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#### Abstract

Let $M$ be a weakly monotone symplectic manifold, and $\boldsymbol{H}$ be a time-dependent Hamiltonian; we assume that the periodic orbits of the corresponding time-dependent Hamiltonian vector field are non-degenerate. We construct a refined version of the Floer chain complex associated to these data and any regular covering of $M$, and derive from it new lower bounds for the number of periodic orbits.

Using these invariants we prove in particular that if $\pi_{1}(M)$ is finite and solvable or simple, then the number of periodic orbits is not less than the minimal number of generators of $\pi_{1}(\boldsymbol{M})$. For a general closed symplectic manifold with infinite fundamental group, we show the existence of 1-periodic orbit of Conley-Zehnder index $1-\boldsymbol{n}$ for any non-degenerate 1-periodic Hamiltonian system.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $M^{2 n}$ be a closed symplectic manifold, denote by $\omega$ its symplectic form. Let $\boldsymbol{H}: \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z} \times \boldsymbol{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a $\boldsymbol{C}^{\infty}$ function (the Hamiltonian). We will write $\boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{t}}(\boldsymbol{x})$ instead of $\boldsymbol{H}(\boldsymbol{t}, \boldsymbol{x})$. One associates to $\boldsymbol{H}$ a timedependent Hamiltonian vector field $\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{H}_{t}}$ on $\boldsymbol{M}$ by the formula

$$
\omega\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{H}_{t}}, \cdot\right)=d \boldsymbol{H}_{t} \text { for every } t
$$

Assume that every periodic orbit of $\left\{\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{H}_{t}}\right\}$ is non-degenerate. Then the set $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { P }}(\boldsymbol{H})$ of all periodic orbits is finite. Let $\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{H})$ be the cardinality of this set. Denote by $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { M }}(\boldsymbol{M})$ the Morse number of $\boldsymbol{M}$, that is, the minimal possible number of critical points of a Morse function on $M$.

The celebrated Arnold conjecture (see [1], Appendix 9, and [2], p.284) says that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{H}) \geqslant \boldsymbol{\mathcal { M }}(\boldsymbol{M}) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]It was proved by V. I. Arnold himself in the case when $\boldsymbol{H}$ is "sufficiently small" function. The Arnold conjecture implies in particular certain homological lower bounds for $\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{H})$. Namely, let us denote by $b_{i}(\boldsymbol{M})$ the rank of $\boldsymbol{H}_{i}(\boldsymbol{M})$, and by $\boldsymbol{q}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(\boldsymbol{M})$ the torsion number of $\boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(\boldsymbol{M})$ (that is, the minimal possible number of generators of the abelian group $\boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(\boldsymbol{M})$ ). Then the conjecture (1) implies the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{H}) \geqslant \sum_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(\boldsymbol{M})+\boldsymbol{q}_{i}(\boldsymbol{M})+\boldsymbol{q}_{i-1}(\boldsymbol{M})\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality (1) implies also the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{H}) \geqslant \sum_{i} \boldsymbol{b}_{i}^{\mathbb{F}}(\boldsymbol{M}) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{F}$ is any field and we denote by $\boldsymbol{b}_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{\mathbb{F}}(\boldsymbol{M})$ the dimension of $\boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(\boldsymbol{M}, \mathbb{F})$ over $\mathbb{F}$.
A. Floer [8] constructed a chain complex associated with a nondegenerate 1-periodic Hamiltonian $\left\{\boldsymbol{H}_{t}\right\}$; applying this construction he proved the homological version (3) of the Arnold Conjecture for any field $\mathbb{F}$ in the case of monotone symplectic manifolds. (Since the degree in Floer homology is $\mathbb{Z} / 2 N \mathbb{Z}$, torsions in ordinary homology appearing in different degrees but congruent modulo $2 N$ with relatively prime orders contribute to the Floer homology in the same degree. This is the reason why (2) does not follow from Floer homology with integer coefficients. Here $\boldsymbol{N}$ is the minimal Chern number of ( $\boldsymbol{M}, \boldsymbol{\omega}$ ).)

The construction of the Floer chain complex was generalized to wider classes of symplectic manifolds, i.e., weakly monotone symplectic manifolds, in [12], [17] . Taking the results on orientation [8] section $2 \mathrm{e}, ~[9]$ section 21 into account, the conjecture (3) is verified in the case of weakly monotone symplectic manifolds ( $[8]$ for monotone case, [12] for $N=0$ or $N \geqslant n$, [17] for weakly monotone case). If the minimal Chern number is zero, i.e., spherically CalabiYau, the inequality (2) holds. The construction over $\mathbb{Q}$ was further generalized to all closed symplectic manifolds in [9], [14, hence the inequality (3) with $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic 0 , e.g., $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{Q}$ follows.

These results confirm the homological versions of the Arnold Conjecture, i.e., (2) holds when the minimal Chern number of the closed symplectic manifold is zero, (3) with any field $\mathbb{F}$ holds in the case of weakly monotone closed symplectic manifolds and (3) with a field of characteristic zero holds for general closed symplectic manifolds. As for the initial conjecture [1] it is still unproved in general case. For a simply connected manifold $M^{2 n}$ with $n \geqslant 3$ the statement (1)
is equivalent to (2) in view of S . Smale's theorem [23]. However in the non-simply-connected case the number $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { M }}(\boldsymbol{M})$ can be strictly greater than the right hand side of (2). A first step to the proof of the geometric Arnold Conjecture (1) would be to prove a weaker inequality involving only the invariants of the fundamental group. For a group $G$ let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}=\left\{1 \leftarrow G \leftarrow F_{1} \leftarrow F_{2}\right\} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

be a presentation of $G$, where $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are free groups of ranks $\boldsymbol{d}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal { R }})$ and $\boldsymbol{r}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal { R }})$. Denote by $\boldsymbol{d}(\boldsymbol{G})$ the minimum of numbers $\boldsymbol{d}(\mathcal{R})$ for all presentations $\mathcal{R}$, and by $\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{G})$ the minimum of numbers $\boldsymbol{d}(\mathcal{R})+\boldsymbol{r}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal { R }})$ for all presentations $\mathcal{R}$. On the occasion of Arnoldfest in Toronto 1997, V. I. Arnold asked the first author whether the development in Floer theory at that time $\begin{aligned} & \text { settled the original form of }\end{aligned}$ his conjecture, i.e., (1), and, in particular, whether one can show the following weaker assertion, which does not follow from homological version of the conjecture:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{H}) \geqslant \boldsymbol{D}\left(\boldsymbol{\pi}_{1}(\boldsymbol{M})\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

A weaker form of this conjecture is the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{H}) \geqslant \boldsymbol{d}\left(\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\mathbf{1}}(\boldsymbol{M})\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since then some progress has been made in this direction, although the conjecture is far from being solved. M. Damian [5] considers similar questions in the framework of the Hamiltonian isotopies of the cotangent bundle of a compact manifold $M$. In a recent preprint [3] J.-F. Barraud suggested a construction of a Floer fundamental group, and proved in particular, that $\boldsymbol{p}_{1-n}(\boldsymbol{H}) \geqslant 1$ if $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{1}(\boldsymbol{M})$ is non-trivial and $M$ is spherical Calabi-Yau or monotone. (Here $\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{j}}(\boldsymbol{H})$ stands for the number of periodic orbits of Conley-Zehnder index $\boldsymbol{j}$.)

In the present paper we use the Floer chain complex associated with $\boldsymbol{H}$ and a regular covering $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{M}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{M}$ of the underlying manifold, and deduce from it new lower bounds for $\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{H})$ in terms of certain invariants $\mu_{i}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{M}})$, which depend on the homotopy type of $\boldsymbol{M}$, the minimal Chern number $N$ of $M$, and the chosen covering (see Definition 5.1). These invariants are similar to V. V. Sharko's invariants of chain complexes [22]. The numbers $\mu_{i}$ are indexed by $\mathbb{N}$ in the case of spherical Calabi-Yau manifolds and by $\mathbb{Z} / 2 N \mathbb{Z}$ in case when

[^1]the minimal Chern number of $M$ equals $N$. We have
$$
p(H) \geqslant \sum_{i} \mu_{i}(\widetilde{M}) .
$$

Using these invariants we obtain partial results in the direction of the conjecture (6). For a group $\boldsymbol{G}$ denote by $\boldsymbol{\delta}(\boldsymbol{G})$ the minimal number of generators of the augmentation ideal of $G$ as a $\mathbb{Z}[\boldsymbol{G}]$-module. In the case when $M$ is weakly monotone and $\pi_{1}(M)$ is a finite group we prove that

$$
\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{H}) \geqslant \boldsymbol{\delta}\left(\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\mathbf{1}}(\boldsymbol{M})\right) .
$$

In particular we confirm the conjecture (6) for weakly monotone manifolds whose fundamental groups are finite simple or solvable (Theorem 5.7).
We also show the existence of 1-periodic orbits of Conley-Zehnder index 1 - $\boldsymbol{n}$ for any non-degenerate 1 -periodic Hamiltonian system on any closed symplectic manifold with infinite fundamental group (Theorem 5.9).

## 2. Floer complex on the covering space

2.1. Novikov rings: definitions. In this preliminary subsection we gathered the definitions of several versions of the Novikov rings with which we will be working in the paper.

Let $T$ be a finitely generated free abelian group, and $\xi: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a homomorphism. Let $R$ be a ring (commutative or not). Recall that the group ring $R[T]$ is the set of all finite linear combinations

$$
l=\sum_{i=0}^{N} a_{i} g_{i}, \quad \text { with } a_{i} \in R, \quad g_{i} \in T
$$

with a natural ring structure (determined by the requirement that the elements of $R$ commute with the elements of $T$ ).

We denote by $\boldsymbol{R}((\boldsymbol{T}))$ the set of all formal linear combinations (infinite in general)

$$
\lambda=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i} g_{i}, \quad \text { with } a_{i} \in R, \quad g_{i} \in T
$$

such that $\boldsymbol{\xi}\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right) \rightarrow-\infty$ with $\boldsymbol{i} \rightarrow \infty$. Thus the series $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ can be infinite, but for every $C$ the number of terms of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ with $\boldsymbol{\xi}\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{i}\right) \geqslant C$ is finite. Usually the homomorphism $\xi$ is clear from the context, so we omit it from the notation. The usual definition of the product of power series endows the abelian group $\boldsymbol{R}((\boldsymbol{T}))$ with the natural ring structure (we require that the elements of $R$ commute with the elements of $T$ ).

This ring is called the Novikov completion of the ring $R[T]$. In this paper we will work with the case $R=\mathbb{Z}[G]$, where $G$ is a group.

The augmentation homomorphism $\varepsilon: \mathbb{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ has a natural extension to a ring homomorphism $\boldsymbol{R}((\boldsymbol{T})) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}((\boldsymbol{T}))$, which will be denoted by the same symbol $\varepsilon$ :

$$
\varepsilon\left(\sum_{i} a_{i} \boldsymbol{g}_{i}\right)=\sum \varepsilon\left(a_{i}\right) \boldsymbol{g}_{i} \quad \text { with } a_{i} \in R, \quad g_{i} \in \boldsymbol{T}
$$

Thus the ring $\mathbb{Z}((\boldsymbol{T}))$ acquires a natural structure of $\boldsymbol{R}((\boldsymbol{T}))$-module.
Remark 2.1. If the group $G$ is finite, then the ring $\mathbb{Z}[G]((\boldsymbol{T}))$ coincides with the group ring $\mathbb{Z}((\boldsymbol{T}))[\boldsymbol{G}]$ of the group $\boldsymbol{G}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}((\boldsymbol{T}))$.

In the case when $\boldsymbol{\xi}: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a monomorphism, we will use abbreviated notation. The group ring $\mathbb{Z}[T]$ will be denoted by $\Lambda$, and its Novikov completion with respect to a monomorphism $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ will be denoted by $\hat{\Lambda}$. For a field $\mathbb{F}$ we denote by $\mathcal{F}$ the Novikov completion of the group ring $\mathbb{F}[T]$ with respect to $\xi$. The ring $\hat{\Lambda}$ is a principal ideal domain (PID), and $\mathcal{F}$ is a field. We will denote the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\boldsymbol{G}]((\boldsymbol{T}))$ by $\mathcal{L}$, The ring $\mathbb{F}[G]((\boldsymbol{T}))$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}}$. These rings will appear frequently in Sections 3 and 4.

The Novikov rings appear in Hamiltonian dynamics in the following context (see Subsection 2.2). Let $M$ be a closed symplectic manifold. The de Rham cohomology class of the symplectic form determines a homomorphism $[\omega]: \pi_{2}(\boldsymbol{M}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Consider the group

$$
\Gamma=\pi_{2}(\boldsymbol{M}) /\left(\operatorname{ker}[\omega] \cap \operatorname{ker} c_{1}(\boldsymbol{M})\right),
$$

where $\boldsymbol{c}_{\mathbf{1}}(\boldsymbol{M})$ is the Chern class of the almost complex structure associated to $\omega$. The Novikov completion $\mathbb{Z}((\boldsymbol{\Gamma}))$ will be denoted by $\Lambda_{(M, \omega)}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. The Novikov ring $\mathbb{Z}[G]((\Gamma))$ will be denoted in this context by $\Lambda_{(M, \omega)}^{\mathbb{Z}[G]}$.

The restriction of the homomorphism $\omega$ to a smaller group

$$
\Gamma_{0}=\operatorname{ker} c_{1}(M) /\left(\operatorname{ker}[\omega] \cap \operatorname{ker} c_{1}(M)\right)
$$

is a monomorphism, so the corresponding Novikov completion $\mathbb{Z}\left(\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{0}}\right)\right)$ is a PID; it will be denoted by $\Lambda_{(M, \omega)}^{(0) \mathbb{Z}}=\mathbb{Z}\left(\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{0}}\right)\right)$. The Novikov ring $\mathbb{Z}[G]\left(\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)$ will be denoted in this context by $\Lambda_{(M, \omega)}^{(0) \mathbb{Z}[G]}$.

### 2.2. Review on Hamiltonian Floer complex.

In this subsection, we recall the construction of Hamiltonian Floer complex with integer coefficients] following [8], [12], 17]. Here we use homological version. Let $(\boldsymbol{M}, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ be a closed symplectic manifold of dimension $2 \boldsymbol{n}$. The minimal Chern number $\boldsymbol{N}=\boldsymbol{N}(\boldsymbol{M}, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ of $(\boldsymbol{M}, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ is a non-negative integer such that $\left\{\left\langle\boldsymbol{c}_{\mathbf{1}}(\boldsymbol{M}), \boldsymbol{A}\right\rangle \mid \boldsymbol{A} \in \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\mathbf{2}}(\boldsymbol{M})\right\}=$ $\boldsymbol{N Z}$. We call ( $\boldsymbol{M}, \boldsymbol{\omega}$ ) weakly monotone (semi-positive) if $\langle[\omega], \boldsymbol{A}\rangle \leqslant 0$ holds for any $\boldsymbol{A} \in \boldsymbol{\pi}_{2}(\boldsymbol{M})$ with $3-n \leqslant\left\langle\boldsymbol{c}_{\mathbf{1}}(\boldsymbol{M}), \boldsymbol{A}\right\rangle<\mathbf{0}$. This class of symplectic manifolds, in particular, contains the following.

1) (monotone case) We call ( $\boldsymbol{M}, \boldsymbol{\omega}$ ) a monotone symplectic manifold, if there exists a positive real number $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ such that the following equality holds

$$
\left\langle\boldsymbol{c}_{1}(\boldsymbol{M}), \boldsymbol{A}\right\rangle=\boldsymbol{\lambda}\langle[\boldsymbol{\omega}], \boldsymbol{A}\rangle
$$

for any $A \in \pi_{2}(M)$.
2) (spherically Calabi-Yau case) We call ( $\boldsymbol{M}, \boldsymbol{\omega}$ ) spherically CalabiYau, if the minimal Chern number $N$ is zero.
Let $\boldsymbol{H}: \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z} \times \boldsymbol{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function. Set $\boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{t}}(\boldsymbol{p})=\boldsymbol{H}(\boldsymbol{t}, \boldsymbol{p})$. We denote by $\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{t}}}$ the Hamiltonian vector field of $\boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{t}}$. We call $\boldsymbol{\ell}$ : $\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow M$ a periodic solution of $\left\{\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{H}_{t}}\right\}$, if $\ell$ satisfies

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \ell(t)=X_{H_{t}}(\ell(t))
$$

We assume that all contractible 1-periodic solutions of $\left\{\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{H}_{t}}\right\}$ are non-degenerate. Denote by $\mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{H})$ the set of contractible 1-periodic solutions of $\left\{\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{H}_{t}}\right\}$.

Pick a generic $\boldsymbol{t}$-dependent almost complex structure $\boldsymbol{J}$ compatible with $\boldsymbol{\omega}$. Floer chain complex $\left(\boldsymbol{C F} \boldsymbol{F}_{*}(\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{J}), \boldsymbol{\delta}\right)$ is constructed for monotone symplectic manifolds in [8] and for weakly monotone case in [12], [17].

Let $\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{M})$ be the space of contractible loops in $\boldsymbol{M}$. Consider the set of pairs $(\ell, w)$, where $\ell: \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow M$ is a loop and $w: D^{2} \rightarrow M$ is a bounding disk of the loop $\ell$. We set an equivalence relation $\sim$ by $(\boldsymbol{\ell}, \boldsymbol{w}) \sim\left(\ell^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{w}^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if $\ell=\ell^{\prime}$ and

$$
\left\langle[\boldsymbol{\omega}], \boldsymbol{w} \#\left(-\boldsymbol{w}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=\mathbf{0} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\langle\boldsymbol{c}_{\boldsymbol{1}}(\boldsymbol{M}), \boldsymbol{w} \#\left(-\boldsymbol{w}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=\mathbf{0},
$$

where $\boldsymbol{w} \#\left(-\boldsymbol{w}^{\prime}\right)$ is a spherical 2 -cycle obtained by gluing $\boldsymbol{w}$ and $\boldsymbol{w}^{\prime}$ with orientation reversed along the boundaries.

[^2]Then the space $\overline{\mathcal{L}}(\boldsymbol{M})$ of equivalence classes $[\ell, \boldsymbol{w}]$ is a covering space of $\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{M})$. Denote by $\Pi: \overline{\mathcal{L}}(\boldsymbol{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{M})$ the covering projection, and by $\Gamma$ the group of the deck transformations of this covering, so that we have

$$
\Gamma=\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\mathbf{2}}(\boldsymbol{M}) /\left(\operatorname{ker}[\omega] \cap \operatorname{ker} \boldsymbol{c}_{\mathbf{1}}(\boldsymbol{M})\right)
$$

We have the weight homomorphism

$$
\int \omega: \pi_{2}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

and the corresponding Novikov ring $\Lambda_{(M, \omega)}^{\mathbb{Z}}=\mathbb{Z}((\boldsymbol{\Gamma}))$.
We define the action functional $\mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{H}}: \overline{\mathcal{L}}(\boldsymbol{M}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\mathcal{A}_{H}([\ell, w])=\int_{D^{2}} w^{*} \omega+\int_{0}^{1} H(t, \ell(t)) d t .
$$

Then the critical point set $\operatorname{Crit} \mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{H}}$ is equal to $\Pi^{-1}(\boldsymbol{P}(\boldsymbol{H}))$. For each pair $(\ell, \boldsymbol{w})$ of $\ell \in \mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{H})$ and its bounding disk $\boldsymbol{w}$, we have the ConleyZehnder index $\mu_{C Z}(\ell, w) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$
\mu_{C Z}: \operatorname{Crit} \mathcal{A}_{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}
$$

We define $\boldsymbol{C F}_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{J})$ by the downward completion of the free module generated by $[\ell, w] \in \operatorname{Crit} \mathcal{A}_{H}$ with $\mu_{C Z}([\ell, w])=k \in \mathbb{Z}$ in the spirit of Novikov complex using the filtration by $\mathcal{A}_{H}$. Pick and fix a lift $\left[\ell, \boldsymbol{w}_{\ell}\right]$ for each $\ell \in \mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{H})$. Then $\boldsymbol{C F} \boldsymbol{F}_{*}(\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{J})$ is a free module generated by $\left[\ell, w_{\ell}\right]$ over the Novikov ring $\Lambda_{(M, \omega)}^{\mathbb{Z}}$.

The boundary operator $\partial: C F_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{J}) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{k}-1}(\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{J})$ is defined by counting Floer connecting orbits.

Let $\left[\boldsymbol{\ell}^{ \pm}, \boldsymbol{w}^{ \pm}\right] \in \operatorname{Crit} \mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{H}}$. We denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}\left(\left[\ell^{-}, \boldsymbol{w}^{-}\right],\left[\ell^{+}, \boldsymbol{w}^{+}\right]\right)$the space of the solutions $u: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow M$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}+\boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{t}))\left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial \boldsymbol{t}}-\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{t}}}(\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{t}))\right)=\mathbf{0}  \tag{7}\\
\lim _{\tau \rightarrow \pm \infty} \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{t})=\boldsymbol{\ell}^{ \pm}(\boldsymbol{t}) \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\ell^{+}, \boldsymbol{w}^{+}\right]=\left[\ell^{+}, \boldsymbol{w}^{-} \# \boldsymbol{u}\right] . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The group $\mathbb{R}$ acts on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}\left(\left[\ell^{-}, \boldsymbol{w}^{-}\right],\left[\ell^{+}, \boldsymbol{w}^{+}\right]\right)$by shifting the parametrization in $\boldsymbol{\tau}$-coordinate. We denote by $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { M }}\left(\left[\boldsymbol{\ell}^{-}, \boldsymbol{w}^{-}\right],\left[\boldsymbol{\ell}^{+}, \boldsymbol{w}^{+}\right]\right)$the quotient
space of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}\left(\left[\boldsymbol{\ell}^{-}, \boldsymbol{w}^{-}\right],\left[\boldsymbol{\ell}^{+}, \boldsymbol{w}^{+}\right]\right)$by the $\mathbb{R}$-action. Note that the $\mathbb{R}$-action is free unless $\left[\ell^{+}, \boldsymbol{w}^{+}\right]=\left[\boldsymbol{\ell}^{-}, \boldsymbol{w}^{-}\right]$. We have

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{M}\left(\left[\ell^{-}, \boldsymbol{w}^{-}\right],\left[\ell^{+}, \boldsymbol{w}^{+}\right]\right)=\boldsymbol{\mu}_{C Z}\left(\left[\ell^{+}, \boldsymbol{w}^{+}\right]\right)-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{C Z}\left(\left[\ell^{-}, \boldsymbol{w}^{-}\right]\right)-1
$$

The moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}\left(\left[\ell^{-}, \boldsymbol{w}^{-}\right],\left[\ell^{+}, \boldsymbol{w}^{+}\right]\right)$are oriented in a compatible way, see [8] section $2 \mathrm{e}, ~[9]$ section 21 and [18] section 5 . For [ $\left.\ell^{ \pm}, \boldsymbol{w}^{ \pm}\right]$ such that $\mu_{C Z}\left(\left[\boldsymbol{\ell}^{+}, \boldsymbol{w}^{+}\right]\right)-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{C \boldsymbol{Z}}\left(\left[\boldsymbol{\ell}^{-}, \boldsymbol{w}^{-}\right]\right)=1, \mathcal{M}\left(\left[\boldsymbol{\ell}^{-}, \boldsymbol{w}^{-}\right],\left[\ell^{+}, \boldsymbol{w}^{+}\right]\right)$is a 0 -dimensional compact oriented manifold. We denote by $\boldsymbol{n}\left(\left[\boldsymbol{\ell}^{-}, \boldsymbol{w}^{-}\right],\left[\boldsymbol{\ell}^{+}, \boldsymbol{w}^{+}\right]\right)$ the order of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { M }}\left(\left[\boldsymbol{\ell}^{-}, \boldsymbol{w}^{-}\right],\left[\boldsymbol{\ell}^{+}, \boldsymbol{w}^{+}\right]\right)$counted with signs.

For $\left[\ell^{+}, \boldsymbol{w}^{+}\right] \in \operatorname{Crit} \mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{H}}$, we define

$$
\partial\left[\ell^{+}, \boldsymbol{w}^{+}\right]=\sum n\left(\left[\ell^{-}, w^{-}\right],\left[\ell^{+}, w^{+}\right]\right)\left[\ell^{-}, \boldsymbol{w}^{-}\right]
$$

where the summation is taken over $\left[\ell^{-}, \boldsymbol{w}^{-}\right]$such that $\mu_{C Z}\left(\left[\ell^{-}, \boldsymbol{w}^{-}\right]\right)=$ $\mu_{C Z}\left(\left[\ell^{+}, w^{+}\right]\right)-1$.

In [12], [17], the Floer complex is constructed over the Novikov ring $\Lambda_{(M, \omega)}^{\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}} \cong \Lambda_{(M, \omega)}^{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ with $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-coefficients. In order to construct the Floer complex over the Novikov ring with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients, we need an appropriate coherent system of orientations on the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}\left(\left[\ell^{-}, \boldsymbol{w}^{-}\right],\left[\ell^{+}, \boldsymbol{w}^{+}\right]\right)$. Taking [8] section 2 e , [9] section 21 into account, the argument in [12] section 5 derives the well-definedness of the boundary operator $\partial$ and the fact that $\partial \circ \partial=0$.

Namely, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}\left(\left[\ell_{1}, w_{1}\right],\left[\ell_{2}, w_{2}\right]\right)$ with $\mu_{C Z}\left(\left[\ell_{2}, w_{2}\right]\right)-$ $\mu_{C Z}\left(\left[\ell_{1}, w_{1}\right]\right)=2$ is a compact oriented 1-dimensional manifold such that boundary is the union of the direct product $\mathcal{M}\left(\left[\ell_{1} w_{1}\right],[\ell, w]\right) \times$ $\mathcal{M}\left([\ell, \boldsymbol{w}],\left[\ell_{2}, \boldsymbol{w}_{2}\right]\right)$ over $[\ell, \boldsymbol{w}]$ with $\mu_{C Z}([\ell, w])=\mu_{C Z}\left(\left[\ell^{+}, \boldsymbol{w}^{+}\right]\right)=1$. This implies that the summation of $n\left(\left[\ell_{1}, w_{1}\right],[\ell, w]\right) \cdot n\left([\ell, w],\left[\ell_{2}, w_{2}\right]\right)=$ 0 , hence the coefficient of $\left[\ell_{1}, w_{1}\right]$ in $\partial \circ \partial\left(\left[\ell_{2}, \boldsymbol{w}_{2}\right]\right)$ vanishes.

Hence we have
Theorem 2.2. Let $(\boldsymbol{M}, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ be a closed weakly monotone symplectic manifold. For a non-degenerate 1-periodic Hamiltonian function $\boldsymbol{H}$ and a generic almost complex structure compatible with $\omega,\left(\boldsymbol{C F}_{*}(\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{J}), \partial\right)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded chain complex over $\Lambda_{(M, \omega)}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with integer coefficients.

We denote by $\boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{F}_{*}(\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{J})$ the homology of $\left(\boldsymbol{C F} \boldsymbol{F}_{*}(\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{J}), \partial\right)$.
Let $\boldsymbol{H}_{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ be non-degenerate 1-periodic Hamiltonians and $\boldsymbol{J}_{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{J}_{\beta}$ generic almost complex structures compatible with $\omega$. Pick a oneparameter family of smooth functions $\mathcal{H}=\left\{\boldsymbol{H}^{\boldsymbol{\tau}}\right\}$ on $\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z} \times \boldsymbol{M}$ and a one-parameter family $\mathcal{J}=\left\{\boldsymbol{J}^{\boldsymbol{\tau}}\right\}$ of almost complex structures compatible with $\omega$ such that $H^{\tau}=\boldsymbol{H}_{\alpha}$ and $J^{\tau}=J_{\alpha}$ for sufficiently negative $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}^{\boldsymbol{\tau}}=\boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ and $\boldsymbol{J}^{\boldsymbol{\tau}}=\boldsymbol{J}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ for sufficiently positive $\boldsymbol{\tau}$.

Theorem 2.3. Let $\boldsymbol{H}_{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{H}_{\beta}$ and $J_{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{J}_{\beta}$ be as above. Then there exists a chain homotopy equivalence

$$
\Phi_{\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{J}}: C F_{*}\left(H_{\alpha}, J_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow C F_{*}\left(H_{\beta}, J_{\beta}\right)
$$

The chain homomorphism $\Phi_{\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{J}}$ is constructed by counting isolated solutions $u: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{M}$ joining $\left[\ell^{-}, \boldsymbol{w}^{-}\right] \in \operatorname{Crit} \mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{H}_{\alpha}}$ and $\left[\ell^{+}, w^{+}\right] \in \operatorname{Crit} \mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{H}_{\beta}}$ of the following equation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}+\boldsymbol{J}^{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{t}))\left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial \boldsymbol{t}}-\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{H}_{t}^{\tau}}(\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{t}))\right)=\mathbf{0} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For two choices $\left(\mathcal{H}_{1}, \mathcal{J}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{H}_{2}, \mathcal{J}_{2}\right)$, the chain homomorphisms $\Phi_{\mathcal{H}_{1}, \mathcal{J}_{1}}$ and $\Phi_{\mathcal{H}_{2}, \mathcal{J}_{2}}$ are chain homotopic. To construct a chain homotopy between them, we pick homotopies $\left\{\mathcal{H}_{s}\right\}$, resp. $\left\{\mathcal{J}_{s}\right\}, s \in[0,1]$ between $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{2}$, resp. $\mathcal{J}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{2}$ and count isolated solutions of Equation (10) with $\mathcal{J}_{s}, \mathcal{H}_{s}$ for some $s \in[0,1]$.

Theorem 2.4. ([19]) Let $(M, \omega)$ be a closed symplectic manifold and $f$ a Morse function $f$ on $M$. For a non-degenerate 1-periodic Hamiltonian $\boldsymbol{H}$ and a generic almost complex structure $\boldsymbol{J}$ compatible with $\omega$, the Floer complex $\left(\boldsymbol{C F}_{*}(\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{J}), \partial\right)$ is chain equivalent to the Morse complex $\left(\boldsymbol{C M} M_{*+\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{f}) \otimes \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(M, \omega)}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \partial^{\text {Morse }}\right)$.

For the comparison of orientation of the moduli space of solutions of Equation (7) and the moduli space of Morse gradient flow lines, see [9] section 21.

Remark 2.5. If $(\boldsymbol{M}, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ is either monotone, spherically Calabi-Yau or the minimal Chern number $N \geqslant n$, we have a chain homotopy equivalence between $\left(\boldsymbol{C F} \boldsymbol{F}_{*}(\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{J}), \partial\right)$ and $\left(\boldsymbol{C F} \boldsymbol{F}_{*}(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{J}), \partial\right)$ for a sufficiently small Morse function $f$. The latter is isomorphic to the Morse complex $\left(\boldsymbol{C} M_{*+\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{f}) \otimes \Lambda_{(M, \omega)}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \partial^{\text {Morse }}\right)$, see [12] Proposition 7.4.

In 17], we introduced modified Floer homology $\widehat{\boldsymbol{H F}}_{*}(\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{J})$, which is computed in the case that $(M, \omega)$ is a closed weakly monotone symplectic manifold and show that

$$
\widehat{\boldsymbol{H F}}_{*}(\boldsymbol{H}, J) \cong \boldsymbol{H}_{*+\boldsymbol{n}}\left(\boldsymbol{M} ; \Lambda_{(M, \omega)}^{\mathbb{Z}}\right) .
$$

(In order to work over integer coefficients, we use the orientation of the moduli space of solutions of Equation (7), (10) as in [9] section 21.) In the end of section 6.3 [11], we have an isomorphism between $\widehat{\boldsymbol{H F F}}_{*}(\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{J})$ and $\boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{F}_{*}(\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{J})$, see also [4] Remark 4, which also yields

$$
\boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{F}_{*}(\boldsymbol{H}, J) \cong \boldsymbol{H}_{*+n}\left(\boldsymbol{M} ; \Lambda_{(M, \omega)}^{\mathbb{Z}}\right) .
$$

If the minimal Chern number of $(M, \omega)$ is $N$, the Floer chain complex $\left(\boldsymbol{C} F_{*}(\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{J}), \boldsymbol{\delta}\right)$ is $2 N$-periodic, i.e.,

$$
\left(\boldsymbol{C F} \boldsymbol{F}_{*}, \partial\right) \cong\left(\boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{F}_{*+2 N}, \partial\right) .
$$

(Pick an element $\boldsymbol{A} \in \boldsymbol{\pi}_{2}(\boldsymbol{M})$ such that $\left\langle\boldsymbol{c}_{\mathbf{1}}(\boldsymbol{M}), \boldsymbol{A}\right\rangle=\boldsymbol{N}$. Then the action of $[A] \in \pi_{2}(M) /\left(\operatorname{ker}[\omega] \cap \operatorname{ker} c_{1}(M)\right)$ induces such an isomorphism of chain complexes.) The $\mathbb{Z} / \mathbf{2} \mathbf{N} \mathbb{Z}$-graded version of Floer complex $(\boldsymbol{C F}, \boldsymbol{\delta})$ is a free finitely generated chain complex over the smaller Novikov ring. Namely, put

$$
\Gamma_{0}=\operatorname{ker} c_{1}(M) /\left(\operatorname{ker}[\omega] \cap \operatorname{ker} c_{1}(M)\right) .
$$

endow it with the homomorphism $\int \omega: \Gamma_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and consider the corresponding Novikov completion $\Lambda_{(M, \omega)}^{(0) Z}=\mathbb{Z}\left(\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)$. We may also denote this ring by $\hat{\Lambda}$ (observe that $\int \omega: \Gamma_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a monomorphism). We have the following
Theorem 2.6. Let $(M, \omega)$ be a closed weakly monotone symplectic manifold with minimal Chern number $N$ and $\boldsymbol{H}$ a non-degenerate 1periodic Hamiltonian on $M$.
(1) Then there exists $a \mathbb{Z} / \mathbf{2 N Z}$-graded chain complex $\left(\boldsymbol{C F} \boldsymbol{F}_{*}^{\circ}(\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{J}), \partial\right)$, which is freely generated by $\left\{\left[\ell, w_{\ell}\right] \mid \ell \in \mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{H})\right\}$ over $\Lambda_{(M, \omega)}^{(0) Z}$.
(2) $\left(\boldsymbol{C F} \boldsymbol{F}_{*}^{\circ}, \partial\right)$ is chain equivalent to the Morse complex $\left(\boldsymbol{C M} \boldsymbol{M}_{*+n}^{\circ}, \partial^{\text {Morse }}\right)$ with the grading modulo $2 N$ as chain complexes over $\Lambda_{(M, \omega)}^{(0) Z}$.
We can also construct Floer complex with coefficients in a local system on $M$, see [18] section 6 , and prove corresponding results in the case with coefficients in a local system on $M$.
Theorem 2.7. Let $(M, \omega)$ be a closed weakly monotone symplectic manifold and $\rho: \pi_{1}(\boldsymbol{M}) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{G L}(r, \mathbb{F})$. For a non-degenerate 1-periodic Hamiltonian $H$ and a generic almost complex structure compatible with $\omega$,

$$
\boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{F}_{*}^{\circ}(\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{J} ; \boldsymbol{\rho}) \cong \boldsymbol{H}_{*+n}^{\circ}(\boldsymbol{M} ; \boldsymbol{\rho}) \otimes \Lambda_{(M, \omega)}^{\mathbb{F}} .
$$

2.3. Floer complex over a regular cover. Let $p r: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ be a regular covering of $M$ with the covering transformation group $G$. Let $H$ be a non-degenerate 1-periodic Hamiltonian $M$ and $J$ a generic $t$-dependent almost complex structures compatible with $\omega$. Denote by $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{H}}$, resp. $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{J}}$ the pull-back of $\boldsymbol{H}$, resp. $\boldsymbol{J}$, to $\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z} \times \widetilde{M}$. The Floer complex $\left(\boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{F}_{*}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{H}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{J}}), \partial\right)$ is constructed in the spirit of [13].
We will now define $\overline{\mathcal{L}}(\widetilde{M})$ similarly to $\overline{\mathcal{L}}(\boldsymbol{M})$. Consider the set of all pairs $(\gamma, \boldsymbol{w})$ where $\gamma$ is a loop in $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{M}}$ and $\boldsymbol{w}$ is a bounding disk for
$\boldsymbol{p r} \circ \boldsymbol{\gamma}$. Introduce in this set the following equivalence relation: $(\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{w})$ and $\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{w}^{\prime}\right)$ are equivalent if the values of both cohomology classes $[\omega]$ and $\boldsymbol{c}_{\mathbf{1}}(\boldsymbol{M})$ on the singular sphere $\boldsymbol{w} \#\left(-\boldsymbol{w}^{\prime}\right)$ are the same. The set $\overline{\mathcal{L}}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{M}})$ of the equivalence classes is a covering space of $\mathcal{L}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{M}})$, and the deck transformation group of the covering is isomorphic to

$$
\Gamma=\pi_{2}(\boldsymbol{M}) /\left(\operatorname{ker}[\omega] \cap \operatorname{ker} c_{1}(\boldsymbol{M})\right) .
$$

The action functional

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\widetilde{H}}: \overline{\mathcal{L}}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{M}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}
$$

is defined by the same formula as before, namely

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\widetilde{H}}(\gamma, \boldsymbol{w})=\mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{H}}(\boldsymbol{p r} \circ \gamma, \boldsymbol{w})
$$

We define $\boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{F}_{*}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{H}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{J}})$ by the downward completion of free abelian group generated by $\operatorname{Crit} \mathcal{A}_{\widetilde{H}}$ with respect to the action functional $\mathcal{A}_{\widetilde{H}}$. Pick and fix a lift $\widetilde{\ell}$ of $\ell \in \mathcal{P}(\underset{\sim}{\boldsymbol{H}})$ to a 1-periodic solution of $\boldsymbol{X}_{\widetilde{H}_{t}}$ on $\widetilde{M}$. Note that $\boldsymbol{p r}^{-\mathbf{1}}(\mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{H}))=\{\boldsymbol{g} \cdot \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \mid \boldsymbol{\ell} \in \mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{H}), \boldsymbol{g} \in \boldsymbol{G}\}$. We have

$$
\operatorname{Crit} \mathcal{A}_{\widetilde{H}}=\left\{[\boldsymbol{g} \cdot \widetilde{\ell}, \boldsymbol{w}] \mid[\ell, w] \in \operatorname{Crit} \mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{H}}, \boldsymbol{g} \in \boldsymbol{G}\right\}
$$

We also pick and fix a bounding disk $\boldsymbol{w}_{\ell}$ for each $\ell \in \mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{H})$. Then we find that $\boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{F}_{*}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{H}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{J}})$ is isomorphic to a free module generated by $\left\{\left[\tilde{\ell}, \boldsymbol{w}_{\ell}\right] \mid \ell \in \mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{H})\right\}$ over $\Lambda_{(M, \omega)}^{\mathbb{Z}[\boldsymbol{G}]}$.

The boundary operator is defined by counting certain isolated solutions of Equation (7) as follows. Let $\left[\gamma^{ \pm}, w^{ \pm}\right] \in$ Crit $_{\widetilde{H}}$. We consider the moduli space $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { M }}\left(\left[\gamma^{-}, \boldsymbol{w}^{-}\right],\left[\gamma^{+}, \boldsymbol{w}^{+}\right]\right)$of solutions of Equation (7) satisfying Condition (8) with $\ell^{ \pm}=p r \circ \gamma^{ \pm}$, Condition (9) and that $\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mathbf{0}): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \widetilde{\boldsymbol{M}}$ lifts to a path joining $\gamma^{-}(\mathbf{0})$ and $\gamma^{+}(\mathbf{0})$. We set $\boldsymbol{n}\left(\left[\gamma^{-}, \boldsymbol{w}^{-}\right],\left[\gamma^{+}, \boldsymbol{w}^{+}\right]\right)$the signed count of isolated solutions in $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { M }}\left(\left[\gamma^{-}, \boldsymbol{w}^{-}\right],\left[\gamma^{+}, \boldsymbol{w}^{+}\right]\right)$. Since isolated connecting orbits in $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { M }}([\boldsymbol{p r} \circ$ $\left.\left.\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{-}, \boldsymbol{w}^{-}\right],\left[\boldsymbol{p r} \circ \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{+}, \boldsymbol{w}^{+}\right]\right)$are at most finitely many $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { M }}\left(\left[\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{-}, \boldsymbol{w}^{-}\right],\left[\boldsymbol{g} \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{+}, \boldsymbol{w}^{+}\right]\right)$ contains isolated connecting orbits. In other words, for fixed $\left[\gamma^{-}, \boldsymbol{w}^{-}\right]$, $\left[\gamma^{+}, \boldsymbol{w}^{+}\right]$, there are at most finitely many $\boldsymbol{g} \in \boldsymbol{G}$ such that $\boldsymbol{n}\left(\left[\gamma^{-}, \boldsymbol{w}^{-}\right],[\boldsymbol{g}\right.$. $\left.\left.\gamma^{+}, \boldsymbol{w}^{+}\right]\right) \neq 0$. The boundary operator $\partial$ on $\boldsymbol{C F} \boldsymbol{F}_{*}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{H}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{J}})$ is given by

$$
\partial\left[\gamma^{+}, \boldsymbol{w}^{+}\right]=\sum \boldsymbol{n}\left(\left[\gamma^{-}, \boldsymbol{w}^{-}\right],\left[\gamma^{+}, \boldsymbol{w}^{+}\right]\right)\left[\gamma^{-}, \boldsymbol{w}^{-}\right] .
$$

It is clear that $\partial$ is linear over $\Lambda_{(M, \omega)}^{\mathbb{Z}[G]}$.
Keeping attention on the homotopy classes of paths $\boldsymbol{u}(\tau, 0): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow$ $M$ of solutions of Equation (7), [10), the proofs of Theorems 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4 works for the case of $\boldsymbol{C F} \boldsymbol{F}_{*}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{H}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{J}})$. For example, we show the fact that $\partial \circ \partial=0$ in the following way. In subsection 2.2, we recalled that $\left(\boldsymbol{C F} \boldsymbol{F}_{*}(\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{J}), \partial\right)$ is a chain complex using the moduli space
$\mathcal{M}\left(\left[\ell_{1}, w_{1}\right],\left[\ell_{2}, w_{2}\right]\right)$ with $\mu_{C Z}\left(\left[\ell_{2}, w_{2}\right]\right)-\mu_{C Z}\left(\left[\ell_{1}, w_{1}\right]\right)=2$. The projection $p r: \widetilde{\boldsymbol{M}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{M}$ gives an identification of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { M }}\left(\left[\gamma_{1}, w_{1}\right],\left[\gamma_{2}, w_{2}\right]\right)$ and the subspace of $\left.\boldsymbol{\mathcal { M }}\left(\boldsymbol{p r} \circ \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{-}, \boldsymbol{w}^{-}, \boldsymbol{p r} \circ \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{+}, \boldsymbol{w}^{+}\right]\right)$consisting of connecting orbits $u$ such that $\boldsymbol{u}(\tau, 0)$ lifts to a path joining $\gamma_{1}(0)$ and $\gamma_{2}(0)$. The boundary of this subspace is the union of the direct product $\mathcal{M}\left(\left[\gamma_{1}, \boldsymbol{w}_{1}\right],[\gamma, \boldsymbol{w}]\right) \times \mathcal{M}\left([\gamma, \boldsymbol{w}],\left[\gamma_{2}, \boldsymbol{w}_{2}\right]\right)$ such that $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{C Z}([\gamma, \boldsymbol{w}])=$ $\mu_{C Z}\left(\left[\gamma^{+}, w^{+}\right]\right)-1$, which is identified with the union of the space of pairs $\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{1}, \boldsymbol{u}_{2}\right)$ of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { M }}\left(\left[\boldsymbol{p r} \circ \gamma_{1}, \boldsymbol{w}_{1}\right],[\boldsymbol{p r} \circ \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{w}]\right) \times \boldsymbol{\mathcal { M }}\left([\partial \circ \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{w}],\left[\boldsymbol{p r} \circ \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{2}, \boldsymbol{w}_{2}\right]\right)$ such that the concatenation of the paths $u_{1}(\tau, 0)$ and $u_{2}(\tau, 0)$ lifts to a path joining $\gamma_{1}(0)$ and $\gamma_{2}(0)$. Hence, by looking at the components of $\left.\boldsymbol{\mathcal { M }}\left(\boldsymbol{p r} \circ \gamma_{1}, \boldsymbol{w}_{1}, \boldsymbol{p r} \circ \gamma_{2}, \boldsymbol{w}_{2}\right]\right)$ with $\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mathbf{0})$ in the prescribed homotopy class of paths joining $\boldsymbol{p r} \circ \gamma_{1}(\mathbf{0})$ and $\boldsymbol{p r} \circ \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{0})$, we find that $\boldsymbol{C F} \boldsymbol{F}_{*}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{H}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{J}})$ is a chain complex. This Floer complex is periodic with respect to the degree shift by $2 N$. Hence we can also obtain $\mathbb{Z} / 2 N \mathbb{Z}$-graded chain complex, which we denote by $\boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{F}_{*}^{\circ}(\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{J}), \boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{F}_{*}^{\circ}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{H}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{J}})$, etc.

Theorem 2.8. Let $\widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ be a regular covering of a closed weakly monotone symplectic manifold ( $M, \omega$ ) with minimal Chern number $\boldsymbol{N}$. Let $G$ be the structure group of the covering.
(1) For a non-degenerate 1-periodic Hamiltonian $H$ on $M$, there exists $a \mathbb{Z} / \mathbf{2 N} \mathbb{Z}$-graded chain complex $\left(\boldsymbol{C F}_{*}^{\circ}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{H}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{J}}), \partial\right)$ such that $\boldsymbol{C F}{ }_{*}^{\circ}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{H}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{J}})$ is a free module generated by $\left\{\left[\tilde{\ell}, \boldsymbol{w}_{\ell}\right] \mid \ell \in \mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{H})\right\}$ over $\Lambda_{(M, \omega)}^{(0) \mathbb{Z}[G]}$.
(2) Let $f$ be a Morse function on $M$. Then for a non-degenerate 1-periodic Hamiltonian $\boldsymbol{H}$ and a generic almost complex structure $\boldsymbol{J}$ compatible with $\omega,\left(\boldsymbol{C F}_{*}^{\circ}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{H}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{J}}), \partial\right)$ is chain equivalent to the Morse complex $\left(\boldsymbol{C M}_{*+n}^{\circ}(\boldsymbol{f} \circ \boldsymbol{p r}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} \Lambda_{(M, \omega)}^{(0) \mathbb{Z}[G]}, \partial^{\text {Morse }}\right)$ of the Morse function $\boldsymbol{f} \circ \boldsymbol{p r}: \widetilde{\boldsymbol{M}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with coefficients in $\Lambda_{(M, \omega)}^{(0) \mathbb{Z}[G]}$.

In the case of arbitrary closed symplectic manifolds we have an analog of this result over the field $\mathbb{Q}$.

Theorem 2.9. Let $\widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ be a regular covering of a closed symplectic manifold $(M, \omega)$ with minimal Chern number $N$. Let $G$ be the structure group of the covering.
(1) For a non-degenerate 1-periodic Hamiltonian $H$ on $M$, there exists $a \mathbb{Z} / \mathbf{2 N} \mathbb{Z}$-graded chain complex $\left(\boldsymbol{C F}_{*}^{\circ}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{H}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{J}}), \partial\right)$ such that $\boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{F}_{*}^{\circ}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{H}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{J}})$ is a free module generated by $\left\{\left[\widetilde{\ell}, \boldsymbol{w}_{\ell}\right] \mid \ell \in \mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{H})\right\}$ over $\Lambda_{(M, \omega)}^{(0) \mathbb{Q}[\boldsymbol{G}]}$.
(2) Let $f$ be a Morse function on $M$. Then for a non-degenerate 1-periodic Hamiltonian $\boldsymbol{H}$ and a generic almost complex structure $J$ compatible with $\omega,\left(\boldsymbol{C F}_{*}^{\circ}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{H}}, \widetilde{J}), \partial\right)$ is chain equivalent to the Morse
complex $\left(\boldsymbol{C} M_{*+\boldsymbol{n}}^{\circ}(\boldsymbol{f} \circ \boldsymbol{p r}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}[G]} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(M, \omega)}^{(0) \mathbb{Q}[\boldsymbol{G}]}, \partial^{\text {Morse }}\right)$ of the Morse function $f \circ \boldsymbol{p r}: \widetilde{\boldsymbol{M}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with coefficients in $\Lambda_{(M, \omega)}^{(0) \mathbb{Q}[G]}$.

## 3. Invariants of chain complexes: $\mathbb{Z}$-GRADED CASE

The sections 3 and 4 are purely algebraic. We introduce some invariants of chain complexes, which will be applied in Section 5 to obtain lower bounds for $\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{H})$.

### 3.1. Definition of invariants $\mu_{i}$.

Recall that a ring $\boldsymbol{R}$ is called an IBN-ring, if the cardinality of a base of a free $\boldsymbol{R}$-module does not depend on the choice of the base. Any principal ideal domain (PID) is an IBN-ring. The group ring of any group with coefficients in a PID is an IBN-ring. All the rings which we consider in this paper will be IBN-rings.
Definition 3.1. For a free based finitely generated module $\boldsymbol{A}$ over an IBN-ring $\boldsymbol{R}$ we denote by $\boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{A})$ the cardinality of any base of $\boldsymbol{A}$. It will be called the rank of $A$.

Let $C_{*}=\left\{\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{n}}\right\}_{\boldsymbol{n} \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a free finitely generated chain complex over a ring $\boldsymbol{R}$. Denote by $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\left(\boldsymbol{C}_{*}\right)$ the number $\boldsymbol{m}\left(\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)$. The minimum of the numbers $m_{i}\left(D_{*}\right)$, where $D_{*}$ ranges over the set of all free based finitely generated chain complexes chain equivalent to $C_{*}$, will be denoted by $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\left(\boldsymbol{C}_{*}\right)$.

Observe that the chain complexes which we consider are not supposed to vanish in negative degrees.

Our aim in this section is to develop efficient tools for computing the invariants $\mu_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{C}_{*}\right)$ for the case of chain complexes arising in the applications to the Arnold conjecture.

We will use here the terminology from Subsection 2.1. Namely $\boldsymbol{G}$ is a group, $\boldsymbol{T}$ is a free abelian finitely generated group, $\boldsymbol{\xi}: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a monomorphism. We consider the rings

$$
\mathcal{L}=\mathbb{Z}[\boldsymbol{G}]((\boldsymbol{T})), \quad \widehat{\Lambda}=\mathbb{Z}((\boldsymbol{T})), \quad \mathcal{F}=\mathbb{F}((\boldsymbol{T})) .
$$

The ring $\mathcal{L}$ is an IBN-ring since it has an epimorphism onto $\hat{\Lambda}$. Similarly, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is an IBN-ring.
We will use the following notation throughout the rest of the paper:
$\boldsymbol{X}$ is a connected finite CW-complex, $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{X}$ is a regular covering with a structure group $G$, so that we have an epimorphism $\pi_{1}(\boldsymbol{X}) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{G}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{C}_{*}(\boldsymbol{X})=C_{*}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}) \underset{\mathbb{Z} \boldsymbol{G}}{\otimes} \mathbb{Z}[\boldsymbol{G}]((\boldsymbol{T})) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\mathscr{C}_{*}(\boldsymbol{X})$ is a free finitely generated chain complex over $\mathcal{L}=$ $\mathbb{Z}[G]((\boldsymbol{T}))$. Observe the following isomorphism of $\mathcal{L}$-modules:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{0}}\left(\mathscr{C}_{*}(\boldsymbol{X})\right) \approx \hat{\Lambda} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2. Lower bounds provided by the cohomology with local coefficients.

Let $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\boldsymbol{r}, \mathbb{F})$ be a representation. We denote by $\boldsymbol{b}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{\rho})$ the Betti numbers of $\boldsymbol{X}$ with respect to the local coefficient system induced by $\rho$. Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{i}(X, \rho)=\frac{1}{r} b_{i}(X, \rho) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will need the following basic Lemma.
Lemma 3.2. Let $D_{*}$ be a free finitely generated chain complex over $\mathcal{L}$, chain equivalent to $\mathscr{C}_{*}(\boldsymbol{X})$. Let $\rho: \boldsymbol{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\boldsymbol{r}, \mathbb{F})$ be a representation. Then there is a chain complex $\boldsymbol{E}_{*}$ over $\mathcal{F}$ such that

1) $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{F}} \boldsymbol{E}_{\boldsymbol{k}}=\boldsymbol{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\left(\boldsymbol{D}_{*}\right)$,
2) $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{F}} \boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\left(\boldsymbol{E}_{*}\right)=\boldsymbol{b}_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{\rho})$.

Proof. The vector space $\mathbb{F}^{r}$ has the structure of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module via the representation $\rho$. This structure induces a natural structure $\hat{\rho}$ of $\mathcal{L}$-module on $\mathcal{F}^{r}$ by the following formula

$$
\left(\sum_{i} a_{i} g_{i}\right)\left(\sum_{j} v_{j} h_{j}\right)=\sum_{i, j} a_{i}\left(v_{j}\right) g_{i} h_{j} \quad \text { with } a_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}[G], \quad v_{j} \in \mathbb{F}^{r}, g_{i}, h_{j} \in T .
$$

We have also the representation $\rho_{0}: G \rightarrow \operatorname{GL}(r, \mathcal{F})$ obtained as the composition of $\rho$ with the embedding $\mathbb{F} \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{F}$. Put $\boldsymbol{E}_{*}=\boldsymbol{D}_{*} \otimes_{\hat{\rho}} \mathcal{F}^{r}$.
Then $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{F}} \boldsymbol{E}_{\boldsymbol{k}}=\boldsymbol{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\left(\boldsymbol{D}_{*}\right)$, and
$\mathscr{C}_{*}(\boldsymbol{X}) \underset{\hat{\rho}}{\otimes} \mathcal{F}^{r}=\left(\boldsymbol{C}_{*}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}) \underset{\mathbb{Z}[G]}{\otimes} \mathcal{L}\right) \underset{\hat{\rho}}{\otimes} \mathcal{F}^{r} \approx \boldsymbol{C}_{*}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}) \underset{\rho_{0}}{\otimes} \mathcal{F}^{r} \approx\left(\boldsymbol{C}_{*}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}) \underset{\rho}{\otimes} \underset{\mathbb{F}}{ } \mathbb{F}^{r}\right) \underset{\mathbb{F}}{\otimes} \mathcal{F}$
so that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{F}} \boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\left(\boldsymbol{E}_{*}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{F}} \boldsymbol{H}_{k}\left(\mathscr{C}_{*}(\boldsymbol{X}) \otimes_{\hat{\rho}} \mathcal{F}^{r}\right)=\boldsymbol{b}_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{\rho}) .
$$

The next proposition follows.
Proposition 3.3. We have

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\left(\mathscr{C}_{*}(\boldsymbol{X})\right) \geqslant \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{\rho}) .
$$

Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{D}_{*}$ be any chain complex over $\mathcal{L}$ which is chain equivalent to $\mathscr{C}_{*}(\boldsymbol{X})$. Pick a chain complex $\boldsymbol{E}_{*}$ constructed in the previous Lemma. We have

$$
\boldsymbol{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\left(\boldsymbol{D}_{*}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{F}} \boldsymbol{E}_{\boldsymbol{k}} \geqslant \operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{F}} \boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\left(\boldsymbol{E}_{*}\right)=\boldsymbol{b}_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{\rho})=\boldsymbol{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{\rho}) .
$$

For $i=1$ we have a slightly stronger version of this estimate. In order to prove it, we need a lemma.

Lemma 3.4. Let $A_{*}$ be a free based finitely generated chain complex over a ring $\boldsymbol{R}$, such that $\boldsymbol{A}_{*}=\mathbf{0}$ for $* \leqslant \boldsymbol{l}-2$ and $\boldsymbol{H}_{l-1}\left(\boldsymbol{A}_{*}\right)=\mathbf{0}$. Then there exists a chain complex $\boldsymbol{B}_{*}$ such that

1) $B_{j} \approx A_{j}$ for $j \geqslant l+2$, and $j=l$.
2) $B_{l+1}=A_{l+1} \oplus A_{l-1}$,
3) $B_{j}=0$ for $\boldsymbol{j} \leqslant l-1$.

Proof. Let $T_{*}$ be the chain complex

$$
\left\{0 \leftarrow A_{l-1} \stackrel{I d}{\rightleftarrows} A_{l-1} \leftarrow 0\right\}
$$

concentrated in degrees $l$ and $l+1$. By the Thickening Lemma ([22], Lemma 3.6, p. 56) the chain complex $C_{*}=A_{*} \oplus T_{*}$ is isomorphic to the chain complex

$$
C_{*}^{\prime}=\left\{0 \leftarrow A_{l-1} \stackrel{\partial_{l}}{\longleftarrow} A_{l-1} \oplus A_{l} \stackrel{\partial_{l+1}}{\longleftarrow} A_{l-1} \oplus A_{l+1} \leftarrow A_{l+2} \leftarrow \ldots\right\}
$$

with $\partial_{l}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})=\boldsymbol{x}$. Splitting off the chain complex

$$
\left\{0 \leftarrow A_{l-1} \stackrel{I d}{\rightleftarrows} A_{l-1} \leftarrow 0\right\}
$$

concentrated in degrees $l-1$ and $l$, we obtain the required chain complex $\boldsymbol{B}_{*}$.

Proposition 3.5. We have

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\left(\mathscr{C}_{*}(\boldsymbol{X})\right) \geqslant \boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}(\boldsymbol{X}, \rho)+\mathbf{1}
$$

for any representation $\rho$ such that $\boldsymbol{H}_{0}(\boldsymbol{X}, \rho)=0$.
Proof. Let $D_{*}$ be any free based finitely generated chain complex over $\mathcal{L}$, chain equivalent to $\mathscr{C}_{*}(\boldsymbol{X})$. An easy induction argument using Lemma 3.4 shows that $D_{*}$ is chain equivalent to a free based finitely generated chain complex $D_{*}^{\prime}$ such that $D_{i}^{\prime}=0$ for $i \leqslant-2$ and $D_{i}^{\prime}=D_{i}$ for $i \geqslant 1$. Put

$$
\alpha=\boldsymbol{m}\left(\boldsymbol{D}_{-1}^{\prime}\right), \quad \beta=\boldsymbol{m}\left(\boldsymbol{D}_{0}^{\prime}\right), \quad \gamma=\boldsymbol{m}\left(\boldsymbol{D}_{1}^{\prime}\right)
$$

The homology of $D_{*}^{\prime}$ and of $D_{*} \underset{\hat{\rho}}{\otimes} \widehat{\Lambda}_{\mathbb{F}}^{r}$ vanishes in degree -1. Applying Lemma 3.2 to the trivial 1-dimensional representation $\rho_{0}$ we find a chain complex

$$
\boldsymbol{E}_{*}=\left\{0 \longleftarrow \mathcal{F}^{\alpha} \stackrel{\partial_{0}}{\longleftarrow} \mathcal{F}^{\beta} \stackrel{\partial_{1}}{\longleftarrow} \mathcal{F}^{\gamma} \longleftarrow \ldots\right\}
$$

such that $\boldsymbol{H}_{-\mathbf{1}}\left(\boldsymbol{E}_{*}\right)=\mathbf{0}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{0}}\left(\boldsymbol{E}_{*}\right) \approx \mathcal{F}$; this implies $\boldsymbol{\beta} \geqslant \boldsymbol{\alpha}+$ 1. Applying Lemma 3.2 to the representation $\rho$ we obtain a chain complex

$$
\boldsymbol{E}_{*}^{\prime}=\left\{0 \longleftarrow \mathcal{F}^{\alpha r} \stackrel{\partial_{0}^{\prime}}{\longleftarrow} \mathcal{F}^{\beta r} \stackrel{\partial_{1}^{\prime}}{\longleftarrow} \mathcal{F}^{\gamma r} \longleftarrow \ldots\right\}
$$

with $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{F}} \operatorname{Ker} \partial_{1}^{\prime} \geqslant \boldsymbol{b}_{\mathbf{1}}(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{\rho})$. Since $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{0}}(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{\rho})$ and $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{0}}\left(\boldsymbol{E}_{*}^{\prime}\right)$ vanish, we obtain

$$
r \gamma \geqslant b_{1}(X, \rho)+r(\beta-\alpha) \geqslant b_{1}(X, \rho)+r
$$

Remark 3.6. Observe that the condition $\boldsymbol{H}_{0}(X, \rho)=0$ is true for every non-trivial irreducible representation $\rho$.

When $\pi_{1}(\boldsymbol{X})$ is a perfect group the above methods allow to obtain a lower bound for $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}(\boldsymbol{X})$ :

Proposition 3.7. Assume that the covering $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{X}$ is the universal covering of $\boldsymbol{X}$, so that in particular $\pi_{1}(\boldsymbol{X}) \approx G$. Assume that $G$ is a perfect finite group. Then $\mu_{2}(\boldsymbol{X}) \geqslant \boldsymbol{b}_{2}(\boldsymbol{X})+2$.

Proof. Let $D_{*}$ be any free based finitely generated chain complex over $\mathcal{L}$, chain equivalent to $\mathscr{C}_{*}(\boldsymbol{X})$. Consider the chain complex $\boldsymbol{D}_{*}^{\prime}$ constructed in the proof of the Lemma 3.5. Applying Lemma 3.2 to the trivial 1-dimensional representation $\rho_{0}$ we find a chain complex

$$
\boldsymbol{E}_{*}=\left\{0 \longleftarrow \mathcal{F}^{\alpha} \longleftarrow \hat{\partial}_{0} \mathcal{F}^{\beta} \stackrel{\partial_{1}}{\longleftarrow} \mathcal{F}^{\gamma} \stackrel{\partial_{2}}{\longleftarrow} \mathcal{F}^{\delta} \longleftarrow \ldots\right\}
$$

such that $\boldsymbol{H}_{-\mathbf{1}}\left(\boldsymbol{E}_{*}\right)=\mathbf{0}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{0}}\left(\boldsymbol{E}_{*}\right) \approx \mathcal{F}$; We have $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} \partial_{\mathbf{1}}=$ $\gamma-(\boldsymbol{\beta}-\boldsymbol{\alpha})+1$. Since $\boldsymbol{G}$ is perfect, we have $\operatorname{dim} \boldsymbol{H}_{1}\left(\boldsymbol{E}_{*}\right)=\boldsymbol{b}_{\mathbf{1}}(\boldsymbol{X})=\mathbf{0}$, therefore $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Im} \partial_{2}^{\prime}=\gamma-(\beta-\alpha)+1$, so that

$$
\delta \geqslant b_{2}(\boldsymbol{X})+\gamma-(\boldsymbol{\beta}-\boldsymbol{\alpha})+\mathbf{1}
$$

Choose an irreducible representation $\rho$, such that $b_{1}(X, \rho) \geqslant 1$ (this is possible by Theorem 3.8). Applying Lemma 3.2 to the representation $\rho$ we deduce $\gamma-(\boldsymbol{\beta}-\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \geqslant \boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{\rho})>\mathbf{0}$, so that $\delta \geqslant \boldsymbol{b}_{2}(\boldsymbol{X})+\mathbf{2}$.
3.3. The invariant $\mu_{1}$ : the case of finite groups. The results of the previous subsection allow to obtain a complete result for the invariant $\mu_{1}$ in the case of finite groups. For a group $G$ denote by $\boldsymbol{d}(\boldsymbol{G})$ the minimal possible number of generators of $\boldsymbol{G}$ and by $\boldsymbol{\delta}(\boldsymbol{G})$ the minimal possible number of generators of the augmentation ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ as a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module. The next theorem is a reformulation of a well-known result in the cohomological theory of finite groups (see, for example, [21], Corollary 5.8, p. 191).

Theorem 3.8. Let $G$ be a finite group. Then $\delta(\boldsymbol{G})$ equals the maximum of two numbers $\boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{G})$ and $\boldsymbol{B}(\boldsymbol{G})$, defined below.

$$
A(G)=\max _{p, \rho}\left(\llbracket \frac{1}{r} b_{1}(G, \rho) \rrbracket+1\right),
$$

where the maximum is taken over all prime divisors $p$ of $|G|$ and all the irreducible non-trivial representations $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \mathbb{F}_{\boldsymbol{p}}\right)$. $\ddagger$

$$
B(G)=\max _{p} b_{1}\left(G, \mathbb{F}_{p}\right),
$$

where the maximum is taken over all prime divisors $\boldsymbol{p}$ of $|\boldsymbol{G}|$.
Remark 3.9. If $\phi: G \rightarrow K$ is a group epimorphism, $V$ a $K$-module, and we endow $V$ with a structure of $G$-module via $\phi$, then the induced homomorphism $H_{1}(G, V) \rightarrow H_{1}(K, V)$ is surjective.

Recall from (11) that $\boldsymbol{X}$ denotes a connected finite CW-complex, and $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{X}$ is a regular covering with a structure group $G$, so that we have an epimorphism $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{1}(\boldsymbol{X}) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{G}$. We denote by $\mathscr{C}_{*}(\boldsymbol{X})$ the chain complex $C_{*}(\boldsymbol{X}) \underset{\mathbb{Z} G}{\otimes} \mathcal{L}$.

Theorem 3.10. Let $G$ be a finite group with a group epimorphism $\pi_{1}(\boldsymbol{X}) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{G}$. Then $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\left(\mathscr{C}_{*}(\boldsymbol{X})\right) \geqslant \boldsymbol{\delta}(\boldsymbol{G})$.

Proof. Let $D_{*}$ be a free based finitely generated chain complex chain equivalent to $\mathscr{C}_{*}(\boldsymbol{X})$. The inequality $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{1}}\left(\mathscr{C}_{*}(\boldsymbol{X})\right) \geqslant \boldsymbol{\delta}(\boldsymbol{G})$ follows immediately from Propositions 3.3, 3.5 together with Theorem 3.8 and Remarks 3.6, 3.9.

The invariant $\boldsymbol{\delta}(\boldsymbol{G})$ of a finite group has the following properties:

1) $\boldsymbol{\delta}(\boldsymbol{G})=\boldsymbol{d}(\boldsymbol{G})$ if $\boldsymbol{G}$ is solvable (K. Grünberg's theorem [6], see also [21], Theorem 5.9).
2) $\delta(\boldsymbol{G})=1$ if and only if $G$ is cyclic (see [21], Lemma 5.5).

The second point implies also that $\delta(\boldsymbol{G})$ equals 2 for any simple nonabelian group $G$ (since $d(G)=2$ for such a group).
${ }^{\dagger}$ The symbol $\llbracket z \rrbracket$ denotes the minimal integer $\boldsymbol{k} \geqslant \boldsymbol{z}$.

Corollary 3.11. Let $G$ be a finite group with a group epimorphism $\pi_{1}(X) \rightarrow G$. We have

1) $\mu_{1}\left(\mathscr{C}_{*}(\boldsymbol{X})\right) \geqslant d(\boldsymbol{G})$ if $G$ is solvable or simple.
2) $\mu_{1}\left(\mathscr{C}_{*}(X)\right) \geqslant 1$ for every non-trivial group $G$.
3) $\mu_{1}\left(\mathscr{C}_{*}(X)\right) \geqslant 2$ if $G$ is not cyclic.
3.4. The invariant $\mu_{1}$ : the case of infinite groups. If the group $G$ is infinite, it is more difficult to give computable lower bounds for $\mu_{1}\left(\mathscr{C}_{*}(\boldsymbol{X})\right)$. In this section we prove that $\mu_{1}\left(\mathscr{C}_{*}(\boldsymbol{X})\right)>0$. Recall the ring $\mathcal{L}=\mathbb{Z}[\boldsymbol{G}]((\boldsymbol{T}))$ and its subring $\hat{\Lambda}=\mathbb{Z}((\boldsymbol{T}))$. Observe that the $\widehat{\Lambda}$-module $\mathcal{L}$ has no torsion. The ring $\hat{\Lambda}$ is also a module over $\mathcal{L}$ via the augmentation homomorphism $\varepsilon$ (see Subsection3.1).

Lemma 3.12. A free $\mathcal{L}$-module contains no submodule isomorphic to $\hat{\Lambda}$.

Proof. Assume that there is an embedding $i: \hat{\Lambda} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{n}$. There is a projection $p: \mathcal{L}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ such that $p \circ i$ is non-trivial. Since $\mathcal{L}$ has no $\hat{\Lambda}$-torsion, the homomorphism $p \circ i$ is an embedding. Put $a=$ $(p \circ i)(1) \in \mathcal{L}$. Multiplying $a$ by a suitable element of $T$ if necessary, we can consider that $\boldsymbol{a}=\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{1}+\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\prime}$ where $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$, and $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\prime}$ is a power series in monomials $\boldsymbol{g}_{i} \in \boldsymbol{T}$ with $\boldsymbol{\xi}\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{i}\right)<0$. For every $\boldsymbol{g} \in \boldsymbol{G}$ we have then $g \cdot a=\lambda \cdot a$ with with some $\lambda \in \hat{\Lambda}$, which implies $g \alpha=\boldsymbol{l} \alpha$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. The last property is impossible, since $G$ is infinite, and $\alpha$ is a finite linear combination of elements of $G$.

Corollary 3.13. Let $D_{*}$ be a free based finitely generated chain complex over $\mathcal{L}$. Assume that $\boldsymbol{H}_{0}\left(\boldsymbol{D}_{*}\right) \approx \widehat{\Lambda}$. Then $D_{1} \neq 0$.

Proof. If $D_{1}=0$, then $\boldsymbol{H}_{0}\left(\boldsymbol{D}_{*}\right)$ is isomorphic to the kernel of the boundary operator $\partial_{0}: \boldsymbol{D}_{0} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{D}_{-1}$, therefore $\boldsymbol{H}_{0}\left(\boldsymbol{D}_{*}\right)$ is a submodule of free $\mathcal{L}$-module, which contradicts to Lemma 3.12. The next proposition follows.

Proposition 3.14. If $G$ is infinite, then $\mu_{1}\left(\mathscr{C}_{*}(X)\right) \geqslant 1$.
Remark 3.15. This proposition is valid also for non-trivial finite groups, see Theorem 3.10 .

### 3.5. The invariant $\mu_{1}$ : the general case.

Definition 3.16. Let $\boldsymbol{R}$ be a ring, and $N$ be a module over $\boldsymbol{R}$. The minimal number $s$ such that there exists an epimorphism $\boldsymbol{R}^{s+r} \rightarrow$ $N \oplus \boldsymbol{R}^{r}$ will be called the stable number of generators of $N$, and denoted by $\sigma(N)$. The stable number of generators of the augmentation ideal Ker $\varepsilon: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \widehat{\Lambda}$ of the ring $\mathcal{L}$ will be denoted $\sigma(\boldsymbol{G})$.

Remark 3.17. Using Theorem 3.8 it is easy to show that $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{G})=$ $\boldsymbol{\delta}(\boldsymbol{G})$ for any finite group. It does not seem that this equality holds in general, although we do not have a counter-example at present.
Proposition 3.18. $\mu_{1}\left(\mathscr{C}_{*}(X)\right) \geqslant \sigma(G)$.
Proof. Let $D_{*}$ be any free based finitely generated chain complex over $\mathcal{L}$, chain equivalent to $\mathscr{C}_{*}(\boldsymbol{X})$. Similarly to Proposition 3.5 we construct a free based finitely generated chain complex $\boldsymbol{D}_{*}^{\prime}$ chain equivalent to $D_{*}$ such that $D_{i}^{\prime}=0$ for $i \leqslant-2$ and $D_{i}^{\prime}=D_{i}$ for $i \geqslant 1$. Denote $D_{-2}^{\prime}$ by $\boldsymbol{A}$ and $D_{-1}^{\prime}$ by $\boldsymbol{B}$. Similarly to the proof of 3.5 we deduce $\boldsymbol{m}\left(\boldsymbol{D}_{\mathbf{0}}\right) \geqslant \boldsymbol{m}(B)-\boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{A})+\mathbf{1}$. We have $\boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\left(\boldsymbol{D}_{*}\right)=\mathbf{0}$ for $\boldsymbol{i}<\mathbf{0}$. Applying Lemma 3.4 we obtain a chain complex

$$
D_{*}^{\prime}=\left\{\ldots 0 \leftarrow D_{-2}^{\prime} \stackrel{\partial_{-1}}{\leftarrow} D_{-1}^{\prime} \leftarrow D_{0} \leftarrow D_{1} \leftarrow \ldots\right\}
$$

Applying Lemma 3.4 two more times, we obtain a chain complex

$$
D_{*}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{\ldots 0 \leftarrow D_{0} \oplus A \leftarrow D_{1} \oplus B \leftarrow D_{2} \leftarrow \ldots\right\}
$$

chain equivalent to $D_{*}^{\prime}$. Since $\boldsymbol{H}_{0}\left(\boldsymbol{D}_{*}^{\prime}\right) \approx \hat{\Lambda}$, we have an exact sequence

$$
0 \leftarrow \hat{\Lambda} \stackrel{\phi}{\leftarrow} D_{0} \oplus A \stackrel{\psi}{\leftarrow} D_{1} \oplus B .
$$

Add to it the exact sequence $\{0 \leftarrow 0 \leftarrow \mathcal{L} \stackrel{I d}{\leftarrow} \mathcal{L} \leftarrow 0\}$. By the Thickening Lemma the result is isomorphic to the following exact sequence

$$
\mathbf{0} \leftarrow \hat{\Lambda} \stackrel{\chi}{\longleftarrow} \mathcal{L} \oplus \boldsymbol{D}_{\mathbf{0}} \oplus \boldsymbol{A} \stackrel{\phi}{\longleftarrow} \mathcal{L} \oplus \boldsymbol{D}_{\mathbf{1}} \oplus B
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\chi}(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{d}, \boldsymbol{a})=\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{f})$. Let $\boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{G})=\operatorname{Ker}(\varepsilon: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}})$. We have Ker $\chi=\boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{G}) \oplus D_{0} \oplus \boldsymbol{A}$, so that $\phi$ is an epimorphism of a free $\mathcal{L}$ module of rank $\boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{B})+\boldsymbol{m}\left(\boldsymbol{D}_{1}\right)+1$ onto the sum of $\boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{G})$ and a free $\mathcal{L}$-module of rank $\boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{A})+\boldsymbol{m}\left(\boldsymbol{D}_{0}\right)$. Thus

$$
\sigma(G) \leqslant m(B)-\boldsymbol{m}(A)+1-\boldsymbol{m}\left(D_{0}\right)+\boldsymbol{m}\left(D_{1}\right) \leqslant \boldsymbol{m}\left(D_{1}\right)
$$

3.6. The invariant $\mu_{2}$. The results about this invariant are less complete, than for $\mu_{1}$ : we have two different lower bounds for $\mu_{2}\left(\mathscr{C}_{*}(\boldsymbol{X})\right)$ (Proposition 3.19 and Corollary 3.28), none of them is optimal in general. Denote by $\boldsymbol{B}_{1}(\boldsymbol{X})$ the maximum of numbers $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{\rho})-$ $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}(X, \rho)$ where $\rho$ ranges over all representations of $\boldsymbol{G}$.

Proposition 3.19. For every representation $\rho: G \rightarrow \operatorname{GL}(r, \mathbb{F})$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}\left(\mathscr{C}_{*}(\boldsymbol{X})\right) \geqslant \boldsymbol{B}_{1}(\boldsymbol{X})+\boldsymbol{\beta}_{2}(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{\rho})-\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{\rho})+\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{\rho}) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $D_{*}$ be any free finitely generated chain complex over $\mathcal{L}$, chain equivalent to $\mathscr{C}_{*}(\boldsymbol{X})$. Similarly to Proposition 3.5 we can assume that $D_{i}=0$ for $i \leqslant-2$. Put

$$
\alpha=\boldsymbol{m}\left(D_{-1}\right), \beta=m\left(D_{0}\right), \gamma=m\left(D_{1}\right), \delta=\boldsymbol{m}\left(D_{2}\right)
$$

Apply Lemma 3.2 and let $\boldsymbol{E}_{*}$ be the corresponding chain complex. Denote by $Z_{0}$ the space of cycles of degree 0 of this complex; then $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{0}=r(\beta-\alpha)$. Consider the chain complex

$$
0 \leftarrow Z_{0} \leftarrow E_{1} \leftarrow E_{2} \leftarrow \ldots
$$

of vector spaces over $\mathcal{F}$. Its Betti numbers are equal to the Betti numbers of $\boldsymbol{X}$ with coefficients in $\rho$, and applying the strong Morse inequalities we obtain the following:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\boldsymbol{\beta}-\boldsymbol{\alpha} \geqslant \boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}(\boldsymbol{X}, \rho)  \tag{15}\\
\gamma-(\boldsymbol{\beta}-\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \geqslant \boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{\rho})-\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{\rho})  \tag{16}\\
\delta-\gamma+\boldsymbol{\beta}-\boldsymbol{\alpha} \geqslant \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{2}}(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{\rho})-\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{1}}(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{\rho})+\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{\rho}) \tag{17}
\end{gather*}
$$

The inequality (16) implies that $\gamma-\boldsymbol{\beta}+\boldsymbol{\alpha} \geqslant \boldsymbol{B}_{1}(\boldsymbol{X})$. Now the proposition follows from (17).

Corollary 3.20. Assume that $\boldsymbol{G}$ is finite and the epimorphism $\pi_{1}(\boldsymbol{X}) \rightarrow$ $G$ is an isomorphism. Then

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}\left(\mathscr{C}_{*}(\boldsymbol{X})\right) \geqslant \boldsymbol{\delta}(\boldsymbol{G})-\boldsymbol{b}_{\mathbf{1}}(\boldsymbol{X}, \mathbb{F})+\boldsymbol{b}_{2}(\boldsymbol{X}, \mathbb{F})
$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.8 that $\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathbf{1}}(\boldsymbol{X})+\boldsymbol{b}_{\mathbf{0}}(\boldsymbol{X}, \mathbb{F}) \geqslant \boldsymbol{\delta}(\boldsymbol{G})$.

Remark 3.21. If $G$ is a finite perfect group then $b_{1}(X, \mathbb{F})=0$, and $\boldsymbol{\delta}(\boldsymbol{G}) \geqslant 2$; thus we recover the Proposition 3.7.

Now we will give a lower bound for $\mu_{2}(\boldsymbol{X})$ in terms of a numerical invariant depending only on $\boldsymbol{G}$ and related to the invariant $\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{G})$ (see Introduction). Up to the end of this Section we assume that $G$ is finite and the epimorphism $\pi_{1}(X) \rightarrow G$ is an isomorphism. In this case the natural inclusion $\hat{\Lambda}[G] \longleftrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[G]((\boldsymbol{T}))$ is an isomorphism. We will make no difference between these two rings; observe also that

$$
\mathscr{C}_{*}(\boldsymbol{X})=C_{*}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}) \underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes} \hat{\Lambda}
$$

Definition 3.22. Let $\boldsymbol{R}$ be a commutative ring and

$$
\mathcal{F}_{*}=\left\{0 \leftarrow \boldsymbol{R} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{F}_{0} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{F}_{1} \leftarrow \ldots\right\}
$$

be a free $\boldsymbol{R}[\boldsymbol{G}]$-resolution of the trivial $\boldsymbol{R}[\boldsymbol{G}]$-module $\boldsymbol{R}$; put $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\left(\mathcal{F}_{*}\right)=$ $\boldsymbol{m}\left(\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)$. The minimum of $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\left(\mathcal{F}_{*}\right)$ over all free resolutions of $\boldsymbol{R}$ will be denoted by $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{R})$. If $\boldsymbol{R}=\mathbb{Z}$ we abbreviate $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{R})$ to $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(\boldsymbol{G})$.

The following properties are easy to prove:

1) For any ring $R$ we have $\mu_{i}(\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{R}) \leqslant \mu_{i}(\boldsymbol{G})$.
2) $\mu_{1}(\boldsymbol{G})=\delta(G)$.
3) $\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{G}) \geqslant \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}(\boldsymbol{G})+\boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}(\boldsymbol{G})$.

We will now introduce a similar notion appearing in the context of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded complexes.
Definition 3.23. Let $\boldsymbol{R}$ be a commutative ring. A $\mathbb{Z}$-graded chain complex of free finitely generated $R[G]$-modules

$$
\mathcal{E}_{*}=\left\{\ldots \leftarrow \mathcal{E}_{-n} \leftarrow \ldots \leftarrow \mathcal{E}_{0} \leftarrow \ldots \mathcal{E}_{n} \leftarrow \ldots\right\}
$$

is called $a \mathbb{Z}$-graded resolution of the trivial $\boldsymbol{R}[\boldsymbol{G}]$-module $\boldsymbol{R}$ if

1) $\boldsymbol{H}_{*}\left(\mathcal{E}_{*}\right)=\mathbf{0}$ for every $\boldsymbol{i} \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{0}}\left(\mathcal{E}_{*}\right) \approx \boldsymbol{R}$.
2) $\mathcal{E}_{-n}=0$ for every $n \geqslant 0$ sufficiently large.

The minimum of $\boldsymbol{m}_{i}\left(\mathcal{E}_{*}\right)$ over all $\mathbb{Z}$-graded resolutions of $\boldsymbol{R}$ will be denoted by $\bar{\mu}_{i}(\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{R})$. If $\boldsymbol{R}=\mathbb{Z}$ we abbreviate $\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{i}(\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{R})$ to $\bar{\mu}_{i}(\boldsymbol{G})$.

We have obviously $\bar{\mu}_{i}(\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{R}) \leqslant \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{R})$. The next proposition follows from the fundamental result of R. Swan [24].
Proposition 3.24. We have $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(\boldsymbol{G})=\bar{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(\boldsymbol{G})$ for $\boldsymbol{i}=1,2$.
Proof. Let $\mathcal{E}_{*}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded resolution. Similarly to Proposition 3.5 we can assume that $\mathcal{E}_{i}=0$ for $i \leqslant-2$; put

$$
f_{0}=\boldsymbol{m}\left(\mathcal{E}_{0}\right)-\boldsymbol{m}\left(\mathcal{E}_{-1}\right), \quad f_{i}=\boldsymbol{m}\left(\mathcal{E}_{i}\right) \text { for } i \geqslant 1
$$

Let $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\boldsymbol{r}, \mathbb{F})$ be any irreducible representation. Put $\mathcal{E}_{*}^{\rho}=$ $\mathcal{E}_{*} \otimes \mathbb{F}^{r}$, and let $Z_{0}^{\rho}$ be the vector space of cycles of degree 0 . Then ${ }_{\operatorname{dim}} Z_{0}^{\rho}=r f_{0}$. By the strong Morse inequalities applied to the chain complex

$$
0 \leftarrow Z_{0}^{\rho} \leftarrow \mathcal{E}_{1}^{\rho} \leftarrow \mathcal{E}_{2}^{\rho} \leftarrow \ldots
$$

we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{0} \geqslant \boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}\left(\mathcal{E}_{*}, \rho\right) ;  \tag{18}\\
f_{1}-f_{0} \geqslant \boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}\left(\mathcal{E}_{*}, \rho\right)-\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}\left(\mathcal{E}_{*}, \rho\right) ;  \tag{19}\\
f_{2}-f_{1}+f_{0} \geqslant \boldsymbol{\beta}_{2}\left(\mathcal{E}_{*}, \rho\right)-\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}\left(\mathcal{E}_{*}, \rho\right)+\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}\left(\mathcal{E}_{*}, \rho\right) . \tag{20}
\end{gather*}
$$

By the Swan's theory ( $\mid 24]$, Th. 5.1, Corollary 6.1, and Lemma 5.2) there exists a free resolution $\mathcal{F}_{*}$ of $\mathbb{Z}$ over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ such that $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\left(\mathcal{F}_{*}\right)=\boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$

[^3]for $i=0,1,2$. Therefore $\mu_{i}(G) \leqslant f_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. The proposition follows.

Remark 3.25. The proposition is valid for all $i \geqslant 1$, with some mild restrictions on $G$ (see Theorem 5.1 of [24].)

A similar method proves the next proposition.
Proposition 3.26. $\bar{\mu}_{i}(\boldsymbol{G}, \widehat{\Lambda})=\mu_{i}(\boldsymbol{G})$.
Now we can obtain the estimate for $\mu_{2}\left(\mathscr{C}_{*}(\boldsymbol{X})\right)$.
Proposition 3.27. We have $\mu_{2}\left(\mathscr{C}_{*}(\boldsymbol{X})\right) \geqslant \bar{\mu}_{2}(\boldsymbol{G}, \widehat{\Lambda})$.
Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{D}_{*}$ be a free finitely generated chain complex chain equivalent to $\mathscr{C}_{*}(\boldsymbol{X})$. Then

$$
H_{i}\left(D_{*}\right)=0 \text { for } i<0, \text { and } H_{0}\left(D_{*}\right) \approx \hat{\Lambda}, \quad \text { and } H_{1}\left(D_{*}\right)=0 .
$$

Using the standard procedure of killing the homology groups of a chain complex, we embed $\boldsymbol{D}_{*}$ into a free chain complex $\boldsymbol{D}_{*}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{D}_{*} \oplus \boldsymbol{E}_{*}$ such that $D_{*}^{\prime}$ is finitely generated in each dimension and

$$
\boldsymbol{E}_{\boldsymbol{i}}=\mathbf{0} \text { for } \boldsymbol{i} \leqslant 2, \quad \text { and } \boldsymbol{H}_{0}\left(\boldsymbol{D}_{*}^{\prime}\right)=\widehat{\Lambda} \text { and } \boldsymbol{H}_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{D}_{*}^{\prime}\right)=\mathbf{0} \text { for } \boldsymbol{i} \neq \mathbf{0}
$$

Then $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{D}_{*}\right)=\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{D}_{*}^{\prime}\right) \geqslant \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{2}}(\boldsymbol{G})$. The proposition follows.
The next Corollary is immediate.
Corollary 3.28. $\mu_{2}\left(\mathscr{C}_{*}(X)\right) \geqslant \mu_{2}(G)$.

## 4. INVARIANTS OF CHAIN COMPLEXES: $\mathbb{Z} / \boldsymbol{k} \mathbb{Z}$-GRADED CASE

Definition 4.1. Let $R$ be a ring, and $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geqslant 2$. A $\mathbb{Z} / k \mathbb{Z}$-graded chain complex is a family of free based finitely generated $\boldsymbol{R}$-modules $\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ indexed by $\boldsymbol{i} \in \mathbb{Z} / \boldsymbol{k} \mathbb{Z}$ together with homomorphisms $\partial_{i}: \boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \rightarrow$ $\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{i}-1}$, satisfying $\partial_{\boldsymbol{i}} \circ \partial_{\boldsymbol{i}+1}=0$.

Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and a free based finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$-graded chain complex $\boldsymbol{C}_{*}$, one constructs a $\mathbb{Z} / \boldsymbol{k} \mathbb{Z}$-graded chain complex $\boldsymbol{C}_{*}^{\circ}$ as follows:

$$
C_{i}^{\circ}=\bigoplus_{s \equiv i(k)} C_{s}
$$

In this section we will be working with the $\mathbb{Z} / k \mathbb{Z}$-graded chain complex induced by $\mathscr{C}_{*}(\boldsymbol{X})$ (see the definition (11). It will be denoted by $\mathscr{C}_{*}^{\circ}(\boldsymbol{X})$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{C}_{i}^{\circ}(\boldsymbol{X})=\bigoplus_{s \equiv i(k)} \mathscr{C}_{s}(\boldsymbol{X}) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 4.2. Let $C_{*}$ be a $\mathbb{Z} / \boldsymbol{k} \mathbb{Z}$-graded complex, and $i \in \mathbb{Z} / \boldsymbol{k} \mathbb{Z}$. The minimal number $\boldsymbol{m}\left(\boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)$ where $\boldsymbol{D}_{*}$ is a $\mathbb{Z} / \boldsymbol{k} \mathbb{Z}$-graded complex, chain equivalent to $C_{*}$, is denoted by $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{C}_{*}\right)$.
4.1. Lower bounds from local coefficient homology. Similarly to section 3.2 we have the following estimates for the invariants $\mu_{i}$ of $\mathbb{Z} / k \mathbb{Z}$-graded complexes. The proof of the next Proposition is similar to 3.3 .
Proposition 4.3. Let $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\boldsymbol{r}, \mathbb{F})$ a representation. Suppose that there is a group epimorphism $\pi_{1}(\boldsymbol{X}) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{G}$, then

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}_{i}\left(\mathscr{C}_{*}^{\circ}(\boldsymbol{X})\right) \geqslant \sum_{s \in i(k)} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{s}(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{\rho}) .
$$

4.2. Invariant $\mu_{1}$ in the $k$-graded case. The previous theorem implies the following lower bounds for $\mu_{i}\left(\mathscr{C}_{*}^{\circ}(\boldsymbol{X})\right)$ in terms of the invariants $\boldsymbol{d}(\boldsymbol{G}), \boldsymbol{\delta}(\boldsymbol{G})$.
Theorem 4.4. Suppose that there exists a group epimorphism from $\pi_{1}(\boldsymbol{X})$ to a finite and non-trivial group $G$. Then

1) $\mu_{1}\left(\mathscr{C}_{*}^{\circ}(X)\right) \geqslant \max (\delta(G)-1,1)$.
2) If $G$ is simple or solvable we have $\mu_{0}\left(\mathscr{C}_{*}^{\circ}(\boldsymbol{X})\right)+\mu_{1}\left(\mathscr{C}_{*}^{\circ}(\boldsymbol{X})\right) \geqslant$ $d(\boldsymbol{G})$.
Proof. We need only to prove that $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\left(\mathscr{C}_{*}^{\circ}(\boldsymbol{X})\right) \geqslant 1$. To this end, observe that if $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{1}}\left(\mathscr{C}_{*}^{\circ}(\boldsymbol{X})\right)=\mathbf{0}$, then the homology of $\boldsymbol{X}$ in degree 1 with all local coefficients vanish, which imply $\delta(G)=1$, then $G$ is cyclic, $b_{1}(G)=1$, which leads to a contradiction.

For the case of infinite groups we have the following result.
Theorem 4.5. Suppose that there exists a group epimorphism from $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{1}(\boldsymbol{X})$ to an infinite group $G$. Then $\mu_{1}\left(\mathscr{C}_{*}^{\circ}(\boldsymbol{X})\right) \geqslant 1$.

Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{D}_{*}$ be a $\mathbb{Z} / \boldsymbol{k} \mathbb{Z}$-graded chain complex equivalent to $\mathscr{C}_{*}^{\circ}(\boldsymbol{X})$. The module $\hat{\Lambda}=\boldsymbol{H}_{0}\left(\mathscr{C}_{*}(\boldsymbol{X})\right)$ is a submodule of $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{0}}\left(\mathscr{C}_{*}^{\circ}(\boldsymbol{X})\right)$. The condition $\boldsymbol{D}_{\mathbf{1}}=\mathbf{0}$ would imply that $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{0}}\left(\mathscr{C}_{*}^{\circ}(\boldsymbol{X})\right)$ and $\hat{\Lambda}$ are submodules of a free $\mathcal{L}$-module $\mathscr{C}_{0}^{\circ}(\boldsymbol{X})$, and this is impossible when $G$ is infinite by Lemma 3.12.

This theorem holds for $\hat{\Lambda} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ by the same argument. This estimate can be improved in the case when $k-2 \geqslant \operatorname{dim} \boldsymbol{X}$. Note that in this case the sum in the right hand side of (21) contains only one term for every $i$.
Theorem 4.6. Assume that $\operatorname{dim} \boldsymbol{X} \leqslant \boldsymbol{k}-2$, and there exists a group epimorphism from $\pi_{1}(\boldsymbol{X})$ to a finite group $\boldsymbol{G}$. Then

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\left(\mathscr{C}_{*}^{\circ}(\boldsymbol{X})\right) \geqslant \boldsymbol{\delta}(\boldsymbol{G})
$$

Proof. Similarly to Section 3.3 it suffices to prove that

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{1}}\left(\mathscr{C}_{*}^{\circ}(\boldsymbol{X})\right) \geqslant \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{1}}(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{\rho})+\mathbf{1}
$$

for any representation $\rho$ such that $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{0}}(\boldsymbol{X}, \rho)=0$. Let

$$
D_{*}=\left\{\ldots \leftarrow D_{-2} \stackrel{\partial_{-1}}{\leftrightarrows} D_{-1} \stackrel{\partial_{0}}{\leftarrow} D_{0} \leftarrow \ldots\right\}
$$

be a $\mathbb{Z} / \boldsymbol{k} \mathbb{Z}$-graded complex, chain equivalent to $\boldsymbol{K}_{*}=\mathscr{C}_{*}^{\circ}(\boldsymbol{X})$. The chain complex $D_{*}$ does not necessarily vanish in any degree, and the argument which we used in the proof of the Proposition 3.5 can not be applied immediately.

Let $\boldsymbol{D}_{*} \xrightarrow{\phi} \boldsymbol{K}_{*} \xrightarrow{\psi} \boldsymbol{D}_{*}$ be the mutually inverse chain equivalences. Since $k \geqslant \operatorname{dim} X+2$, the chain complex $K_{*}$ vanishes in degree -1 , hence the $\operatorname{map} \psi \circ \phi: D_{-1} \rightarrow D_{-1}$ is equal to 0 . The existence of chain homotopy from $\psi \circ \phi$ to Id implies that the submodule $\operatorname{Ker} \partial_{-1}=\operatorname{Im} \partial_{0}$ is a direct summand of $D_{-1}$, hence a projective $\widehat{\Lambda}[G]-$ module. Let us denote it by $L$. The $\mathbb{Z} / \boldsymbol{k} \mathbb{Z}$-graded chain complex $\boldsymbol{D}_{*}$ contains a (Z -graded) subcomplex

$$
D_{*}^{\prime}=\left\{0 \leftarrow L \stackrel{\partial_{0}}{\leftarrow} D_{0} \leftarrow D_{1} \leftarrow D_{2} \leftarrow 0\right\},
$$

with $H_{0}\left(D_{*}^{\prime}\right) \approx \hat{\Lambda}, H_{-1}\left(D_{*}^{\prime}\right)=\mathbf{0}, H_{1}\left(D_{*}^{\prime}\right) \approx H_{1}\left(D_{*}\right)$. Denote by $S \subset \mathbb{N}$ the multiplicative subset of all numbers $t$, such that $\operatorname{gcd}(t,|G|)=1$. The module $S^{-1} L$ is free by a fundamental result of R. Swan (see [21], §5). Thus the chain complex $D_{*}^{\prime \prime}=S^{-1} D_{*}^{\prime}$ is free over $S^{-1} \widehat{\Lambda}[G]$; put

$$
\alpha=m\left(D_{-1}^{\prime \prime}\right), \beta=m\left(D_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right), \gamma=m\left(D_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right) .
$$

Let $\boldsymbol{p}$ be a prime divisor of $|\boldsymbol{G}|$, and $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \mathbb{F}_{\boldsymbol{p}}\right)$ be a representation. The homology of the complex $\boldsymbol{K}_{*} \underset{\rho}{\otimes} \mathbb{F}_{p}^{r}$ is isomorphic to that of $D_{*}^{\prime \prime} \otimes \mathbb{F}_{p}^{r}$ in degrees $-1,0,1$. Therefore the argument proving Proposition 3.5 applies here as well, and the proof of the Theorem is complete.

## 5. Estimates for the number of closed orbits

We proceed to the estimates of the number of periodic orbits of a Hamiltonian isotopy induced by a non-degenerate 1-periodic Hamiltonian $\boldsymbol{H}$ on a closed connected symplectic manifold $\boldsymbol{M}$. We denote by $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{M}} \rightarrow M$ a regular covering with a structure group $G$. Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{C}_{*}(M)=C_{*}(\widetilde{M}) \underset{\mathbb{Z}[G]}{\otimes} \Lambda_{(M, \omega)}^{\mathbb{Z}[G]} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $\boldsymbol{N}$ the minimal Chern number of $\boldsymbol{M}$.

Definition 5.1. If $N=0$, put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{i}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{M}})=\mu_{i}\left(\mathscr{C}_{*}(\boldsymbol{M})\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see Definition 3.1; here $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ).
If $N>0$ consider the $\mathbb{Z} / 2 N \mathbb{Z}$-graded chain complex $C_{*}^{\circ}(\widetilde{M})$ (see (21) and put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{i}(\widetilde{M})=\mu_{i}\left(\mathscr{C}_{*}^{\circ}(\boldsymbol{M})\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see Definition 4.2, here $i \in \mathbb{Z} / \mathbf{2 N Z}$ ).
The numbers $\mu_{i}(\widetilde{M})$ are obviously homotopy invariants of $M$ and the chosen covering $\widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$.
5.1. The spherical Calabi-Yau case. We consider here symplectic manifolds $\boldsymbol{M}$ with $\boldsymbol{c}_{\mathbf{1}}(\boldsymbol{M})(\boldsymbol{A})=0$ for every $\boldsymbol{A} \in \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\mathbf{2}}(\boldsymbol{M})$. In this case every contractible periodic orbit $\gamma$ has a well-defined index $i(\gamma) \in$ $\mathbb{Z}$. The Floer chain complex $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{C F}}_{*}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded free based finitely generated chain complex over the ring $\Lambda_{(M, \omega)}^{\mathbb{Z}[G]}$, generated in degree $k$ by contractible periodic orbits of the Hamiltonian vector field of index $k$, and we have

$$
\widetilde{C F}_{*} \sim \mathscr{C}_{*+n}(M), \quad \text { where } \quad \operatorname{dim} M=2 n .
$$

Denote by $\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ the number of contractible periodic orbits of period $\boldsymbol{k}$. The results of the previous sections imply the following lower bound:

$$
p_{i-n} \geqslant \mu_{i}(\widetilde{M})
$$

Applying the results of Section 3 we obtain the following lower bounds for $p_{i}$ :

Proposition 5.2. For any field $\mathbb{F}$ and any representation $\rho: G \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{GL}(\boldsymbol{r}, \mathbb{F})$ we have

$$
p_{i-n} \geqslant \frac{1}{r} b_{i}(M, \rho) .
$$

Theorem 3.10 and Corollary 3.11 imply some stronger lower bounds for $i=1$.

Theorem 5.3. 1) If $\pi_{1}(M)$ is non-trivial, then $p_{1-n} \geqslant 1$.
2) If $\pi_{1}(M)$ has an epimorphism onto a finite group $G$, then
(a) $p_{1-n} \geqslant \delta(G)$,
(b) $p_{1-n} \geqslant d(G)$ if $G$ is solvable or simple,
(c) $p_{1-n} \geqslant 2$ if $G$ is not cyclic.

Let us proceed to the lower bounds for $p_{2-n}$. Applying Corollaries 3.20 and 3.28 we obtain the following result.

Theorem 5.4. Assume that $\pi_{1}(M)$ is finite and the homomorphism $\pi_{1}(\boldsymbol{M}) \rightarrow G$ is an isomorphism. Then

1) $\boldsymbol{p}_{2-n} \geqslant \boldsymbol{\delta}\left(\boldsymbol{\pi}_{1}(\boldsymbol{M})\right)-\boldsymbol{b}_{1}(\boldsymbol{M}, \mathbb{F})+\boldsymbol{b}_{2}(\boldsymbol{M}, \mathbb{F})$ for any field $\mathbb{F}$.
2) If $G$ is perfect, then $p_{2-n} \geqslant b_{2}(M, \mathbb{F})+2$.
3) $p_{2-n} \geqslant \mu_{2}\left(\pi_{1}(M)\right)$.

Remark 5.5. A recent result of Joel Fine and Dmitry Panov [7] asserts that for every finitely presented group $G$ there exists a symplectic manifold $M$ of dimension 6 with the fundamental group $G$ and $c_{1}(M)=0$.
5.2. The weakly monotone case. Let us denote by $\boldsymbol{p}$ the total number of the periodic orbits of the Hamiltonian vector field. We have a $\mathbb{Z} / \mathbf{2} N \mathbb{Z}$-graded chain complex $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{C F}}_{*}$, generated by periodic orbits, such that

$$
\widetilde{\boldsymbol{C F}}{ }_{*} \sim \mathscr{C}_{*+n}^{\circ}(\boldsymbol{M}), \text { where } 2 n=\operatorname{dim} M
$$

(see Theorem 2.8). Therefore

$$
p_{i-n} \geqslant \mu_{i}(\widetilde{M}) \text { for } i \in \mathbb{Z} / \mathbf{2} N \mathbb{Z}
$$

Applying the results of the section 4 we obtain the following.
Theorem 5.6. For every representation $\rho: G \rightarrow \operatorname{GL}(r, \mathbb{F})$ we have

$$
p_{i-n} \geqslant \frac{1}{r}\left(\sum_{s \equiv i(2 N)} b_{s}(M, \rho)\right) \text { for } i \in \mathbb{Z} / 2 N \mathbb{Z}
$$

As for the number $p_{1-n}$ we have the following.
Theorem 5.7. 1) If $\pi_{1}(M)$ is non-trivial, then $p_{1-n} \geqslant 1$.
2) If $\pi_{1}(M)$ has an epimorphism onto a finite group $G$, then
(a) $p_{1-n} \geqslant \max (1, \delta(G)-1)$, and $p \geqslant \delta(G)$.
(b) if $G$ is simple or solvable, then $p \geqslant d(G)$,
(c) if $G$ is not cyclic, then $p \geqslant 2$.

For the manifolds where the minimal Chern number $N$ is strictly greater than $n=\operatorname{dim} M / 2$ we have the following improvement of Theorem 5.7 (the proof follows from Theorem 4.6):

Theorem 5.8. Let $N \geqslant n+1$. Assume that $\pi_{1}(M)$ has an epimorphism onto a finite group $G$. Then

1) $\boldsymbol{p}_{1-n} \geqslant \delta(G)$.
2) If $G$ is simple or solvable, then $p_{1-n} \geqslant d(G)$.
3) If $G$ is not cyclic, then $p_{1-n} \geqslant 2$.
5.3. The general case. Let $M^{2 n}$ be an arbitrary closed connected symplectic manifold. Theorem 2.9 together with Proposition 3.14, Theorem 4.5 implies the following result. As we noted, Theorem 4.5 holds for $\widehat{\Lambda} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$.

Theorem 5.9. Assume that $\pi_{1}(M)$ is infinite. Then $p_{1-n} \geqslant 1$.
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