to its reset value for the refractory time τ_{refrac} . The generated spikes are transmitted digitally to synapse drivers (analog multiplier), synapses (digital multiplier) and finally other neurons, where postsynaptic conductance courses are generated and summed up linearly, resulting in the synaptic current I^{syn} :

$$I^{\text{syn}} = \sum_{\text{synapses } i} g_i (E_i^{\text{rev}} - V) \quad (4)$$

$$\tau^{\text{syn}} \frac{dg_i}{dt} = -g_i + w_i^{\text{syn}} \sum_{\text{spikes } s} \delta(t - t_s) \quad (5)$$

Here, g_i represents the synaptic conductance and E_i^{rev} the synaptic reversal potential of the i -th synapse, τ^{syn} the time constant of the exponential decay and w^{syn} the synaptic weight. In the hardware implementation [Millner et al \(2010\)](#), each neuron features two of such synaptic input circuits, which are typically used for excitatory and inhibitory input. Nearly all parameters of the neuron model and the synaptic input circuits are individually adjustable by means of analog storage banks based on floating gate technology [Lande et al \(1996\)](#). In the hardware neuron, both the circuit for the adaption mechanism and the exponential term circuit can be effectively disconnected from the membrane capacitance, such that a simple Leaky Integrate-and-Fire (LIF) model can also be emulated. The hardware membrane capacitance is fixed to one of two possible values. As the parameters controlling the temporal dynamics of the neuron such as g_L and the time constants are configurable within a wide range, the hardware is able to run at a variable speedup factor ($10^3 - 10^5$) compared to biological real time. In particular, the translation of the membrane capacitance between the hardware and the biological domain can be chosen freely due to the independent configurability of both membrane and synaptic conductances, thereby effectively allowing the emulation of point neurons of arbitrary size - within the limits imposed by the hardware parameter ranges.

In contrast to neurons, where each parameter is fully configurable within the specified ranges, the *synaptic weights* are adjustable by a combination of analog and digital memories. The synaptic weight w^{syn} is proportional to a row-wise adjustable analog parameter g_{max} and to a 4-bit digital weight specific to each synapse.

The $g_{\max}$ of two adjacent rows can be configured to be a fixed multiple of each other. This way, two synapses of adjacent rows can be combined to offer a weight resolution of 8 bits, at the cost of halving the number of synapses for this synapse driver.

Long-term learning is incorporated in every synapse through spike-timing-dependent plasticity (STDP) [Bi and Poo \(1998\)](#). The implemented STDP mechanism follows a pairwise update rule with programmable update functions [Morrison et al \(2008\)](#). As STDP is not contained in the models investigated in this article (Sec. 3), we refer to [Brüderle et al \(2011\)](#); [Schemmel et al \(2006, 2007\)](#) for details on the hardware implementation and to [Pfeil et al \(2012\)](#) for an applicability study of these circuits.

In contrast to the long-term learning, the implemented *short-term plasticity* mechanism (STP) decays over several hundreds of milliseconds. It is motivated by the phenomenological model by [Markram et al \(1998\)](#) and depends only on the pre-synaptic activity, therefore being implemented in the synapse driver. For every incoming spike, a synapse only has access to a portion U of the recovered partition R of its total synaptic weight $w_{\max}^{\text{syn}}$, which then instantly decreases by a factor $1 - U$ and recovers slowly along an exponential with the time constant τ_{rec} , thus emulating synaptic depression. Facilitation is implemented by replacing the fixed U with a running variable u , which increases with every incoming spike by an amount $U(1 - u)$ and then decays exponentially back to U with the time constant τ_{facil} :

$$w_{n+1}^{\text{syn}} = w_{\max}^{\text{syn}} R_{n+1} u_{n+1} \quad (6)$$

$$R_{n+1} = 1 - [1 - R_n(1 - u_n)] \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta t}{\tau_{\text{rec}}}\right) \quad (7)$$

$$u_{n+1} = U + u_n(1 - U) \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta t}{\tau_{\text{facil}}}\right) \quad (8)$$

with Δt being the time interval between the n th and $(n + 1)$ st afferent spike. In contrast to the original Tsodyks-Markram (TSO) mechanism, the hardware implementation does not allow simultaneous depression and facilitation [Schemmel et al \(2008\)](#); [Bill et al \(2010\)](#). See Sec. S1.1 for details about the hardware implementation and the translation of the original model to the hardware STP.

All of the neuron and synapse parameters mentioned above are affected by fixed-pattern noise due to transistor-level mismatch in the manufacturing process. Additionally, the floating gate analog parameter storage reproduces the programmed voltage with a limited precision on each re-write. This leads to trial-to-trial variation for each experiment (see Sec. S1.3 for exemplary measurements). Limited configurability, such as the discretiza-




Fig. 3: Components and connectivity of the HICANN building block. The figure shows the upper block of the HICANN chip: most of the area is occupied by the synapse array with 256 columns and 224 rows. Each synapse column is connected to one of 256 neuron circuits, from which up to 64 can be interconnected to form larger neurons with up to 14336 input synapses. When a neuron fires, a 6-bit address representing this neuron is generated and injected into one of eight accessible horizontal buses after passing a merger stage. Via two statically configurable switches (crossbar resp. synapse driver switch) these pulses are routed to the synapse drivers, which operate two synapse rows each. Every synapse is configured to a specific 6-bit address, so that, when a pre-synaptic pulse with matching address arrives, a post-synaptic conductance course is generated at the associated neuron circuit. Both switch matrices are sparse, i.e. configurable switches do not exist at all crossings of horizontal and vertical lines, but e.g. only at every 8th crossing (Sparseness $S=8$). On the wafer, the horizontal and vertical buses, as well as the horizontal lines connected to the synapse drivers do not end at the HICANN borders, but go beyond them.

tion of available synaptic weights, is another source for discrepancy between targeted and realized configuration. The trial-to-trial variability, which cannot be remedied by calibration (Sec. 2.2), is assumed to be less than 30 % (standard-deviation-to-mean ratio) for synaptic weights. Other neuron parameters are assumed to have a much smaller variability. E_L , E_T , E^{rev} have a standard deviation of less than 1 mV in the biological domain (cf. Sec. S1.3 and 2.2). In this publication, we limit all investigations to the variation of synaptic weights, as they are assumed to be the dominant effect. To accommodate the total effect of trial-to-trial and fixed-pattern variation as well as parameter discretization, we simulate deviations of up to 50 % (cf. Sec. 2.4).

For technical details about the HICANN chip and its components we refer to [Schemmel et al \(2010, 2008\)](#).

2.1.2 Communication infrastructure

The infrastructure for pulse communication in the wafer-scale system is supplied by a two-layer approach: While the on-wafer network routes pulses between neurons on the same wafer, the off-wafer network connects the wafer to the outside world, i.e. to the host PC or to other wafers.

The backbone of the *on-wafer communication* consists of a grid of horizontal and vertical buses enabling the transport of action potentials by a mixture of time division and space division multiplexing. Each HICANN building block contains 64 horizontal buses at its center and 128 vertical buses located on each side of the synapse blocks, as can be seen in Fig. 3. A bus can carry the spikes of up to 64 source neurons by transmitting a serial 6-bit signal encoding the currently sending neuron (with an ID from 0 to 63). When a neuron fires, its pulse is first processed by one of eight priority encoders and finally injected into a horizontal bus after passing a merger stage. By enabling a static switch of a sparse crossbar between horizontal and vertical buses, the injected serial signal can be made available to a vertical bus next to the synapse array. Another sparse switch matrix allows to feed the signals from the vertical buses into the synapse array, more precisely into the synapse drivers which represent the data sinks of the routing network. Synapse drivers can be connected in a chain, forwarding their input to their top or bottom neighbours, thereby allowing to increase the number of synapse rows fed by the same routing bus. The bus lanes do not end at the HICANN border but run over the whole wafer by edge-connecting the HICANN building blocks (Fig. 2). We note that, due to electrotechnical reasons, the switches could not be implemented as full matrices, thus their sparseness was chosen as a compromise still providing maximum flexibility for implementing various neural network topologies Fieres et al (2008); Schemmel et al (2010). Both the sparseness of the switches and the limited number of horizontal and vertical buses represent a possible restriction for the connectivity of network models. If an emulated network requires a connectivity that exceeds the on-wafer bus capacity, some synapses will be impossible to map to the wafer and will therefore be lost.

Pulse propagation delays in the routing network are small, distance-dependent and not configurable: the time between spike detection and the onset of a post-synaptic potential (PSP) has been measured as 120 ns for a recurrent connection on a HICANN. The additional time needed to transmit a pulse across the entire wafer is typically less than 100 ns Schemmel et al (2008), hence the overall delay sums up to 1.2 - 2.2 ms in the biological

time domain, assuming a speedup factor of 10^4 . Also, in case of synchronous bursting of the neurons feeding one bus, some pulses are delayed with respect to others, as they are processed successively: A priority encoder handles the spikes of 64 hardware neurons with priority fixed by design. If several neurons have fired, the pulse of the neuron with highest priority is transmitted first to the connected horizontal bus. The priority encoder can process one pulse every two clock cycles (2×5 ns), leading to an additional delay for the pulses with lower priority. In rare cases some pulses may be completely discarded, e.g., when the total rate of all 64 neurons feeding one bus exceeds 10 kHz for longer than 6.4 ms (in biological real-time).

A hierarchical packet-based network provides the infrastructure for *off- and inter-wafer communication*. All HICANNs on the wafer are connected to the surrounding system and to other wafers via 12 pulse communication subgroups (PCS). Each PCS consists of one FPGA (Field Programmable Gate Array) and 4 ASICs (Application Specific Integrated Circuits) that were designed for high-bandwidth pulse-event communication (so-called Digital Network Chips or DNCs). Being the only communication link to/from the wafer, the off-wafer network also transports the configuration and control information for all the circuits on the wafer. As depicted in Fig. 2, the network is hierarchically organized: one FPGA is connected to four DNCs, each of which is connected to 8 HICANNs of a reticle. Each FPGA is also connected to the host PC and potentially to up to 4 other FPGAs. When used for pulse-event communication, an FPGA-DNC-HICANN connection supports a throughput of 40 Mevents/s Scholze et al (2011b) with a timing precision of 4 ns. In the biological time domain, this corresponds to monitoring the spikes of all 512 neurons on a HICANN firing with a mean rate of 8 Hz each with a resolution of 0.04 ms. The same bandwidth is available simultaneously in the opposite direction, allowing a flexible network stimulation with user-defined spiketrains. For each FPGA-DNC-HICANN connection there are 512 pulse addresses that have to be subdivided into blocks of 64 used for either stimulation or recording. For all technical details about the PCS, the FPGA design and the DNC, we refer to Scholze et al (2011a); Hartmann et al (2010); Scholze et al (2010).

Although the off-wafer communication interface allows the interconnection of multiple wafers, we restrict our studies here to the use of a single wafer.

2.2 Software framework

The utilized software stack Brüderle et al (2011) allows the user to define a network description and maps it to a hardware configuration.

The network definition is accomplished by using PyNN Davison et al (2008), a simulator-independent API (Application Programming Interface) to describe spiking neural network models. It can interface to several simulation platforms such as NEURON Hines et al (2009) or NEST Eppler et al (2008) as well as to neuromorphic hardware platforms Brüderle et al (2009); Galluppi et al (2010).

The mapping process Ehrlich et al (2010); Brüderle et al (2011) translates the PyNN description of the neural network structure, as well as its neuron and synapse models and parameters, in several steps into a neuromorphic device configuration. This translation is constrained by the architecture of the device and its available resources.

The first step of the mapping process is to allocate static structural neural network elements to particular neuromorphic components during the so-called *placement*. Subsequently, a *routing* step is executed for establishing connections in between the placed components. During the final *parameter transformation* step, all parameters of the network components (neurons and synapses) are translated into hardware parameters. First, the model parameters are transformed to the voltage and time domain of the hardware, taking into account the acceleration and the voltage range of 0 V to 1.8 V Müller et al (2010). Second, previously obtained *calibration* data is used to reduce mismatches between ideal neuromorphic circuitry behavior and real analogue signal hardware behavior.

The objective of the mapping process is to find a configuration of the hardware that best reproduces the neural network experiment specified in PyNN. The most relevant constraints are sketched in the following:

Each hardware neuron circuit has a limited number of 224 incoming synapses. By interconnecting several neuron circuits one can form “larger” neurons with more incoming synapses (Sec. 2.1.1), with the trade-off that the overall number of neurons is reduced. Still, each hardware synapse can not be used to implement a connection from an arbitrary neuron but only from a subset of neurons, namely the 64 source neurons whose pulses arrive at the corresponding synapse driver. For networks larger than 10 000 neurons it is the *limited number of inputs* to one HICANN that becomes even more restricting, as there are only 224 synapse drivers (cf. Fig. 3), yielding a maximum of 14366 different source neurons for all neurons that are placed to the

same HICANN. Hence, one objective of the mapping process is to reduce this number of source neurons per HICANN, thus increasing the number of realized synapses on the hardware. In general, this criterion is met when neurons with common pre-synaptic partners are placed onto the same HICANN and neurons with common targets inject their pulses into the same on-wafer routing bus.

All of the above, as well as the limited number of on-wafer routing resources (Sec. 2.1.2) make the mapping optimization an NP-hard problem. The used placement and routing algorithms, which improve upon the ones described in Brüderle et al (2011) and Fieres et al (2008) but are far from being optimal, can minimize the effect of these constraints only to a certain degree. Thus, depending on the network model size, its connectivity, and the choice of the mapping algorithms, *synapses are lost* during the mapping process; in other words, some synapses of a network defined in PyNN will be inexistent in the corresponding network emulated on the hardware. For an estimation of the amount of synapse loss, we first scaled all three benchmark models to sizes between 1000 and 100 000 neurons and mapped them onto the hardware using a simple, not optimized placement strategy. The results strongly depend on the size and the connectivity structure of the emulated network. In order to allow a comprehensive discussion within this study, we then used various placement strategies, sometimes optimizing the mapping by hand to minimize the synapse loss, or purposely using a wasteful allocation of resources to generate synapse loss.

2.3 Executable system specification (ESS)

The ESS is a detailed simulation of the hardware platform Ehrlich et al (2007); Brüderle et al (2011) that replicates the topology and dynamics of the communication infrastructure as well as the analog synaptic and neuronal components.

The simulation encompasses a numerical solution of the equations that govern the hardware neuron and synapse dynamics (Eq. 1 to 5) and a detailed reproduction of the digital communication infrastructure at the level of individual spike transmission in logical hardware modules. The ESS is a *specification* of the hardware in the sense that its configuration space faithfully maps the possible interconnection topologies, parameter limits, parameter discretization and shared parameters. Being executable, the ESS also covers dynamic constraints, such as the consecutive processing of spikes which can lead to spike time jitter or spike loss. Variations in the analog circuits due to production variations

are not simulated at transistor level but are rather artificially imposed on ideal hardware parameters. In this article, only synaptic weight noise is considered, as detailed in Sec. 2.4. All of this allows to simultaneously capture the complex dynamic behavior of the hardware and comply with local bandwidth limitations, while allowing relatively quick simulations due to the high level of abstraction. Simulations on the ESS can be controlled using PyNN (Sec. 2.2), similarly to any other PyNN-compatible back-end. Both for the real hardware and for the ESS, the mapping process translates a PyNN network into a device configuration, which is then used as an input for the respective back-end. One particular advantage of the ESS is that it allows access to state variables which can otherwise not be read out from the real hardware, such as the logging of lost or jittered time events.

2.4 Investigated distortion mechanisms

Reviewing the hardware and software components of the BrainScaleS wafer-scale system (Sec. 2.1 and 2.2) leaves us with a number of mechanisms that can affect or impede the emulation of neural network models:

- neuron and synapse models are cast into silicon and can not be altered after chip production
- limited ranges for neuron and synapse parameters
- discretized and shared parameters
- limited number of neurons and synapses
- restricted connectivity
- synapse loss due to non-optimal algorithms for NP-hard mapping
- parameter variations due to transistor level mismatch and limited re-write precision
- non-configurable pulse delays and jitter
- limited bandwidth for stimulation and recording of spikes

It is clear that, for all of the above distortion mechanisms, it is possible to find a corner case where network dynamics are influenced strongly. However, a few of these effects stand out: on one hand, they are of such fundamental nature to mixed-signal VLSI that they are likely to play some role in any similar neuromorphic device; on the other hand, they are expected to influence any kind of emulated network to some extent. We have therefore directed our focus towards these particular effects, which we summarize in the following. In order to allow general assessments, we investigate various magnitudes of these effects, also beyond the values we expect for our particular hardware implementation.

Neuron and synapse models While some network architectures employ relatively simple neuron and synapse models for analytical and/or numerical tractability, others rely on more complex components in order to remain more faithful to their biological archetypes. Such models may not allow a straightforward translation to those available on the hardware, requiring a certain amount of fitting. In our particular case, we search for parameters to Eq. 1 to 5 that best reproduce reproduce low-level dynamics (e.g. membrane potential traces for simple stimulus patterns) and then tweak these as to optimally reproduce high-level network behaviors. Additionally, further constraints are imposed by the parameter ranges permitted by the hardware (Tab. S1.1).

Synapse loss Above a certain network size or density, the mapping process may not be able to find enough hardware resources to realize every single synapse. We use the term “synapse loss” to describe this process, which causes a certain portion of synaptic connections to be lost after mapping. In a first stage, we model synapse loss as homogeneous, i.e., each synapse is deleted with a fixed probability between 0 and 50 %. To ease the analysis of distortions, we make an exception for synapses that mediate external input, since, in principle, they can be prioritized in the mapping process such that the probability of losing them practically vanishes. Ultimately however, the compensation methods designed for homogeneous synapse loss are validated against a concrete mapping scenario.

Non-configurable axonal delays Axonal delays on the wafer are not configurable and depend predominantly on the processing speed of digital spikes within one HICANN, but also on the physical distance of the neurons on the wafer. In all simulations, we assume a constant delay of 1.5 ms for all synaptic connections in the network, which represents an average of the expected delays when running the hardware with a speedup of 10^4 with respect to real time.

Synaptic weight noise As described in Sec. 2.1.1, the variation of synaptic weights is assumed to be the most significant source of parameter variation within the network. This is due to the coarser discretization (4-bit weight vs. 10 bit used for writing the analog neuron parameters) as well as the large number of available synapses, which prohibits the storage of calibration data for each individual synapse. The quality of the calibration only depends on the available time and number of parameter settings, while the trial-to-trial variability and the limited setting resolution remains. To restrict the

parameter space of the following investigations (Sec. 3), only the synaptic weights are assumed to be affected by noise. In both software and ESS simulations, we model this effect by drawing synaptic weights from a Gaussian centered on the target synaptic weight with a standard-deviation-to-mean-ratio between 0 and 50 %. Occasionally, this leads to excitatory synapses becoming inhibitory and vice versa, which can not happen on the hardware. Such weights are clipped to zero. Note that this effectively leads to an increase of the mean of the distribution, which however can be neglected, e.g., for 50 % noise the mean is increased by 0.425 %. For ESS simulations we assume a synaptic weight noise of 20 %, as test measurements on the hardware indicate that the noise level can not be reduced to below this number.

It has to be noted that the mechanism of distortion plays a role in the applicability of the compensation mechanisms. The iterative compensation in Eq. 18 is only applicable when the dominant distortion mechanism is fixed-pattern noise. The other compensation methods, which do not rely on any kind of knowledge of the fixed-pattern distribution, function independently of the distortion mode.

3 Hardware-induced distortions and compensation strategies

In the following, we analyze the effects of hardware-specific distortion mechanisms on a set of neuronal network models and propose adequate compensation mechanisms for restoring the original network dynamics. The aim of these studies is twofold. On one hand, we propose a generic workflow which can be applied for different neural network models regardless of the neuromorphic substrate, assuming it possesses a certain degree of configurability (Fig. 4). On the other hand, we seek to characterize the universality of the BrainScaleS neuromorphic device by assessing its capability of emulating very different large-scale network models with minimal, if any, impairment to their functionality.

In order to allow a comprehensive overview, the set of benchmark experiments is required to cover a broad range of possible network architectures, parameters and function modi. To this end, we have chosen three very different network models, each of which highlights crucial aspects of the biology-to-hardware mapping procedure and poses unique challenges for the hardware implementation. In order to facilitate the comparison between simulations of the original model and their hardware implementation, all experimental setups were implemented in PyNN, running the same set of instructions on either simulation back-end.




Fig. 4: **Schematic of the workflow used for studying and compensating hardware-induced distortions of network dynamics.** (1) A given network model is defined by providing suitable parameters (for its connectivity and components) and well-defined functionality criteria. (2) The distortion mechanisms that are expected to occur natively on the hardware back-end are implemented and studied individually in software simulations. (3) Compensation methods are designed and tested, with the aim of recovering the original network dynamics as determined by the functionality criteria. (4) The network model is run on the hardware (here: the ESS) without any compensation to evaluate the full effect of the combined distortion mechanisms. (5) The compensation methods are combined and applied to the hardware (here: the ESS) simulation in order to restore the original network dynamics.

For each of our benchmark models we define a set of specific well-quantifiable functionality criteria. These criteria are measured in software simulations of the ideal, i.e., undistorted network, which is then further referenced as the “original”.

Assuming that the broad range of hardware-specific distortion mechanisms affects various network parameters, their impact on these measures are investigated in software simulations and various changes to the model structure are proposed in order to recover the original functionality. The feasibility of these compensation methods is then studied for the BrainScaleS neuromorphic platform with the help of the ESS described in Sec. 2.3.

All software simulations were performed with NEST [Diesmann and Gewaltig \(2002\)](#) or Neuron [Hines and Carnevale \(2003\)](#).

3.1 Cortical layer 2/3 attractor memory

As our first benchmark, we have chosen an attractor network model of the cerebral cortex which exhibits characteristic and well-quantifiable dynamics, both at the single-cell level (membrane voltage UP and DOWN states) and for entire populations (gamma band oscillations, pattern completion, attentional blink). For this model, the mapping to the hardware was particularly challenging, due to the complex neuron and synapse models required by the original architecture on the one hand, as well as its dense connectivity on the other. In particular, we observed that the shape of synaptic conductances strongly affects the duration of the attractor states. As expected for a model with relatively large populations as functional units, it exhibits a pronounced robustness to synaptic weight noise. Homogeneous synapse loss, on the other hand, has a direct impact on single-cell dynamics, resulting in significant deviations from the expected high-level functionality, such as the attenuation of attentional blink. As a compensation for synapse loss, we suggest two methods: increasing the weights of the remaining synapses in order to maintain the total average synaptic conductance and reducing the size of certain populations and thereby decreasing the total number of required synapses. After mapping to the hardware substrate, synapse loss is not homogeneous, due to the different connectivity patterns of the three neuron types required by the model. However, we were able to apply a population-wise version of the suggested compensation methods and demonstrate their effectiveness in recovering the previously defined target functionality measures.

3.1.1 Architecture

As described in [Lundqvist et al \(2006\)](#) and [Lundqvist et al \(2010\)](#), this model (henceforth called L2/3 model) implements a columnar architecture [Mountcastle \(1997\)](#); [Buxhoeveden and Casanova \(2002\)](#). The connectivity is compliant with data from cat cerebral cortex connectivity [Thomson et al \(2002\)](#). The key aspect of the model is its modularity, which manifests itself on two levels. On a large scale, the simulated cortical patch is represented by a number N_{HC} of hypercolumns (HCs) arranged on a hexagonal grid. On a smaller scale, each HC is further subdivided into a number N_{MC} of minicolumns (MCs) [Mountcastle \(1997\)](#); [Buxhoeveden and Casanova \(2002\)](#). Such MCs should first and foremost be seen as functional units, and could, in biology, also be a group of distributed, but highly interconnected cells [Song et al \(2005\)](#); [Kampa et al \(2006\)](#); [Perin et al \(2011\)](#). In the model, each MC consists, in turn, of

30 pyramidal (PYR), 2 regular spiking non-pyramidal (RSNP) and 1 basket (BAS) cells [Peters and Sethares \(1997\)](#); [Markram et al \(2004\)](#). Within each MC, PYR neurons are mutually interconnected, with 25% connectivity, such that they will tend to be co-active and code for similar input.

The functional units of the network, the MCs, are connected in global, distributed patterns containing a set of MCs in the network (Fig. 5). Here the attractors, or patterns, contain exactly one MC from each HC. We have only considered the case of orthogonal patterns, which implies that no two attractors share any number of MCs. Due to the mutual excitation within an attractor, the network is able to perform pattern completion, which means that whenever a subset of MCs in an attractor is activated, the activity tends to spread throughout the entire attractor.

Pattern rivalry results from competition between attractors mediated by short and long-range connections via inhibitory interneurons. Each HC can be viewed as a soft winner-take-all (WTA) module which normalizes activity among its constituent MCs [Lundqvist et al \(2010\)](#). This is achieved by the inhibitory BAS cells, which receive input from the PYR cells from the 8 closest MCs and project back onto the PYR cells in all the MCs within the home HC. Apart from providing long-range connections to PYR cells within the same pattern, the PYR cells within an MC project onto RSNP cells in all the MCs which do not belong to the same pattern and do not lie within the same HC. The inhibitory RSNP cells, in turn, project onto the PYR cells in their respective MC. The effect of this connectivity is a disinaptic inhibition between competing patterns. Fig. 5 shows a schematic of the default architecture, emphasizing the connectivity pattern described above. It consists of $N_{\text{HC}} = 9$ HCs, each containing $N_{\text{MC}} = 9$ MCs, yielding a total of 2673 neurons. Due to its modular structure, this default model can easily be scaled up or down in size with preserved dynamics, as described in the Supplement (Sec. S2.4).

When a pattern receives enough excitation, its PYR cells enter a state reminiscent of a so-called local UP-state [Cossart et al \(2003\)](#), which is characterized by a high average membrane potential, several mV above its rest value, and elevated firing rates. Pattern rivalry leads to states where only one attractor may be active (with all its PYR cells in an UP-state) at any given time. Inter-PYR synapses feature an STP mechanism which weakens the mutual activation of PYR cells over time and prevents a single attractor from becoming persistently active. Additionally, PYR neurons exhibit spike-frequency adaptation, which also suppresses prolonged firing. These mechanisms impose a finite life-




Fig. 5: **Pseudo-3D schematic of the layer 2/3 model architecture.** Excitatory (PYR) cell populations are represented as red cylinders, inhibitory populations as blue ones (BAS: dark, RSNP: light). A minicolumn (MC) consists of three vertically aligned populations: one PYR, one BAS and one RSNP. Multiple MCs are grouped into hypercolumns (HCs, transparent cylinders). MCs with the same ID (one per HC) form a so-called pattern or attractor. When active, all PYR cells belonging to an attractor excite each other via short-range (within an MC) and long-range (between MCs) connections. The inhibition of PYR cells belonging to other attractors occurs via inhibitory interneurons: locally (within an HC) through BAS cells and globally (between HCs) through RSNP cells. Only a subset of connections are shown, namely those which are mainly used during active periods of attractor 1.

time on the attractors such that after their termination more weakly stimulated or less excitable attractors can become active, in contrast to what happens in classical WTA networks.

The inputs to the layer 2/3 PYR cells arrive from the cortical layer 4, which is represented by 5 cells per MC. The layer 4 cells project onto the layer 2/3 PYR cells and can be selectively activated by external Poisson spike trains. Additionally, the network receives unspecific input representing activity in various connected cortical areas outside the modeled patch. This input is modeled as diffuse noise and generates a background activity of several Hz.

More details on the model architecture, as well as neuron and synapse parameters, can be found in the Supplement (Sec. S2.1).

3.1.2 Functionality criteria

Fig. 6 shows some characteristic dynamics of the L2/3 model, which have also been chosen as functionality criteria and are described below.

The core functionality of the original model is easily identifiable by its distinctive display of spontaneously activating attractors in e.g. raster plots (A) or voltage star plots (D, for an explanation of star plots see

Sec. S2.8). However, in particular for large network sizes, spontaneous attractors become increasingly sparse. Additionally, many further indicators of functionality can be found, such as the average membrane potential or the gamma oscillations observed in UP states. Finally, when receiving L4 stimulation in addition to the background noise, the original model displays important features such as pattern completion and attentional blink, which need to be reproducible on the hardware as well. Consequently, we consider several measures of functionality throughout our analyses.

When an attractor becomes active, it remains that way for a characteristic dwell time τ_{on} . The dwell time depends strongly on the neuron and synapse parameters (as will be discussed in the following sections) and only weakly on the network size (C, F), since the scaling rules ensure a constant average fan-in for each neuron type. Conversely, this makes τ_{on} sensitive to hardware-induced variations in the average synaptic input. The detection of active attractors is performed automatically using the spike data (for a description of the algorithm, see Sec. S2.5).

We describe the periods between active attractors as competition phases and the time spent therein as the total competition time. The competition time varies strongly depending on the network size (H). One can observe that the competition time is a monotonically increasing function of both N_{HC} and N_{MC} . For an increasing number of HCs, i.e., a larger number of neurons in every pattern, the probability of a spontaneous activation of a sufficiently large number of PYR cells decreases. For an increasing number of MCs per HC, there is a larger number of competing patterns, leading to a reduced probability of any single pattern becoming dominant.

When an attractor becomes active, the average spike rate of its constituent PYR cells rises sharply and then decays slowly until the attractor becomes inactive again (J). Two independent mechanisms are the cause of this decay: neuron adaptation and synaptic depression. The characteristic time course of the spike rate depends only weakly on the size of the network.

As described in Sec. 3.1.1, PYR cells within active attractors enter a so-called local UP state, with an increased average membrane potential and an elevated firing rate (K). While inactive or inhibited by other active attractors, PYR cells are in a DOWN state, with low average membrane potential and almost no spiking at all (L). In addition to these characteristic states, the average PYR membrane potential exhibits oscillations with a period close to τ_{on} . These occur because the activation probability of individual attractors is an oscillatory function of time as well. In the immediate temporal




Fig. 6: Comparison between original and adapted L2/3 network models. Unless explicitly stated otherwise, the default network model (9HC×9MC) was used. Measurements from the original model are depicted (or highlighted) in red, while those from the adapted model are depicted (or highlighted) in blue. **(A, B)**: Raster plots of spiking activity. Attractors activate spontaneously only due to diffuse background noise. Only PYR cells are shown. The MCs are ordered such that those belonging to the same attractor (and *not* those within the same HC) are grouped together. **(C)**: Attractor dwell time distributions. The shorter average dwell times in the adapted model are caused by sharper PSPs which miss the long NMDA time constants. **(D, E)**: Star plots of average PYR cell voltages from a sample of 5 PYR cells per MC. Details on this representation of multidimensional data can be found in Sec. S2.8. **(F, G)**: Average dwell time for various network sizes. **(H, I)**: Fraction of time spent in competitive states (i.e. no active attractors) for various network sizes. While dwell times remain relatively constant, competition times increase with network size, suppressing spontaneous attractors in large networks. **(J)**: Average firing rate of PYR within an active period of their parent attractor. **(K)**: Average voltage of PYR cells before, during and after their parent attractor is active (UP state). **(L)**: Average voltage of PYR cells before, during and after an attractor they do not belong to is active (DOWN state). For subplots **J, K** and **L**, the abscissa has been subdivided into multiples of the average attractor dwell time in the respective simulations. The oscillations of the average voltages occur due to spike-triggered adaptation: after an active period, PYR cells need to recover before being able to support an active period of their home attractor, during which time they are inhibited by other active attractors. The more pronounced attenuation of the oscillations in the adapted model happens due to a higher relative variability of dwell times (compare subplot **C**). In subplots **K** and **L** the dotted line indicates the leak potential E_L of the PYR cells. **(M)**: Smoothed power spectrum of PYR firing rate averaged over all MCs. The grey curve in the background represents the unsmoothed spectral density for the original model. Attractor switches (≈ 2 Hz) and gamma oscillations (≈ 25 Hz) can be clearly observed. **(N)**: Pattern completion in a 25HC×25MC network. Estimated probability of an attractor to fully activate (success ratio) as a function of the number of stimulated constituent MCs, measured over 25 trials per abscissa value. **(O, P)**: Attentional blink in a 25HC×25MC network. Two attractors are stimulated (the second one only partially, i.e. a certain number of constituent MCs) with a temporal lag of ΔT in between. Activation probability of the second attractor and $p = 0.5$ iso-probability contours, measured over 14 trials per $(\Delta T, \#MCs)$ pair. A detailed description of the data and methods used for all figures concerning the L2/3 model can be found in Sec. S2.1 to S2.7.

vicinity of an active period (i.e., assuming an activation at $t = 0$, during $[-\tau_{\text{on}}, 0) \cup [\tau_{\text{on}}, 2\tau_{\text{on}})$) the same attractor must have been inactive, since PYR populations belonging to an activated attractor need time to recuperate from synaptic depression and spike-triggered adaptation before being able to activate again.

An essential emerging feature of this model are oscillations of the instantaneous PYR spike rate in the gamma band within active attractors (**M**). The frequency of these oscillations are independent of size and rather depend on excitation levels in the network [Lundqvist et al \(2010\)](#). Although the gamma oscillations might suggest periodic spiking, it is important to note that individual PYR cells spike irregularly ($\langle \text{CV}_{\text{ISI}} \rangle = 1.36 \pm 0.36$ within active attractors).

Apart from these statistical measures, two behavioral properties are essential for defining the functionality of the network: the pattern completion and attentional blink mentioned above. The pattern completion ability of the network can be described as the successful activation probability of individual patterns as a function of the number of stimulated MCs (**N**). Similarly, the attentional blink phenomenon can also be quantified by the successful activation rate of an attractor as a function of the number of stimulated MCs if it is preceded by the activation of some other attractor with a time lag of ΔT (**O**). For small ΔT , the second attractor is completely “blinked out”, i.e., it can not be activated regardless of the number of stimulated MCs. To facilitate the comparison between different realizations of the network with respect to attentional blink, we consider the 50% iso-line, which represents the locus of the input variable pair which leads to an attractor activation ratio of 50%. These functional properties are easiest to observe in large networks, where spontaneous attractors are rare and do not interfere with stimulated ones.

A detailed description of the data and methods used for these figures can be found in the Supplement (Sec. [S2.1](#) to Sec. [S2.7](#)).

3.1.3 Neuron and synapse model translation

A particular feature of this benchmark model is the complexity of both neuron and synapse models used in its original version. Therefore, the first required type of compensation concerns the parameter fitting for the models implemented on the hardware. Some exemplary results of this parameter fit can be seen in Fig. 7. More details can be found in the Supplement (Sec. [S2.2](#)).

Neurons In general, the typical membrane potential time course during a spike of a Hodgkin-Huxley neuron can be well approximated by the exponential term




Fig. 7: Comparison of original and fitted neuron and synapse dynamics: Original neuron (multi-compartment HH) and synapse (NMDA+AMPA) dynamics are shown in red, the fitted dynamics of hardware-compatible neuron (point AdEx) and synapse (single decay time constant) models in blue. **(A)** Membrane potential of PYR cells under spike-inducing current stimulation. While the precise membrane potential time course of the original neuron model can not be reproduced by a single-compartment AdEx neuron, spike timing and especially firing rates can be recovered. **(B)** PSPs generated by PYR→PYR synapses between MCs where the spikes from **A** were used as input. As a replacement for the multiple synaptic time constants in the original model, we have chosen an intermediate value for τ^{syn} , which constitutes the main reason for the difference in PSP shapes. Additionally, the combination of STP and saturation in the original model had to be replaced by STP alone.

in the AdEx equation [Brette and Gerstner \(2005\)](#). However, when fitting for spike timing, we found that spike times were best reproduced when eliminating the exponential term, i.e. setting $\Delta_T = 0$.

Adaptation is an essential feature of both the PYR and the RSNP cells in the original model, where it is generated by voltage-dependent K_{Ca} channels. We were able to reproduce the correct equilibrium spike frequency by setting the AdEx adaptation parameters a and b to nonzero values. One further difference resides in the original neurons being modeled as having several compartments, whereas the hardware only implements point neurons. The passive neuron properties (membrane capacitances and leak conductances) were therefore determined by fitting the membrane potential time course under stimulation by a step current which was not strong enough to elicit spikes.

Synapses We have performed an initial estimation of synaptic weights and time constants by fitting the membrane potential time course of the corresponding neurons in a subthreshold regime. However, two important differences remain between the synapses in the original model and the ones available on our hardware.

In the original model, PYR-PYR and PYR-RSNP synapses contain two types of neurotransmitters: Kainate/AMPA and NMDA (see Tab. [S2.2](#)). Due to the vastly different time constants for neurotransmitter removal at the postsynaptic site (6 ms and 150 ms, respectively), the PSPs have a characteristic shape, with

a pronounced peak and a long tail (red curve in Fig. 7 B). While, in principle, the HICANN supports several excitatory time constants per neuron (Sec. 2.1.1), the PyNN API as well as the mapping process support only one excitatory time constant per neuron. With this limitation the PSP shape can not be precisely reproduced.

One further difference lies in the saturating nature of the postsynaptic receptor pools after a single presynaptic spike. In principle, this behavior could be emulated by the TSO plasticity mechanism by setting $U = 1$ and $\tau_{\text{rec}} = \tau^{\text{syn}}$. However, this would conflict with the TSO parameters required for modeling short-term depression of PYR synapses and would also require parameters outside the available hardware ranges.

For these reasons, we have further modified synaptic weights and time constants by performing a behavioral fit, i.e., by optimizing these parameters towards reproducing the correct firing rates of the three neuron types in two scenarios - first without and then subsequently with inhibitory synapses. Because the original model was characterized by relatively long and stable attractors, we further optimized the excitatory synapse time constants towards this behavior.

Post-fit model behavior Fig. 6 shows the results of the translation of the original model to hardware-compatible dynamics and parameter ranges. Overall, one can observe a very good qualitative agreement of characteristic dynamics with the original model. In the following, we discuss this in more detail and explain the sources of quantitative deviations.

When subject to diffuse background noise only, the default size network clearly exhibits its characteristic spontaneous attractors (B). Star plots exhibit the same overall traits, with well-defined attractors, characterized by state space trajectories situated close to the axes and low trajectory velocities within attractors (E). Attractor dwell times remain relatively stable for different network sizes, while the competition times increase along with the network size (G and I). The average value of dwell times, however, lies significantly lower than in the original (C). The reason for this lies mainly in the shape of EPSPs: the long EPSP tails enabled by the large NMDA time constants in the original model caused a higher average membrane potential, thereby prolonging the activity of PYR cells.

Within attractors, active and inactive PYR cells enter well-defined local UP and DOWN states, respectively (K and L). Before and after active attractors, the dampened oscillations described in Sec. 3.1.2 can be observed. In the adapted model, attenuation is stronger due to a higher coefficient of variation of the dwell times ($\frac{\sigma}{\mu} = 0.20$ as compared to 0.08 in the original model).

Average PYR firing rates within active attractors have very similar time courses (J), with a small difference in amplitude, which can be attributed to the difference in EPSP shapes discussed earlier. Both low-frequency switches between attractors (< 3 Hz, equivalent to the incidence rate) and high-frequency gamma oscillations arising from synchronous PYR firing (with a peak around 25 Hz) can be clearly seen in a power spectrum of the PYR firing rate (M).

Pattern completion occurs similarly early, with a steep rise and nearly 100% success rate starting at 25% of stimulated MCs per attractor (N). Attentional blink follows the same qualitative pattern (P, Q), although with a slightly more pronounced dominance of the first activated attractor in the case of the adapted network, which happens due to the slightly higher firing rates discussed above.

Having established the quality of the model fit and in order to facilitate a meaningful comparison, all following studies concerning hardware-induced distortions and compensation thereof use data from the adapted model as reference.

3.1.4 Synapse loss

The effects of homogeneous synapse loss and the results of the attempted compensation are depicted in Fig. 8. More detailed plots can be found in the Supplement (Fig. S2.3).

Effects With increasing synapse loss, the functionality of the network gradually deteriorates. Attractors become shorter or disappear entirely, with longer periods of competition in between (D, K, O).

While average excitatory conductances are only affected linearly by synaptic loss, inhibitory conductances feel a compound effect of synapse loss, as it affects both afferent and efferent connections of inhibitory interneurons. Therefore, synapse loss has a stronger effect on inhibition, leading to a net increase in the average PYR membrane potential (R, S). Additionally, since all connections become weaker, the variance of the membrane potential becomes smaller, as observed in the corresponding star plots as well (E). The weaker connections also decrease the self-excitation of active attractors while decreasing the inhibition of inactive ones, thereby leading to shorter attractor dwell times (P). Somewhat surprisingly, the maximum average PYR firing rate in active attractors remains almost unchanged when subjected to synapse loss. However, the temporal evolution of the PYR firing rate changes significantly (Q).

The pattern completion ability of the network suffers particularly in the region of weak stimuli, due to weaker internal excitation of individual attractors. The probability of triggering a partially stimulated pattern can drop by more than 50% (**T**). Due to the decreased stability of individual attractors discussed above, rival attractors are easier to excite, thereby significantly suppressing the attentional blink phenomenon (**U**).

Compensation As a first-order approximation, we can consider the population average of the neuron conductance as the determining factor in the model dynamics. For synapses with exponential conductance courses, the average conductance generated by the i th synapse is proportional to both synaptic weight w_{ij} and afferent firing rate ν_j . Because conductances sum up linearly, the total conductance that a neuron from population i receives from some other population j is, on average (see Eq. S2.6)

$$\langle g \rangle = N_j p_{ij} \langle w_{ij} \rangle \langle \nu_j \rangle \tau^{\text{syn}} \quad , \quad (9)$$

where N_j represents the size of the presynaptic population and p_{ij} represents the probability of a neuron from the presynaptic population to project onto a neuron from the postsynaptic population. Since homogeneous synapse loss is equivalent to a decrease in p_{ij} , we can compensate for synapse loss that occurs with probability p_{loss} by increasing the weights of the remaining synapses by a factor $1/(1 - p_{\text{loss}})$. Fig. 8 shows the results of this compensation strategy for $p_{\text{loss}} = 0.5$. In all aspects, a clear improvement can be observed. The remaining deviations can be mainly attributed to two effects. First of all, preserving the average conductance by compensating homogeneous synapse loss with increasing synaptic weights leads to an increase in the variance of the membrane potential (Eq. S2.5). Secondly, finite population sizes coupled with random elimination of synapses lead to locally inhomogeneous synapse loss and further increase the variability of neuronal activity.

Instead of compensating for synapse loss after its occurrence, it is also possible to circumvent it altogether after having estimated the expected synapse loss in a preliminary mapping run. For the L2/3 model, this can be done without altering the number of functional units (i.e., the number of HCs and MCs) by changing the size of the PYR cell populations. For this approach, however, the standard scaling rules (Sec. S2.4) need to be modified. These rules are designed to keep the average number of inputs per neuron constant and would increase the total number of PYR-incident synapses by the same factor by which the PYR population is scaled. This would inevitably lead to an increased number of

shared inputs per PYR cell, with the immediate consequence of increased firing synchrony. Instead, when reducing the PYR population size, we compensate for the reduced number of presynaptic partners by increasing relevant synaptic weights instead of connection probabilities. This modified downscaling leads to a net reduction of the total number of synapses in the network, thereby potentially reducing synaptic loss between all populations. Fig. 8 shows the effects of scaling down the PYR population size until the total remaining number of synapses is equal to the realized number of synapses in the distorted case (50% of the total number of synapses in the undistorted network). More detailed plots of the effects of PYR population downscaling can be found in Fig. S2.4. The two presented compensation methods can also be combined to further improve the final result, as we show in Sec. 3.1.7.

3.1.5 Synaptic weight noise

One would not expect the synaptic weight noise to affect the L2/3 model strongly, as it should average out over a large number of connections between the constituent populations. It turns out that the surprisingly strong impact of synaptic weight noise is purely due to the implementation of background stimulus in this model and can therefore be easily countered.

Effects The relative deviation of the total synaptic conductance scales with $\langle g \rangle / \text{Var}[g] \sim 1/\sqrt{\nu_{\text{input}}} \sim 1/\sqrt{N}$ (see Eq. S2.5), where ν_{input} is the total input frequency and N the number of presynaptic neurons. Therefore, interactions between large populations are not expected to be strongly affected by synaptic weight noise.

The only connections where an effect is expected are the RSNP→PYR connections, because the presynaptic RSNP population consists of only 2 neurons per MC. However, long-range inhibition also acts by means of a second-order mechanism, in which an active MC activates its counterpart in some other HC, which then in turn inhibits all other MCs in its home HC via BAS cells. This mechanism masks much of how synaptic weight noise affects RSNP→PYR connections.

Nevertheless, synaptic weight noise appears to have a strong effect on network dynamics (Fig. 9, red curves). The reason for that lies in the way the network is stimulated. In the original model, each PYR cell receives input from a single Poisson source. This is of course a computational simplification and represents diffuse noise arriving from many neurons within other cortical areas. However, having only a single noise source connected by a single synapse to the target neuron makes the network highly sensitive to synaptic weight noise (see Sec. S2.11).




Fig. 8: Compensation of homogeneous synaptic loss in the L2/3 model. Unless explicitly stated otherwise, the default network model (9HCx9MC) was used. Here, we use the following color code: blue for the original model, red for the distorted case (50% synapse loss), green for the compensation via increased synaptic weights and purple for the compensation by scaling down the size of the PYR populations. **(A - D)** Raster plots of spiking activity. The MCs are ordered such that those belonging to the same attractor (and *not* those within the same HC) are grouped together. Synapse loss weakens the interactions within and among MCs, causing shorter dwell times and longer competition times. Both compensation methods successfully counter these effects. These phenomena can also be observed in subplots **H-P**. **(E - G)** Star plots of average PYR membrane voltages from a sample of 5 PYR cells per MC. Synapse loss leads to a less pronounced difference between the average PYR membrane potential within and outside of active attractors. After compensation, the differences between UP and DOWN states become more pronounced again. These phenomena can also be observed in subplots **R** and **S**. **(H - K)** Average dwell time for various network sizes. **(L - O)** Fraction of time spent in competitive states (i.e. no active attractors) for various network sizes. **(P)** Distributions of dwell times. **(Q)** Average firing rate of PYR cells within an active period of their parent attractor. **(R)** Average voltage of PYR cells before, during and after their parent attractor is active (UP state). **(S)** Average voltage of PYR cells before, during and after an attractor they do not belong to is active (DOWN state). For subplots **Q**, **R** and **S**, the abscissa has been subdivided into multiples of the average attractor dwell time in the respective simulations. In subplots **R** and **S** the dotted line indicates the leak potential E_L of the PYR cells. **(T)** Pattern completion in a 25HCx25MC network. Estimated activation probability from 25 trials per abscissa value. Synapse loss shifts the curve to the right, i.e., more MCs need to be stimulated to achieve the same probability of activating their parent attractor. Both compensation methods restore the original behavior to a large extent. **(U)** Attentional blink in a 25HCx25MC network: $p = 0.5$ iso-probability contours, measured over 14 trials per $(\Delta T, \#MCs)$ pair. Synapse loss suppresses attentional blink, as inhibition from active attractors becomes weak to prevent the activation of other stimulated attractors. Compensation by increasing the weight of the remaining synapses alleviates this effect, but scaling down the PYR population sizes directly reduces the percentage of lost synapses and is therefore more effective in restoring attentional blink.

Compensation The compensation for this effect was done by increasing the number of independent noise sources per neuron, thereby reducing the statistically expected relative noise conductance variations per PYR cell. The only limitation lies in the total number of available external spike sources and the bandwidth supplied by the off-wafer communication network (Sec. 2.1.2). Once this limit is reached, the number of noise inputs per PYR

cell can still be increased even further if PYR cells are allowed to share noise sources. Given a total number of available Poisson sources N and a noise population size of n sources per PYR cell, the average pairwise overlap between two such populations is n^2/N . Therefore, as long as the average overlap remains small enough, the overlap-induced spike correlations will not affect the network dynamics.




Fig. 9: Compensation of synaptic weight noise in the L2/3 model. Unless explicitly stated otherwise, the default network model (9HC×9MC) was used. Here, we use the following color code: blue for the original model, red for the distorted case (50% synaptic weight noise), green for the compensation via multiple background sources per PYR cell and purple for the same compensation method, but with scaled down PYR populations. Altogether, we note that the observed effects happen almost exclusively due to each PYR cell receiving background input via a single synapse. When compensated via the inclusion of multiple background sources, the network exhibits remarkable robustness towards synaptic weight noise. (A - D) Raster plots of spiking activity. The MCs are ordered such that those belonging to the same attractor (and *not* those within the same HC) are grouped together. When each PYR cell has a single background source, high levels of synaptic weight noise cause some PYR cells to become completely silent, while others spike disproportionately often. This can completely disrupt the stability of attractors, resulting in largely random spiking, with long competition times between the occasional appearance of weak, unstable attractors. The inclusion of multiple background sources per PYR cell efficiently counters these effects. This compensation strategy works just as well for downscaled PYR populations. The phenomena described above can also be observed in subplots H-P. (E - G) Star plots of average PYR voltages from a sample of 5 PYR cells per MC. The disrupted attractor behavior and erratic PYR spiking result in weak fluctuations of average PYR voltages with essentially no clear UP or DOWN states. After compensation, the differences between UP and DOWN states become more pronounced again. These phenomena can also be observed in subplots R and S. (H - K) Average dwell time for various network sizes. (L - O) Fraction of time spent in competitive states (i.e. no active attractors) for various network sizes. (P) Distributions of dwell times. (Q) Average firing rate of PYR cells within an active period of their parent attractor. (R) Average voltage of PYR cells before, during and after their parent attractor is active (UP state). (S) Average voltage of PYR cells before, during and after an attractor they do not belong to is active (DOWN state). For subplots Q, R and S, the abscissa has been subdivided into multiples of the average attractor dwell time in the respective simulations. In subplots R and S the dotted line indicates the leak potential E_L of the PYR cells. (T) Pattern completion in a 25HC×25MC network. Estimated activation probability from 25 trials per abscissa value. Due to erratically firing PYR cells in the distorted network, much stronger stimulation is needed to guarantee the appearance of an attractor. Compensation restores the original behavior to a large extent. (U) attentional blink in a 25HC×25MC network: $p = 0.5$ iso-probability contours, measured over 14 trials per $(\Delta T, \#MCs)$ pair. Due to the highly unstable attractors in the distorted network, attentional blink is completely suppressed. Compensation restores blink, but not to its original strength, due to the synaptic weight noise within the network itself.

In our example (Fig. 9, green curves), we have chosen $n = 100$, while the total number of Poisson sources is set at $N = 5000$. Note how this relatively simple compensation method efficiently restores most functionality criteria. The most significant remaining differences can be seen in pattern completion and attentional blink (T, U) and appear mainly due to the affected RSNP→PYR connections.

In addition to the investigation of synaptic weight noise on the default model, we repeated the same experiments for the model with reduced PYR population sizes (Fig. 9, purple curves), which we have previously suggested as a compensation method for synaptic weight noise (Sec. 3.1.4). The fact that PYR population reduction does not affect the network functionality in the case of (compensated) synaptic weight noise is an early indicator for the compatibility of the suggested compensation methods when all distortion mechanisms are present (Sec. 3.1.7).

3.1.6 Non-configurable axonal delays

In the original model, axonal delays between neurons are proportional to the distance between their home HCs. At an axonal spike propagation velocity of 0.2 m/ms, the default (9HC×9MC) network implements axonal delays distributed between 0.5 and 8 ms. While PYR cells within an MC tend to spike synchronously in gamma waves, the distribution of axonal delays reduces synchronicity between spike volleys of different MCs.

Fixed delays, on the other hand, promote synchronicity, thereby inducing subtle changes to the network dynamics (Fig. 10). The synchronous arrival of excitatory spike volleys causes PYR cells in active attractors to spike more often (A). Their higher firing rate in turn causes shorter attractor dwell times, due to their spike frequency adaptation mechanism (B, C, F). During an active attractor, the elevated firing rate of its constituent PYR cells causes a higher firing rate of the inhibitory interneurons belonging to all other attractors. This, in turn, leads to a lower membrane potential for PYR cells during inactive periods of their parent attractor (G, H). As these effects are not fundamentally disruptive and also difficult to counter without significantly changing other functional characteristics of the network, we chose not to design a compensation strategy for this distortion mechanism in the L2/3 network.

3.1.7 Full simulation of combined distortion mechanisms

In a final step, we emulate the L2/3 model on the ESS (Sec. 2.3), and compensate simultaneously for all




Fig. 10: Effects of fixed axonal delays on the L2/3 model. Unless explicitly stated otherwise, the default network model (9HC×9MC) was used. Data from the regular and distorted models is depicted (or highlighted) in blue, and red, respectively. (A) Average firing rate of PYR cells within an active period of their parent attractor. (B, C) Average dwell time for various network sizes. (D, E) Fraction of time spent in competitive states (i.e. no active attractors) for various network sizes. (F) Distributions of dwell times. (G) Average voltage of PYR cells before, during and after their parent attractor is active (UP state). (H) Average voltage of PYR cells before, during and after an attractor they do not belong to is active (DOWN state). For subplots A, G and H, the abscissa has been subdivided into multiples of the average attractor dwell time in the respective simulations. In subplots G and H the dotted line indicates the leak potential E_L of the PYR cells.

of the effects discussed above. We first investigate how much synapse loss to expect for different network sizes, and then realize the network at two different scales in order to investigate all of the chosen functionality criteria. The default network (9HC×9MC) is used to analyze spontaneous attractors, while a large-scale model (25HC×25MC) serves as the test substrate for pattern completion and pattern rivalry.

Synapse loss The synapse loss after mapping the L2/3 model onto the BrainScaleS hardware is shown in Fig. 11 for different sizes, using the scaling rules defined in Sec. S2.4. Synapse loss starts to occur already at small sizes and increases rapidly above network sizes of 20 000 neurons. The jumps can be attributed to the different ratios between number of HCs and number of MCs per HC (Tab. S2.10).

Small-scale model The default model (9HC×9MC) can, in principle, be mapped onto the hardware without any synapse loss if the full wafer is available for use. Nevertheless, in some scenarios, a full wafer might not be




Fig. 11: Synapse Losses after mapping the L2/3 model.

available, due to faulty components or part of its area being used for emulating other parts of a larger parent network. We simulate this scenario by limiting the usable wafer area to 4 reticles (out of a total of 48 on the full wafer). With the reduced available hardware size, the available pulse bandwidth of the off-wafer communication network decreases as well, such that diffusive background noise can not be modeled with one individual Poisson source per neuron. Hence, each pyramidal neuron receives input from 9 out of 2430 background sources. The total synapse loss for the given network setup amounts to 22.2 % and affects different projection types with varying strength (Tab. 1). Also external synapses are lost, since, in contrast to the synapse loss study (Sec. 3.1.4), they have not been prioritized in the mapping process in this case. Additionally, we applied 20 % synaptic weight noise and simulated the network with a speedup factor of 12000. The behavior on the ESS is shown in Fig. 12. The distorted network shows no spontaneous attractors (C), which can be mainly attributed to the loss of over 32 % of the background synapses. To recover the original network behavior, we first increased the number of background neurons per cell from 9 to 50 to compensate for synaptic weight noise, and also scaled the weights by $1/(1 - p_{\text{loss}})$ for each projection type with extracted synapse loss values p_{loss} (Tab. 1), following the synapse loss compensation method described in Sec. 3.1.4. Note that here the complete PyNN experiment is re-run: synaptic weights are scaled in the network definition leading to a new configuration of g_{max} and the digital weights on the HICANNs (Sec. 2.1.1) after the mapping process. These measures effectively restored the attractor characteristics of the network (Fig. 12). The attractor dwell times remained a bit smaller than for the regular network (G), which can be ascribed to the non-configurable delays (Sec. 3.1.6).

Large-scale model The ability of the network to perform pattern completion and exhibit pattern rivalry was tested on the ESS for the large-scale model with

Table 1: Projection-wise synapse loss of the L2/3 model after the mapping process

projection	9HC×9MC		25HC×25MC	
	dist.	comp.	dist.	comp.
PYR → PYR (local)	21.1	21.0	0.9	0.3
PYR → PYR (global)	20.8	21.2	8.0	0.4
PYR → RSNP	22.6	21.9	37.0	28.8
PYR → BAS	8.2	7.6	15.0	0.2
BAS → PYR	23.3	39.4	0.5	0.2
RSNP → PYR	22.7	39.9	0.0	3.9
L4 → PYR	44.1	45.4	15.5	2.3
background → PYR	32.3	31.3	17.3	1.3
total	22.2	25.2	17.9	9.8

Projection-wise synapse loss in % for the default (9HC×9MC) and large-scale (25HC×25MC) network. See text for the respective differences between the distorted (dist.) and compensated (comp.) networks.

25 HCs and 25 MCs per HC. From the start, we use a background pool with 5000 Poisson sources and 100 sources per neuron to model the diffusive background noise, as used for the compensation of synaptic weight noise (Fig. 9). As with the small-scale network, the synapse loss of 17.9 % shows significant heterogeneity (Tab. 1), and affects mainly projections from PYR to inhibitory cells, but also connections from the background and L4 stimulus. In contrast to the idealized case in Sec. 3.1.4, where each synapse is deleted with a given probability, the synapse loss here happens for entire projections at the same time, i.e. all synapses between two populations are either realized completely or not at all. We note that the realization of all PYR-RSNP synapses is a priori impossible, as each RSNP cell has $24 \times 24 \times 30 = 17280$ potential pre-synaptic neurons (cf. scaling rules in Sec. S2.4), which is more than the maximum possible number of pre-synaptic neurons per HICANN (14336, see Sec. 2.1.1). The simulation results with 20 % synaptic weight noise for pattern completion and pattern rivalry are shown in Fig. 12 K and L (red curves). In both cases the network functionality is clearly impaired. In particular, the ability of an active pattern to suppress other patterns is noticeably deteriorated, which can be traced back to the loss of 37 % of PYR-RSNP connections.

In order to restore the functionality of the network we used a two-fold approach: First, we attempted to reduce the binary loss of PYR-RSNP projections by reducing the number of PYR cells per MC from 30 to 20, which decreases the total number of neurons in the network, as well as the number of potential pre-synaptic neurons per RSNP cell. The synapse loss was thereby reduced to 28.8 % for PYR-RSNP projections and was eliminated almost completely for all other pro-




Fig. 12: **ESS emulation of the L2/3 model.** Unless explicitly stated otherwise, the default network model (9HC×9MC) was used. Here, we use the following color code: blue for the original model, red for the distorted case on the ESS (with 20 % synaptic weight noise and ≈ 20 % synapse loss), and green for the compensated case on the ESS. (A - C) Raster plots of spiking activity. The MCs are ordered such that those belonging to the same attractor (and *not* those within the same HC) are grouped together. A synapse loss of 32 % on the background synapses (see Tab. 1) is the main reason for which no spontaneous attractors are evoked. For this reason, there are no red curves in G, H, I and J. Applying a weight compensation and increasing the number of background sources from 9 to 50 effectively restores the original behavior. (D) Power spectrum of global activity. Since no spontaneous attractors are evoked, neither attractor switching (~ 3 Hz) nor gamma oscillations (~ 25 Hz) can be observed. The spectrum of the distorted network complies with the asynchronous irregular firing observed in C. Compensation restores both of the characteristic peaks in the spectrum. (E and F) Star plots of average PYR voltages from a sample of 5 PYR cells per MC. The disrupted attractor behavior results in a weak fluctuations of average PYR voltages with essentially no clear UP or DOWN states. After compensation, the differences between UP and DOWN states become more pronounced again. (G) Distributions of dwell times. The disrupted network effectively shows no spontaneous attractors. As expected from the software simulations, the dwell times remain, on average, slightly shorter after compensation. (H) Average firing rate of PYR cells within an active period of their parent attractor. The higher firing rates after compensation are caused by the fixed, short delays, which promote synchronous firing and therefore stronger mutual excitation among PYR cells. (I) Average voltage of PYR cells before, during and after their parent attractor is active (UP state). (J) Average voltage of PYR cells before, during and after an attractor they do not belong to is active (DOWN state). For subplots H, I and J, the abscissa has been subdivided into multiples of the average attractor dwell time in the respective simulations. In subplots I and J the dotted line indicates the leak potential E_L of the PYR cells. (K) Pattern completion in a 25HC×25MC network. Estimated activation probability from 25 trials per abscissa value. (L) Attentional blink in a 25HC×25MC network: $p = 0.5$ iso-probability contours, measured over 14 trials per (ΔT , #MCs) pair. Since the distorted network showed no spontaneous attractors (C), we used the average dwell time from the pattern completion experiment (K) for normalization.

jections (Tab. 1). Secondly, we compensated for the remaining synapse loss by scaling the synaptic weights as described in Sec. 3.1.4.

After application of these compensation mechanisms, we were able to effectively restore the original functionality of the network. Both pattern completion and attentional blink can be clearly observed. The small remaining deviations from the default model can be attributed to the inhomogeneity of the synapse loss and the fixed delays on the wafer.

3.2 Synfire chain with feed-forward inhibition

Our second benchmark network is a model of a series of consecutive neuron groups with feed-forward inhibition, called *synfire chain* from here on [Kremkow et al](#)

(2010b). This network acts as a selective filter to a synchronous spike packet that is applied to the first neuron group of the chain. The behavior of the network is quantified by the dependence of the filter properties on the strength and temporal width of the initial pulse. Our simulations show that synapse loss can be compensated in a straightforward manner. Further, the major impact of weight noise on the network functionality stems from weight variations in background synapses, which can be countered by modification of synaptic and neuronal parameters. The effect of fixed axonal delays on the filtering properties of the network can be countered only to a limited extent by modifying synaptic time constants and the strength of local inhibition. Simulations using the ESS show that the developed compensation methods are applicable simultaneously. Furthermore, they

highlight some further sources of potential failure of pulse propagation that originate from bandwidth limitations in the off-wafer communication infrastructure.

3.2.1 Architecture

Feed-forward networks with a convergent-divergent connection scheme provide an ideal substrate for the investigation of activity transport. Insights have been gained regarding the influence of network characteristics on its response to different types of stimulus [Aertsen et al \(1996\)](#); [Diesmann et al \(1999\)](#); [Vogels and Abbott \(2005\)](#). Similar networks were also considered as computational entities rather than purely as a medium for information transport [Abeles et al \(2004\)](#); [Schrader et al \(2010\)](#); [Kremkow et al \(2010a\)](#). The behavior of this particular network has been shown to depend on the connection density between consecutive groups, on the balance of excitation and inhibition as well as on the presence and magnitude of axonal delays in [Kremkow et al \(2010b\)](#). This makes it sensitive to hardware-specific effects such as an incomplete mapping of synaptic connectivity, the variation of synaptic weights, bandwidth limitations which cause loss of individual spike events and limited availability of adjustable axonal delays and jitter in the spike timing that may be introduced by different hardware components.

The feed-forward network comprises a series of successive neuron groups, each group containing one excitatory and one inhibitory population. The excitatory population consists of 100 regular-spiking (RS), the inhibitory of 25 fast-spiking (FS) cells. Both cell types are modeled as LIF neurons with exponentially shaped synaptic conductance without adaptation, as described in [Sec. 2.1.1](#). Both RS and FS neurons are parameterized using identical values ([Tab. S3.1](#)).

Each excitatory population projects to both populations of the consecutive group while the inhibitory population projects to the excitatory population in its local group ([Fig. 13 A](#)). There are no recurrent connections within the RS or FS populations. In the original publication [Kremkow et al \(2010b\)](#), each neuron was stimulated independently by a Gaussian noise current. Because the hardware system does not offer current stimulus for all neurons, all neurons in the network received stimulus from independent Poisson spike sources. For Gaussian current stimulus, as well as in the diffusion limit of Poisson stimulus (high input rates, low synaptic weights), the membrane potential is stationary Gaussian, with an autocorrelation dominated by the membrane time constant. The only remaining differences are due to the finite, but small, synaptic time constants. The rate and synaptic weight of the back-




Fig. 13: Synfire chain network. (A) Connectivity of the synfire chain with feed-forward inhibition [Kremkow et al \(2010b\)](#). Excitatory projections are shown in red, inhibitory in blue. In the default realization the network consists of six consecutive groups. The local FS $\rightarrow$ RS projection has an adjustable delay Δ , which affects the network dynamics. The intergroup delay is set to 20 ms for visualization purposes following the previous work; this has no influence on the filter properties because the delay of both intergroup projections is equal. The background stimulus is realized using random Gaussian current (original) and Poisson background spikes (adapted version for the hardware). The parameters for neurons and connections are given in [Tab. S3.1](#) and [Tab. S3.2](#). (B) Exemplary raster plot of the network behavior. The first group receives a pulse packet with $a = 1$ and $\sigma_0 = 1$ ms, which propagates as a synchronous spike volley along the chain. (C) Characterization of the network behavior in the (σ, a) state space. Each marker represents the initial stimulus parameters (σ_0, a_0) . The stimulus parameters were selected randomly from the region $(a_0 < 10, \sigma_0 \leq 10 \text{ ms})$. The region with $(a_0 < 2, \sigma_0 \leq 2 \text{ ms})$ was simulated more frequently to increase the resolution near the convergence points of the propagation. The marker color is linearly scaled with the activity in the last group, a_6 , being blue for $a_6 = 0$ and red for $a_6 = 1$ and is set to red for $a_6 > 1$. To improve visibility, the background is colored according to the color of the nearest marker, red for $a_6 \geq 0.5$ and blue otherwise. Experiments where the RS group did not fire are marked as $\times$. The gray lines originating from each marker denote the direction towards the pulse volley parameters (σ_1, a_1) . The green line shows a fit to the separatrix between zero and nonzero activity at the last group of the synfire chain (see [Sec. 3.2.2](#) for details). This approximation is used to compare the behavior of different modifications of the original network. The dashed black and white lines show four exemplary trajectories through the (σ, a) state space.

ground stimulus were adjusted to obtain similar values for the mean and variance of the membrane potential, resulting in a firing rate of 2 kHz with a synaptic weight of 1 nS.

The initial synchronous stimulus pulse is emitted by a population of spike sources, which has the same size and connection properties as a single RS population within the network. A temporally localized *pulse packet* was used as a stimulus, whereby each of the 100 spike sources emitted a_0 spikes that were sampled from a Gaussian distribution with a common mean time and a given standard deviation σ_0 . The variables (σ_i, a_i) are later used to describe the characteristics of the activity in the i th group of the chain, referring to the temporal pulse width and number of spike pulses per neuron, respectively.

3.2.2 Functionality criteria

The functionality of the feed-forward network is assessed by examining the propagation of a synchronous pulse after the stimulus is applied to the first group in the chain (Fig. 13 B). The propagation is quantified by applying initial stimuli of varying strength $a_0 \in [0, 10]$ and temporal spread $\sigma_0 \in [0 \text{ ms}, 10 \text{ ms}]$. For each synfire group $i \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$, the activation is determined by setting a_i to the number of emitted spikes divided by the number of neurons in the RS population. σ_i is the standard deviation of the spike pulse times. Typically, the resulting “trajectory” in the (σ, a) space (Fig. 13) is attracted to one of two fixed points: either near $(\sigma = 0 \text{ ms}, a = 1)$, i.e., the pulse packet propagates as a synchronous spike volley, and $(0 \text{ ms}, 0)$, i.e., the propagation dies out (e.g., Fig. 14 A).

The network behavior is characterized by the separating line between successful and extinguished propagation in the state space (σ, a) of the initial stimulus; this line will be called *separatrix* from here on. The differentiation between extinguished and successful propagation is defined by $a_6 \geq 0.5$ resp. $a_6 < 0.5$ in the last (6th) group. This is justified because in the undistorted case, a is clustered around the values 0 and 1 (Fig. S3.1). Due to the statistic nature of the connectivity, background stimulus and pulse packet, the macroscopic parameters σ and a do not fully determine the behavior of the system. This means that in the reference simulation, there is a small region around the separatrix where the probability of a stable pulse propagation is neither close to zero nor to one. Thus, in addition to the location of the separatrix (Sec. S3.3.2), the width of this region is taken as a functionality criterion.

The background stimulus is adjusted such that the spontaneous firing rate in the network is below 0.1 Hz,

in accordance with Kremkow et al (2010b). In cases in which distortion mechanisms induce a much stronger background firing, the spike trains are filtered before the analysis by removing spikes which appear not to be within a spike volley (Sec. S3.3.4).

3.2.3 Synapse loss

Homogeneous synapse loss affects the strength of excitatory and inhibitory projections equally on average. Additionally, the number of incoming spikes seen by a single neuron varies as synapses are removed probabilistically, in contrast to the undistorted model with a fixed number of incoming connections for each neuron type (Tab. S3.2). Synapse loss was applied to all internal connections as well as to the connection from the synchronized stimulus population to the first group in the network; background stimulus was not affected (cf. Sec. 2.4). Fig. 14 A shows a single experiment with synapse loss of 37.5 %, contrasting with the undistorted case (Fig. 13 A). Above a certain value of synapse loss, the signal fails to propagate to the last group. As shown in Fig. 14 C and E for one stimulus parameter set, successful propagation stops at a synapse loss value between 30% and 40%. The pulse width increases with rising synapse loss due to the increasing variation of synaptic conductance for individual neurons (E). The effect is reversed by increasing all synaptic weights in the network by a factor of $1/(1 - p_{\text{loss}})$, with p_{loss} being the probability of synapse loss. This compensation strategy can effectively counter synapse loss of up to 90 % (B, D) and the pulse width increase is shifted to larger values of synapse loss (F). The distortion mechanism has only a minor effect on the a -value of the separatrix in the depicted region (G). However, the location of the separatrix at $\sigma_0 = 0$ rises with synapse loss until it reaches the fixed point at approx. $(0.1 \text{ ms}, 1)$, at which point a bifurcation occurs and the the attractor region for $(0.1 \text{ ms}, 1)$ disappears (as described in Diesmann et al (2001) for the case of varying weights). In the compensated case, the separatrix locations are identical with the undistorted case within the measurement precision.

3.2.4 Synaptic weight noise

The effect of synaptic weight noise is shown in Fig. 15. Similarly to the effect of synapse loss, the region of stable propagation shrinks (B); additionally, the border between the regions of stable and extinguished propagations becomes less sharp (A). This is caused by two effects: Varying strength of the background stimulus, and varying strength of the synaptic connections within the




Fig. 14: **Effect and compensation of synapse loss for the synfire chain network.** (A) Synfire network with 37.5% synapse loss applied to all connections within the network. External connections (synchronous stimulus and background) are not affected. (B) Raster plot with active compensation at 90% synapse loss. (C) Activation a_i in each group i with varying values of synapse loss. (D) a_i as in C but with active compensation. (E) Pulse width σ_i in each group i with varying values of synapse loss. (F) Pulse width as in E but with active compensation. (G) Comparison of approximated separatrix locations for synapse loss values from 0% to 50%. The lines for 40% and 50% are missing because no stable region exists. (H) Approximated separatrix locations with active compensation.

network. The first effect is significant because the background stimulus to each neuron is provided through a single synapse. Thus, the effective resting potential of each neuron is shifted, significantly changing its excitability and, in some cases, inducing spontaneous activity. One possibility of countering this effect is to utilize several synapses for background stimulus thereby averaging out the effect of individual strong or weak synapses, as has been done in the case of the L2/3 model in Fig. 9. Here, a different method was employed: The resting potential E_L was raised while simultaneously lowering the synaptic weight from the background stimulus. The parameters were chosen in such a way




Fig. 15: **Effect of synaptic weight noise on the synfire chain model.** The spike data for all three plots was filtered to remove spontaneous spikes in individual neurons, which stem from weight increase in some background synapses due to weight noise. (The filter parameters were $T = 10$ ms, $N = 25$ cf. Sec. S3.3.4) (A) State space at 80% weight noise. The set of inputs that evokes activity in the last group is patchy as a consequence of the distortion mechanism. In the compensated case the separation is sharp again, as shown in Fig. S3.3. (B) Approximate separatrix locations for smaller values of weight noise. (C) Approximate separatrix locations for the compensated case.

that the mean and variance of the distribution of membrane voltages in each neuron population was kept at the value of the undistorted network:

$$\langle V \rangle \approx w_0 \cdot \langle K \rangle + E_L \quad \text{and} \quad (10)$$

$$\text{Var}[V] \approx w_0^2 \text{Var}[K] + \text{Var}[w] (\langle K \rangle^2 + \text{Var}[K]) \quad , \quad (11)$$

where $K(t) = \sum_{\text{spk } j} \kappa(t - t_j)$ represents the effect of the background stimulus, κ being the PSP kernel, and $\text{Var}[w] = w_0^2 \sigma^2$ appears due to synaptic weight noise. In the distorted case, the width of this distribution is a combined effect of the random background stimulus and the weight variation, while in the original case it originates from the stochasticity of the stimulus only. In the undistorted case, $\text{Var}[w]$ is 0, and only the first term contributes to $\text{Var}[V]$. With increasing σ^2 , the contribution of the second term to $\text{Var}[V]$ increases, which is compensated by changing w_0 accordingly, keeping $\text{Var}[V]$ at the original level. This, in turn, changes $\langle V \rangle$, which is compensated by a change of E_L .

The effect of synaptic weight noise within the network itself is less significant compared to its impact on




Fig. 16: **Delays in the synfire chain model.** (A) Reproduction of Kremkow et al (2010b), fig. 4c. The location of the separatrix is modified by changing the axonal delay of local inhibition. For a value of 0.4 ms, no stable region is present. (B) The location of the separatrix is modified by varying weights for synapses taking part in local inhibition. The axonal delay of local inhibition was fixed at 1.5 ms and the inhibitory time constant was increased by a factor of 3. The gray region shows the range of the separatrix location for delay values from 1.2 ms to 7 ms (the range in plot A) as reference.

the noise stimulus. Fig. 15 C shows that removing the effect of background stimulus noise alone is sufficient to counteract synaptic noise values of up to 50%.

3.2.5 Non-configurable axonal delays

Fig. 16 A shows the effect of varying axonal delays between the inhibitory and excitatory population of a single synfire group. As was shown in Kremkow et al (2010b), the delay can be employed to control the position of the separatrix between stable and unstable propagation. Because the axonal delay is not configurable for on-wafer connections, a different method is required to regain the ability to control the separatrix. While Sec. 3.2.3 and Sec. 3.2.4 show that synaptic weight noise and synapse loss can influence the location of the separatrix, a method is required that is independent of those distortion mechanisms. Diesmann (2002) shows that several parameters, including group size and noise level, can modify the separatrix location, albeit for a model without feed-forward inhibition. Here, we investigate to which extent parameter modification can reproduce the effect of variable delays. For very short delays (in this case, 0.1 ms, not shown), stable propagation does not occur, because the onset of local inhibition is nearly synchronous with the onset of external excitation. This effect was countered by increasing the synaptic time constant and simultaneously decreasing the synaptic weight for local inhibition, thus extending the duration of inhibition that acts on the RS population. The inhibitory synaptic time constant was in-

creased by a factor of 3 while simultaneously reducing the synaptic weight of the inhibitory projection. Fig. 16 B shows the result of the compensation for 1.5 ms local inhibition delay. For both values of axonal delay, the location of the separatrix can be controlled by changing the weight of inhibition. However, its shape differs from the delay-induced case because of the modified delay mechanism of inhibition. Reduction of the weight beyond a certain point is not possible, as balanced inhibition is required for network functionality Kremkow et al (2010b). It is important to note that this kind of compensation is specific to the state space region which is examined, and that it can not be extended to arbitrarily large delays.

3.2.6 Full simulation of combined distortion mechanisms

At last, we simulate the synfire chain with the ESS and compensate simultaneously for all the causes of distortions addressed above. Before running ESS simulations, we have verified the compatibility of the proposed compensation strategies for different distortion mechanisms in software simulations dealing with the simultaneous incidence of synaptic weight noise, synapse loss and non-configurable axonal delays (Sec. S3.3.1). We proceed with a quantification of synapse loss after mapping the synfire chain for different network sizes to the hardware. For the ESS simulations we limit the model to very few hardware resources to artificially generate synapse loss, such that all of the above distortion mechanisms are present. Additional hardware simulations investigating the influence of spike loss and jitter on the network functionality are provided in Fig. S3.4.

Synapse loss We mapped the synfire chain at different network sizes onto the BrainScaleS wafer-scale hardware in order to quantify the synapse loss (Fig. 17 A). For this purpose we developed network scaling rules that depend on the number and the size of the synfire groups (Sec. S3.2). Due to its modular structure and feed-forward connectivity scheme, there is no synapse loss for networks with up to 10 000 neurons. However, for network sizes above 30 000 neurons, the ratio of lost synapses increases abruptly. With increasing network size more neurons have to be mapped onto one HICANN thereby reducing the number of hardware synapses per neuron. Moreover, as the group size grows with the network size (cf. Tab. S3.3), also the number of pre-synaptic neurons for all neurons mapped onto one HICANN increases, so that the maximum number of inputs to a HICANN, i.e. the synapse drivers, becomes a limiting constraint. The combination of both factors unavoidably leads to synapse loss.

Table 2: **Projection-wise synapse loss of the synfire chain model after the mapping process**

projection	synapse loss [%]
Pulse Packet $\rightarrow$ RS ₀	21.3
Pulse Packet $\rightarrow$ FS ₀	12.7
RS _n $\rightarrow$ RS _{n+1}	32.4
RS _n $\rightarrow$ FS _{n+1}	32.0
FS _n $\rightarrow$ RS _n	20.8
Poisson background $\rightarrow$ ALL	0
total	27.4

Distorted and compensated simulation For the ESS simulation, we applied the following modifications to the benchmark network: originally, each cell in the network receives Poisson background stimulus from an individual source with 2000 Hz. Because the off-wafer pulse routing network does not support such high bandwidths (cf. Sec. 2.1.2), we reduce the total number of background sources from 750 to 192 and let each neuron receive input from 8 sources, while decreasing the Poisson rate by a factor of 8, using the same mechanism as for the compensation of synaptic weight noise in the L2/3 model (cf. Fig. 9). For the same reason, the network was emulated with a speedup factor of 5000 compared to biological real-time, whereby the effective bandwidth for stimulation and recording is doubled with respect to the normal operation with a speedup of 10000. As seen before, no synapse loss occurs for small networks. However, as discussed for the L2/3 model in Sec. 3.1.7, one can consider situations where only a small part of the wafer is available for experiments, or where some neurons or synaptic elements are defective or missing a calibration. Therefore, in order to generate synapse loss in the feed-forward network, we limited the network to only 8 out of 48 reticles of the wafer and furthermore declare half of the synapse drivers as not available. This resulted in a total synapse loss of 27.4%. As with the L2/3 model, the synapse loss was not homogeneous but depended strongly on the projection type (Tab. 2).

We simulated the synfire chain with default neuron and synapse parameters on the ESS with 20% synaptic weight noise and the above synapse loss. The (σ, a) state space (Fig. 17 B) shows no stable point of propagation. This can be mainly attributed to the small and non-configurable axonal delays which are in the range of 0.6 ms to 1.1 ms for the chosen speedup factor of 5000.

In order to recover the original behavior, we applied the previously developed compensation methods described in Sec. 3.2.3 to 3.2.5. Synapse loss was compensated separately for each projection type using Tab. 2. For synaptic weight noise effectively two compensation methods were applied, as, by using 8 Poisson sources

per neuron instead of one, the effect of weight variations is already reduced. Therefore, this fact was considered in the implementation of the second compensation method that scales the synaptic weight and shifts the resting potential E_L to keep the mean and variance of the membrane voltage constant (Sec. 3.2.4), by replacing $\text{Var}[w]$ with $\frac{1}{8}\text{Var}[w]$ in Eq. 11. We were able to compensate for all distortion mechanisms while still maintaining control over the position of the separatrix (Fig. 17 C).

However, we encountered some abnormalities as can be seen in Fig. 17 D showing the (σ, a) state space for one of the separatrices: For $\sigma \approx 3$ ms and $a > 7$ one can recognize a purple region indicating that not all RS cells of the last group spiked. Actually, spikes occurred for all RS cells in the simulated hardware network, but not all spikes were recorded because they were lost in the off-wafer communication network (Sec. 2.1.2). For very small σ_0 an additional effect can appear: input bandwidth limitations can result in very dense pulse volleys not being propagated through the synfire chain, as can be seen e.g. for the blue point with $\sigma_0 = 0.02$ ms and $a_0 = 3.3$ in the left of D. In that particular case the large majority of input spikes were lost in the off-wafer communication network so that they did not even reach the first synfire group. We remark that this effect only appeared for σ_0 smaller than 0.1 ms.

3.3 Self-sustained asynchronous irregular activity

Our third benchmark is a cortically inspired network with random, distance-dependent connectivity which displays self-sustained asynchronous and irregular firing (short: “AI network”). We define functionality measures on several levels of abstraction, starting from single network observables such as the network firing rate, the correlation coefficient and the coefficient of variation, the properties of the power spectrum of the network activity, up to global behavior such as the dependence of network dynamics on the internal synaptic weights g_{inh} and g_{exc} . We test two compensation strategies based on a mean field approach and on iterative modification of individual neuron parameters. While the first method offers a way to control the mean firing rate in the presence of synapse loss, the second is applicable to synapse loss and fixed-pattern weight noise simultaneously, in contrast to the other presented compensation methods. Non-configurable axonal delays do not significantly affect the network functionality because the intrinsic hardware delay is approximately equal to the delay utilized in the model. A scaling method for the network size is introduced and the effectivity of the second compensation method was demonstrated using the




Fig. 17: **Distorted and compensated simulations of the feedforward synfire chain on the ESS:** (A) Synapse loss after mapping the model with different numbers of neurons onto the BrainScaleS System. (B) (σ, a) state space on the ESS with default parameters, 20 % weight noise, and 27.4 % synapse loss. (C) After compensation for all distortion mechanisms, different separatrices are possible by setting different values of the inhibitory weight. (D) Compensated state space belonging to the blue separatrix in C.




Fig. 18: **Schematic of the connectivity of the random cortical network.** Excitatory PY and inhibitory INH neurons are connected randomly with a spatial, Gaussian connection probability profile. The connection properties are given in Sec. S4. A small part of the network is stimulated in the beginning of the experiment.

ESS on a large network with mapping-induced synapse loss and imposed fixed-pattern synapse noise.

3.3.1 Architecture

Self-sustained states in spiking neural networks are known to be exquisitely sensitive to the correlation dynamics generated by recurrent activity Kumar et al (2008); El Boustani and Destexhe (2009). Because of this sensitivity, a model of self-sustained activity within the asynchronous-irregular regime can provide a strong comparison between hardware and software platforms, by requiring the hardware network to reproduce the low

firing, weakly correlated, and highly irregular dynamics of this state. Notably, it is often observed that this activity regime provides a good match to the dynamics observed experimentally in the awake, activated cortex Destexhe and Pare (1999); Brunel (2000); Destexhe et al (2003). Additionally, one can note that the self-sustained activity regime provides an interesting test of the BrainScaleS hardware system, as in this state, the model network is not driven by external Poisson input, but has dynamics dominated by internally generated noise Destexhe and Contreras (2006), beyond the initial brief Poisson stimulation to a small percentage of the network.

The self-sustained regime constitutes an attractor of a dynamical system Amit and Brunel (1997). Networks based on this principle have been implemented in neuromorphic VLSI hardware Giulioni et al (2012).

Here, we used a reduced model based on that published in Destexhe (2009). Neurons in the network followed the AdEx equations 1 to 3 with parameters as in Muller and Destexhe (2012), modeling regular spiking pyramidal cells (PY) with spike frequency adaptation Connors and Gutnick (1990) and fast spiking inhibitory cells (INH) with relatively little spike frequency adaptation. Instead of explicitly modeling the thalamocortical or corticocortical networks, as in the previous work, we have chosen to modify the model, simplifying it to a single two-dimensional toroidal sheet and adding local connections and conduction delays. The addition of local connectivity follows the experimental observation that horizontal connections in neocortex project, for the most part, to their immediate surroundings Hellwig (2000), while the choice of linear conduction delays reflects electrophysiological estimates of conduction velocity in these unmyelinated horizontal fibers, in the range of 0.1 to 0.5 ms⁻¹ Hirsch and Gilbert (1991); Murakoshi et al (1993); Bringuier et al (1999); González-Burgos et al (2000); Telfeian and Connors (2003). Propagation delays are known to add richness to the spatiotemporal dynamics of neural network models Roxin et al (2005), and in this case are observed to expand the region in the 2D space spanned by the excitatory and inhibitory conductances that supports self-sustained activity, albeit only slightly.

Fig. 18 shows a schematic of the AI network with its distance-dependent connectivity. A small part of the neurons is stimulated at the beginning of the experiment. Depending on its parameters, the network is able to sustain asynchronous irregular firing activity. The details about the architecture and the parameters used are given in Sec. S4.




Fig. 19: **Behavior of the undistorted AI network.** On the top: survival time (A), mean firing rate (B), coefficient of variance CV_{ISI} (C), coefficient of correlation CC (D) and position of peak in power spectrum of global activity (E) in the parameter space for g_{exc} and g_{inh} for the default network with 3920 neurons without any distortions. (F) Power spectrum of the global pyramidal activity for the state ($g_{exc} = 9\text{nS}$, $g_{inh} = 90\text{nS}$). The population activity was binned with a time of 1ms, the raw spectrum is shown in gray, the blue curve shows a Gauss-filtered ($\sigma = 5\text{ Hz}$) version for better visualization. The position of the peak in the filtered version was used for (E). In (G - J) the dependence of single criteria on the mean firing rate is shown: survival time (G), CV_{ISI} (H), CC (I), position of peak in power spectrum (J). In the last three plots only surviving states of the (g_{exc} , g_{inh}) space were considered.

3.3.2 Functionality criteria

The global functionality criterion for this network consists of the ability to sustain activity in an asynchronous and irregular activity regime. The activity is considered self-sustained upon persistence to the end of the chosen simulation period. The activity characteristics are quantified for the pyramidal cells using the mean and variance of the firing rates, the irregularity of individual spike trains (CV_{ISI} , Eq. S4.1), the synchrony via the correlation coefficient (CC, Eq. S4.2) and the power spectrum (see, e.g. 3.1.4 in Rieke et al (1997)) of the excitatory activity. The implementation details are given in Sec. S4.2.

These criteria were evaluated for a range of excitatory and inhibitory synaptic weights g_{exc} and g_{inh} for the default network consisting of 3920 neurons. Fig. 19 (A) shows the region in the (g_{exc} , g_{inh}) parameter space that allows self-sustained activity, which is achieved at pyramidal firing rates above 8 Hz (G).

The coefficient of variation of the firing rates across neurons (CV_{rate}) is small (< 0.2 , see the 0% weight noise data in Fig. 20 B), as all neurons have identical numbers of afferent synapses with identical weights in each network realization. In addition to the parameter space plots in the top row of Fig. 19, we plot the other criteria against the mean firing rate in the bottom row and recognize the latter as the principal property of each state that mostly determines all other criteria.

The activity is irregular ($CV_{ISI} > 1$) across all states (C) and is mainly determined by the network firing rate: the CV_{ISI} first increases with the firing rate, then saturates and decreases for rates higher than 50 Hz (H). Over the entire parameter space, the spike trains of the pyramidal cells are only weakly correlated, with a CC between 0.01 and 0.03.

The average CC increases with the firing rate, which can be attributed to local areas in which neurons synchronize over short time periods. At last, we look at the power spectrum of the global pyramidal activity, exemplarily for the (9 nS, 90 nS) state in (F). As a comparison for further studies we follow Brunel (2000) and use the position of the non-zero peak in the power-spectrum, which is shown for each (g_{exc} , g_{inh}) point (E) and as a function of the firing rate (J): The position of the power spectrum peak frequency (Sec. S4.2) increases linearly with the mean firing rate.

3.3.3 Non-configurable axonal delays

For the analysis of the effects of non-configurable delays we repeated the (g_{exc} , g_{inh}) sweep with all axonal delays set to 1.5 ms, cf. Sec. 2.4. This distortion mechanism did not affect any of the functionality criteria, as each neuron still received synaptic input comparable to the reference case. One might expect an influence on the power spectrum of global activity as we switched from distance-dependent delays to a globally constant delay of 1.5 ms as it changes the temporal correlation

of the effect of a neuron on all of its efferents. In fact, the power spectra did not change significantly, which can be explained as follows: In the reference case, the *average* of all distance-dependent delays in the network amounts to 1.55 ms (cf. Fig. S4.1), which is close to the constant delay value of 1.5 ms we use to model the non-configurable delays on the hardware. In this particular case, the hardware delay matches the average delay in the network such that no distortion is introduced. Accordingly, parameter space sweeps on the ESS yielded the same results.

In Sec. S4.4.2 we provide further simulations on the influence of the distribution of delays on the behavior of the network, showing that the effect of the distance-dependent delays is small and that it is mostly the average delay which matters. In our case, this delay exactly corresponds to the average delays on the wafer when running at a speedup of 10 000 compared to biological real-time, such that there is no need for a compensation here.

We note that for variants of this benchmark, where the average network delay is higher or lower than 1.5 ms, there exists a simple but effective compensation strategy by just modifying the speedup of the emulation on the hardware, such that the average network delay is directly mapped onto the hardware delay. We can assume a modified experiment where the average delay amounts to 3 ms. By choosing a speedup of 20 000, this delay can be directly mapped to the 150 ns average delay on the hardware. Such a change of emulation speed is not arbitrary, as one has to make sure that the neural dynamics can still be emulated at the chosen speed (cf. supported parameter ranges in Tab. S1.1). Furthermore, the reduced bandwidth for the pulse communication, especially for external stimulation, must be considered. While this is no issue for this self-sustaining kind of network, these conditions must be also fulfilled for potential other networks that are interconnected to the AI network.

3.3.4 Synaptic weight noise

The effects of synaptic weight noise between 10 % and 50 % (cf. Sec. 2.4) on the AI network are shown in Fig. 20: The region of self-sustained states in the $(g_{\text{exc}}, g_{\text{inh}})$ space is increased by this distortion mechanism, cf. the circles in (C) marking states that survived with 50 % synaptic weight noise but not in the undistorted case. The firing rate increases with the degree of noise (A): the change is the stronger the lower g_{exc} and diminishes for states with an already high firing rate in the undistorted case (C). Synaptic weight noise leads to an increase of the variation of firing rates (CV_{rate}), with

the change being stronger for high population firing rates (B). The CV_{ISI} as a function of firing rates remains unchanged for low rates, but decreases for higher firing rates in proportion to the noise level (E). Furthermore, weight noise introduces randomness into the network, thereby reducing synchrony: The pairwise correlation between neurons decreases linearly with the amount of weight noise (F). The power spectrum of the global activity is not affected by this distortion mechanism.

3.3.5 Synapse loss

Synapse loss has a similar influence on the network behavior as synaptic weight noise: Fig. 21 shows the results of the $g_{\text{exc}}-g_{\text{inh}}$ sweeps for synapse loss values between 10 % and 50 % (cf. Sec. 2.4). The region of sustained states increases with synapse loss but not as strongly as for weight noise (C). The firing rate increases with synapse loss (A): Compared to the change caused by synaptic weight noise, however, the effect is much stronger for synapse loss. The same holds for the variance of the firing rates across the pyramidal neurons, which again increases with synapse loss, as can be seen in (B). Note that the CV_{rate} first increases with the mean rate, then reaches a maximum and finally saddles for high rates. We remark that for high synapse loss, some neurons did not fire at all. Both the irregularity and the correlation of firing decrease with increasing synapse loss, leaving the network still in an asynchronous irregular state (E and F). Synapse loss shows no effect on the power spectrum of global pyramidal activity.

3.3.6 Compensation strategies

The hardware-induced distortions on the AI network analyzed in the previous sections leave two major criteria that need to be recovered: The population firing rate and the variation of firing rates across the population. We consider the other effects (change of CC, CV_{ISI} , peak frequency in power spectrum) as minor because they are mainly determined by the mean rate and discard them in the following.

One apparent approach for recovering the original firing rate is to change the strengths of the synaptic weights g_{exc} and g_{inh} . Considering the conducted $(g_{\text{exc}}, g_{\text{inh}})$ parameter space sweeps, we could simply select the distorted state that best matches the criteria of the undistorted reference. However, this method requires to scan g_{exc} and g_{inh} over a wide range to finally get to the desired result. Preferably, one wants to have a compensation method that can be applied to a single experiment and works without huge parameter sweeps.




Fig. 20: **Effect and compensation of synapse weight noise in the AI network:** (A) Relative change of the firing rate with respect to the undistorted network averaged over all sustained states for varying synapse weight noise. (B) CV_{rate} as a function of mean rate for every survived state for varying synapse weight noise. (C and D) Relative change of the firing rate with respect to the undistorted for each state for 50 % synapse weight noise (C) and compensated (D). (E) CV_{ISI} as a function of mean rate for varying synapse weight noise. (F) CC as a function of mean rate for varying synapse weight noise. (G and H) Relative change of CV_{rate} with respect to the undistorted for each state for 50 % synapse weight noise (G) and compensated (H). In (C and D) and (G and H): A cross marks a state that was sustained in the undistorted but not sustained in the compared case. A circle marks a state that was not sustained in the original but sustained in the compared case.




Fig. 21: **Effect and compensation of synapse loss in the AI network:** (A) Relative change of the firing rate with respect to the undistorted network averaged over all sustained states for varying synapse loss. (B) CV_{rate} as a function of mean rate for every survived state for varying synapse loss. (C, D) Relative change of the firing rate with respect to the undistorted case for each state for 50 % synapse loss (C) and compensated (D). (E) CV_{ISI} as a function of mean rate for varying synapse loss. (F) CC as a function of mean rate for varying synapse loss. (G, H) Relative change of CV_{rate} with respect to the undistorted case for each state for 50 % synapse loss (G) and compensated (H). In C, D, G and H: A cross marks a state that was sustained in the undistorted but not sustained in the compared case. A circle marks a state, that was not sustained in the original but sustained in the compared case.

Mean field compensation for rate change The mean




Fig. 22: **Mean-field-based compensation method for the AI network.** (A) Mean firing rate of a single PY and INH neuron given a poisson stimulus by the external network with a given rate. (B) Compensation factor α calculated from the data in A. (C) Compensation applied to the self-sustained network (with parameters $g_{\text{inh}} = 90 \text{ nS}$, $g_{\text{exc}} = 9 \text{ nS}$). The error bars denote the standard deviation of mean firing rates across all neurons. “orig.” marks the original network without compensation, in “comp.” the neuron parameters were modified according to the compensation factor. The scaling of internal delays had only minimal effect on the firing rate (not shown)

firing rate in the network rises with an increasing synapse loss value. This effect can be understood using a mean-field approach (see, e.g. Kumar et al (2008)) in which the response rate of a single neuron’s firing rate is assumed to be a function of the mean network firing rate.

$$\nu_i = f(\nu_{\text{in,exc}}, \nu_{\text{in,inh}}) \quad (12)$$

With this ansatz, which is similar to the approach in Brunel (2000) where the afferent neurons are replaced by independent Poisson processes with equal instantaneous rate in a sparse random network, the mean firing

rate in a self-sustained state can be calculated as a stable, self-consistent solution of the gain function being equal to the firing rate of a single neuron:

$$\hat{\nu}(p_{\text{loss}}) = f(N_{\text{exc}}(1 - p_{\text{loss}})\hat{\nu}, N_{\text{inh}}(1 - p_{\text{loss}})\hat{\nu}) \quad (13)$$

Here, N_{exc} and N_{inh} are the number of pre-synaptic connections of a given neuron, and p_{loss} is the modeled synapse loss value. Fig. 22 A shows the gain function (right-hand side of Eq. 13) of PY and INH neurons for $p_{\text{loss}} = 0$ yielding the stable solution $\tilde{\nu}(0) \approx 14 \text{ Hz}$ as the intersection of the $y = x$ diagonal and the gain function. Analogously, the solution for $p_{\text{loss}} = 0.5$ can be determined as the intersection with the $y = 2x$ line (considering $\nu_{\text{in}}(p_{\text{loss}}) = p_{\text{loss}} \cdot \nu_{\text{in}}$). The result justifies the assumption of the mean firing rate of inhibitory and excitatory neurons being equal for $p_{\text{loss}} < 0.5$.

The parameter change that is necessary to restore the original mean firing rate can be calculated using the following relationship for the time scaling of the solution of a differential equation:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, t) \quad (14)$$

$$\mathbf{y}(t) := \mathbf{x}(\alpha t) \quad (15)$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{y}}(t) = \alpha \dot{\mathbf{x}}(\alpha t) = \alpha \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{y}(t), \alpha t) \quad (16)$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\mathbf{x}, t) := \alpha \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, \alpha t) \quad (17)$$

Assuming that $\mathbf{x}$ is the state of the dynamic variables within a network, $\mathbf{y}$ describes a network which follows the same time dependence with the dynamics scaled by the factor α in time. As the given random cortical network shows self-sustained behavior, the transition from $\mathbf{F}$ to $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}$ requires only the modification of internal network parameters, because there is no external input (which would have to also be modified otherwise). In particular, the transition encompasses scaling τ_{m} , $\tau_{\text{x}}^{\text{syn}}$, τ_{refrac} , τ_{w} and the synaptic delays by α , while leaving the conductance jump after each presynaptic PSP unchanged. α is calculated from the measured gain function (cf. Fig. 22) via

$$\alpha = \frac{\tilde{\nu}(p_{\text{loss}})}{\tilde{\nu}(0)} \quad (18)$$

The resulting firing rate with and without compensation is shown in Fig. 22 C. The results also show that the variance of the firing rates across neurons grows with rising synapse loss due to the increasing difference in connectivity within the networks. An extension of the mean-field-based compensation to this kind of inhomogeneous connectivity would be impractical, as it requires knowledge of the actual network realization

(which is available only after the mapping step) and the measurement of Fig. 22 A for all occurring counts of presynaptic inhibitory and excitatory neurons. Thus, a different method is considered in Eq. 18.

In conclusion, this method can be applied when the actual synapse loss value and the mean response function of a single neuron is known. It only depends on the single neuron response properties; the amount of synapse loss has to be known a priori, but not the complete network dynamics. The method depends on the ability to modify synaptic delays according to the scaling rule. However, for the given network, this scaling has only a minimal effect on the mean firing rate.

Iterative compensation The iterative compensation method aims at reducing two distortion effects: the change of the mean firing rate of the pyramidal neurons and its variance across neurons, which are both apparent for synapse loss and synaptic weight noise. It relies on the controllability of the hardware neuron parameters allowing to fine tune the AdEx parameters for every individual neuron (Sec. 2.1.1). The iterative compensation functions as follows: We start with the results of the reference and the distorted network. From the reference simulation we extract the target mean rate ν^{tgt} of the neurons in a population. For each neuron in the distorted network, we compare its actual firing rate against ν^{tgt} , and modify the excitability of the neuron in proportion to the difference between target and measured firing rate. The distorted network with modified neuron parameters is then simulated and the output is compared again to the reference network. This iterative compensation step is repeated until the characteristics of the last step approximately match those of the reference simulation. In our simulations, we modified the spike initiation threshold E_T , with its change $\Delta E_T = c_{\text{comp}}(\nu^{\text{tgt}} - \nu^{\text{act}})$ being proportional to the difference between the actual and the target rate. We found that, when choosing the compensation factor c_{comp} appropriately, 10 iterations are sufficient to restore the mean and variance of the firing rates in the undistorted network. While the compensated mean rate exactly corresponds to ν^{tgt} , the compensated CV_{rate} is higher than in the reference network, but reliably below the 1.2-fold of the reference value. The iterative compensation applied in the following is described in detail in Sec. S4.3. We remark that the proposed iterative compensation requires a controllable, deterministic mapping, which guarantees that in each iteration the neurons and synapses are always mapped onto the same hardware elements. Furthermore, the complete compensation process needs to be repeated for each network instance. In fact, we perform a calibration of the apparent permanent causes of

distortion (fixed-pattern noise and synapse loss) similar to Pfeil et al (2013) in order to reduce their effects. Hence, whenever we change the random seed that is used to generate the probabilistic connectivity between the neurons, the iterative compensation needs to be run anew. Thus, a reference from a non-distorted simulation or, e.g., from theory is needed. However, once obtained, the result of the compensation can be used for long-running simulations or as part of a larger compound network.

3.3.7 Results of iterative compensation

Synaptic weight noise In order to verify the iterative compensation strategy we applied it to the distorted parameter space with 50 % synaptic weight noise. Note that, here and in Sec. 3.3.8, weight noise was implemented persistently, being always the same in all iterations, representing the case where fixed-pattern noise, and not trial-to-trial variability, determines the synaptic weight noise (cf. Sec. 2.4). Accordingly, the following findings are not applicable to the opposite case. The results of the iterative compensation are shown in Fig. 20, which displays the relative difference of the mean and variance of the firing rates with respect to the reference simulation in D and H. The region of sustained activity in the $(g_{\text{exc}}, g_{\text{inh}})$ parameter space of the compensated network matches the one of the reference simulation very well. The mean and variance of firing rates could be successfully recovered for most of the states; with the exception of states with a mean rate higher than 25 Hz, where both criteria still differ notably from the reference after 10 iterations (upper left regions in the parameter spaces). We expect that the performance of the iterative compensation for those states could be further improved by tuning the compensation factor c_{comp} (Sec. S4.3) for high firing rates. The other criteria such as CV_{ISI} and peak frequency could be fully recovered, following the assumption made earlier, that those criteria mainly depend on the firing rate. However, the coefficient of pairwise cross-correlation (CC) of the compensated networks is lower than in the reference simulation, i.e., the randomness introduced by the synaptic weight noise is still effective.

Synapse loss The results of the application of the iterative compensation strategy to the $(g_{\text{exc}}, g_{\text{inh}})$ parameter space with 50 % synapse loss are shown in Fig. 21 (D and H), displaying the relative difference of mean and variance of firing rates. The compensation was not as effective as for synaptic weight noise: Some states with a low base firing rate were unstable (marked with a cross), i.e. the network did not survive until the end of

simulation. As before, the mean and variance of firing rates can be successfully restored for low and medium base firing rates. Again, for high firing rates, the iterative compensation only performed moderately (upper left regions in the parameter spaces **D** and **H**). The other criteria show the same behavior as in the weight noise compensation, i.e. the peak frequency and CV_{ISI} are in good match with the reference while the pairwise correlation (CC) decreased due to the randomness introduced by the synapse loss. We repeated the iterative compensation for the parameter space with 30 % synapse loss: The results (not shown) are comparable to the 50 % case, but exhibit fewer unstable states, i.e., there were more combinations of g_{exc} and g_{inh} whose compensated network survived.

Conclusion We conclude that the iterative compensation of distorted networks works for both synapse loss and fixed-pattern synaptic weight noise. The compensation also works when both are present at the same time, see Sec. S4.4.3 for details. While there seems to be no limit for weight noise, compensation of synapse-loss induced distortions is only possible up to a certain degree, as the network tends to become less stable with fewer synapses involved.

3.3.8 Full simulation of combined distortion mechanisms

In a last step the iterative compensation method designed for the AI network was tested in ESS simulations. Like for the other two models we forced distortions to test the developed compensation strategies. Therefore, we scaled up the network such that a significant fraction of synapses was lost during the mapping process. This large-scale network was then emulated on the ESS and compared to the undistorted reference simulation with NEST. Afterwards, we applied the compensation strategy developed in the previous section to restore the original behavior of the AI network.

Synapse loss Mapping such homogeneous networks that lack any modularity represents the worst-case scenario for the mapping process, as they have little room for optimization. In Fig. 23 A the relative synapse loss is plotted for various network sizes using the scaling method described in Sec. S4.1.2. One can see that already for low numbers of neurons some synapse loss occurs, although there are sufficient hardware synapses and synapse drivers: due to the sparseness of the on-wafer routing switches some routing buses don't find a free switch to connect to its respective target HICANNs, such that synapses are lost. A kink in the graph of the synapse




Fig. 23: **AI network on the ESS:** (A) Synapse loss after mapping the network with different sizes onto the BrainScaleS system (B) Iterative compensation of the large-scale network with 22 445 neurons on the ESS: evolution of mean and standard deviation of firing rates for 10 iterations (C) Gauss-filtered power spectrum of global activity of the pyramidal neurons in the large-scale network. Reference spectrum shown in blue (simulated with NEST), distorted and compensated spectra in red resp. green, both simulated with the ESS.

loss can be seen at around 20 000 neurons, where at least 64 neurons are mapped onto one HICANN (cf. Tab. S1.2). In such a network with random connectivity it is merely possible to find 64 neurons whose pool of pre-synaptic neurons is smaller than 14 336, which is the maximum number of pre-synaptic neurons per HICANN, such that synapse loss must occur. Recall that there is a maximum of 14 336 pre-synaptic neurons for all neurons mapped onto one HICANN. As the connectivity in the AI network is probabilistic, the chance to find groups of 64 neurons whose pool of pre-synaptic neurons is smaller than 14 336 is close to zero.

Large-scale network In order to produce a demanding scenario, we scaled the model to a size of 22 445 neurons (Sec. S4.1.2). The size was chosen such that the network almost occupies an entire wafer, while mapping up to 64 neurons onto one HICANN. This large-scale network has a total of approximately 5.6 million synapses. The statistics of the reference simulation can be found in Tab. 3 and are in accordance with the scaling behavior investigated in the Supplement, Sec. S4.4.1.

Distorted network In the above scenario, 28.1 % of synapses were lost during the mapping process (for projection-

Table 3: Statistics of the large-scale AI network

criteria	ref.	dist.	comp.
Rate [Hz]	13.4	15.5	13.6
CV_{rate}	0.107	0.726	0.212
CV_{ISI}	1.12	1.11	1.09
CC	0.00103	0.0011	0.00166
Peak Frequency[Hz]	60.3	60.7	59.0

Reference (ref.) simulated with NEST, distorted (dist.) and compensated (comp.) with the ESS.

Table 4: Projection-wise synapse loss of the large-scale AI network after the mapping process.

projection	synapse loss [%]
PY $\rightarrow$ PY	26.9
PY $\rightarrow$ INH	28.1
INH $\rightarrow$ PY	31.1
INH $\rightarrow$ INH	33.4
STIM $\rightarrow$ PY	77.5
STIM $\rightarrow$ INH	89.4
total	28.1

PY: excitatory pyramidal neurons. INH: fast spiking inhibitory cells, STIM: external Poisson sources for initial stimulation

wise numbers see Tab. 4). We remark that the synapse loss at this size is higher than during the synapse loss sweep in Fig. 23 A, as we used a sequence of mapping algorithms that guarantees a balance between synapse loss of excitatory and inhibitory connections. Still, there were slightly more inhibitory connections lost than excitatory ones (Tab. 4). Additionally, we applied a fixed-pattern noise of 20% to the synaptic weights in the ESS simulation. The result of the latter can be found in Tab. 3: the network still survived until the end of the simulation, but the firing rate and its variance increased compared to the reference simulation, which complies with the prediction of the distortion analysis.

Compensated network We then used the iterative compensation method from Sec. 3.3.6 to compensate the abovementioned distortions and repeated the ESS simulation with the modified network. The evolution of the firing rates over 10 iterations is shown in Fig. 23 B: One can clearly see how, step by step, the firing rate approaches the target rate and that at the same time the variance of firing rates decreases. The statistics of the final iteration are listed in Tab. 3: It was possible to fully recover the target mean rate. The variation of firing across neurons (CV_{rate}) was significantly reduced from 0.726 to 0.212 but was still twice as large as in the reference network. The other functionality criteria match the reference simulation very well (Tab. 3), as does the power spectrum of global activity in Fig. 23 C.

4 Conclusions

In this study, we have presented a systematic comparison between neural network simulations carried out with ideal software models and a specific implementation of a neuromorphic computing system. The results for the neuromorphic system were obtained with a detailed simulation of the hardware architecture. The core concept is, essentially, a functionalist one: neural networks are defined in terms of functional measures on multiple scales, from individual neuron behavior up to network dynamics. The various neuron and synapse parameters are then tuned to achieve the target performance in terms of these measures.

The comparison was based on three cortically inspired benchmark networks: a layer 2/3 columnar architecture, a model of a synfire chain with feed-forward inhibition and a random network with self-sustained, irregular firing activity. We have chosen these specific network architectures for two reasons. First of all, they implement very different, but widely acknowledged computational paradigms and activity regimes found in neocortex: winner-take-all modules, spike-correlation-based computation, self-sustained activity and asynchronous irregular firing. Secondly, due to their diverse properties and structure, they pose an array of challenges for their hardware emulation, being affected differently by the studied hardware-specific distortion mechanisms.

All three networks were exposed to the same set of hardware constraints and a detailed comparison with the ideal software model was carried out. The agreement was quantified by looking at several chosen microscopic and macroscopic observables on both the cell and network level, which we dubbed “functionality criteria”. These criteria were chosen individually for each network and were aimed at covering all of the relevant aspects discussed in the original studies of the chosen models.

Several hardware constraint categories have been studied: the dynamics of the embedded neuron and synapse models, limited parameter ranges, synapse loss due to limited hardware resources, synaptic weight noise due to fixed-pattern and trial-to-trial variations, and the lack of configurable axonal delays. The final three effects were studied in most detail, as they are expected to affect essentially every hardware-emulated model. The investigated distortion mechanisms were studied both individually, as well as combined, similarly to the way they would occur on a real hardware substrate. As expected, above certain magnitudes of the hardware-specific distortion mechanisms, substantial deviations of the functionality criteria were observed.

For each of the three network models and for each type of distortion mechanism, several compensation strategies were discussed, with the goal of tuning the hardware implementation towards maximum agreement with the ideal software model. With the proposed compensation strategies, we have shown that it is possible to considerably reduce, and in some cases even eliminate the effects of the hardware-induced distortions. We therefore regard this study as an exemplary workflow and a toolbox for neuromorphic modelers, from which they can pick the most suitable strategy and eventually tune it towards their particular needs.

In addition to the investigated mechanisms, several other sources of distortions are routinely observed on neuromorphic hardware. A (certainly not exhaustive) list might include mismatch of neuron and synapse parameters, shared parameter values (i.e., not individually configurable for each neuron or synapse) or limited parameter programming resolution. These mechanisms are highly back-end-specific and therefore difficult to generalize. However, although they are likely to pose individual challenges by themselves, some of their ultimate effects on the target network functionality can be alleviated with the compensation strategies proposed here.

Our proposed strategies aim at neuromorphic implementations that compete in terms of network functionality with conventional computers but offer major potential advantages in terms of power consumption, simulation speed and fault tolerance of the used hardware components. If implemented successfully, such neuromorphic systems would serve as fast and efficient simulation engines for computational neuroscience. Their potential advantages would then more than make up for the overhead imposed by the requirement of compensation.

From this point of view, hardware-induced distortions are considered a nuisance, as they hinder precise and reproducible computation. In an alternative approach, one might consider the performance of the system itself at some computational task as the “fitness function” to be maximized. In this context, some particular architecture of an embedded model, together with an associated target behavior, would then become less relevant. Instead, one would design the network structure specifically for the neuromorphic substrate or include training algorithms that are suitable for such an inherently imperfect back-end. The use of particular, “ideal” software models as benchmarks might then given up altogether in favor of a more hardware-oriented, stand-alone approach. Here, too, the proposed compensation strategies can be actively embedded in the design of the models or their training algorithms.

The hardware architecture used for our studies is, indeed, suited for both approaches. It will be an important aspect of future research with neuromorphic systems to develop procedures that tolerate or even actively embrace the temporal and spatial imperfections inherent to all electronic circuits. These questions need to be addressed by both model and hardware developers, in a common effort to determine which architectural aspects are important for the studied computational problems, both from a biological and a machine learning perspective.

Data Availability

The authors confirm that all data underlying the findings are fully available without restriction. The three benchmark models, the performed simulations, as well as the analysis and compensation methods are fully described in the manuscript and the supporting information. For the original L2/3 network with detailed neuron and synapse models, we provide the complete simulation data at:

http://brainscales.kip.uni-heidelberg.de/largePublicContent/plos_one_2014_fit_data.tar.gz

The executable system specification of the BrainScaleS

wafer-scale neuromorphic hardware as used for the simulations in this article is provided on a Linux live-system available at:

http://brainscales.kip.uni-heidelberg.de/largePublicContent/plos_one_2014_ess_live_system.iso

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Eric Müller for his invaluable help with the software infrastructure; Jens Kremkow for his support with the synfire chain model; Mitja Kleider, Christoph Koke, Dominik Schmidt and Sebastian Schmitt for providing measurements from the BrainScaleS neuromorphic system, as well as the necessary framework. This research was supported by EU grant #269921 (BrainScaleS) and the Manfred Stärk Foundation.

References

- Abeles M, Hayon G, Lehmann D (2004) Modeling compositionality by dynamic binding of synfire chains. *Journal of computational neuroscience* 17(2):179–201
- Aertsen A, Diesmann M, Gewaltig MO (1996) Propagation of synchronous spiking activity in feedforward neural networks. *J Physiol Paris* 90(3-4):243–247

- Amit DJ, Brunel N (1997) Model of global spontaneous activity and local structured activity during delay periods in the cerebral cortex. *Cereb Cortex* 7(3):237–52
- Berge HKO, Häfliger P (2007) High-speed serial AER on FPGA. In: ISCAS, IEEE, pp 857–860
- Bergman K, Borkar S, Campbell D, Carlson W, Dally W, Denneau M, Franzon P, Harrod W, Hill K, Hiller J, et al (2008) Exascale computing study: Technology challenges in achieving exascale systems. *Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency Information Processing Techniques Office (DARPA IPTO), Tech Rep* 15
- Bi GQ, Poo MM (1998) Synaptic modifications in cultured hippocampal neurons: dependence on spike timing, synaptic strength, and postsynaptic cell type. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience* 18(24):10,464–10,472
- Bill J, Schuch K, Brüderle D, Schemmel J, Maass W, Meier K (2010) Compensating inhomogeneities of neuromorphic VLSI devices via short-term synaptic plasticity. *Front Comp Neurosci* 4(129)
- Bontorin G, Renaud S, Garenne A, Alvado L, Le Masson G, Tomas J (2007) A real-time closed-loop setup for hybrid neural networks. In: Proceedings of the 29th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBS2007)
- Brette R, Gerstner W (2005) Adaptive exponential integrate-and-fire model as an effective description of neuronal activity. *J Neurophysiol* 94:3637–3642
- Brette R, Rudolph M, Carnevale T, Hines M, Beeman D, Bower JM, Diesmann M, Morrison A, Goodman PH, Harris Jr FC, Zirpe M, Natschlager T, Pecevski D, Ermentrout B, Djurfeldt M, Lansner A, Rochel O, Vieville T, Muller E, Davison AP, Boustani SE, Destexhe A (2007) Simulation of networks of spiking neurons: A review of tools and strategies. *Journal of Computational Neuroscience* 23(3):349–398
- Bringuier V, Chavane F, Glaeser L, Frégnac Y (1999) Horizontal propagation of visual activity in the synaptic integration field of area 17 neurons. *Science* 283(5402):695–699
- Brüderle D, Müller E, Davison A, Muller E, Schemmel J, Meier K (2009) Establishing a novel modeling tool: A python-based interface for a neuromorphic hardware system. *Front Neuroinform* 3(17)
- Brüderle D, Petrovici M, Vogginger B, Ehrlich M, Pfeil T, Millner S, Grübl A, Wendt K, Müller E, Schwartz MO, de Oliveira D, Jeltsch S, Fieres J, Schilling M, Müller P, Breitwieser O, Petkov V, Muller L, Davison A, Krishnamurthy P, Kremkow J, Lundqvist M, Muller E, Partzsch J, Scholze S, Zühl L, Mayr C, Destexhe A, Diesmann M, Potjans T, Lansner A, Schüffny R, Schemmel J, Meier K (2011) A comprehensive workflow for general-purpose neural modeling with highly configurable neuromorphic hardware systems. *Biological Cybernetics* 104:263–296
- Brunel N (2000) Dynamics of sparsely connected networks of excitatory and inhibitory spiking neurons. *Journal of Computational Neuroscience* 8(3):183–208
- Buxhoeveden D, Casanova M (2002) The minicolumn and evolution of the brain. *Brain Behav Evol* 60:125–151
- Connors B, Gutnick M (1990) Intrinsic firing patterns of diverse neocortical neurons. *Trends Neurosci* 13:99–104
- Cossart R, Aronov D, Yuste R (2003) Attractor dynamics of network up states in the neocortex. *Nature* 423:238–283
- Costas-Santos J, Serrano-Gotarredona T, Serrano-Gotarredona R, Linares-Barranco B (2007) A spatial contrast retina with on-chip calibration for neuromorphic spike-based AER vision systems. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems* 54(7):1444–1458
- Davison AP, Brüderle D, Eppler J, Kremkow J, Muller E, Pecevski D, Perrinet L, Yger P (2008) PyNN: a common interface for neuronal network simulators. *Front Neuroinform* 2(11)
- Delbrück T, Liu SC (2004) A silicon early visual system as a model animal. *Vision Res* 44(17):2083–2089
- Destexhe A (2009) Self-sustained asynchronous irregular states and Up/Down states in thalamic, cortical and thalamocortical networks of nonlinear integrate-and-fire neurons. *Journal of Computational Neuroscience* 3:493–506
- Destexhe A, Contreras D (2006) Neuronal computations with stochastic network states. *Science* 314(5796):85–90
- Destexhe A, Pare D (1999) Impact of Network Activity on the Integrative Properties of Neocortical Pyramidal Neurons In Vivo. *J Neurophysiol* 81(4):1531–1547
- Destexhe A, Rudolph M, Pare D (2003) The high-conductance state of neocortical neurons in vivo. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 4:739–751
- Diesmann M (2002) Conditions for stable propagation of synchronous spiking in cortical neural networks: Single neuron dynamics and network properties. PhD thesis, Ruhr-Universität Bochum
- Diesmann M, Gewaltig MO (2002) NEST: An environment for neural systems simulations. In: Plesser T, Macho V (eds) *Forschung und wissenschaftliches Rechnen, Beiträge zum Heinz-Billing-Preis 2001*,

- GWDG-Bericht, vol 58, Ges. für Wiss. Datenverarbeitung, Göttingen, pp 43–70
- Diesmann M, Gewaltig MO, Aertsen A (1999) Stable propagation of synchronous spiking in cortical neural networks. *Nature* 402:529–533
- Diesmann M, Gewaltig MO, Rotter S, Aertsen A (2001) State space analysis of synchronous spiking in cortical neural networks. *Neurocomputing* 38:565–571
- Djurfeldt M, Lundqvist M, Johansson C, Rehn M, Ekeberg O, Lansner A (2008) Brain-scale simulation of the neocortex on the ibm blue gene/l supercomputer. *IBM Journal of Research and Development* 52(1.2):31–41
- Ehrlich M, Mayr C, Eisenreich H, Henker S, Srowig A, Grübl A, Schemmel J, Schüffny R (2007) Wafer-scale VLSI implementations of pulse coupled neural networks. In: Proceedings of the International Conference on Sensors, Circuits and Instrumentation Systems (SSD-07)
- Ehrlich M, Wendt K, Zühl L, Schüffny R, Brüderle D, Müller E, Vogginger B (2010) A software framework for mapping neural networks to a wafer-scale neuromorphic hardware system. In: Proceedings of the Artificial Neural Networks and Intelligent Information Processing Conference (ANNIIP) 2010, pp 43–52
- El Boustani S, Destexhe A (2009) A master equation formalism for macroscopic modeling of asynchronous irregular activity states. *Neural Computation* 21(1):46–100
- Eppler JM, Helias M, Muller E, Diesmann M, Gewaltig MO (2008) PyNEST: a convenient interface to the NEST simulator. *Front Neuroinform* 2(12)
- Fieries J, Schemmel J, Meier K (2008) Realizing biological spiking network models in a configurable wafer-scale hardware system. In: Proceedings of the 2008 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN)
- Furber SB, Lester DR, Plana LA, Garside JD, Painkras E, Temple S, Brown AD (2012) Overview of the SpiNNaker system architecture. *IEEE Transactions on Computers* 99(PrePrints)
- Galluppi F, Rast A, Davies S, Furber S (2010) A general-purpose model translation system for a universal neural chip. In: Wong K, Mendis B, Bouzerdoum A (eds) Neural Information Processing. Theory and Algorithms, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 6443, Springer Berlin / Heidelberg, pp 58–65
- Giulioni M, Camilleri P, Mattia M, Dante V, Braun J, Del Giudice P (2012) Robust working memory in an asynchronously spiking neural network realized in neuromorphic vlsi. *Frontiers in Neuroscience* 5(149)
- González-Burgos G, Barrionuevo G, Lewis DA (2000) Horizontal synaptic connections in monkey prefrontal cortex: An in vitro electrophysiological study. *Cerebral Cortex* 10(1):82–92
- Häfliger P (2007) Adaptive WTA with an analog VLSI neuromorphic learning chip. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks* 18(2):551–72
- Hartmann S, Schiefer S, Scholze S, Partzsch J, Mayr C, Henker S, Schuffny R (2010) Highly integrated packet-based aer communication infrastructure with 3gevent/s throughput. In: Electronics, Circuits, and Systems (ICECS), 2010 17th IEEE International Conference on, pp 950–953
- Hasler J, Marr HB (2013) Finding a roadmap to achieve large neuromorphic hardware systems. *Frontiers in Neuroscience* 7(118)
- Helias M, Kunkel S, Masumoto G, Igarashi J, Eppler JM, Ishii S, Fukai T, Morrison A, Diesmann M (2012) Supercomputers ready for use as discovery machines for neuroscience. *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics* 6(26)
- Hellwig B (2000) A quantitative analysis of the local connectivity between pyramidal neurons in layers 2/3 of the rat visual cortex. *Biological Cybernetics* 82:111–121
- Hines M, Carnevale N (2003) The NEURON simulation environment., M.A. Arbib, pp 769–773
- Hines ML, Davison AP, Muller E (2009) NEURON and Python. *Front Neuroinform*
- Hirsch J, Gilbert C (1991) Synaptic physiology of horizontal connections in the cat's visual cortex. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 11(6):1800–1809
- Indiveri G (2008) Neuromorphic vlsi models of selective attention: From single chip vision sensors to multi-chip systems. *Sensors* 8(9):5352–5375
- Indiveri G, Chicca E, Douglas R (2006) A VLSI array of low-power spiking neurons and bistable synapses with spike-timing dependent plasticity. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks* 17(1):211–221
- Indiveri G, Chicca E, Douglas R (2009) Artificial cognitive systems: From VLSI networks of spiking neurons to neuromorphic cognition. *Cognitive Computation* 1(2):119–127
- Indiveri G, Linares-Barranco B, Hamilton TJ, van Schaik A, Etienne-Cummings R, Delbruck T, Liu SC, Dudek P, Häfliger P, Renaud S, Schemmel J, Cauwenberghs G, Arthur J, Hynna K, Folowosele F, Saighi S, Serrano-Gotarredona T, Wijekoon J, Wang Y, Boahen K (2011) Neuromorphic silicon neuron circuits. *Frontiers in Neuroscience* 5(0)
- Kampa BM, Letzkus JJ, Stuart GJ (2006) Cortical feed-forward networks for binding different streams of sensory information. *Nature Neuroscience* 9(12):1472–1473

- Kremkow J, Aertsen A, Kumar A (2010a) Gating of signal propagation in spiking neural networks by balanced and correlated excitation and inhibition. *The Journal of neuroscience* 30(47):15,760–15,768
- Kremkow J, Perrinet L, Masson G, Aertsen A (2010b) Functional consequences of correlated excitatory and inhibitory conductances in cortical networks. *J Comput Neurosci* 28:579–594
- Kumar A, Schrader S, Aertsen A, Rotter S (2008) The high-conductance state of cortical networks. *Neural Computation* 20(1):1–43
- Laing C, Lord GJ (2009) *Stochastic Methods in Neuroscience*. Oxford University Press
- Lande T, Ranjbar H, Ismail M, Berg Y (1996) An analog floating-gate memory in a standard digital technology. In: *Microelectronics for Neural Networks, 1996., Proceedings of Fifth International Conference on*, pp 271–276
- Lewis MA, Etienne-Cummings R, Cohen AH, Hartmann M (2000) Toward biomorphic control using custom aVLSI chips. In: *Proceedings of the International conference on robotics and automation, IEEE Press*
- Lundqvist M, Rehn M, Djurfeldt M, Lansner A (2006) Attractor dynamics in a modular network of neocortex. *Network: Computation in Neural Systems* 17(3):253–276
- Lundqvist M, Compte A, Lansner A (2010) Bistable, irregular firing and population oscillations in a modular attractor memory network. *PLoS Comput Biol* 6(6)
- Markram H, Gupta A, Uziel A, Wang Y, Tsodyks M (1998) Information processing with frequency-dependent synaptic connections. *Neurobiol Learn Mem* 70(1-2):101–112
- Markram H, Toledo-Rodriguez M, Wang Y, Gupta A, Silberberg G, Wu C (2004) Interneurons of the neocortical inhibitory system. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 5(10):793–807
- McDonnell MD, Boahen K, Ijspeert A, Sejnowski TJ (eds) (2014) *Engineering Intelligent Electronic Systems Based on Computational Neuroscience*, Proceedings of the IEEE, vol 102: 5
- Mead CA (1989) *Analog VLSI and Neural Systems*. Addison Wesley, Reading, MA
- Mead CA (1990) Neuromorphic electronic systems. *Proceedings of the IEEE* 78:1629–1636
- Mead CA, Mahowald MA (1988) A silicon model of early visual processing. *Neural Networks* 1(1):91–97
- Merolla PA, Boahen K (2006) Dynamic computation in a recurrent network of heterogeneous silicon neurons. In: *Proceedings of the 2006 IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems (ISCAS 2006)*
- Millner S, Grübl A, Meier K, Schemmel J, Schwartz MO (2010) A VLSI implementation of the adaptive exponential integrate-and-fire neuron model. In: Lafferty J, Williams CKI, Shawe-Taylor J, Zemel R, Culotta A (eds) *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 23, pp 1642–1650
- Mitra S, Fusi S, Indiveri G (2009) Real-time classification of complex patterns using spike-based learning in neuromorphic VLSI. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Circuits and Systems* 3(1):32–42
- Morrison A, Mehring C, Geisel T, Aertsen A, Diesmann M (2005) Advancing the boundaries of high connectivity network simulation with distributed computing. *Neural Comput* 17(8):1776–1801
- Morrison A, Diesmann M, Gerstner W (2008) Phenomenological models of synaptic plasticity based on spike timing. *Biological Cybernetics* 98(6):459–478
- Mountcastle VB (1997) The columnar organization of the neocortex. *Brain* 120(4):701–722
- Muller L, Destexhe A (2012) Propagating waves in thalamus, cortex and the thalamocortical system: Experiments and models. *Journal of Physiology-Paris* 106(5–6):222–238
- Murakoshi T, Guo JZ, Ichinose T (1993) Electrophysiological identification of horizontal synaptic connections in rat visual cortex in vitro. *Neuroscience Letters* 163(2):211–214
- Netter T, Franceschini N (2002) A robotic aircraft that follows terrain using a neuromorphic eye. In: *Conf. Intelligent Robots and System*, pp 129–134
- Perin R, Berger TK, Markram H (2011) A synaptic organizing principle for cortical neuronal groups. *PNAS* pp 5419–5424
- Peters A, Sethares C (1997) The organization of double bouquet cells in monkey striate cortex. *Journal of Neurocytology* 26(12):779–797
- Pfeil T, Potjans TC, Schrader S, Potjans W, Schemmel J, Diesmann M, Meier K (2012) Is a 4-bit synaptic weight resolution enough? - constraints on enabling spike-timing dependent plasticity in neuromorphic hardware. *Frontiers in Neuroscience* 6(90)
- Pfeil T, Grübl A, Jeltsch S, Müller E, Müller P, Petrovici MA, Schmuker M, Brüderle D, Schemmel J, Meier K (2013) Six networks on a universal neuromorphic computing substrate. *Frontiers in Neuroscience* 7:11
- Renaud S, Tomas J, Bornat Y, Daouzli A, Saighi S (2007) Neuromimetic ICs with analog cores: an alternative for simulating spiking neural networks. In: *Proceedings of the 2007 IEEE Symposium on Circuits and Systems (ISCAS2007)*
- Rieke F, Warland D, de Ruyter van Steveninck R, Bialek W (1997) *Spikes - Exploring the neural code*.

- MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Rocke P, McGinley B, Maher J, Morgan F, Harkin J (2008) Investigating the suitability of fpaas for evolved hardware spiking neural networks. In: Hornby G, Sekanina L, Haddow P (eds) *Evolvable Systems: From Biology to Hardware*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 5216, Springer Berlin / Heidelberg, pp 118–129
- Roxin A, Brunel N, Hansel D (2005) Role of delays in shaping spatiotemporal dynamics of neuronal activity in large networks. *Phys Rev Lett* 94:238,103
- Schemmel J, Grünbl A, Meier K, Müller E (2006) Implementing synaptic plasticity in a VLSI spiking neural network model. In: *Proceedings of the 2006 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN)*, IEEE Press
- Schemmel J, Brüderle D, Meier K, Ostendorf B (2007) Modeling synaptic plasticity within networks of highly accelerated I&F neurons. In: *Proceedings of the 2007 IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems (ISCAS)*, IEEE Press, pp 3367–3370
- Schemmel J, Fieres J, Meier K (2008) Wafer-scale integration of analog neural networks. In: *Proceedings of the 2008 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN)*
- Schemmel J, Brüderle D, Grünbl A, Hock M, Meier K, Millner S (2010) A wafer-scale neuromorphic hardware system for large-scale neural modeling. In: *Proceedings of the 2010 IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems (ISCAS)*, pp 1947–1950
- Scholz S, Henker S, Partzsch J, Mayr C, Schuffny R (2010) Optimized queue based communication in vlsi using a weakly ordered binary heap. In: *Mixed Design of Integrated Circuits and Systems (MIXDES)*, 2010 Proceedings of the 17th International Conference, pp 316–320
- Scholz S, Eisenreich H, Höppner S, Ellguth G, Henker S, Ander M, Hänzsche S, Partzsch J, Mayr C, Schuffny R (2011a) A 32 GBit/s communication SoC for a waferscale neuromorphic system. *Integration, the VLSI Journal*
- Scholz S, Schiefer S, Partzsch J, Hartmann S, Mayr CG, Höppner S, Eisenreich H, Henker S, Vogginger B, Schuffny R (2011b) VLSI implementation of a 2.8GEvent/s packet based AER interface with routing and event sorting functionality. *Frontiers in Neuromorphic Engineering* 5(117):1–13
- Schrader S, Diesmann M, Morrison A (2010) A compositionality machine realized by a hierarchic architecture of synfire chains. *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience* 4
- Serrano-Gotarredona R, Oster M, Lichtsteiner P, Linares-Barranco A, Paz-Vicente R, Gómez-Rodríguez F, Riis HK, Delbrück T, Liu SC, Zahnd S, Whatley AM, Douglas RJ, Häfliger P, Jimenez-Moreno G, Civit A, Serrano-Gotarredona T, Acosta-Jiménez A, Linares-Barranco B (2006) AER building blocks for multi-layer multi-chip neuromorphic vision systems. In: Weiss Y, Schölkopf B, Platt J (eds) *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 18*, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, pp 1217–1224
- Serrano-Gotarredona R, Oster M, Lichtsteiner P, Linares-Barranco A, Paz-Vicente R, Gomez-Rodriguez F, Camunas-Mesa L, Berner R, Rivas-Perez M, Delbruck T, Liu SC, Douglas R, Häfliger P, Jimenez-Moreno G, Ballcells A, Serrano-Gotarredona T, Acosta-Jimenez A, Linares-Barranco B (2009) Caviar: A 45k neuron, 5m synapse, 12g connects/s aer hardware sensory–processing–learning–actuating system for high-speed visual object recognition and tracking. *Neural Networks, IEEE Transactions on* 20(9):1417–1438
- Song S, Sjöström PJ, Reigl M, Nelson S, Chklovskii DB (2005) Highly nonrandom features of synaptic connectivity in cortical circuits. *PLOS Biology* 3(3):517–519
- Telfeian AE, Connors BW (2003) Widely integrative properties of layer 5 pyramidal cells support a role for processing of extralaminar synaptic inputs in rat neocortex. *Neuroscience Letters* 343(2):121–124
- Thomson AM, West DC, Wang Y, Bannister AP (2002) Synaptic connections and small circuits involving excitatory and inhibitory neurons in layers 2–5 of adult rat and cat neocortex: triple intracellular recordings and biocytin labelling in vitro. *Cerebral Cortex* 12:936–953
- Vogels TP, Abbott LF (2005) Signal propagation and logic gating in networks of integrate-and-fire neurons. *J Neurosci* 25(46):10,786–95
- Vogelstein RJ, Mallik U, Vogelstein JT, Cauwenberghs G (2007) Dynamically reconfigurable silicon array of spiking neuron with conductance-based synapses. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks* 18:253–265
- Zou Q, Bornat Y, Tomas J, Renaud S, Destexhe A (2006) Real-time simulations of networks of hodgkin-huxley neurons using analog circuits. *Neurocomputing* 69:1137–1140

Appendix S1 Neuromorphic hardware

S1.1 Short-term plasticity

As mentioned in Sec. 2.1.1, the hardware short-term plasticity mechanism is an implementation of the phenomenological model by Markram et al (1998). We first describe the hardware STP model and then provide the translation between the original and the hardware model.

Model description Unlike the theoretical model Markram et al (1998), which allows the occurrence of both depression and facilitation at the same time, the hardware implementation does not allow their simultaneous activation. The ongoing pre-synaptic activity is tracked with a time-varying active partition I with $0 \leq I \leq 1$, which decays exponentially to zero with time constant τ_{stdf} . Following a pre-synaptic spike, I is increased by a fixed fraction $U_{\text{SE}}(1 - I)$, resulting in the following dynamics for the active partition:

$$I_{n+1} = [I_n + U_{\text{SE}}(1 - I_n)] \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta t}{\tau_{\text{stdf}}}\right) \quad , \quad (\text{S1.1})$$

with Δt being the time interval between the n th and $(n + 1)$ st afferent spike.

This active partition can be used to model depressing or facilitating synapses as follows:

$$w_{\text{STP}}^{\text{depression}} = 1 - \lambda \cdot I \quad (\text{S1.2})$$

$$w_{\text{STP}}^{\text{facilitation}} = 1 + \lambda \cdot (I - \beta) \quad . \quad (\text{S1.3})$$

Here, w_{STP}^x corresponds to a multiplicative factor to the static synaptic weight, with λ and β being configurable variables, and x denotes the mode being either depression or facilitation.

According to Eq. 8 the n -th effective synaptic weight is then given by

$$w_n^{\text{syn}} = w_{\text{static}} w_{\text{STP}}^x \quad . \quad (\text{S1.4})$$

Due to a technical limitation, the change of synaptic weights by STP can not be larger than the static weight, such that $0 \leq w_{\text{STP}}^x \leq 2$. We refer to Schemmel et al (2008) for details of the hardware implementation of STP and to Bill et al (2010) for neural network experiments on neuromorphic hardware using this STP model.

Transformation from original model The original model by Markram et al (1998) (Eq. 8) can be translated to the hardware model (Eq. S1.1 to S1.3) when one of the two time constants (τ_{rec} or τ_{facil}) is equal to zero.

For depression only ($\tau_{\text{facil}} = 0$), the n th synaptic weight is given by (cf. Eq. 8):

$$w_n^{\text{syn}} = w_{\text{max}}^{\text{syn}} R_n U \quad . \quad (\text{S1.5})$$

The time course of R can be exactly represented by $(1 - I)$ if the scaling factor λ of the short-term plasticity mechanism is set to 1. Additionally, the static synaptic weight w_{static} has to be adapted such that the applied synaptic weights are equal, giving us the following transformation: $\tau_{\text{stdf}} = \tau_{\text{rec}}$, $U_{\text{SE}} = U$, $\lambda = 1$ and $w_{\text{static}} = w_{\text{max}}^{\text{syn}} U$.

For facilitation only ($\tau_{\text{rec}} = 0$), the recovered partition remains fully available all the time ($R = 1 = \text{const}$) and only the utilization varies with time. Thus the n th synaptic weight is given by:

$$w_n^{\text{syn}} = w_{\text{max}}^{\text{syn}} u_n \quad . \quad (\text{S1.6})$$

The time course of u now has to be emulated by the right-hand side of Eq. S1.3; more precisely, we use I to represent the course of $u - U$. Additionally we set $U_{\text{SE}} = U$ and $\tau_{\text{stdf}} = \tau_{\text{facil}}$, and level the limits for the synaptic weights. In the original model, u is always between U and 1, while for the hardware model the STP factor is limited to values between 0 and 2 due to technical reasons. By setting $\lambda = 1$ and considering that I is always within 0 and 1, the supplied range for $w_{\text{STP}}^{\text{facilitation}}$ is $[1 - \beta, 2 - \beta]$. In order to match the range of applied weights of both models, we need to solve the following system of equations:

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \beta) \cdot w_{\text{static}} &= U \cdot w_{\text{max}}^{\text{syn}} \\ (2 - \beta) \cdot w_{\text{static}} &= 1 \cdot w_{\text{max}}^{\text{syn}} \quad . \end{aligned}$$

Solving for w_{static} and β yields

$$\begin{aligned} w_{\text{static}} &= (1 - U) \cdot w_{\text{max}}^{\text{syn}} \\ \beta &= \frac{1 - 2U}{1 - U} \quad . \end{aligned}$$

S1.2 Parameter ranges

Here, we provide a full list of available parameter ranges for the BSS waferscale platform in Tab. S1.1. As mentioned in Sec. 2.1.1, one has the choice between two

different capacitances in the hardware neuron. The parameter ranges specified in Tab. S1.1 correspond to the big capacitance (2.6 pF). When using the small capacitance (0.4 pF) some parameter ranges change: the limits of τ_m are multiplied by $\frac{0.4}{2.6}$, the ranges for a , b , and the synaptic weight are divided by $\frac{0.4}{2.6}$. The ranges for electric potentials of the AdEx model (E^{spike} , E^r , E_L , E_T , $E^{\text{rev,e}}$ and $E^{\text{rev,i}}$) result from the following transformation from biological to hardware voltages (cf. Sec. 2.2):

$$V_{\text{hardware}} = \alpha_V \cdot V_{\text{bio}} + V_{\text{shift}} \quad , \quad (\text{S1.7})$$

with $\alpha_V = 10$ and $V_{\text{shift}} = 1300$ mV.

In Tab. S1.2 we show how the tradeoff between total neuron number and maximum fan-in per neuron is realized on this device.

S1.3 Parameter Variation Measurements

Fig. S1.1 shows variation measurements on HICANN chips. These measurements allow us to estimate the

Table S1.2: **List of typical usage scenarios of the wafer-scale hardware system**

Nr of Neurons	Synapses/ Neuron	DenMems/ Neuron	Neurons/ HICANN
196 608	224	1	512
98 304	448	2	256
49 152	896	4	128
24 576	1792	8	64
12 288	3584	16	32
6144	7168	32	16
3072	14 336	64	8

One can either opt for many neurons with few synapses or for fewer neurons but a higher connection density.

amount of variation that is present in the circuits (Sec. 2.1.1 and 2.4).

The measurements are conducted on a single-chip prototype system (plots **A-D**) and on one chip on a prototype wafer system (plots **E** and **F**). Some neurons (on the right-hand-side of the plots) had been previously labeled non-functional and blacklisted, therefore show-

Table S1.1: **Parameter ranges of the BrainScaleS wafer-scale hardware**

Description	Name	Min	Max	Unit	Comment
Neuron (Adaptive Exponential Integrate&Fire)					
Absolute refractory period	τ_{refrac}	0.16	10.0	ms	
Spike detection potential	E^{spike}	-125.0	45.0	mV	
Reset potential	E^r	-125.0	45.0	mV	
Leakage reversal potential	E_L	-125.0	45.0	mV	
Membrane time constant	τ_m	9	105	ms	
Adaptation coupling param	a	0	10.0	nS	adaptation can be fully disabled
Spike triggered adapt. param	b	0	86	pA	
Adaptation time constant	τ_w	20.0	780.0	ms	
Threshold slope factor	Δ_T	0.4	3.0	mV	exponential spike generation can be
Spike initiation threshold	E_T	-125.0	45.0	mV	fully disabled
Excitatory reversal potential	$E^{\text{rev,e}}$	-125.0	45.0	mV	
Inhibitory reversal potential	$E^{\text{rev,i}}$	-125.0	45.0	mV	
Exc. synaptic time constant	$\tau^{\text{syn,e}}$	1.0	100.0	ms	
Inh. synaptic time constant	$\tau^{\text{syn,i}}$	1.0	100.0	ms	
Synapses					
Weight	w^{syn}	0	0.300	μS	4-bit resolution
Axonal delay (on-wafer)	delay	1.2	2.2	ms	not configurable
Short Term Plasticity					
Utilization of synaptic efficacy	U	0.11	0.47		possible values: $[\frac{1}{9}, \frac{3}{11}, \frac{5}{13}, \frac{7}{15}]$
Recovery time constant	τ_{rec}	40.0	900.0	ms	One of the two time constants has to be
Facilitation time constant	τ_{facil}	35.0	200.0	ms	set to 0.0. Available range depends on U (maximum range given).
Stimulus					
External spike sources	ν	0.0	4000	Hz	cf. Scholze et al (2011b)

All ranges correspond to a membrane capacitance of $C_m = 0.2$ nF and a hardware speedup of 10^4 compared to real time. It is possible to choose an arbitrary value for C_m , but then the ranges of parameters a , b and of the synaptic weights are multiplied by $\frac{C_m}{0.2 \text{ nF}}$.

ing no data points. They will also be omitted during system operation. Additionally, neurons that exhibit a larger variation than a chosen threshold can be black-listed as well, reducing the total number of available neurons, but also limiting the magnitude of parameter noise. This effect is not explicitly included in the ESS simulations in the main text, but it is conceptually covered by some of the experiments, where the network is restricted to only a small fraction of the wafer (Sec. 3.1.7), or where additionally parts of the synapses are declared as not available (Sec. 3.2.6).

From the measurements in Fig. S1.1, we can e.g. estimate the variation of the voltages E^{spike} , E_L , $E^{\text{rev,e}}$ and $E^{\text{rev,i}}$ in the biological domain: For all, the vast majority of neurons has a trial-to-trial variation below 10 mV on the hardware, which corresponds to 1 mV in the biological when using a voltage scaling factor $\alpha_V = 10$ (cf. Eq. S1.7).




Fig. S1.1: (A-D) Cumulative distribution of trial-to-trial variation for selected parameters. Each graph shows the number of neurons on one chip with a standard deviation of the measured value that is less than the value shown on the ordinate. All values are given in hardware units. In order to obtain values in the biological domain (Sec. 2.2), the voltages must be divided by the conversion factor of $\alpha_V = 10$ (cf. Eq. S1.7). The standard deviation was estimated from 30 measurements for each neuron. (A) Leakage potential (B) Threshold potential (C, D) Excitatory and inhibitory reversal potential (E) Relative variation of the PSP integral. The standard deviation was estimated from 20 trials per neuron. Neurons were omitted from the measurements when an initial sweep over the available parameter range did not include the required PSP integral of 8×10^{-9} V s. (F) Example PSP traces for a randomly chosen neuron from the measurement in (E). In order to minimize readout noise, each trace is an average over 400 individual PSPs which were evoked in short succession without rewriting floating gate parameters. As the re-write variation is the main source of trial-to-trial variability (Sec. 2.1.1), the variation within the 400 samples is much smaller than the trial-to-trial variation that is shown in figures (E) and (F).

Table S2.4: **Original network structure: connection probabilities**

within an MC	
PYR $\rightarrow$ PYR	0.25
RSNP $\rightarrow$ PYR	0.70
between MCs inside the same HC	
PYR $\rightarrow$ BAS	0.70
BAS $\rightarrow$ PYR	0.70
between MCs in different HCs	
PYR $\rightarrow$ PYR	0.30
PYR $\rightarrow$ RSNP	0.17

Appendix S2 Cortical layer 2/3 attractor memory

S2.1 Original model parameters

In Tab. S2.1, Tab. S2.2, Tab. S2.3 and Tab. S2.4, we summarize the parameters and characteristics of the original model, as found in Lundqvist et al (2006). These have served as the basis for the model fit, for which the parameters can be found in the next subsection.

S2.2 Fitted Hardware-Compatible Parameters

Tab. S2.5, Tab. S2.6 and Tab. S2.7 contain all parameters required for the fits described in Sec. 3.1.3. All fits were performed by minimizing the L^2 -norm of the distance between the simulated traces (Fig. S2.1 A - C, G - L) or between spike timings (Fig. S2.1 D - F).

The diffuse background stimulus was generated by Poisson spike sources at a total rate of 300 Hz per PYR cell.

Apart from random noise, the PYR cells further receive input from other PYR cells in cortical layer 4. The input intensity was calculated from the number of cells in layer 4 likely to project onto layer 2/3, which was estimated to be around 30 with a rate of approximately 10 Hz and a connection density of 25 % Lundqvist et al (2006).

Therefore, a Poisson process with 75 Hz was used for each PYR cell input. Since we used static synapses for the Poisson input, the synaptic weights for source-PYR connections were chosen as 30% of PYR-PYR connections within the MCs. This was verified for compliance the original model from Lundqvist et al (2006), which uses 7 to 8 sources per stimulated PYR cell with a rate of 10 Hz each and depressing synapses. For each stimulus event in the pattern completion and rivalry experiments (described below), layer 4 cells were set to fire

Table S2.7: **Stimulus parameters for the L2/3 model**

Background	
# of sources per PYR	1
rate	300 Hz
weight	0.000 224 μ S
Shared background pool	
# of sources per PYR	100 out of 5000 total
rate	3 Hz
weight	0.000 224 μ S
L4	
# of sources per MC	5
PL4 $\rightarrow$ PYR	0.75
weight	0.001 237 5 μ S (30% local PYR $\rightarrow$ PYR)

for 60 ms. In each stimulated MC, 6 PYR cells were targeted from layer 4.

Tab. S2.8 shows the average firing rates for the different cell types in the network when only certain synapses are active.

S2.3 Delays

Each connection within the same MC was set to have constant synaptic delay of 0.5 ms. Additionally, axonal delays for connections between different MCs were realized by taking into account their spatial distance at an average axonal propagation speed of 200 μ m/ms. Both the HCs in the whole network as well as the MCs within a single HC are laid out on a hexagonal grid with a edge length of 500 μ m (HC $\leftrightarrow$ HC) / 60 μ m (MC $\leftrightarrow$ MC). In the default network (9HC $\times$ 9MC) this leads to delays between 0.5 ms and 8 ms.

S2.4 Scaling

Due to the modularity of this network model, several straightforward possibilities exist for increasing or decreasing its size without affecting its basic functionality. One can vary the total number of neurons simply by modifying the number of cells per MC. One can also vary the number of MCs per attractor by varying the total number of HCs. And finally, one can change the number of attractors by changing the number of MCs per HC accordingly.

All such changes need to be accompanied by corresponding modifications in connectivity in order to preserve the network dynamics. This has been done by keeping the average input current per neuron within an active attractor constant, which is equivalent to conserving the fan-in for every neuron from every one of

Table S2.1: **Original neuron parameters**

Parameter	PYR	RSNP	BAS	Unit
g_{ext}	0.082	0.15	0.15	$\mu\text{S}/\text{mm}^2$
E_{leak}	-75	0.15	-75	mV
E_{Na}	50	50	50	mV
E_{Ca}	150	150	150	mV
E_{K}	-80	-80	-80	mV
$E_{\text{Ca}}(\text{NMDA})$	20	20	20	mV
g_{L}	0.74	0.44	0.44	$\mu\text{F}/\text{mm}^2$
C_{m}	0.01	0.01	0.01	$\mu\text{F}/\text{mm}^2$
Soma diameter $\pm$ stdev	21 ± 2.1	7 ± 0.7	7 ± 0.7	μm
g_{Na} initial segment	2500	2500	2500	$\mu\text{S}/\text{mm}^2$
g_{K} initial segment	83	5010	5010	$\mu\text{S}/\text{mm}^2$
g_{Na} soma	150	150	150	$\mu\text{S}/\text{mm}^2$
g_{K} soma	250	1000	1000	$\mu\text{S}/\text{mm}^2$
g_{NMDA}	75.0	75.0	-	$\mu\text{S}/\text{mm}^2$
Ca_V influx rate	1.00	1.00	1.00	$\text{mV}^{-1}\text{ms}^{-1}\text{mm}^{-2}$
Ca_{NMDA} influx rate	2.96	0.0106	-	$\text{s}^{-1}\text{mV}^{-1}\mu\text{S}^{-1}$
Ca_V decay rate	6.3	4	-	s^{-1}
Ca_{NMDA} decay rate	1	1	-	s^{-1}
$g_{\text{K}}(\text{Ca}_V)$	29.4	105	0.368	nS
$g_{\text{K}}(\text{Ca}_{\text{NMDA}})$	40	40	-	nS
# compartments	6	3	3	
Dendritic area (relative soma)	4	4	4	
Initial segment area (relative soma)	0.1	0.1	0.1	

Table S2.2: **Original synapse parameters**

Pre $\rightarrow$ Post	Type	Duration [s]	τ_{raise} [s]	τ_{decay} [s]	E^{rev} [mV]	U	τ_{rec} [s]	E_{slow} [mV]
PYR $\rightarrow$ PYR	Kainate/AMPA	0.0	0.0	0.006	0	0.25	0.575	-
PYR $\rightarrow$ PYR	NMDA	0.02	0.005	0.150	0	0.25	0.575	0.020
PYR $\rightarrow$ BAS	Kainate/AMPA	0.0	0.0	0.006	0	-	-	-
PYR $\rightarrow$ RSNP	Kainate/AMPA	0.0	0.0	0.006	0	-	-	-
PYR $\rightarrow$ RSNP	NMDA	0.02	0.005	0.150	0	-	-	0.020
BAS $\rightarrow$ PYR	GABA	0.0	0.0	0.006	-85	-	-	-
RSNP $\rightarrow$ PYR	GABA	0.0	0.0	0.006	-85	-	-	-

Table S2.3: **Original network structure: number of neurons per functional unit**

	HCs	MCs	PYR	BAS	RSNP	total neurons
per MC	-	-	30	1	2	33
per HC	-	8	240	8	16	264
network total	9	72	2160	72	144	2376

its afferent populations and leads to the scaling rules shown in Tab. S2.9. In order to facilitate a comparison with the original results from Lundqvist et al (2006) and Lundqvist et al (2010), we have only considered homogeneous changes, meaning that all modules (MCs, HCs) were equal in size and symmetrically connected.

The connections to the BAS cells required special treatment for two reasons. Firstly, during an active state, they receive input from a single MC, but are excited by all MCs in a HC during the competition period between active attractors. Only one aspect can be preserved when scaling and we have considered the dynamics during UP states as most important, leading

to a "PYR $\rightarrow$ BAS" scaling rule independent of N_{MC} . Secondly, because PYR cells in MCs only project to the nearest 8 BAS cells, there are always precisely 8 active BAS cells per HC within an active attractor, which yields a simple "BAS $\rightarrow$ PYR" scaling rule. When decreasing the number of attractors however, the number of existing BAS cells per HC also decreases, making an appropriate connection density scaling necessary. This is the reason for the two different "BAS $\rightarrow$ PYR" scaling rules found in Tab. S2.9.

Tab. S2.10 shows the combinations of N_{HC} and N_{MC} used for the quantification of synapse loss after mapping the L2/3 model onto the hardware in Fig. 11. In

Table S2.5: **Fitted neuron parameters for the L2/3 model**

Parameter	PYR	RSNP	BAS	Unit	Comment
C_m	0.179	0.0072	0.00688	nF	from the fits in Fig. S2.1 A-C
$E^{\text{rev,e}}$	0.0	0.0	0.0	mV	difference to original model compensated by synaptic weights
$E^{\text{rev,i}}$	-80.0	-	-	mV	difference to original model compensated by synaptic weights
τ_m	16.89	15.32	15.64	ms	from the fits in Fig. S2.1 A-C
τ_{refrac}	0.16	0.16	0.16	ms	minimum available in hardware at the used speedup
$\tau^{\text{syn,e}}$	17.5	66.6	6.0	ms	see paragraph "Synapses"
$\tau^{\text{syn,i}}$	6.0	-	-	ms	see paragraph "Synapses"
V_{reset}	-60.7	-72.5	-72.5	mV	from the fits in Fig. S2.1 D-F
E_L	-61.71	-57.52	-56.0	mV	from the fits in Fig. S2.1 D-F
a	0.0	0.28	0.0	nS	see fig from the fit in Fig. S2.1 B
b	0.0132	0.00103	0.0	nA	from the fits in Fig. S2.1 D, E
ΔT	0.0	0.0	0.0	mV	from the fits in Fig. S2.1 D-F
τ_w	196.0	250.0	0.0	ms	from the fits in Fig. S2.1 D, E
E^{spike}	-53.0	-51.0	-52.5	mV	from the fits in Fig. S2.1 D-F
V_T	-	-	-	mV	not used since $\Delta T = 0$

Table S2.6: **Fitted synapse parameters for the L2/3 model**

Pre-Post	type	weight [μS]	τ^{syn} [ms]	U	τ_{rec} [ms]	τ_{facil} [ms]
PYR-PYR (local)	exc	0.004125	17.5	0.27	575.	0.
PYR-PYR (global)	exc	0.000615	17.5	0.27	575.	0.
PYR-BAS	exc	0.000092	6.0	-	-	-
PYR-RSNP	exc	0.000024	66.6	-	-	-
BAS-PYR	inh	0.0061	6.0	-	-	-
RSNP-PYR	inh	0.0032	6.0	-	-	-
background-PYR	exc	0.000224	17.5	-	-	-

Table S2.8: **Average firing rates (in Hz) of the different cell types of the L2/3 model with only certain synapses active**

setup no.	active synapses	ν_{PYR}	ν_{RSNP}	ν_{BAS}
1	background-PYR, PYR-BAS, PYR-RSNP	0.738 ± 0.096	57.946 ± 6.993	4.655 ± 1.081
2	same as 1 + BAS-PYR	0.174 ± 0.021	13.430 ± 1.910	1.119 ± 0.441
3	same as 1 + RSNP-PYR	0.257 ± 0.037	20.375 ± 2.536	1.783 ± 0.954
4	same as 2 + 3 + PYR-PYR (local)	0.200 ± 0.030	14.679 ± 2.261	1.258 ± 0.544
5	same as 2 + 3 + PYR-PYR (global)	0.204 ± 0.078	14.954 ± 5.680	1.337 ± 0.625

these mapping sweeps the diffusive background noise was modeled, as for the large-scale network ported to the ESS (Sec. 3.1.7), with a background pool of 5000 Poisson sources and every PYR cell receiving input from 100 of the sources.

S2.5 UP-state detection

One crucial element of the analysis is the detection of UP-states from which various other properties such as dwell times, competition times as well as average spike-rates in UP- and DOWN-states are determined. The method of choice for detecting UP-states is based on the fact that the mean spike rate of an attractor during an UP-state is much higher than the spike rate in all remaining patterns in their corresponding DOWN-states, whereas – in times of competition – two or more attractors have elevated but rather similar spike rates.

A measure which quantifies this relationship is the standard deviation σ of all mean spike rates per attractor *at a given time* t . The attractor with index i is then said to be in an UP-state at time t if the following relation holds:

$$r_i(t) > c \cdot \sigma(t) > \max_{r \in \{1, \dots, N_{\text{MC}}\} \setminus i} r_k(t) \quad , \quad (\text{S2.1})$$

where $r_i(t)$ is the rate of attractor i at time t and c is a numerical constant which is set to 1.

This method of detection has several advantages: it is based exclusively on spike trains (and not voltages or conductances, which are more difficult to read out and require much more storage space), it has a clear notion of there being at most one UP-state at any given time and it is completely local (in time), meaning that a very large value somewhere on the time axis cannot bias the detection at other times.




Fig. S2.1: Comparison of original neuron and synapse dynamics to the fitted dynamics of hardware-compatible models. (A - C) Membrane potential of the three different cell types (PYR, RSNP, and BAS, respectively) under subthreshold current stimulation. These were used to determine the rest voltage E_L , total equivalent membrane capacitance C_m , membrane time constant τ_m and the adaptation coupling parameter a . (D - F) Membrane potential of the three different cell types (PYR, RSNP, and BAS, respectively) under spike-inducing current stimulation. While the precise membrane potential time course of the original neuron model can not be reproduced by a single-compartment AdEx neuron, it was possible to reproduce the spike timing and especially average firing rates quite accurately. A small deviation of spiking frequency can be observed for RSNP cells during the first 50 ms - in the original model, they adapt slower than their AdEx counterparts. From these fits, the values for the absolute refractory period τ_{refrac} , reset voltage V_{reset} , threshold voltage V_T , slope factor ΔT , spike-triggered adaptation b and adaptation time constant τ_w were extracted. (G - L) PSP fit results for all synapse types of the L2/3 model (PYR→BAS, RSNP→PYR, BAS→PYR, PYR→RSNP, PYR→PYR within a MC, and PYR→PYR between MCs, respectively). The output spikes from D - F have been used as input. These fits were used to determine synaptic weights w^{syn} , time constants τ^{syn} and the TSO parameters U and τ_{refrac} . Because the hardware synapses only support a single conductance decay time constant, as opposed to the two different time constants in the original model for AMPA/kainate and NMDA, we have chosen an intermediate value for τ^{syn} , which constitutes the main reason for the difference in PSP shapes. A second reason lies in the saturating nature of synaptic conductances in the original model, which can not be emulated on the hardware without affecting the required TSO parameters (see Sec. 3.1.3).

In small networks with randomly spiking neurons, it might happen by chance that all but one of the spike rates lie below the (approximately) constant standard deviation. These falsely detected UP-states are very short and can thus easily be filtered out by requiring a minimal duration for UP states, which we chose at 100 ms. This value was chosen after investigating dwell

time histograms, as it distinguishes reliably between random fluctuations and actual active attractors.

S2.6 Pattern Completion

Pattern completion is a basic property of associative-memory networks. By only stimulating a subset of PYR

Table S2.9: **Scaling rules for the connection densities of the L2/3 model**

Connection	Scaled conn. prob. $\tilde{p}$
PYR $\rightarrow$ PYR (same MC)	$29/(N_{\text{PYR}} - 1) \cdot p$
PYR $\rightarrow$ PYR (different MC)	$30/N_{\text{PYR}} \cdot 8/(N_{\text{HC}} - 1) \cdot p$
PYR $\rightarrow$ RSNP	$30/N_{\text{PYR}} \cdot 8/(N_{\text{HC}} - 1) \cdot p$
PYR $\rightarrow$ BAS	$30/N_{\text{PYR}} \cdot p$
RSNP $\rightarrow$ PYR	$2/N_{\text{RSNP}} \cdot p$
BAS $\rightarrow$ PYR (Enlarging)	$1/N_{\text{BAS}} \cdot p$
BAS $\rightarrow$ PYR (Shrinking)	$1/N_{\text{BAS}} \cdot 8/N_{\text{MC}} \cdot p$

N_x represents the number of units of type x (the original values are found in Tab. S2.3). p represents the original connection probability as found in table Tab. S2.4. Whenever a scaled probability $\tilde{p}$ exceeded 1, it was clipped to 1, but the weights of the corresponding synapses were also increased by $w^{\text{syn}} = w^{\text{syn}} \cdot \tilde{p}$.

Table S2.10: **Scaling table for the L2/3 model used for the synapse loss estimation in Fig. 11**

N_{HC}	N_{MC}	total neurons
18	2	1188
9	6	1782
27	3	2673
18	6	3564
36	4	4752
9	18	5346
18	12	7128
27	9	8019
18	18	10692
18	36	21384
36	24	28512
36	36	42768
27	54	48114
45	45	66825

cells pwithin a pattern, the complete pattern is recalled. The activity first spreads within the stimulated MCs, turning them dominant in their corresponding HCs. After that, the activity spreads further to other HCs – while the already dominating MCs stabilize each other through mutual stimulation – activating the whole pattern while suppressing all others. All PYR cells in the corresponding attractor hence enter an UP-state.

To verify the pattern completion ability of the network, a series of simulations was performed. In order to reduce the occurrence of spontaneously activating attractors – which would interfere with the activation of the stimulated attractor – competition was investigated in larger networks of size 25HC $\times$ 25MC, as they exhibit almost no spontaneous attractors (the competition time fractions are much higher, see Fig. 6 H).

For each network, all of the 25 patterns were stimulated one by one in random order. The time between consecutive stimuli was chosen to be 1000ms to ensure minimal influence between patterns. The number

of stimulated MCs (one per HC) was varied over the course of multiple simulations.

After simulation, each network was analyzed for successfully activated patterns. An activation attempt was said to be successful if the stimulated pattern was measured as active within 200ms after the stimulus onset. If another pattern was active up to 75ms or if the stimulated pattern had already been active between 20–500ms prior to the stimulus onset, the attempt was deemed invalid and ignored during the calculation of success ratios. This was done to take into account the fact that a pattern is more difficult to activate when another one is already active or while it is still recovering from a prior activation. From all valid attempts the success probability (assuming a binomial distribution of successful trials) was estimated using the Wilson interval

$$\tilde{p} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{z^2}{n}} \left[\hat{p} + \frac{z^2}{2n} \pm z \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1 - \hat{p})}{n} + \frac{z^2}{4n^2}} \right] \quad (\text{S2.2})$$

where $\hat{p}$ represents the success ratio, n the number of valid attempts and $z = 1$ the desired quantile.

For most experiments (regular, synaptic weight noise and homogeneous synaptic loss) the number of invalid activations was always below 5 (out of 25). The only exception was the PYR population size scaling: starting at 15 PYR cells, the validity rate roughly halves for every reduction in size (by 5 PYR cells per step) due to the increased occurrence of spontaneous attractors. For simulations carried out on the ESS, only 10 patterns out of 25 were stimulated. Out of these 10 attempts, only 5 were valid, on average.

S2.7 Pattern Rivalry / Attentional Blink

Another important feature of the L2/3 model is its ability to reproduce the attentional blink phenomenon, i.e., the inability of one pattern, stimulated by layer 4 input, to terminate another already active pattern and become active itself. This phenomenon was investigated through a series of different networks of same size as in Sec. S2.6 (25HC $\times$ 25MC). For each network, 24 out of 25 patterns were randomly assigned to 12 pairs. Afterwards, pattern rivalry was tested on all of these pairs in intervals of 1000ms.

Let the two patterns in each pair be denoted A and B . In order to guarantee an immediate activation of pattern A , 6 out of 25 HCs were stimulated (as then all completion attempts are successful, see Fig. 6 N). Then, after a certain delay ΔT , pattern B was stimulated with a varying amount of HCs. Both the number

of stimulated HCs as well as the delay ΔT were varied for each network.

The same way as in Sec. S2.6, each network was then analyzed as to whether pattern B was successfully activated or not. If the competing pattern B was activated within 200 ms after the stimulus onset and stayed active for at least 100 ms, the attempt was counted as successful, otherwise it was deemed unsuccessful. As before, attempts during which spontaneously activated patterns intervened were ignored. From all successful and unsuccessful attempts, the success probability was then estimated the same way as in pattern completion, using Eq. S2.2.

The validity ratios for pattern rivalry are not significantly different from those discussed in Sec. S2.6. Most experiments (regular, synaptic weight noise and homogeneous synaptic loss) have 10 to 12 valid attempts (out of 12). As before, for the PYR population size scaling experiments, the number of valid attempts dropped progressively (8.2 ± 1.7 , 4.8 ± 2.1 and 2.2 ± 1.5 valid attempts for 15, 10 and 5 PYR per MCs respectively). Simulations carried out on the ESS had an average of 4 (distorted case) and 6 (compensated case) valid attempts (out of 10).

Different network configurations have been compared in terms of *attentional blink* by estimating the 0.5 iso-probability contour in the following way. For every delay ΔT , the transition point from below to above 0.5 probability for successful activation of the second pattern was estimated by linearly interpolating between the two nearest data points with a success ratio of above and below 0.5, respectively. In case there were several such transition points only the one with the highest stimulus was considered. If no transition point could be identified, the transition was fixed at either 25 or 0 stimulated MCs, depending on whether all success ratios were above or below 0.5. When there were no valid attempts for a certain delay/stimulus pair, its success probability estimate was replaced by the median of all valid activation attempts for that particular time delay ΔT (this only occurred sporadically in ESS and PYR population size scaling with less than 15 PYR cells per MC). After identifying the transition point for every time delay ΔT , intermediate values were interpolated linearly. Finally, the interpolated values were Gauss-filtered ($\mu = 0.25 \times \text{step size for } \Delta T \text{ in the dataset}$) to better approximate the true 0.5 iso-probability contour.

S2.8 Star plots

While the spiking activity of many cells can be visualized quite well in raster plots, illustrating the temporal




Fig. S2.2: **Visualization of the star plot as a projection in the case of a three-dimensional state space.** (A) Illustration of the view point with average membrane voltage data plotted in three-dimensional Cartesian coordinates. The data was taken from a (9HCx3MC)-network and covers a 2.5 s period of network activity. (B) Resulting star plot from regular view point. (C) Star plot of the corresponding average attractor rate data.

evolution of their membrane potentials is less straightforward. Here, we have chosen to use so-called star plots for visualizing both average voltages and average firing rates of entire cell populations.

In a system evolving in an abstract space with 3 dimensions, a star plot represents the orthogonal projection of the state space trajectory along the main diagonal of the corresponding Cartesian coordinate system onto a plane perpendicular to it. For n dimensions, points $\mathbf{x}$ in the star plot are no longer projections of the states $\mathbf{z}$, but are rather calculated as

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i \left(\cos \frac{2\pi i}{n}, \sin \frac{2\pi i}{n} \right) \quad (\text{S2.3})$$

A visualization for $n = 3$ is illustrated in Fig. S2.2.

In case of the L2/3 network, the number of dimensions is given by the number of attractors, with each axis describing some particular feature of the corresponding attractor (such as the average voltage or spike rate of the constituent PYR cells).

In addition to the position in state space, the state space velocity is also encoded in a star plot by both the thickness and the color of the trajectory. Especially in the case of the L2/3 network, this can be very useful in visualizing e.g. attractor stability or competition times. Here, both line thickness and lightness were chosen proportional to $(\text{const} + e^{-|d\mathbf{x}|/dt})$, with $\mathbf{x}$ being the position in state space.

Fig. S2.3 B and C show two characteristic examples of star plots used for visualizing the dynamics of the L2/3 network.

S2.9 Average synaptic conductance due to Poisson stimulation

For a single Poisson source with rate ν_i connected to the neuron by a synapse with weight w_i and time constant τ^{syn} , the conductance course can be viewed as a sum of independent random variables, each of them representing the conductance change caused by a single spike. In the limit of large ν_i , the central limit theorem guarantees the convergence of the conductance distribution to a Gaussian, with moments given by

$$\begin{aligned}\langle g_i^{\text{syn}} \rangle &= \sum_{\text{spk } s} \langle w_i \Theta(t - t_s) \exp \left(-\frac{t - t_s}{\tau^{\text{syn}}} \right) \rangle \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle N \rangle}{T} w_i \int_0^T \exp \left(-\frac{t}{\tau^{\text{syn}}} \right) dt \\ &= w_i \nu_i \tau^{\text{syn}} .\end{aligned}\quad (\text{S2.4})$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var} [g_i^{\text{syn}}] &= \sum_{\text{spk } s} \text{Var} \left[w_i \Theta(t - t_s) \exp \left(-\frac{t - t_s}{\tau^{\text{syn}}} \right) \right] \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \langle N \rangle \left\{ \left\langle \left[w_i \Theta(t) \exp \left(-\frac{t}{\tau^{\text{syn}}} \right) \right]^2 \right\rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left\langle \left[w_i \Theta(t) \exp \left(-\frac{t}{\tau^{\text{syn}}} \right) \right] \right\rangle^2 \right\} \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \nu_i T \left\{ \frac{1}{T} w_i^2 \int_0^T \exp \left(-2\frac{t}{\tau^{\text{syn}}} \right) dt \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{T^2} \left[\int_0^T \exp \left(-\frac{t}{\tau^{\text{syn}}} \right) dt \right]^2 \right\} \\ &= \frac{w_i^2 \nu_i \tau^{\text{syn}}}{2} .\end{aligned}\quad (\text{S2.5})$$

Since conductances sum up linearly, N Poisson sources lead to an average conductance of

$$\begin{aligned}\langle g^{\text{syn}} \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^N g_i^{\text{syn}} \right\rangle \\ &= N \langle w \rangle \langle \nu \rangle \tau^{\text{syn}} .\end{aligned}\quad (\text{S2.6})$$

S2.10 Detailed simulations of synapse loss and PYR population reduction

Fig. S2.3 and S2.4 show the effects of various levels of synapse loss and PYR population reduction, respectively.

S2.11 Synaptic weight noise

As can be seen in Fig. S2.5, the firing rate of single PYR cells is highly dependent on the synaptic input weight

that connects them to their respective Poisson source. For example, a variation of 20% in the input weight can cause the firing rate to either effectively vanish or more than triple. This heavily distorts network dynamics as PYR cells within MCs will exhibit highly disparate firing rates, thereby disrupting the network's ability to maintain stable UP states (in which all participating PYR cells should fire roughly with the same rate).




Fig. S2.3: **Effects of homogeneous synapse loss on the L2/3 model.** Unless explicitly stated otherwise, the default network model (9HC×9MC) was used. The topmost 3 figures exemplify the dynamics of the network at 50% synapse loss, all other figures show the effects of various degrees of synapse loss (0-50%). **(A)** Raster plot of spiking activity. Only PYR cells are shown. The MCs are ordered such that those belonging to the same attractor (and *not* those within the same HC) are grouped together. **(B)** Star plot of average PYR cell voltages from a sample of 5 PYR cells per MC. **(C)** Star plot of average PYR cell firing rates. **(D)** Average dwell times and relative competition times for various network sizes. **(E)** Average firing rate of PYR cells during an UP state. **(F)** Average voltage of PYR cells before, during and after their parent attractor is active (UP state). **(G)** Average voltage of PYR cells before, during and after an attractor they do not belong to is active. For the previous three plots, the abscissa has been subdivided into multiples of the attractor dwell time. In subplots **F** and **G** the dotted line indicates the leak potential E_L of the PYR cells. **(H)** Pattern completion in a 25HC×25MC network. **(I)** Attentional blink in a 25HC×25MC network: $p = 0.5$ iso-probability contours.




Fig. S2.4: **Effects of PYR population size scaling on the L2/3 model.** Unless explicitly stated otherwise, the default network model (9HC×9MC) was used. The topmost 3 figures exemplify the dynamics of the network at 50% of its original PYR population size, all other figures show the effects of various degrees of PYR population reduction (0-50%). (A) Raster plot of spiking activity. Only PYR cells are shown. The MCs are ordered such that those belonging to the same attractor (and *not* those within the same HC) are grouped together. (B) Star plot of average PYR cell voltages from a sample of 5 PYR cells per MC. (C) Star plot of average PYR cell firing rates. (D) Average dwell times and relative competition times for various network sizes. (E) Average firing rate of PYR cells during an UP state. (F) Average voltage of PYR cells before, during and after their parent attractor is active (UP state). (G) Average voltage of PYR cells before, during and after an attractor they do not belong to is active. For the previous three plots, the abscissa has been subdivided into multiples of the attractor dwell time. In subplots F and G the dotted line indicates the leak potential E_L of the PYR cells. (H) Pattern completion in a 25HC×25MC network. (I) Attentional blink in a 25HC×25MC network: $p = 0.5$ iso-probability contours. In H and I, the dataset for 5 PYR cells per MC was omitted because of its extremely low validity rate.




Fig. S2.5: Single PYR cell firing rate for different synaptic input weights. Each weight configuration was simulated for 100 s.

Appendix S3 Synfire chain with feed-forward inhibition

S3.1 Model parameters

The neuron and connectivity parameters are given in Tab. S3.1 and Tab. S3.2.

S3.2 Network scaling

In the default setup studied in this article, the synfire chain consists of 6 groups of 125 neurons (100 excitatory and 25 inhibitory). In order to quantify the amount of synapse loss after mapping the network to the Brain-ScaleS wafer-scale hardware for different network sizes, we define the following network scaling rules. When increasing the network size, we vary both the number of synfire groups and the number of neurons per group while keeping the number of incoming synapses per neuron constant (cf. Tab. S3.2). The fraction of inhibitory neurons always amounts to 20 %. Neuron and synapse parameters are not altered. Tab. S3.3 lists the combinations of group size and group count used for the synapse loss estimation in Fig. 17 A.

The background Poisson stimulus is scaled as follows. For the hardware implementation of the synfire chain we can not use one individual Poisson source for each neuron due to input bandwidth limitations. Instead, we assume one pool of 32 Poisson sources for each synfire group, and each neuron receives input from 8 random sources from that pool. The size of the background pool is then scaled with the number of neurons per synfire group, while always drawing 8 sources from the pool per neuron. This scaling of the background pool was chosen to make the total number of background sources proportional to the total number of neurons and independent of the group count.

S3.3 Additional simulation

S3.3.1 All distortion mechanisms

To check that the compensation methods do not interfere with each other, all distortion mechanisms were applied simultaneously with weight noise values of 20 % and 50 % and synapse loss values of 30 % and 50 %, with an axonal delay of 1.0 ms. Without compensation no stable region exists in all four cases. Fig. S3.2 shows the result with all compensation methods applied. When several methods required modification of a network parameter, all modifications were applied. For instance, in the case of the synaptic weight which was scaled

Table S3.1: Neuron parameters used in the synfire chain benchmark model

Parameter	Value	Unit
C_m	0.29	nF
τ_{refrac}	2	ms
E^{spike}	-57	mV
E^r	-70	mV
E_L	-70	mV
τ_m	10	ms
$E^{\text{rev},e}$	0	mV
$E^{\text{rev},i}$	-75	mV
$\tau^{\text{syn},e}$	1.5	ms
$\tau^{\text{syn},i}$	10	ms

Table S3.2: Projection properties for the feed-forward synfire chain

Projection	weight μS	incoming synapses	delay ms
$\text{RS}_n \rightarrow \text{RS}_{n+1}$	0.001	60	20
$\text{RS}_n \rightarrow \text{FS}_{n+1}$	0.0035	60	20
$\text{FS}_n \rightarrow \text{RS}_n$	0.002	25	4

Table S3.3: Scaling table for the synfire chain used for the synapse loss estimation in Fig. 17 A

groups	group size	total neurons
8	125	1000
16	125	2000
24	125	3000
20	200	4000
25	200	5000
15	400	6000
20	350	7000
20	400	8000
30	300	9000
25	400	10 000
20	500	10 000
40	500	20 000
60	500	30 000
40	1000	40 000
50	1000	50 000
30	2000	60 000
20	3500	70 000
20	4000	80 000
30	3000	90 000
25	4000	100 000

by both synapse loss and delay compensation methods, both scaling factors were multiplied. Fig. S3.2 shows the restoration of input selectivity in all four cases.

S3.3.2 Separatrix fit

To compare different separatrices, the a -values of the last group are characterized as successful (+1) or extinguished (−1) and the resulting values interpolated and smoothed by a gaussian kernel with a standard de-




Fig. S3.1: Distribution of a_6 and σ_6 in the reference experiment for the synfire chain model.




Fig. S3.2: (σ, a) state space of the synfire chain model with all compensation methods applied for four different levels of distortion. (A) 30 % synapse loss, 20 % weight noise (B) 30 % synapse loss, 50 % weight noise (C) 50 % synapse loss, 20 % weight noise (D) 50 % synapse loss, 50 % weight noise

viation (1.5 ms, 1.5) in the (σ, a) space. The iso-contour line of the resulting surface at a value of 0 is used as an approximation of the separatrix location, as shown in Fig. 13 C together with the individual simulation results. Data points with $\sigma \leq 0.2$ ms were not included in the fit to avoid distortions induced by bandwidth limitations in ESS simulations (Sec. 3.2.6) from affecting the fit quality. The data points are still shown individually as blue dots and regions, e.g., in Fig. 17. This modification was also included in the software simulations for consistency. Cases in which the separatrix does not capture the relevant behavior, e.g., if the separation is not reliable in a large region of the state space, are shown separately.

S3.3.3 Weight noise compensation

Fig. S3.3 A shows the separatrix in the case of compensated weight noise.




Fig. S3.3: Demonstration of spontaneous event filter in the weight noise compensation (Sec. S3.3.4). (A) The same experiment as in Fig. 15 C (weight noise with active compensation) but without the filter for background spikes. The separatrix locations are comparable as the filter does not influence the result significantly in the compensated case. (B, C) Complete state space response for weight noise of 80%, once with, once without filter. This demonstrates that the applied filter does not affect the result in the compensated case.

S3.3.4 Filtering of spontaneous activity

To prevent spontaneous background events from impeding the analysis, spikes are discarded as part of spontaneous activity if less than N spikes in the same excitatory group occur in a time window of $\pm T$. The utilized values for N and T are given at each point where the filter is applied; They are chosen such that authentic synchronous volleys with $a \geq 0.5$ (which would be counted as successful propagation, as defined above) are not removed. Fig. S3.3 B and C show that the influence of the filter for spontaneous activity is minimal in the compensated case.

S3.3.5 Further ESS simulations

Distortion and compensation without synapse loss For the ESS simulation in Sec. 3.2.6 we enforced a certain amount of synapse loss by restricting the synfire chain network to very limited hardware resources. However, due to its feed-forward structure, the network can be easily mapped onto the BrainScaleS hardware without any synapse loss (Fig. 17 A). Thus, we also investigated the network without synapse loss, such that the active distortion mechanisms in the ESS simulations

were synaptic weight noise, non-configurable axonal delays as well as spike loss and jitter. The state space of the distorted network (Fig. S3.4 A) contains only a small and loosely connected region of sustained activity which indicates unreliable separation. Applying the compensation mechanism for synaptic weight noise and axonal delays fully restores the filter property of the synfire chain, as can be seen in Fig. S3.4 B, where different separatrices mimic different delay-dependent realizations. Compared to the compensation for all distortion mechanisms, the compensated state space without synapse loss does not show any flaws (C).

Effect of spike loss and jitter We investigated the effect of spike loss and jitter in the HICANN, where the spikes of the neurons connected to the same on-wafer routing bus are processed subsequently (Sec. 2.1.2), which can lead to spike time jitter and in rare cases to spike loss when firing is highly synchronized.

Which 64 neurons inject their spikes into a routing bus is determined by the placement of the neurons on the HICANN. Hence, in order to study the effect of spike loss and jitter, we simulated the synfire chain network in two different placement setups: First, neurons of the same synfire group were placed sequentially onto the same routing bus, and second, neurons were distributed in a round-robin manner over different routing buses, such that neurons of different groups injected their spikes into one routing bus. Hence, we expect the spiking activity on each routing bus to be more synchronous in the first case than in the second. In both setups, the utilized hardware and the number of neurons per routing bus was equal, allowing a fair competition between both. The separatrices for the two different placement strategies with otherwise identical parameters are virtually indistinguishable (Fig. S3.4 D). Nevertheless, the raster plots (Fig. S3.4 E and F) reveal the effect of the introduced jitter: For sequential placement, the spread of spike times within a group is roughly double than for round-robin placement and also the onset of the volley in the last group comes 1.5 ms later. In contrast to the reference simulation (cf. Fig. S3.1), the fixed point of succesful propagation is not (0.12 ms, 1) but (0.21 ms, 1) for round-robin and (0.36 ms, 1) for sequential placement.

We conclude that, especially for dense pulses, the subsequent processing of spikes in the hardware leads to a temporal spread of the pulse volley, which however has virtually no influence on the filter properties of the synfire chain.




Fig. S3.4: **Additional simulations of the feed-forward synfire chain on the ESS without synapse loss:** (A) (σ, a) state space on the ESS with default parameters and 20% weight noise. (B) After compensation of for all distortion mechanisms, different separatrices are possible by setting different values of the inhibitory weight. (C) Compensated state space belonging to the blue separatrix in B. w refers to the synaptic weight of local inhibition. (D-F) Investigation of effects of spike loss and jitter by using two different approaches for neuron placement. (D) Separatrices for round-robin and sequential neuron placement with parameters as for the green curve in B. Raster plots for round-robin (E) and sequential (F) neuron placement. Stimulus parameters: $a_0 = 1$ and $\sigma_0 = 1$ ms.

Table S4.1: **AdEx Neuron parameters used in the AI network**

Parameter	Pyramidal	Inhibitory	Unit
C_m	0.25	0.25	nF
τ_{refrac}	5	5	ms
E^{spike}	-40	-40	mV
E^r	-70	-70	mV
E_L	-70	-70	mV
τ_m	15	15	ms
a	1	1	nS
b	0.005	0	nA
Δ_T	2.5	2.5	mV
τ_w	600	600	ms
E_T	-50	-50	mV
$E^{\text{rev,e}}$	0	0	mV
$E^{\text{rev,i}}$	-80	-80	mV
$\tau^{\text{syn,e}}$	5	5	ms
$\tau^{\text{syn,i}}$	5	5	ms

Appendix S4 Self-sustained asynchronous irregular activity


S4.1 Network simulation setup

The default model consists of 3920 neurons (80 % pyramidal and 20 % inhibitory) equally distributed on a two-dimensional lattice of $1 \times 1 \text{ mm}^2$ folded to a torus. The connection probability is distance-dependent and is normalized such that each neuron receives synaptic input from 200 excitatory and 50 inhibitory neurons. All simulations run for 10 s. 2 % of all neurons in the network are initially stimulated by one individual Poisson source for 100 ms in order to induce initial network activity. The default size was chosen such that the model can be fully realized on the BrainScaleS hardware without losing any synaptic connections in the mapping step (Sec. 2.2), thereby allowing us to compare topologically equivalent software simulations, with the only remaining difference lying in the non-configurable delays and dynamic constraints on the ESS.

S4.1.1 Model parameters

The neuron parameters of the AdEx model used in this benchmark are listed in Tab. S4.1 and are equal to those in Muller and Destexhe (2012) with the only difference being that excitatory pyramidal cells have neuronal spike-triggered adaptation while inhibitory cells do not. Sweeps are performed over the two-dimensional ($g_{\text{exc}}, g_{\text{inh}}$) parameter space, with the ranges being 3 nS to 11 nS for g_{exc} and 50 nS to 130 nS for g_{inh} . The Poisson sources for the initial network stimulation have a mean rate of 100 Hz and project onto the network's neurons with a synaptic weight of 100 nS. The distance-dependent connection probability has a Gaussian pro-

file with a spatial width of $\sigma = 0.2 \text{ mm}$. Synaptic delays depend on the distance according to the following equation: $t_{\text{delay}} = 0.3 \text{ ms} + \frac{d}{v_{\text{prop}}}$, with d being the distance between two cells and $v_{\text{prop}} = 0.2 \text{ mm ms}^{-1}$ the spike propagation velocity. The distribution of delays is shown in Fig. S4.1, the average delay in the network amounts to 1.55 ms.

Fig. S4.1: **Histogram of delays in the AI network.** The mean delay is 1.55 ms.

S4.1.2 Network scaling

When the network is scaled up in size, we only increase the number of neurons while keeping the number of afferent synapses per neuron constant. All other parameters concerning the connectivity do not change, including the size of the cortical sheet, the distance-dependent delays and connection probability, as well as the ratio of excitatory to inhibitory cells. Neuron and synapse parameters remain unaltered.

S4.2 Functionality criteria

The survival time is defined as the last spike time in the network. If the network survives until the end of the simulation, we consider it as self-sustaining. Additionally, several criteria are employed to characterize the network's activity, regularity and synchrony.

The mean firing rate of all pyramidal neurons is used to classify the overall activity of the network. The variance of the firing rates across the pyramidal neurons measures the homogeneity of their response. For a better comparison, we look at the relative variance, i.e., the coefficient of variation of the firing rates $\text{CV}_{\text{rate}} = \frac{\sigma(\nu)}{\bar{\nu}}$, where $\bar{\nu}$ and $\sigma(\nu)$ are the mean and standard deviation of the average firing rates ν of the individual neurons.

The coefficient of variation of interspike intervals (CV_{ISI}) serves as an indicator of spiking regularity. It is calculated via

$$\text{CV}_{\text{ISI}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\sigma_i(\text{ISI})}{\overline{\text{ISI}}_i} \quad (\text{S4.1})$$

$\sigma_i(\text{ISI})$ is the standard deviation of interspike intervals in the i -th spike train, while $\overline{\text{ISI}}_i$ is the mean interspike interval in the same spike train. N is the number of averaged spike trains which is set to the number of pyramidal cells for each simulation. CV_{ISI} is 0 for a regular spike train and approaches 1 for a sufficiently long Poisson spike train.

The correlation coefficient CC is defined via

$$\text{CC} = \frac{1}{P} \sum_{j,k} \frac{\text{Cov}(S_j, S_k)}{\sigma(S_j)\sigma(S_k)} \quad (\text{S4.2})$$

The sum runs over $P = 5000$ randomly chosen pairs of spike trains (j, k) from the excitatory population. S_i is the time-binned spike count in the i -th spike train with a bin width of $\Delta = 5$ ms. $\sigma(S_i)$ denotes the standard deviation of S_i , and $\text{Cov}(S_j, S_k)$ the covariance of S_j and S_k . CC approaches 0 for sufficiently long independent spike trains and is 1 for linearly dependent (S_j, S_k) . The simulation results were cross-checked with a bin width of $\Delta = 2$ ms.

The power spectrum $S(\omega)$ of a spike train is calculated via

$$A_k = \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} r_m \exp\left(-2\pi i \frac{mk}{N}\right) \quad k = 0, \dots, N-1 \quad (\text{S4.3})$$

$$\omega_k := \frac{2\pi k}{N\Delta} \quad (\text{S4.4})$$

$$S(\omega_k) := |A_k|^2 N\Delta \quad (\text{S4.5})$$

using the time-binned population firing rate r_i with $i \in \{0, \dots, N-1\}$ with a bin width of Δ for a spike train of length $N\Delta$ (see, e.g. 3.1.4 in Rieke et al (1997)). For the AI network we used a bin width of $\Delta = 1$ ms for calculating the raw power spectra, and a $\sigma = 5$ Hz for the Gauss-filtered versions which were then used to determine the peak frequency (i.e. the first non-zero peak in the power spectrum).

In case of the L2/3 model, the power spectra were calculated from Gauss-filtered ($\sigma = 5$ ms) spike data with a bin width of $\Delta = 0.1$ ms and (unless otherwise stated) smoothed with a $\sigma = 0.3$ ms Gauss-filter.

For all statistics, the first second of the simulation is left out, i.e. only the 9 seconds from 1 s to 10 s are considered. If the network did not survive until the end of the simulation, the firing rate was calculated between

1 s and the survival time, or between 0.1 s and the survival time for the case when the latter was smaller than 1 s.

S4.3 Iterative compensation

In the so-called iterative compensation, we sequentially modify individual parameters such that the response of each neuron is modified to match its target response. In our case, we iteratively change the spike detection voltage E_T such that the firing rate of each neuron is shifted towards the target rate. At each step, the threshold voltage is adapted as follows:

$$E_T^{n+1,i} = E_T^{n,i} + (\nu^{\text{tgt}} - \nu^{n,i})c_{\text{comp}} \quad (\text{S4.6})$$

where $E_T^{n,i}$ and $\nu^{n,i}$ are the threshold voltage and firing rate of neuron i of the n -th step, ν^{tgt} is the target rate for all neurons of a population and c_{comp} is a compensation factor that links the firing response and the threshold voltage. The target rate ν^{tgt} is computed separately for the excitatory and inhibitory population from the reference simulations (Sec. 3.3.2). We choose the compensation factor for each $(g_{\text{exc}}, g_{\text{inh}})$ state in the following manner: Similar to the mean-field approach in Sec. 3.3.6, we consider the response rate of an excitatory neuron given a network firing rate of ν^{tgt} , that is, the neuron is stimulated by 200 excitatory and 50 inhibitory Poisson sources with rate ν^{tgt} . We then vary the threshold voltage of said neuron between -54 mV and -46 mV and thereby determine the dependency of the response rate on the threshold voltage. From a linear fit of this dependency, we extract the slope m , and set the compensation factor to $c_{\text{comp}} = \frac{0.5}{m}$ (Fig. S4.2). The factor of 0.5 was chosen to limit the change of the mean rate in each step in order to avoid oscillations in the compensation procedure. Whenever we changed the spike initiation voltage E_T , we shifted the spike detection voltage E^{spike} equally.

We remark that this compensation method requires the parameters for every individual neuron to be fine-tunable. This is the case for the BrainScaleS wafer-scale hardware, where the AdEx parameters of every hardware neuron are independently configurable with sufficient precision by means of analog floating gate memories (Sec. 2.1), in contrast to the synaptic weights which are restricted to a 4-bit precision in typical operation mode.




Fig. S4.2: **Example compensation factor assertion for the state** ($g_{\text{exc}} = 9 \text{ nS}$, $g_{\text{inh}} = 90 \text{ nS}$) **of the AI network:** The Figure shows the response rate of an excitatory neuron stimulated by 200 excitatory and 50 inhibitory Poisson sources with rate 12.38 Hz depending on its spike initiation threshold E_T . The slope $m = -2.6745 \frac{\text{Hz}}{\text{mV}}$ of the linear fit is then used to calculate the compensation factor $c_{\text{comp}} = \frac{0.5}{m} = -0.18695 \frac{\text{mV}}{\text{Hz}}$.

S4.4 Further simulations

S4.4.1 Network size scaling behavior

To investigate what happens when the network is scaled according to the rules given in Sec. S4.1.2, we pick one state of the (g_{exc} , g_{inh}) space and vary the network size between 5000 and 50 000 neurons. The results for the (9 nS, 90 nS) state can be seen in Fig. S4.3: The mean firing rate slightly increases with size until it saturates, while the variance of the firing rate across neurons remains approximately constant (A). Like the firing rate, the irregularity (CV_{ISI}) increases and saturates with size (B). The synchronicity (CC) decreases with size, as one would expect (C). The power spectrum of global activity exhibits the same profile for all sizes, however the power is scaled inversely to the network size (D and E).

S4.4.2 Non-configurable axonal delays

In Sec. 3.3.3 we argue that non-configurable delays on the BrainScaleS hardware only have a minimal effect on the AI network because the average delay in the model matches the estimated average delay on the hardware. Here, we provide the simulation results and further investigations on the influence of the delay on the network dynamics. For the analysis of the effects of non-configurable delay we repeated the (g_{exc} , g_{inh}) sweep with all synaptic delays set to 1.5 ms, cf. Sec. 2.4. This distortion mechanism only affected the power spectrum of global activity but not the other criteria such that we show only the peak frequency parameter spaces in Fig. S4.4. The distorted network (B) with a constant delay of 1.5 ms is not significantly different from the default network with distance-dependent delays (A),




Fig. S4.3: **Network size scaling behavior of the AI network for the (9 nS, 90 nS) state.** Mean and variance of firing rate across the PY neurons (A), coefficient of variance of interspike intervals (B), coefficient of pairwise cross-correlation (C), and power spectrum of global activity: full spectra (D) and peak frequencies (E).

both the region of sustained activity and the position of the peak in the power spectrum are in good match. The same holds for ESS simulations (C), where non-configurable delays were the only active distortion mechanism.

To further investigate the influence of the delays, we ran additional simulations where all delays in the network were set to 0.1 ms (D), and 3 ms (E), respectively. Lowering delays increases the speed of activity propagation such that the position of the peak in the power spectrum is shifted towards higher frequencies.




Fig. S4.4: **Effects of axonal delays on the AI network.** (g_{exc} , g_{inh}) spaces with the peak frequency of the global pyramidal activity for different axonal delay setups: default with distance-dependent delays (A), constant delay of 1.5 ms (B), simulation on the ESS where delay is not configurable (C), constant delay of 0.1 ms (D), constant delay of 3.0 ms (E), distance-dependent delays scaled by factor of 2 with respect to default setup (F).

For higher delays the peak frequency decreases analogously, but also the region of sustained activity diminishes significantly. (F) shows simulations with distance-dependent delays scaled by a factor of 2 with respect to the baseline model, thus having an average delay of 3.1 ms (cf. Fig. S4.1). While the peak frequency is in good agreement with the 3 ms simulations, the region of sustained states is extended and even larger than in the baseline setup. Herewith our simulations affirm that distance-dependent delays in fact do expand the region of self-sustained states in the (g_{exc} , g_{inh}) space (cf. Sec. 3.3.1).

S4.4.3 Combining distortion mechanisms: synapse loss and synaptic weight noise

We also investigate what happens when both synapse loss and synaptic weight noise are active at the same time. Additionally, we test up to which extent we can compensate for both sources of distortions. To do so we scaled both mechanisms up to 90 % and tried to restore the original behavior for two states: (9 nS, 90 nS) and (10 nS, 70 nS).

The relative change of the mean rate and CV_{rate} are shown in Fig. S4.5 for the (9 nS, 90 nS) state. For this state, synapse loss compensation works fine up to a level of 50 %: the relative change of the mean rate and CV_{rate} are close to 0. The compensation fails for synapse losses of 70 % and above: when the original firing rate is recovered, the network is unstable, i.e. it does not survive until the end of the experiment. The amount of synaptic weight noise has no effect on this behav-

ior. We remark that, during the iterative compensation, there are stable networks with a slightly higher firing rate than the target rate: the network becomes unstable when approaching its target rate. This is in accordance with observations from the 50 % loss parameter space compensation in Fig. 21, where the region of sustained activity is smaller than before, i.e. requiring a higher frequency for fewer synapses. We also note that our iterative compensation algorithm does not recover distorted networks that die out shortly after initial stimulation (cf. the 90 % synapse loss column in Fig. S4.5 A). Synaptic weight noise does not pose a problem to the iterative compensation: In all cases the mean rate could be fully recovered and the variance of firing rates close to the original level, with the relative difference of CV_{rate} being smaller than 1.5.

For the (10 nS, 70 nS) state, compensation was capable of restoring a synapse loss including 70 %, cf. Fig. S4.6. Interestingly, the reduction of the variation of firing rates after 10 compensation steps performed slightly better when starting with a higher synapse loss.

We summarize that the iterative method effectively compensates the distortions induced by synapse loss combined with synaptic weight noise, at least when the synapse loss does not exceed 50 %. Furthermore, we expect these results to hold also for a large area in the (g_{exc} , g_{inh}) space where the network is in the asynchronous regime.




Fig. S4.5: **Compensation for combined distortion mechanisms in the AI network with the iterative method.** Sweep over synapse loss and synaptic weight noise for the ($g_{exc} = 9$ nS, $g_{inh} = 90$ nS) state. Relative change of the firing rate with respect to the undistorted network for distorted (A) and compensated (B) simulations. Relative change of CV_{rate} with respect to the undistorted network for distorted (C) and compensated (D) simulations. The compensated simulations refer to the 10th step of iterative compensation. White data points stand for networks where the distorted network did not survive. Data points marked with a cross denote cases where the compensated network did not survive.




Fig. S4.6: **Compensation for combined distortion mechanisms in the AI network with the iterative method.** Sweep over synapse loss and synaptic weight noise for the ($g_{exc} = 10$ nS, $g_{inh} = 70$ nS) state. Relative change of the firing rate with respect to the undistorted network for distorted (A) and compensated (B) simulations. Relative change of CV_{rate} with respect to the undistorted network for distorted (C) and compensated (D) simulations. The compensated simulations refer to the 10th step of iterative compensation. White data points stand for cases where the distorted network did not survive.